

## Universality of multiplicative infinite loop space machines

DAVID GEPNER  
MORITZ GROTH  
THOMAS NIKOLAUS

We establish a canonical and unique tensor product for commutative monoids and groups in an  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  which generalizes the ordinary tensor product of abelian groups. Using this tensor product we show that  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -(semi)ring objects in  $\mathcal{C}$  give rise to  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -ring spectrum objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ . In the case that  $\mathcal{C}$  is the  $\infty$ -category of spaces this produces a multiplicative infinite loop space machine which can be applied to the algebraic K-theory of rings and ring spectra.

The main tool we use to establish these results is the theory of smashing localizations of presentable  $\infty$ -categories. In particular, we identify preadditive and additive  $\infty$ -categories as the local objects for certain smashing localizations. A central theme is the stability of algebraic structures under basechange; for example, we show  $\text{Ring}(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{Ring}(\mathcal{D}) \otimes \mathcal{C}$ . Lastly, we also consider these algebraic structures from the perspective of Lawvere algebraic theories in  $\infty$ -categories.

55P48; 55P43, 19D23

### 0 Introduction

The Grothendieck group  $K_0(M)$  of a commutative monoid  $M$ , also known as the group completion, is the universal abelian group which receives a monoid map from  $M$ . It was a major insight of Quillen that higher algebraic K-groups can be defined as the homotopy groups of a certain spectrum which admits a similar description: more precisely, from the perspective of higher category theory, the algebraic K-theory spectrum of a ring  $R$  can be understood as the group completion of the groupoid of projective  $R$ -modules, viewed as a symmetric monoidal category with respect to the coproduct.

When  $R$  is commutative, the algebraic K-groups inherit a multiplication which stems from the tensor product of  $R$ -modules. Just as the K-groups arise as homotopy groups of the K-theory spectrum, it is essential for computational and theoretical purposes to understand the multiplication on these groups as coming from a highly structured multiplication on the K-theory spectrum itself. Unfortunately it turned out to be hard

to construct such a multiplication directly, partly because for a long time the proper framework to deal with multiplicative structures on spectra was missing. Important work on this question was pioneered by May [22], and the general theory of homotopy coherent algebraic structures goes back at least to Boardman and Vogt [8], May [21], and Segal [28].

It was first shown by May that the group completion functor from  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -spaces to spectra preserves multiplicative structure [22]; see also the more recent accounts [23; 24; 25]. Since then, several authors have given alternative constructions of multiplicative structure on K-theory spectra: most notably, Elmendorf and Mandell promote the infinite loop space machine of Segal to a multifunctor in [12] and in [13] they extend the K-theory functor from symmetric monoidal categories to symmetric multicategories (aka coloured operads), and Baas, Dundas, Richter and Rognes show how to correct the failure of the “phony multiplication” on the Grayson–Quillen  $S^{-1}S$ -construction in [2], as identified by Thomason [29].

All of these approaches are very carefully crafted and involve for example the intricacies of specific pairs of operads or indexing categories. Here we take a different approach to multiplicative infinite loop space theory, replacing the topological and combinatorial constructions of specific machines by the use of *universal properties*. The main advantage of our approach is that we get strong uniqueness results, which follow for free from the universal properties. The price we pay is that we use the extensive machinery of  $\infty$ -categories and argue in the abstract, without the aid of concrete models. Similar results for the case of Waldhausen K-theory, also using the language of  $\infty$ -categories, have been obtained by Barwick in a recent paper [3].

In this paper we choose to use the language of (presentable)  $\infty$ -categories. But we emphasize the fact that every combinatorial model category gives rise to a presentable  $\infty$ -category, and that all presentable  $\infty$ -categories arise in this way. Moreover the study of presentable  $\infty$ -categories is basically the same as the study of combinatorial model categories, so that in principle all our results could also be formulated in the setting of model categories.

Let us begin by mentioning one of our main results. Associated to an  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  are the  $\infty$ -categories  $\mathcal{C}_*$  of pointed objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  of commutative monoids in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  of commutative groups in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and  $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$  of spectrum objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ . For these  $\infty$ -categories we establish the following:

**Theorem 5-1** *Let  $\mathcal{C}^\otimes$  be a closed symmetric monoidal structure on a presentable  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$ . The  $\infty$ -categories  $\mathcal{C}_*$ ,  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$ , and  $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$  all admit closed symmetric monoidal structures, which are uniquely determined by the requirement that*

the respective free functors from  $\mathcal{C}$  are symmetric monoidal. Moreover, each of the following free functors also extends uniquely to a symmetric monoidal functor

$$\mathcal{C}_* \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(\mathcal{C}).$$

Note that these symmetric monoidal structures allow us to talk about  $\mathbb{E}_n$ –(semi)ring objects and  $\mathbb{E}_n$ –ring spectrum objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Before we sketch the general ideas involved in the proof, it is worth indicating what this theorem amounts to for specific choices of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

- (i) If  $\mathcal{C}$  is the ordinary category of sets, then the symmetric monoidal structures of Theorem 5-1 recover for instance the tensor product of abelian monoids and abelian groups. This also reestablishes the easy result that the group completion functor  $K_0$  is symmetric monoidal.
- (ii) In the case of the 2–category  $\text{Cat}$  of ordinary categories, functors, and natural isomorphisms we obtain a symmetric monoidal structure on the 2–category of symmetric monoidal categories  $\text{SymMonCat}$ . The symmetric monoidal structure on  $\text{SymMonCat} \simeq \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Cat})$  has been the subject of confusion in the past due to the fact that  $\text{SymMonCat}$  only has the desired symmetric monoidal structure when considered as a 2–category and not as a 1–category. In this case,  $\mathbb{E}_n$ –(semi)ring objects are  $\mathbb{E}_n$ –(semi)ring categories (sometimes also called *rig categories*), important examples of which are given by the bipermutative categories of May [23]. We also obtain higher categorical analogues of this picture using  $\text{Cat}_n$  and  $\text{Cat}_\infty$ .<sup>1</sup>
- (iii) Finally, and most importantly for this paper, we consider Theorem 5-1 in the special case of the  $\infty$ –category  $\mathcal{S}$  of spaces (which can be obtained from the model category of spaces or simplicial sets). That way we get canonical monoidal structures on  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ –spaces and grouplike  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ –spaces. The resulting  $\mathbb{E}_n$ –algebras are  $\mathbb{E}_n$ –(semi)ring spaces; more precisely, they are an  $\infty$ –categorical analogue of the  $\mathbb{E}_n$ –(semi)ring spaces of May; see, for example, May [25]. Moreover, we obtain unique multiplicative structures on the group completion functor  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$  and the *delooping functor*  $\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}$  which assigns a spectrum to a grouplike  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ –space. In particular, the spectrum associated to an  $\mathbb{E}_n$ –(semi)ring space is an  $\mathbb{E}_n$ –ring spectrum, which amounts to *multiplicative infinite loop space theory*.

These facts can be assembled together in Section 8 to obtain a new description of the multiplicative structure on the algebraic K–theory functor  $K: \text{SymMonCat} \rightarrow \text{Sp}$  and its

---

<sup>1</sup> Interestingly, we have equivalences  $\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Cat}_n) \simeq \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Gpd}_n)$  and  $\text{Sp}(\text{Cat}_n) \simeq \text{Sp}(\text{Gpd}_n)$ , and the latter is trivial unless  $n = \infty$ ; more generally,  $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$  is trivial for any  $n$ –category  $\mathcal{C}$  if  $n$  is finite.

$\infty$ -categorical variant  $K: \text{SymMonCat}_\infty \rightarrow \text{Sp}$ . In particular, the algebraic  $K$ -theory of an  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -semiring ( $\infty$ -)category is canonically an  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -ring spectrum. By a *recognition principle* for  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -semiring ( $\infty$ -)categories, this applies to many examples of interest. More precisely, we show in Theorem 8-8 that these semiring  $\infty$ -categories can be obtained from  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -monoidal  $\infty$ -categories with coproducts such that the monoidal structure preserves coproducts in each variable separately. For instance ordinary closed monoidal, braided monoidal, or symmetric monoidal categories admit the structure of  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -semiring categories for  $n = 1, 2, \infty$ , respectively in which the *addition* is given by the coproduct and the *multiplication* is given by the tensor product. More specific examples are given by ( $\infty$ -)categories of modules over ordinary commutative rings or  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -ring spectra.<sup>2</sup>

One central idea to prove Theorem 5-1 as stated above, which is also of independent interest, is to identify the assignments

$$(0-1) \quad \mathcal{C} \mapsto \mathcal{C}_*, \quad \mathcal{C} \mapsto \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}), \quad \mathcal{C} \mapsto \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}), \quad \mathcal{C} \mapsto \text{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$$

as universal constructions. The first and the last case have already been thoroughly discussed by Lurie [20], where it is shown that, in the world of presentable  $\infty$ -categories,  $\mathcal{C}_*$  is the *free pointed*  $\infty$ -category on  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$  is the *free stable*  $\infty$ -category on  $\mathcal{C}$ . We extend this picture by introducing *preadditive* and *additive*  $\infty$ -categories; see also Toën and Vezzosi [30] and Joyal [15]. These notions are obtained by imposing additional exactness conditions on pointed  $\infty$ -categories, just as is done in the case of ordinary categories. In fact, a presentable  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  is (pre)additive if and only if its homotopy category  $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$  is (pre)additive in the sense of ordinary category theory. We show that, again in the framework of presentable  $\infty$ -categories,  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  is the *free preadditive*  $\infty$ -category on  $\mathcal{C}$  and that  $\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  is the *free additive*  $\infty$ -category on  $\mathcal{C}$  (Corollary 4-9).

As an application of this description as free categories one can deduce the existence and uniqueness of the functors

$$\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_* \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(\mathcal{C}_*)$$

from the fact that every stable  $\infty$ -category is additive, every additive  $\infty$ -category is preadditive and every preadditive  $\infty$ -category is pointed. More abstractly, the assignments (0-1) give rise to endofunctors of the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Pr}^L$  of presentable  $\infty$ -categories and left adjoint functors. The aforementioned universal properties are equivalent to the observation that these endofunctors are localizations (in the sense

---

<sup>2</sup>But note that the  $\infty$ -category of modules for an  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -ring spectrum is only an  $\mathbb{E}_{n-1}$ -semiring  $\infty$ -category.

of Bousfield) of  $\text{Pr}^{\text{L}}$  with local objects the pointed, preadditive, additive, and stable presentable  $\infty$ -categories, respectively.

A second main theme of the paper is the stability of algebraic structures under basechange. For example we show that we have equivalences

$$\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes \mathcal{D}, \quad \text{Ring}_{\mathbb{E}_n}(\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{Ring}_{\mathbb{E}_n}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes \mathcal{D},$$

where  $\otimes$  denotes the tensor product on  $\text{Pr}^{\text{L}}$  as constructed by Lurie [20] (Corollary 4-7 and Proposition 7-7). Such basechange properties are satisfied by many endofunctors of  $\text{Pr}^{\text{L}}$  which arise when considering algebraic structures of certain kinds, eg  $\mathcal{C} \mapsto \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{C})$  for a Lawvere algebraic theory  $\mathbb{T}$ . We give a brief account of algebraic theories in Appendix B.

A key insight here is to consider endofunctors of  $\text{Pr}^{\text{L}}$  which satisfy both properties: namely, they are simultaneously localizations and satisfy basechange. In keeping with the terminology of stable homotopy theory we refer to such functors as *smashing localizations* of  $\text{Pr}^{\text{L}}$ . The endofunctors  $(-)_*$ ,  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}$ ,  $\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}$  and  $\text{Sp}$  from (0-1) are the main examples treated in this paper. Then the proof of Theorem 5-1 follows as a special case of the general theory of smashing localizations  $L: \text{Pr}^{\text{L}} \rightarrow \text{Pr}^{\text{L}}$ . For example we prove that if  $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Pr}^{\text{L}}$  is closed symmetric monoidal, then the  $\infty$ -category  $L\mathcal{C}$  admits a *unique* closed symmetric monoidal structure such that the localization map  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow L\mathcal{C}$  is a symmetric monoidal functor (Proposition 3-9).

**Organization of the paper** In Section 1, we recall the definition of the  $\infty$ -category of monoid and group objects in an  $\infty$ -category. They form the generic examples of (pre)additive  $\infty$ -categories which we introduce in Section 2. In Section 3, we study smashing localizations of  $\text{Pr}^{\text{L}}$ , which turns out to be the central notion needed to deduce many of the subsequent results in this paper. We then show, in Section 4, that the formation of commutative monoids and groups in presentable  $\infty$ -categories are examples of smashing localizations of  $\text{Pr}^{\text{L}}$ , and we identify these localizations with the free (pre)additive  $\infty$ -category functor. This leads to the existence of the canonical symmetric monoidal structures described in Section 5, and the next Section 6 is devoted to studying the functoriality of these structures. Then in Section 7 we consider  $\infty$ -categories of (semi)ring objects in a closed symmetric monoidal presentable  $\infty$ -category; these are used in Section 8 to show that the algebraic K-theory of an  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -semiring  $\infty$ -category is an  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -ring spectrum. Finally, in Appendix A we show a relation of functors with comonoids, and in Appendix B we consider monoid, group, and ring objects from the perspective of Lawvere algebraic theories.

**Conventions** We freely use the language of  $\infty$ -categories throughout this paper. In particular, we adopt the notational conventions of Lurie [19; 20] and provide more specific references where necessary.

**Acknowledgements** We would like to thank Ulrich Bunke for suggesting that we work out these results in the setting of  $\infty$ -categories and for carefully reading a previous draft. We'd also like to thank Peter May, Tony Elmendorf and Mike Mandell for helpful comments and discussions. Finally, we are grateful to an anonymous referee for a number of useful suggestions.

## 1 $\infty$ -categories of commutative monoids and groups

Given an  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  with finite products, we may form the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  of  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -monoids in  $\mathcal{C}$ . By definition, an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -monoid  $M \in \mathcal{C}$  is a functor  $M: \mathbb{N}(\text{Fin}_*) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  such that the morphisms  $M(\langle n \rangle) \rightarrow M(\langle 1 \rangle)$  induced by the inert maps  $\rho^i: \langle n \rangle \rightarrow \langle 1 \rangle$  exhibit  $M(\langle n \rangle)$  as an  $n$ -fold power of  $M(\langle 1 \rangle)$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ ; see [20, 2.1.1.8, 2.4.2.1, 2.4.2.2] for details. In the terminology of [28],  $M$  is called a *special*  $\Gamma$ -object of  $\mathcal{C}$ . In what follows we will sometimes abuse notation and also use the same name for the underlying object of such an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -monoid. Given an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -monoid  $M$ , we obtain a (coherently associative and commutative) multiplication map

$$m: M \times M \rightarrow M,$$

uniquely determined up to a contractible space of choices.

We use the term  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  to denote the  $\infty$ -category of  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -monoids in  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to the cartesian product. If  $\mathcal{C}$  is an  $\infty$ -category equipped with a symmetric monoidal structure which is not necessarily the cartesian product, we write  $\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  for the  $\infty$ -category of  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -algebras in  $\mathcal{C}$ ; if the symmetric monoidal structure on  $\mathcal{C}$  happens to be the cartesian product, then we have an equivalence  $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$ .

