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#### Abstract

The Miller-Morita-Mumford classes associate to an oriented surface bundle $E \rightarrow B$ a class $\kappa_{i}(E) \in H^{2 i}(B ; \mathbb{Z})$. It was proved in [1] that the mod $p$ reduction $\kappa_{i}(E) \in$ $H^{2 i}(B ; \mathbb{Z} / p)$ vanishes when $i+1$ is divisible by $(p-1)$. In this note we prove that the $p^{2}$ reduction $\kappa_{i}(E) \in H^{2 i}\left(B ; \mathbb{Z} / p^{2}\right)$ vanishes when $i+1$ is divisible by $p(p-1)$. We also define for each integer $i \geq 1$ a characteristic class $\lambda_{i}(E) \in$ $H^{2 i(p-1)-2}(B ; \mathbb{Z} / p)$ which satisfies $p \lambda_{i}(E)=\kappa_{i(p-1)-1}(E) \in H^{*}\left(B ; \mathbb{Z} / p^{2}\right)$.
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## 1 Introduction and statement of results

This paper studies characteristic classes of surface bundles. By surface bundle we shall mean smooth fiber bundle $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ with closed oriented two-dimensional fibers. An important sequence of characteristic classes is the Miller-Morita-Mumford classes, or $\kappa$-classes. They associate to a smooth fiber bundle $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ a characteristic class $\kappa_{i} \in H^{2 i}(B ; \mathbb{Z})$ for all $i \geq 0$. They are natural with respect to pull back of surface bundles and also have other nice properties. The question under study in this paper and in the paper by the author, Madsen and Tillmann [1] is the question of universal divisibility of the classes $\kappa_{i}$. More precisely we have the following definition:

Definition 1.1 Let $D \geq 1$ be a natural number. Let us say that $\kappa_{i}$ is divisible by $D$ if there is a characteristic class $\mu$ with values in $H^{2 i}(-; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\kappa_{i}(E)=D \mu(E)$ for all surface bundles. Let us say that $\kappa_{i}$ is divisible by $D$ modulo torsion if there is a characteristic class $\mu$ with values in $H^{2 i}(-; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\kappa_{i}(E)-D \mu(E) \in H^{2 i}(B ; \mathbb{Z})$ is a torsion element for all surface bundles $\pi: E \rightarrow B$.

It is natural to ask for the maximal possible $D$ for each $i$, both for integral divisibility and divisibility modulo torsion. This can be studied one prime at a time. We summarize the partial answer to this question given in [1].

Theorem 1.2 [1] Let $p$ be a prime number and $v \geq 0$ a natural number.
(i) If $\kappa_{i}$ is divisible by $p^{v+1}$, then $i+1$ is divisible by $p^{v}(p-1)$.
(ii) $\kappa_{i}$ is divisible by $p^{v+1}$ modulo torsion if and only if $i+1$ is divisible by $p^{v}(p-1)$.
(iii) $\kappa_{i}$ is divisible by $p$ if and only if $i+1$ is divisible by $(p-1)$.

This completely determines the divisibility of $\kappa_{i}$ modulo torsion. Part (i) is a consequence of part (ii) and gives an upper bound on the integral divisibility of $\kappa_{i}$, but the exact divisibility by $p^{v}$ for $v \geq 2$ was left unanswered in [1]. The following theorem, which is our main theorem in this paper, settles the case $v=2$.

Theorem 1.3 $\kappa_{i}$ is divisible by $p^{2}$ if and only if $i+1$ is divisible by $p(p-1)$.
Let us rephrase the statement of the main theorem. The following theorem is obviously a consequence, but in fact turns out to be equivalent to Theorem 1.3. This is the form in which the main theorem will be proved.

