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#### Abstract

We provide necessary conditions on the Alexander polynomial of a knot $K$ in a homology sphere and on surgery coefficients $p / q$ for the surgered manifold to be a Seifert fibered space over $S^{2}$. As an application, we show that no $p / q$-surgery with $p>3$ on a knot in a homology sphere with the same Alexander polynomial as the figure eight knot can produce a Seifert fibered space with base $S^{2}$. The main tool is the abelian Reidemeister torsion.
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## 1 Introduction

The Reidemeister torsion of manifolds has been studied since 1930's (see Turaev [19]). In particular, the Reidemeister torsion of Seifert fibered rational homology sphere is studied in Némethi and Nicolaescu [12]. In this paper, we study the Reidemeister torsion of Seifert fibered homology lens space over $S^{2}$. It is well-known that every Seifert fibered homology lens space has $S^{2}$ or $\mathbb{R} P^{2}$ as its base space, and every Seifert fibered space over $S^{2}$ has a framed link presentation as in Figure 1, where $p_{i} \geq 2$ for each $i$. We call $p_{i}$ the multiplicity of the singular fiber. See Orlik [14] or Saveliev [16], for example. Thus the object of our study is such a 3 -manifold that has the framed link presentation of Figure 1 and has a finite cyclic first homology group. We extract information on multiplicities of singular fibers from Reidemeister torsion by using the norm and order of homology lens spaces which we introduced in [6], and apply the information to the Seifert surgery problem to determine when Dehn surgery yields a Seifert fibered space.

Throughout this paper, $\zeta_{d}$ denotes a primitive $d$-th root of unity, $H_{1}(X)$ denotes the first homology group of $X$ with integer coefficients, and the operation in $H_{1}(X)$ is written multiplicatively unless otherwise stated. We also use the following notation:

- Let $A$ and $B$ be elements of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)$. Then $A \doteq B$ means an equality of $A$ and $B$ up to multiplication of $\pm \zeta_{d}^{m}(m \in \mathbb{Z})$.


Figure 1: framed link presentation of a Seifert fibered space over $S^{2}$

- Let $\alpha$ be an element of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)$. Then $N_{d}(\alpha)$ denotes the norm of $\alpha$ associated to the algebraic extension $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.
- Let $x$ be an element of $(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$, the multiplicative group of the ring $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\bar{x}$ denotes the inverse element of $x$.

Let $\Sigma$ be a homology sphere, and $K$ a knot in $\Sigma$. Then $\Delta_{K}(t)$ is the Alexander polynomial of $K$, and $\Sigma(K ; p / q)$ is the result of $p / q$-surgery along $K$. More generally, let $L=K_{1} \cup \cdots \cup K_{n}$ be an $n$-component link in $\Sigma$. Then $\Delta_{L}\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}\right)$ is the ( $n$-variable) Alexander polynomial of $L$, and $\Sigma\left(L ; p_{1} / q_{1}, \cdots, p_{n} / q_{n}\right)$ is the result of $p_{i} / q_{i}$-surgeries along $K_{i}$ for all $i=1, \cdots, n$. We give a more precise description of $\Sigma\left(L ; p_{1} / q_{1}, \cdots, p_{n} / q_{n}\right)$ in Section 2.
Let $M$ be a homology lens space with $H_{1}(M) \cong \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}(p \geq 2)$, and $T$ a generator of $H_{1}(M)$. Let $d \geq 2$ be a divisor of $p$, and $\psi_{d}: \mathbb{Z}\left[H_{1}(M)\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)$ a ring homomorphism such that $\psi_{d}(T)=\zeta_{d}$. Then $\tau^{\psi_{d}}(M) \in \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)$, the Reidemeister torsion of $M$ associated to $\psi_{d}$, is determined up to multiplication by $\pm \zeta_{d}^{m}(m \in \mathbb{Z})$ (see Kadokami [7], Nicolaescu [13] or Turaev [18; 19] for details on Reidemeister torsion).

In [6], we introduced the norm and the order of polynomials and homology lens spaces: Let $f(t)$ be a polynomial over $\mathbb{Z}$. We define the $d$-norm of $f(t)$, denoted by $|f(t)|_{d}$, by

$$
|f(t)|_{d}=\left|N_{d}\left(f\left(\zeta_{d}\right)\right)\right|=\left|\prod_{i \in(\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z})^{\times}} f\left(\zeta_{d}^{i}\right)\right|,
$$

where $d$ is a positive integer. We note that $|f(t)|_{d}$ is a nonnegative integer. For an arbitrary homology lens space $M$, there exists a knot $K$ in a homology sphere $\Sigma$ such that $M=\Sigma(K ; p / q)(p \geq 2)$ (see Boyer and Line [1, Lemma 2.1]). We define the $d$-norm and the $d$-order of $M$, denoted by $|M|_{d}$ and $\|M\|_{d}$ respectively, by

$$
|M|_{d}=\left|\Delta_{K}(t)\right|_{d} \quad \text { and } \quad\|M\|_{d}=\prod_{d^{\prime} \mid d}|M|_{d^{\prime}}
$$

where $d$ is a divisor of $p$. As proved in [6], both $|M|_{d}$ and $\|M\|_{d}$ are invariants of a homology lens space $M$. We note that the norms and orders of a lens space are all 1 because a lens space is the result of surgery along the unknot.

We fix the following setting.

Setting (i) $L=K_{1} \cup \cdots \cup K_{N+1}$ is the $(N+1)$-component link in $S^{3}$ of Figure 1.
(ii) $M=S^{3}\left(L ; p_{1} / q_{1}, \cdots, p_{N} / q_{N}, a\right)$ is a homology lens space with $H_{1}(M) \cong$ $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ where $p \geq 2, p_{i} \geq 2(i=1, \cdots, N), \operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{i}, q_{i}\right)=1$ and $a$ is an integer.

Theorem 1.1 Let $M=S^{3}\left(L ; p_{1} / q_{1}, \cdots, p_{N} / q_{N}, a\right)$ be a Seifert fibered homology lens space as in the setting above. Let $d \geq 2$ be any divisor of $p$ satisfying $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, p_{i}\right)=$ 1 for all $i=1, \cdots, N$. Then we have the following:
(1) Let $T$ be a generator of $H_{1}(M)$ and $\psi_{d}: \mathbb{Z}\left[H_{1}(M)\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)$ a ring homomorphism such that $\psi_{d}(T)=\zeta_{d}$. Let $u$ be an integer such that $\left[m_{N+1}\right]=T^{u}$ in $H_{1}(M)$, where $m_{N+1}$ is a meridian of $K_{N+1}$. Then we have $\operatorname{gcd}(d, u)=1$ and

$$
\tau^{\psi_{d}}(M) \doteq\left(\zeta_{d}^{u}-1\right)^{N-2} \prod_{i=1}^{N}\left(\zeta_{d}^{u \bar{p}_{i}}-1\right)^{-1}
$$

where $\bar{p}_{i}$ is the inverse element of $p_{i}$ in $(\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$.
(2) $|M|_{d}=1$.
(3) $\|M\|_{d}=1$.

Theorem 1.2 Let $M=S^{3}\left(L ; p_{1} / q_{1}, \cdots, p_{N} / q_{N}, a\right)$ be a Seifert fibered homology lens space as in the setting above, and assume $N \geq 3$. Let $d \geq 2$ be a common divisor of $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$. Then we have the following:
(1) $d$ is a divisor of $p, \operatorname{gcd}\left(d, p_{i}\right)=1(i=3, \cdots, N)$, and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, q_{i}\right)=1(i=1,2)$.
(2) Let $T$ be a generator of $H_{1}(M)$ and $\psi_{d}: \mathbb{Z}\left[H_{1}(M)\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)$ a ring homomorphism such that $\psi_{d}(T)=\zeta_{d}$. Let $u_{1}$ be an integer such that $\left[m_{1}\right]=T^{u_{1}}$ in $H_{1}(M)$, where $m_{1}$ is a meridian of $K_{1}$. Then we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, u_{1}\right)=1$ and

$$
\tau^{\psi_{d}}(M) \doteq p_{3} \cdots p_{N}\left(\zeta_{d}^{u_{1} \bar{q}_{1}}-1\right)^{-1}\left(\zeta_{d}^{u_{1} \bar{q}_{2}}-1\right)^{-1}
$$

where $\bar{q}_{i}(i=1,2)$ is the inverse element of $q_{i}$ in $(\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
|M|_{d}=\left(p_{3} \cdots p_{N}\right)^{\varphi(d)}, \text { where } \varphi(\cdot) \text { is the Euler function. } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $N \leq 2$, then $M$ is a lens space, and hence $\|M\|_{p}=1$
The next theorem gives a relation between multiplicities of singular fibers and the order of a Seifert fibered homology lens space.

