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#### Abstract

A bottom tangle is a tangle in a cube consisting of arc components whose boundary points are placed on the bottom, and every link can be represented as the closure of a bottom tangle. The universal $s l_{2}$ invariant of $n$-component bottom tangles takes values in the $n$-fold completed tensor power of the quantized enveloping algebra $U_{h}\left(s l_{2}\right)$, and has a universality property for the colored Jones polynomials of $n-$ component links via quantum traces in finite dimensional representations. In the present paper, we prove that if the closure of a bottom tangle $T$ is a ribbon link, then the universal $s l_{2}$ invariant of $T$ is contained in a certain small subalgebra of the completed tensor power of $U_{h}\left(s l_{2}\right)$. As an application, we prove that ribbon links have stronger divisibility by cyclotomic polynomials than algebraically split links for Habiro's reduced version of the colored Jones polynomials.
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## 1 Introduction

For each ribbon Hopf algebra $H$, Reshetikhin and Turaev [12] defined invariants of framed links colored by finite dimensional representations. A universal invariant (see Lawrence [6; 7] and Ohtsuki [11]) associated to $H$ is an invariant of framed tangles and links defined without using representations. The universal invariant has a universality property such that the colored link invariants constructed by Reshetikhin and Turaev are obtained from the universal invariants by taking trace in the representations attached to the components of links.

A quantized enveloping algebra $U_{h}=U_{h}\left(s l_{2}\right)$ of the Lie algebra $s l_{2}$ is a complete ribbon Hopf $\mathbb{Q} \llbracket h \rrbracket$-algebra. By the universal $s l_{2}$ invariant, we mean the universal invariant associated to $U_{h}$. In [3], Habiro studied the universal invariant of bottom tangles (see Section 2) associated to an arbitrary ribbon Hopf algebra, and in [4], he studied the universal $s l_{2}$ invariant of bottom tangles in detail. The universal $s l_{2}$ invariant of an $n$-component bottom tangle takes values in the $n$-fold completed tensor power $U_{h}^{\widehat{\otimes} n}$ of $U_{h}$. For every oriented, ordered, framed link $L$, there is a bottom tangle whose closure is isotopic to $L$. The universal invariant of bottom tangles has a universality property such that the colored link invariants of a link $L$ is obtained
from the universal invariant of a bottom tangle $T$ whose closure is isotopic to $L$, by taking the quantum trace in the representations attached to the components of links. In particular, one can obtain the colored Jones polynomials of links from the universal $s l_{2}$ invariant of bottom tangles.

An $n$-component link $L$ is called a ribbon link if it bounds a system of $n$ ribbon disks in $S^{3}$. Mizuma [9] derived an explicit formula for the first derivative at -1 for the Jones polynomial of 1 -fusion ribbon knots, and in [10], she estimated the ribbon number of those knots by using the formula. Eisermann [2] proved that the Jones polynomial $V(L) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[v, v^{-1}\right]$ of an $n$-component ribbon link $L$ is divisible by the Jones polynomial $V\left(O^{n}\right)=\left(v+v^{-1}\right)^{n}$ of the $n$-component unlink $O^{n}$.

A ribbon bottom tangle is defined as a bottom tangle whose closure is a ribbon link. In the present paper, we study the universal $s l_{2}$ invariant of ribbon bottom tangles.

### 1.1 Main result

Set $v=\exp \frac{h}{2}, q=v^{2}$. We have $\mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right] \subset \mathbb{Z}\left[v, v^{-1}\right] \subset \mathbb{Q} \llbracket h \rrbracket$. Let $J_{T}$ denote the universal $s l_{2}$ invariant of a bottom tangle $T$.

Habiro [4] proved that the universal $s l_{2}$ invariant $J_{T}$ of an $n$-component, algebraicallysplit, 0 -framed bottom tangle $T$ is contained in $\left(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{q}^{\text {ev }}\right)^{\widetilde{\otimes} n}$, a certain $\underset{\widetilde{Z}}{\mathbb{Z}}\left[q, q_{\tilde{U}^{-1}}\right]$-subalgebra of $U_{h}^{\widehat{\otimes} n}$. He also defined another $\mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$-subalgebra $\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\text {ev }}\right)^{\sim} \widetilde{\otimes}^{n} \subset\left(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{q}^{\text {ev }}\right)^{\widetilde{\otimes} n}$ and stated the following conjecture for boundary bottom tangle. (A bottom tangle is said to be boundary if it bounds mutually disjoint Seifert surfaces in $[0,1]^{3}$, see [3] for the detail.)

Conjecture 1.1 (Habiro [4]) Let $T$ be an $n$-component boundary bottom tangle with 0-framing. Then we have $J_{T} \in\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)^{\sim} \widetilde{\otimes}^{n}$.

We shall define another subalgebra $\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)^{\wedge} \hat{\otimes} n \subset\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)^{\sim \widetilde{\otimes} n}$. (Here, we do not know whether the inclusion is proper or not, but the definition of $\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)^{\wedge} \otimes n$ is more natural than that of $\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\text {ev }}\right)^{\sim} \widetilde{\otimes}^{n}$ in our setting.) The main result of the present paper is the following, which we prove in Section 5.

Theorem 1.2 Let $T$ be an $n$-component ribbon bottom tangle with 0 -framing. Then we have $J_{T} \in\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)^{\wedge} \hat{\otimes} n$.

An $n$-component bottom tangle $T$ is called a slice bottom tangle if $T$ is concordant to the $n$-component trivial bottom tangle, where the trivial bottom tangle is the bottom tangle taking the shape as $\cap \ldots \cap$ (see Section 2 for the definition of the concordance of bottom tangles). The following is a generalization of Conjecture 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

Conjecture 1.3 If an $n$-component bottom tangle $T$ is concordant to a boundary bottom tangle (in particular, if $T$ is a slice bottom tangle), then we have $J_{T} \in\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)^{-\hat{\otimes} n}$.

### 1.2 An application to the colored Jones polynomial

Here, we give an application of Theorem 1.2. We use the following $q$-integer notations.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\{i\}_{q}=q^{i}-1, & \{i\}_{q, n}=\{i\}_{q}\{i-1\}_{q} \ldots\{i-n+1\}_{q}, & \{n\}_{q}!=\{n\}_{q, n} \\
{[i]_{q}=\{i\}_{q} /\{1\}_{q},} & {[n]_{q}!=[n]_{q}[n-1]_{q} \ldots[1]_{q},} & {\left[\begin{array}{l}
i \\
n
\end{array}\right]_{q}=\{i\}_{q, n} /\{n\}_{q}!}
\end{array}
$$

for $i \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 0$.
For $l \geq 1$, let $V_{l}$ denote the $l$-dimensional irreducible representation of $U_{h}$. Let $\mathcal{R}$ denote the representation ring of $U_{h}$ over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$, that is, $\mathcal{R}$ is the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$-algebra

$$
\mathcal{R}=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Q}(v)}\left\{V_{l} \mid l \geq 1\right\}
$$

with the multiplication induced by the tensor product.
Habiro [4] studied the following polynomials in $V_{2}$

$$
\widetilde{P}_{l}^{\prime}=\frac{v^{l}}{\{l\}_{q}!} \prod_{i=0}^{l-1}\left(V_{2}-v^{2 i+1}-v^{-2 i-1}\right) \in \mathcal{R}
$$

for $l \geq 0$, and proved the following theorem.
Theorem 1.4 (Habiro [4]) Let $L$ be an $n$-component, algebraically-split, 0-framed link. We have

$$
J_{L ; \widetilde{P}_{l_{1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, \widetilde{P}_{l_{n}}^{\prime}} \in \frac{\left\{2 l_{j}+1\right\}_{q, l_{j}+1}}{\{1\}_{q}} \mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]
$$

for $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n} \geq 0$, where $j$ is a number such that $l_{j}=\max \left\{l_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$.
Here $J_{L ; \widetilde{P}_{l_{1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, \widetilde{P}_{l_{n}}^{\prime}}$ is the colored Jones polynomial of $L$ associated to $\widetilde{P}_{l_{1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, \widetilde{P}_{l_{n}}^{\prime}$ (see Section 4). The above theorem is an important technical step in Habiro's construction of the unified Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants for integral homology spheres. Habiro [4] also proved that Conjecture 1.1 would imply the following Theorem 1.5, with a ribbon link replaced by a boundary link. Thus, Theorem 1.5 follows from Theorem 1.2 and Habiro's argument in [4].

Theorem 1.5 Let $L$ be an $n$-component ribbon link with 0 -framing. We have

$$
J_{L ; \widetilde{P}_{l_{1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, \widetilde{P}_{l_{n}}^{\prime}} \in \frac{\left\{2 l_{j}+1\right\}_{q, l_{j}+1}}{\{1\}_{q}} I_{l_{1}} \ldots \widehat{I}_{l_{j}} \ldots I_{l_{n}}
$$

for $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n} \geq 0$, where $j$ is a number such that $l_{j}=\max \left\{l_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$. Here, for $l \geq 0$, $I_{l}$ is the ideal in $\mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$ generated by the elements $\{l-k\}_{q}!\{k\}_{q}!$ for $k=0, \ldots, l$, and $\hat{I}_{l_{j}}$ denotes omission of $I_{l_{j}}$.

Remark 1.6 For $m \geq 1$, let $\Phi_{m}(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ denote the $m$ th cyclotomic polynomial. It is not difficult to prove that $I_{l}, l \geq 0$, is contained in the principal ideal generated by $\prod_{m} \Phi_{m}(q)^{f(l, m)}$, where $f(l, m)=\max \left\{0,\left\lfloor\frac{l+1}{m}\right\rfloor-1\right\}$. Here for $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, we denote by $\lfloor r\rfloor$ the largest integer smaller than or equal to $r$.

Remark 1.7 As we have mentioned, Eisermann [2] proved that the Jones polynomial $V(L) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[v, v^{-1}\right]$ of an $n$-component ribbon link $L$ is divisible by the Jones polynomial $V\left(O^{n}\right)=\left(v+v^{-1}\right)^{n}$ of the $n$-component unlink $O^{n}$. This result does not follow directly from Theorem 1.5. However, we give another proof of it in the author's dissertation [13] by proving a refinement of Theorem 1.2 involving a subalgebra of $U_{h}^{\widehat{\otimes} n}$ smaller than $\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\text {ev }}\right)^{-\hat{\otimes} n}$. We do not describe it in the present paper since the proof in [13] is quite complicated and also since we expect further refinements.

We expect Theorem 1.5 to be useful for detection of non-ribbonness of a link. For example, it is easy to check that the Borromean rings does not have the divisibility given in Theorem 1.5, hence it is not a ribbon link as is well known, see Section 6 for the detail. However, we have few examples so far.

### 1.3 Organization of the paper

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we define bottom tangles and ribbon bottom tangles. In Section 3, we define the quantized enveloping algebra $U_{h}$, and its subalgebras. In Section 4, we consider the universal $s l_{2}$ invariant of bottom tangles and ribbon bottom tangles. In Section 5, we prove Theorem 1.2. In Section 6, we consider the cases of the Borromean tangle and the Borromean rings.

## 2 Bottom tangles and ribbon bottom tangles

In this section, we recall from [3] the notion of bottom tangles. We also define the notion of ribbon bottom tangles, which is implicit in [3].

