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This paper determines, up to equivariant cobordism, all manifolds with $Z_{2}^{k}$-action whose fixed point set is $\mathbb{R P}^{2} \cup \mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{n}$, where $n>2$ is even.
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## 1 Introduction

Suppose $M$ is a smooth, closed manifold and $T: M \rightarrow M$ is a smooth involution defined on $M$. It is well known that the fixed point set $F$ of $T$ is a finite and disjoint union of closed submanifolds of $M$. For a given $F$, a basic problem in this context is the classification, up to equivariant cobordism, of the pairs $(M, T)$ for which the fixed point set is $F$. For related results, see for example Royster [16], Hou and Torrence [6; 7], Pergher [11], Stong [17; 18], Conner and Floyd [4, Theorem 27.6], Kosniowski and Stong [8, page 309] and Lü [9; 10].

For $F=\mathbb{R P}^{n}$, the classification was established in [4] and [17]. D C Royster [16] then studied this problem with $F$ the disjoint union of two real projective spaces, $F=\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{m} \cup \mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{n}$. He established the results via a case-by-case method depending on the parity of $m$ and $n$, with special arguments when one of the components is $\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{0}=\{$ point $\}$, but his methods were not sufficient to handle the case when $m$ and $n$ are even and positive. If $m$ and $n$ are even and $m=n$, one knows from [8] that ( $M, T$ ) is an equivariant boundary when $\operatorname{dim}(M) \geq 2 n$; it was later shown in [7] that $(M, T)$ also is a boundary when $n \leq \operatorname{dim}(M)<2 n$. To understand the case $(m, n)=(0$, even $)$ and also the goal of this paper, consider the involution $\left(\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{m+n+1}, T_{m, n}\right)$, for any $m$ and $n$, defined in homogeneous coordinates by

$$
T_{m, n}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m+n+1}\right]=\left[-x_{0},-x_{1}, \ldots,-x_{m}, x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_{m+n+1}\right]
$$

The fixed set of $T_{m, n}$ is $\mathbb{R P}^{m} \cup \mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{n}$. From $T_{m, n}$, it may be possible to obtain other involutions fixing $\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{m} \cup \mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{n}$ : in general, for a given involution $(W, T)$ with fixed
set $F$ and $W$ a boundary, the involution

$$
\Gamma(W, T)=\left(\frac{S^{1} \times W}{-\operatorname{Id} \times T}, \tau\right)
$$

is equivariantly cobordant to an involution fixing $F$; here, $S^{1}$ is the 1 -sphere, Id is the identity map and $\tau$ is the involution induced by $c \times \mathrm{Id}$, where $c$ is complex conjugation (see Conner and Floyd [5]). If $\left(S^{1} \times W\right) /(-\mathrm{Id} \times T)$ is a boundary, we can repeat the process taking $\Gamma^{2}(W, T)$, and so on. If $F$ is nonbounding, this process finishes, that is, there exists a smallest natural number $r \geq 1$ for which the underlying manifold of $\Gamma^{r}(W, T)$ is nonbounding; this follows from the (5/2)-theorem of J Boardman in [1] and its strengthened version in [8]. In particular, if $m$ and $n$ are even and $m<n$, $\mathbb{R P}^{m} \cup \mathbb{R P}^{n}$ does not bound and $\mathbb{R P}^{m+n+1}$ bounds, so this number $r$ makes sense for $\left(\mathbb{R P}^{m+n+1}, T_{m, n}\right)$, and we denote $r$ by $h_{m, n}$. In [16], Royster proved the following theorem:

Theorem Let $(M, T)$ be an involution fixing $\{$ point $\} \cup \mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{n}$, where $n$ is even. Then $(M, T)$ is equivariantly cobordant to $\Gamma^{j}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{n+1}, T_{0, n}\right)$ for some $0 \leq j \leq h_{0, n}$.

Later, in [15], R E Stong and P Pergher determined the value of $h_{0, n}$, thus answering the question posed by Royster in [16, page 271]: writing $n=2^{p} q$ with $p \geq 1$ and $q \geq 1$ odd, they showed that $h_{0, n}=2$ if $p=1$ and $h_{0, n}=2^{p}-1$ if $p>1$.

In this paper, we contribute to this problem by solving the case $(m, n)=(2$, even $)$. Specifically, we will prove the following:

Theorem 1 Let $(M, T)$ be an involution fixing $\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{2} \cup \mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{n}$, where $M$ is connected and $n \geq 4$ is even. If $n>4$, then $(M, T)$ is equivariantly cobordant to $\Gamma^{j}\left(\mathbb{R P}^{n+3}, T_{2, n}\right)$ for some $0 \leq j \leq h_{2, n}$. If $n=4$, then $(M, T)$ is either equivariantly cobordant to $\Gamma^{j}\left(\mathbb{R P}^{7}, T_{2,4}\right)$ for some $0 \leq j \leq h_{2,4}$, or equivariantly cobordant to $\Gamma^{2}\left(\mathbb{R P}^{3}, T_{0,2}\right) \cup\left(\mathbb{R P}^{5}, T_{0,4}\right)$.

In addition, we generalize the result of Stong and Pergher of [15], calculating the general value of $h_{m, n}$ (which, in particular, makes numerically precise the statement of Theorem 1).

Theorem 2 For $m, n$ even, $0 \leq m<n$, write $n-m=2^{p} q$ with $p \geq 1$ and $q \geq 1$ odd. Then $h_{m, n}=2$ if $p=1$, and $h_{m, n}=2^{p}-1$ if $p>1$.

Finally, we also extend the results for $Z_{2}^{k}$-actions. This extension is automatic from the combination of the above results and the case $F=\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{\text {even }}$ with a recent paper of the
first two authors [13]. The details concerning this extension will be given in Section 4. Section 2 and Section 3 will be devoted, respectively, to the proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.
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## 2 Involutions fixing $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{2}} \cup \mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{\text {even }}$

We start with an involution $(M, T)$ fixing $\mathbb{R P}^{2} \cup \mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{n}$, where $M$ is connected and $n \geq 4$ is even, and first establish some notations. We will always use $\lambda_{r} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{r}$ to denote the canonical line bundle over $\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{r}$. Denote by $\alpha \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{2}, Z_{2}\right)$ and $\beta \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{n}, Z_{2}\right)$ the generators of the 1 -dimensional $Z_{2}$-cohomology. The model involution $\left(\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{n+3}, T_{2, n}\right)$ fixes $\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{2} \cup \mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{n}$ with normal bundles $(n+1) \lambda_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{2}$ and $3 \lambda_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R P}^{n}$. The total Stiefel-Whitney classes are $W\left((n+1) \lambda_{2}\right)=(1+\alpha)^{n+1}$, $W\left(3 \lambda_{n}\right)=(1+\beta)^{3}$. Denote by $\eta \rightarrow \mathbb{R P}^{2}$ and $\xi \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{n}$ the normal bundles of $\mathbb{R P}^{2}$ and $\mathbb{R P}^{n}$ in $M$. To prove Theorem 1, it suffices to prove the following:

Lemma 3 If $n>4$, then $W(\eta)=(1+\alpha)^{n+1}$ and $W(\xi)=(1+\beta)^{3}$. If $n=4$, then either $W(\eta)=(1+\alpha)^{5}$ and $W(\xi)=(1+\beta)^{3}$, or $W(\eta)=1+\alpha$ and $W(\xi)=1+\beta$.