Theorem 1.3 Let $M=S^{3}\left(L ; p_{1} / q_{1}, \cdots, p_{N} / q_{N}, a\right)$ be a Seifert fibered homology lens space as in the setting above. We assume $N \geq 3$. Then we have the following:
(1) $\|M\|_{p}=1$ holds if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{i}, p_{j}\right)=1$ for any pair $\{i, j\}(1 \leq i<j \leq N)$. Moreover it is equivalent to $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p, p_{i}\right)=1$ for all $i=1, \cdots, N$.
(2) $\|M\|_{p} \neq 0,1$ holds if and only if there uniquely exists a pair $\{i, j\}(1 \leq i<j \leq N)$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{i}, p_{j}\right) \geq 2$.
(3) $\|M\|_{p}=0$ holds if and only if there exist at least two distinct pairs $\{i, j\}(1 \leq i<$ $j \leq N)$ and $\{k, h\}(1 \leq k<h \leq N)$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{i}, p_{j}\right) \geq 2$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{k}, p_{h}\right) \geq 2$.

As an application of the Reidemeister torsion to Seifert surgery problem, we have the following:

Theorem 1.4 Let $K$ be a knot in a homology sphere $\Sigma$ such that $\Delta_{K}(t)=t^{2}-3 t+1$. The only surgeries on $K$ that may produce a Seifert fibered space with base $S^{2}$ and with $H_{1} \neq\{0\}, \mathbb{Z}$, have coefficients $2 / q$ and $3 / q$, and produce Seifert fibered spaces with three singular fibers. Moreover if the coefficient is $2 / q$, then the set of multiplicities is $\{\alpha, \beta, 5\}$ where $\operatorname{gcd}(\alpha, \beta)=2$, and if the coefficient is $3 / q$, then the set of multiplicities is $\{\alpha, \beta, 4\}$ where $\operatorname{gcd}(\alpha, \beta)=3$.

We note that the Alexander polynomial of the figure eight knot is $t^{2}-3 t+1$. Hence we may consider Theorem 1.4 as an algebraic analogue of the fact shown by W P Thurston that all coefficients of Seifert surgery along the figure-eight knot are $\pm 1, \pm 2$ and $\pm 3$ [17, Chapter 4]. We mention that the Reidemeister torsion cannot capture the case $p=1$ because Reidemeister torsion of a homology sphere is zero.
In Section 2, we state surgery formulae for the Reidemeister torsion. In Section 3, we prove a key lemma (Lemma 3.4 (1)) derived from the cyclicity of the first homology group. In Section 4 and Section 5, we prove the theorems by using the surgery formula and lemmas in Section 3.

For references on Dehn surgery including Seifert surgery, see Brittenham and Wu [2], Culler, Gordon, Luecke and Shalen [3], Fintushel and Stern [5], Kadokami [6; 7], Kadokami and Yamada [8; 9], Miyazaki and Motegi [10], Moser [11], Orlik [14], Saveliev [16] and Thurston [17]. For Reidemeister torsion, see Kadokami [6; 7], Kadokami and Yamada [8; 9], Nicolaescu [13], de Rham [4], Sakai [15] and Turaev [18; 19].

## 2 Surgery formula for Reidemeister torsion

Lemma 2.5 below is used repeatedly in the later sections. It consists of special cases of a surgery formula for the Reidemeister torsion due to V Turaev, and follows from Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4. We do not give any proofs in this section. For details see Turaev [18; 19], and also Sakai [15] for Lemma 2.6.

Let $R$ be a commutative ring with nonzero identity element. Then we denote the classical ring of quotients by $Q(R)$. Let $X$ be a finite CW-complex. Then the maximal abelian torsion of $X$ denoted by $\tau(X)$ is an element of $Q\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[H_{1}(X)\right]\right)$, which is defined from a chain complex $\mathbf{C}_{*}$ induced by the maximal abelian covering of $X$. Let $\psi: \mathbb{Z}\left[H_{1}(X)\right] \rightarrow R$ be a ring homomorphism. Then a chain complex is induced from $\mathbf{C}_{*}$ and $\psi$. We denote it by $\mathbf{C}_{*}^{\psi}$. The Reidemeister torsion associated to $\psi, \tau^{\psi}(X)$, is defined from $\mathbf{C}_{*}^{\psi}$. It is an element of $Q(R)$ that is determined up to multiplication by an element in $\pm \psi\left(H_{1}(X)\right)$. If $\mathbf{C}_{*}^{\psi}$ is not acyclic, then we define $\tau^{\psi}(X)=0$.

Lemma 2.1 Let $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ be subcomplexes of a finite CW-complex $X$ such that $X=X_{1} \cup X_{2}$, and $Y=X_{1} \cap X_{2}$. Let $\psi: \mathbb{Z}\left[H_{1}(X)\right] \rightarrow R$ be a ring homomorphism, and let $\psi_{i}: \mathbb{Z}\left[H_{1}\left(X_{i}\right)\right] \rightarrow R(i=1,2)$ and $\psi^{\prime}: \mathbb{Z}\left[H_{1}(Y)\right] \rightarrow R$ be ring homomorphisms induced by $\psi$. Then we have

$$
\tau^{\psi}(X) \cdot \tau^{\psi^{\prime}}(Y) \doteq \tau^{\psi_{1}}\left(X_{1}\right) \cdot \tau^{\psi_{2}}\left(X_{2}\right) .
$$

Lemma 2.2 (1) Let $t$ be a generator of $H_{1}\left(S^{1} \times D^{2}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\tau\left(S^{1} \times D^{2}\right) \doteq(t-1)^{-1}
$$

(2) $\tau\left(S^{1} \times S^{1}\right) \doteq 1$.
(3) Let $L=K_{1} \cup \cdots \cup K_{n}$ be an $n$-component link in a homology sphere, $E$ the exterior of $L$, and $t_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n)$ the homology class of a meridian of $K_{i}$ in $H_{1}(E)$. Then we have

$$
\tau(E) \doteq \begin{cases}\Delta_{L}\left(t_{1}\right)\left(t_{1}-1\right)^{-1} & (n=1) \\ \Delta_{L}\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}\right) & (n \geq 2)\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 2.3 Let $X$ be a finite CW-complex, and $\psi: \mathbb{Z}\left[H_{1}(X)\right] \rightarrow R$ and $\psi^{\prime}: R \rightarrow R^{\prime}$ ring homomorphisms. If $\tau^{\psi}(X) \neq 0$ and $\psi^{\prime}\left(\tau^{\psi}(X)\right) \neq 0$, then we have

$$
\tau^{\psi^{\prime} \circ \psi}(X) \doteq \psi^{\prime}\left(\tau^{\psi}(X)\right) .
$$

Lemma 2.4 Let $H$ be a finitely generated abelian group. If $h$ is an element of $H$ with infinite order, then $h-1$ is invertible in $Q(\mathbb{Z}[H])$.

Let $L=K_{1} \cup \cdots \cup K_{n}$ be an $n$-component link in a homology sphere $\Sigma$. Let $p_{i}$ and $q_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n)$ be integers satisfying $p_{i} \geq 0, q_{i} \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{i}, q_{i}\right)=1$ for each $i$. Then $\Sigma\left(L ; p_{1} / q_{1}, \cdots, p_{n} / q_{n}\right)$ is defined as follows:
(1) Let $N(L)$ be a regular neighborhood of $L$ in $\Sigma$. Note that $N(L)$ is a disjoint union of $N\left(K_{i}\right)$ 's ; $N(L)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} N\left(K_{i}\right)$, where $N\left(K_{i}\right)$ is a regular neighborhood of $K_{i}$. Let $E_{L}$ denote the exterior of $L: E_{L}=\overline{\Sigma \backslash N(L)}$. Note that $\partial E_{L}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \partial N\left(K_{i}\right)$.
(2) Let $m_{i}$ and $l_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n)$ be a meridian and a longitude of $K_{i}$, which lie on $\partial N\left(K_{i}\right) \subset \partial E_{L}$. We take a simple closed curve $m_{i}^{\prime}$ on $\partial N\left(K_{i}\right)(i=1, \cdots, n)$ such that $\left[m_{i}^{\prime}\right]=\left[m_{i}\right]^{p_{i}}\left[l_{i}\right]^{q_{i}}$ in $H_{1}\left(\partial N\left(K_{i}\right)\right)$. Let $r_{i}$ and $s_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n)$ be integers satisfying $p_{i} s_{i}-q_{i} r_{i}=1$. We take a simple closed curve $l_{i}^{\prime}$ on $\partial N\left(K_{i}\right)(i=1, \cdots, n)$ such that $\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]=\left[m_{i}\right]^{r_{i}}\left[l_{i}\right]^{s_{i}}$ in $H_{1}\left(\partial N\left(K_{i}\right)\right)$.
(3) Let $V_{1}, \cdots, V_{n}$ be $n$-copies of $S^{1} \times D^{2}$. Then $\Sigma\left(L ; p_{1} / q_{1}, \cdots, p_{n} / q_{n}\right)$ is defined as the 3 -manifold obtained from $E_{L}$ and $\left\{V_{1}, \cdots, V_{n}\right\}$ by identifying $\partial N\left(K_{i}\right)$ and $\partial V_{i}$ by a homeomorphism such that $m_{i}^{\prime}$ is identified with a meridian of $V_{i}$ for each $i$. Note that $l_{i}^{\prime}$ is identified with a longitude of $V_{i}$. We sometimes express

$$
\Sigma\left(L ; p_{1} / q_{1}, \cdots, p_{n} / q_{n}\right)=E_{L} \cup V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{n}
$$

schematically.
(4) Let $M=\Sigma\left(L ; p_{1} / q_{1}, \cdots, p_{n} / q_{n}\right)$ as above. We define $M_{k}(k=1, \cdots, n)$ by

$$
M_{k}=\overline{M \backslash\left(V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{k}\right)}=E_{L} \cup V_{k+1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{n}
$$

