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#### Abstract

Let $L$ be a link in $S^{3}$; denote by $\mathcal{M N}(L)$ the Morse-Novikov number of $L$ and by $t(L)$ the tunnel number of $L$. We prove that $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{N}(L) \leqslant 2 t(L)$ and deduce several corollaries.


57M25, 57M27, 57R35, 57R70; 57R19, 57R45

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Background

Let $L$ be a link in $S^{3}$, that is, an embedding of several copies of $S^{1}$ to $S^{3}$. First off, we recall the definition of three numerical invariants of $L$. In the sequel $N(L)$ denotes a closed tubular neighbourhood of $L$.
(A) (Tunnel number) An arc $\gamma$ in $S^{3}$ is called a tunnel for $L$ if $\gamma \cap L$ consists of the two endpoints of $\gamma$. The tunnel number $t(L)$ is the minimal number $m$ of disjoint tunnels $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}$ such that the closure of $S^{3} \backslash N\left(L \cup \gamma_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \gamma_{m}\right)$ is a handlebody. The tunnel number was introduced by B Clark [1]; this invariant was studied in the works of T Kohno [11], T Kobayashi [9], T Kobayashi and Y Rieck [10], M Lustig and Y Moriah [13], K Morimoto [15; 14; 16], K Morimoto, M Sakuma and Y Yokota [17; 18], M Scharlemann and J Schultens [23; 24] and others. M Scharlemann and J Schultens [23] proved that $t(n K) \geqslant n$ for any $n$ (here $n K$ stands for the connected sum of $n$ copies of the knot $K$ ). They proved also that $t(n K) \geqslant \frac{2}{5} n t(K)$ if $K$ is not a 2-bridge knot [24]. T Kohno [11] gave an estimate of tunnel number of knots in terms of quantum invariants. K Morimoto, M Sakuma and Y Yokota [18] computed the tunnel number of all prime knots with $\leqslant 10$ crossings.

For any two knots $K_{1}, K_{2}$ we have $t\left(K_{1} \# K_{2}\right) \leqslant t\left(K_{1}\right)+t\left(K_{2}\right)+1$. K Morimoto [15] constructed knots $K_{1}, K_{2}$ such that $t\left(K_{1} \# K_{2}\right)<t\left(K_{1}\right)+t\left(K_{2}\right)$. T Kobayashi and Y Rieck [10] define the growth rate for a knot $K$ by the formula

$$
g r_{t}(K)=\limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t(m K)-m t(K)}{m-1}
$$

It follows from results of [24] that $g r_{t}(K) \geqslant-1-\frac{2}{3} t(K)$.
(B) (Bridge numbers) Let $S^{3}=H_{1} \cup H_{2}$ be a Heegaard splitting of $S^{3}$; put $\Sigma=H_{1} \cap H_{2}$, and $g=g(\Sigma)$. We say (following H Doll [2]) that $L$ is in an $n$-bridge position with respect to $\Sigma$ if $\Sigma$ intersects $L$ in $2 n$ points and $\Sigma \cap H_{i}$ is a union of $n$ trivial arcs in $H_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. The $g$-bridge number $b_{g}(L)$ of $L$ is defined as the minimal number $n$ such that $L$ can be put in an $n$-bridge position with respect to a Heegaard decomposition of genus $g$. Thus $b_{0}(L)$ is the classical bridge number as defined by H Schubert [25]. We have

$$
t(L) \leqslant g+b_{g}(L)-1 .
$$

(C) (Morse-Novikov numbers) Pick an orientation preserving trivialisation of the normal bundle of $L$. The corresponding diffeomorphism of disc bundles $\phi: L \times D^{2} \rightarrow$ $N(L)$ will be called framing of $L$. Let $C_{L}$ denote the closure of $S^{3} \backslash N(L)$. A Morse function $f: C_{L} \rightarrow S^{1}$ is called regular if its restriction to the boundary $\partial N(L)$ is the canonical fibration over the circle: $(f \circ \phi)(l, z)=z /|z|$. The number of the critical points of index $i$ of a regular Morse function $f$ will be denoted by $m_{i}(f)$; the total number of critical points of $f$ will be denoted by $m(f)$. The minimal value of $m(f)$ over all possible framings $\phi$ and Morse maps $f: C_{L} \rightarrow S^{1}$ is called the Morse-Novikov number of the link $L$ and denoted by $\mathcal{M N}(L)$ (see Veber, Pajitnov and Rudolph [26]).
The Morse-Novikov theory of circle-valued maps (see Novikov [19] and Pajitnov [20; 21]) allows one to obtain homological lower bounds for $\mathcal{M N}(L)$ as follows. Let $\bar{C}_{L}$ be the infinite cyclic covering induced by $f$ from the covering $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^{1}$. Denote the ring $\mathbb{Z}\left[t, t^{-1}\right]$ by $\Lambda$, and the ring $\mathbb{Z}((t))$ by $\hat{\Lambda}$. The $\hat{\Lambda}$-module