**Proposition 1-1** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an  $\infty$ -category with finite products and let  $M$  be an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -monoid in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -monoid  $M$  admits an inversion map, ie, there is a map  $i: M \rightarrow M$  such that the composition*

$$M \xrightarrow{\Delta} M \times M \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times i} M \times M \xrightarrow{m} M$$

*is homotopic to the constant functor at the unit.*

- (ii) The commutative monoid object of  $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$  underlying the  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -monoid  $M$  is a group object.
- (iii) The shear map  $s: M \times M \rightarrow M \times M$ , defined as the projection  $\text{pr}_1: M \times M \rightarrow M$  on the first factor and the multiplication  $m: M \times M \rightarrow M$  on the second factor, is an equivalence.
- (iv) The special  $\Gamma$ -object  $M: \mathbf{N}(\text{Fin}_*) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is very special (again in the terminology of [28]).

**Proof** This follows immediately from the fact that  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}(\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C}))$  is conservative and preserves products. □

**Definition 1-2** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an  $\infty$ -category with finite products. An object  $M \in \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  is called an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -group in  $\mathcal{C}$  if it satisfies the equivalent conditions of Proposition 1-1. We write  $\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  for the full subcategory of  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  consisting of the  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -groups.

**Remark 1-3** There are similar equivalent characterizations as in the proposition for  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -monoids,  $n \geq 1$ . In fact, they can be applied more generally to algebras for monochromatic  $\infty$ -operads  $\mathcal{O}$  equipped with a morphism  $\mathbb{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ . In this case, these characterizations serve as a definition of  $\mathcal{O}$ -groups. Since an ordinary monoid having right-inverses is a group, we can use the fact that every morphism in  $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$  lifts to a morphism in  $\mathcal{C}$  to conclude that also the characterizations (i) and (iii) are equivalent to their respective two-sided variants, but in characterization (iv) one must instead use (very) special simplicial objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Remark 1-4** Recall [20, Remark 5.2.6.9] that an  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -monoid object  $M$  of an  $\infty$ -topos  $\mathcal{C}$  is said to be *grouplike* if (the sheaf)  $\pi_0 M$  is a group object. In more general situations, such as for instance  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Cat}_\infty$ , the correct  $\pi_0$  is unclear, and in any case the resulting notion of “grouplike monoid” may not agree with that of “group”.

**Remark 1-5** In our definition of a group object we force the inversion morphism to be an actual morphism of the underlying objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ . In many situations, however, there is a natural inversion which is naturally only an anti-morphism. For example, this is the case in a tensor category with tensor inverses, or in the category of Poisson Lie groups. This suggests that there should be a notion of group object with such an anti-inversion morphism. It would be interesting to study such a notion, though we will not need this.

Given two  $\infty$ -categories  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  with finite products, we write  $\text{Fun}^\Pi(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  for the  $\infty$ -category of finite product preserving functors from  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\mathcal{D}$ . If  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are complete, we write  $\text{Fun}^R(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  for the  $\infty$ -category of limit preserving functors. In this situation, the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Fun}^R(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  is also complete and limits in  $\text{Fun}^R(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  are formed pointwise in  $\mathcal{D}$ . This follows from the corresponding statement for  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  and from the fact that such a pointwise limit of functors is again limit preserving.

**Lemma 1-6** *If  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are  $\infty$ -categories with finite products, then  $\text{Fun}^\Pi(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  also has finite products and we have canonical equivalences*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Fun}^\Pi(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})) &\simeq \text{Fun}^\Pi(\mathcal{C}, \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D})), \\ \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Fun}^\Pi(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})) &\simeq \text{Fun}^\Pi(\mathcal{C}, \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D})). \end{aligned}$$

*If  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are complete, then so is  $\text{Fun}^R(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ , and we have canonical equivalences*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Fun}^R(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})) &\simeq \text{Fun}^R(\mathcal{C}, \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D})), \\ \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Fun}^R(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})) &\simeq \text{Fun}^R(\mathcal{C}, \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D})). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof** We only give the proof of the second case, as the first one is entirely analogous. As recalled above, an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -monoid in an  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{E}$  is given by a functor  $M: \mathbb{N}(\text{Fin}_*) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  satisfying the usual Segal condition, ie, the inert maps  $\langle n \rangle \rightarrow \langle 1 \rangle$  exhibit  $M(\langle n \rangle)$  as the  $n$ -fold power of  $M(\langle 1 \rangle)$ . We denote the full subcategory spanned by such functors by

$$\text{Fun}^\times(\mathbb{N}(\text{Fin}_*), \mathcal{E}) \subseteq \text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}(\text{Fin}_*), \mathcal{E}).$$

Using this notation, we obtain a fully faithful inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Fun}^R(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})) &\simeq \text{Fun}^\times(\mathbb{N}(\text{Fin}_*), \text{Fun}^R(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})) \\ &\subseteq \text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}(\text{Fin}_*), \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})) \simeq \text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}(\text{Fin}_*) \times \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \end{aligned}$$

whose essential image consists of those functors  $F$  such that  $F(-, C): \mathbb{N}(\text{Fin}_*) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is special for all  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  and such that  $F(\langle n \rangle, -): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  preserves limits for all  $\langle n \rangle \in \mathbb{N}(\text{Fin}_*)$ . This follows from the fact that limits in  $\text{Fun}^R(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  are formed pointwise, as remarked above. In a similar vein, we obtain a fully faithful inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fun}^R(\mathcal{C}, \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D})) &\simeq \text{Fun}^R(\mathcal{C}, \text{Fun}^\times(\mathbb{N}(\text{Fin}_*), \mathcal{D})) \\ &\subseteq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}(\text{Fin}_*), \mathcal{D})) \\ &\simeq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{N}(\text{Fin}_*), \mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}(\text{Fin}_*) \times \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \end{aligned}$$

with the same essential image, concluding the proof for the case of monoids. The proof for the case of groups works exactly the same. In fact, using characterization (4) of Proposition 1-1, it suffices to replace special  $\Gamma$ -objects by very special  $\Gamma$ -objects.  $\square$



## 2 Preadditive and additive $\infty$ -categories

An  $\infty$ -category is preadditive if finite coproducts and products exist and are equivalent. More precisely, we have the following definition.

**Definition 2-1** An  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  is *preadditive* if it is pointed, admits finite coproducts and finite products, and the canonical morphism  $C_1 \sqcup C_2 \rightarrow C_1 \times C_2$  is an equivalence for all objects  $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ . In this case any such object will be denoted by  $C_1 \oplus C_2$  and will be referred to as a *biproduct* of  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ .

Let us collect a few immediate examples and closure properties of preadditive  $\infty$ -categories.

**Example 2-2** An ordinary category  $\mathcal{C}$  is preadditive if and only if  $N(\mathcal{C})$  is a preadditive  $\infty$ -category. Products and opposites of preadditive  $\infty$ -categories are preadditive. Clearly any  $\infty$ -category equivalent to a preadditive one is again preadditive. Finally, if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a preadditive  $\infty$ -category and  $K$  is any simplicial set, then  $\text{Fun}(K, \mathcal{C})$  is preadditive. This follows immediately from the fact that (co)limits in functor categories are calculated pointwise [19, Corollary 5.1.2.3].

We will obtain more examples of preadditive  $\infty$ -categories from the following proposition, which gives a connection to Section 1.

**Proposition 2-3** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an  $\infty$ -category with finite coproducts and products. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *The  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  is preadditive.*
- (ii) *The homotopy category  $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$  is preadditive.*
- (iii) *The  $\infty$ -operad  $\mathcal{C}^{\sqcup} \rightarrow N(\text{Fin}_*)$  as constructed in [20, Construction 2.4.3.1] is cartesian [20, Definition 2.4.0.1].*
- (iv) *The forgetful functor  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is an equivalence.*

*Moreover,  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  is preadditive if  $\mathcal{C}$  has finite products.*

**Proof** Let us begin by proving that the first two statements are equivalent. The direction (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) follows from the fact that the functor  $\gamma: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow N(\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C}))$  preserves finite (co)products. For the converse direction, let us recall that a morphism in  $\mathcal{C}$  is an equivalence if and only if  $\gamma$  sends it to an isomorphism. Now, by our assumption on  $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$ , the canonical map  $C_1 \sqcup C_2 \rightarrow C_1 \times C_2$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  is mapped to an isomorphism under  $\gamma$  and is hence an equivalence.

To show (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) we only need to check that the symmetric monoidal structure  $\mathcal{C}^{\sqcup} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{Fin}_*)$  exhibits finite tensor products (in this case the disjoint union) as products. But this follows directly from (i).

Now assume (iii) holds. Then by [20, Corollary 2.4.1.8] there exists an equivalence of symmetric monoidal structures  $\mathcal{C}^{\sqcup} \simeq \mathcal{C}^{\times}$ . Thus we get an induced equivalence

$$\mathbf{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{C}^{\times}) \simeq \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{C}^{\sqcup})$$

compatible with the forgetful functors to  $\mathcal{C}$ . But for the latter symmetric monoidal structure the forgetful functor  $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{C}^{\sqcup}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  always induces an equivalence, as shown in [20, Corollary 2.4.3.10].

Finally, assume (iv) holds. Then in order to show that  $\mathcal{C}$  is preadditive it suffices to show that  $\mathbf{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{C})$  is preadditive. To see that  $\mathbf{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{C})$  is preadditive we note that limits in  $\mathbf{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{C})$  are formed as the limits of the underlying objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ . In particular, the underlying object of the product in  $\mathbf{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{C})$  is given by the product of the underlying objects. Coproducts are more complicated, but it is shown in [20, Proposition 3.2.4.7] that the underlying object of the coproduct is formed by the tensor product of the underlying objects, ie, by the product of the underlying objects in our case. Thus, the underlying object of the coproduct and the product are equivalent. But, by assumption,  $\mathbf{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is fully faithful, so that we already have such an equivalence in  $\mathbf{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{C})$ . This implies (i) and concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 2-4** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be  $\infty$ -categories with finite products and suppose that either  $\mathcal{C}$  or  $\mathcal{D}$  is preadditive. Then the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{Fun}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  is preadditive.*

**Proof** If  $\mathcal{D}$  is preadditive, then  $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  is also preadditive, and clearly  $\mathbf{Fun}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \subseteq \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  is stable under products. In particular, given two product preserving functors  $f, g: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , the pointwise product  $f \times g: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  again lies in  $\mathbf{Fun}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ . Since (co)limits in  $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  are calculated pointwise [19, Corollary 5.1.2.3], we can use the preadditivity of  $\mathcal{D}$  to conclude that  $f \times g$  is also the coproduct  $f \sqcup g$  of  $f$  and  $g$  in  $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ , and hence, a posteriori, also the coproduct in  $\mathbf{Fun}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ . A similar reasoning yields a zero object in  $\mathbf{Fun}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ , and we conclude that  $\mathbf{Fun}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  is preadditive.

The case in which  $\mathcal{C}$  is preadditive is slightly more involved. Recall that a product preserving functor  $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  induces a functor  $\mathbf{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{D})$  (simply by composing a special  $\Gamma$ -object in  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $f$ ). Since products in  $\infty$ -categories of  $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}$ -monoids are calculated in the underlying  $\infty$ -categories, this induced functor preserves products. Thus, we obtain a functor

$$\mathbf{Fun}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}^{\Pi}(\mathbf{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{C}), \mathbf{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{D})).$$

By Proposition 2-3 we know that  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D})$  is preadditive. The first part of this proof implies the same for  $\text{Fun}^\Pi(\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}), \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D}))$ , and hence we are done if we can show that the above functor is an equivalence. A functor in the reverse direction is given by composition with the equivalence  $\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  (use Proposition 2-3 again) and with  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ . It is easy to check that the resulting endofunctor of  $\text{Fun}^\Pi(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  is equivalent to the identity, as is also the case for the other composition.  $\square$

**Corollary 2-5** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an  $\infty$ -category with finite products and let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a preadditive  $\infty$ -category.*

- (i) *The  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  is preadditive.*
- (ii) *The forgetful functor  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  is an equivalence.*
- (iii) *There is an equivalence  $\text{Fun}^\Pi(\mathcal{D}, \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})) \simeq \text{Fun}^\Pi(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C})$ .*

**Proof** The first assertion is a consequence of the proof of Proposition 2-3. The second follows immediately from that same proposition, while the last statement is implied by Lemma 1-6 and the observation that  $\text{Fun}^\Pi(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C})$  is preadditive whenever  $\mathcal{D}$  is as guaranteed by Corollary 2-4.  $\square$

We now establish basically the analogous results for additive  $\infty$ -categories. As it is very similar to the case of preadditive  $\infty$ -categories, we leave out some of the details. Parallel to ordinary category theory, we introduce additive  $\infty$ -categories by imposing an additional exactness condition on preadditive  $\infty$ -categories. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a preadditive  $\infty$ -category and let  $A$  be an object of  $\mathcal{C}$ . We know from Proposition 2-3 that  $A$  can be canonically endowed with the structure of an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -monoid, and it is shown in [20, Section 2.4.3] that this structure is given by the fold map  $\nabla: A \oplus A \rightarrow A$ . The *shear map*

$$s: A \oplus A \rightarrow A \oplus A$$

is the projection  $pr_1: A \oplus A \rightarrow A$  on the first factor and the fold map  $\nabla: A \oplus A \rightarrow A$  on the second.

**Definition 2-6** A preadditive  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  is *additive* if, for every object  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , the shear map  $s: A \oplus A \xrightarrow{\sim} A \oplus A$  is an equivalence.

**Examples 2-7** An ordinary category  $\mathcal{C}$  is additive if and only if  $\text{N}(\mathcal{C})$  is an additive  $\infty$ -category. Products and opposites of additive  $\infty$ -categories are additive. If  $\mathcal{C}$  is an additive  $\infty$ -category, then any  $\infty$ -category equivalent to  $\mathcal{C}$  is additive  $\infty$ -category, and any functor  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Fun}(K, \mathcal{C})$  is additive.

The connection to  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -groups and hence to Section 1 is provided by the following analog of Proposition 2-3.

**Proposition 2-8** *For an  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  with finite products and coproducts, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *The  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  is additive.*
- (ii) *The homotopy category  $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$  is additive.*
- (iii) *The forgetful functor  $\mathrm{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is an equivalence.*

Moreover, if  $\mathcal{C}$  is an  $\infty$ -category with finite products, then  $\mathrm{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  is additive.