Note that $M \supset M_{1} \supset \cdots \supset M_{n}=E_{L}$.
We assume that $M$ is a homology lens space with $H_{1}(M) \cong \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}(p \geq 2)$. Let $T$ be a generator of $H_{1}(M), d \geq 2$ a divisor of $p$ and $\psi_{d}: \mathbb{Z}\left[H_{1}(M)\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)$ a ring homomorphism such that $\psi_{d}(T)=\zeta_{d}$. We then define $\psi_{k, d}: \mathbb{Z}\left[H_{1}\left(M_{k}\right)\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)$ by $\psi_{k, d}=\psi_{d} \circ \iota_{k}$, where $\iota_{k}: \mathbb{Z}\left[H_{1}\left(M_{k}\right)\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left[H_{1}(M)\right]$ is a ring homomorphism induced from the natural inclusion $M_{k} \hookrightarrow M$. Then we have the following surgery formula for the Reidemeister torsion.

Lemma 2.5 (1) If $n \geq 2$ and $\psi_{d}\left(\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]\right) \neq 1(i=1, \cdots, n)$, then we have

$$
\tau^{\psi_{d}}(M) \doteq \Delta_{L}\left(\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{n}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\psi_{d}\left(\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]\right)-1\right)^{-1}
$$

where $\xi_{i}=\psi_{d}\left(\left[m_{i}\right]\right)$.
(2) If $n \geq 2$, and $\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right](i=k+1, \cdots, n)$ has infinite order in $H_{1}\left(M_{k}\right)$, then we have

$$
\tau\left(M_{k}\right) \doteq \Delta_{L}\left(\left[m_{1}\right], \cdots,\left[m_{n}\right]\right) \prod_{i=k+1}^{n}\left(\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]-1\right)^{-1} \quad \text { in } Q\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[H_{1}\left(M_{k}\right)\right]\right)
$$

(3) If $n \geq 1, \tau\left(M_{k}\right) \neq 0$ and $\psi_{d}\left(\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]\right) \neq 1(i=1, \cdots, k)$, then we have

$$
\tau^{\psi_{d}}(M) \doteq \psi_{k, d}\left(\tau\left(M_{k}\right)\right) \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\psi_{d}\left(\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]\right)-1\right)^{-1}
$$

The following is an explicit form of Lemma 2.5 (3) in the case $n=k=1$.
Lemma 2.6 Let $K$ be a knot in a homology sphere $\Sigma$ and $M=\Sigma(K ; p / q)$ ( $p \geq 2$ ). Let $T$ be a generator of $H_{1}(M)$ that corresponds to a meridian of $K$, and $\psi_{d}: \mathbb{Z}\left[H_{1}(M)\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)$ a ring homomorphism such that $\psi_{d}(T)=\zeta_{d}$. Then we have

$$
\tau^{\psi_{d}}(M) \doteq \Delta_{K}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)\left(\zeta_{d}-1\right)^{-1}\left(\zeta_{d}^{\bar{q}}-1\right)^{-1}
$$

where $\bar{q}$ is the inverse element of $q$ in $(\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$.

## 3 Conditions from the first homology group

We consider constraints on the multiplicities of singular fibers that come from the assumption that the first homology group is finite cyclic. Let $M$ be a Seifert fibered homology lens space as in the setting in Section 1:

$$
M=S^{3}\left(L ; p_{1} / q_{1}, \cdots, p_{N} / q_{N}, a\right)=E_{L} \cup V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{N} \cup V_{N+1}
$$

We take $m_{i}$ and $l_{i}(i=1, \cdots, N+1)$ on $\partial E_{L}$ as in Section 2. We also take $m_{i}^{\prime}$ and $l_{i}^{\prime}$ on $\partial E_{L}$ as in Section 2 except $m_{N+1}^{\prime}$ and $l_{N+1}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\left[m_{N+1}^{\prime}\right]=\left[m_{N+1}\right]^{a}\left[l_{N+1}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad\left[l_{N+1}^{\prime}\right]=\left[m_{N+1}\right] .
$$

Then we have the following:
Lemma 3.1 The first homology group $H_{1}(M)$ is generated by $\left[m_{i}\right],\left[l_{i}\right],\left[m_{i}^{\prime}\right]$ and $\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right](i=1, \cdots, N+1)$ subject to the following relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[m_{i}^{\prime}\right] } & =\left[m_{i}\right]^{p_{i}}\left[l_{i}\right]^{q_{i}}=1(i=1, \cdots, N), & {\left[m_{N+1}^{\prime}\right] } & =\left[m_{N+1}\right]^{a}\left[l_{N+1}\right]=1, \\
{\left[l_{i}\right] } & =\left[m_{N+1}\right](i=1, \cdots, N), & {\left[m_{1}\right] \cdots\left[m_{N}\right] } & =\left[l_{N+1}\right], \\
{\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right] } & =\left[m_{i}\right]^{r_{i}}\left[l_{i}\right]^{s_{i}}(i=1, \cdots, N), & {\left[l_{N+1}^{\prime}\right] } & =\left[m_{N+1}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The presentation matrix $A$ of $H_{1}(M)$ with respect to $\left[m_{1}\right], \cdots,\left[m_{N+1}\right]$ is

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
p_{1} & & 0 & q_{1} \\
& \ddots & & \vdots \\
0 & & p_{N} & q_{N} \\
1 & \cdots & 1 & a
\end{array}\right)
$$

Here the operation is additive. We recall $H_{1}(M) \cong \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$.
Lemma 3.2 Let $\tau=p_{1} \cdots p_{N}$ and $\tau_{i}=\tau / p_{i}(i=1, \cdots, N)$. Then

$$
p=\left|\tau a-\sum_{i=1}^{N} q_{i} \tau_{i}\right|
$$

In particular, if $d$ divides $p_{i}$ and $p_{j}(i \neq j)$, then $d$ divides $p$.
Proof It is well known that $|\operatorname{det} A|$ is the order of $H_{1}(M)$ and

$$
\operatorname{det} A=\tau a-\sum_{i=1}^{N} q_{i} \tau_{i}
$$

(cf Saviliev [16]). Since the order of $H_{1}(M)$ is $p$, we have the result.

Lemma 3.3 Let $A_{i, j}$ be the cofactor of $(i, j)$-entry of $A$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{i, N+1} & = \pm \tau_{i} & & (i=1, \cdots, N) \\
A_{i, i} & =\tau_{i} a-\sum_{j \neq i} q_{j} \tau_{i j} & & (i=1, \cdots, N) \\
A_{i, j} & = \pm q_{j} \tau_{i j} & & (i \neq j), \\
A_{N+1, i} & = \pm q_{i} \tau_{i} & & (i=1, \cdots, N) \\
A_{N+1, N+1} & =\tau & &
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tau_{i j}=\tau / p_{i} p_{j}(i \neq j)$.
The following is a key to prove results stated in Section 1.
Lemma 3.4 (1) Let $d \geq 2$ be a common divisor of $p_{i}$ and $p_{j}(i \neq j)$. Then we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, p_{k}\right)=1$ for $k \neq i, j$.
(2) Let $\ell$ be a prime divisor of $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p, p_{i}\right)$. Then there exists $j \neq i$ such that $\ell$ is a divisor of $p_{j}$.
(3) If $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{i}, p_{j}\right)=1$ for every pair $\{i, j\}$, then we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p, p_{i}\right)=1$ for every $i$.