Theorem 1.4 Let $p$ be a prime and $s \geq 1$. Then the reduction of $\kappa_{p s(p-1)-1}$ modulo $p^{2}$ vanishes,

$$
\kappa_{p s(p-1)-1}(E)=0 \in H^{*}\left(B ; \mathbb{Z} / p^{2}\right),
$$

for all surface bundles $\pi: E \rightarrow B$.
We explain how to deduce Theorem 1.3 from Theorem 1.4. The "only if" part is already contained in Theorem 1.2(i). For the "if" part we consider the long exact sequence in homology associated to the short exact sequence of coefficients $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p^{2}$. It follows that for each surface bundle $E \rightarrow B$ there is a class $\mu(E)$ in integral cohomology such that $p^{2} \mu(E)=\kappa_{p s(p-1)-1}(E)$. To see that we can choose $\mu(E)$ natural, we apply this argument in a universal situation. The classifying space $B \operatorname{Diff}(F)$ of the topological group of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms classifies surface bundles with fiber $F$ in the sense that there is a natural bijection between the set of isomorphism classes of surface bundles $E \rightarrow B$ with fiber $F$ and the set $[B, B \operatorname{Diff}(F)]$ of homotopy classes of maps $B \rightarrow B \operatorname{Diff}(F)$. There are universal classes $\kappa_{i} \in H^{2 i}(B \operatorname{Diff}(F) ; \mathbb{Z})$ which, assuming Theorem 1.4, vanish after reduction modulo $p^{2}$ (if the reduction were nonzero there would be some map $B \rightarrow B \operatorname{Diff}(F)$ from a smooth manifold $B$, such that the pullback to $B$ was also nonzero). Hence we can choose a universal $\mu \in H^{*}(B \operatorname{Diff}(F) ; \mathbb{Z})$. Thus Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 are equivalent. In the proof, we prove the statement of Theorem 1.4.

Thus for $v=0,1$ we have proved that $\kappa_{i}$ is divisible by $p^{v+1}$ if and only if it is divisible modulo torsion. It seems reasonable to conjecture that this is the case for all $v$. Hence we formulate the following conjecture, also mentioned in [1], which would completely settle the question of divisibility of $\kappa$-classes.

Conjecture 1.5 Let $s \geq 1$ and $v \geq 0$. Then

$$
\kappa_{p^{v} s(p-1)-1}(E)=0 \in H^{*}\left(B ; \mathbb{Z} / p^{v+1}\right)
$$

In the course of the proof of Theorem 1.4 we introduce certain new characteristic classes $\lambda_{i}$ which might have some independent interest. Their main properties are given by the following theorem.

Theorem 1.6 For each $i \geq 1$ there is a characteristic class $\lambda_{i}$ which associates to a surface bundle $E \rightarrow B$ a class $\lambda_{i}(E) \in H^{2 i(p-1)-2}(B ; \mathbb{Z} / p)$ with the property that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \lambda_{i}(E)=\kappa_{i(p-1)-1}(E) \in H^{*}\left(B ; \mathbb{Z} / p^{2}\right) \tag{1-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, the class $\lambda_{i}$ satisfies the following properties:
(i) If $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ and $\pi^{\prime}: E^{\prime} \rightarrow B$ are two surface bundles, then

$$
\lambda_{i}\left(E \amalg E^{\prime}\right)=\lambda_{i}(E)+\lambda_{i}\left(E^{\prime}\right)
$$

(ii) Let $E_{1}, E_{2}$ and $E_{2}^{\prime}$ be bundles of compact surfaces with boundary and assume that the oriented boundaries satisfy $\partial E_{1}=\partial E_{2}=\partial E_{2}^{\prime}$. Then we can form the surface bundles
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & =E_{1} \cup_{\partial} \bar{E}_{2}, \\
E^{\prime} & =E_{1} \cup_{\partial} \bar{E}_{2}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the bars denote orientation reversal. In this case we have

$$
\lambda_{i}\left(E^{\prime}\right)=\lambda_{i}(E)+\lambda_{i}(D)
$$

The classes $\lambda_{i}$ are defined using Toda brackets. In Section 2 we review general properties of Toda brackets and in Section 3 we give the definition of $\lambda_{i}(E)$ for a surface bundle $E$. It is a secondary class, and we prove that $\lambda_{i}(E) \subseteq H^{*}(B ; \mathbb{Z})$ is defined with indeterminacy $\mathbb{Z} \kappa_{i(p-1)-1}$. Then we prove that the reduction modulo $p$ has zero indeterminacy and satisfies the properties in Theorem 1.6. Finally, our main theorem (Theorem 1.3) is proved by showing that the reduction of the class
$\lambda_{p s}(E)$ modulo $p$ vanishes for all surface bundles (after modifying the definition from Section 3 a little).
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## 2 Secondary composition

We recall the definition of secondary compositions (Toda brackets). For further details see Toda [3].