### 2.1 Bottom tangles

An $n$-component bottom tangle $T=T_{1} \cup \ldots \cup T_{n}$ is an oriented, ordered, framed tangle in a cube $[0,1]^{3}$ consisting of $n$ arcs $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}$, whose boundary points are
on the bottom line $[0,1] \times\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\} \times\{0\}$, such that for each $i=1, \ldots, n$, the component $T_{i}$ runs from the $2 i$ th boundary point to the $(2 i-1)$ th boundary point, where the boundary points are ordered by the first coordinate. As usual, we draw a bottom tangle as a diagram in a rectangle, see Figure 1 (a),(b). For each $n \geq 0$, let $B T_{n}$ denote the
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Figure 1: (a) A 3-component bottom tangle $T=T_{1} \cup T_{2} \cup T_{3}$. (b) A diagram of $T$ in a rectangle. (c) The closure of $T$.
set of the isotopy classes of $n$-component bottom tangles, and set $B T=\bigcup_{n \geq 0} B T_{n}$.
The closure of $T$ is the link obtained from $T$ by pasting a " $\cup$-shaped tangle" to each component of $T$, as depicted in Figure 1 (c). For any link $L$, there is a bottom tangle whose closure is isotopic to $L$.

The linking matrix $\operatorname{Lk}(T)$ of a bottom tangle $T=T_{1} \cup \ldots \cup T_{n}$ is defined as that of the closure of $T$. Thus, for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, the linking number of $T_{i}$ and $T_{j}$ is defined as the linking number of the corresponding components in the closure of $T$, and, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, the framing of $T_{i}$ is defined as the framing of the closure of $T_{i}$.

Two bottom tangles $T, T^{\prime} \in B T_{n}$ are concordant if there is a proper embedding

$$
f: \bigsqcup^{n}[0,1] \times[0,1] \hookrightarrow[0,1]^{3} \times[0,1]
$$

such that $f\left(\coprod^{n}[0,1] \times\{0\}\right)=T \times\{0\}, f\left(\coprod^{n}[0,1] \times\{1\}\right)=T^{\prime} \times\{1\}$, and

$$
f\left(\coprod^{n} \partial[0,1] \times[0,1]\right)=\partial T \times[0,1]=\partial T^{\prime} \times[0,1] .
$$

### 2.2 Ribbon bottom tangles

Definition 2.1 A bottom tangle $T \in B T$ is called a ribbon bottom tangle if and only if the closure of $T$ is a ribbon link.

A system of ribbon disks for an $n$-component bottom tangle $T=T_{1} \cup \ldots \cup T_{n}$ is an immersed surface with ribbon singularities in $[0,1]^{3}$ consisting of $n$ disks bounded by the link $\widetilde{T}=\left(T_{1} \cup \gamma_{1}\right) \cup \ldots \cup\left(T_{n} \cup \gamma_{n}\right)$, where $\gamma_{i} \subset[0,1] \times\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\} \times\{0\}$ is the line segment such that $\partial \gamma_{i}=\partial T_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proposition 2.2 A bottom tangle $T \in B T_{n}$ is a ribbon bottom tangle if and only if it admits a system of ribbon disks.

Proof Let $X \subset{\underset{\sim}{S}}^{3}$ be a system of ribbon disks for the link $\widetilde{T}$. Up to isotopy in $S^{3}$ fixed on the link $\widetilde{T}$, we can assume that $X \subset[0,1]^{2} \times[-1,1]$. If we admit introducing new ribbon singularities, we can transform $X$ into a system of ribbon disks for the bottom tangle $T$ by pulling the segment part $\gamma_{i} \subset[0,1] \times\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\} \times\{0\}$ straight down to the $[0,1] \times\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\} \times\{-1\}$, and transforming $[0,1]^{2} \times[-1,1]$ into $[0,1]^{3}$ by isotopy of $S^{3}$. For example, see Figure 2.


Figure 2: (a) A system of ribbon disks for the closure link $\widetilde{T}$. (b) A system of ribbon disks for a link isotopic to $\widetilde{T}$. (c) A system of ribbon disks for the bottom tangle $T$.

## 3 The quantized enveloping algebra $\boldsymbol{U}_{\boldsymbol{h}}$ and its subalgebras

We mostly follow the notations in [4].

### 3.1 The quantized enveloping algebra $\boldsymbol{U}_{\boldsymbol{h}}$

Recall that $v=\exp \frac{h}{2}$, and $q=v^{2}$. We denote by $U_{h}$ the $h$-adically complete $\mathbb{Q} \llbracket h \rrbracket-$ algebra, topologically generated by the elements $H, E$, and $F$, satisfying the relations

$$
H E-E H=2 E, \quad H F-F H=-2 F, \quad E F-F E=\frac{K-K^{-1}}{v-v^{-1}}
$$

where we set

$$
K=v^{H}=\exp \frac{h H}{2} .
$$

We equip $U_{h}$ with a topological $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra structure with $\operatorname{deg} F=-1$, $\operatorname{deg} E=$ 1 , and $\operatorname{deg} H=0$. For a homogeneous element $x$ of $U_{h}$, the degree of $x$ is denoted by $|x|$.

There is a unique complete ribbon Hopf algebra structure on $U_{h}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\Delta(H)=H \otimes 1+1 \otimes H, & \varepsilon(H)=0, & S(H)=-H, \\
\Delta(E)=E \otimes 1+K \otimes E, & \varepsilon(E)=0, & S(E)=-K^{-1} E, \\
\Delta(F)=F \otimes K^{-1}+1 \otimes F, & \varepsilon(F)=0, & S(F)=-F K .
\end{array}
$$

The universal $R$-matrix and its inverse are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=D\left(\sum_{n \geq 0} v^{\frac{1}{2} n(n-1)} \frac{\left(v-v^{-1}\right)^{n}}{[n]!} F^{n} \otimes E^{n}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{-1}=D^{-1}\left(\sum_{n \geq 0}(-1)^{n} v^{-\frac{1}{2} n(n-1)} \frac{\left(v-v^{-1}\right)^{n}}{[n]!} F^{n} K^{n} \otimes K^{-n} E^{n}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D=v^{\frac{1}{2} H \otimes H}=\exp \left(\frac{h}{4} H \otimes H\right) \in U_{h}^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$ and

$$
[i]=\frac{v^{i}-v^{-i}}{v-v^{-1}}, \quad[n]!=[n][n-1] \ldots[1]
$$

for $i \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 0$. The ribbon element and its inverse are given by

$$
r=\sum \bar{\alpha} K^{-1} \bar{\beta}=\sum \bar{\beta} K \bar{\alpha}, \quad r^{-1}=\sum \alpha K \beta=\sum \beta K^{-1} \alpha
$$

where $R=\sum \alpha \otimes \beta$, and $R^{-1}=(S \otimes 1) R=\sum \bar{\alpha} \otimes \bar{\beta}$.
We use notations $D=\sum D_{[1]}^{+} \otimes D_{[2]}^{+}$, and $D^{-1}=\sum D_{[1]}^{-} \otimes D_{[2]}^{-}$. We shall use the following formulas.

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum D_{[2]}^{+} \otimes D_{[1]}^{+}=D, & (\Delta \otimes 1) D=D_{13} D_{23}  \tag{3}\\
(\varepsilon \otimes 1)(D)=1, & (S \otimes 1) D=D^{-1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $D_{13}=\sum D_{[1]}^{+} \otimes 1 \otimes D_{[2]}^{+}, D_{23}=1 \otimes D$, and $x$ is a homogeneous element of $U_{h}$.

### 3.2 Subalgebras $U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}$ and $U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}^{\mathrm{ev}}$ of $\boldsymbol{U}_{\boldsymbol{h}}$

Let $U_{\mathbb{Z}}$ denote Lusztig's integral form of $U_{h}$ (see [8]), which is defined to be the $\mathbb{Z}\left[v, v^{-1}\right]$-subalgebra of $U_{h}$ generated by $K, K^{-1}, E^{(n)}=E^{n} /[n]!$, and $F^{(n)}=$ $F^{n} /[n]$ ! for $n \geq 1$. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{E}^{(n)}=\left(v^{-1} E\right)^{n} /[n]_{q}!=v^{-\frac{1}{2} n(n+1)} E^{(n)}, \\
& \widetilde{F}^{(n)}=F^{n} K^{n} /[n]_{q}!=v^{-\frac{1}{2} n(n-1)} F^{(n)} K^{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n \geq 0$. Let $U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}$ denote the $\mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$-subalgebra of $U_{\mathbb{Z}}$ generated by $K, K^{-1}$, $\widetilde{E}^{(n)}$ and $\widetilde{F}^{(n)}$ for $n \geq 1$. Note that

$$
U_{\mathbb{Z}}=U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]} \mathbb{Z}\left[v, v^{-1}\right] .
$$

Let $U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}^{\mathrm{ev}}$ denote the $\mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$-subalgebra of $U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}$ generated by $K^{2}, K^{-2}, \widetilde{E}^{(n)}$ and $\widetilde{F}^{(n)}$ for $n \geq 1 . U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}$ is equipped with a $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})$-graded $\mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$-algebra structure

$$
U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}=U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}^{\mathrm{ev}} \oplus K U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}^{\mathrm{ev}} .
$$

There is a Hopf $\mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$-algebra structure on $U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}$ inherited from $U_{h}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta\left(K^{i}\right) & =K^{i} \otimes K^{i},  \tag{6}\\
\Delta\left(\widetilde{E}^{(n)}\right) & =\sum_{j=0}^{n} \widetilde{E}^{(n-j)} K^{j} \otimes \widetilde{E}^{(j)},  \tag{7}\\
\Delta\left(\widetilde{F}^{(n)}\right) & =\sum_{j=0}^{n} \widetilde{F}^{(n-j)} K^{j} \otimes \widetilde{F}^{(j)},  \tag{8}\\
S^{ \pm 1}\left(K^{i}\right) & =K^{-i},  \tag{9}\\
S^{ \pm 1}\left(\widetilde{E}^{(n)}\right) & =(-1)^{n} q^{\frac{1}{2} n(n \mp 1)} K^{-n} \widetilde{E}^{(n)},  \tag{10}\\
S^{ \pm 1}\left(\widetilde{F}^{(n)}\right) & =(-1)^{n} q^{-\frac{1}{2} n(n \mp 1)} K^{-n} \widetilde{F}^{(n)},  \tag{11}\\
\varepsilon\left(K^{i}\right) & =1,  \tag{12}\\
\varepsilon\left(\widetilde{E}^{(n)}\right) & =\varepsilon\left(\widetilde{F}^{(n)}\right)=\delta_{n, 0}, \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

for $i \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 0$.