Proof (1) Let $\delta$ be the greatest common divisor of all $N$-minors of $A$. Since $H_{1}(M)$ is cyclic, we have $\delta=1$ by the elementary divisor theory. Suppose that there exists $k \neq i, j$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, p_{k}\right) \geq 2$. Then each $A_{i, j}$ is divisible by $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, p_{k}\right)$ by Lemma 3.3, and hence $\delta$ is divisible by $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, p_{k}\right)$. This contradicts $\delta=1$.
(2) By Lemma 3.2 and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{i}, q_{i}\right)=1, \ell$ divides $\tau_{i}$. Hence there exists $j \neq i$ such that $\ell$ divides $p_{j}$.
(3) Suppose there exists $i$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p, p_{i}\right) \geq 2$. We take a prime divisor $\ell$ of $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p, p_{i}\right)$. Then there exists $j \neq i$ such that $\ell$ divides $p_{j}$ by (2). This contradicts $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{i}, p_{j}\right)=1$.

Remark 3.5 We can show the following, although not needed here.
(1) $H_{1}(M)$ is cyclic if and only if $\delta=1$.
(2) $\delta$ coincides with the greatest common divisor of $\tau_{i j}$ 's.

## 4 Proofs of Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3

We need the following well known fact (cf Nicolaescu [13]).
Lemma 4.1 Let $L$ be the link in Figure 1. Then we have

$$
\Delta_{L}\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{N}, t_{N+1}\right)=\left(t_{N+1}-1\right)^{N-1} .
$$

Recall that

$$
M=S^{3}\left(L ; p_{1} / q_{1}, \cdots, p_{N} / q_{N}, a\right)=E_{L} \cup V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{N+1}
$$

When a generator $T$ of $H_{1}(M)$ and a ring homomorphism $\psi_{d}: \mathbb{Z}\left[H_{1}(M)\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)$ such that $\psi_{d}(T)=\zeta_{d}$ are given, we set $\xi_{i}=\psi_{d}\left(\left[m_{i}\right]\right)(i=1, \cdots, N+1)$. Then $\xi_{i}$ is a $d$-th root of unity and $\left\{\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{N+1}\right\}$ generates $\psi_{d}\left(H_{1}(M)\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z}$. The next lemma follows from Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 4.2 The following relations hold:
(1) $\xi_{i}^{p_{i}} \xi_{N+1}^{q_{i}}=1(i=1, \cdots, N)$,
(2) $\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i}\right) \xi_{N+1}^{a}=1$,
(3) $\psi_{d}\left(\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]\right)=\xi_{i}^{r_{i}} \xi_{N+1}^{s_{i}}(i=1, \cdots, N) \quad$ and $\quad \psi_{d}\left(\left[l_{N+1}^{\prime}\right]\right)=\xi_{N+1}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (1) Let $d \geq 2$ be as in the statement of Theorem 1.1. By Lemma $4.2(1)$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, p_{i}\right)=1(i=1, \cdots, N)$, we have $\xi_{i}=\xi_{N+1}^{-q_{i} \bar{p}_{i}}$, where $\bar{p}_{i}$ is the inverse element of $p_{i}$ in $(\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$. Hence $\xi_{N+1}$ generates $\psi_{d}\left(H_{1}(M)\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z}$. Since a generator of $\psi_{d}\left(H_{1}(M)\right)$ is a primitive $d$-th root of unity, $\xi_{N+1}=\zeta_{d}^{u}$ is also a primitive $d$-th root of unity. Therefore we have $\operatorname{gcd}(d, u)=1$.
By Lemma 4.2 (3), $\xi_{i}=\xi_{N+1}^{-q_{i} \bar{p}_{i}}$ and $p_{i} s_{i}-q_{i} r_{i}=1$, we have

$$
\psi_{d}\left(\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]\right)=\xi_{i}^{r_{i}} \xi_{N+1}^{s_{i}}=\xi_{N+1}^{-q_{i} r_{i} \bar{p}_{i}+s_{i}}=\xi_{N+1}^{\bar{p}_{i}\left(p_{i} s_{i}-q_{i} r_{i}\right)}=\xi_{N+1}^{\bar{p}_{i}}(i=1, \cdots, N)
$$

and $\psi_{d}\left(\left[l_{N+1}^{\prime}\right]\right)=\xi_{N+1}$. Hence $\psi_{d}\left(\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]\right)(i=1, \cdots, N+1)$ is a primitive $d$-th root of unity.

By Lemma 2.5 (1) and Lemma 4.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau^{\psi_{d}}(M) & \doteq \Delta_{L}\left(\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{N+1}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{N+1}\left(\psi_{d}\left(\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]\right)-1\right)^{-1} \\
& =\left(\xi_{N+1}-1\right)^{N-1}\left(\xi_{N+1}-1\right)^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{N}\left(\xi_{N+1}^{\bar{p}_{i}}-1\right)^{-1} \\
& =\left(\zeta_{d}^{u}-1\right)^{N-2} \prod_{i=1}^{N}\left(\zeta_{d}^{u \bar{p}_{i}}-1\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) Let $M$ be the result of $p / q$-surgery along a knot $K$ in a homology sphere $\Sigma$ : $M=\Sigma(K ; p / q)$. We take the homology class of a meridian for $K$ as $T$. By (1) and Lemma 2.6, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{K}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)\left(\zeta_{d}-1\right)^{-1}\left(\zeta_{d}^{\bar{q}}-1\right)^{-1} \doteq\left(\zeta_{d}^{u}-1\right)^{N-2} \prod_{i=1}^{N}\left(\zeta_{d}^{u \bar{p}_{i}}-1\right)^{-1} \tag{4-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By noting $\operatorname{gcd}(d, q)=\operatorname{gcd}(d, u)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, p_{i}\right)=1$, we have

$$
\left|N_{d}\left(\zeta_{d}-1\right)\right|=\left|N_{d}\left(\zeta_{d}^{\bar{q}}-1\right)\right|=\left|N_{d}\left(\zeta_{d}^{u}-1\right)\right|=\left|N_{d}\left(\zeta_{d}^{u \bar{p}_{i}}-1\right)\right| \neq 0
$$

Therefore by taking the norms of both sides of (4-1), we have $\left|N_{d}\left(\Delta_{K}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)\right)\right|=1$ and hence $|M|_{d}=1$.
(3) If $d^{\prime}$ divides $d$, then we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d^{\prime}, p_{i}\right)=1$ for all $i$. Hence $|M|_{d^{\prime}}=1$ by (2). Thus we have

$$
\|M\|_{d}=\prod_{d^{\prime} \mid d}|M|_{d^{\prime}}=1
$$

Lemma 4.3 For $1 \leq j<i \leq N$, let $M_{j}=E_{L} \cup V_{j+1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{N+1}$ be a 3-manifold as in Section 2. Then we have

$$
\left(\left[m_{N+1}\right]-1\right)\left(\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]-1\right)^{-1}=\sum_{k=0}^{p_{i}-1}\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]^{k} \text { in } Q\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[H_{1}\left(M_{j}\right)\right]\right) .
$$

Proof We prove the lemma in steps.
Step $1\left[m_{N+1}\right]$ in $H_{1}\left(M_{j}\right)$ has infinite order.
Proof In this step, we write operation additively, and consider $H_{1}\left(M_{j} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$. It is sufficient to prove $\left[m_{N+1}\right] \neq 0$ in $H_{1}\left(M_{j} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$.
Since $M$ is a rational homology sphere and $M_{j}$ is the exterior of a $j$-component link in $M$ with $\left\{m_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, m_{j}^{\prime}\right\}$ as meridians, we have $\operatorname{dim} H_{1}\left(M_{j} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)=j$ and that $\left\{\left[m_{1}^{\prime}\right], \cdots,\left[m_{j}^{\prime}\right]\right\}$ is a basis.
Assume that $\left[m_{N+1}\right]=0$ in $H_{1}\left(M_{j} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$. Then by the relations $\left[l_{i}\right]=\left[m_{N+1}\right](i=$ $1, \cdots, N)$ etc, we have $\left[m_{1}^{\prime}\right]=p_{1}\left[m_{1}\right], \cdots,\left[m_{j}^{\prime}\right]=p_{j}\left[m_{j}\right]$ and $\left[m_{1}\right]+\cdots+\left[m_{j}\right]=0$. This contradicts the fact that $\left\{\left[m_{1}^{\prime}\right], \cdots,\left[m_{j}^{\prime}\right]\right\}$ is a basis.