**Proof** The proof of the equivalence of (i) and (iii) parallels the proof of Proposition 2-3. To see that (i) implies (iii) we note that by Proposition 2-3 we have an equivalence  $\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{L}}) \simeq \mathrm{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . But it is shown in [20, Section 2.4.3] that an inverse to this equivalence endows an object  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  with the algebra structure given by the fold map  $\nabla: A \oplus A \rightarrow A$ . Now, the statement that such an algebra object is grouplike is equivalent to the shear map being an equivalence. Thus, invoking (i), we obtain an equivalence  $\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathrm{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$ , which gives (iii). Conversely, to see that (iii) implies (i), we need to show that  $\mathrm{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  is additive. Preadditivity is clear and additivity follows from the characterization of groups given in Proposition 1-1.  $\square$

**Corollary 2-9** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be  $\infty$ -categories with finite products and suppose that either  $\mathcal{C}$  or  $\mathcal{D}$  is additive. Then the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathrm{Fun}^\Pi(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  is additive.*

**Corollary 2-10** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an  $\infty$ -category with finite products and let  $\mathcal{D}$  be an additive  $\infty$ -category.*

- (i) *The  $\infty$ -category  $\mathrm{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  is additive.*
- (ii) *The forgetful functor  $\mathrm{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathrm{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \mathrm{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  is an equivalence.*
- (iii) *There is an equivalence  $\mathrm{Fun}^\Pi(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})) \simeq \mathrm{Fun}^\Pi(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C})$ .*

**Remark 2-11** Corollaries 2-5 and 2-10 basically state that  $\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(-)$  and  $\mathrm{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(-)$  are colocalizations of the  $\infty$ -category of  $\infty$ -categories with finite products and product preserving functors. Much of the remainder of the paper makes use of this observation, although we prefer to phrase things slightly differently: namely,  $\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(-)$  and  $\mathrm{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(-)$  also induce colocalizations of  $\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{R}}$ , which in turn (using the anti-equivalence between  $\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{L}}$  and  $\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{R}}$ ) induce localizations of  $\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{L}}$ . We have opted to state our results in term of localizations as we think they are slightly more intuitive from this perspective.

### 3 Smashing localizations

So far we have discussed  $\infty$ -categories with finite products. We now turn our attention to presentable  $\infty$ -categories. The primary purpose of this section is to review the notion of *smashing localizations*, which we then specialize to  $\text{Pr}^{\text{L}, \otimes}$  in order to deduce some important consequences which will play an essential role throughout the remainder of the paper.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an  $\infty$ -category. Recall that a *localization* of  $\mathcal{C}$  is functor  $L: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  which admits a fully faithful right adjoint  $R: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . If  $L: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is a localization, then  $\mathcal{D}$  is equivalent (via the fully faithful right adjoint) to a full subcategory  $L\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ , called the subcategory of *local objects*. For this reason we typically identify localizations with reflective subcategories (ie, full subcategories such that the inclusion admits a left adjoint). We will also sometimes write  $L$  for the endofunctor of  $\mathcal{C}$  obtained as the composite of  $L: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  followed by the fully faithful right adjoint  $R: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . Given such a localization, a map  $X \rightarrow Y$  is a *local equivalence* if  $LX \rightarrow LY$  is an equivalence.

**Lemma 3-1** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an  $\infty$ -category and  $M: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  an endofunctor equipped with a natural transformation  $\eta: \text{id} \rightarrow M$ . Then  $M$  is equivalent to the composite  $R \circ L$  of a localization  $L: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  if and only if, for every object  $X$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ , the two obvious maps  $M(X) \rightarrow M(M(X))$  are equivalences.*

**Proof** This is condition (3) of [19, Proposition 5.2.7.4]. □

If  $\mathcal{C}$  has a symmetric monoidal structure  $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$ , then it is sometimes the case that a localization of  $\mathcal{C}$  is given by *smashing* with a fixed object  $I$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ . In keeping with the terminology used in stable homotopy theory, we make the following definition.

**Definition 3-2** Let  $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$  be a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category. We say that a localization  $L: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is *smashing* if it is of the form  $L \simeq (-) \otimes I$  for some object  $I$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Recall [20, Definition 4.8.2.1] that an *idempotent object* in  $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$  is an object  $I$  together with a morphism from the tensor unit such that the two obvious maps  $I \rightarrow I \otimes I$  are equivalences. It follows that the endofunctor of  $\mathcal{C}$  given by tensoring with  $I$  is a localization [20, Proposition 4.8.2.4]. Conversely for a smashing localization  $L \simeq (-) \otimes I$  the object  $I$  is necessarily an idempotent commutative algebra object of  $\mathcal{C}$ . In other words, showing that the functor  $(-) \otimes I$  is a localization is the same as endowing  $I$  with the structure of an idempotent commutative algebra object of  $\mathcal{C}$ . This

provides a one-to-one correspondence between smashing localizations and idempotent commutative algebra objects.

There are two obvious key features of smashing localizations: first, they preserve colimits (provided the tensor structure is compatible with colimits, which is always the case if it is closed), and second, they are symmetric monoidal in the sense of the following definition.

**Definition 3-3** Let  $\mathcal{C}^\otimes$  be a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category equipped with a localization  $L: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  of the underlying  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then  $L$  is *compatible with the symmetric monoidal structure* (or simply *symmetric monoidal*) if, whenever  $X \rightarrow Y$  is a local equivalence, then so is  $X \otimes Z \rightarrow Y \otimes Z$  for any object  $Z$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Given such a localization, the subcategory  $\mathcal{D} \simeq LC$  of local objects inherits a symmetric monoidal structure from that of  $\mathcal{C}$ . This is the content of the following lemma which also justifies the terminology *symmetric monoidal localization*. Identifying  $\mathcal{D}$  with the full subcategory  $LC$  of local objects, let  $R^\otimes: \mathcal{D}^\otimes \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\otimes$  be the inclusion of the full subcategory consisting of those objects  $X_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_n$  such that each  $X_i$  is in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

**Lemma 3-4** Let  $\mathcal{C}^\otimes$  be a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category equipped with a symmetric monoidal localization  $L: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ . Then there is a symmetric monoidal structure  $\mathcal{D}^\otimes$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  such that  $L$  extends to a symmetric monoidal functor  $L^\otimes: \mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^\otimes$  and such that the right adjoint  $R^\otimes: \mathcal{D}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\otimes$  is lax symmetric monoidal.

**Proof** This is a special case of [20, Proposition 2.2.1.9]. □

**Remark 3-5** If  $\mathcal{C}^\otimes$  is a closed symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category equipped with a symmetric monoidal localization  $L: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . Then  $L$  is compatible with the closed structure in the sense that, for every pair of objects  $C$  and  $D$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ , the localization  $C \rightarrow LC$  induces an equivalence

$$D^{LC} \simeq D^C$$

whenever  $D$  is local. This follows immediately from the definition.

**Lemma 3-6** Let  $\mathcal{C}^\otimes$  be a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category equipped with a symmetric monoidal localization  $L: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , and let  $R: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  denote the right adjoint of  $L$ . Then there is an induced localization  $L': \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D})$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{L'} & \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{L} & \mathcal{D} \end{array}$$

commutes. Moreover, given  $A \in \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(C)$ , there exists a unique commutative algebra structure on  $RLA$  such that unit map  $A \rightarrow RLA$  extends to a morphism of commutative algebras.

**Proof** By Lemma 3-4 above, we obtain maps  $L': \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(C) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(D)$  and  $R': \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(D) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(C)$  by composing sections  $\mathbb{E}_\infty \rightarrow C^\otimes$  with  $L^\otimes$  and sections  $\mathbb{E}_\infty \rightarrow D^\otimes$  with  $R^\otimes$ , respectively. In a similar fashion we also obtain unit and counit transformations such that the counit is an equivalence. It follows that  $L'$  is a localization.

For the second assertion, we know already that  $R'L'A$  comes with a canonical commutative algebra map  $\eta': A \rightarrow R'L'A$ , the adjunction unit evaluated at  $A$ , and that this map extends the adjunction unit  $\eta: A \rightarrow RLA$  of the underlying objects. If  $\eta'': A \rightarrow R'B$  is a second such map of commutative algebras, then the universality of  $\eta'$  implies that  $\eta''$  factors essentially uniquely as

$$\phi \circ \eta': A \rightarrow R'L'A \rightarrow R'B.$$

Since the underlying map of  $\phi$  is an identity, it follows that  $\phi$  itself is an equivalence since  $\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(C) \rightarrow C$  is conservative. We can now conclude since the space of reflections of a fixed object in a full subcategory is contractible if non-empty.  $\square$

**Remark 3-7** The second part of the lemma implies that  $RLA$  can be turned into an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -algebra such that the unit map  $A \rightarrow RLA$  can be enhanced to a morphism of  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -algebras. Moreover, the space of such enhancements is contractible. In particular, if  $RLA$  is endowed with two different  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -algebra structures, then the identity morphism of the underlying objects in  $\mathcal{D}$  can be essentially uniquely turned into an equivalence of these two  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -algebras compatible with the localizations. We will apply this in Section 5 to smashing localizations on  $\text{Pr}^L$ .

Now we specialize to the case of the (very large)  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Pr}^L$  of presentable  $\infty$ -categories and colimit-preserving functors. We will write  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$ , etc for objects of  $\text{Pr}^L$ . Recall that  $\text{Pr}^L$  admits a closed symmetric monoidal structure which is uniquely characterized as follows: given presentable  $\infty$ -categories  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$ , their tensor product  $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}$  corepresents the functor  $\text{Pr}^L \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Cat}}_\infty$  which sends  $\mathcal{E}$  to

$$\text{Fun}^{L,L}(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}) \subseteq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}),$$

the full subcategory consisting of those functors  $F: \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  which preserve colimits separately in each variable. The unit of this monoidal structure on  $\text{Pr}^L$  is the

$\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{S}$  of spaces, as follows from the fact that  $\text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C}$  [20, Example 6.3.1.19]. Moreover, by [20, Proposition 4.8.1.16] this tensor product admits the description

$$\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D} \simeq \text{Fun}^R(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D}).$$

Recall that  $\text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  is presentable ([19, Proposition 5.5.3.8]). It is immediate from the definition of  $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}$  as a corepresenting object that the symmetric monoidal structure on  $\text{Pr}^L$  is closed, with right adjoint to  $\mathcal{C} \otimes (-): \text{Pr}^L \rightarrow \text{Pr}^L$  given by  $\text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{C}, -): \text{Pr}^L \rightarrow \text{Pr}^L$ . Lastly, the (possibly large) mapping spaces in  $\text{Pr}^L$  are given by the formula

$$\text{Map}_{\text{Pr}^L}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})^\sim,$$

the maximal subgroupoid. This description will be applied in Section 4 to our context of monoids and groups.

**Proposition 3-8** *Let  $L: \text{Pr}^L \rightarrow \text{Pr}^L$  be a smashing localization or, more generally, a symmetric monoidal localization, and let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be presentable  $\infty$ -categories such that  $\mathcal{D}$  is in the essential image of  $L$ .*

- (i) *The map  $\text{Fun}^L(L\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  induced by the localization  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow L\mathcal{C}$  is an equivalence.*
- (ii) *If  $L$  is smashing, then the localization of the (very large)  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Pr}^L$  of presentable  $\infty$ -categories and colimit-preserving functors is equivalent to the  $\infty$ -category of modules over  $LS \in \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Pr}^L)$ :*

$$L\text{Pr}^L \simeq \text{Mod}_{LS}(\text{Pr}^L).$$

- (iii) *Given a second symmetric monoidal localization  $L': \text{Pr}^L \rightarrow \text{Pr}^L$  such that  $L'\text{Pr}^L \subseteq L\text{Pr}^L$ , then the canonical morphism  $L\mathcal{C} \rightarrow L'\mathcal{C}$  induces an equivalence  $\text{Fun}^L(L'\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}^L(L\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  for every  $L'$ -local  $\mathcal{D}$ .*

**Proof** The first statement follows from Remark 3-5 and the second from [20, Proposition 4.8.2.10]. Finally, the third one follows immediately from the first and the two-out-of-three property of equivalences. □

Let us now consider a presentable  $\infty$ -category endowed with a closed symmetric monoidal structure  $\mathcal{C}^\otimes$ . In this context the closedness is equivalent to the fact that the monoidal structure preserves colimits separately in each variable, ie,  $\mathcal{C}^\otimes$  is essentially just a commutative algebra object in  $\text{Pr}^L$  [20, Remark 4.8.1.9].

**Proposition 3-9** *Let  $L: \text{Pr}^L \rightarrow \text{Pr}^L$  be a smashing localization or, more generally, a symmetric monoidal localization. Let  $\mathcal{C}^\otimes$  and  $\mathcal{D}^\otimes$  be closed symmetric monoidal presentable  $\infty$ -categories.*



- (i) The  $\infty$ -category  $LC$  admits a unique closed symmetric monoidal structure such that the localization map  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow LC$  is a symmetric monoidal functor.
- (ii) The map  $\text{Fun}^{L,\otimes}(LC, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}^{L,\otimes}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  induced by the localization  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow LC$  is an equivalence whenever  $\mathcal{D}$  is  $L$ -local.
- (iii) Given a second symmetric monoidal localization  $L': \text{Pr}^L \rightarrow \text{Pr}^L$  such that  $L'\text{Pr}^L \subseteq L\text{Pr}^L$ , the induced morphism  $LC \rightarrow L'\mathcal{C}$  admits a unique symmetric monoidal structure. In particular, for every  $L'$ -local  $\mathcal{D}$  the induced map  $\text{Fun}^{L,\otimes}(L'\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}^{L,\otimes}(LC, \mathcal{D})$  is an equivalence.

**Proof** Statement (i) follows from Lemma 3-6, which induces an equivalence

$$\text{Fun}^{L,\otimes}(LC, \mathcal{D}^K) \rightarrow \text{Fun}^{L,\otimes}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}^K)$$

on underlying  $\infty$ -groupoids for any simplicial set  $K$  such that  $\mathcal{D}^K$  is local. Then (ii) follows from the fact that  $\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Pr}^L)$  is cotensored over  $\text{Cat}_\infty$  in such a way that  $\mathcal{D}^K$  is local whenever  $\mathcal{D}$  is local; indeed, the cotensor  $\mathcal{D}^K$  is given by the internal mapping object  $\text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{P}(K), \mathcal{D})$ , and this is a local object since  $(-)\otimes\mathcal{P}(K)$  preserves local equivalences by assumption. Finally, (iii) is obtained by the same argument as (i) after replacing  $\text{Pr}^L$  with  $L\text{Pr}^L$ , which has an induced closed symmetric monoidal structure,  $L: \text{Pr}^L \rightarrow \text{Pr}^L$  with the functor  $L\text{Pr}^L \rightarrow L\text{Pr}^L$  induced by the composite  $\text{Pr}^L \rightarrow L'\text{Pr}^L \subseteq L\text{Pr}^L$ , and  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $LC$ , which also inherits a closed symmetric monoidal structure. □

We shall see in the next section that formation of  $\infty$ -categories of commutative monoid and group objects in a presentable  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  are instances of smashing localizations of  $\text{Pr}^L$ . For the moment, it is worth mentioning that there are other well-known examples of smashing localizations of  $\text{Pr}^L$ . The most obvious one is the functor which associates to a presentable  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  its  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}_*$  of *pointed objects*; the fact that this is a smashing localization follows from the formula

$$\mathcal{C}_* \simeq \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{S}_*$$

and the fact that  $\mathcal{S}_*$  is an idempotent object of  $\text{Pr}^L$  [20, Proposition 4.8.2.11]. An important feature of  $\mathcal{S}_*$  is that it is symmetric monoidal under the *smash product*, which is uniquely characterized by the requirement that the unit map  $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_*$  is symmetric monoidal. A further example of a smashing localization which is central to this paper is the passage from a presentable  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  to the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$  of spectrum objects in  $\mathcal{C}$  [20, Proposition 4.8.2.18].

## 4 Commutative monoids and groups as smashing localizations

In this section we show that the passage to  $\infty$ -categories of commutative monoids or groups are instances of smashing localizations of  $\text{Pr}^{\text{L}}$ .