### 3.3 Subalgebras $\overline{\boldsymbol{U}}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$ and $\overline{\boldsymbol{U}}_{\boldsymbol{q}}^{\text {ev }}$ of $\boldsymbol{U}_{\boldsymbol{h}}$

Let $\bar{U}$ denote the $\mathbb{Z}\left[v, v^{-1}\right]$-subalgebra of $U_{h}$ generated by the elements $K, K^{-1}$, $\left(v-v^{-1}\right) E$, and $\left(v-v^{-1}\right) F$ (see De Concini and Procesi [1]). Set

$$
e=v^{-1}(q-1) E, \quad f=(q-1) F K
$$

Let $\bar{U}_{q}$ denote the $\mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$-subalgebra of $U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}$ generated by the elements $K, K^{-1}, e$ and $f$. Note that

$$
\bar{U}=\bar{U}_{q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]} \mathbb{Z}\left[v, v^{-1}\right]
$$

Let $\bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}$ denote the $\mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$-subalgebra of $U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}^{\mathrm{ev}}$ generated by the elements $K^{2}$, $K^{-2}, e$ and $f$. We have

$$
\bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}=\bar{U}_{q} \cap U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}^{\mathrm{ev}}, \quad \bar{U}_{q}=\bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}} \oplus K \bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}
$$

There is a Hopf $\mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$-algebra structure on $\bar{U}_{q}$ inherited from $U_{h}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta\left(e^{n}\right) & =\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
j
\end{array}\right]_{q} e^{n-j} K^{j} \otimes e^{j},  \tag{14}\\
\Delta\left(f^{n}\right) & =\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
j
\end{array}\right]_{q} q^{-j(n-j)} f^{n-j} K^{j} \otimes f^{j},  \tag{15}\\
S^{ \pm 1}\left(e^{n}\right) & =(-1)^{n} q^{\frac{1}{2} n(n \mp 1)} K^{-n} e^{n},  \tag{16}\\
S^{ \pm 1}\left(f^{n}\right) & =(-1)^{n} q^{-\frac{1}{2} n(n \mp 1)} K^{-n} f^{n},  \tag{17}\\
\varepsilon\left(e^{n}\right) & =\varepsilon\left(f^{n}\right)=\delta_{n, 0}, \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

for $n \geq 0$.
We have
(19) $e^{m} f^{n}=\sum_{p=0}^{\min (m, n)} q^{\frac{1}{2} p(p+1)-n m}\{p\}_{q}!\left[\begin{array}{l}m \\ p\end{array}\right]_{q}\left[\begin{array}{l}n \\ p\end{array}\right]_{q} f^{n-p}\{H-m-n+2 p\}_{q, p} e^{m-p}$,
for $m, n \geq 0$. Here, for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p \geq 0$, we set

$$
\{H+i\}_{q, p}=\{H+i\}_{q}\{H+i-1\}_{q} \ldots\{H+i-p+1\}_{q}
$$

where

$$
\{H+j\}_{q}=q^{H+j}-1=q^{j} K^{2}-1
$$

for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The following lemma, which is a $\mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$-version of a well known result for $\bar{U}$ by De Concini and Procesi [1], can be proved by using the formula (19).

Lemma 3.1 $\bar{U}_{q}$ (resp. $\bar{U}_{q}^{\text {ev }}$ ) is freely $\mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$-spanned by the elements $f^{i} K^{j} e^{k}$ (resp. $f^{i} K^{2 j} e^{k}$ ) with $i, k \geq 0$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

### 3.4 Adjoint action

We use the left adjoint action ad: $U_{h} \otimes U_{h} \rightarrow U_{h}$ defined by

$$
\operatorname{ad}(a \otimes b)=\sum a^{\prime} b S\left(a^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

for $a, b \in U_{h}$, where $\Delta(a)=\sum a^{\prime} \otimes a^{\prime \prime}$. We also use the notation $a \triangleright b=\operatorname{ad}(a \otimes b)$. The following proposition is suggested by Habiro. In fact, Habiro and Le [5] prove a generalization of a $\mathbb{Z}\left[v, v^{-1}\right]$-version of the following proposition with $i=0$ to quantized enveloping algebras for all simple Lie algebras.

Proposition 3.2 For $i=0$, 1, we have

$$
U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \triangleright K^{i} \bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}} \subset K^{i} \bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}} .
$$

Proof In view of Lemma 3.1, it is enough to prove that $x \triangleright f^{i_{1}} K^{i_{2}} e^{i_{3}} \in K^{i_{2}} \bar{U}_{q}^{\text {ev }}$ for every $x \in\left\{K, K^{-1}, \widetilde{E}^{(n)}, \widetilde{F}^{(n)} \mid n \geq 0\right\}$ and $i_{1}, i_{3} \geq 0, i_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$. By computation, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& K^{ \pm 1} \triangleright f^{i_{1}} K^{i_{2}} e^{i_{3}}=q^{ \pm\left(i_{3}-i_{1}\right)} f^{i_{1}} K^{i_{2}} e^{i_{3}},  \tag{20}\\
& \widetilde{E}^{(n)} \triangleright f^{i_{1}} K^{i_{2}} e^{i_{3}}=  \tag{21}\\
& \sum_{p=0}^{\min \left(i_{1}, n\right)}(-1)^{n} q^{\frac{1}{2} p(p+1)-n\left(i_{1}+i_{2}\right)+2 i_{2} p}\left[\begin{array}{l}
i_{1} \\
p
\end{array} f_{q} f^{i_{1}-p} K^{i_{2}} g\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, n, p\right) e^{i_{3}+n-p},\right.
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{F}^{(n)} \triangleright f^{i_{1}} K^{i_{2}} e^{i_{3}}=  \tag{22}\\
& \quad \sum_{p=0}^{\min \left(i_{3}, n\right)} q^{\frac{1}{2} p(p+1)-n\left(i_{1}+i_{2}\right)+2 i_{2} p}\left[\begin{array}{l}
i_{3} \\
p
\end{array}\right]_{q} f^{i_{1}+n-p} K^{i_{2}} g\left(i_{3}, i_{2}, i_{1}, n, p\right) e^{i_{3}-p},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
g\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, n, p\right)=\sum_{s=0}^{p}(-1)^{s} q^{\frac{1}{2} s(s+1)-s\left(n-p+i_{1}\right)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
p \\
s
\end{array}\right]_{q}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n-p+i_{2}+i_{3}+s-1 \\
n-p
\end{array}\right]_{q} K^{2 s}
$$

The right hand sides of (20)-(22) are all contained in $K^{i_{2}} \bar{U}_{q}^{\text {ev }}$, hence we have the assertion.

## 4 The universal $s l_{2}$ invariant of bottom tangles

In this section, we define the universal $s l_{2}$ invariant of bottom tangles [3], and study the values of it. Then we discuss the case of ribbon bottom tangles.

### 4.1 Decorated diagrams

We use diagrams of tangles obtained from copies of the fundamental tangles, as depicted in Figure 3, by pasting horizontally and vertically. A decorated diagram of a bottom


Figure 3: Fundamental tangles. The orientations of the strands are arbitrary.
tangle $T \in B T$ is a diagram $P$ of $T$ together with finitely many dots on strands, each labeled by an element of $U_{h}$. We also allow pairs of dots, each connected by an oriented dashed line which is labeled by an element of $U_{h}^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$, see Figure 4 (a). If the


Figure 4: A decorated diagram $P$
element $y \in U_{h}^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$ on it is symmetric, we do not have to specify the orientation of a dashed line.

For every decorated diagram $P$ for an $n$-component bottom tangle $T=T_{1} \cup \ldots \cup T_{n} \in$ $B T_{n}$, we define an element $J(P) \in U_{h}^{\widehat{\otimes} n}$ as follows. The $i$ th component of $J(P)$ is defined to be the product of the elements put on the component corresponding to $T_{i}$, where the elements are read off along each component reversing the orientation of $P$, and written from left to right. Here we read an element $y=\sum y_{[1]} \otimes y_{[2]} \in U_{h}^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$ on dashed line by assuming that the first tensorand is attached to the start point of the line and the second tensorand to the end point, see Figure 4 (b). (The result does not
depend on how one expresses the element on each dashed line as a sum of tensors.) For example, for the decorated diagram $P$ depicted in Figure 4 (a), we have

$$
J(P)=\sum x y_{[2]} \otimes y_{[1]} z_{[1]} \otimes z_{[2]} w,
$$

where we set $y=\sum y_{[1]} \otimes y_{[2]}$ and $z=\sum z_{[1]} \otimes z_{[2]}$. In what follows, we sometimes identify a decorated diagram and its image by $J$. For example, the picture depicted in Figure 5 represents the formula (5).


Figure 5: A graphical version of (5). By the two pictures above, we mean two decorated diagrams of a bottom tangle which are identical outside the dotted circles.

### 4.2 The universal $\boldsymbol{s} \boldsymbol{l}_{\mathbf{2}}$ invariant of bottom tangles

For $T=T_{1} \cup \ldots \cup T_{n} \in B T_{n}$, we define the universal $s l_{2}$ invariant $J_{T} \in U_{h}^{\hat{\otimes} n}$ of $T$ as follows. We choose a diagram $P$ of $T$. We denote by $C(P)$ the set of the crossings of $P$. We call a map

$$
s: C(P) \rightarrow\{0,1,2, \ldots\}
$$

a state. We denote by $\mathcal{S}(P)$ the set of states for $P$. For each state $s \in \mathcal{S}(P)$, we define a decorated diagram $(P, s)$ (by abusing the notation) as follows.

We rewrite the $R$-matrix (1) and its inverse (2) as $R^{ \pm 1}=D^{ \pm 1} \sum_{n \geq 0} R_{n}^{ \pm}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}^{+}=q^{\frac{1}{2} n(n-1)} \widetilde{F}^{(n)} K^{-n} \otimes e^{n}, \quad R_{n}^{-}=(-1)^{n} \widetilde{F}^{(n)} \otimes K^{-n} e^{n} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use the notations $R_{n}^{+}=\sum R_{n[1]}^{+} \otimes R_{n[2]}^{+}$and $R_{n}^{-}=\sum R_{n[1]}^{-} \otimes R_{n[2]}^{-}$.
For each fundamental tangle in $P$, we attach elements following the rule described in Figure 6 , where " $S^{\prime}$ " should be replaced with id if the string is oriented downward, and with $S$ otherwise, see Figure 7. Thus we have an element $J(P, s) \in U_{h}^{\widehat{\otimes} n}$ as the image of the decorated diagram $(P, s)$ by $J$.

Set

$$
J_{T}=\sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}(P)} J(P, s)
$$



Figure 6: How to place elements on the fundamental tangles


Figure 7: The definition of $S^{\prime}$

(a)

(b)

Figure 8: (a) A diagram $P$ of $C \in B T_{2}$. (b) The decorated diagram $(P, s)$.

As is well known (see Ohtsuki [11]), $J_{T}$ does not depend on the choice of the diagram $P$, and defines an isotopy invariant of bottom tangles.

For example, let us compute the universal $s l_{2}$ invariant $J_{C}$ of a bottom tangle $C$ with a diagram $P$ as depicted in Figure $8(a)$, where $c_{1}$ (resp. $c_{2}$ ) denotes the upper (resp. lower) crossing of $P$. The decorated diagram $(P, s)$ for the state $s \in \mathcal{S}(P)$ is depicted in Figure $8(b)$, where we set $m=s\left(c_{1}\right), n=s\left(c_{2}\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{C} & =\sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}(P)} J(P, s) \\
& =\sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}(P)} \sum S\left(D_{[1]}^{+} R_{m[1]}^{+}\right) S\left(D_{[2]}^{\prime+} R_{n[2]}^{+}\right) \otimes D_{[1]}^{\prime+} R_{n[1]}^{+} D_{[2]}^{+} R_{m[2]}^{+} \\
& =\sum_{m, n \geq 0}(-1)^{m+n} q^{-n+2 m n} D^{-2}\left(\tilde{F}^{(m)} K^{-2 n} e^{n} \otimes \widetilde{F}^{(n)} K^{-2 m} e^{m}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D^{ \pm 1}=\sum D_{[1]}^{ \pm} \otimes D_{[2]}^{ \pm}=\sum D_{[1]}^{\prime \pm} \otimes D_{[2]}^{\prime \pm}$.