Step $2\left[m_{N+1}\right]=\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]^{p_{i}}(i>j)$ in $H_{1}\left(M_{j}\right)$.
Proof By the relations

$$
\left[m_{i}\right]^{p_{i}}\left[m_{N+1}\right]^{q_{i}}=1,\left[m_{i}\right]^{r_{i}}\left[m_{N+1}\right]^{s_{i}}=\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad p_{i} s_{i}-q_{i} r_{i}=1
$$

that hold in $H_{1}\left(M_{j}\right)$ for $i \geq j+1$, we have

$$
\left[m_{N+1}\right]=\left[m_{N+1}\right]^{p_{i} s_{i}-q_{i} r_{i}}=\left[m_{N+1}\right]^{p_{i} s_{i}}\left[m_{i}\right]^{p_{i} r_{i}}=\left(\left[m_{i}\right]^{r_{i}}\left[m_{N+1}\right]^{s_{i}}\right)^{p_{i}}=\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]^{p_{i}} .
$$

Step $3\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right](i>j)$ in $H_{1}\left(M_{j}\right)$ has infinite order.
Proof If there exists an index $i(j+1 \leq i \leq N)$ such that $\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]$ has finite order, then [ $m_{N+1}$ ] has finite order by Step 2. This contradicts Step 1.

By Step 3 and Lemma 2.4, $\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]-1$ is invertible in $Q\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[H_{1}\left(M_{j}\right)\right]\right)$ for $i>j$. Hence we have the result by Step 2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 (1) By Lemma 3.2, $d$ divides $p$. By Lemma 3.4 (1), $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, p_{i}\right)=1(i=3, \cdots, N)$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{1}, q_{1}\right)=1$ and $d$ divides $p_{1}$, we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, q_{1}\right)=1$. Similarly we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, q_{2}\right)=1$.
(2) We prove this part in steps.

Step $1 \xi_{2}=\xi_{1}^{-1}$ and $\xi_{i}=1(i=3, \cdots, N+1)$.

Proof Since $\xi_{1}^{d}=1$ and $d$ divides $p_{1}$, we have $\xi_{1}^{p_{1}}=1$. Since $\xi_{1}^{p_{1}}=1, \operatorname{gcd}\left(d, q_{1}\right)=$ 1 and $\xi_{1}^{p_{1}} \xi_{N+1}^{q_{1}}=1$ in Lemma 4.2 (1), we have $\xi_{N+1}=1$. Since $\xi_{N+1}=1$, $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, p_{i}\right)=1(i=3, \cdots, N)$ and $\xi_{i}^{p_{i}} \xi_{N+1}^{q_{i}}=1$, we have $\xi_{i}=1(i=3, \cdots, N)$, and hence $\xi_{1} \xi_{2}=1$ by Lemma 4.2 (2).

Step $2 \xi_{1}=\zeta_{d}^{u_{1}}, \xi_{2}=\zeta_{d}^{-u_{1}}$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, u_{1}\right)=1$.
Proof We have $\xi_{1}=\psi_{d}\left(\left[m_{1}\right]\right)=\psi_{d}\left(T^{u_{1}}\right)=\zeta_{d}^{u_{1}}$. By Step 1, $\xi_{2}=\xi_{1}^{-1}=\zeta_{d}^{-u_{1}}$, and $\xi_{1}=\zeta_{d}^{u_{1}}$ generates $\psi_{d}\left(H_{1}(M)\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z}$. Hence we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, u_{1}\right)=1$.

We set $M_{2}=E_{L} \cup V_{3} \cup \cdots \cup V_{N+1}$ as in Section 2 .
Step $3 \quad \tau\left(M_{2}\right) \doteq \prod_{i=3}^{N}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{p_{i}-1}\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]^{k}\right)$ in $Q\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[H_{1}\left(M_{2}\right)\right]\right)$.
Proof By Lemma 2.5 (2), Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.3, we have

$$
\tau\left(M_{2}\right) \doteq\left(\left[m_{N+1}\right]-1\right)^{N-2} \prod_{i=3}^{N}\left(\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]-1\right)^{-1}=\prod_{i=3}^{N}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{p_{i}-1}\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]^{k}\right)
$$

By Lemma 4.2 (3), Step 1 and Step 2, we have $\psi_{d}\left(\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]\right)=1(i \geq 3), \psi_{d}\left(\left[l_{1}^{\prime}\right]\right)=\zeta_{d}^{-u_{1} \bar{q}_{1}}$ and $\psi_{d}\left(\left[l_{2}^{\prime}\right]\right)=\zeta_{d}^{u_{1} \bar{q}_{2}}$. Hence we have the result by Lemma 2.5 (3) and Step 3.
(3) Let $M$ be the result of $p / q$-surgery along a knot $K$ in a homology sphere $\Sigma$. We take the homology class of a meridian for $K$ in $H_{1}(M)$ as $T$. By (2) and Lemma 2.6, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{K}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)\left(\zeta_{d}-1\right)^{-1}\left(\zeta_{d}^{\bar{q}}-1\right)^{-1} \doteq p_{3} \cdots p_{N}\left(\zeta_{d}^{u_{1} \bar{q}_{1}}-1\right)^{-1}\left(\zeta_{d}^{u_{1} \bar{q}_{2}}-1\right)^{-1} \tag{4-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By noting $\operatorname{gcd}(d, q)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, u_{1}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, q_{1}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, q_{2}\right)=1$, we have

$$
\left|N_{d}\left(\zeta_{d}-1\right)\right|=\left|N_{d}\left(\zeta_{d}^{\bar{q}}-1\right)\right|=\left|N_{d}\left(\zeta_{d}^{u_{1} \bar{q}_{1}}-1\right)\right|=\left|N_{d}\left(\zeta_{d}^{u_{1} \bar{q}_{2}}-1\right)\right| \neq 0
$$

By taking the norms of both sides of (4-2), we obtain $|M|_{d}=\left|N_{d}\left(\Delta_{K}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)\right)\right|=$ $\left(p_{3} \cdots p_{N}\right)^{\varphi(d)}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 It is sufficient to prove (1) and (3).
(1) Suppose $\|M\|_{p}=1$. By Theorem 1.2 (2), we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{i}, p_{j}\right)=1$ for every pair $\{i, j\}$.

Suppose $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{i}, p_{j}\right)=1$ for every pair $\{i, j\}$. By Lemma 3.4 (3), we have that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p, p_{i}\right)=1$ for every $i$.

Suppose $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p, p_{i}\right)=1$ for every $i=1, \cdots, N$. By Theorem 1.1 (3), we have $\|M\|_{p}=1$.
(3) Let $M$ be the result of $p / q$-surgery along a knot $K$ in a homology sphere $\Sigma$. We first prove "if" part. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\{i, j\}=\{1,2\}$, and $\{k, h\}=\{1,3\}$ or $\{3,4\}$. Hence it is sufficient to prove Step 1 and Step 2 below.
Step 1 If $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right) \geq 2$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{1}, p_{3}\right) \geq 2$, then there exists a divisor $d \geq 2$ of $p$ such that $\Delta_{K}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)=0$.
Proof Take a divisor $d_{2} \geq 2$ of $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$ and a divisor $d_{3} \geq 2$ of $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{1}, p_{3}\right)$, and set $d=d_{2} d_{3}$. By Lemma 3.4 (1), we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{2}, d_{3}\right)=1$, and hence $d$ is a divisor of $p_{1}$. By Lemma 3.2, both $d_{2}$ and $d_{3}$ are divisors of $p$, and hence $d$ is a divisor of $p$ because $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{2}, d_{3}\right)=1$.
Let $\psi_{d}: \mathbb{Z}\left[H_{1}(M)\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)$ be a ring homomorphism such that $\psi_{d}(T)=\zeta_{d}$, where $T$ is a generator of $H_{1}(M)$ that corresponds to a meridian of $K$. We set $\psi_{d}\left(\left[m_{i}\right]\right)=$ $\xi_{i}(i=1, \cdots, N+1)$, where $m_{i}$ is a meridian of $K_{i}$. Since $d$ is a divisor of $p_{1}$, $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, q_{1}\right)=1$ and $\xi_{1}^{p_{1}} \xi_{N+1}^{q_{1}}=1$ in Lemma 4.2 (1), we have $\xi_{N+1}=1$. Hence $\xi_{i}^{p_{i}}=1$ for all $i$ by Lemma 4.2 (1). By Lemma 3.4 (1), $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{2}, p_{i}\right)=1$ for $i \neq 1,2$, and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{3}, p_{i}\right)=1$ for $i \neq 1,3$. Hence $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, p_{i}\right)=1$ for $i \geq 4$. Since $\xi_{i}^{p_{i}}=1$, we have $\xi_{i}=1$ for $i \geq 4$, and $\xi_{1} \xi_{2} \xi_{3}=1$ by Lemma 4.2 (2). Therefore $\psi_{d}\left(H_{1}(M)\right)$ is generated by $\xi_{2}$ and $\xi_{3}$. We note that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{2}, p_{3}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{3}, p_{2}\right)=1$ are used in the next paragraph.
Since $\xi_{2}^{p_{2}}=1$, we have $\left(\xi_{2}^{d_{2}}\right)^{p_{2}}=1$. On the other hand $\left(\xi_{2}^{d_{2}}\right)^{d_{3}}=\xi_{2}^{d}=1$. Hence $\xi_{2}^{d_{2}}=1$ since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{3}, p_{2}\right)=1$. Similarly $\xi_{3}^{d_{3}}=1$ since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{2}, p_{3}\right)=1$. If one of $\xi_{2}$ and $\xi_{3}$ is not "primitive", then $\xi_{2}$ and $\xi_{3}$ do not generate $\psi_{d}\left(H_{1}(M)\right)$. Hence $\xi_{2}$ and $\xi_{3}$ are primitive $d_{2}$-th and $d_{3}$-th root of unities respectively, and hence $\xi_{1}$ is a primitive $d$-th root of unity since $\xi_{1} \xi_{2} \xi_{3}=1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{2}, d_{3}\right)=1$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{1}, q_{1}\right)=$ $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{2}, q_{2}\right)=1$ and $d_{2}$ divides $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$, we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{2}, q_{1}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{2}, q_{2}\right)=1$. Similarly we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{3}, q_{1}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{3}, q_{3}\right)=1$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{2}, q_{1}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{3}, q_{1}\right)=1$, we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, q_{1}\right)=1$. Hence we have