**Proposition 4-1** *Given a presentable  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$ , then also the  $\infty$ -categories  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  are presentable.*

**Proof** By definition the  $\infty$ -categories  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  are full subcategories of the presentable  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}(\text{Fin}_*), \mathcal{C})$ . Therefore, it suffices to show that the monoids and groups, respectively, are precisely the  $S$ -local objects for a small collection  $S$  of morphisms in  $\text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}(\text{Fin}_*), \mathcal{C})$  [19, Proposition 5.5.4.15]. We will give the details for the case of monoids and leave the case of groups to the reader.

In order to define  $S$  we first note that the evaluation functors

$$\text{ev}_{\langle n \rangle}: \text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}(\text{Fin}_*), \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

admit left adjoints  $F_{\langle n \rangle}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}(\text{Fin}_*), \mathcal{C})$ . Now,  $M \in \text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}(\text{Fin}_*), \mathcal{C})$  belongs to  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  if for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the morphism  $M(\langle n \rangle) \rightarrow \prod M(\langle 1 \rangle)$  is an equivalence in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and this is the case if and only if for every  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  the morphism

$$(4-2) \quad \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, M(\langle n \rangle)) \rightarrow \prod \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, M(\langle 1 \rangle))$$

is an equivalence of spaces. Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is accessible it suffices to check this for objects in  $\mathcal{C}^\kappa$ , the essentially small subcategory of  $\kappa$ -compact objects for some regular cardinal  $\kappa$ . Now we use the equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, M(\langle n \rangle)) &\simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}(\text{Fin}_*), \mathcal{C})}(F_{\langle n \rangle}(C), M), \\ \prod \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, M(\langle 1 \rangle)) &\simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}(\text{Fin}_*), \mathcal{C})}\left(\bigsqcup F_{\langle 1 \rangle}(C), M\right) \end{aligned}$$

and see that the morphism (4-2) is induced by a morphism  $\phi_{n,C}: \bigsqcup_n F_{\langle 1 \rangle}(C) \rightarrow F_{\langle n \rangle}(C)$  in  $\text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}(\text{Fin}_*), \mathcal{C})$ . Thus we may take  $S$  to consist of the  $\phi_{n,C}$ , where  $C$  ranges over any small collections of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  which contains a representative of each equivalence class of object in  $\mathcal{C}^\kappa$ . □

**Remark 4-3** The proof for groups is similar, though we have to add more maps to the set  $S$  to account for the *very* special condition. This tells us in particular that  $\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  is a reflective subcategory of  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$ .

**Corollary 4-4** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a presentable  $\infty$ -category. Then there are functors*

$$\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}),$$

*which are left adjoint to the respective forgetful functors.*

**Proof** Since limits in  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  are computed as the limits of the underlying objects, this follows from the adjoint functor theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 4-5** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a presentable  $\infty$ -category. The functor

$$\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}),$$

left adjoint to the forgetful functor  $\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$ , is called the *group completion*. Thus, in the framework of  $\infty$ -categories, the group completion  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  has the expected universal property, defining a left adjoint to the forgetful functor  $\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$ .

The following theorem, while straightforward to prove, is central.

**Theorem 4-6** *The assignments  $\mathcal{C} \mapsto \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathcal{C} \mapsto \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  refine to smashing localizations of  $\text{Pr}^{\text{L}}$ . Thus, we have, in particular, equivalences of  $\infty$ -categories*

$$\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C} \otimes \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C} \otimes \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S}).$$

*The local objects are precisely the preadditive presentable  $\infty$ -categories and the additive presentable  $\infty$ -categories, respectively.*

**Proof** The description of the tensor product of presentable  $\infty$ -categories together with Lemma 1-6 gives us the chain of equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} \otimes \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D}) &\simeq \text{Fun}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D})) \simeq \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Fun}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D})) \\ &\simeq \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C} \otimes \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$ . The fact that  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}$  is a localization follows from Corollary 2-5. The local objects are precisely the presentable  $\infty$ -categories  $\mathcal{C}$  for which the canonical functor is an equivalence  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C}$ , hence by Proposition 2-3 precisely the preadditive  $\infty$ -categories. The case of groups is established along the same lines.  $\square$

As a consequence we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 4-7** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be presentable  $\infty$ -category. Then there are canonical equivalences*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} \otimes \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D}) &\simeq \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes \mathcal{D}, \\ \mathcal{C} \otimes \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D}) &\simeq \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes \mathcal{D}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote the full subcategories of  $\text{Pr}^L$  spanned by the preadditive and additive  $\infty$ -categories respectively by

$$\text{Pr}_{\text{Pre}}^L \subseteq \text{Pr}^L \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Pr}_{\text{Add}}^L \subseteq \text{Pr}^L.$$

Then Proposition 3-8 specializes to the following two corollaries.

**Corollary 4-8** *The forgetful functors*

$$\text{Mod}_{\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(S)}(\text{Pr}^L) \rightarrow \text{Pr}^L \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Mod}_{\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(S)}(\text{Pr}^L) \rightarrow \text{Pr}^L$$

*induce equivalences of  $\infty$ -categories*

$$\text{Mod}_{\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(S)}(\text{Pr}^L) \simeq \text{Pr}_{\text{Pre}}^L \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Mod}_{\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(S)}(\text{Pr}^L) \simeq \text{Pr}_{\text{Add}}^L.$$

**Corollary 4-9** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be presentable  $\infty$ -categories.*

- (i) *If  $\mathcal{D}$  is preadditive then the free  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -monoid functor  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  induces an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories*

$$\text{Fun}^L(\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}),$$

*exhibiting  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  as the free preadditive presentable  $\infty$ -category generated by  $\mathcal{C}$ . In particular, we have canonical equivalences*

$$\text{Fun}^L(\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(S), \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Fun}^L(S, \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{D}, u$$

*exhibiting  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(S)$  as the free preadditive presentable  $\infty$ -category on one generator.*

- (ii) *If  $\mathcal{D}$  is additive then the free  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -group functor  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  induces an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories*

$$\text{Fun}^L(\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}),$$

*exhibiting  $\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  as the free additive presentable  $\infty$ -category generated by  $\mathcal{C}$ . In particular, the free  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -group functor  $S \rightarrow \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(S)$  induces canonical equivalences*

$$\text{Fun}^L(\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(S), \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Fun}^L(S, \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{D},$$

*exhibiting  $\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(S)$  as the free additive, presentable  $\infty$ -category on one generator.*

The results of this section give us a refined picture of the stabilization process of presentable  $\infty$ -categories as we describe it in the next corollary (we will obtain a further *monoidal* refinement in Corollary 5-5). In [20, Chapter 1] it is shown that the stabilization of a presentable  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  is given by the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$  of *spectrum objects* in  $\mathcal{C}$ , which is to say the limit

$$\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \lim\{\mathcal{C}_* \xleftarrow{\Omega} \mathcal{C}_* \xleftarrow{\Omega} \mathcal{C}_* \xleftarrow{\Omega} \dots\},$$

taken in the  $\infty$ -category of (not necessarily small)  $\infty$ -categories, or equivalently in the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{R}}$  of presentable  $\infty$ -categories by [19, Theorem 5.5.3.18]. Alternatively,  $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$  is equivalent to the  $\infty$ -category of reduced excisive functors

$$\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathrm{Exc}_*(\mathcal{S}_*^{\mathrm{fin}}, \mathcal{C});$$

see [20, Section 1.4.2] for details. Recall from [20, Proposition 1.4.4.4] that for such a  $\mathcal{C}$  the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$  is related to  $\mathcal{C}$  by the suspension spectrum adjunction  $(\Sigma_+^\infty, \Omega^\infty): \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$ .

**Corollary 4-10** *The stabilization of presentable  $\infty$ -categories  $\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{L}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{St}}^{\mathrm{L}}$  factors as a composition of adjunctions*

$$\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{L}} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{Pt}}^{\mathrm{L}} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{Pre}}^{\mathrm{L}} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{Add}}^{\mathrm{L}} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{St}}^{\mathrm{L}}.$$

*In particular, if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a presentable  $\infty$ -category, then  $\Sigma_+^\infty: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$  factors as a composition of left adjoints*

$$\Sigma_+^\infty: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_* \rightarrow \mathrm{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{C}),$$

*each of which is uniquely determined by the fact that it commutes with the corresponding free functors from  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

**Proof** This follows from Corollary 4-9 and the corresponding corollary for the functor  $(-)_+: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_*$  together with the facts that  $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$  is additive [20, Corollary 1.4.2.17 and Remark 1.1.3.5],  $\mathrm{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  is preadditive (even additive by Corollary 2-10), and  $\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  is pointed (in fact, preadditive by Corollary 2-5). For the second statement, it suffices to use Proposition 3-8.  $\square$

## 5 Canonical symmetric monoidal structures

Let us now assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a presentable  $\infty$ -category endowed with a closed symmetric monoidal structure  $\mathcal{C}^\otimes$ . In this section we specialize the general results from Section 3 (or more specifically Proposition 3-9) to the localizations  $(-)_*$ ,  $\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(-)$ ,

$\mathrm{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(-)$ , and  $\mathrm{Sp}(-)$ . The two cases of  $\mathcal{C}_*$  and  $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$  are already essentially covered in [20, Section 4.8.2], but since these results are not stated explicitly, we include them here for the sake of completeness.

**Theorem 5-1** *Let  $\mathcal{C}^\otimes$  be a closed symmetric monoidal structure on a presentable  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$ . The  $\infty$ -categories  $\mathcal{C}_*$ ,  $\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $\mathrm{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$ , and  $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$  all admit closed symmetric monoidal structures, which are uniquely determined by the requirement that the respective free functors from  $\mathcal{C}$  are symmetric monoidal. Moreover, each of the functors*

$$\mathcal{C}_* \rightarrow \mathrm{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$$

*uniquely extends to a symmetric monoidal functor.*

**Proof** This follows directly from the fact that the localizations are smashing using Proposition 3-9.  $\square$

From now on, when considered as symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -categories, these  $\infty$ -categories are always endowed with the canonical monoidal structures of the theorem.

**Warning 5-2** The reader should not confuse the two symmetric monoidal structures on  $\mathcal{C}$  that are used in the above construction. The first one is the cartesian structure  $\mathcal{C}^\times$  which is used to define the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  of  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -monoids. The second one is the closed symmetric monoidal structure  $\mathcal{C}^\otimes$  which induces a monoidal structure on  $\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  as described in the theorem. In applications, these two monoidal structures on  $\mathcal{C}$  often agree, which amounts to assuming that  $\mathcal{C}$  is cartesian closed. This is the case in the most important examples, namely  $\infty$ -topoi (such as  $\mathcal{S}$ ) and  $\mathrm{Cat}_\infty$ .

**Example 5-3** (i) The (nerve of the) category  $\mathrm{Set}$  of sets is a cartesian closed presentable  $\infty$ -category, and  $\mathrm{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathrm{Set})$  is just the (nerve of the) category  $\mathrm{Ab}$  of abelian groups. The free functor  $\mathrm{Set} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}$  can then of course be turned into a symmetric monoidal functor with respect to the cartesian product on  $\mathrm{Set}$  and the usual tensor product on  $\mathrm{Ab}$ . Thus, in this very special case, the theorem reproduces the classical tensor product of abelian groups.

(ii) The  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{S}$  of spaces is a cartesian closed presentable  $\infty$ -category. The  $\infty$ -category  $\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$  of  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -spaces hence comes with a canonical closed symmetric monoidal structure, as does the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathrm{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$  of grouplike  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -spaces. Since the latter  $\infty$ -category is equivalent to the  $\infty$ -category of connective spectra [20, Remark 5.2.6.26], the canonical symmetric monoidal structure on  $\mathrm{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$  agrees with the smash product of connective spectra.

- (iii) Let  $\mathcal{C}at$  denote the cartesian closed presentable  $\infty$ -category of small ordinary categories (this is actually a 2-category, in the sense of [19, Section 2.3.4]). Thus, the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathit{SymMonCat} \simeq \mathit{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}at)$  of small symmetric monoidal categories admits a canonical closed symmetric monoidal structure such that the free functor  $\mathcal{C}at \rightarrow \mathit{SymMonCat}$  can be promoted to a symmetric monoidal functor in a unique way. This structure on  $\mathit{SymMonCat}$  has been explicitly constructed and discussed in the literature; see [14] and the more explicit [26]. In fact, this tensor product is slightly subtle since, at least to the knowledge of the authors, it can not be realized as a symmetric monoidal structure on the 1-category of small categories (as opposed to the 2-category  $\mathcal{C}at$ ).
- (iv) The  $\infty$ -category  $\mathit{Cat}_\infty$  of small  $\infty$ -categories is a cartesian closed presentable  $\infty$ -category. Thus, as an  $\infty$ -categorical variant of the previous example, we obtain a canonical closed symmetric monoidal structure on the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathit{SymMonCat}_\infty$  of small symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -categories.

We have already seen that, for presentable  $\infty$ -categories  $\mathcal{C}$ , the passage to commutative monoids and commutative groups has a universal property (Corollary 4-9). In the case of closed symmetric monoidal presentable  $\infty$ -categories we now obtain a refined universal property for the symmetric monoidal structures of Theorem 5-1. For convenience, we also collect the analogous results for the passage to pointed objects and spectrum objects.

**Proposition 5-4** *Suppose  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are closed symmetric monoidal presentable  $\infty$ -categories.*

- (i) *If  $\mathcal{D}$  is pointed then the symmetric monoidal functor  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_*$  induces an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories*

$$\mathit{Fun}^{L,\otimes}(\mathcal{C}_*, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathit{Fun}^{L,\otimes}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}).$$

- (ii) *If  $\mathcal{D}$  is preadditive then the symmetric monoidal functor  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathit{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  induces an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories*

$$\mathit{Fun}^{L,\otimes}(\mathit{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathit{Fun}^{L,\otimes}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}).$$

- (iii) *If  $\mathcal{D}$  is additive then the symmetric monoidal functor  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathit{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  induces an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories*

$$\mathit{Fun}^{L,\otimes}(\mathit{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathit{Fun}^{L,\otimes}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}).$$

- (iv) *If  $\mathcal{D}$  is stable then the symmetric monoidal functor  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathit{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$  induces an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories*

$$\mathit{Fun}^{L,\otimes}(\mathit{Sp}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathit{Fun}^{L,\otimes}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}).$$

**Proof** This follows immediately from the second statement of Proposition 3-9.  $\square$

Here is the monoidal refinement of the stabilization process which is now an immediate consequence of the third statement of Proposition 3-9.

**Corollary 5-5** (i) *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be closed symmetric monoidal presentable  $\infty$ -categories and let us consider a symmetric monoidal left adjoint  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ . In the following commutative diagram, each of the functors induced by  $F$  admits a symmetric monoidal structure:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_* & \longrightarrow & \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Sp}(\mathcal{C}) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{D} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_* & \longrightarrow & \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Sp}(\mathcal{D}_*)
 \end{array}$$

Moreover, these symmetric monoidal structures are uniquely characterized by the fact that the functors commute with the free functors from  $\mathcal{C}$ .