$$
\mathcal{N}_{*}(L)=H_{*}\left(\bar{C}_{L}\right) \otimes_{\Lambda} \hat{\Lambda}
$$

is called the Novikov homology of the link $L$. The rank and torsion numbers of the $\widehat{\Lambda}$-module $\mathcal{N}_{1}(L)$ are denoted respectively by $b_{1}(L)$ and $q_{1}(L)$. We have then [26]

$$
\mathcal{M} \mathcal{N}(L) \geqslant 2\left(b_{1}(L)+q_{1}(L)\right) .
$$

In case when the Novikov numbers are not sufficient to determine the $\mathcal{M N}(L)$ the twisted Novikov numbers (introduced by H Goda and the author in [5]) are useful.

As for upper bounds for $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{N}(L)$, not much is known. H Goda announced in [4] that $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{N}(L) \leqslant 2$ for every prime link $L$ with $\leqslant 10$ crossings. M Hirasawa proved that for every 2-bridge knot $K$ we have $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{N}(K) \leqslant 2$ (unpublished). In the papers [22; 7] of L Rudolph and M Hirasawa it is proved that $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{N}(K) \leqslant 4 g_{f}(K)$ where $g_{f}(K)$ is the free genus of $K$, that is, the minimal possible genus of a Seifert surface $\Sigma$ bounding $K$ such that $S^{3} \backslash \Sigma$ is an open handlebody.

### 1.2 Main results

The main result of this work is the following theorem.
Theorem 1.1 For every link $L$ in $S^{3}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M N}(L) \leqslant 2 t(L) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following corollaries are easily deduced.
Corollary 1.2 For every $g$ we have

$$
\mathcal{M} \mathcal{N}(L) \leqslant 2\left(g+b_{g}(L)-1\right)
$$

Corollary 1.3 For every tunnel number 1 knot $K$ we have $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{N}(K) \leqslant 2$. In particular this holds for any $(1,1)-$ knot $K$.

Corollary 1.4 For every link $L$ we have

$$
q_{1}(L)+b_{1}(L) \leqslant t(L) .
$$

Corollary 1.5 For every knot $K$

$$
g r_{t}(K) \geqslant-t(K)+q_{1}(K) .
$$

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $m=t(L)$. Pick a framing $\phi: L \times D^{2} \rightarrow N(L)$. Then the manifold $C_{L}=$ $S^{3} \backslash N(L)$ is obtained from $\partial C_{L}$ by attaching $m$ one-handles and then attaching a handlebody of genus $m+1$ to the resulting cobordism. So we obtain a Morse function $g: C_{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is constant on $\partial C_{L}$ and has the following Morse numbers: $m_{0}(g)=$ $0, m_{1}(g)=m, m_{2}(g)=m+1, m_{3}(g)=1$. Pick any Morse map $h: C_{L} \rightarrow S^{1}$ such that $h \mid \partial C_{L}$ is the canonical fibration: $(h \circ \phi)(l, z)=z /|z|$. The 1 -form induced by $h$ from the canonical volume form on $S^{1}$ will be denoted by $d h$. Consider a closed 1 -form $\omega_{\epsilon}=d g+\epsilon d h$. For $\epsilon>0$ sufficiently small $\omega_{\epsilon}$ is a Morse form with the same Morse numbers as $d g$. The De Rham cohomology class of the 1 -form

$$
\frac{1}{\epsilon} \omega_{\epsilon}=\frac{1}{\epsilon} d g+d h
$$

is the same as that of $d h$; therefore this form is the differential of a Morse map $g_{1}: C_{L} \rightarrow S^{1}$ homotopic to $h .{ }^{1}$ Observe that the map $g_{1}$ is a regular Morse map;

[^0]it has one local maximum, and the standard elimination procedure (see for example Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 of [26] for details) gives us a regular Morse function $f: C_{L} \rightarrow S^{1}$ with $m_{0}(f)=0, m_{1}(f) \leqslant m, m_{2}(f) \leqslant m, m_{3}(f)=0$. Thus $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{N}(L) \leqslant 2 m$.