In fact, suppose Lemma 3 is true, and denote by $R$ the trivial one-dimensional vector bundle over any base space. Set $k=\operatorname{dim}(\eta)$ and $l=\operatorname{dim}(\xi)$, that is, $k=\operatorname{dim}(M)-2$ and $l=\operatorname{dim}(M)-n \geq 1$.

First consider $n>4$. By [5], for $0 \leq j \leq h_{2, n}$, the involution $\Gamma^{j}\left(\mathbb{R} P^{n+3}, T_{2, n}\right)$ is equivariantly cobordant to an involution with fixed data

$$
\left((n+1) \lambda_{2} \oplus j R \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{2}\right) \cup\left(3 \lambda_{n} \oplus j R \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{n}\right) .
$$

Using the notations $W=1+w_{1}+w_{2}+\ldots$ for Stiefel-Whitney classes and $\binom{a}{b}$ for binomial coefficients mod 2, note that $w_{3}(\xi)=\binom{3}{3} \beta^{3}=\beta^{3} \neq 0$ and thus $l \geq 3$. Then

$$
\eta \cup \xi \quad \text { and } \quad\left((n+1) \lambda_{2} \oplus(l-3) R\right) \cup\left(3 \lambda_{n} \oplus(l-3) R\right)
$$

are cobordant because they have the same characteristic numbers. If $l \leq 3+h_{2, n}$, one then has from [4] that $(M, T)$ and $\Gamma^{l-3}\left(\mathbb{R P}^{n+3}, T_{2, n}\right)$ are equivariantly cobordant, proving the result. By contradiction, suppose then $l>3+h_{2, n}$. Again from [4],

$$
\left((n+1) \lambda_{2} \oplus(l-3) R\right) \cup\left(3 \lambda_{n} \oplus(l-3) R\right)
$$

is the fixed data of an involution ( $W, S$ ), and by removing sections if necessary we can suppose, with no loss, that $\operatorname{dim}(W)=n+h_{2, n}+4$ [4, Theorem 26.4]. Let ( $N, T^{\prime}$ ) be an involution cobordant to $\Gamma^{h_{2, n}}\left(\mathbb{R P}^{n+3}, T_{2, n}\right)$ and with fixed data

$$
\left((n+1) \lambda_{2} \oplus h_{2, n} R\right) \cup\left(3 \lambda_{n} \oplus h_{2, n} R\right) .
$$

One knows that $N$ is not a boundary. Then $\Gamma\left(N, T^{\prime}\right) \cup(W, S)$ is cobordant to an involution with fixed data $R \rightarrow N$, and from [4] $R \rightarrow N$ then is a boundary, which is impossible.

Now suppose $n=4$. The case $W(\eta)=(1+\alpha)^{5}$ and $W(\xi)=(1+\beta)^{3}$ is included in the above approach, hence suppose $W(\eta)=1+\alpha$ and $W(\xi)=1+\beta$. Since $h_{0,2}=2$, the involution $\Gamma^{2}\left(\mathbb{R P}^{3}, T_{0,2}\right)$ is cobordant to an involution with fixed data

$$
(5 R \rightarrow\{\text { point }\}) \cup\left(\lambda_{2} \oplus 2 R \rightarrow \mathbb{R P}^{2}\right)
$$

Then the involution $\Gamma^{2}\left(\mathbb{R P}^{3}, T_{0,2}\right) \cup\left(\mathbb{R}^{5}, T_{0,4}\right)$ is cobordant to an involution $\left(W^{5}, T\right)$ with fixed data $\left(\lambda_{2} \oplus 2 R \rightarrow \mathbb{R P}^{2}\right) \cup\left(\lambda_{4} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{4}\right)$, and the total Stiefel-Whitney classes are $W\left(\lambda_{2} \oplus 2 R\right)=1+\alpha$ and $W\left(\lambda_{4}\right)=1+\beta$. Because $h_{0,2}=2$, the underlying manifold of $\Gamma^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{3}, T_{0,2}\right)$ does not bound; since $\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{5}$ bounds, $W^{5}$ does not bound. By contradiction, suppose $l \geq 2$. Using the hypothesis, [4] and removing sections if necessary, we can suppose with no loss that $(M, T)$ has fixed data

$$
\left(\lambda_{2} \oplus 3 R \rightarrow \mathbb{R P}^{2}\right) \cup\left(\lambda_{4} \oplus R \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{4}\right) .
$$

Using the same above argument for $\Gamma\left(W^{5}, T\right) \cup(M, T)$, we conclude $R \rightarrow W$ is a boundary, which is false. Then $l=1$ and $(M, T)$ and $\left(W^{5}, T\right)$ (and hence the union $\left.\Gamma^{2}\left(\mathbb{R P}^{3}, T_{0,2}\right) \cup\left(\mathbb{R P}^{5}, T_{0,4}\right)\right)$ have fixed data with same characteristic numbers.

In order to prove Lemma 3, we will intensively use the following basic fact from [4]: the projective space bundles $\mathbb{R P}(\eta)$ and $\mathbb{R P}(\xi)$ with the standard line bundles $\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R P}(\eta)$ and $v \rightarrow \mathbb{R P}(\xi)$ are cobordant as elements of the bordism group $\mathcal{N}_{k+1}(B O(1))$. Then any class of dimension $k+1$, given by a product of the classes $w_{i}(\mathbb{R P}(\eta))$ and $w_{1}(\lambda)$, evaluated on the fundamental homology class $[\mathbb{R P}(\eta)]$, gives the same characteristic number as the one obtained by the corresponding product of the classes $w_{i}(\mathbb{R P}(\xi))$ and $w_{1}(\nu)$, evaluated on $[\mathbb{R P}(\xi)]$. To evaluate characteristic numbers, the following formula of Conner will be useful [2, Lemma 3.1]: if $\pi: \mu \rightarrow N$ is any $r$-dimensional vector bundle, $c$ is the first Stiefel-Whitney class of the standard line bundle over $\mathbb{R P}(\mu), \bar{W}(\mu)=1+\bar{w}_{1}(\mu)+\bar{w}_{2}(\mu)+\ldots$ is the dual Stiefel-Whitney class defined by $W(\mu) \bar{W}(\mu)=1$ and $\alpha \in H^{*}\left(N, Z_{2}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{j} \pi^{*}(\alpha)[\operatorname{RP}(\mu)]=\bar{w}_{j-r+1}(\mu) \alpha[N] \quad \text { when } j \geq r-1 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this context, our numerical arguments will always be considered modulo 2 . Write $W(\lambda)=1+c$ and $W(v)=1+d$ for the Stiefel-Whitney classes of $\lambda$ and $v$. The structure of the Grothendieck ring of orthogonal bundles over real projective spaces says that $W(\eta)=(1+\alpha)^{p}$ and $W(\xi)=(1+\beta)^{q}$ for some $p, q \geq 0$. From [4, 23.3], one then has
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W(\mathbb{R P}(\eta))=(1+\alpha)^{3}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{2}(1+c)^{k-i}\binom{p}{i} \alpha^{i}\right) \\
& W(\mathbb{R P}(\xi))=(1+\beta)^{n+1}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{l}(1+d)^{l-i}\binom{q}{i} \beta^{i}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where here we are suppressing bundle maps.
Fact 1 The numbers $p$ and $q$ are odd; in particular, $w_{1}(\eta)=\alpha$ and $w_{1}(\xi)=\beta$.
Proof One has

$$
w_{1}(\mathbb{R P}(\eta))=\binom{k}{1} c+\alpha+\binom{p}{1} \alpha \quad \text { and } \quad w_{1}(\mathbb{R P}(\xi))=\binom{l}{1} d+\beta+\binom{q}{1} \beta .
$$