All spaces and all maps in this section are pointed. The reduced suspension $S X$ is regarded as the pushout of the diagram

$$
X \wedge[-1,0] \longleftarrow X \longrightarrow X \wedge[0,1]
$$

where $-1 \in[-1,0]$ and $1 \in[0,1]$ are the basepoints. Thus, two nullhomotopies $F: X \wedge[-1,0] \rightarrow Y$ and $G: X \wedge[0,1] \rightarrow Y$ induce a map $G-F: S X \rightarrow Y$.

For a sequence of maps

$$
X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} W
$$

with $g \circ f \simeq 0$ and $h \circ g \simeq 0$, a choice of nullhomotopies $F: g \circ f \simeq 0$ and $G: h \circ g \simeq 0$ determines a map

$$
h \circ F-G \circ(f \wedge[-1,0]): S X \rightarrow W
$$

We define the secondary composition to be the subset $\{h, g, f\} \subseteq[S X, W]$ of homotopy classes of maps obtained in this fashion, as $F, G$ range over all nullhomotopies.

Recall that $[S X, W]=[X, \Omega W]$ is a group.

Lemma $2.1\{h, g, f\}$ depends only on the homotopy classes of $h, g$, and $f$. If $\{h, g, f\}$ is defined, then it gives a unique element in the double coset,

$$
\{h, g, f\} \in h \circ[S X, Z] \backslash[S X, W] /[S Y, W] \circ S f
$$

If $[S X, W]$ is abelian, then

$$
\{h, g, f\} \in[S X, W] /(h \circ[S X, Z]+[S Y, W] \circ S f)
$$

Proof See Toda [3, Lemma 1.1].

Proposition 2.2 For a sequence of maps

$$
X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} W \xrightarrow{k} V
$$

we have
(i) $\{k, h, g\} \circ f \subseteq\{k, h, g \circ f\}$
(ii) $\{k, h, g \circ f\} \subseteq\{k, h \circ g, f\}$
(iii) $\{k \circ h, g, f\} \subseteq\{k, h \circ g, f\}$
(iv) $k \circ\{h, g, f\} \subseteq\{k \circ h, g, f\}$.

Proof See Toda [3, Proposition 1.2].
Proposition 2.3 Let

$$
K(\mathbb{Z}, n) \xrightarrow{p} K(\mathbb{Z}, n) \xrightarrow{\rho} K(\mathbb{Z} / p, n) \xrightarrow{\beta} K(\mathbb{Z}, n+1)
$$

represent multiplication by $p$, reduction $\bmod p$, and the $\bmod p$ Bockstein, respectively. Then

$$
\text { id } \in\{\beta, \rho, p\} \subseteq[S K(\mathbb{Z}, n), K(\mathbb{Z}, n+1)]=[K(\mathbb{Z}, n), K(\mathbb{Z}, n)] .
$$

Proof Consider the diagram

where the top row is the Puppe sequence. It is immediate from the definition that id $\in\{h, g, p\}$. Now apply Proposition 2.2 (iii)-(iv) to get $\{h, g, p\} \circ k \subseteq\{p, \rho, \beta\}$.

Corollary 2.4 Let $c: X \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, n)$ represent a cohomology class. Let $\rho$ and $\beta$ be as in Proposition 2.3. Then

$$
\{\beta, \rho, c\}=\frac{1}{p} c+\mathbb{Z} c \subseteq H^{n}(X)=[S X, K(\mathbb{Z}, n+1)],
$$

where

$$
\frac{1}{p} c=\left\{c^{\prime} \mid p c^{\prime}=c\right\}
$$

Proof The two sides have the same indeterminacy $\mathbb{Z} c+\beta H^{n-1}(X ; \mathbb{Z} / p)$, so all we need to check is that if $p c^{\prime}=c$, then $c^{\prime} \in\{\beta, \rho, c\}$. But this follows from Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 2.3:

$$
\left\{\beta, \rho, p \circ c^{\prime}\right\} \supseteq\{\beta, \rho, p\} \circ c^{\prime} \ni c^{\prime}
$$

## 3 Secondary characteristic classes

In this section we first review the definition of the $\kappa$-classes in a convenient language. Then we define the new characteristic classes $\lambda_{i}$ and prove that they satisfy Theorem 1.6.