### 4.3 The colored Jones polynomial

If $V$ is a finite dimensional representation of $U_{h}$, then the quantum $\operatorname{trace} \operatorname{tr}_{q}^{V}(x)$ in $V$ of an element $x \in U_{h}$ is defined by

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{q}^{V}(x)=\operatorname{tr}^{V}\left(\rho_{V}\left(K^{-1} x\right)\right) \in \mathbb{Q} \llbracket h \rrbracket,
$$

where $\rho_{V}: U_{h} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(V)$ denotes the left action of $U_{h}$ on $V$, and $\operatorname{tr}^{V}: \operatorname{End}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \llbracket h \rrbracket$ denotes the trace in $V$. For every element $y=\sum_{n} a_{n} V_{n} \in \mathcal{R}, a_{n} \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$, we set

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{q}^{y}(x)=\sum_{n} a_{n} \operatorname{tr}_{q}^{V_{n}}(x) \in \mathbb{Q}((h))
$$

for $x \in U_{h}$. Here $\mathbb{Q}((h))$ denotes the quotient field of $\mathbb{Q} \llbracket h \rrbracket$.
The universal $s l_{2}$ invariant of bottom tangles has a universality property for the colored Jones polynomials of links as follows.

Proposition 4.1 (Habiro [4]) Let $L=L_{1} \cup \ldots \cup L_{n}$ be an $n$-component, ordered, oriented, framed link in $S^{3}$. Choose an $n$-component bottom tangle $T$ whose closure is isotopic to $L$. For $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n} \in \mathcal{R}$, the colored Jones polynomial $J_{L ; y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}}$ of $L$ can be obtained from $J_{T}$ by

$$
J_{L ; y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}}=\left(\operatorname{tr}_{q}^{y_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \operatorname{tr}_{q}^{y_{n}}\right)\left(J_{T}\right) .
$$

### 4.4 Values of the universal $s l_{\mathbf{2}}$ invariant of bottom tangles

In this subsection we consider the value of $J(P, s)$ for a decorated diagram $(P, s)$.
Let us prepare some notations.
For $n \geq 1,1 \leq i \leq n$, and for $X \in U_{h}$, we define $X_{i} \in U_{h}^{\widehat{\otimes} n}$ by

$$
X_{i}=1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X \otimes \cdots \otimes 1,
$$

where $X$ is at the $i$ th position.
For $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, and for $Y=\sum y_{1} \otimes y_{2} \in U_{h}^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$, we define $Y_{i j} \in U_{h}^{\widehat{\otimes} n}$ by

$$
Y_{i j}=\sum\left(y_{1}\right)_{i}\left(y_{2}\right)_{j} .
$$

For every symmetric integer matrix $M=\left(m_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ of size $n \geq 1$, we define two invertible elements $D^{M}, \widetilde{D}^{M} \in U_{h}^{\widehat{\otimes} n}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D^{M}=\prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} D_{i j}^{m_{i j}}=\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} D_{i j}^{2 m_{i j}} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n}\left(v^{H^{2} / 2}\right)_{i}^{m_{i i}}, \\
& \widetilde{D}^{M}=D^{M} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} K_{i}^{m_{i i}}=\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} D_{i j}^{2 m_{i j}} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n}\left(v^{H^{2} / 2} K\right)_{i}^{m_{i i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Later, we shall use the following proposition.
Proposition 4.2 Let $T=T_{1} \cup \ldots \cup T_{n}$ be an $n$-component bottom tangle. For every diagram $P$ of $T$ and every state $s \in \mathcal{S}(P)$, we have

$$
J(P, s) \in \widetilde{D}^{\mathrm{Lk}(T)}\left(U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)^{\otimes n}
$$

Before proving Proposition 4.2, we modify the dots of the decorated diagram $(P, s)$. Then we define three decorated diagrams $(P, s)^{\circ},(P, s)^{\bullet}$, and $(P, s)^{\diamond}$, which we use in the proof of Proposition 4.2.

In what follows, we can work up to the equivalence relation $\sim$ on $\left(U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}\right)^{\otimes n}$ generated by multiplication on any tensorands by $\pm q^{j}, K^{2 j}(j \in \mathbb{Z})$. The modification process goes as follows. Let $c$ be a crossing of $(P, s)$ with strands oriented downward, and set $m=s(c)$. As depicted in Figure 9, we replace the two dots labeled by $D^{ \pm 1} R_{m}^{ \pm}$with two black dots labeled by $D^{ \pm 1}$ and two white dots labeled by $R_{m}^{ \pm}$. Then we slide the





Figure 9: The modification process of ( $P, s$ ) on positive and negative crossings
black (resp. white) dots to the right hand side (resp. the left hand side) of the crossings, and put the produced element $K^{m}$ into the same dot of $R_{m}^{ \pm}$. Here the transformation follows from the formulas

$$
D R_{m}^{+}=\sum D_{[1]} R_{m[1]}^{+} \otimes D_{[2]} R_{m[2]}^{+}=\sum D_{[1]} K^{m} R_{m[1]}^{+} \otimes R_{m[2]}^{+} D_{[2]}
$$

and

$$
D^{-1} R_{m}^{-}=\sum D_{[1]}^{-} R_{m[1]}^{-} \otimes D_{[2]}^{-} R_{m[2]}^{-}=\sum R_{m[1]}^{-} D_{[1]}^{-} \otimes D_{[2]}^{-} K^{m} R_{m[2]}^{-} .
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& K^{m} R_{m[1]}^{+} \otimes R_{m[2]}^{+} \sim \widetilde{F}^{(m)} \otimes e^{m}  \tag{2}\\
& R_{m[1]}^{-} \otimes K^{m} R_{m[2]}^{-} \sim \widetilde{F}^{(m)} \otimes e^{m} . \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we modify the dots on the other crossings as depicted in Figure 10. We have completed the modification. By abusing the notation, we denote by $(P, s)$ the decorated diagram obtained from the modification.









Figure 10: Crossings of the decorated diagram $(P, s)$ after the modification
We define the decorated diagrams $(P, s)^{\circ},(P, s)^{\bullet}$, and $(P, s)^{\diamond}$ as follows.
Definition 4.3 (1) Let $(P, s)^{\circ}$ denote the diagram $P$ together with the white dots on crossings of $(P, s)$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(P, s)^{\circ} \in\left(U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)^{\otimes n} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\vec{\cap}, \vec{U}$ and $\cap$ denote the fundamental tangles defined by

$$
\vec{\cap}=\curvearrowright, \quad \vec{U}=\mho, \quad \cap=\downarrow .
$$

(2) Let $(P, s)^{\bullet}$ denote the diagram $P$ with the black dots labeled by $D^{ \pm 1}$ on crossings of $(P, s)$, and dots on $\vec{\cap}$ and $\vec{U}$ of $(P, s)$.


Figure 11: The picture when we slide a homogeneous $x$ through a dot labeled by $D^{ \pm 1}$. This is essentially the same with the picture in Figure 5.
(3) For $i=1, \ldots, n$, let $P_{i}$ denote the part of $P$ corresponding to $T_{i}$. We call the $2 i$ th (resp. $(2 i-1)$ th) boundary point of $P$ the start point (resp. end point) of $P_{i}$. On $(P, s)$, we slide all white dots to the start points of the strands of $P$. When we slide a white dot through a dot on $\vec{\cap}$ or $\vec{U}$, a scalar $q^{j}(j \in \mathbb{Z})$ appears, which we can ignore. When we slide a white dot through a dot labeled by $D^{ \pm 1}$, a power of $K$ appears, see Figure 11. We attach such an element to a new white diamond. Let $\left(P_{i}, s\right)^{\diamond}$ be the diagram $P_{i}$ with the white diamonds on $P_{i}$. Set

$$
J(P, s)^{\diamond}=J\left(P_{1}, s\right)^{\diamond} \otimes \cdots \otimes J\left(P_{n}, s\right)^{\diamond}
$$

For example, for the decorated diagram ( $P, s$ ) in Figure 12, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Lk}(T) & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right), & \widetilde{D}^{\mathrm{Lk}(T)} & =D^{-2}\left(v^{H^{2} / 2} K \otimes 1\right), \\
J(P, s)^{\circ} & \sim \widetilde{F}^{(l)} e^{l} \widetilde{F}^{(m)} e^{k} \otimes \widetilde{F}^{(k)} e^{m}, & J\left(P_{1}, s\right)^{\diamond} & \sim K^{-2 k} \sim 1, \\
J(P, s)^{\bullet} & \sim D^{-2}\left(v^{H^{2} / 2} K \otimes 1\right), & J\left(P_{2}, s\right)^{\diamond} & \sim K^{-2 m} \sim 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We reduce Proposition 4.2 to the following two lemmas.


Figure 12: The sliding process for a decorated diagram $(P, s)$, where we set $s\left(c_{1}\right)=l, s\left(c_{2}\right)=m$, and $s\left(c_{3}\right)=k$ for the upper, the middle, and the lower crossings $c_{1}, c_{2}$, and $c_{3}$, respectively. We work up to multiplication by $\pm q^{j}, K^{2 j}(j \in \mathbb{Z})$.

Lemma 4.4 For every diagram $P$ of a bottom tangle $K \in B T_{1}$ with framing $r(K) \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $u(P) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be the total number of the copies of $\vec{\cap}$ and $\vec{U}$ which are contained in $P$. Then, the sum $u(P)+r(K)$ is even.

Proof Note that the parity of $u(P)+r(K)$ does not change by the Reidemeister moves RI, RII, RIII, and crossing changes as depicted in Figure 13. Since $P$ is equal


crossing change

Figure 13: The Reidemeister moves RI, RII, RIII, and the crossing change to the bottom tangle $\cap$ up to those moves, we have

$$
u(P)+r(K) \equiv u(\cap)+r(\cap)=0 \quad(\bmod 2)
$$

Let $U_{h}^{0}$ denote the $\mathbb{Q} \llbracket h \rrbracket$-subalgebra of $U_{h}$ generated by $K, K^{-1}$. Set

$$
\bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev} 0}=\bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}} \cap U_{h}^{0}
$$

which is the $\mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$-subalgebra of $\bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}$ generated by $K^{2}, K^{-2}$.
Lemma 4.5 We have

$$
J(P, s)^{\bullet} \in \widetilde{D}^{\mathrm{Lk}(T)}\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev} 0}\right)^{\otimes n}
$$

Proof For each $i=1, \ldots, n$, we denote by $\kappa_{i}$ the product of the $K^{ \pm 1} \mathrm{~s}$ on the copies of $\vec{\cap}$ and $\vec{U}$ of $P_{i}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(P, s)^{\bullet} & =D^{\operatorname{Lk}(T)}\left(\kappa_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \kappa_{n}\right) \\
& =\widetilde{D}^{\mathrm{Lk}(T)}\left(K^{-m_{1,1}} \kappa_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes K^{-m_{n, n}} \kappa_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since we have $K^{-m_{i, i}} \kappa_{i} \in \bar{U}_{q}^{\text {ev } 0}$ by Lemma 4.4, the right hand side is contained in $\widetilde{D}^{\mathrm{Lk}(T)}\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\text {ev } 0}\right)^{\otimes n}$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 4.6 For every $i=1, \ldots, n$, we have

$$
J\left(P_{i}, s\right)^{\diamond} \sim 1
$$

If we assume Lemma 4.6, then Proposition 4.2 follows from

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(P, s) & \sim J(P, s)^{\bullet} J(P, s)^{\diamond} J(P, s)^{\circ} \\
& \in \widetilde{D}^{\mathrm{Lk}(T)}\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev} 0}\right)^{\otimes n} \cdot\left(U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)^{\otimes n} \\
& \subset \widetilde{D}^{\mathrm{Lk}(T)}\left(U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)^{\otimes n}
\end{aligned}
$$

by (26) and Lemma 4.5.