Since $k+2=l+n$ and $n$ is even, $\binom{k}{1}=\binom{l}{1}$, and thus

$$
w_{1}(\mathbb{R P}(\eta))+\binom{k}{1} c=\left(\binom{p}{1}+1\right) \alpha \quad \text { and } \quad w_{1}(\mathbb{R P}(\xi))+\binom{l}{1} d=\left(\binom{q}{1}+1\right) \beta
$$

are corresponding characteristic classes. Because $n>2$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=\left(\binom{p}{1}+1\right) \alpha^{n} c^{l-1}[\mathbb{R P}(\eta)] & =\left(\binom{q}{1}+1\right) \beta^{n} d^{l-1}[\mathbb{R P}(\xi)] \\
& =\left(\binom{q}{1}+1\right) \beta^{n}\left[\mathbb{R P}^{n}\right]=\binom{q}{1}+1,
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives that $q$ is odd. Also

$$
\binom{p}{1}+1=\left(\binom{p}{1}+1\right) \alpha^{2} c^{k-1}[\mathbb{R P}(\eta)]=\left(\binom{q}{1}+1\right) \beta^{2} d^{k-1}[\mathbb{R P}(\xi)]=0,
$$

and $p$ is odd.
Fact 2 If $l=1$, then $n=4, W(\eta)=1+\alpha$ and $W(\xi)=1+\beta$.

Proof Since $l=1$ and $w_{1}(\xi)=\beta$, we have $W(\xi)=1+\beta$. Then the involution $(M, T) \cup\left(\mathbb{R P}^{n+1}, T_{0, n}\right)$ is cobordant to an involution with fixed data

$$
\left(\eta \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{2}\right) \cup((n+1) R \rightarrow\{\text { point }\}) .
$$

From [16] and the fact that $h_{0,2}=2$, we have $W(\eta)=1+\alpha$ and $n=4$.

Fact 2 reduces Lemma 3 to the following assertion: if $l>1$, then $W(\eta)=(1+\alpha)^{n+1}$ and $W(\xi)=(1+\beta)^{3}$; so we assume throughout the remainder of this section that $l>1$. Note that $(1+\alpha)^{n+1}=(1+\alpha)^{3}$ if $\binom{n}{2}=1$ and $(1+\alpha)^{n+1}=1+\alpha$ if $\binom{n}{2}=0$. Denote by $r$ the greatest power of 2 that appears in the 2 -adic expansion of $n$, that is, $4 \leq 2^{r} \leq n<2^{r+1}$. We can assume $q<2^{r+1}$ and $p<4$. Then Fact 3 and Fact 4 show that $W(\eta)=(1+\alpha)^{n+1}$ :

Fact 3 If $\binom{n}{2}=1$, then $p=3$.
Fact 4 If $\binom{n}{2}=0$, then $p=1$.
Set $p^{\prime}=4-p, q^{\prime}=2^{r+1}-q$. Then the dual Stiefel-Whitney classes of $\eta$ and $\xi$ are given by $\bar{W}(\eta)=(1+\alpha)^{p^{\prime}}, \bar{W}(\xi)=(1+\beta)^{q^{\prime}}$. Since $p$ and $q$ are odd, $p^{\prime}$ and $q^{\prime}$ are odd; further, $\binom{p}{2}+\binom{p^{\prime}}{2}=1$ and $\binom{q}{2^{u}}+\binom{q^{\prime}}{2^{u}}=1$ for each $1 \leq u \leq r$.

Proof of Fact 3 We will use several times the fact that a binomial coefficient $\binom{a}{b}$ is nonzero modulo 2 if and only if the 2 -adic expansion of $b$ is a subset of the 2 -adic expansion of $a$. We have $n=4 j+2$, with $j \geq 1$, and want to show that $p=3$; since $p<4$ is odd, it suffices to show that $\binom{p}{2}=1$, or equivalently that $\binom{p^{\prime}}{2}=0$. Suppose by contradiction that $\binom{p^{\prime}}{2}=1$. By Conner's formula (1),

$$
c^{k+1}[\mathbb{R P}(\eta)]=\binom{p^{\prime}}{2} \alpha^{2}\left[\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{2}\right]=\binom{p^{\prime}}{2}=d^{k+1}[\mathbb{R P}(\xi)]=\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j+2} .
$$

Then $\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j+2}=1$ and consequently $\binom{q^{\prime}}{2}=1$. We formally introduce the class (with $l-1 \geq 1$ )

$$
\widetilde{W}(\mathbb{R P}())=\frac{W(\mathbb{R P}())}{(1+c)^{l-1}} .
$$

Since $k=l+4 j$ and $p$ and $q$ are odd, on $\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{2}$ this class is

$$
\widetilde{W}(\mathbb{R P}(\eta))=(1+\alpha)^{3}\left(1+c^{4}\right)^{j}\left(1+c+\alpha+(1+c)^{-1}\binom{p}{2} \alpha^{2}\right),
$$

and on $\mathbb{R P}^{n}$ it is

$$
\widetilde{W}(\mathbb{R P}(\xi))=(1+\beta)^{4 j+3}\left(1+d+\beta+(1+d)^{-1}\binom{q}{2} \beta^{2}+(1+d)^{-2}\binom{q}{3} \beta^{3}+\ldots\right) .
$$

Then

$$
\widetilde{w}_{3}(\mathbb{R P}(\eta))=\alpha^{2} c+\binom{p}{2} \alpha^{2} c=\binom{p^{\prime}}{2} \alpha^{2} c=\alpha^{2} c,
$$

and since $\binom{q}{2}+\binom{q}{3}=0$ because $q$ is odd, $\widetilde{w}_{3}(\mathbb{R P}(\xi))=\binom{q^{\prime}}{2} \beta^{2} d=\beta^{2} d$. Now we observe that, if $a$ and $b$ are one-dimensional cohomology classes, then by the Cartan formula one has $\mathrm{Sq}^{2^{u}}\left(a^{2^{u}} b\right)=a^{2^{u+1}} b$, where Sq is the Steenrod operation and $u \geq 1$.

Also one has, by the Wu and Cartan formulae, that $\mathrm{Sq}^{i}$ evaluated on a product of characteristic classes gives a polynomial in the characteristic classes. Then
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Sq}^{2^{r-1}}\left(\ldots\left(\operatorname{Sq}^{4}\left(\operatorname{Sq}^{2}\left(\alpha^{2} c\right)\right)\right) \ldots\right)=\alpha^{2^{r}} c \\
& \operatorname{Sq}^{2^{r-1}}\left(\ldots\left(\operatorname{Sq}^{4}\left(\operatorname{Sq}^{2}\left(\beta^{2} d\right)\right)\right) \ldots\right)=\beta^{2^{r}} d
\end{aligned}
$$

are corresponding classes on $\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{2}$ and $\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{n}$. Using Conner's formula (1) and the fact that $2^{r} \geq 4$, one then has
$0=\left(\alpha^{2^{r}} c\right) c^{4 j+1-2^{r}+l-1}[\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}(\eta)]=\left(\beta^{2^{r}} d\right) d^{4 j+1-2^{r}+l-1}[\mathbb{R P}(\xi)]=\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j+2-2^{r}}$.
Since $\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j+2}=1$ and $2^{r}$ belongs to the 2 -adic expansion of $4 j+2$, also $\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j+2-2^{r}}=1$, which is impossible. Hence Fact 3 is proved.