To define $\kappa$-classes we use the Pontrjagin-Thom construction, which we first review. Any surface bundle $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ admits an embedding $j: E \rightarrow B \times \mathbb{R}^{N+2}$ over $B$, for some $N$. For $N$ large, $j$ is unique up to isotopy. A choice of embedding $j$ induces a transfer ("collapse") map

$$
B_{+} \wedge S^{N+2} \xrightarrow{\pi_{!}} \operatorname{Th}(\nu j),
$$

where $v j$ is the normal bundle of $j$ and $\operatorname{Th}(v j)$ is its Thom space. The embedding $j: E \rightarrow B \times \mathbb{R}^{N+2}$ also induces classifying maps

and


For brevity, write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U=U_{N} \\
&=\mathrm{SO}(N+2) \times \operatorname{SO}(N) \times \operatorname{SO}(2) \mathbb{R}^{2}, \\
& U^{\perp}=U_{N}^{\perp}=\mathrm{SO}(N+2) \times \operatorname{SO}(N) \times \operatorname{SO}(2) \mathbb{R}^{N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We get the composition

$$
\alpha=\alpha_{E}=\operatorname{Th}(\operatorname{cl}(\nu j)) \circ \pi_{!}: B_{+} \wedge S^{N+2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Th}\left(U_{N}^{\perp}\right) .
$$

By Thom isomorphism, there is a Thom class $u_{U^{\perp}} \in H^{N}\left(\operatorname{Th}\left(U^{\perp}\right), \star ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ and we have $H^{N+*}\left(\operatorname{Th}\left(U^{\perp}\right), \star ; \mathbb{Z}\right)=\mathbb{Z}[e(U)] \cdot u_{U^{\perp}}$ for $*<N$. Here $e(U)$ is the Euler class of $U$. The definition of the $\kappa$-classes is

$$
\kappa_{i}(E)=\alpha^{*}\left(e(U)^{i+1} \cdot u_{U^{\perp}}\right)=\pi_{!}^{*}\left(e\left(T^{\pi} E\right)^{i+1} \cdot u_{\nu j}\right) \in H^{2 i}(B ; \mathbb{Z}) .
$$

The following lemma is the key to defining the classes $\lambda_{i}$. For an odd prime $p$, we write $\mathcal{P}^{i}$ for the Steenrod power operation. For $p=2$ we write $\mathcal{P}^{i}=\mathrm{Sq}^{2 i}$ and $\beta \mathcal{P}^{i}=\mathrm{Sq}^{2 i+1}$.

Lemma 3.1 Let $p$ be a prime and let $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ be a surface bundle. Let $\alpha=$ $\alpha_{E}: B_{+} \wedge S^{N+2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Th}\left(U_{N}^{\perp}\right)$ be as above and let $u: \operatorname{Th}\left(U_{N}^{\perp}\right) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, N)$ be the Thom class. Then the Toda bracket

$$
\left\{\beta \mathcal{P}^{i}, u, \alpha\right\} \subseteq H^{2 i(p-1)-2+N}\left(B_{+} \wedge S^{N+2} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)=H^{2 i(p-1)-2}(B ; \mathbb{Z})
$$

is defined with indeterminacy $\mathbb{Z} \kappa_{i(p-1)-1}(E)$.
Definition 3.2 With notation as in Lemma 3.1 define

$$
\lambda_{i}(E)=(-1)^{i}\left\{\beta \mathcal{P}^{i}, u, \alpha\right\} \in H^{2 i(p-1)-2}(B ; \mathbb{Z}) / \mathbb{Z} \kappa_{i(p-1)-1}(E)
$$

Since $\kappa_{i(p-1)-1}(E)$ is divisible by $p$, the indeterminacy vanishes if we reduce $\lambda_{i}(E)$ modulo $p$. We will use the same notation for the reduced class $\lambda_{i}(E) \in H^{*}(B ; \mathbb{Z} / p)$. Before proving Lemma 3.1, we need the following lemma from [1]. As before $e=e(U)$ denotes the Euler class in $H^{2}(\mathrm{SO}(N+2) /(\mathrm{SO}(N) \times \mathrm{SO}(2))$.