Proof of Lemma 4.6. For a crossing $c$ of $(P, s)$, we denote by $E_{c}$ (resp. $F_{c}$ ) the white dot on the over (resp. under) strand labeled by $e^{s(c)}$ (resp. $\left.\widetilde{F}^{(s(c))}\right)$. We slide those white dots to the start points of strands of $P$, and count the powers of $K$ labeled to the white diamonds on each strands.

Note that each time we exchange $E_{c}$ with one of the two dots connected by dashed line, labeled by $D^{ \pm 1}$, a white diamond labeled by $K^{\mp s(c)}$ appears next to the other dot, see Figure 11 again. Similarly, if we exchange $F_{c}$ with one of the two dots labeled by $D^{ \pm 1}$, then a white diamond labeled by $K^{ \pm s(c)}$ appears next to the other dot.

Let $p_{i}\left(E_{c}\right)$ denotes the number of times $E_{c}$ traverses the strand $P_{i}$ during the sliding process. Define $p_{i}\left(F_{c}\right)$ similarly. Then we have $J\left(P_{i}, s\right)^{\diamond}=K^{d_{i}}$, where

$$
d_{i} \equiv \sum_{c \in C(P)} s(c)\left(p_{i}\left(E_{c}\right)+p_{i}\left(F_{c}\right)\right) \quad(\bmod 2)
$$

Hence it is enough to prove that $p_{i}\left(E_{c}\right)+p_{i}\left(F_{c}\right)$ is even for each crossing $c$. We prove the assertion with three types of crossings as follows.
(i) Self crossings of $P_{i}$
(ii) Crossings of $P_{j}$ with $P_{l}$ for $j \neq i, l \neq i$
(iii) Crossings of $P_{i}$ with $P_{j}$ for $j \neq i$

Color black or white, in chessboard fashion, the regions of the complements of $P_{i}$ in the rectangle so that the outermost region is colored white. For example, see Figure 14. Divide the strand $P_{i}$ into two parts $B_{i}$ and $W_{i}$, each consisting of segments bounded


Figure 14: A diagram $P=P_{1} \cup \ldots \cup P_{n}$ colored by chessboard fashion associated to $P_{i}$. We depict only the $(i-1)$, $i$, and $(i+1)$ th component.
by self crossing points or the boundary points of $P_{i}$, such that if one goes along a segment in $W_{i}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.B_{i}\right)$ to the start point of $P_{i}$, then one sees a white (resp. black) region on the left.

Note that the boundary points of the strand $P_{l}, i \neq l$, are contained in the white region, and those of $P_{i}$ are contained in $W_{i}$.
(i) For a self crossing $c$ of $P_{i}$.

Note that when we trace along $P_{i}$ from the end point to the start point, every time we traverse the self crossing of $P_{i}, B_{i}$ and $W_{i}$ appear alternatingly. For every self crossing $c \in P_{i}$, both $E_{c}$ and $F_{c}$ are either in $B_{P}$ or in $W_{P}$. Hence if we slide $E_{c}$ and $F_{c}$ to the start point, then the parities of $p_{i}\left(E_{c}\right)$ and $p_{i}\left(F_{c}\right)$ are the same. Thus, $p_{i}\left(E_{c}\right)+p_{i}\left(F_{c}\right)$ is even.
(ii) For a crossing $c$ of $P_{j}$ and $P_{l}$ with $j \neq i, l \neq i$.

If the crossing $c$ is in the white region, then both $p_{i}\left(E_{c}\right)$ and $p_{i}\left(F_{c}\right)$ are even. If $c$ is in the black region, then both $p_{i}\left(E_{c}\right)$ and $p_{i}\left(F_{c}\right)$ are odd. Hence $p_{i}\left(E_{c}\right)+p_{i}\left(F_{c}\right)$ is even in both cases.


Figure 15: The four types of crossings
(iii) For a crossing $c$ of $P_{i}$ and $P_{j}$ with $j \neq i$.

See Figure 15. There are four types of crossings such that whether the white dot on $P_{i}$ is in $W_{i}$ or in $B_{i}$, and whether the white dot on $P_{j}$ is in the white region or in the black region.
We assume $P_{i}$ is the over strand, that is, $E_{c}$ is attached on $P_{i}$. The other case is almost the same. For $(a)$, since $E_{c}$ starts and ends in $W_{i}, p_{i}\left(E_{c}\right)$ is even. Similarly, since $F_{c}$ starts and ends in the white region, $p_{i}\left(F_{c}\right)$ is even. Thus, $p_{i}\left(E_{c}\right)+p_{i}\left(F_{c}\right)$ is even. For the other three cases, in a similar way, we can prove that the parities of $p_{i}\left(E_{c}\right)$ and $p_{i}\left(F_{c}\right)$ are the same. Hence $p_{i}\left(E_{c}\right)+p_{i}\left(F_{c}\right)$ is even.

Therefore we have $J\left(P_{i}, s\right)^{\diamond} \sim 1$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$, this completes the proof.

Remark 4.7 As defined in [4], let $\mathcal{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}} \subset U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}$ denote the subalgebra of $U_{h}$ freely generated over $\mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$ by the elements $\widetilde{F}^{(i)} K^{2 j} e^{k}$ for $i, k \geq 0, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that the right hand sides of (24) and (25) are in $\left(\mathcal{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)^{\otimes 2}$. This implies a result stronger than Proposition 4.2 :

$$
J(P, s) \in \widetilde{D}^{\mathrm{Lk}(T)}\left(\mathcal{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)^{\otimes n}
$$

This implies the following, which is proved by Habiro when $\operatorname{Lk}(T)=0$ in the other way,

$$
J_{T} \in \widetilde{D}^{\mathrm{Lk}(T)}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)^{\tilde{\otimes} n},
$$

where $\left(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{q}^{\text {ev }}\right)^{\widetilde{\otimes} n}$ is Habiro's completion of $\left(\mathcal{U}_{q}^{\text {ev }}\right)^{\otimes n}$ in [4].

### 4.5 The universal $s l_{\mathbf{2}}$ invariant of ribbon bottom tangles

Habiro [4] studied the universal $s l_{2}$ invariant of 1-component ribbon bottom tangles. We generalize those to $n$-component ribbon bottom tangles for $n \geq 1$.

For $T \in B T_{i+j+2}, i, j \geq 0$, let $\left(\operatorname{ad}_{b}\right)_{i, j}(T) \in B T_{i+j+1}$ and $\left(\mu_{b}\right)_{(i, j)}(T) \in B T_{i+j+1}$ denote the bottom tangles as depicted in Figure 16. We use the following lemma.


Figure 16: A bottom tangle $T \in B T_{i+j+2}$ and the bottom tangles $\left(\operatorname{ad}_{b}\right)_{(i, j)}(T),\left(\mu_{b}\right)_{(i, j)}(T) \in B T_{i+j+1}$. We depict only the $(i+1)$ th and $(i+2)$ th components of $T$, and the $(i+1)$ th components of $\left(\operatorname{ad}_{b}\right)_{(i, j)}(T)$, $\left(\mu_{b}\right)_{(i, j)}(T)$.

Lemma 4.8 (Habiro [3]) For every bottom tangle $T \in B T_{i+j+2}, i, j \geq 0$, we have

$$
J_{\left(\mathrm{ad}_{b}\right)_{i, j}(T)}=\operatorname{ad}_{i, j}\left(J_{T}\right), \quad J_{\left(\mu_{b}\right)_{i, j}(T)}=\mu_{i, j}\left(J_{T}\right)
$$

where we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ad}_{i, j} & =\mathrm{id}^{\otimes i} \otimes \mathrm{ad} \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes j}: U_{h}^{\widehat{\otimes} i+j+2} \rightarrow U_{h}^{\widehat{\otimes} i+j+1} \\
\mu_{i, j} & =\mathrm{id}^{\otimes i} \otimes \mu \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes j}: U_{h}^{\widehat{\otimes} i+j+2} \rightarrow U_{h}^{\widehat{\otimes} i+j+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\mu: U_{h} \hat{\otimes} U_{h} \rightarrow U_{h}$ is the multiplication of $U_{h}$.
For a $2 k$-component bottom tangle $W=W_{1} \cup \ldots \cup W_{2 k} \in B T_{2 k}, k \geq 0$, set

$$
W^{\mathrm{ev}}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} W_{2 i} \in B T_{k} \quad \text { and } \quad W^{\mathrm{odd}}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} W_{2 i-1} \in B T_{k} .
$$

For a diagram $P$ of $W$, let $P^{\text {ev }}$ (resp. $P^{\text {odd }}$ ) denote the part of the diagram $P$ corresponding to $W^{\text {ev }}$ (resp. $W^{\text {odd }}$ ). We say a bottom tangle $W \in B T_{2 k}$ is eventrivial if $W^{\text {ev }}$ is a trivial bottom tangle. For example, see Figure 17. We also say a diagram $P$ of $W$ is even-trivial if and only if $P^{\text {ev }}$ has no self crossings. Note that a bottom tangle $W$ has an even-trivial diagram if and only if $W$ is even-trivial.


Figure 17: An even-trivial bottom tangle $W \in B T_{6}$. Here $W^{\text {ev }}$ is depicted with thick lines.

The following lemma is almost the same as [3, Theorem 11.5].
Proposition 4.9 For any bottom tangle $T \in B T_{n}$, the following conditions are equivalent.
(1) $T$ is a ribbon bottom tangle.
(2) There is an even-trivial bottom tangle $W \in B T_{2 k}, k \geq 0$, and there are integers $N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n} \geq 0$ satisfying $N_{1}+\cdots+N_{n}=k$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\mu_{b}^{\left[N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n}\right]} \operatorname{ad}_{b}^{\otimes k}(W), \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{ad}_{b}^{\otimes k}: B T_{2 k} \rightarrow B T_{k}
$$

is as depicted in Figure 18, and

$$
\mu_{b}^{\left[N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n}\right]}: B T_{N_{1}+\cdots+N_{n}} \rightarrow B T_{n}
$$

is as depicted in Figure 19.

If (27) holds, then we call $\left(W ; N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n}\right)$ a ribbon data for $T$. For example, the ribbon bottom tangle $\mu^{[1,2,0]}\left(\operatorname{ad}_{b}\right)^{\otimes 3}(W) \in B T_{3}$ with the ribbon data $(W \in$ $B T_{3} ; 1,2,0$ ), where $W$ is the bottom tangle in Figure 17 , is as depicted in Figure 20.