(ii) *The stabilization of presentable  $\infty$ -categories  $\text{Pr}^{\text{L}} \rightarrow \text{Pr}_{\text{St}}^{\text{L}}$  admits a symmetric monoidal refinement  $\text{Pr}^{\text{L}, \otimes} \rightarrow \text{Pr}_{\text{St}}^{\text{L}, \otimes}$  which factors as a composition of adjunctions*

$$\text{Pr}^{\text{L}, \otimes} \rightleftarrows \text{Pr}_{\text{Pt}}^{\text{L}, \otimes} \rightleftarrows \text{Pr}_{\text{Pre}}^{\text{L}, \otimes} \rightleftarrows \text{Pr}_{\text{Add}}^{\text{L}, \otimes} \rightleftarrows \text{Pr}_{\text{St}}^{\text{L}, \otimes}.$$

**Remark 5-6** (i) One can use the theory of  $\Gamma$ -objects in  $\mathcal{C}$  to obtain a more concrete description of the tensor product on  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  as the convolution product; see [20, Corollary 4.8.1.12] for the case in which  $\mathcal{C}$  is the  $\infty$ -category of spaces.

(ii) The uniqueness of the symmetric monoidal structures can be used to compare our results to existing ones. Every simplicial combinatorial, monoidal model category leads to a presentable, closed symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category. Thus for the monoidal model category of  $\Gamma$ -spaces as discussed in [27] it follows immediately that the symmetric monoidal structure on the underlying  $\infty$ -category has to agree with our structure. The same applies to the model structure on  $\Gamma$ -objects in any nice model category, for example in presheaves as discussed in [4].

## 6 More functoriality

In Section 4 we saw that for presentable  $\infty$ -categories the passages to commutative monoids and groups are smashing localizations and hence, in particular, define functors

$$\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(-), \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(-): \text{Pr}^{\text{L}} \rightarrow \text{Pr}^{\text{L}}.$$



But this passage allows for more functoriality. In fact, a product-preserving functor  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  induces functors

$$\underline{F}: \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D}) \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{F}: \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D})$$

simply by post-composing the respective (very) special  $\Gamma$ -objects with  $F$ . The main goal of this section is to establish Corollary 6-6, which states that under certain mild assumptions these extensions themselves are lax symmetric monoidal with respect to the canonical symmetric monoidal structures established in Theorem 5-1. This corollary will be needed in our applications to algebraic K-theory in Section 8. We begin by comparing these two potentially different functorialities of the assignments  $\mathcal{C} \mapsto \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathcal{C} \mapsto \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$ .

**Lemma 6-1** *Let  $L: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a functor of presentable  $\infty$ -categories with right adjoint  $R: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ .*

- (i) *If  $L: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is product-preserving and if products in  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  commute with countable colimits, then the functors*

$$\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(L): \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D}) \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{L}: \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D})$$

*described above are equivalent.*

- (ii) *The canonical extension  $\underline{R}: \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  is right adjoint to the functor  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(L)$ .*

*The corresponding two statements for  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -groups hold as well.*

**Proof** For the first claim we must show that if  $L$  preserves products then the two functors agree. This follows if we can show that  $\underline{L}$  is a left adjoint and the diagram

$$(6-2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}_{\text{Fr}} & \xrightarrow{L} & \mathcal{D} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Fr} \\ \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) - \underline{L} & \longrightarrow & \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D}) \end{array}$$

commutes in  $\widehat{\text{Cat}}_\infty$ . To see that  $\underline{L}$  is left adjoint we observe that it commutes with sifted colimits, as they are detected by the forgetful functors  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  and  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , and also that it commutes with coproducts, as coproducts in  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D})$  are given by the tensor product which is preserved by  $L$ . To conclude this part of the proof it suffices to show that there is an equivalence  $\text{Fr} \circ L \simeq$

$\underline{L} \circ \text{Fr}$ . For this, we consider the mate of the equivalence  $L \circ U \simeq U \circ \underline{L}: \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , ie, we form the following pasting with the respective adjunction morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\text{Fr}} & \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\underline{L}} & \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D}) & \xrightarrow{=} & \\
 & \nearrow & \downarrow U & \simeq \nearrow & \downarrow U & \nearrow & \\
 & = & \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{L} & \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\text{Fr}} & \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D})
 \end{array}$$

In order to show that the resulting transformation

$$\text{Fr} \circ L \rightarrow \text{Fr} \circ L \circ U \circ \text{Fr} \simeq \text{Fr} \circ U \circ \underline{L} \circ \text{Fr} \rightarrow \underline{L} \circ \text{Fr}$$

is an equivalence, it is enough to check that this is the case after applying the forgetful functor  $U: \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ . But this follows from the explicit description of the free functors as

$$\text{Fr}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \bigsqcup_n \mathcal{C}^n / \Sigma_n$$

(see [20, Example 3.1.3.14]) and by unraveling the definitions of  $\underline{L}$  and the adjunction morphisms.

To prove the second statement we first remark that  $\underline{R}$  has a left adjoint since it preserves all limits and filtered colimits which are formed in the underlying  $\infty$ -category. Moreover, any such left adjoint has to make diagram (6-2) commute since this is the case for the corresponding diagram of right adjoints. By the above, this left adjoint has to coincide with  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(L)$ . The proof for the case of groups is completely parallel.  $\square$

This lemma can be applied to adjunctions between cartesian closed presentable  $\infty$ -categories.

**Lemma 6-3** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be closed symmetric monoidal presentable  $\infty$ -categories, let  $L: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a symmetric monoidal left adjoint functor and let  $R: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be right adjoint to  $L$ .*

- (i) *The functors  $\underline{R}: \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\underline{R}: \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  have canonical lax symmetric monoidal structures.*
- (ii) *If  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are cartesian closed, then the canonical extensions  $\underline{L}: \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D})$  and  $\underline{L}: \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D})$  both admit structures of symmetric monoidal functors which are determined up to a contractible space of choices by*

the fact that the following diagrams commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \\
 \downarrow L & & \downarrow \underline{L} \\
 \mathcal{D} & \longrightarrow & \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D})
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \\
 \downarrow L & & \downarrow \underline{L} \\
 \mathcal{D} & \longrightarrow & \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D})
 \end{array}$$

**Proof** Corollary 5-5 tells us that  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(L)$  is canonically symmetric monoidal, and the right adjoint of a symmetric monoidal functor always inherits a canonical lax symmetric monoidal structure [20, Corollary 7.3.2.7]. Together with Lemma 6-1 this establishes the first part. The second part is an immediate consequence of Corollary 5-5 and Lemma 6-1, and again the case of groups is entirely analogous.  $\square$

**Lemma 6-4** Suppose  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is an accessible functor between presentable  $\infty$ -categories.

- (i) We can factor  $F \simeq L \circ R$  where  $R$  is a right adjoint and  $L$  is a left adjoint functor.
- (ii) If  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are closed symmetric monoidal, then the factorization can be chosen such that  $L$  and the left adjoint to  $R$  are symmetric monoidal (this means of course that the intermediate  $\infty$ -category is symmetric monoidal as well). In particular,  $R$  itself is lax symmetric monoidal.
- (iii) If  $F$  preserves products and  $\mathcal{D}$  is cartesian closed, then  $L$  can be chosen to preserve products.

**Proof** Choose  $\kappa$  sufficiently large such that both  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are  $\kappa$ -compactly generated and  $F$  preserves  $\kappa$ -filtered colimits. Then the restricted Yoneda embedding  $R: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}^\kappa)$  preserves limits and  $\kappa$ -filtered colimits, and therefore admits a left adjoint. Similarly, the functor  $L: \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}^\kappa) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  induced (under colimits) by the composite  $\mathcal{C}^\kappa \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  preserves all colimits, and therefore admits a right adjoint. Since  $F$  is equivalent to the composite  $L \circ R$ , this completes the proof of the first claim.

Now, if in addition  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are closed symmetric monoidal, then it follows from the universal property of the convolution product [20, Proposition 4.8.1.10] that  $L$  is symmetric monoidal and also that the left adjoint  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}^\kappa) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  of  $R$  is symmetric monoidal, completing the proof of the second claim (the fact that  $R$  is lax symmetric monoidal again follows from [20, Corollary 7.3.2.7]).

Finally, if  $F$  preserves products, then  $L$  preserves products of representables  $\mathcal{C}^\kappa$ , and if  $\mathcal{D}$  is cartesian closed then products commute with colimits in both variables. Hence  $L$  preserves products.  $\square$

**Proposition 6-5** Suppose  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are closed symmetric monoidal presentable  $\infty$ -categories and let  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be product-preserving, symmetric monoidal, and accessible. If  $\mathcal{D}$  is also cartesian closed then the functors  $\underline{F}: \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D})$  and  $\underline{F}: \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D})$  admit lax symmetric monoidal structures.

**Proof** Factor  $F$  according to Lemma 6-4 and apply Lemma 6-3. □

**Corollary 6-6** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be cartesian closed presentable  $\infty$ -categories and let  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be product-preserving and accessible. Then the canonical extensions  $\underline{F}: \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D})$  and  $\underline{F}: \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D})$  are lax symmetric monoidal.

## 7 $\infty$ -categories of semirings and rings

In this section we will use the results of Section 5 to define and study semiring (aka *rig*) and ring objects in suitable  $\infty$ -categories. We know by Theorem 5-1 that given a closed symmetric monoidal presentable  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$ , there are canonical closed symmetric monoidal structures on  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  which will respectively be denoted by

$$\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty \mathbb{E}_\infty}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty \mathbb{E}_\infty}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}).$$

**Definition 7-1** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a closed symmetric monoidal presentable  $\infty$ -category and let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an  $\infty$ -operad. The  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Rig}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$  of  $\mathcal{O}$ -semirings in  $\mathcal{C}$  and the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Ring}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$  of  $\mathcal{O}$ -rings in  $\mathcal{C}$  are respectively defined as the  $\infty$ -categories of  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras

$$\text{Rig}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) := \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty \mathbb{E}_\infty}^\otimes(\mathcal{C})) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ring}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) := \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty \mathbb{E}_\infty}^\otimes(\mathcal{C})).$$

In the case of ordinary categories and the associative or commutative operad, the alternative terminology *rig objects* is also used for what we call semiring objects, hence the notation. We will be mainly interested in the case of  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{E}_n$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$ . In the case  $n = 1$ ,  $\text{Ring}_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\mathcal{C})$  is the  $\infty$ -category of *associative rings* in  $\mathcal{C}$  and, in the case  $n = \infty$ ,  $\text{Ring}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  is the  $\infty$ -category of *commutative rings* in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Similarly, there are  $\infty$ -categories of associative or commutative semirings in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Let us take up again the examples of Section 5.

**Example 7-2** (i) In the special case of the cartesian closed presentable  $\infty$ -category Set of sets, our notion of associative or commutative (semi)ring object coincides with the corresponding classical notion.

- (ii) Since the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{S}$  of spaces is cartesian closed and presentable, we obtain, for each  $\infty$ -operad  $\mathcal{O}$ , the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Rig}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{S})$  of  $\mathcal{O}$ -rig spaces and the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Ring}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{S})$  of  $\mathcal{O}$ -ring spaces. For the special case of the operads  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{E}_n$  for  $n = 1, \dots, \infty$ , the point-set analogue of these spaces were intensively studied by May and others using carefully chosen pairs of operads; see the recent articles [25; 23; 24] and the many references therein.
- (iii) In the case of the cartesian closed presentable  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Cat}$  of ordinary small categories, we obtain the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Rig}_{\mathcal{O}}\text{Cat}$  of  $\mathcal{O}$ -rig categories and the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Ring}_{\mathcal{O}}\text{Cat}$  of  $\mathcal{O}$ -ring categories. Coherences for lax semiring categories have been studied by Laplaza [16; 17]; note that, in our case, all coherence morphisms must be invertible. It should be possible to obtain a precise comparison of our notion with these more classical ones, but we bypass this via a recognition principle (Corollary 8-9) for semiring  $\infty$ -categories which allows us to work directly with the examples of interest to us, without having to check coherences for distributors.
- (iv) An  $\infty$ -categorical version of the previous example is obtained by considering the cartesian closed presentable  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Cat}_{\infty}$ . Associated to it there is the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Rig}_{\mathcal{O}}\text{Cat}_{\infty}$  of  $\mathcal{O}$ -semiring  $\infty$ -categories and the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Ring}_{\mathcal{O}}\text{Cat}_{\infty}$  of  $\mathcal{O}$ -ring  $\infty$ -categories.

**Remark 7-3** For a general closed symmetric monoidal presentable  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  there are two potentially different symmetric monoidal structures playing a role in the notion of an  $\mathcal{O}$ -(semi)ring object. Thus it may be useful to provide an informal description of the structure given by an  $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}$ -semiring object in  $\mathcal{C}$ . It consists of an object  $R \in \mathcal{C}$  together with an addition map  $+: R \times R \rightarrow R$  and a multiplication map  $\times: R \otimes R \rightarrow R$  such that both maps are coherently associative and commutative. Moreover, the multiplication has to distribute over the addition in a homotopy coherent fashion. In the case of an ordinary category with the Cartesian monoidal structure, our notion reduces to the usual one.

As in the case of commutative monoids and commutative groups, Theorem 5-1 also guarantees that the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$  of spectrum objects associated to a closed symmetric monoidal presentable  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  has a canonical closed symmetric monoidal structure  $\text{Sp}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})$ . This allows us to make the following definition.

**Definition 7-4** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a closed symmetric monoidal presentable  $\infty$ -category and let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an  $\infty$ -operad. The  $\infty$ -category  $\text{RingSp}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$  of  $\mathcal{O}$ -ring spectrum objects in  $\mathcal{C}$  is defined as

$$\text{RingSp}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) := \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{Sp}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})).$$

**Theorem 7-5** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a closed symmetric monoidal presentable  $\infty$ -category and let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an  $\infty$ -operad. Then the group completion functor  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  and the associated spectrum functor  $\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$  refine to functors*

$$\text{Rig}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Ring}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ring}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{RingSp}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}),$$

*called the ring completion and the associated ring spectrum functor, respectively.*

**Proof** This is clear since the group completion  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$  and also the associated spectrum functor  $\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$  are symmetric monoidal as shown in Theorem 5-1. □

**Example 7-6** (i) In the special case of the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Set}$  of sets this reduces to the usual ring completion of associative or commutative semirings.

(ii) From an  $\infty$ -operad  $\mathcal{O}$ , we get an associated ring completion functor  $\text{Rig}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{Ring}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{S})$  from  $\mathcal{O}$ -rig spaces to  $\mathcal{O}$ -ring spaces and an associated ring spectrum functor  $\text{Ring}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{RingSp}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{S})$  from  $\mathcal{O}$ -ring spaces to  $\mathcal{O}$ -ring spectra. The latter  $\infty$ -category will also be written  $\text{RingSp}_{\mathcal{O}}$ .

(iii) Let us again consider the cartesian closed presentable  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Cat}$  of ordinary small categories. Then for each  $\infty$ -operad  $\mathcal{O}$ , we obtain a ring completion functor  $\text{Rig}_{\mathcal{O}}\text{Cat} \rightarrow \text{Ring}_{\mathcal{O}}\text{Cat}$  from  $\mathcal{O}$ -rig categories to  $\mathcal{O}$ -ring categories.

(iv) Again, we immediately obtain an  $\infty$ -categorical refinement of the previous example. For each  $\infty$ -operad  $\mathcal{O}$ , we obtain a ring completion functor  $\text{Rig}_{\mathcal{O}}\text{Cat}_\infty \rightarrow \text{Ring}_{\mathcal{O}}\text{Cat}_\infty$  from  $\mathcal{O}$ -rig  $\infty$ -categories to  $\mathcal{O}$ -ring  $\infty$ -categories. Using explicit models, a similar construction was obtained by Baas, Dundas, Richter and Rognes [2].