Proof of Fact 4 We consider $n=4 j$ with $j \geq 1$; in this case, to show that $p=1$, it suffices to show that $\binom{p^{\prime}}{2}=1$, and again by contradiction we suppose $\binom{p^{\prime}}{2}=0$. Then $\binom{p}{2}=1$ and $k=l+4 j-2$ gives

$$
\widetilde{W}(\mathbb{R P}(\eta))=(1+\alpha)^{3}\left((1+c)^{4 j-1}+(1+c)^{4 j-2} \alpha+(1+c)^{4 j-3} \alpha^{2}\right)
$$

and $\widetilde{w}_{2}(\mathbb{R} P(\eta))=c^{2}+\alpha^{2}+c \alpha$. Also

$$
\widetilde{W}(\mathbb{R P}(\xi))=(1+\beta)^{4 j+1}\left(1+d+\beta+(1+d)^{-1}\binom{q}{2} \beta^{2}+(1+d)^{-2}\binom{q}{3} \beta^{3}+\ldots\right)
$$

and $\widetilde{w}_{2}(\mathbb{R} P(\xi))=\binom{q}{2} \beta^{2}+\beta d+\beta^{2}$. Let $2^{t}$ be the lesser power of 2 of the 2 -adic expansion of $n=4 j\left(2^{t} \geq 4\right)$. For $t \leq x \leq r$ and with the same preceding tools, we then get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Sq}^{2^{x-1}}\left(\ldots \left(\mathrm{Sq}^{4}\right.\right. & \left.\left.\left(\operatorname{Sq}^{2}\left(\widetilde{w}_{2}(\mathbb{R P}(\eta)) c\right)\right)\right) \ldots\right) c^{4 j+l-2^{x}-2}[\mathbb{R P}(\eta)] \\
& =\left(c^{2^{x}} c+\alpha^{2^{x}} c+c^{2^{x}} \alpha\right) c^{4 j+l-2^{x}-2}[\mathbb{R P}(\eta)] \\
& =\binom{p^{\prime}}{2}+0+\binom{p^{\prime}}{1} \\
& =1 \\
& =\operatorname{Sq}^{2^{x-1}}\left(\ldots\left(\mathrm{Sq}^{4}\left(\operatorname{Sq}^{2}\left(\widetilde{w}_{2}(\mathbb{R P}(\xi)) d\right)\right)\right) \ldots\right) d^{4 j+l-2^{x}-2}[\mathbb{R P}(\xi)] \\
& =\left(\binom{q}{2} \beta^{2^{x}} d+\beta d^{2^{x}}+\beta^{2^{x}} d\right) d^{4 j+l-2^{x}-2}[\mathbb{R P}(\xi)] \\
& =\binom{q}{2}\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j-2^{x}}+\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j-1}+\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j-2^{x}}=\binom{q^{\prime}}{2}\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j-2^{x}}+\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j-1} \\
0 & =\binom{p^{\prime}}{2}=c^{k+1}[\mathbb{R P}(\eta)]=d^{k+1}[\mathbb{R P}(\xi)]=\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\quad \widetilde{w}_{2}(\mathbb{R P}(\eta)) c^{4 j+l-3}[\mathbb{R} P(\eta)]=\binom{p^{\prime}}{2}+1+\binom{p^{\prime}}{1}=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\widetilde{w}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R P}(\xi) d^{4 j+l-3}[\mathbb{R P}(\xi)]\right. \\
& =\binom{q}{2}\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j-2}+\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j-1}+\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j-2} \\
& =\binom{q^{\prime}}{2}\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j-2}+\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, we get the equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j}  \tag{2}\\
& 0=\binom{q^{\prime}}{2}\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j-2}+\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j-1}  \tag{3}\\
& 1=\binom{q^{\prime}}{2}\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j-2^{x}}+\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j-1} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

By using equations (3) and (4), we conclude that $\binom{q^{\prime}}{2}=1$ and $\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j-2^{x}} \neq\binom{ q^{\prime}}{4 j-2}$. Suppose $t<r$. If $\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j-2^{r}}=1$, equation (2) and the fact that $2^{r}$ belongs to the 2 -adic expansion of $4 j$ imply that $2^{r}$ is the only power of 2 of the 2 -adic expansion of $4 j$ that does not belong to the 2 -adic expansion of $q^{\prime}$. Hence $\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j-2^{t}}=0$, which is a contradiction. Then $\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j-2^{r}}=\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j-2^{t}}=0$. In this case, equation (2) and $\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j-2}=1$ give that $2^{t}$ is the only power of 2 of the 2 -adic expansion of $4 j$ that does not belong to the 2 -adic expansion of $q^{\prime}$, giving the contradiction $\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j-2^{t}}=1$. Now suppose $t=r$, that is, $n=4 j=2^{r}$. One has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\widetilde{w}_{2}(\mathbb{R P}(\eta))^{2} c^{2^{r}+l-5}[\mathbb{R P}(\eta)]\right. & =\binom{p^{\prime}}{2}+0+1 \\
& =1=\left(\widetilde{w}_{2}(\mathbb{R P}(\xi))^{2} d^{2^{r}+l-5}[\mathbb{R P}(\xi)]\right. \\
& =\binom{q}{2}\binom{q^{\prime}}{2^{r}-4}+\binom{q^{\prime}}{2^{\prime}-2}+\binom{q^{\prime}}{2^{r}-4} \\
& =\binom{q^{\prime}}{2}\binom{q^{\prime}}{2^{r}-4}+\binom{q^{\prime}}{2^{r}-2}=\binom{q^{\prime}}{2^{r}-4}+\binom{q^{\prime}}{2^{r}-2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\binom{q^{\prime}}{2}=1$, we have $\binom{q^{\prime}}{2^{r}-4}=\binom{q^{\prime}}{2^{r}-2}$, which gives a contradiction. Thus Fact 4 is proved.