$$
\psi_{d}\left(\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]\right)=\xi_{i}^{-\bar{q}_{i}} \neq 1 \quad(i=1,2,3)
$$

where $\bar{q}_{1}, \bar{q}_{2}$ and $\bar{q}_{3}$ are integers satisfying $q_{1} \bar{q}_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod d), q_{2} \bar{q}_{2} \equiv 1\left(\bmod d_{2}\right)$ and $q_{3} \bar{q}_{3} \equiv 1\left(\bmod d_{3}\right)$ respectively.
We set $M_{3}=E_{L} \cup V_{4} \cup \cdots \cup V_{N+1}$ and $\psi_{3, d}: \mathbb{Z}\left[H_{1}\left(M_{3}\right)\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)$ as in Section 2. By Lemma 4.3, we have

$$
\tau\left(M_{3}\right) \doteq\left(\left[m_{N+1}\right]-1\right)^{N-2} \prod_{i=4}^{N}\left(\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]-1\right)^{-1}=\left(\left[m_{N+1}\right]-1\right) \prod_{i=4}^{N}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{p_{i}-1}\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]^{k}\right),
$$

and hence $\tau^{\psi_{3, d}}\left(M_{3}\right)=0$. By Lemma 2.5 (3), we have

$$
\tau^{\psi_{d}}(M) \doteq \tau^{\psi_{3, d}}\left(M_{3}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{3}\left(\xi_{i}^{\bar{q}_{i}}-1\right)^{-1}=0
$$

and hence $\Delta_{K}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)=0$ by Lemma 2.6.

Step 2 If $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right) \geq 2$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{3}, p_{4}\right) \geq 2(N \geq 4)$, then there exists a divisor $d \geq 2$ of $p$ such that $\Delta_{K}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)=0$.

Proof Take a divisor $d_{1} \geq 2$ of $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$ and a divisor $d_{3} \geq 2$ of $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{3}, p_{4}\right)$, and set $d=d_{1} d_{3}$. By Lemma 3.4 (1), we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{1}, d_{3}\right)=1$. By Lemma 3.2, both $d_{1}$ and $d_{3}$ are divisors of $p$. Hence $d$ is a divisor of $p$ since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{1}, d_{3}\right)=1$.

Let $\psi_{d}: \mathbb{Z}\left[H_{1}(M)\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)$ be a ring homomorphism such that $\psi_{d}(T)=\zeta_{d}$, where $T$ is a generator of $H_{1}(M)$ that corresponds to a meridian of $K$. We set $\psi_{d}\left(\left[m_{i}\right]\right)=$ $\xi_{i}(i=1, \cdots, N+1)$, where $m_{i}$ is a meridian of $K_{i}$. Since $d_{1}$ divides $p_{1}$, we have $\left(\xi_{1}^{p_{1}}\right)^{d_{3}}=1$ and also $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{1}, q_{1}\right)=1$ since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{1}, q_{1}\right)=1$. Hence $\left(\xi_{N+1}^{d_{3}}\right)^{q_{1}}=$ 1 since $\xi_{1}^{p_{1}} \xi_{N+1}^{q_{1}}=1$. On the other hand $\left(\xi_{N+1}^{d_{3}}\right)^{d_{1}}=1$. Thus $\xi_{N+1}^{d_{3}}=1$ since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{1}, q_{1}\right)=1$. Similarly we have $\xi_{N+1}^{d_{1}}=1$. Hence we have $\xi_{N+1}=1$ since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{1}, d_{3}\right)=1$, and we have $\xi_{i}^{p_{i}}=1$ for all $i$ by Lemma 4.2 (1). By Lemma 3.4 (1), $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{1}, p_{i}\right)=1$ for $i \neq 1,2$, and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{3}, p_{i}\right)=1$ for $i \neq 3,4$. Hence $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, p_{i}\right)=1$ for $i \geq 5$. Therefore we have $\xi_{i}=1$ for $i \geq 5$, and $\xi_{1} \xi_{2} \xi_{3} \xi_{4}=1$. We note that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{1}, p_{3}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{1}, p_{4}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{3}, p_{1}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{3}, p_{2}\right)=1$ are used in the next paragraph.

Since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{3}, p_{1}\right)=1$ and $d_{1}$ divides $p_{1}$, we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, p_{1}\right)=d_{1}$. Hence $\xi_{1}^{d}=1$ and $\xi_{1}^{p_{1}}=1$ imply $\xi_{1}^{d_{1}}=1$. Similarly we have $\xi_{2}^{d_{1}}=1, \xi_{3}^{d_{3}}=1$ and $\xi_{4}^{d_{3}}=1$. Thus $\xi_{1} \xi_{2}$ and $\xi_{3} \xi_{4}$ are $d_{1}$-th and $d_{3}$-th root of unities respectively. Hence we have $\xi_{1} \xi_{2}=\xi_{3} \xi_{4}=1$ since $\xi_{1} \xi_{2} \xi_{3} \xi_{4}=1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{1}, d_{3}\right)=1$. Hence $\psi_{d}\left(H_{1}(M)\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z}$ is generated by $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{3}$. For the similar reason as Step 1 , both $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{3}$ are "primitive". Thus $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ (resp. $\xi_{3}$ and $\xi_{4}$ ) are primitive $d_{1}$-th (resp. $d_{3}-$ th) root of unities. Since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{1}, q_{1}\right)=1$ and $d_{1}$ divides $p_{1}$, we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{1}, q_{1}\right)=1$. Similarly we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{1}, q_{2}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{3}, q_{3}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{3}, q_{4}\right)=1$. Hence we have

$$
\psi_{d}\left(\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]\right)=\xi_{i}^{-\bar{q}_{i}} \neq 1 \quad(i=1,2,3,4)
$$

where $\bar{q}_{1}, \bar{q}_{2}, \bar{q}_{3}$ and $\bar{q}_{4}$ are integers satisfying $q_{1} \bar{q}_{1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod d_{1}\right), q_{2} \bar{q}_{2} \equiv 1\left(\bmod d_{1}\right)$, $q_{3} \bar{q}_{3} \equiv 1\left(\bmod d_{3}\right)$ and $q_{4} \bar{q}_{4} \equiv 1\left(\bmod d_{3}\right)$ respectively.

We set $M_{4}=E_{L} \cup V_{5} \cup \cdots \cup V_{N+1}$ and $\psi_{4, d}: \mathbb{Z}\left[H_{1}\left(M_{4}\right)\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)$ as in Section 2 . By Lemma 4.3, we have

$$
\tau\left(M_{4}\right) \doteq\left(\left[m_{N+1}\right]-1\right)^{N-2} \prod_{i=5}^{N}\left(\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]-1\right)^{-1}=\left(\left[m_{N+1}\right]-1\right)^{2} \prod_{i=5}^{N}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{p_{i}-1}\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]^{k}\right)
$$

and hence $\tau^{\psi_{4, d}}\left(M_{4}\right)=0$. By Lemma 2.5 (3), we have

$$
\tau^{\psi_{d}}(M) \doteq \tau^{\psi_{4, d}}\left(M_{4}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{4}\left(\xi_{i}^{\bar{q}_{i}}-1\right)^{-1}=0
$$

and hence $\Delta_{K}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)=0$ by Lemma 2.6.

