

# Stable functorial decompositions of  $\,F(\mathbb{R}^{n+1},j)^+\!\!\wedge_{\Sigma_j}\!X^{(j)}$

### JIE WU ZIHONG YUAN

We first construct a functorial homotopy retract of  $\Omega^{n+1}\Sigma^{n+1}X$  for each natural coalgebra-split sub-Hopf algebra of the tensor algebra. Then, by computing their homology, we find a collection of stable functorial homotopy retracts of  $F(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, j)^+ \wedge_{\Sigma_j} X^{(j)}.$ 

[55P35; 55P48, 55P65](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/search/mscdoc.html?code=55P35, 55P48, 55P65)

## 1 Introduction

In the 1970s, Snaith [\[12\]](#page-20-0) proved iterated loop suspensions of a space can be split stably into simpler pieces. This is called the Snaith splitting. In detail, let  $X$  be a path-connected CW–complex, with  $X^{(j)}$  the j-fold self smash product of X. Let  $F(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, j)$  be the j<sup>th</sup> configuration space of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and  $\Sigma_j$  be the symmetric group on j letters. Let  $D_j(X)$  denote the smash product  $F(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, j)^+ \wedge_{\Sigma_j} X^{(j)}$ . There is a homotopy equivalence

$$
\Sigma^{\infty} \Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} X \simeq \bigvee_{j=0}^{\infty} \Sigma^{\infty} F(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, j)^{+} \wedge_{\Sigma_j} X^{(j)} = \bigvee_{j=0}^{\infty} \Sigma^{\infty} D_j(X).
$$

Subsequently, it was shown that similar splittings can be applied to a more general space  $CX$ ; see Cohen, May and Taylor  $[4; 5]$  $[4; 5]$  and May and Taylor  $[8]$ .

A few years later, Bödigheimer [\[2\]](#page-20-4) showed a unified form of all these splittings. Let K be a finite complex,  $K_0$  a subcomplex and X a connected CW–complex. Let M be a smooth, parallelizable n–manifold with a submanifold  $M_0$  such that  $(M, M_0) \simeq (K, K_0)$ . For the space Map $(K, K_0; \Sigma^n X)$  of based maps from  $K/K_0$ to  $\Sigma^n X$ , there is a stable splitting

$$
\Sigma^{\infty} \operatorname{Map}(K, K_0; \Sigma^n X) \simeq \bigvee_{j=1}^{\infty} \Sigma^{\infty} D_k(M, M_0; X),
$$

where  $D_k(M, M_0; X)$  for  $k \ge 1$  are simpler pieces constructed from the labeled configuration space  $C(M, M_0; X)$ .

Snaith splitting is one kind of stable splitting. Recently, the techniques of stable splittings have been applied to toric topology. For instance, Bahri, Bendersky, Cohen and

Gitler [\[1\]](#page-19-0) found various stable splittings of polyhedral product functors. Dobrinskaya [\[6\]](#page-20-5) proved that the loop space of the polyhedral product shares similar decompositions as the Snaith splitting.

Here we study further functorial decompositions of the Snaith splitting. More precisely, we will focus on the functorial homotopy decompositions of  $F(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, j)^+ \wedge_{\Sigma_j} X^{(j)}$ . When  $n = 0$ , we have  $F(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, j)^+ \wedge_{\Sigma_j} X^{(j)} = X^{(j)}$ . Selick and the first author [\[11\]](#page-20-6) showed that if  $p = 2$  and  $\overline{H}_*(X;\mathbb{Z}/p)$  has a nontrivial Steenrod operation then the irreducible functorial decomposition component of  $X^{(j)}$  and the 2–row Young diagram with distinct row numbers are in one-to-one correspondence. In this paper, we will study the case when  $n > 0$ .