Theorem 7-5 shows that semirings can be used to produce highly structured ring spectra. Unfortunately, the definition of a semiring object is a bit indirect, so in practice it is often difficult to write down explicit examples of such objects. Theorem 8-8 provides a natural class of semirings in the case of the cartesian closed  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Cat}_\infty$ . Moreover, this is the class that is of most interest in applications to algebraic K-theory, as we discuss in Section 8.

We conclude this section with a base-change result (similar to Corollary 4-7) which sheds some light on the definition of semiring and ring object. This result will also be needed in Appendix B where we show  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -(semi)rings to be *algebraic*.

**Proposition 7-7** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a cartesian closed presentable  $\infty$ -category and  $\mathcal{O}$  an  $\infty$ -operad. Then we have equivalences*

$$\text{Rig}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C} \otimes \text{Rig}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{S}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ring}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C} \otimes \text{Ring}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{S}).$$

**Proof** We show more generally that, for  $\mathcal{D}$  any closed symmetric monoidal presentable  $\infty$ -category, there exists a canonical equivalence

$$(7-8) \quad \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{D}) \otimes \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{C}).$$

Then, taking  $\mathcal{D}$  to be  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{S})$ , using Theorem 4-6, we obtain the desired chain of equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Rig}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) &\simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{C})) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{S}) \otimes \mathcal{C}) \\ &\simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{S})) \otimes \mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Rig}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{S}) \otimes \mathcal{C}. \end{aligned}$$

In the case of rings we get an analogous chain of equivalences.

To show (7-8), first consider the case in which  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}_0)$  is the  $\infty$ -category of presheaves of spaces on a (small)  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}_0$ . In this case, we have that  $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}_0^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D})$ , so that

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{D}) \otimes \mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}_0^{\text{op}}, \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{D})) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}_0^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D})) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{C}).$$

A general cartesian closed presentable  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a full symmetric monoidal subcategory of some  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}_0)$ , say for  $\mathcal{C}_0$  the full subcategory of  $\kappa$ -compact objects in  $\mathcal{C}$  for a sufficiently large regular cardinal  $\kappa$ . Since  $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Fun}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{C}_0^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D})$ , we see that  $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{C}$  is a full symmetric monoidal subcategory of  $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}_0)$ , and similarly with  $\mathcal{D}$  replaced by  $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{D})$ . Thus it suffices to show that  $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{D}) \otimes \mathcal{C}$  and  $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{C})$  define equivalent full subcategories of  $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{D}) \otimes \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}_0) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}_0))$ .

If  $\mathcal{O}$  is monochromatic (ie if there exists an essentially surjective functor  $\Delta^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(1)}^{\otimes}$ ), then an object of  $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}_0))$  lies in the full subcategory  $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{C})$  if and only if the projection to  $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}_0)$  factors through  $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ . For arbitrary  $\mathcal{O}$ , an object of  $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}_0))$  lies in the full subcategory  $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{C})$  precisely when the restriction along any full monochromatic suboperad  $\mathcal{O}' \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  satisfies this same condition. As the analogous results for  $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{D}) \otimes \mathcal{C}$  hold by the same argument, we see that  $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{D}) \otimes \mathcal{C}$  and  $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{C})$  define equivalent full subcategories of  $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{D}) \otimes \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}_0) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}_0))$ . □

## 8 Multiplicative infinite loop space theory

In this section we apply the results of the previous section to some specific  $\infty$ -categories; namely, we consider the  $\infty$ -categories  $\mathcal{S}$  of spaces, the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Cat}$  of ordinary categories (really a 2-category, but we regard it as an  $\infty$ -category),

and the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Cat}_\infty$  of  $\infty$ -categories. Let us emphasize that, as a special case of Theorem 7-5, the group completion and the associated spectrum functor

$$\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}$$

refine to functors

$$\text{Rig}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{Ring}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{RingSp}_{\mathcal{O}}.$$

This gives us not only a way of obtaining (highly structured) ring spectra, but it also allows us to identify certain spectra as ring spectra.

Recall that the group completion functor  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}$  plays an important role in algebraic K-theory. The input data for algebraic K-theory is often a symmetric monoidal category  $\mathcal{M}$ ; as a primary example, we have the category  $\mathcal{M} = \text{Proj}_R$  of finitely generated projective modules over a ring  $R$ , which is symmetric monoidal under the direct sum  $\oplus$  (which is the coproduct). In any case, given such a category  $\mathcal{M}$ , we form the subcategory of isomorphisms  $\mathcal{M}^\sim$  and pass to the geometric realization  $|\mathcal{M}^\sim|$ . That way we obtain an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -space  $|\mathcal{M}^\sim|$ , ie, an object of  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$ . The *algebraic K-theory spectrum*  $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{M})$  is then defined to be the spectrum associated to the group completion of  $|\mathcal{M}^\sim|$ ; see eg [28]. In other words, (direct sum) algebraic K-theory is defined as the composition

$$(8-1) \quad \mathbf{K}: \text{SymMonCat} \xrightarrow{(-)^\sim} \text{SymMonCat} \xrightarrow{|\!-\!|} \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}.$$

It is a result of May [22], with refinements by Elmendorf and Mandell [12] and Bass, Dundas, Richter and Rognes [2], that this functor respects multiplicative structures, in the appropriate sense. Our methods give an even more refined result.

**Proposition 8-2** *The algebraic K-theory functor  $\mathbf{K}: \text{SymMonCat} \rightarrow \text{Sp}$  is lax symmetric monoidal. In particular, it induces a functor  $\text{Rig}_{\mathcal{O}}\text{Cat} \rightarrow \text{RingSp}_{\mathcal{O}}$  for any  $\infty$ -operad  $\mathcal{O}$ .*

**Proof** The last two functors in the composition (8-1) are symmetric monoidal by Theorem 5-1. The remaining two functors  $(-)^\sim: \text{SymMonCat} \rightarrow \text{SymMonCat}$  and  $|\!-\!|: \text{SymMonCat} \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$  are the canonical extensions of the product preserving functors  $(-)^\sim: \text{Cat} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$  and  $|\!-\!|: \text{Cat} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  respectively. Since these latter functors are accessible, Corollary 6-6 implies that their canonical extensions are lax symmetric monoidal, concluding the proof. □



We now have the tools necessary to establish corresponding results in the  $\infty$ -categorical case. Note that the composition of the first two functors in (8-1) is the same as the composition of the nerve  $\text{SymMonCat} \rightarrow \text{SymMonCat}_\infty$  followed by the functor  $(-)^{\sim} : \text{SymMonCat}_\infty \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$ , which sends a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category to its maximal subgroupoid, and of course is again symmetric monoidal. This allows us to recover the algebraic K-theory of a symmetric monoidal category  $\mathcal{M}$  by an application of the following  $\infty$ -categorical version of algebraic K-theory to the nerve of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Definition 8-3** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category. The *algebraic K-theory spectrum*  $K(\mathcal{M})$  is the spectrum associated to the group completion of  $\mathcal{M}^{\sim}$ . Thus, the algebraic K-theory functor is defined as the composition

$$(8-4) \quad K: \text{SymMonCat}_\infty \xrightarrow{(-)^{\sim}} \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \longrightarrow \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \longrightarrow \text{Sp}.$$

**Remark 8-5** Strictly speaking, this is the *direct sum K-theory*, since it does not take into account a potential exactness (or Waldhausen) structure on the symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -categories in question. Nevertheless, in many cases of interest, eg that of a connective ring spectrum  $R$ , the algebraic K-theory of  $R$ , defined in terms of Waldhausen’s  $S_\bullet$  construction applied to the stable  $\infty$ -category of  $R$ -modules (which agrees with the K-theory of any suitable model category of  $R$ -modules; see [6] for details), is computed as the direct sum K-theory of the symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Proj}_R$  of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules [20, Definition 7.2.2.4].

For more sophisticated versions of K-theory, the situation is slightly more complicated but entirely analogous. In [7] it is shown that the algebraic K-theory  $K: \text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow \text{Sp}$  of small idempotent-complete stable  $\infty$ -categories is a lax symmetric monoidal functor, as is the nonconnective version; the methods employed to do so are similar to the ones used in the present paper, in that  $K$  is shown to be the tensor unit in a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category of all additive (respectively, localizing) functors  $\text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow \text{Sp}$ , so that the commutative algebra structure ultimately relies on the existence of an idempotent object in an appropriate symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category. The case of general Waldhausen  $\infty$ -categories is treated in [3], where it is shown that the algebraic K-theory  $K: \text{Wald}_\infty \rightarrow \text{Sp}$  of Waldhausen  $\infty$ -categories is again a lax symmetric monoidal functor.

As already mentioned, the  $\infty$ -categorical algebraic K-theory

$$K: \text{SymMonCat}_\infty \rightarrow \text{Sp}$$

applied to nerves of ordinary symmetric monoidal categories recovers the 1–categorical algebraic K–theory  $K: \text{SymMonCat} \rightarrow \text{Sp}$ . Note, however, that the inclusion of symmetric monoidal 1–categories into symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ –categories given by the nerve functor does not commute with the tensor products. In fact, the tensor product  $N(\mathcal{C}) \otimes N(\mathcal{D})$  of the nerves of two symmetric monoidal 1–categories  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$  need not again be (the nerve of) a symmetric monoidal 1–category; rather, one can show that  $N(\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D})$  is the 1–categorical truncation of  $N(\mathcal{C}) \otimes N(\mathcal{D})$ .

**Theorem 8-6** *The algebraic K–theory functor  $K: \text{SymMonCat}_\infty \rightarrow \text{Sp}$  is lax symmetric monoidal. In particular, it refines to a functor  $\text{Rig}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{Cat}_\infty) \rightarrow \text{RingSp}_{\mathcal{O}}$  for any  $\infty$ –operad  $\mathcal{O}$ .*

**Proof** The proof is almost the same as in the 1–categorical case. The last two functors in the defining composition (8-4) are symmetric monoidal by Theorem 5-1. The remaining functor  $(-)^{\sim}: \text{SymMonCat}_\infty \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$  is the canonical extension of the accessible, product preserving functors  $(-)^{\sim}: \text{Cat}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ . Thus, Corollary 6-6 implies that this canonical extension is lax symmetric monoidal as intended.  $\square$

**Remark 8-7** The K–theory functor is defined as the composition (8-4) of lax symmetric monoidal functors. We know that the last two of these (namely, the group completion and the associated spectrum functor) are actually symmetric monoidal. Thus, one might wonder whether also the first functor (and hence the K–theory functor) is symmetric monoidal as well. This is not the case, as the following counterexample shows.

We begin by recalling from [20, Remark 2.1.3.10] that the  $\infty$ –category  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_0}(\text{Cat}_\infty)$  is equivalent to  $(\text{Cat}_\infty)_{\Delta^0/}$ . Thus, an object in  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_0}(\text{Cat}_\infty)$  is just an  $\infty$ –category  $\mathcal{C}$  together with a chosen object  $x \in \mathcal{C}$ . The fact that an ordinary monoid gives rise to a category with one object (which is hence distinguished) admits the following  $\infty$ –categorical variant. There is a functor

$$B: \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_0}(\text{Cat}_\infty)$$

which is left adjoint to the functor which sends  $x: \Delta^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  to the endomorphism monoid  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(x)$  of the distinguished object. Similarly, there is a functor

$$B: \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Cat}_\infty)$$

which is left adjoint to the functor which sends a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ –category to the  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ –monoid of endomorphisms of the monoidal unit (we are also using the fact that  $\mathbb{E}_n \otimes \mathbb{E}_\infty \simeq \mathbb{E}_\infty$  for  $n = 0, 1$ ).

Now, let  $\mathcal{F} = \text{Fr}(\Delta^0)$  denote the free symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category on the point, which is to say the nerve of the groupoid of finite sets and isomorphisms. We claim that, for any symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -groupoid  $\mathcal{C}$ ,

$$(\mathbf{B}\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{C} \simeq \mathbf{B}\mathcal{C}.$$

This is clearly true if  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{F}$ , and the general formula follows by the observation that both sides commute with colimits in the  $\mathcal{C}$  variable and the fact that every symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -groupoid is an iterated colimit of  $\mathcal{F}$ . But the groupoid core  $(\mathbf{B}\mathcal{F})^\sim$  is trivial. Thus,  $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{B}\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}) = 0$  for every  $\mathcal{C}$ . On the other hand, taking  $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{Z}$ , we have that  $(\mathbf{B}\mathbb{Z})^\sim \simeq \mathbf{B}\mathbb{Z}$ , so  $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{B}\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \Sigma\mathbb{H}\mathbb{Z}$ , the suspension of the Eilenberg–MacLane spectrum.

We have the following *recognition principle* for semiring  $\infty$ -categories.

**Theorem 8-8** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -monoidal  $\infty$ -category with coproducts such that the monoidal product*

$$\otimes: \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

*preserves coproducts separately in each variable. Then  $(\mathcal{C}, \sqcup, \otimes)$  is canonically an object of  $\text{Rig}_{\mathbb{E}_n}(\text{Cat}_\infty)$ .*

**Proof** Let  $\text{Cat}_\infty^\Sigma$  be the  $\infty$ -category of  $\infty$ -categories which admit finite coproducts and coproduct preserving functor. There is a fully faithful functor

$$\text{Cat}_\infty^\Sigma \rightarrow \text{SymMonCat}_\infty$$

given by considering an  $\infty$ -category with coproducts as a cocartesian symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category; see [20, Variant 2.4.3.12]. We want to show that this functor naturally extends to a lax symmetric monoidal functor, essentially by the construction of the tensor product on  $\text{Cat}_\infty^\Sigma$  of [20, Corollary 4.8.1.4]. From this the claim follows, since an  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -algebra in  $\text{Cat}_\infty^\Sigma$  is the same as an  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -monoidal  $\infty$ -category such that the tensor product preserves finite coproducts in each variable separately.

The first thing we want to observe is that the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Cat}_\infty^\Sigma$  is preadditive. To see this, note that  $\text{Cat}_\infty^\Sigma$  has finite coproducts and products, because  $\text{Cat}_\infty^\Sigma$  is presentable; this follows from [20, Lemma 4.8.4.2] by taking  $\mathcal{K}$  to be the collection of finite sets. It remains to check that the product  $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}$ , which is calculated as the product in  $\text{Ho}(\text{Cat}_\infty)$ , satisfies the universal property of the coproduct in  $\text{Ho}(\text{Cat}_\infty^\Sigma)$ . Given a third  $\infty$ -category with finite coproducts  $\mathcal{E}$ , we note that any pair of coproduct preserving functors  $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  and  $g: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  extends to the coproduct preserving functor

$$\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{f \times g} \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sqcup} \mathcal{E}.$$

Moreover, this extension is unique up to homotopy, because  $(c, d) \cong (c, \emptyset) \sqcup (\emptyset, d)$  for any  $(c, d) \in \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}$ .