Lemma 3.3 In $H^{*}\left(\operatorname{Th}\left(U^{\perp}\right), \star ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right)$ we have that

$$
\mathcal{P}^{i} u_{U^{\perp}}=(-1)^{i} e^{i(p-1)} u_{U^{\perp}}
$$

Proof Let $\mathcal{P}=\sum_{i} \mathcal{P}^{i}$. We first calculate the action of $\mathcal{P}$ in the Thom space of the two-dimensional bundle $U$. We claim that

$$
\mathcal{P}\left(u_{U}\right)=\left(1+e(U)^{p-1}\right) u_{U}
$$

To see this we identify $\mathbb{R}^{2}=\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathrm{SO}(2)=\mathrm{U}(1)$. This gives a complex structure on $U$ and hence a classifying map to the universal complex line bundle $L \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}$. This in turn gives a map $\operatorname{Th}(U) \rightarrow \operatorname{Th}(L)$ so it suffices to calculate the Steenrod action in $\operatorname{Th}(L)$. There is a well known homeomorphism $\operatorname{Th}(L) \cong \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}$ under which $u_{U}$ corresponds to $c_{1}(L)$ and $e^{i-1} u_{U}$ corresponds to $c_{1}^{i}$. The formula for $\mathcal{P}\left(u_{U}\right)$ above now follows from the following obvious formula in $H^{*}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{\infty} ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right)$ :

$$
\mathcal{P}^{i}\left(c_{1}\right)= \begin{cases}c_{1} & \text { if } i=0 \\ c_{1}^{p} & \text { if } i=1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Now, since $u_{U \oplus U \perp}=u_{U} u_{U \perp}$ we get

$$
u_{U} u_{U^{\perp}}=u_{U \oplus U^{\perp}}=\mathcal{P}\left(u_{U \oplus U^{\perp}}\right)=\mathcal{P}\left(u_{U}\right) \mathcal{P}\left(u_{U^{\perp}}\right)=\left(1+e(U)^{p-1}\right) u_{U} \mathcal{P}\left(u_{U^{\perp}}\right)
$$

and hence

$$
\mathcal{P}\left(u_{U^{\perp}}\right)=\left(1+e(U)^{p-1}\right)^{-1} u_{U^{\perp}}=\left(\sum_{i}(-1)^{i} e(U)^{i(p-1)}\right) u_{U^{\perp}} .
$$

Proof of Lemma 3.1 Clearly $u \circ \alpha \simeq 0$. It follows from Lemma 3.3 that $\mathcal{P}^{i} u$ is the reduction of an integral class, so $\beta \mathcal{P}^{i} \circ u \simeq 0$. Therefore $\left\{\beta \mathcal{P}^{i}, u, \alpha\right\}$ is defined.

The indeterminacy can be computed from Lemma 2.1. Indeed we have

$$
\beta \mathcal{P}^{i}\left[B_{+} \wedge S^{N+3}, K(\mathbb{Z}, N)\right]=0
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[S \operatorname{Th}\left(U^{\perp}\right), K(\mathbb{Z}, N+2 i(p-1)+1)\right] \circ \alpha } & =\alpha^{*} H^{N+2 i(p-1)}\left(\operatorname{Th}\left(U^{\perp}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \\
& =\alpha^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z} e^{i(p-1)} u_{U^{\perp}}\right)=\mathbb{Z} \kappa_{i(p-1)-1}(E)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.6 The property (1-1) follows from Proposition 2.2 and Corollary 2.4 and the diagram


Indeed, Proposition 2.2 gives the inclusions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{\beta, \rho, \kappa_{i(p-1)-1}(E)\right\} & =\left\{\beta, \rho,\left(e^{i(p-1)} u\right) \circ \alpha\right\} \subseteq\left\{\beta, \rho \circ\left(e^{i(p-1)} u\right), \alpha\right\} \\
& =(-1)^{i}\left\{\beta, \mathcal{P}^{i} u, \alpha\right\} \supseteq(-1)^{i}\left\{\beta \mathcal{P}^{i}, u, \alpha\right\}=\lambda_{i}(E) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then Lemma 2.1 proves that the first inclusion is an equality since the two sides have the same indeterminacy $\operatorname{Im}(\beta)+\mathbb{Z} \kappa_{i(p-1)-1}$. Therefore Corollary 2.4 gives the inclusion