We next prove "only if" part of Theorem 1.3 (3). Suppose $\|M\|_{p}=0$. Then there exists a divisor $d \geq 2$ of $p$ such that $\Delta_{K}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)=0$, and there exists a pair $\{i, j\}$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{i}, p_{j}\right) \geq 2$ by (1). We may set $\{i, j\}=\{1,2\}$ without loss of generality. Let $\psi_{d}: \mathbb{Z}\left[H_{1}(M)\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)$ be a ring homomorphism such that $\psi_{d}(T)=\zeta_{d}$, where $T$ is a generator of $H_{1}(M)$ that corresponds to a meridian of $K$. We set $\psi_{d}\left(\left[m_{i}\right]\right)=$ $\xi_{i}(i=1, \cdots, N+1)$, where $m_{i}$ is a meridian of $K_{i}$.

Step $3 \xi_{N+1}=1$.
Proof If $\psi_{d}\left(\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]\right)=1$ for some $i$, then we have $\xi_{N+1}=1$ by Lemma 4.2 (1) and (3), and $p_{i} s_{i}-q_{i} r_{i}=1$. Otherwise, since $\Delta_{K}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)=0$ and by Lemma 2.6, we have $\tau^{\psi_{d}}(M)=0$. Hence by Lemma 2.5 (1),

$$
\Delta_{L}\left(\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{N+1}\right)=\left(\xi_{N+1}-1\right)^{N-1}=0
$$

Thus we have $\xi_{N+1}=1$.

Step 4 If $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, p_{k}\right) \geq 2$, there is an index $h \neq k$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{k}, p_{h}\right) \geq 2$.

Proof Take a prime divisor $\ell$ of $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, p_{k}\right)$. By Lemma 3.4 (2), there is an index $h \neq k$ such that $\ell$ is a divisor of $p_{h}$. Then $\ell$ is a divisor of $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{k}, p_{h}\right)$.

Step $5 d$ is not a common divisor of $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$.
Proof If $d$ is a common divisor of $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$, then $|M|_{d} \neq 0$ by Theorem 1.2 (2). This contradicts $\Delta_{K}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)=0$.

Step 6 There are indices $k \neq h$ such that $\{k, h\} \neq\{1,2\}$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{k}, p_{h}\right) \geq 2$.

Proof By Step 3 and Lemma 4.2 (1), we have $\xi_{k}^{p_{k}}=1$ for all $k$. Suppose $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, p_{k}\right)=$ 1 for all $k \geq 3$. Then we have $\xi_{k}=1$ for all $k \geq 3$. By Lemma 4.2 (2), we have $\xi_{1} \xi_{2}=1$. Thus $\xi_{1}$ generates $\psi_{d}\left(H_{1}(M)\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z}$, and hence both $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}=\xi_{1}^{-1}$ are primitive $d$-th root of unities. Since $\xi_{1}^{p_{1}}=\xi_{2}^{p_{2}}=1, d$ is a common divisor of $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$. This contradicts Step 5. Therefore there exists an index $k \geq 3$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, p_{k}\right) \geq 2$. By Step 4 , there exists an index $h \neq k$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{k}, p_{h}\right) \geq 2$, and $\{k, h\} \neq\{1,2\}$ by $k \geq 3$.

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

## 5 Proof of Theorem 1.4

We set $f(t)=t^{2}-3 t+1$ in this section. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta(\alpha>\beta)$ be the roots of $f(t)=t^{2}-3 t+1=0$. Then we need the following lemmas on the norms of $f(t)$.

Lemma $5.1|f(t)|_{d}=\left|\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{d}(\alpha) \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{d}(\beta)\right|$, where

$$
\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{d}(x)=\prod_{i \in(\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z})^{\times}}\left(x-\zeta_{d}^{i}\right)
$$

the $d$-th cyclotomic polynomial.

Proof

$$
|f(t)|_{d}=\left|\prod_{i \in(\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z})^{\times}}\left(\zeta_{d}^{i}-\alpha\right)\left(\zeta_{d}^{i}-\beta\right)\right|=\left|\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{d}(\alpha) \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{d}(\beta)\right|
$$

Lemma 5.2 Let $\ell$ be a prime number. Then we have the following:
(1) $|f(t)|_{\ell}=\alpha^{\ell}+\beta^{\ell}-2$.
(2) $|f(t)|_{\ell}>2$.

Proof (1) Since $\ell$ is prime, $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\ell}(x)=\left(x^{\ell}-1\right) /(x-1)$. Note that $\alpha+\beta=3$ and $\alpha \beta=1$. Hence by Lemma 5.1, we have

$$
|f(t)|_{\ell}=\left|\frac{\alpha^{\ell}-1}{\alpha-1} \cdot \frac{\beta^{\ell}-1}{\beta-1}\right|=\alpha^{\ell}+\beta^{\ell}-2
$$

(2) Since $\alpha=(3+\sqrt{5}) / 2=2.618 \cdots>2$, we have $|f(t)|_{\ell}=\alpha^{\ell}+\beta^{\ell}-2>2^{2}-2=$ 2.

Proof of Theorem 1.4 Let $\ell$ be a prime divisor of $p$. By Lemma 5.2, we have $|M|_{\ell}>2$. Hence $\|M\|_{p} \neq 1$ and $N \geq 3$ because $M$ is not a lens space. Since $f(t)=t^{2}-3 t+1$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$ and is not a cyclotomic polynomial, we have $f\left(\zeta_{d}\right) \neq 0$ for every positive integer $d$, and hence we have $\|M\|_{p} \neq 0$. By Theorem 1.3 , without loss of generality we may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right) \geq 2 \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{i}, p_{j}\right)=1 \quad \text { for }\{i, j\} \neq\{1,2\} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 1 Let $\ell$ be a prime divisor of $p$. Then $\ell$ is a divisor of $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$.

Proof Suppose $\ell$ divides none of $p_{i}$ 's. Then we have $|M|_{\ell}=|f(t)|_{\ell}=1$ by Theorem 1.1 (2). This contradicts Lemma 5.2 (2). Therefore $\ell$ is a divisor of some $p_{k}$. By Lemma $3.4(2)$, there exists $h \neq k$ such that $\ell$ is a divisor of some $p_{h}$. By the assumption $(*)$, we have $\{k, h\}=\{1,2\}$, and hence $\ell$ is a divisor of $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$.

We first show that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=2$ or 3 .
Step $2 \operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$ is not divisible by 4,6 nor 9 .

Proof Suppose that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$ is divisible by 4. Then $p$ is also divisible by 4. By Theorem $1.2(2),|f(t)|_{2}=p_{3} \cdots p_{N}$ and $|f(t)|_{4}=\left(p_{3} \cdots p_{N}\right)^{2}$. By computing concretely, we have $|f(t)|_{2}=5$ and $|f(t)|_{4}=3^{2}$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$ is not divisible by 4 . Other cases are shown in a similar way.

Step 3 For any prime number $\ell \geq 5, \ell$ is not a divisor of $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$.
Proof Suppose $\ell$ is a divisor of $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$. Then $|M|_{\ell}=\left(p_{3} \cdots p_{N}\right)^{\ell-1}$ by Theorem 1.2 (2). Hence by Lemma 5.2 (2), there exists an integer $m \geq 2$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\ell}+\beta^{\ell}-2=m^{\ell-1} \tag{5-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\alpha^{x}+\alpha^{-x}-2^{x-1}-2>2^{x-1}-2, \alpha^{x}+\alpha^{-x}-2=2^{x-1}$ does not hold for $x \geq 5$. Hence we have $m \neq 2$.

By the equation (5-1), we have

$$
m^{\ell-1}<\alpha^{\ell} \quad \text { and } \quad m<\alpha^{\frac{\ell}{\ell-1}}
$$

Suppose $\ell \geq 11$. Since

$$
\alpha^{11}<(2.62)^{11}=39931.4 \cdots<3^{10}=59049
$$

we have $m<\alpha^{\frac{\ell}{\ell-1}} \leq \alpha^{\frac{11}{10}}<3$. By $m \neq 2$, this case does not occur.

Suppose $\ell=5$ or 7 . Since

$$
\alpha^{5}<(2.62)^{5}=123.454 \cdots<4^{4}=256
$$

we have $m<\alpha^{\frac{7}{6}}<\alpha^{\frac{5}{4}}<4$ and $m=3$.
If $\ell=5$, then we have $\alpha^{5}+\alpha^{-5}-2=121 \neq 3^{4}=81$.
If $\ell=7$, then $\alpha^{7}+\alpha^{-7}-2=841 \neq 3^{6}=729$, and we have Step 3 .

Step $4 \operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=2$ or 3 .

Proof By Step 2 and Step 3, we have the result.

We prove that $p=2$ or 3 .
Step $5 p$ is a power of 2 or 3 .

Proof By Step 1 and Step 4, we have the result.