Using [20, Proposition 4.8.1.10] again, the inclusion functor  $i: \text{Cat}_\infty^\Sigma \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$  admits a left adjoint  $L$  which is symmetric monoidal. By Proposition 5-4 the functor  $L$  extends to a left adjoint functor

$$L': \text{SymMonCat}_\infty \simeq \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Cat}_\infty) \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Cat}_\infty^\Sigma) \simeq \text{Cat}_\infty^\Sigma.$$

The right adjoint of this functor can be described as the functor

$$\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(i): \text{Cat}_\infty^\Sigma \simeq \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Cat}_\infty^\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Cat}_\infty).$$

We can now conclude that  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(i)$  is lax symmetric monoidal since it is right adjoint to a symmetric monoidal functor. It remains to show that  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(i)$  is the desired functor. This is obvious. □

**Corollary 8-9** *If  $\mathcal{C}$  is an ordinary monoidal category with coproducts such that  $\otimes: \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  preserves coproducts in each variable separately, then  $(\mathcal{C}, \sqcup, \otimes)$  is canonically an object of  $\text{Rig}_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\text{Cat}) \subset \text{Rig}_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\text{Cat}_\infty)$ . If  $\mathcal{C}$  is moreover braided or symmetric monoidal then  $(\mathcal{C}, \sqcup, \otimes)$  is an object of  $\text{Rig}_{\mathbb{E}_2}(\text{Cat})$  or  $\text{Rig}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Cat})$  respectively.*

**Proof** We only need the identification of the  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -monoids in  $\text{Cat}$  with the respective monoidal categories. This has been given in [20, Example 5.1.2.4]. □

**Corollary 8-10** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -monoidal  $\infty$ -category with coproducts such that  $\otimes: \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  preserves coproducts in each variable separately. Then the largest Kan complex  $\mathcal{C}^\sim$  inside of  $\mathcal{C}$  together with  $\sqcup$  and  $\otimes$  is an object of  $\text{Rig}_{\mathbb{E}_n}(S) \subseteq \text{Rig}_{\mathbb{E}_n}(\text{Cat}_\infty)$ .*

**Proof** The functor  $(-)^{\sim}: \text{Cat}_\infty \rightarrow S \subset \text{Cat}_\infty$  preserves products and is accessible. Thus we can apply Corollary 6-6 to deduce that the induced functor  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Cat}_\infty) \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Cat}_\infty)$  is lax symmetric monoidal. But this implies that we obtain a further functor  $\text{Rig}_{\mathbb{E}_n}(\text{Cat}_\infty) \rightarrow \text{Rig}_{\mathbb{E}_n}(\text{Cat}_\infty)$  which preserves the underlying object of  $\text{Cat}_\infty$ . Now apply this functor to the semiring  $\infty$ -category of Theorem 8-8. □

**Example 8-11** (i) For an ordinary commutative ring  $R$ , let  $\text{Mod}_R$  denote the (ordinary) category of  $R$ -modules. Then  $\text{Mod}_R$  and the  $\infty$ -groupoid  $\text{Mod}_R^\sim$ , equipped with the operations  $\oplus$  and  $\otimes_R$ , form  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -semiring categories. The same applies to the category of sheaves on schemes and other variants.

- (ii) For an  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -ring spectrum  $R$ , the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Mod}_R$  of (left)  $R$ -modules is a  $\mathbb{E}_{n-1}$ -monoidal  $\infty$ -category by [20, Section 4.8 or Proposition 7.1.2.6]. Since the tensor product preserves coproducts in each variable we conclude that  $\text{Mod}_R$ , together with the coproduct  $\oplus$  and tensor product  $\otimes_R$ , is an  $\mathbb{E}_{n-1}$ -semiring  $\infty$ -category.

Now we want to apply this to identify certain spectra as  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring spectra. For a connective  $\mathbb{E}_{n+1}$ -ring spectrum  $R$  the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Proj}_R$  of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules is an  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -semiring. The K-theory spectrum  $K(R)$  can then be defined as  $K(\text{Proj}_R)$ . This definition is actually equivalent to the definition using Waldhausen categories: for the variant which uses finitely generated free  $R$ -modules in place of projective, this is shown in [11, Chapter VI.7], and for the general case this follows from [5, Section 4].

**Corollary 8-12** *For a connective  $\mathbb{E}_{n+1}$ -ring spectrum  $R$ , the algebraic K-theory spectrum  $K(R)$  of  $R$  is an  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -ring spectrum.*

We also have the following proposition, which states roughly that group completion of monoidal  $\infty$ -categories not only inverts objects, but arrows as well. It also shows why it is necessary to discard all non-invertible morphisms *before* group completion.

**Proposition 8-13** *The underlying  $\infty$ -category of an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -group object of  $\text{Cat}_\infty$  is an  $\infty$ -groupoid. More precisely, the group completion functor  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Cat}_\infty) \rightarrow \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Cat}_\infty)$  factors through the groupoid completion*

$$\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Cat}_\infty) \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Cat}_\infty)$$

*and induces an equivalence  $\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \simeq \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Cat}_\infty)$ .*

**Proof** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -group object of  $\text{Cat}_\infty$ . Then the underlying  $\infty$ -category of  $\mathcal{C}$  is an  $\infty$ -groupoid precisely if its homotopy category  $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$  is a groupoid. Thus it suffices to show that  $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$  is a groupoid. But since  $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$  is a group object in  $\text{Cat}$ , this reduces the proof of the proposition to ordinary categories  $\mathcal{C}$ .

A group object  $\mathcal{C}$  in categories is a symmetric monoidal category  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes)$  together with an inversion functor  $I: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  as in to Proposition 1-1. We clearly have  $I^2 \simeq \text{id}$ . As a first step we show that all endomorphisms of the tensor unit  $\mathbb{1}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  are automorphisms. This follows from the Eckman–Hilton argument since  $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})$  carries two commuting monoid structures (composition and tensoring), and as one of these is a group structure

the other must also be as well. It follows that all endomorphisms in  $\mathcal{C}$  are automorphisms by the identification

$$I(x) \otimes -: \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, x) \cong \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}).$$

Finally, to show that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a groupoid, it now suffices to show that for every morphisms  $f: x \rightarrow y$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  there is a morphism  $g: y \rightarrow x$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . By tensoring with  $I(y)$  we see that we may assume that  $y = \mathbb{1}$ . Then we have  $I(f): I(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$ , and therefore, using the usual identifications,  $g := I(f) \otimes x: \mathbb{1} \rightarrow x$ . □

**Remark 8-14** Proposition 8-13 is closely related to our comment in Remark 1-5. More precisely, the reason that group completion produces groupoids lies in our definition of group objects. We could have alternatively stipulated that a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  (considered as an object in  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}(\text{Cat}_{\infty})$ ) is a *group* if every object of  $\mathcal{C}$  is tensor invertible (or even just dualizable). Let us denote the  $\infty$ -category of symmetric monoidal categories satisfying this weaker group condition by  $\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}^{\tau}(\text{Cat}_{\infty})$ . Then we have strict inclusions

$$\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}(\text{Cat}_{\infty}) \subset \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}^{\tau}(\text{Cat}_{\infty}) \subset \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}(\text{Cat}_{\infty})$$

of reflective subcategories. The reflection from  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}(\text{Cat}_{\infty})$  to  $\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}^{\tau}(\text{Cat}_{\infty})$  is closely related to Quillen’s  $S^{-1}S$  construction.

## Appendix A: Comonoids

In this short section we establish additional universal mapping properties for  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{S})$  and  $\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{S})$  respectively. This gives a characterization of these  $\infty$ -categories among all presentable  $\infty$ -categories and not only among the (pre)additive ones. We write  $\text{Fun}^{\text{RAd}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  for the  $\infty$ -category of right adjoint functors from  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\mathcal{D}$ , which is a full subcategory of  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ .

**Lemma A-1** *If  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are presentable, then we have canonical equivalences*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}(\text{Fun}^{\text{RAd}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})) &\simeq \text{Fun}^{\text{RAd}}(\mathcal{C}, \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{D})), \\ \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}(\text{Fun}^{\text{RAd}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})) &\simeq \text{Fun}^{\text{RAd}}(\mathcal{C}, \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{D})). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof** We note that right adjoint functors between presentable  $\infty$ -categories can be described as accessible functors that preserve limits. Then the proof works exactly the same as the proof of Lemma 1-6. □

**Definition A-2** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an  $\infty$ -category with finite coproducts. We define the  $\infty$ -categories of *comonoids* and *cogroups* in  $\mathcal{C}$  to be the respective  $\infty$ -categories

$$\text{coMon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{coGrp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}}.$$

**Remark A-3** The comonoids as defined above are comonoids for the coproduct as tensor product. This is a structure which is often rather trivial. For example in the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{S}$  of spaces (or in the ordinary category of sets) there is exactly one comonoid in the sense above, namely the empty set  $\emptyset$ .

**Proposition A-4** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be presentable  $\infty$ -categories. Then there are natural equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fun}^L(\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D}) &\simeq \text{coMon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})) \\ \text{Fun}^L(\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D}) &\simeq \text{coGrp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for a presentable  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{D}$  we have natural equivalences

$$\text{Fun}^L(\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S}), \mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{coMon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Fun}^L(\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S}), \mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{coGrp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D}).$$

**Proof** Let us recall that given two  $\infty$ -categories  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$ , there is an equivalence of categories  $\text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  and  $\text{Fun}^{\text{RAd}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})^{\text{op}}$  [19, Proposition 5.2.6.2]. The adjoint functor theorem [19, Corollary 5.5.2.9] together with Lemma 1-6 then yields the following chain of equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fun}^L(\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D}) &\simeq \text{Fun}^{\text{RAd}}(\mathcal{D}, \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}))^{\text{op}} \\ &\simeq \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Fun}^{\text{RAd}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}))^{\text{op}} \\ &\simeq \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}} \\ &= \text{coMon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})). \end{aligned}$$

In the special case of  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{S}$  we can use the universal property of  $\infty$ -categories of presheaves [19, Theorem 5.1.5.6] to extend the above chain of equivalences by

$$\text{coMon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{D})) \simeq \text{coMon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D}).$$

This settles the case of monoids and the case of groups works the same. □

## Appendix B: Algebraic theories and monadic functors

In this section we give a short discussion of Lawvere algebraic theories in  $\infty$ -categories and show that our examples are algebraic. For other treatments of  $\infty$ -categorical algebraic theories; see [9; 10], [15, Section 32] and [19, Section 5.5.8]. We write  $\text{Fin}$  for the category of finite sets.

**Definition B-1** An *algebraic theory* is an  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbb{T}$  with finite products and a distinguished object  $1_{\mathbb{T}}$ , such that the unique product-preserving functor  $N(\text{Fin})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  which sends the singleton to  $1_{\mathbb{T}}$  is essentially surjective. A *morphism of algebraic theories* is a functor which preserves products and the distinguished object. We write  $\text{Th} \subseteq (\text{Cat}_{\infty}^{\Pi})_*$  for the  $\infty$ -category of theories and morphisms thereof.

This is the obvious generalization of algebraic theories, as defined by Lawvere [18], to  $\infty$ -categories.

**Definition B-2** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an  $\infty$ -category with finite products. An *algebra* in  $\mathcal{C}$  for an algebraic theory  $\mathbb{T}$  is a finite product preserving functor  $\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . We write  $\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{C})$  for the  $\infty$ -category of algebras of  $\mathbb{T}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , ie, for the full subcategory of  $\text{Fun}(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{C})$  spanned by the algebras.

The notation  $\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{C})$  should not be confused with the definition of algebra for an  $\infty$ -operad used previously in the paper. If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a presentable  $\infty$ -category and  $\mathbb{T}$  a theory, then  $\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{C})$  is again presentable. This follows since  $\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{C})$  is an accessible localization of the presentable  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Fun}(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{C})$  (the proof is similar to that of Proposition 4-1 which takes care of the case of commutative monoids). Applying the adjoint functor theorem we also get that the forgetful functor  $\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ , ie the evaluation at the distinguished object  $1_{\mathbb{T}}$ , has a left adjoint.

**Proposition B-3** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a presentable  $\infty$ -category and  $\mathbb{T}$  a theory. Then we have an equivalence

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C} \otimes \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{S}).$$

**Proof** The same proof as for Lemma 1-6 shows that we have an equivalence

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{T}}(\text{Fun}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{S})) \simeq \text{Fun}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{S})).$$

This then implies the claim since we have  $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D} \simeq \text{Fun}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D})$  for any presentable  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{D}$ .  $\square$

A *monad* on an  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  is an algebra  $M$  in the monoidal  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$  of endofunctors; see [20, Chapter 4.7] for details. Any such monad  $M \in \text{Alg}(\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}))$  admits an  $\infty$ -category of *modules* which we denote  $\text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{C})$ . This  $\infty$ -category comes equipped with a forgetful functor  $\text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  which is a right adjoint (again, this  $\infty$ -category should not be confused with the  $\infty$ -category of algebras for an  $\infty$ -operad). Thus, given an arbitrary right adjoint functor  $U: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ , it is natural to ask whether this functor is equivalent to the forgetful functor from modules over



a monad on  $\mathcal{C}$ . In this case the corresponding monad is uniquely determined as the composition  $M = U \circ F$ , where  $F$  is a left adjoint of  $U$ . The functors  $U$  for which this is the case are called *monadic*.

The Barr–Beck theorem (also called Beck’s monadicity theorem) gives necessary and sufficient conditions for a functor  $U$  to be monadic. The conditions are that  $U$  is conservative (ie, reflects equivalences) and that  $U$  preserves  $U$ –split geometric realizations [20, Theorem 4.7.4.5]. We will not need to discuss here what  $U$ –split means exactly since in our cases all geometric realizations will be preserved.

**Proposition B-4** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a presentable  $\infty$ –category and let  $\mathbb{T}$  be a theory. Then the forgetful functor  $\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is monadic and preserves sifted colimits.*

**Proof** We will show that the evaluation  $\text{Fun}^{\Pi}(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is conservative and preserves sifted colimits. The result then follows immediately from the monadicity theorem. That the functor is conservative is clear, so it remains to check the sifted colimit condition. But the inclusion of the finite product preserving functors

$$\text{Fun}^{\Pi}(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{C})$$

preserves sifted colimits by (4) of [19, Proposition 5.5.8.10], and as colimits in functor  $\infty$ –categories are computed pointwise the evaluation  $\text{Fun}(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  also preserves sifted colimits. □

We will obtain a converse to the previous proposition in the case of the  $\infty$ –category of spaces; namely, in this case we will identify algebraic theories with certain monads. To this end, note that an arbitrary monadic functor  $U: \text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  defines a theory  $\mathbb{T}_M$  by

$$\mathbb{T}_M := (\text{Alg}_M^{\text{ff}}(\mathcal{S}))^{\text{op}},$$

where  $\text{Alg}_M^{\text{ff}}(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq \text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{S})$  is the full subcategory spanned by the free  $M$ –algebras on finite sets (which we abusively refer to as *finite free* algebras, and should not to be confused with more general free algebras on finite or finitely presented spaces). There is a canonical functor

$$R: \text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{T}_M}(\mathcal{S})$$

from modules for  $M$  to models to the associated theory  $\mathbb{T}_M$ , which is just the restriction of the Yoneda embedding to the full subcategory  $\text{Alg}_M^{\text{ff}}(\mathcal{S})$ .

**Definition B-5** A monadic functor  $U: \text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  is called *algebraic* if the restricted Yoneda embedding  $R: \text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{T}_M}(\mathcal{S})$  is an equivalence of  $\infty$ –categories over  $\mathcal{S}$ . We also say that a monad  $M$  on spaces is *algebraic* if the associated forgetful functor  $U: \text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  is algebraic.