Now we prove that $q=3$. To do this, first we prove:
Fact $5\binom{q}{2}=1$; in particular, $q \geq 3$.
Proof As before, first consider $n=4 j+2$, with $j \geq 1$. In this case, we know that $0=\binom{p^{\prime}}{2}=\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j+2}, \widetilde{w}_{2}(\mathbb{R P}(\eta))=\binom{p}{2} \alpha^{2}+\alpha c=\alpha^{2}+\alpha c$ and $\widetilde{w}_{2}(\mathbb{R P}(\xi))=\binom{q}{2} \beta^{2}+\beta d$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\widetilde{w}_{2}(\mathbb{R P}(\eta))\right)^{2} c^{4 j+l-3}[\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}(\eta)] & =1 \\
& =\left(\widetilde{w}_{2}(\mathbb{R P}(\xi))\right)^{2} d^{4 j+l-3}[\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}(\xi)]=\binom{q}{2}\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j-2}+\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the sum $\binom{q}{2}+\binom{q^{\prime}}{2}$ equals 1 and 2 belongs to the 2 -adic expansion of $4 j-2$, one has that $\binom{q}{2}\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j-2}=0$, and thus $\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j}=1$. Now $\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j+2}=0$ and $\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j}=1$ imply that $\binom{q^{\prime}}{2}=0$, and thus $\binom{q}{2}=1$. Since $q$ is odd, this means that $q \geq 3$.
Now suppose $n=4 j$, with $j \geq 1$. One then has $\binom{p^{\prime}}{2}=1, \widetilde{w}_{3}(\mathbb{R P}(\eta))=c^{3}+\binom{p^{\prime}}{2} \alpha^{2} c=$ $c^{3}+\alpha^{2} c$ and $\widetilde{w}_{3}(\mathbb{R P}(\xi))=\binom{q}{2} \beta^{2} d$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Sq}^{2^{r-1}}\left(\ldots \left(\mathrm { Sq } ^ { 4 } \left(\mathrm { Sq } ^ { 2 } \left(\widetilde{w}_{3}\right.\right.\right.\right. & (\mathbb{R P}(\eta)))) \ldots) c^{4 j+l-2^{r}-2}[\mathbb{R P}(\eta)] \\
& =\left(c^{2^{r}} c+{\alpha^{2}}^{r} c\right) c^{4 j+l-2^{r}-2}[\mathbb{R P}(\eta)] \\
& =\binom{p^{\prime}}{2}=1 \\
& \left.=\mathrm{Sq}^{2^{r-1}}\left(\ldots\left(\mathrm{Sq}^{4}\left(\mathrm{Sq}^{2}\left(\binom{q}{2} \beta^{2} d\right)\right)\right)\right) \ldots\right) d^{4 j+l-2^{r}-2}[\mathbb{R P}(\xi)] \\
& \left.=\binom{q}{2} \beta^{2^{r}} d\right) d^{4 j+l-2^{r}-2}[\mathbb{R P}(\xi)]=\binom{q}{2}\binom{q^{\prime}}{q^{\prime}-2^{r}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\binom{q}{2}=1$, and Fact 5 is proved.
To end our task, we will show that $q \leq 3$. The strategy will consist in finding nonzero characteristic numbers coming from characteristic classes involving $\alpha^{q-1}$. To do this, we need the following:

Fact $6 n+l-1>2(q-1)$.
Proof First suppose $n=4 j+2, j \geq 1$. From the proof of Fact $5,\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j}=1$, and thus $\binom{q^{r}}{2^{\prime}}=1$ and $\binom{q}{2^{r}}=0$. Since $q<2^{r+1}, q<2^{r}<4 j+2$. In particular, $w_{q}(\xi)=\alpha^{q} \neq 0$ and $q \leq l$. Then $n+l-1=4 j+2+l-1>2 q-1>2(q-1)$. Now suppose $n=4 j$, $j \geq 1$. In this case, $\binom{p^{\prime}}{2}=1=\binom{q^{\prime}}{4 j}$, so the argument is the same.

Fact 6 says that we can consider characteristic numbers coming from classes involving $\widetilde{w}_{2}^{q-1}$; in this direction, first consider $n=4 j+2, j \geq 1$. In this case,

$$
\widetilde{w}_{2}(\mathbb{R P}(\eta))=\binom{p}{2} \alpha^{2}+\alpha c=\alpha(\alpha+c) \quad \text { and } \quad \widetilde{w}_{2}(\mathbb{R P}(\xi))=\binom{q}{2} \beta^{2}+\beta d=\beta(\beta+d) .
$$

Thus

$$
\left(\alpha^{q-1}(\alpha+c)^{q-1} c^{4 j+l-2 q+3}\right)[\mathbb{R P}(\eta)]=\left(\beta^{q-1}(\beta+d)^{q-1} d^{4 j+l-2 q+3}\right)[\mathbb{R P}(\xi)] .
$$

The last term is the coefficient of $\beta^{4 j+2}$ in $\beta^{q-1}(1+\beta)^{q-1}(1+\beta)^{q^{\prime}}$, by Conner's formula (1). If $n=4 j, j \geq 1$, similarly one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{w}_{2}(\mathbb{R P}(\eta))+c^{2} & =\left(c^{2}+\binom{p}{2} \alpha^{2}+\alpha c\right)+c^{2}=\alpha c, \\
\widetilde{w}_{2}(\mathbb{R P}(\xi))+d^{2} & =\binom{q^{\prime}}{2} \beta^{2}+\beta d+d^{2}=(\beta+d) d, \\
\left(\left(\alpha^{q-1} c^{q-1}\right) c^{4 j+l-2 q+1}\right)[\mathbb{R P}(\eta)] & \left.=((\beta+d) d)^{q-1} d^{4 j+l-2 q+1}\right)[\mathbb{R P}(\xi)],
\end{aligned}
$$

and the last term is the coefficient of $\beta^{4 j}$ in $(1+\beta)^{q-1}(1+\beta)^{q^{\prime}}$. These numbers have value 1 , since $(1+\beta)^{q-1}(1+\beta)^{q^{\prime}}=(1+\beta)^{-1}$, which means that $\alpha^{q-1} \neq 0$ and $q-1 \leq 2$, thus ending the proof of Lemma 3 .

## 3 Calculation of $\boldsymbol{h}_{m, n}$

Denote by $\mathcal{W}_{r}$ the underlying manifold of $\Gamma^{r}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{m+n+1}, T_{m, n}\right)$ and by $\mathcal{P}_{r}$ the total space of the iterated fibration

$$
\mathbb{R P}\left((m+1) \mu_{r} \oplus(n+1) R\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R P}\left(\lambda_{1} \oplus(r-1) R\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{1}
$$

where $\mu_{r}$ is the standard line bundle over $\mathbb{R P}\left(\lambda_{1} \oplus(r-1) R\right)$.

Lemma $4 \mathcal{W}_{r}$ is cobordant to $\mathcal{P}_{r}$.

Proof If $(W, T)$ is a free involution and $\lambda \rightarrow W / T$ is the usual line bundle, the sphere bundle $S(\lambda \oplus R)$ with the antipodal involution in the fibers can be identified to the free involution

$$
\left(\frac{W \times S^{1}}{T \times c}, \tau\right)
$$

where $c$ is complex conjugation and $\tau$ is induced by Id $\times-\mathrm{Id}$. Starting with ( $S^{1},-\mathrm{Id}$ ) and by iteratively applying this fact, we can see that $\mathcal{W}_{r}$ is diffeomorphic to the total space of the iterated fibration
$\mathbb{R P}\left((m+1) \xi_{r} \oplus(n+1) R\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R P}\left(\xi_{r-1} \oplus R\right) \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow \mathbb{R P}\left(\xi_{2} \oplus R\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R P}\left(\xi_{1} \oplus R\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{1}$,
where $\xi_{1}=\lambda_{1}$ and $\xi_{i}$ is the standard line bundle over $\mathbb{R P}\left(\xi_{i-1} \oplus R\right)$, for each $i>1$. From [4], one knows that $\mathcal{N}_{*}(B O(1))$ is a free $\mathcal{N}_{*}$-module, where $\mathcal{N}_{*}$ is the unoriented cobordism ring, with one generator $X_{j}$ in each dimension $j \geq 0$; these generators are characterized by the fact that $c^{j}\left[V^{j}\right]=1$, where $\lambda \rightarrow V^{j}$ is a representative of $X_{j}$ and $c$ is the first Whitney class of $\lambda$. Further, it was shown by Conner in [3, Theorem 24.5] that there is a unique basis $\left\{X_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ for $\mathcal{N}_{*}(B O(1))$ which satisfies two conditions:
(i) $\Delta\left(X_{j}\right)=X_{j-1}, j \geq 1$, where $\Delta: \mathcal{N}_{j}(B O(1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{j-1}(B O(1))$ is the Smith homomorphism.
(ii) If $\lambda \rightarrow V^{j}$ is a representative of $X_{j}$ for $j \geq 1$, then $V^{j}$ bounds.