$$
\lambda_{i}(E) \subseteq\left\{\beta, \rho, \kappa_{i(p-1)-1}(E)\right\}=\frac{1}{p} \kappa_{i(p-1)-1}(E)+\mathbb{Z} \kappa_{i(p-1)-1}(E),
$$

and hence $p \lambda_{i}(E) \subseteq(1+p \mathbb{Z}) \kappa_{i(p-1)-1}(E)$. Here the two sides of the inclusion have the same indeterminacy $p \mathbb{Z} \kappa_{i(p-1)-1}$ so they are equal and we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \lambda_{i}(E)=(1+p \mathbb{Z}) \kappa_{i(p-1)-1}(E) \in H^{*}(B ; \mathbb{Z}) / p \mathbb{Z} \kappa_{i(p-1)-1} . \tag{3-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Firstly, (3-1) reproduces the fact that $\kappa_{i(p-1)-1}$ is divisible by $p$. Using this, we see that the indeterminacy vanishes after reducing (3-1) modulo $p^{2}$. This proves formula (1-1).

Now, (i) follows from the additivity of $\alpha$ under disjoint union, ie the property that

$$
\alpha\left(E \amalg E^{\prime}\right)=\alpha(E)+\alpha\left(E^{\prime}\right) \in\left[B_{+} \wedge S^{N+2}, \operatorname{Th}\left(U_{N}^{\perp}\right)\right] .
$$

Similarly (ii) follows from the "additivity" of $\alpha$ under gluing. Explicitly, a choice of embedding $j_{\partial}: \partial E_{1} \rightarrow B \times \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ over $B$ will induce a map

$$
\alpha_{\partial}: B_{+} \wedge S^{N+1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Th}\left(U^{\perp}\right) .
$$

A choice of embedding $j_{E_{1}}: E_{1} \rightarrow B \times[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ extending $j_{\partial}$ will induce a nullhomotopy $\alpha_{E_{1}}$ of $\alpha_{\partial}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{E} & =\alpha_{E_{1}}-\alpha_{E_{2}}, \\
\alpha_{E^{\prime}} & =\alpha_{E_{1}}-\alpha_{E_{2}^{\prime}}, \\
\alpha_{D} & =\alpha_{E_{2}^{\prime}}-\alpha_{E_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we get

$$
\alpha_{E^{\prime}}=\alpha_{E}+\alpha_{D} \in\left[B_{+} \wedge S^{N+2}, \operatorname{Th}\left(U^{\perp}\right)\right] .
$$

Remark 3.4 The proof in [1] of the "upper bound", Theorem 1.2(i), is based on maps $\varphi: B\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{n}\right) \rightarrow B \operatorname{Diff}(\Sigma)$ for a suitable action of a cyclic group of order $p^{n}$ on a Riemann surface $\Sigma$, first constructed in [2]. This gives a class

$$
\varphi^{*}\left(\kappa_{i}\right) \in H^{2 i}\left(B\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{n}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}\right)=\mathbb{Z} / p^{n},
$$

and it follows from the calculations in [2] and [1] that for $n>v, \varphi^{*}\left(\kappa_{i}\right)$ is divisible by $p^{v+1}$ if and only if $i+1$ is divisible by $p^{v}(p-1)$. Let us set $p=3, v=0, n=2$, and $i=1$. Then

$$
\varphi^{*}\left(\kappa_{1}\right) \in H^{2}(B(\mathbb{Z} / 9) ; \mathbb{Z})=\mathbb{Z} / 9
$$

is divisible by 3 but not 9 , so it is 3 times a generator of $\mathbb{Z} / 9$. Property (1-1) says in this case that $3 \lambda_{1}=\kappa_{1}$, so $\varphi^{*}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$ must be a generator of $\mathbb{Z} / 9$ (with indeterminacy $3 \mathbb{Z} / 9)$. Now let $B$ be the lens space $B=S^{3} /(\mathbb{Z} / 3)$ and let $B \rightarrow B(\mathbb{Z} / 9)$ be the map which multiplies by 3 in $\pi_{1}$ and $H^{2}$. We get a map

$$
\psi: B \rightarrow B \operatorname{Diff}(\Sigma)
$$

such that $\psi^{*}\left(\kappa_{1}\right)=0 \in H^{2}(B ; \mathbb{Z})=\mathbb{Z} / 3$, but $\psi^{*}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$ is a generator of $\mathbb{Z} / 3$. The surface bundle $E \rightarrow B$ classified by $\psi$ is an example of a bundle whose nontriviality is detected by $\lambda_{1}$ but not by any $\kappa_{i}$.