The main idea driving this paper comes from functorial homotopy decompositions of  $\Omega \Sigma X$ : For each natural coalgebra-split sub-Hopf algebra (see [Definition 2.2\)](#page-3-0), there is a functorial homotopy retract of  $\Omega \Sigma X$  with the inclusion an  $\Omega$ -map; see Li, Lei and Wu [\[7\]](#page-20-7) and Selick and Wu [\[10\]](#page-20-8). Among all the natural coalgebra-split sub-Hopf algebras, we mainly focus on a special one. Let  $L_m^{\max}$  be the maximal  $T_m$ -projective submodule functor of the free Lie algebra functor  $L_m$  (see [Section 2.1\)](#page-2-0). For a graded (alternatively ungraded)  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ -module V, the tensor algebra  $T(L_m^{\max}(V))$  generated by  $L_m^{\max}(V)$  is a natural coalgebra-split sub-Hopf algebra [\(Proposition 2.3\)](#page-3-1). Following from [Section 2.3,](#page-4-0) there is geometric realization of  $L_m^{\max}(V)$ , denoted by  $L_m^{\max}(X)$ , such that  $\Omega \Sigma L_m^{\max}(X)$  is a functorial homotopy retract of  $\Omega \Sigma X$ . Furthermore, the inclusion is an  $\Omega$ -map.

For a space  $\Sigma^n X$ , we have that  $\Omega \Sigma L_m^{\max}(\Sigma^n X)$  is a functorial homotopy retract of  $\Omega \Sigma^{n+1} Y$  with the inclusion an  $\Omega$ -map. Applying the loop functor *n* times, we can obtain a functorial homotopy retract of  $\Omega^{n+1}\Sigma^{n+1}X$  with the functorial the homotopy inclusion an  $\Omega^{n+1}$ –map. It can be shown that this retract is a  $(n+1)$ –iterated loop suspension [\(Lemma 3.1\)](#page-8-0). Now a natural question is: what is the relation between the Snaith splitting of the retract and the Snaith splitting of the original  $(n+1)$ –iterated loop suspension? To answer this question, we have the following main result:

<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Theorem 1.1** Let X be a 1–connected  $p$ –local suspension of finite type. For the natural coalgebra-split sub-Hopf algebra  $T(L_m^{\max}(V))$ , there is an  $n^{\text{th}}$  desuspension  $\Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X$  of the topological space  $L_m^{\max}(\Sigma^n X)$  and a sufficient large integer t such that  $\Sigma^t D_j (\Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X)$  is a functorial homotopy retract of  $\Sigma^t D_j m(X)$ .

This article is organized as follows. In [Section 2,](#page-2-1) we give a brief introduction about natural coalgebra-split sub-Hopf algebras of the tensor algebra, functorial homotopy retracts of  $\Omega \Sigma X$  and the homology of  $\Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} X$ . [Section 3](#page-7-0) constructs natural homotopy retracts of  $\Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} Y$  from natural coalgebra-split sub-Hopf algebras of

the tensor algebra. In [Section 4,](#page-9-0) we compute the homology image of  $\Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X$ in the homology  $\Omega^{n+1}\Sigma^{n+1}X$ . In [Section 5,](#page-12-0) a collection of the functorial stable homotopy retract of  $F(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, j)^+ \wedge_{\Sigma_j} X^{(j)}$  is constructed. Additionally, the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#page-1-0) is given in this section. An example is given in [Section 6.](#page-16-0)

## <span id="page-2-1"></span>2 Preliminaries

Let  $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}/p$  be the ground ring; p is a prime. All topological spaces are assumed to be p–local CW–complexes. All homology is taken with the coefficients  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  unless otherwise stated.

### <span id="page-2-0"></span>2.1  $T_n$ -projective module

Let V be a graded (ungraded) k–module. Let  $T(V)$  be the tensor algebra generated by  $V$ , namely

$$
T(V) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} V^{\otimes n}.
$$

A Hopf algebra structure can be given over  $T(V)$  by setting V to be primitive. Let  $T_n(V) = V^{\otimes n}$ . Then T and  $T_n$  can be viewed as functors from the category of graded (ungraded)  $\mathbb{k}$ –modules to the category of graded (ungraded)  $\mathbb{k}$ –modules.