Theorem 24.5 of [3] also showed that $X_{1}=\left[\xi_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{1}\right]$ and $X_{j}=\left[\xi_{j} \rightarrow \mathbb{R P}\left(\xi_{j-1} \oplus R\right)\right]$ for $j \geq 2$. For $j \geq 1$, set $Y_{j}=\left[\mu_{j} \rightarrow \mathbb{R P}\left(\lambda_{1} \oplus(j-1) R\right)\right]$. One has

$$
\begin{aligned}
c^{j}\left[\mathbb{R P}\left(\lambda_{1} \oplus(j-1) R\right)\right] & =\bar{w}_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)\left[S^{1}\right]=1, \\
Y_{1} & =X_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\quad \Delta\left(\left[\mu_{j} \rightarrow \mathbb{R P}\left(\lambda_{1} \oplus(j-1) R\right)\right]\right)=\left[\mu_{j-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R P}\left(\lambda_{1} \oplus(j-2) R\right)\right] \quad$ for $j \geq 2$.
Further, every projective space bundle over $S^{1}$ bounds [5, Lemma 2.2]. By the uniqueness, $Y_{j}=X_{j}$ for $j \geq 1$, and the result follows.

With the Lemma 4 in hand, Theorem 2 can now be rephrased:
Theorem 2' For $m, n$ even, $0 \leq m<n$, write $n-m=2^{p} q$ with $p \geq 1$ and $q \geq 1$ odd.
(a) If $p=1, \mathcal{P}_{1}$ bounds and $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ does not bound.
(b) If $p>1, \mathcal{P}_{r}$ bounds for each $1 \leq r \leq 2^{p}-2$ and $\mathcal{P}_{2^{p}-1}$ does not bound.

Denote by $\alpha \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{1}, Z_{2}\right)$ the generator and by $\theta_{r} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{r}$ the standard line bundle; set $W\left(\mu_{r}\right)=1+c$ and $W\left(\theta_{r}\right)=1+d$. The following lemma, which follows from Conner's formula (1), will be useful in our computations:

Lemma 5 (i) For $f+g+h=m+n+1+r, c^{f}(c+d)^{g} d^{h}\left[\mathcal{P}_{r}\right]$ is the coefficient of $c^{r}$ in $\left(c^{f}(1+c)^{g}\right) /\left((1+c)^{m+1}\right)$.
(ii) For $f+g+h=m+n+r, \alpha c^{f}(c+d)^{g} d^{h}\left[\mathcal{P}_{r}\right]$ is the coefficient of $c^{r}$ in $\left(c^{f+1}(1+c)^{g}\right) /\left((1+c)^{m+1}\right)$.

If $M$ is a closed manifold and $\left(1+t_{1}\right)\left(1+t_{2}\right) \ldots\left(1+t_{l}\right)$ is the factored form of $W(M)$, one has the $s$-class $s_{j}$ given by the polynomial in the classes of $M$ corresponding to the symmetric function $t_{1}^{j}+t_{2}^{j}+\ldots+t_{l}^{j}$. Since

$$
W\left(\mathcal{P}_{r}\right)=(1+c+\alpha)(1+c)^{r-1}(1+c+d)^{m+1}(1+d)^{n+1}
$$

$c^{i}=0$ if $i>r$ and $\alpha^{i}=0$ if $i>1$, the $s$-class $s_{m+n+1+r}$ of $\mathcal{P}_{r}$ then is

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{m+n+1+r}=(c+\alpha)^{m+n+1+r} & +(r-1) c^{m+n+1+r} \\
& +(m+1)(c+d)^{m+n+1+r}+(n+1) d^{m+n+1+r} \\
=(c+d)^{m+n+1+r} & +d^{m+n+1+r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using part (i) of Lemma 5 and the fact that

$$
\frac{1}{(1+c)^{m+1}}=1+\sum_{i=1}^{r}\binom{m+i}{i} c^{i}
$$

in $H^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}_{r}, Z_{2}\right)$, one then has

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{m+n+1+r}\left[\mathcal{P}_{r}\right] & =\text { coefficient of } c^{r} \text { in }(1+c)^{n+r}+\text { coefficient of } c^{r} \text { in } \frac{1}{(1+c)^{m+1}} \\
& =\binom{n+r}{r}+\binom{m+r}{r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $n=2^{p} q+m$ and $q$ is odd, one then gets

$$
s_{m+n+1+2^{p}}\left[\mathcal{P}_{2^{p}}\right]=\binom{n+2^{p}}{2^{p}}+\binom{m+2^{p}}{2^{p}}=1 .
$$

It follows that $\mathcal{P}_{2^{p}}$ does not bound. Because $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ is a projective space bundle over $S^{1}$ and hence a boundary, this in particular proves part (a) of Theorem $2^{\prime}$. So we can assume from now that $p>1$ and $r<2^{p}$. Using again $n=2^{p} q+m$, we rewrite $W\left(\mathcal{P}_{r}\right)$ as

$$
W\left(\mathcal{P}_{r}\right)=(1+c+\alpha)(1+c)^{r-1}(1+c+d(c+d))^{m+1}\left(1+d^{2^{p}}\right)^{q} .
$$

Then a general characteristic number of $\mathcal{P}_{r}$ is a sum of terms of the form

$$
\alpha^{e} c^{f}(d(c+d))^{g} d^{2^{p}} h\left[\mathcal{P}_{r}\right],
$$

where $e+f+2 g+2^{p} h=m+n+1+r$ and either $e=0$ or $e=1$. Since by Lemma 5,
we can assume $e=0$. Thus, to prove the first statement of part (b) of Theorem $2^{\prime}$, it suffices to show that $\left.c^{f}(d(c+d))^{g} d^{2^{p}}{ }^{[ } \mathcal{P}_{r}\right]=0$ when $f+2 g+2^{p} h=m+n+1+r$ and $r<2^{p}-1$. Since $c^{f}=0$ if $f>r$, we assume $f \leq r$ and thus $0 \leq r-f<2^{p}-1$. Take $s>p$ with $2^{s}>m+1$; in particular, $2^{s}>2^{p}>r$ and $1 /\left((1+c)^{m+1}\right)=$ $(1+c)^{2^{s}-m-1}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
c^{f}(d(c+d))^{g} d^{2^{p}} h\left[\mathcal{P}_{r}\right] & =\operatorname{coefficient~of~} c^{r} \text { in } c^{f}(1+c)^{g} /(1+c)^{m+1} \\
& =\operatorname{coefficient~of~} c^{r} \text { in } c^{f}(1+c)^{g}(1+c)^{2^{s}-m-1} \\
& =\binom{2^{s}+g-m-1}{r-f} \\
& =\binom{2^{p-1}\left(2^{s-p+1}+q-h\right)+(r-f+1) / 2-1}{r-f} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Write $r-f+1=2^{t} a$, where $a$ is odd. Since $r-f+1=2 g+2^{p} h-m-n$ is even and $r-f+1<2^{p}$, one has $1 \leq t \leq p-1$. Then $2^{t-1}$ belongs to the 2 -adic expansion of $r-f$ and does not belong to the 2 -adic expansion of

$$
2^{p-1}\left(2^{s-p+1}+q-h\right)+(r-f+1) / 2-1,
$$

which means, as required, that the above number is zero.