Proof of Proposition 4.9 In view of Proposition 2.2, the proof is almost the same as that of [3, Theorem 11.5].


Figure 18: A bottom tangle $T \in B T_{2 k}$ and the bottom tangle $\mathrm{ad}_{b}^{\otimes k}(T) \in B T_{k}$


Figure 19: A bottom tangle $T \in B T_{k}$ and the bottom tangle $\mu_{b}^{\left[N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n}\right]}(T) \in B T_{n}$


Figure 20: The ribbon bottom tangle $\mu^{[1,2,0]}\left(\operatorname{ad}_{b}\right)^{\otimes 3}(W) \in B T_{3}$ for the even-trivial bottom tangle $W \in B T_{3}$ in Figure 17

For $n \geq 1$, let

$$
\mu^{[n]}: U_{h}^{\hat{\otimes} n} \rightarrow U_{h}, \quad x_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n} \mapsto x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}
$$

denote the $n$-input multiplication. For integers $N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n} \geq 0, N_{1}+\cdots+N_{n}=k$, set

$$
\mu^{\left[N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n}\right]}=\mu^{\left[N_{1}\right]} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu^{\left[N_{n}\right]}: U_{h}^{\widehat{\otimes} k} \rightarrow U_{h}^{\hat{\otimes} n} .
$$

Proposition 4.10 Let $T \in B T_{n}$ be a ribbon bottom tangle with ribbon data ( $W \in$ $\left.B T_{2 k} ; N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n}\right)$. Then we have

$$
J_{T}=\mu^{\left[N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n}\right]} \operatorname{ad}^{\otimes k}\left(J_{W}\right),
$$

where $\mathrm{ad}^{\otimes k}: U_{h}^{\widehat{\otimes} 2 k} \rightarrow U_{h}^{\widehat{\otimes} k}$ is the $k$-fold tensor power of the adjoint action.
Proof By Lemma 4.8, we have

$$
J_{\mathrm{ad}_{b}^{\otimes k}(T)}=\operatorname{ad}^{\otimes k}\left(J_{T}\right),
$$

for $T \in B T_{2 k}$, and

$$
J_{\mu_{b}^{\left[N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n}\right]}(T)}=\mu^{\left[N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n}\right]}\left(J_{T}\right),
$$

for $T \in B T_{k}$. This implies the assertion.

## 5 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2. Let $T \in B T_{n}, n \geq 0$, be a ribbon bottom tangle, and $\left(W \in B T_{2 k} ; N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n}\right), k \geq 0$, a ribbon data for $T$. Let $P_{W}$ be an even-trivial diagram of $W$, and $s \in \mathcal{S}\left(P_{W}\right)$ a state. We use this setting throughout this section. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is outlined as follows.

First, we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1 We have

$$
J\left(P_{W}, s\right) \in \tilde{D}^{\mathrm{Lk}(T)}\left(U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}^{\mathrm{ev}} \otimes \bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)^{\otimes k}
$$

Then we consider the contribution of $\widetilde{D}^{\mathrm{Lk}(T)}$ to the adjoint action, and we construct an element $\widetilde{J}\left(P_{W}, s\right) \in\left(U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}^{\mathrm{ev}} \otimes \bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)^{\otimes k}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ad}^{\otimes k}\left(J\left(P_{W}, s\right)\right)=\operatorname{ad}^{\otimes k}\left(\widetilde{J}\left(P_{W}, s\right)\right) . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by Proposition 3.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ad}^{\otimes k}\left(J\left(P_{W}, s\right)\right) \in\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)^{\otimes k} . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we define a completion $\left.\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\text {ev }}\right)\right)^{\hat{\otimes} k}$ of $\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\text {ev }}\right)^{\otimes k}$ and prove Theorem 1.2, that is, we prove

$$
J_{T}=\mu^{\left[N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n}\right]} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}\left(P_{W}\right)} \mathrm{ad}^{\otimes k}\left(J\left(P_{W}, s\right)\right) \in\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)^{\hat{\otimes} n} .
$$

### 5.1 Proof of Proposition 5.1

We modify the proof of Proposition 4.2. The key to the proof is the fact

$$
K^{m} R_{m[1]}^{+} \otimes R_{m[2]}^{+}, R_{m[1]}^{-} \otimes K^{m} R_{m[2]}^{-} \in\left(U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}^{\mathrm{ev}} \otimes \bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right) \cap\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}} \otimes U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right),
$$

which follows from (24) and (25). Since $P_{W}$ is even-trivial, the set $C\left(P_{W}\right)$ of the crossings of $P_{W}$ is the disjoint union of two subsets
$C^{\mathrm{eo}}=\left\{\right.$ crossings of $P_{W}^{\mathrm{ev}}$ with $\left.P_{W}^{\text {odd }}\right\}$ and $C^{\text {oo }}=\left\{\right.$ crossings of $P_{W}^{\text {odd }}$ with $\left.P_{W}^{\text {odd }}\right\}$.
Thus, on the decorated diagram $\left(P_{W}, s\right)$, we can assume that the element attached to the white dot on $P_{W}^{\text {ev }}$ (resp. $P_{W}^{\text {odd }}$ ) is contained in $\bar{U}_{q}^{\text {ev }}$ (resp. $U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}^{\text {ev }}$ ). For example, we attach elements to positive crossings as depicted in Figure 21. Then for the decorated diagram $\left(P_{W}, s\right)^{\circ}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(P_{W}, s\right)^{\circ} \in\left(U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}^{\mathrm{ev}} \otimes \bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)^{\otimes k} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rest is analogous to the proof of Proposition 4.2.


Figure 21: The three types of positive crossings. We work up to multiplication by $\pm q^{j}, K^{2 j}(j \in \mathbb{Z})$.

### 5.2 The element $\widetilde{J}\left(P_{W}, s\right)$

In this subsection, we construct the element $\widetilde{J}\left(P_{W}, s\right) \in\left(U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}^{\mathrm{ev}} \otimes \bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)^{\otimes k}$ satisfying (28).

Lemma 5.2 For homogeneous elements $x, y \in U_{h}$, we have
(i) $\sum\left(D_{[1]}^{ \pm} \triangleright x\right) \otimes D_{[2]}^{ \pm}=x \otimes K^{ \pm|x|}$,
(ii) $\quad \sum\left(D_{[1]}^{ \pm} \triangleright x\right) \otimes\left(D_{[2]}^{ \pm} \triangleright y\right)=q^{ \pm|x||y|} x \otimes y$, and
(iii) $\quad\left(v^{H^{2} / 2} K\right)^{ \pm 1} \triangleright x=q^{ \pm|x|(|x|+1)} x$.

Proof We prove the formulas for the positive signs. Then the other cases are similar. By the formulas (3)-(5), we have
(i) $\quad \sum\left(D_{[1]}^{+} \triangleright x\right) \otimes D_{[2]}^{+}=\sum\left(D_{[1]}^{+} x D_{[1]}^{-}\right) \otimes\left(D_{[2]}^{+} D_{[2]}^{-}\right)=x \otimes K^{|x|}$.

Using (i), we obtain
(ii) $\quad \sum\left(D_{[1]}^{+} \triangleright x\right) \otimes\left(D_{[2]}^{+} \triangleright y\right)=\sum x \otimes\left(K^{|x|} \triangleright y\right)=q^{|x||y|} x \otimes y$, and
(iii) $\quad\left(v^{H^{2} / 2} K\right) \triangleright x=\sum\left(D_{[1]}^{+} D_{[2]}^{+} K\right) \triangleright x=q^{|x|}\left(D_{[1]}^{+} D_{[2]}^{+} \triangleright x\right)=q^{|x|}\left(K^{|x|} \triangleright x\right)=$ $q^{|x|(|x|+1)} x$.

Lemma 5.3 For $k \geq 0$, let $M=\left(m_{i, j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2 k}$ be a symmetric integer matrix of size $2 k$, satisfying $m_{2 i, 2 j}=0$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq k$. Let $X=x_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{2 k} \in U_{h}^{\otimes 2 k}$ be the tensor product of homogeneous elements $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 k} \in U_{h}$. We have

$$
\operatorname{ad}^{\otimes k}\left(\widetilde{D}^{M} X\right)=q^{N(M, X)} \operatorname{ad}^{\otimes k}\left(\left(1 \otimes K^{2 a_{1}(M, X)} \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes K^{2 a_{m}(M, X)}\right) X\right)
$$

where if we set $X_{i}=x_{2 i-1} \triangleright x_{2 i}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{i}(M, X)=\sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} m_{2 i, 2 j-1}\left|X_{j}\right| \\
& N(M, X)=\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq k} 2 m_{2 i-1,2 j-1}\left|X_{i}\right|\left|X_{j}\right|+\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} m_{2 i-1,2 i-1}\left|X_{i}\right|\left(\left|X_{i}\right|+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\left|X_{i}\right|=\left|x_{2 i-1}\right|+\left|x_{2 i}\right|$ is the degree of $X_{i}$ defined in Section 3.

Proof We use induction on $\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2 k}\left|m_{i j}\right|$. If $\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2 k}\left|m_{i j}\right|=0$, that is, $M=0$, then the claim is clear. Let us assume $M \neq 0$. Then there is a matrix $M^{\prime}$ satisfying the assertion, and either

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
M=M^{\prime} \pm\left(1_{2 i, 2 j-1}+1_{2 j-1,2 i}\right), & \text { for } 1 \leq i \neq j \leq k, \text { or } \\
M=M^{\prime} \pm\left(1_{2 i-1,2 j-1}+1_{2 j-1,2 i-1}\right), & \text { for } 1 \leq i \neq j \leq k, \text { or } \\
M=M^{\prime} \pm 1_{2 i-1,2 i-1}, & \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq k,
\end{array}
$$

where $1_{i, j}$ is the matrix of size $2 k$ such that the $(i, j)$-component is 1 and the others are 0 . Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{D}^{M^{\prime} \pm\left(1_{i, j}+1_{j, i}\right)} & =\widetilde{D}^{M^{\prime}} D_{i, j}^{ \pm 2}, & & \text { for } 1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2 k, \text { and } \\
\widetilde{D}^{M^{\prime} \pm 1_{i i}} & =\widetilde{D}^{M^{\prime}}\left(v^{H^{2} / 2} K\right)_{i}^{ \pm 1}, & & \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq 2 k .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the following formulas using Lemma 5.2 imply the assertion.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ad}^{\otimes k}\left(D_{2 i, 2 j-1}^{ \pm 1} X\right) & =X_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes\left(x_{2 i-1} \triangleright D_{[1]}^{ \pm} x_{2 i}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes\left(D_{[2]}^{ \pm} \triangleright X_{j}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{k} \\
& =X_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes\left(x_{2 i-1} \triangleright K^{ \pm\left|X_{j}\right|} x_{2 i}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{j} \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{k}, \\
\operatorname{ad}^{\otimes k}\left(D_{2 i, 2 i-1}^{ \pm 1} X\right) & =X_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes\left(D_{[2]}^{ \pm} x_{2 i-1} \triangleright D_{[1]}^{ \pm} x_{2 i}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{k} \\
& =X_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes\left(x_{2 i-1} \triangleright K^{ \pm\left|X_{i}\right|} x_{2 i}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{j} \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{k}, \\
\mathrm{ad}^{\otimes k}\left(D_{2 i-1,2 j-1}^{ \pm 1} X\right) & =X_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes\left(D_{[1]}^{ \pm} \triangleright X_{i}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes\left(D_{[2]}^{ \pm} \triangleright X_{j}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{k} \\
& =q^{ \pm\left|X_{i}\right|\left|X_{j}\right| X_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{i} \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{j} \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{k},} \\
\operatorname{ad}^{\otimes k}\left(\left(v^{H^{2} / 2} K\right)_{2 i-1}^{ \pm 1} X\right) & =X_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes\left(\left(v^{H^{2} / 2} K\right)^{ \pm 1} \triangleright X_{i}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{k} \\
& =q^{ \pm\left|X_{i}\right|\left(\left|X_{i}\right|+1\right)} X_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{i} \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{k},
\end{aligned}
$$

for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq k$.