## 4 A variant of $\lambda_{p s}$

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.4. We have already seen that $\kappa_{i(p-1)-1}$ is divisible by $p$. When $i=p s$ for some $s>0$, a variant of $\lambda_{p s}$ can be used to prove that $\kappa_{p s(p-1)-1}$ is divisible by $p^{2}$. Again, let $\mathfrak{U}_{p}$ be the Steenrod algebra. When $p=2$ we write $\mathcal{P}^{i}=\mathrm{Sq}^{2 i}$ and $\beta \mathcal{P}^{i}=\mathrm{Sq}^{2 i+1}$ as before.

Definition 4.1 Let $s \geq 0$ and define $\theta_{s} \in \mathfrak{A l}_{p}$ by

$$
\theta_{s}=\sum_{j=0}^{s}(-1)^{j}\binom{(p-1)(s-j)}{j} \mathcal{P}^{p s-j} \mathcal{P}^{j}=\mathcal{P}^{p s}+\text { terms of length } 2
$$

Define vectors $v_{s}, w_{s} \in \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{C}_{p}^{s+1}$ by

$$
w_{s}=\left(\mathcal{P}^{0}, \ldots \mathcal{P}^{s}\right), v_{s}=\left(\mathcal{P}^{p s}, \ldots,(-1)^{j}\binom{(p-1)(s-j)-1}{j} \mathcal{P}^{p s-j}, \ldots, \mathcal{P}^{(p-1) s}\right)
$$

## Lemma 4.2

(i) In $H^{*}\left(\operatorname{Th}\left(U^{\perp}\right), \star ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right)$ we have that $\theta_{S} u_{U^{\perp}}=e^{p s(p-1)} u_{U^{\perp}}$.
(ii) $v_{s}^{T} \beta w_{s}=\beta \theta_{s}$.

Proof (i) This is similar to Lemma 3.3, using the fact that the admissible terms of length 2 act trivially on $u_{U \perp}$. Formula (ii) is the Adem relation for $\mathcal{P}^{(p-1) s} \beta \mathcal{P}^{s}$.

Definition 4.3 Let $\alpha, u, \theta_{s}$ be as above. Define the secondary characteristic class

$$
\tilde{\lambda}_{p s}(E)=(-1)^{s}\left\{\beta \theta_{s}, u, \alpha\right\} \in H^{2 p s(p-1)-2}(B, \mathbb{Z}) / \mathbb{Z} \kappa_{p s(p-1)-1}(E)
$$

Notice that $\tilde{\lambda}_{p s}$ satisfies the same formal properties as $\lambda_{p s}$. In particular $p \tilde{\lambda}_{p s}=$ $(1+p \mathbb{Z}) \kappa_{p s(p-1)-1}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.4 We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{s} \rho \circ\left\{\beta \theta_{s}, u, \alpha\right\} \subseteq(-1)^{s}\left\{\rho \circ \beta \theta_{s}, u, \alpha\right\} & =(-1)^{s}\left\{v_{s}^{T} \beta w_{s}, u, \alpha\right\} \\
& \supseteq(-1)^{s} v_{s}^{T}\left\{\beta w_{s}, u, \alpha\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and we see that all the inclusions are equalities since the indeterminacies vanish. Since $(-1)^{s}\left\{\beta w_{s}, u, \alpha\right\} \in \prod_{i=0}^{s} H^{N+2 i(p-1)}\left(B_{+} \wedge S^{N+2} ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right)=\prod_{i=0}^{s} H^{2 i(p-1)-2}(B ; \mathbb{Z} / p)$,
$v^{T}$ will vanish because $H^{*}(B ; \mathbb{Z} / p)$ is an unstable $\mathfrak{H}_{p}$-module.
Hence the mod $p$ reduction of $\tilde{\lambda}_{p s}(E)$ vanishes, so $\kappa_{p s(p-1)-1}(E)=p \tilde{\lambda}_{p s}(E)=0 \in$ $H^{*}\left(B ; \mathbb{Z} / p^{2}\right)$.
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