Finally, we must to show that $\mathcal{P}_{2^{p}-1}$ does not bound. One has

$$
w_{2}\left(\mathcal{P}_{2^{p}-1}\right)=\alpha c+\binom{m+1}{2} c^{2}+d(c+d) .
$$

We have seen above that $c^{f}(d(c+d))^{g} d^{2^{p}} h\left[\mathcal{P}_{r}\right]=0$ for $f+2 g+2^{p} h=m+n+1+r$ and $0 \leq r-f<2^{p}-1$; in particular, this is true for $r=2^{p}-1$ and $f>0$. In this way,

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{2}\left(\mathcal{P}_{2^{p}-1}\right)^{\frac{m+n+2^{p}}{2}}\left[\mathcal{P}_{2^{p}-1}\right] & =(d(c+d))^{\frac{m+n+2^{p}}{2}}\left[\mathcal{P}_{2^{p}-1}\right] \\
& =\text { coefficient of } c^{2^{p}-1} \text { in }\left((1+c)^{\frac{m+n+2^{p}}{2}}\right) /(1+c)^{m+1} \\
& =\text { coefficient of } c^{2^{p}-1} \text { in }(1+c)^{\frac{n-m}{2}+2^{p-1}-1} \\
& =\left({ }^{2^{p-1} q+2^{p-1}-1}{ }^{2^{p}-1}\right)=1,
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\mathcal{P}_{2^{p}-1}$ does not bound.

## $4 Z_{2}^{k}$-actions fixing $\mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{2} \cup \mathbb{R} \mathbf{P}^{\text {even }}$

Let $F^{n}$ be a connected, smooth and closed $n$-dimensional manifold satisfying the following property, which we call property $\mathcal{H}$ : if $N^{m}$ is any smooth and closed $m-$ dimensional manifold with $m>n$ and $T: N^{m} \rightarrow N^{m}$ is a smooth involution whose fixed point set is $F^{n}$, then $m=2 n$. From [8], this implies that ( $N^{m}, T$ ) is cobordant to the twist involution $\left(F^{n} \times F^{n}, t\right)$, given by $t(x, y)=(y, x)$. This concept was introduced and studied in Pergher and Oliveira [14], inspired by Conner and Floyd [4, 27.6] (or Conner [3, 29.2]), where it was shown that $\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{\text {even }}$ has this property.
In [13], we studied the equivariant cobordism classification of smooth actions $(M ; \Phi)$ of the group $Z_{2}^{k}$ on closed and smooth manifolds $M$ for which the fixed point set $F$ of the action is the union $F=K \cup L$, where $K$ and $L$ are submanifolds of $M$ with property $\mathcal{H}$ and with $\operatorname{dim}(K)<\operatorname{dim}(L)$. We showed that, for this $F$, the $Z_{2}^{k}$-classification is completely determined by the corresponding $Z_{2}$-classification. Specifically, the equivariant cobordism classes of $Z_{2}^{k}$-actions fixing $K \cup L$ can be represented by a special set of $Z_{2}^{k}$-actions which are explicitly obtained from involutions fixing $K \cup L$, $K$ and $L$. Together with the results of Section 2 and Section 3 and the case $F=\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{\text {even }}$, this gives a precise cobordism description of the $Z_{2}^{k}$-actions fixing $\mathbb{R P}^{2} \cup \mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{n}$, where $n>2$ is even; next we give this description.
Here, $Z_{2}^{k}$ is the group generated by $k$ commuting involutions $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{k}$. The fixed data of a $Z_{2}^{k}$-action $(M ; \Phi), \Phi=\left(T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{k}\right)$, is $\eta=\bigoplus_{\rho} \varepsilon_{\rho} \rightarrow F$, where $F=\left\{x \in M / T_{i}(x)=x\right.$ for all $\left.1 \leq i \leq k\right\}$ is the fixed point set of $\Phi$ and $\eta=\bigoplus_{\rho} \varepsilon_{\rho}$ is the normal bundle of $F$ in $M$, decomposed into eigenbundles $\varepsilon_{\rho}$ with $\rho$ running
through the $2^{k}-1$ nontrivial irreducible representations of $Z_{2}^{k}$. A collection of $Z_{2}^{k}-$ actions fixing $F$ can be obtained from an involution fixing $F$ through the following procedure: let $(W, T)$ be any involution. For each $r$ with $1 \leq r \leq k$, consider the $Z_{2}^{k}$-action $\Gamma_{r}^{k}(W, T)$, defined on the cartesian product $W^{2^{r-1}}=W \times \ldots \times W\left(2^{r-1}\right.$ factors) and described in the following inductive way: first set $\Gamma_{1}^{1}(W, T)=(W, T)$. Taking $k \geq 2$ and supposing by inductive hypothesis one has constructed $\Gamma_{k-1}^{k-1}(W, T)$, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{k}^{k}(W, T) & =\left(W^{2^{k-1}} ; T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{k}\right), \\
\left(W^{2^{k-1}} ; T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{k-1}\right) & =\left(W^{2^{k-2}} \times W^{2^{k-2}} ; T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{k-1}\right) \\
& =\Gamma_{k-1}^{k-1}(W, T) \times \Gamma_{k-1}^{k-1}(W, T),
\end{aligned}
$$

where
and $T_{k}$ acts switching $W^{2^{k-2}} \times W^{2^{k-2}}$. This defines $\Gamma_{k}^{k}(W, T)$ for any $k \geq 1$. Next, define
setting

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma_{r}^{k}(W, T)=\left(W^{2^{r-1}} ; T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{k}\right) \\
\left(W^{2^{r-1}} ; T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{r}\right)=\Gamma_{r}^{r}(W, T)
\end{gathered}
$$

and letting $T_{r+1}, \ldots, T_{k}$ act trivially.
If $(W, T)$ fixes $F$ and if $\eta \rightarrow F$ is the normal bundle of $F$ in $W$, then $\Gamma_{r}^{k}(W, T)$ fixes $F$ and its fixed data consists of $2^{r-1}$ copies of $\eta, 2^{r-1}-1$ copies of the tangent bundle of $F$ and $2^{k}-2^{r}$ copies of the zero-dimensional bundle over $F$. In particular, for the twist involution $(F \times F, t)$, we have $\Gamma_{r}^{k}(F \times F, t)=\left(F^{2^{r}} ; T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{k}\right)$, where $\left(T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{r}\right)$ is the usual twist $Z_{2}^{r}$-action on $F^{2^{r}}$ which interchanges factors and $T_{r+1}, \ldots, T_{k}$ act trivially, with the fixed data having in this case $2^{r}-1$ copies of the tangent bundle of $F$ and $2^{k}-2^{r}$ zero bundles. In this special case, we allow $r$ to be zero, setting $\Gamma_{0}^{k}(F \times F, t)=\left(F ; T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{k}\right)$, where each $T_{i}$ is the identity involution.