## 3 Examples

Theorem 1.1 can be used in two ways. A lot of information is available about the tunnel numbers, and this implies new estimates for the Morse-Novikov numbers of knots. On the other hand, the Novikov torsion number $q_{1}(K)$ is an invariant which is easy to compute, and in many cases this gives new information about the sequence of tunnel numbers $t(n K)$ for a given knot $K$. Let us consider two examples:
(A) (Pretzel knots) Let $q, r$ be positive integers; denote by $\mathcal{P}$ the $(2 r+1)$-stranded pretzel knot $P(2 q+1,-2 q-1,2 q+1, \ldots, 2 q+1)$. The knot $\mathcal{P}$ for $q=1, r=2$ is depicted below.


Figure 1: Pretzel knot
It is clear that $t(\mathcal{P}) \leqslant 2 r$. An easy computation of the Alexander module via the Seifert matrix gives

$$
\mathcal{N}_{1}(\mathcal{P}) \approx(\hat{\Lambda} / X Y \hat{\Lambda})^{r}
$$

where $X=q t-(q+1), Y=(q+1) t-q$. Thus $q_{1}(\mathcal{P})=r$. Since $q_{1}(m K)=m q_{1}(K)$ for any knot $K$, we deduce that

$$
\frac{1}{2} n t(\mathcal{P}) \leqslant n q_{1}(\mathcal{P}) \leqslant t(n \mathcal{P})
$$

In particular the growth rate of the knot satisfies $g r_{t}(K) \geqslant-\frac{1}{2} t(K)$.
(B) (A twisted $5_{2} \# 5_{2}$ ) Let $K$ be the knot obtained from the connected sum $5_{2} \# 5_{2}$ by twisting (see Figure 2).

An easy computation shows that

$$
\mathcal{N}_{1}(K) \approx(\widehat{\Lambda} / S \widehat{\Lambda})^{2}
$$



Figure 2: The twisted $5_{2} \# 5_{2}$ knot
where $S=2 t^{2}-3 t+2$ is the Alexander polynomial of the knot $5_{2}$. Thus $q_{1}(K)=2$. Since $t(K) \leqslant 3$ we obtain

$$
\frac{2}{3} n t(K) \leqslant n q_{1}(K) \leqslant t(n K)
$$

We have therefore $g r_{t}(K) \geqslant-\frac{1}{3} t(K)$.

## 4 Relations with previously known results

A theorem of M Hirasawa says that $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{N}(K) \leqslant 2$ if $K$ is a two-bridge knot. Since $t(K) \leqslant b(K)-1$ our theorem implies this result. Observe that M Hirasawa's proof uses H Schubert's presentation of 2-bridge knots, and can not be generalized to the case of arbitrary bridge number.

The inequality (1) implies also the upper bound

$$
\mathcal{M} \mathcal{N}(K) \leqslant 4 g_{f}(K)
$$

obtained by L Rudolph and M Hirasawa [22; 7]. Indeed, J H Lee [12] has shown that $t(K) \leqslant 2 g_{f}(K)$.

In many cases the estimate of Theorem 1.1 is better than the free genus estimate. For example, let $K$ be the pretzel knot $K=P(-2 l, q, r)$ where $l \geqslant 2$ and $q, r \geqslant 3$ are odd numbers. Then $t(K) \leqslant 2$, and the Alexander polynomial of the knot equals

$$
A(t)=l t^{q+r}-(2 l-1) t^{q+r-1}+\cdots-(2 l-1) t+l
$$

(see the work [8] of D Kim and J Lee). Therefore $K$ is not fibred, and $4 \geqslant \mathcal{M} \mathcal{N}(K) \geqslant 2$. As for the genus of $K$, we have $g(K) \geqslant \operatorname{deg} A(t) / 2=(q+r) / 2$, therefore the free genus of $K$ is not less than $(q+r) / 2$.