By Proposition 5.1, we have

$$
X:=\left(\widetilde{D}^{\mathrm{Lk}(W)}\right)^{-1} J\left(P_{W}, s\right) \in\left(U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}^{\mathrm{ev}} \otimes \bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)^{\otimes k}
$$

Since the linking matrix $\operatorname{Lk}(W)$ of $W$ satisfies the assumption of Lemma 5.3, we obtain the element $\widetilde{J}\left(P_{W}, s\right) \in\left(U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}^{\mathrm{ev}} \otimes \bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)^{\otimes k}$ satisfying (28), such that

$$
\tilde{J}\left(P_{W}, s\right)=q^{N}\left(1 \otimes K^{2 a_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes K^{2 a_{k}}\right) X,
$$

where we set

$$
N=N(\operatorname{Lk}(W), X), \quad \text { and } \quad a_{i}=a_{i}(\operatorname{Lk}(W), X),
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, k$, as in Lemma 5.3.

### 5.3 Filtrations of $\overline{\boldsymbol{U}}_{\boldsymbol{q}}^{\mathrm{ev}}$

In this subsection, we define two filtrations $\left\{A_{p}\right\}_{p \geq 0}$ and $\left\{C_{p}\right\}_{p \geq 0}$ of $\bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}$, which are cofinal with each other. We give four equivalent definitions for $\left\{A_{p}\right\}_{p \geq 0}$, and two for $\left\{C_{p}\right\}_{p \geq 0}$.

For a subset $X \subset \bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}$, let $\langle X\rangle_{\text {ideal }}$ denote the two-sided ideal of $\bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}$ generated by $X$. For $p \geq 0$, set

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
A_{p} & =\left\langle U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \triangleright e^{p}\right\rangle_{\text {ideal }}, & A_{p}^{\prime} & =\left\langle U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \triangleright f^{p}\right\rangle_{\text {ideal }} \\
B_{p} & =\left\langle K^{p}\left(U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \triangleright K^{-p} e^{p}\right)\right\rangle_{\text {ideal }}, & B_{p}^{\prime}=\left\langle K^{p}\left(U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \triangleright f^{p} K^{-p}\right)\right\rangle_{\text {ideal }}, \\
C_{p} & =\left\langle\sum_{p^{\prime} \geq p}\left(U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \widetilde{E}^{\left(p^{\prime}\right)} \triangleright \bar{U}_{q}^{\text {ev }}\right)\right\rangle_{\text {ideal }}, & C_{p}^{\prime}=\left\langle\sum_{p^{\prime} \geq p}\left(U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \widetilde{F}^{\left(p^{\prime}\right)} \triangleright \bar{U}_{q}^{\text {ev }}\right)\right\rangle_{\text {ideal }}
\end{array}
$$

Proposition 5.4 (i) $\left\{A_{p}\right\}_{p \geq 0}$ is a decreasing filtration.
(ii) For $p \geq 0$, we have

$$
A_{p}=A_{p}^{\prime}=B_{p}=B_{p}^{\prime}
$$

Proof (i) In view of Proposition 3.2, the assertion follows from

$$
A_{p+1}=\left\langle\left(U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \triangleright e\right)\left(U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \triangleright e^{p}\right)\right\rangle_{\text {ideal }} \subset A_{p}
$$

for $p \geq 0$.
(ii) By the formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{p} K^{-p} & =(-1)^{p} q^{-p^{2}} \widetilde{F}^{(2 p)} \triangleright K^{-p} e^{p} \in U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \triangleright K^{-p} e^{p},  \tag{31}\\
K^{-p} e^{p} & =(-1)^{p} q^{p^{2}} \widetilde{E}^{(2 p)} \triangleright f^{p} K^{-p} \in U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \triangleright f^{p} K^{-p}, \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

we have $B_{p}=B_{p}^{\prime}$. We prove $A_{p}=B_{p}$, then $A_{p}^{\prime}=B_{p}^{\prime}$ is similar. By Proposition 3.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
K^{p}\left(U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \triangleright K^{-p} e^{p}\right) & \subset K^{p}\left(U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \triangleright K^{-p}\right) \cdot\left(U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \triangleright e^{p}\right) \\
& \subset \bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\left(U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \triangleright e^{p}\right) \subset A_{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have $B_{p} \subset A_{p}$. Conversely, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \triangleright e^{p}=U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \triangleright K^{p} K^{-p} e^{p} & \subset\left(U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \triangleright K^{p}\right) \cdot\left(U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \triangleright K^{-p} e^{p}\right) \\
& \subset \bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}} K^{p}\left(U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \triangleright K^{-p} e^{p}\right) \subset B_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have $A_{p} \subset B_{p}$, this completes the proof.

## Proposition 5.5 (i) For $p \geq 0$, we have $C_{p}=C_{p}^{\prime}$.

(ii) For $p \geq 0$, we have $C_{2 p} \subset A_{p}$.
(iii) If $p \geq 0$ is even, then we have $C_{2 p}=A_{p}$.

## Proof

(i) We prove $C_{p} \subset C_{p}^{\prime}$, then $C_{p} \supset C_{p}^{\prime}$ is similar. Using the formula

$$
\widetilde{E}^{(2 p)} \triangleright \widetilde{F}^{(p)} K^{-p}=(-1)^{p} q^{-\frac{1}{2} p(p+1)} K^{-p} \widetilde{E}^{(p)},
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \widetilde{E}^{(p)} & \subset U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}\left(\widetilde{E}^{(2 p)} \triangleright \widetilde{F}^{(p)} K^{-p}\right) \\
& \subset U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \widetilde{F}^{(p)} U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \widetilde{E}^{(p)} \triangleright \bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}} & \subset U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \widetilde{F}^{(p)} U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \triangleright \bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}} \\
& \subset U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \widetilde{F}^{(p)} \triangleright \bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
(ii) In view of Lemma 3.1, it is enough to prove that

$$
\tilde{E}^{\left(p^{\prime}\right)} \triangleright f^{i_{1}} K^{2 i_{2}} e^{i_{3}} \subset A_{p},
$$

for $p^{\prime} \geq 2 p$. If $i_{1} \geq p^{\prime} \geq p$, then the assertion follows from

$$
U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \triangleright f^{i_{1}} K^{2 i_{2}} e^{i_{3}} \subset\left(U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \triangleright f^{i_{1}}\right) \bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}} \subset A_{p}^{\prime}=A_{p} .
$$

If $i_{1}<p^{\prime}$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{E}^{\left(p^{\prime}\right)} \triangleright f^{i_{1}} K^{2 i_{2}} e^{i_{3}} & \in\left\langle U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \triangleright f^{i_{1}}\right\rangle_{\text {ideal }} \cap\left\langle e^{i_{3}+p^{\prime}-i_{1}}\right\rangle_{\text {ideal }}, \\
& \subset A_{i_{1}}^{\prime} \cap A_{i_{3}+p^{\prime}-i_{1}}, \\
& \subset A_{\max \left\{i_{1}, i_{3}+p^{\prime}-i_{1}\right\}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the $\in$ follows from the formula (21), and the last $\subset$ follows from Proposition 5.4. Hence the assertion follows from

$$
\max \left\{i_{1}, i_{3}+p^{\prime}-i_{1}\right\} \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(i_{3}+p^{\prime}\right) \geq p .
$$

(iii) If $p \geq 0$ is even, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
K^{p}\left(U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \triangleright K^{-p} e^{p}\right) & =(-1)^{p} q^{p^{2}} K^{p}\left(U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \triangleright\left(\widetilde{E}^{(2 p)} \triangleright f^{p} K^{-p}\right)\right) \\
& \subset\left\langle U_{\mathbb{Z}, q} \widetilde{E}^{(2 p)} \triangleright \bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right\rangle_{\text {ideal }} \subset C_{2 p},
\end{aligned}
$$

from (31). Hence we have $C_{2 p} \supset B_{p}\left(=A_{p}\right)$, this completes the proof.
Corollary 5.6 For $p \geq 0$, we have

$$
C_{2 p} \subset h^{p} U_{h} .
$$

Proof Since $e^{p} \subset h^{p} U_{h}$, we have $C_{2 p} \subset A_{p} \subset h^{p} U_{h}$ by Proposition 5.5.

### 5.4 The completion $\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)^{-\hat{\otimes} n}$ of $\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)^{\otimes n}$

In this subsection we define the completion $\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)^{\hat{\otimes} n}$ of $\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)^{\otimes n}$, and prove Theorem 1.2. Let $\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\text {ev }}\right)^{\wedge}$ denote the completion in $U_{h}$ of $\bar{U}_{q}^{\text {ev }}$ with respect to the decreasing filtration $\left\{C_{p}\right\}_{p \geq 0}$, that is, $\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\text {ev }}\right)^{\wedge}$ is the image of the homomorphism

$$
{\underset{p}{\lim _{( }^{2}}}^{\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}} / C_{p}\right) \rightarrow U_{h}}
$$

induced by the inclusion $\bar{U}_{q}^{\text {ev }} \subset U_{h}$, which is well defined since $C_{2 p} \subset h^{p} U_{h}$ for $p \geq 0$. For $n \geq 1$, we define a filtration $\left\{C_{p}^{(n)}\right\}_{p \geq 0}$ for $\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\text {ev }}\right)^{\otimes n}$ by

$$
C_{p}^{(n)}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}} \otimes C_{p} \otimes \bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}},
$$

where $C_{p}$ is at the $j$ th position. Define the completion $\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\text {ev }}\right)^{\widehat{\otimes} n}$ of $\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\text {ev }}\right)^{\otimes n}$ as the image of the homomorphism

$$
{\underset{p}{\lim }}_{\overleftarrow{p}}\left(\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)^{\otimes n} / C_{p}^{(n)}\right) \rightarrow U_{h}^{\widehat{\otimes} n}
$$

For $n=0$, it is natural to set

$$
C_{p}^{(0)}= \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right] & \text { if } p=0 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)^{-\hat{\otimes} 0}=\mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right] .
$$

Recall the setting mentioned at the beginning of this section. For $i=1, \ldots, 2 k$, let $P_{i}$ denote the part of $P_{W}$ corresponding to the $i$ th component of $W=W_{1} \cup \ldots \cup W_{2 k}$, and $C\left(P_{i}\right)$ the set of the crossings on the component $P_{i}$. For $p \geq 0$, we denote by $\mathcal{I}_{p}$ the two-sided ideal of $U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}$ generated by $\widetilde{E}^{(p)}, \widetilde{F}^{(p)} \in U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}$. For $s \in \mathcal{S}\left(P_{W}\right)$, set $|s|_{i}=\max \left\{s(c) \mid c \in C\left(P_{i}\right)\right\}$.