Step $6 p$ is not divisible by 4 .

Proof Recall $|f(t)|_{4}=9$ (see Step 2). Suppose that $p$ is divisible by 4. Then $|f(t)|_{4}=1$ as shown below, and this is a contradiction:

By Step 1 and Step 4, we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=2$. Hence without loss of generality we may assume that $p_{1}$ is of the form $p_{1}=2 p_{1}^{\prime}$ where $p_{1}^{\prime}$ is odd. By Lemma 3.4 (1), $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{i}, 2\right)=1$ for $i \geq 3$, and hence we have the following claim:

Claim A $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{i}, 4\right)=1(3 \leq i \leq N)$.
We compute $\tau^{\psi_{4}}(M)$ by two ways. We use the same notation as in Section 4. By Lemma 2.6, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{\psi_{4}}(M) \doteq f\left(\zeta_{4}\right)\left(\zeta_{4}-1\right)^{-1}\left(\zeta_{4}^{\bar{q}}-1\right)^{-1} \tag{5-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that at least one of $\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{N+1}$ is a primitive 4-th root of unity because they generate $\psi_{4}\left(H_{1}(M)\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose $\xi_{1}$ is not a primitive 4 -th root of unity. Then we have $\xi_{1}^{p_{1}}=\xi_{1}^{2 p_{1}^{\prime}}=1$. Hence $\xi_{N+1}=1$ since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(4, q_{1}\right)=1$ and $\xi_{1}^{p_{1}} \xi_{N+1}^{q_{1}}=1$. Hence $\xi_{i}=1(3 \leq i \leq N)$ since $\xi_{i}^{p_{i}} \xi_{N+1}^{q_{i}}=1(3 \leq i \leq N), \xi_{N+1}=1$ and Claim A holds. By Lemma 4.2 (2), we have $\xi_{1} \xi_{2}=1$. Thus $\xi_{2}$ is also not a primitive 4 -th root of unity. This is a contradiction. Therefore $\xi_{1}$ is a primitive $4-$ th root of unity. For the same reason, $\xi_{2}$ is also a primitive $4-$ th root of unity.

Since $\xi_{1}^{p_{1}} \xi_{N+1}^{q_{1}}=1\left(p_{1}=2 p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{1}^{\prime}:\right.$ odd, $q_{1}:$ odd $)$, we have $\xi_{N+1}=-1$. Since $\xi_{N+1}=-1$ and Claim A holds, we have

$$
\psi_{d}\left(\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]\right)=\xi_{i}^{r_{i}} \xi_{N+1}^{s_{i}}=\xi_{N+1}^{-\bar{p}_{i}}=-1(3 \leq i \leq N)
$$

(see the proof of Theorem $1.1(1))$. Since $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ are odd, both $\psi_{d}\left(\left[l_{1}^{\prime}\right]\right)$ and $\psi_{d}\left(\left[l_{2}^{\prime}\right]\right)$ are primitive 4 -th root of unities. We set $\psi_{d}\left(\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]\right)=\zeta_{4}^{v_{i}}(i=1,2)$ where $\operatorname{gcd}\left(v_{i}, 4\right)=1$.

By Lemma 2.5 (1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau^{\psi_{4}}(M) & \doteq(-1-1)^{N-1}\left(\zeta_{4}^{v_{1}}-1\right)^{-1}\left(\zeta_{4}^{v_{2}}-1\right)^{-1}(-1-1)^{-(N-1)} \\
& \doteq\left(\zeta_{4}^{v_{1}}-1\right)^{-1}\left(\zeta_{4}^{v_{1}}-1\right)^{-1} \tag{5-3}
\end{align*}
$$

By (5-2) and (5-3), we have $|f(t)|_{4}=1$ as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 (2).
Step $7 p$ is not divisible by 9 .
Proof By an easy computation, we have $|f(t)|_{9}=19^{2}$. Suppose that $p$ is divisible by 9 . Then $|f(t)|_{9}=1$ as shown below, and this is a contradiction:
Suppose that $p$ is divisible by 9 . By Step 1 and Step 4, we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=3$. Hence without loss of generality we may assume that $p_{1}$ is of the form $p_{1}=3 p_{1}^{\prime}$ where $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{1}^{\prime}, 3\right)=1$. By Lemma $3.4(1), \operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{i}, 3\right)=1$ for $i \geq 3$, and hence we have the following claim:

Claim B $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{i}, 9\right)=1(3 \leq i \leq N)$.
We compute $\tau^{\psi_{9}}(M)$ by two ways. By Lemma 2.6, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{\psi_{9}}(M) \doteq f\left(\zeta_{9}\right)\left(\zeta_{9}-1\right)^{-1}\left(\zeta_{9}^{\bar{q}}-1\right)^{-1} \tag{5-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that at least one of $\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{N+1}$ is a primitive 9 -th root of unity because they generate $\psi_{9}\left(H_{1}(M)\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 9 \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose $\xi_{1}$ is not a primitive 9 -th root of unity. Then we have $\xi_{1}^{p_{1}}=\xi_{1}^{3 p_{1}^{\prime}}=1$. Hence $\xi_{N+1}=1$ since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(9, q_{1}\right)=1$ and $\xi_{1}^{p_{1}} \xi_{N+1}^{q_{1}}=1$. Hence $\xi_{i}=1(3 \leq i \leq N)$ since $\xi_{i}^{p_{i}} \xi_{N+1}^{q_{i}}=1(3 \leq i \leq N), \xi_{N+1}=1$ and Claim B holds. By Lemma 4.2 (2), we have $\xi_{1} \xi_{2}=1$. Thus $\xi_{2}$ is also not a primitive 9 -th root of unity. This is a contradiction. Therefore $\xi_{1}$ is a primitive 9 -th root of unity. For the same reason, $\xi_{2}$ is also a primitive 9 -th root of unity.
Since $\xi_{1}^{p_{1}} \xi_{N+1}^{q_{1}}=1$, we have that $\xi_{N+1}$ is a primitive $3-$ rd root of unity. Since $\xi_{N+1}$ is a primitive $3-\mathrm{rd}$ root of unity and Claim B holds, $\psi_{d}\left(\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]\right)=\xi_{i}^{r_{i}} \xi_{N+1}^{s_{i}}=\xi_{N+1}^{-\bar{p}_{i}}(3 \leq$ $i \leq N$ ) is a primitive 3 -rd root of unity (see the proof of Theorem 1.1 (1)). Since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{i}, 3\right)=1(i=1,2), \psi_{d}\left(\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]\right)(i=1,2)$ is a primitive $9-$ th root of unity. We set
$\psi_{d}\left(\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]\right)=\zeta_{9}^{v_{i}}(i=1,2), \psi_{d}\left(\left[l_{i}^{\prime}\right]\right)=\zeta_{3}^{w_{i}}(3 \leq i \leq N)$ and $\psi_{d}\left(\left[l_{N+1}^{\prime}\right]\right)=\zeta_{3}^{w}$ where $\operatorname{gcd}\left(v_{i}, 9\right)=1, \operatorname{gcd}\left(w_{i}, 3\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(w, 3)=1$.

By Lemma 2.5 (1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau^{\psi_{9}}(M) & \doteq\left(\zeta_{3}^{w}-1\right)^{N-1}\left(\zeta_{9}^{v_{1}}-1\right)^{-1}\left(\zeta_{9}^{v_{2}}-1\right)^{-1}\left(\zeta_{3}^{w}-1\right)^{-1} \prod_{i=3}^{N}\left(\zeta_{3}^{w_{i}}-1\right)^{-1} \\
5) & \doteq\left(\zeta_{3}^{w}-1\right)^{N-2}\left(\zeta_{9}^{v_{1}}-1\right)^{-1}\left(\zeta_{9}^{v_{2}}-1\right)^{-1} \prod_{i=3}^{N}\left(\zeta_{3}^{w_{i}}-1\right)^{-1} \tag{5-5}
\end{align*}
$$

By (5-4) and (5-5), we have $|f(t)|_{9}=1$ as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 (2), which concludes the proof of Step 7.

We show finally the rest, concluding the proof of Theorem 1.4.
(i) By Theorem 1.2 (3) and $|f(t)|_{2}=5$, we have $p_{3} \cdots p_{N}=5$. Hence $N=3$ and $p_{3}=5$.
(ii) By Theorem 1.2 (3) and $|f(t)|_{3}=4^{2}$, we have $p_{3} \cdots p_{N}=4$. Then the case (a) $N=3$ and $p_{3}=4$ or the case (b) $N=4$ and $p_{3}=p_{4}=2$ occur. Since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{i}, p_{j}\right)=1$ for $\{i, j\} \neq\{1,2\}$, the case (b) does not occur. Hence $N=3$ and $p_{3}=4$.
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