Let  $M$  and  $N$  be functors from the category of graded (ungraded)  $\Bbbk$ –modules to the category of graded (ungraded)  $\mathbb{k}$ –modules. M is a *submodule functor* of N if  $M(V) \subseteq N(V)$  for each graded (ungraded) k–module V, and M is a *retract* of N if there are natural transformations i:  $M \to N$  and  $r: N \to M$  of k–modules such that  $r \circ s = id$ :  $M \to M$ . A retract of  $T_n$  is related to a  $\mathbb{k}(\Sigma_n)$ –projective module (see [\[7,](#page-20-7) Proposition 2.10]). Hence, if M is a retract of  $T_n$ , we also call it  $T_n$ -projective.

Let  $L(V)$  be the free Lie algebra generated by V. Then L is a submodule functor of T. Let  $L_n(V) = L(V) \cap T_n(V)$ . From Selick and the first author [\[10\]](#page-20-8), there exists a submodule functor  $L_n^{\max}$  of  $L_n$  with the following properties:

**Proposition 2.1** [\[10,](#page-20-8) Section 6] (1)  $L_n^{\max}$  is  $T_n$ -projective.

(2) Each  $T_n$ -projective submodule functor of  $L_n$  is a retract of  $L_n^{\max}$ .

Up to isomorphism,  $L_n^{\max}$  is the *maximal*  $T_n$ *-projective submodule functor* of  $L_n$ .

### 2.2 Coalgebra-split sub-Hopf algebras

A coalgebra-split sub-Hopf algebra is a retract of  $T(V)$  with additional Hopf algebra and coalgebra structures.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Definition 2.2** Let B be a submodule functor of T. We say  $B(V)$  is a natural *coalgebra-split sub-Hopf algebra* of  $T(V)$  if:

- (1)  $B(V)$  is a natural sub-Hopf algebra of  $T(V)$  with natural inclusion of Hopf algebras  $j_V$ :  $B(V) \rightarrow T(V)$ .
- (2) There is a natural coalgebra transformation  $r_V$ :  $T(V) \rightarrow B(V)$  with  $r_V \circ j_V =$  $id_{B(V)}$ .

If  $B(V)$  is a natural coalgebra-split sub-Hopf algebra defined as above, the natural maps  $j_V$  and  $r_V$  are called an *associated natural inclusion* and *associated natural retraction* of  $B(V)$ , respectively.

A natural coalgebra-split sub-Hopf algebra is a tensor algebra. Let  $O(V)$  be the set of indecomposable elements of  $B(V)$ ; this is a k–submodule of  $B(V)$ . We have a natural isomorphism of Hopf algebras

$$
B(V) \cong T(Q(V)).
$$

Define the maps  $k_V$  and  $\psi_V$  as the canonical inclusion and projection

$$
k_V: Q(V) \to T(Q(V)) \cong B(V),
$$
  

$$
\psi_V: B(V) \cong T(Q(V)) \to Q(V).
$$

These definitions imply the following commutative diagrams:



Here  $j_V$  is a Hopf algebra homomorphism,  $r_V$  is a coalgebra homomorphism,  $r_V \circ j_V =$  $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{B}(V)}$ , the maps  $k_V$  and  $\psi_V$  are homomorphisms of k–modules, and  $i_V$  and  $\phi_V$  are defined as the compositions of the other two maps in the triangle.

If  $B(V)$  is a sub-Hopf algebra of  $T(V)$  only, then properties of  $Q(V)$  can imply a coalgebra-split structure of  $B(V)$ .

<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Proposition 2.3** [\[7,](#page-20-7) Theorem 5.2] Let  $B(V)$  be a natural sub-Hopf algebra of  $T(V)$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $B(V)$  is a natural coalgebra-split sub-Hopf algebra of  $T(V)$ .
- (2) Each  $Q_n(V) = Q(V) \cap T_n(V)$  is naturally equivalent to a  $T_n$ -projective subfunctor of  $L_n$ .
- (3) Each  $Q_n$  is  $T_n$ -projective.

Since  $L_n^{\max}$  is a  $T_n$ -projective subfunctor of  $L_n$ , [Proposition 2.3](#page-3-1) implies  $T(L_n^{\max}(V))$ is a natural coalgebra-split sub-Hopf algebra of  $T(V)$ .