Now, from a given $Z_{2}^{k}$-action $(M ; \Phi), \Phi=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{k}\right)$, we can obtain a collection of new $Z_{2}^{k}$-actions, described as follows: first, each automorphism $\sigma: Z_{2}^{k} \rightarrow Z_{2}^{k}$ yields a new action given by $\left(M ; \sigma\left(T_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(T_{k}\right)\right)$; we denote this action by $\sigma(M ; \Phi)$. The fixed data of $\sigma(M ; \Phi)$ is obtained from the fixed data of $(M ; \Phi)$ by a permutation of eigenbundles, obviously depending on $\sigma$. Next, it was shown in [12] that if $(M ; \Phi)$ has fixed data $\bigoplus_{\rho} \varepsilon_{\rho} \rightarrow F$ and one of the eigenbundles $\varepsilon_{\theta}$ is isomorphic to $\varepsilon_{\theta}^{\prime} \oplus R$, then there is an action $(N ; \Psi)$ with fixed data $\bigoplus_{\rho} \mu_{\rho} \rightarrow F$, where $\mu_{\rho}=\varepsilon_{\rho}$ if $\rho \neq \theta$ and $\mu_{\theta}=\varepsilon_{\theta}^{\prime}$. We say in this case that $(N ; \Psi)$ is obtained from ( $M ; \Phi$ ) by removing one section. Thus, the iterative process of removing sections may possibly enlarge the set $\left\{\sigma(M ; \Phi), \sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(Z_{2}^{k}\right)\right\}$. Summarizing, from a given involution $(W, T)$ that fixes
$F$, we obtain a collection of $Z_{2}^{k}$-actions fixing $F$ by applying the operations $\sigma \Gamma_{r}^{k}$ on ( $W, T$ ) and next by removing the (possible) sections from the resultant eigenbundles. The results of [13] say that when $F=K \cup L$, where $K$ and $L$ have property $\mathcal{H}$ and $\operatorname{dim}(K)<\operatorname{dim}(L)$, then up to equivariant cobordism, all $Z_{2}^{k}$-actions fixing $F$ are obtained, with the above procedure, from involutions fixing $K \cup L, K$ and $L$. Together with the $Z_{2}$-classification obtained in Section 2 and Section 3 and the case $F=\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{\text {even }}$, this gives the following $Z_{2}^{k}$-classification for $F=\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{2} \cup \mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{n}$, where $n>2$ is even (in our terminology, we agree that the set obtained from $(M ; \Phi)$ by removing sections includes $(M ; \Phi))$ :

Theorem 6 Let $(M ; \Phi)$ be a $Z_{2}^{k}$-action fixing $\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{2} \cup \mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{n}$, where $n>2$ is even. Then $(M ; \Phi)$ is equivariantly cobordant to an action belonging to the set $A \cup B$, where the sets $A$ and $B$ are described below in terms of $n$.
(i) $n-2=2^{p} q$, with $q$ odd and $p>1$ :
$A=\varnothing=$ the empty set;
$B=$ the set obtained from $\left\{\sigma \Gamma_{r}^{k} \Gamma^{2^{p}-1}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{n+3}, T_{2, n}\right), \sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(Z_{2}^{k}\right), 1 \leq r \leq k\right\}$ by removing sections.
(ii) $n-2=2 q$, with $q$ odd, and $n$ is not a power of 2 :
$A=\varnothing ;$
$B=$ the set obtained from $\left\{\sigma \Gamma_{r}^{k} \Gamma^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{n+3}, T_{2, n}\right), \sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(Z_{2}^{k}\right), 1 \leq r \leq k\right\}$ by removing sections;
(iii) $n=2^{t}$ is a power of 2 with $t \geq 3$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left\{\sigma \Gamma_{r}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{2}, \text { twist }\right) \cup \sigma^{\prime} \Gamma_{r-t+1}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{2^{t}} \times \mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{2^{t}}, \mathrm{twist}\right)\right. \\
&\left.\sigma, \sigma^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(Z_{2}^{k}\right), t-1 \leq r \leq k\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$B=$ the set obtained from $\left\{\sigma \Gamma_{r}^{k} \Gamma^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{2^{t}+3}, T_{2,2^{t}}\right), \sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(Z_{2}^{k}\right), 1 \leq r \leq k\right\}$ by removing sections (by dimensional reasons, in this case $A=\varnothing$ if $t-1>k$ );
(iv) $n=4:$ for $\left(W^{5}, T\right)=\Gamma^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{3}, T_{0,2}\right) \cup\left(\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{5}, T_{0,4}\right)$,
$A=\left\{\sigma \Gamma_{r+1}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{2}\right.\right.$, twist $) \cup \sigma^{\prime} \Gamma_{r}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{4} \times \mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{4}\right.$, twist $)$,

$$
\left.\sigma, \sigma^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(Z_{2}^{k}\right), 0 \leq r \leq k-1\right\}
$$

$$
\cup\left\{\sigma \Gamma_{r}^{k}\left(W^{5}, T\right), \sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(Z_{2}^{k}\right), 1 \leq r \leq k\right\}
$$

$B=$ the set obtained from $\left\{\sigma \Gamma_{r}^{k} \Gamma^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{7}, T_{2,4}\right), \sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(Z_{2}^{k}\right), 1 \leq r \leq k\right\}$ by removing sections.

## References

[1] J M Boardman, On manifolds with involution, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 73 (1967) 136-138 MR0205260
[2] P E Conner, The bordism class of a bundle space, Michigan Math. J. 14 (1967) 289-303 MR0227995
[3] P E Conner, Differentiable periodic maps, second edition, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 738, Springer, Berlin (1979) MR548463
[4] PE Conner, E E Floyd, Differentiable periodic maps, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 33, Academic Press, New York (1964) MR0176478
[5] P E Conner, E E Floyd, Fibring within a cobordism class, Michigan Math. J. 12 (1965) 33-47 MR0179796
[6] D Hou, B Torrence, Involutions fixing the disjoint union of odd-dimensional projective spaces, Canad. Math. Bull. 37 (1994) 66-74 MR1261559
[7] D Hou, B Torrence, Involutions fixing the disjoint union of copies of even projective space, Acta Math. Sinica (N.S.) 12 (1996) 162-166 MR1458687
[8] C Kosniowski, R E Stong, Involutions and characteristic numbers, Topology 17 (1978) 309-330 MR516213
[9] Z Lü, Involutions fixing $\mathbb{R}^{\text {podd }} \sqcup P(h, i) I$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 354 (2002) 45394570 MR1926888
[10] Z Lü, Involutions fixing $\mathbb{R}^{\text {podd }} \sqcup P(h, i)$ II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 356 (2004) 12911314 MR2034310
[11] P L Q Pergher, Involutions fixing an arbitrary product of spheres and a point, Manuscripta Math. 89 (1996) 471-474 MR1383526
[12] P L Q Pergher, $\left(Z_{2}\right)^{k}$-actions whose fixed data has a section, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 353 (2001) 175-189 MR1783791
[13] P L Q Pergher, R de Oliveira, Commuting involutions whose fixed point set consists of two special components
[14] PL Q Pergher, R de Oliveira, $Z_{2}^{k}$-actions with a special fixed point set, Fund. Math. 186 (2005) 97-109 MR2162380
[15] P L Q Pergher, R E Stong, Involutions fixing (point) $\cup F^{n}$, Transform. Groups 6 (2001) 79-86 MR1825169
[16] D C Royster, Involutions fixing the disjoint union of two projective spaces, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 29 (1980) 267-276 MR563211
[17] R E Stong, Involutions fixing projective spaces, Michigan Math. J. 13 (1966) 445-447 MR0206979

Algebraic $\mathfrak{E G}^{\mathcal{G}}$ eometric Topology, Volume 7 (2007)
[18] R E Stong, Involutions fixing products of circles, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 119 (1993) 1005-1008 MR1169050

Departamento de Ciências Exatas, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul Caixa Postal 210, Três Lagoas, MS 79603-011, Brazil
Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de São Carlos
Caixa Postal 676, São Carlos, SP 13565-905, Brazil
Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de São Carlos Caixa Postal 676, São Carlos, SP 13565-905, Brazil
rogerio@ceul.ufms.br, pergher@dm.ufscar.br, aramos@dm.ufscar.br

Received: 30 October 2006