The main result of this section is Theorem B-7, which provides necessary and sufficient conditions for a monadic functor to spaces to be algebraic. As preparation, we first collect the following result, a straightforward generalization of a well-known result in ordinary category theory.

**Proposition B-6** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a presentable  $\infty$ -category and let  $M: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be a monad which commutes with  $\kappa$ -filtered colimits for some infinite regular cardinal  $\kappa$ . Then  $\text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{C})$  is a presentable  $\infty$ -category.*

**Proof** To begin with let us choose a regular cardinal  $\kappa$  such that  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $\kappa$ -compactly generated and  $M$  commutes with  $\kappa$ -filtered colimits. Let  $\mathcal{C}^\kappa \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  and  $\text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{C})^\kappa \subseteq \text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{C})$  be the respective full subcategories spanned by the  $\kappa$ -compact objects. We claim that there is an equivalence  $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{C})^\kappa) \simeq \text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{C})$ . Since  $\text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{C})$  admits  $\kappa$ -filtered colimits, the inclusion  $\text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{C})^\kappa \subseteq \text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{C})$  induces a functor

$$\phi: \text{Ind}_\kappa(\text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{C})^\kappa) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{C})$$

which we want to show is an equivalence. The fully faithfulness of  $\phi$  is a special case of the following: if  $\mathcal{D}$  is an  $\infty$ -category with  $\kappa$ -filtered colimits, then the inclusion  $\mathcal{D}^\kappa \subseteq \mathcal{D}$  of the  $\kappa$ -compact objects induces a fully faithful functor  $\text{Ind}_\kappa(\mathcal{D}^\kappa) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ . Thus it remains to show that  $\phi$  is essentially surjective.

Because  $M$  commutes with  $\kappa$ -filtered colimits, we see that, if  $X \in \mathcal{C}^\kappa$ , then  $FX \in \text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{C})^\kappa$ , where  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{C})$  denotes a left adjoint to the forgetful functor  $\text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . Since the forgetful functor  $\text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is conservative and  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $\kappa$ -compactly generated, a map  $f: A \rightarrow B$  of  $M$ -modules is an equivalence if and only if

$$\text{map}_{\text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{C})}(FX, A) \rightarrow \text{map}_{\text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{C})}(FX, B)$$

is an equivalence for all  $X \in \mathcal{C}^\kappa$ . We apply this criterion to the map  $\text{colim}_{A' \in \text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{C})_{/A}^\kappa} A' \rightarrow A$ , whose domain is a  $\kappa$ -filtered colimit, in order to obtain the essential surjectivity of  $\phi$ . We first show that, for any  $X \in \mathcal{C}^\kappa$ , the induced map

$$\text{colim}_{\text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{C})_{/A}^\kappa} \pi_0 \text{map}(FX, A') \rightarrow \pi_0 \text{map}(FX, A)$$

is an isomorphism. Indeed, it is surjective because any (homotopy class of the) map  $FX \rightarrow A$  is the image of the identity map  $FX \rightarrow A'$  for  $A' = FX$ , which is by construction a  $\kappa$ -compact object of  $\text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{C})$ . Similarly, injectivity follows because given any two maps  $f, g: FX \rightarrow A'$ , the fact that  $\text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{C})_{/A}^\kappa$  is  $\kappa$ -filtered implies that there exists an  $A'' \rightarrow A$  which coequalizes  $f$  and  $g$ . Replacing  $X$  by  $K \otimes X$  for

some finite simplicial set  $K$ , and noting that  $K \otimes X$  is a  $\kappa$ -compact object of  $\mathcal{C}$  since  $K$  is finite, we obtain an isomorphism

$$\pi_0 \text{map}(K, \text{colim map}(FX, A')) \cong \pi_0 \text{map}(K, \text{map}(FX, A)).$$

It follows that  $\text{colim map}(FX, A') \rightarrow \text{map}(FX, A)$  is a homotopy equivalence, as desired.  $\square$

**Theorem B-7** *A monadic functor  $U: \text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  is algebraic if and only if it preserves sifted colimits.*

**Proof** This is a necessary condition since the forgetful functor  $\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{T}_M}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  preserves sifted colimits (see Proposition B-4). Thus, suppose that  $U$  preserves sifted colimits; we must show that  $R$  is an equivalence. Note that  $\text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{S})$  is presentable by Proposition B-6, and  $\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{T}_M}(\mathcal{S})$  is presentable as an accessible localization of the presentable  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Fun}(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{S})$ . Because  $\text{Alg}_M^{\text{ff}}(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq \text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{S})$  is a subcategory of compact projective objects,  $R$  preserves sifted colimits, and clearly  $R$  also preserves small limits. Thus  $R$  admits a left adjoint  $L$ .

We now check that the adjunction counit  $LR \rightarrow \text{id}$  is an equivalence. Since  $R$  is conservative, as both the projections down to  $\mathcal{S}$  are conservative, this will also imply that the unit  $\text{id} \rightarrow RL$  is an equivalence. Observe that both functors commute with sifted colimits and spaces is freely generated under sifted colimits by the finite sets  $\langle n \rangle$ , it is enough to check the counit equivalence on objects of the form  $F\langle n \rangle$ . Now,  $RF\langle n \rangle = \widehat{F}\langle n \rangle$ , the functor represented by  $\widehat{F}\langle n \rangle$ , so we must show that we have an equivalence  $L\widehat{F}\langle n \rangle \rightarrow F\langle n \rangle$ . Let  $A \in \text{Alg}_M(\mathcal{S})$  and consider the map

$$\text{map}(F\langle n \rangle, A) \rightarrow \text{map}(L\widehat{F}\langle n \rangle, A).$$

The left-hand side can be identified with  $\text{map}(F\langle n \rangle, A) \simeq U(A)^n$ . Similarly, the right-hand side is

$$\text{map}(L\widehat{F}\langle n \rangle, A) \simeq \text{map}(\widehat{F}\langle n \rangle, RA) \simeq \text{map}(\widehat{F}\langle 1 \rangle, RA)^n \simeq U(A)^n$$

where we used in the last step that  $R$  is compatible with the forgetful functors to  $\mathcal{S}$ .  $\square$

Finally, we wish to apply the results of this section to the study of semirings and rings in  $\infty$ -categories. We begin by showing that semirings and rings are algebraic over spaces.

**Proposition B-8** *The functors  $\text{Rig}_{\mathbb{E}_n}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  and  $\text{Ring}_{\mathbb{E}_n}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  are monadic and algebraic over  $\mathcal{S}$ .*

**Proof** We claim that the functors  $\text{Rig}_{\mathbb{E}_n}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  and  $\text{Ring}_{\mathbb{E}_n}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  all preserve sifted colimits and reflect equivalences. Then the monadicity follows from the Barr–Beck theorem [20, Theorem 4.7.4.5], and the algebraicity from Theorem B-7.

To see that this claim is true note that three of the four functors, namely  $\text{Rig}_{\mathbb{E}_n}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$ ,  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ , and  $\text{Ring}_{\mathbb{E}_n}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$ , are forgetful functors from  $\infty$ -categories of algebras over an  $\infty$ -operad. These forgetful functors are always conservative and for suitable monoidal structures they also preserve sifted colimits [20, Corollary 3.2.3.2]. Thus we only have to establish the same properties for  $\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ . It is easy to see that this functor is conservative since  $\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$  is a full subcategory of  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$  and the given functor factors over the conservative functor  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ . It remains to show that  $\text{Grp}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$  preserves sifted colimits. But for an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -monoid in the  $\infty$ -category of spaces being a group object is equivalent to being grouplike. Thus, via the left adjoint functor  $\pi_0$  it reduces to the statement that the sifted colimit of groups formed in the category of monoids is again a group. And this result is a special case of [1, Proposition 9.3].  $\square$

**Definition B-9** We denote the algebraic theory corresponding to the functor

$$\text{Rig}_{\mathbb{E}_n}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$$

by  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{E}_n\text{-Rig}}$  and call it the *theory of  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -semirings*. Accordingly we denote the algebraic theory corresponding to the functor  $\text{Ring}_{\mathbb{E}_n}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  by  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{E}_n\text{-Ring}}$  and call it the *theory of  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -rings*.

**Proposition B-10** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a cartesian closed, presentable  $\infty$ -category. Then we have equivalences*

$$\text{Rig}_{\mathbb{E}_n}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{E}_n\text{-Rig}}}(\mathcal{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ring}_{\mathbb{E}_n}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{E}_n\text{-Ring}}}(\mathcal{C}).$$

**Proof** For  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{S}$  the  $\infty$ -category of spaces the statement is true by definition of  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{E}_n\text{-Rig}}$  and  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{E}_n\text{-Ring}}$ . The general case follows from the base change formulas given in Propositions 7-7 and B-3.  $\square$

**Remark B-11** (i) Theories of  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -semirings and rings have also been constructed in [9] by the use of spans and distributive laws. These two approaches do agree.  
 (ii) The theory approach of semirings and rings gives a way of defining ring objects in a much broader generality. One only needs an  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  with finite products. In this way we can drop the assumption that  $\mathcal{C}$  is presentable and cartesian closed. However in this case semiring and ring objects do not admit a

nice description in terms of a tensor product on monoids. It is also impossible to apply this to different tensor products than the cartesian one.

- (iii) We showed in Corollary 6-6 that an accessible, product preserving functor  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  between cartesian closed symmetric monoidal categories extends to a lax symmetric monoidal functor  $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(\mathcal{D})$ . This means that  $F$  extends to functors  $\text{Rig}_{\mathbb{E}_n}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Rig}_{\mathbb{E}_n}(\mathcal{D})$  and  $\text{Ring}_{\mathbb{E}_n}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Ring}_{\mathbb{E}_n}(\mathcal{D})$ . Therefore we may drop the assumption that  $F$  is accessible and conclude that any product preserving functor  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  extends to functors  $\text{Rig}_{\mathbb{E}_n}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Rig}_{\mathbb{E}_n}(\mathcal{D})$  and  $\text{Ring}_{\mathbb{E}_n}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Ring}_{\mathbb{E}_n}(\mathcal{D})$ .

## References

- [1] **J Adámek, J Rosický, E M Vitale**, *Algebraic theories: A categorical introduction to general algebra*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 184, Cambridge Univ. Press (2011) MR2757312
- [2] **N A Baas, B I Dundas, B Richter, J Rognes**, *Ring completion of rig categories*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 674 (2013) 43–80 MR3010546
- [3] **C Barwick**, *Multiplicative structures on algebraic K-theory*, preprint (2013) arXiv:1304.4867
- [4] **H S Bergsaker**, *Homotopy theory of presheaves of  $\Gamma$ -spaces*, Homology, Homotopy Appl. 11 (2009) 35–60 MR2486652
- [5] **A Blumberg, D Gepner, G Tabuada**, *K-theory of endomorphisms via noncommutative motives* (2013) arXiv:1302.1214
- [6] **A J Blumberg, D Gepner, G Tabuada**, *A universal characterization of higher algebraic K-theory*, Geom. Topol. 17 (2013) 733–838 MR3070515
- [7] **A J Blumberg, D Gepner, G Tabuada**, *Uniqueness of the multiplicative cyclotomic trace*, Adv. Math. 260 (2014) 191–232 MR3209352
- [8] **J M Boardman, R M Vogt**, *Homotopy invariant algebraic structures on topological spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 347, Springer, Berlin (1973) MR0420609
- [9] **J Cranch**, *Algebraic theories and  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories*, PhD thesis, University of Sheffield (2010) arXiv:1011.3243
- [10] **J Cranch**, *Algebraic theories, span diagrams, and commutative monoids in homotopy theory*, preprint (2011) arXiv:1109.1598
- [11] **A D Elmendorf, I Kriz, M A Mandell, J P May**, *Rings, modules, and algebras in stable homotopy theory*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 47, Amer. Math. Soc. (1997) MR1417719

- [12] **A D Elmendorf, M A Mandell**, *Rings, modules, and algebras in infinite loop space theory*, Adv. Math. 205 (2006) 163–228 MR2254311
- [13] **A D Elmendorf, M A Mandell**, *Permutative categories, multicategories and algebraic K–theory*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 9 (2009) 2391–2441 MR2558315
- [14] **M Hyland, J Power**, *Pseudo-commutative monads and pseudo-closed 2–categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 175 (2002) 141–185 MR1935977
- [15] **A Joyal**, *Notes on quasi-categories* (2008) Available at <http://www.math.uchicago.edu/~may/IMA/Joyal.pdf>
- [16] **M L Laplaza**, *Coherence for distributivity*, from: “Coherence in categories”, (S Mac Lane, editor), Lecture Notes in Math. 281, Springer, Berlin (1972) 29–65 MR0335598
- [17] **M L Laplaza**, *A new result of coherence for distributivity*, from: “Coherence in categories”, (S Mac Lane, editor), Lecture Notes in Math. 281, Springer, Berlin (1972) 214–235 MR0335599
- [18] **F W Lawvere**, *Functorial semantics of algebraic theories*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 50 (1963) 869–872 MR0158921
- [19] **J Lurie**, *Higher topos theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies 170, Princeton Univ. Press (2009) MR2522659
- [20] **J Lurie**, *Higher algebra* (2014) Available at <http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/higheralgebra.pdf>
- [21] **J P May**, *The geometry of iterated loop spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 271, Springer, Berlin (1972) MR0420610
- [22] **J P May**, *Multiplicative infinite loop space theory*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 26 (1982) 1–69 MR669843
- [23] **J P May**, *The construction of  $E_\infty$  ring spaces from bipermutative categories*, from: “New topological contexts for Galois theory and algebraic geometry”, (A Baker, B Richter, editors), Geom. Topol. Monogr. 16 (2009) 283–330 MR2544392
- [24] **J P May**, *What are  $E_\infty$  ring spaces good for?*, from: “New topological contexts for Galois theory and algebraic geometry”, (A Baker, B Richter, editors), Geom. Topol. Monogr. 16 (2009) 331–365 MR2544393
- [25] **J P May**, *What precisely are  $E_\infty$  ring spaces and  $E_\infty$  ring spectra?*, from: “New topological contexts for Galois theory and algebraic geometry”, (A Baker, B Richter, editors), Geom. Topol. Monogr. 16 (2009) 215–282 MR2544391
- [26] **V Schmitt**, *Tensor product for symmetric monoidal categories*, preprint (2007) arXiv: 0711.0324
- [27] **S Schwede**, *Stable homotopical algebra and  $\Gamma$ –spaces*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 126 (1999) 329–356 MR1670249

- [28] **G Segal**, *Categories and cohomology theories*, *Topology* 13 (1974) 293–312  
MR0353298
- [29] **R W Thomason**, *Beware the phony multiplication on Quillen's  $\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{A}$* , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 80 (1980) 569–573 MR587929
- [30] **B Töen, G Vezzosi**, *Caractères de Chern, traces équivariantes et géométrie algébrique dérivée*, preprint (2009) arXiv:0903.3292

*Department of Mathematics, Purdue University*  
*150 N. University Street*  
*West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA*

*Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik*  
*Vivatsgasse 7*  
*D-53111 Bonn, Germany*

*Mathematisches Institut der Universität Bonn*  
*Endenicher Allee 60*  
*D-53115 Bonn, Germany*

dgepner@math.purdue.edu, mgroth@mpim-bonn.mpg.de,  
nikolaus@math.uni-bonn.de

Received: 2 October 2013      Revised: 9 October 2014