Lemma 5.7 For each $s \in \mathcal{S}\left(P_{W}\right)$, there are elements $w_{2 i-1} \in U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}^{\mathrm{ev}} \cap \mathcal{I}_{|s|_{2 i-1}}$ and $w_{2 i} \in \bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}} \cap \mathcal{I}_{|s|_{2 i}}$ for $i=1, \ldots k$, such that

$$
\tilde{J}\left(P_{W}, s\right)=w_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{2 k}
$$

Proof Let $\left(P_{i}, s\right)^{\circ}$ denote the decorated diagram with $P_{i}$ and white dots of $\left(P_{W}, s\right)^{\circ}$ on $P_{i}$ (see Definition 4.3 for the definition of $\left.\left(P_{W}, s\right)^{\circ}\right)$. Recall that one of the elements $\widetilde{E}^{(s(c))}, \widetilde{F}^{(s(c))}, e^{s(c)}, f^{s(c)}$ is labeled on a white dot on a crossings $c$ of the decorated diagram $\left(P_{W}, s\right)^{\circ}$. Since each of those elements is contained in $\mathcal{I}_{s(c)}$, we have

$$
J\left(P_{i}, s\right)^{\circ} \in \mathcal{I}_{|s|_{i}} .
$$

Note that

$$
\tilde{J}\left(P_{W}, s\right) \sim\left(\widetilde{D}^{\mathrm{Lk}(W)}\right)^{-1} J\left(P_{W}, s\right) \sim J\left(P_{1}, s\right)^{\circ} \otimes \cdots \otimes J\left(P_{2 k}, s\right)^{\circ},
$$

where $\sim$ means equality up to multiplication by $\pm q^{j}, K^{2 j}(j \in \mathbb{Z})$ on any tensorands. This and Proposition 5.1 complete the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $|s|=\max \left\{s(c) \mid c \in C\left(P_{W}\right)\right\}$ denote the maximal integer of the image of $s$. Since every crossing of $P_{W}$ has at least one strand in $P_{W}^{\text {odd }}$, we can assume $s(c)=|s|$ for a crossing $c$ that has a strand of $P_{2 j-1}, 1 \leq j \leq k$. Take elements $w_{2 i-1} \in U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}^{\mathrm{ev}} \cap \mathcal{I}_{|s|_{2 i-1}}$ and $w_{2 i} \in \bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}} \cap \mathcal{I}_{|s|_{2 i}}, i=1, \ldots, k$, as in Lemma 5.7. We have

$$
w_{2 j-1} \in \mathcal{I}_{|s|} .
$$

Since $\mathcal{I}_{|s|} \triangleright \bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}} \subset C_{|s|}$, we have

$$
w_{2 j-1} \triangleright w_{2 j} \in C_{|s|} .
$$

In view of Proposition 3.2, we have

$$
\operatorname{ad}^{\otimes k}\left(\widetilde{J}\left(P_{W}, s\right)\right)=\operatorname{ad}^{\otimes k}\left(w_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{2 k}\right) \in C_{|s|}^{(k)} .
$$

Thus by Proposition 4.10, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{T} & =\mu^{\left[N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n}\right]} \mathrm{ad}^{\otimes k}\left(J_{W}\right) \\
& =\sum_{l \geq 0} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}\left(P_{W}\right),|s|=l} \mu^{\left[N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n}\right]} \mathrm{ad}^{\otimes k}\left(\widetilde{J}\left(P_{W}, s\right)\right) \in\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)^{\hat{\otimes} n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.

Remark 5.8 Recall from [4] the $\mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$-subalgebra $\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\text {ev }}\right)^{-\widetilde{\otimes} n}$ of $U_{h}^{\widehat{\otimes} n}$. We can prove the inclusion $\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\text {ev }}\right)^{-\otimes n} \subset\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\text {ev }}\right)^{-\otimes n}$ as follows. We have only to prove $C_{2 p} \subset$ $\mathcal{F}_{p}\left(\mathcal{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)$, for $p \geq 0$, where $\mathcal{F}_{p}\left(\mathcal{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)$ denotes the two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}$ generated by $e^{p}$. In view of Proposition 5.5 , we have only to prove $A_{p} \subset \mathcal{F}_{p}\left(\mathcal{U}_{q}^{\text {ev }}\right)$. Set

$$
\left[{ }_{p}^{H+i}\right]_{q}=\{H+i\}_{q, p} /\{p\}_{q}!,
$$

for $i \in \mathbb{Z}, p \geq 0$. One can show that

$$
U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}^{\mathrm{ev}}=\bigoplus_{i, j \geq 0} \widetilde{F}^{(i)} U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}^{\mathrm{ev} 0} \widetilde{E}^{(j)}
$$

where $U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}^{\mathrm{ev} 0}$ is the $\mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$-subalgebra of $U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}^{\mathrm{ev}}$ generated by $K^{2}, K^{-2}$ and $\left[{ }^{H+i}\right]_{q}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}, p \geq 0$ (This fact is a variant of a well known fact on Lusztig's integral form $U_{\mathbb{Z}}$ [8].) Thus it is enough to prove that

$$
\widetilde{F}^{(i)} g \widetilde{E}^{(j)} \triangleright e^{p} \subset \mathcal{F}_{p}\left(\mathcal{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right),
$$

for $i, j \geq 0$ and $g \in U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}^{\mathrm{ev} 0}$. For a homogeneous element $x \in U_{h}$, we have

$$
K \triangleright x=q^{|x|} x \quad \text { and } \quad[\stackrel{H+k}{l}]_{q} \triangleright x=\left[\begin{array}{c}
2|x|+k \\
l
\end{array}\right]_{q} x,
$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}, l \geq 0$. Hence we have

$$
U_{\mathbb{Z}, q}^{\mathrm{ev} 0} \triangleright x \subset \mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right] x .
$$

Then the claim follows from

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{E}^{(j)} \triangleright e^{p}=(-1)^{j}\left[\begin{array}{c}
j+p-1 \\
j
\end{array}\right]_{q} e^{p+j}, \\
& \widetilde{F}^{(i)} \triangleright e^{p+j}=\sum_{k=0}^{i}(-1)^{k} q^{-\frac{1}{2} k(k-1)+k(p+j)} \widetilde{F}^{(i-k)} e^{p+j} \widetilde{F}^{(k)} \subset \mathcal{F}_{p}\left(\mathcal{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 6 Examples

The Borromean tangle $B \in B T_{3}$ is the bottom tangle depicted in Figure 22. Note that $B$ is a 3-component, algebraically-split, 0 -framed bottom tangle, and the closure of $B$ is the Borromean rings $L_{B}$. It is well known that $L_{B}$ is not a ribbon link. In [4],


Figure 22: The Borromean tangle $B \in B T_{3}$
the following formula of the universal $s l_{2}$ invariant of $B$ is observed.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
J_{B}=\sum_{\substack{m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}, n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3} \geq 0}} q^{m_{3}+n_{3}}(-1)^{n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(-\frac{1}{2} m_{i}\left(m_{i}+1\right)-n_{i}+m_{i} m_{i+1}-2 m_{i} n_{i-1}\right)}  \tag{33}\\
\widetilde{F}^{\left(n_{3}\right)} e^{m_{1}} \widetilde{F}^{\left(m_{3}\right)} e^{n_{1}} K^{-2 m_{2}} \otimes \widetilde{F}^{\left(n_{1}\right)} e^{m_{2}} \widetilde{F}^{\left(m_{1}\right)} e^{n_{2}} K^{-2 m_{3}} \\
\otimes \widetilde{F}^{\left(n_{2}\right)} e^{m_{3}} \widetilde{F}^{\left(m_{2}\right)} e^{n_{3}} K^{-2 m_{1}} \notin\left(\bar{U}_{q}^{\mathrm{ev}}\right)^{-\widehat{\otimes} 3},
\end{array}
$$

where the index $i$ should be considered modulo 3 . The following is also observed in [4].

$$
J_{L_{B} ; \widetilde{P}_{i}^{\prime}, \widetilde{P}_{j}^{\prime}, \widetilde{P}_{k}^{\prime}}= \begin{cases}(-1)^{i} q^{-i(3 i-1)}\{2 i+1\}_{q, i+1} /\{1\}_{q} & \text { if } \quad i=j=k  \tag{34}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Since $\frac{\{2 i+1\}_{q, i+1}}{\{1\}_{q}} \notin \frac{\{2 i+1\}_{q, i+1}}{\{1\}_{q}} I_{i} I_{i}$ for $i \geq 1$, each of (33) and (34) implies that the Borromean rings $L_{B}$ is not a ribbon link.

Remark 6.1 Let $L_{K}$ be the 2-component link obtained from a knot $K$ by duplicating the component. Indeed, $L_{K}$ is a boundary link. In particular, if $K$ is a ribbon knot, then $L_{K}$ is a ribbon link. We can prove

$$
J_{L_{K} ; \widetilde{P}_{m}^{\prime}, \widetilde{P}_{n}^{\prime}} \in \frac{\{2 m+1\}_{q, m+1}}{\{1\}_{q}} I_{n}
$$

as follows. By the formulas in [4, Section 8], we have

$$
\widetilde{P}_{m}^{\prime} \widetilde{P}_{n}^{\prime}=\sum_{k=0}^{\min (m, n)} q^{-k l} \frac{\{m+n\}_{q}!}{\{k\}_{q}!\{m-k\}_{q}!\{n-k\}_{q}!} \widetilde{P}_{l}^{\prime}=\sum_{k=0}^{\min (m, n)} q^{-l(k+l+1)} C_{k, m, n}(q) P_{l}^{\prime \prime}
$$

where $l=m+n-k, P_{l}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\{1\}_{q}}{\{2 l+1\}_{q, l+1}} q^{l(l+1)} \widetilde{P}_{l}^{\prime}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{k, m, n}(q) & =\frac{\{2 m+1\}_{q, m+1}}{\{1\}_{q}}\{k\}_{q}!\{n-k\}_{q}!\left[\begin{array}{c}
2 l+1 \\
2 m+1
\end{array}\right]_{q}\left[\begin{array}{c}
2(n-k) \\
n-k
\end{array}\right]_{q}\left[\begin{array}{c}
m+n \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q}\left[\begin{array}{c}
m \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q} \\
& \in \frac{\{2 m+1\}_{q, m+1}}{\{1\}_{q}} I_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

[4, Theorem 6.4] implies that $J_{K ; P_{l}^{\prime \prime}} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$ for $l \geq 0$, hence we have

$$
J_{L_{K} ; \tilde{P}_{m}^{\prime}, \widetilde{P}_{n}^{\prime}}=J_{K ; \tilde{P}_{m}^{\prime} \cdot \tilde{P}_{n}^{\prime}}=\sum_{k=0}^{\min (m, n)} q^{-l(k+l+1)} C_{k, m, n}(q) J_{K ; P_{l}^{\prime \prime}} \in \frac{\{2 m+1\}_{q, m+1}}{\{1\}_{q}} I_{n} .
$$
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