Theorem 1.1 leads to quick proofs of results about the Morse-Novikov numbers already known. The simplest cases are: the link $A_{n}$ (the boundary of $n$-twisted unknotted annulus) and the twist knots $K_{n}$. See Figures 3 and 4 . We shall assume that $n \geqslant 2$.


Figure 3: The knot $K_{2}$


Figure 4: The link $A_{2}$

Since the tunnel number of these links equals 1 we have $\mathcal{M N}\left(A_{n}\right) \leqslant 2, \mathcal{M N}\left(K_{n}\right) \leqslant 2$. It is easy to show that $q_{1}\left(K_{n}\right)=q_{1}\left(A_{n}\right)=1[26 ; 6]$, thus

$$
\mathcal{M} \mathcal{N}\left(A_{n}\right)=2, \mathcal{M} \mathcal{N}\left(K_{n}\right)=2
$$

In the paper [4] H Goda announced the computation of the Morse-Novikov numbers of all prime knots and links with $\leqslant 10$ crossings. His theorem (which is based on the results of [3]) says that for every nonfibred prime link $L$ with $\leqslant 10$ crossings we have $\mathcal{M N}(L)=2$.

Since the tunnel numbers of prime knots with $\leqslant 10$ crossings are known from the work of K Morimoto, M Sakuma and S Yokota [18], our Theorem 1.1 provides a quick proof of H Goda's results at least for knots with $\leqslant 8$ crossings. Indeed, it is proved in [18] that among the prime knots with $\leqslant 8$ crossings only the knots $8_{16}, 8_{17}, 8_{18}$ have the tunnel number 2 ; the tunnel number of all the others equals 1 . Since these three knots are fibred, we deduce that every nonfibred prime knot with $\leqslant 8$ crossings has the tunnel number equal to 1 and therefore its Morse-Novikov number is equal to 2 .

## 5 Open questions and further remarks

(1) One of the main conjectures in the Morse-Novikov theory of knots and links is the following (M Boileau, C Weber):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M} \mathcal{N}\left(K_{1} \# K_{2}\right)=\mathcal{M} \mathcal{N}\left(K_{1}\right)+\mathcal{M} \mathcal{N}\left(K_{2}\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The example of K Morimoto [15] shows that there are knots $K_{1}, K_{2}$ with $t\left(K_{1} \# K_{2}\right)<$ $t\left(K_{1}\right)+t\left(K_{2}\right)$. Moreover, T Kobayashi [9] proved that for every $N$ there are knots $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ such that $t\left(K_{1} \# K_{2}\right) \leqslant t\left(K_{1}\right)+t\left(K_{2}\right)-N$. In view of the relations between the tunnel and the Morse-Novikov numbers established in the present paper, these results provide a number of potential counterexamples to the conjecture (2).
(2) The Novikov homology $\mathcal{N}_{*}(K)$ can be considered as homology with local coefficients with respect to the representation

$$
\mu: \pi_{1}\left(C_{K}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}]^{\times}=\Lambda^{\times} \subset \hat{\Lambda}^{\times}=\operatorname{GL}(1, \widehat{\Lambda}),
$$

where the first arrow is the meridian homomorphism $\pi_{1}\left(C_{K}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}]^{\times}$. Thus Corollary 1.4 can be reformulated as follows:

$$
t(K) \geqslant \mathrm{m}_{\widehat{\Lambda}}\left(H_{1}\left(C_{K}, \mu\right)\right)
$$

where $\mathrm{m}_{\hat{\Lambda}}(N)$ stands for the minimal number of generators over $\hat{\Lambda}$ of the module $N$. For an arbitrary representation we have a weaker (obvious) inequality:

Proposition 5.1 For every representation $\rho: \pi_{1}\left(C_{K}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}(n, R)$ (where $R$ is a principal ring) we have

$$
t(K) \geqslant \frac{1}{n}\left(\mathrm{~m}_{R}\left(H_{1}\left(C_{K}, \rho\right)\right)\right)-1 .
$$

Question Is it true that

$$
t(K)=\max _{\rho}\left(\frac{1}{n}\left(\mathrm{~m}_{R}\left(H_{1}\left(C_{K}, \rho\right)\right)\right)-1\right) ?
$$

In other words, is the information deduced from the twisted homology sufficient to determine the tunnel number of any knot?
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ A similar perturbation argument was used by J C Sikorav in another context; see Pajitnov [20].