### <span id="page-4-0"></span>2.3 Functorial homotopy retracts of  $\Omega \Sigma X$

Let A and B be functors from the (homotopy) category of path-connected  $p$ -local CW–complexes to the (homotopy) category of spaces. Let  $\mathcal C$  be a subcategory of the (homotopy) category of path-connected p–local CW–complexes. A is a *functorial homotopy retract* of B over C if, for each object X in C, there are natural maps  $i_X: A(X) \to B(X)$  and  $r_X: B(X) \to A(X)$  such that  $r_X \circ i_X \simeq id_{A(X)}$ . The homotopy need not be natural. The maps  $i_X$  and  $r_X$  are called an *associated natural inclusion* and *associated natural retraction* of A, respectively.

The functorial homotopy retracts of  $\Omega \Sigma X$  are related to natural coalgebra-split sub-Hopf algebras of  $T(V)$ . Let X be a CW–complex. X is a p–local suspension of *finite type* if X is homotopic equivalent to  $\Sigma Y_{(p)}$ , the suspension of the p–localization of a finite CW–complex Y. Let  $B(V)$  be a natural coalgebra-split sub-Hopf algebra of  $T(V)$  and  $Q(V)$  be the set of indecomposable elements of  $B(V)$ . A functorial homotopy retract of  $\Omega \Sigma X$  can be constructed from  $B(V)$  and  $Q(V)$ .

<span id="page-4-2"></span>**Theorem 2.4** [\[10,](#page-20-8) Theorem 1.1; [13,](#page-20-9) Theorem 3.3] Let X be a 1-connected p-local suspension of finite type. Let  $B(V)$  be a natural coalgebra-split sub-Hopf algebra of  $T(V)$  with associated natural inclusion  $j_V: B(V) \to T(V)$ , and  $Q(V)$  the set of indecomposable elements of  $B(V)$ . Then there is a functorial space  $Q(X)$  with a natural map  $i_X: Q(X) \to \Omega \Sigma X$  such that:

(1)  $\Omega \Sigma Q(X)$  is a natural homotopy retract of  $\Omega \Sigma X$  with associated natural inclusion  $\Omega \tilde{i}_X$ , where  $\tilde{i}_X : \Sigma Q(X) \to \Sigma X$  is the adjoint of  $i_X : Q(X) \to \Omega \Sigma X$ :

> <span id="page-4-1"></span> $Q(X)$   $\longrightarrow$   $\Omega \Sigma X$ %  $\Omega \Sigma Q(X)$  $\Omega \tilde{\imath}_X$ |
|
|

Here the map  $Q(X) \to \Omega \Sigma Q(X)$  is the canonical suspension map.

- (2)  $Q(X)$  has a wedge decomposition. In detail, there are elements  $\lambda_m \in \mathbb{Z}(\Sigma_m)$  for  $m \ge 2$  such that  $Q(X) = \sqrt{\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} Q_m(X)}$ , where  $Q_m(X) = \text{hocolim}_{\lambda_m} X^{(m)}$ . Here  $\Sigma_m$  acts on  $\widetilde{X}^{(m)}$  by permuting factors.
- (3)  $\overline{H}_*(Q(X)) \cong Q(\overline{H}_*(X))$  and  $H_*(\Omega \Sigma Q(X)) \cong B(\overline{H}_*(X))$ . Furthermore, the induced diagram from diagram [\(1\)](#page-4-1) satisfies  $({\Omega} \tilde{\imath}_X)_* = j_{\overline{H}_*(X)}$ :

Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, Volume 17 (2017)*

(1)



In following discussions, we denote the map  $\Omega \tilde{X}$  by  $j_X$ . It follows from the theorem that  $\Omega \Sigma Q(X)$  is a functorial homotopy retract of  $\Omega \Sigma X$  with an associated natural inclusion  $j_X$ :  $\Omega \Sigma Q(X) \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma X$  which is a loop map.

### 2.4 Homology of  $\Omega^{n+1}\Sigma^{n+1}X$

Let X be a connected CW–complex. All homology is taken with  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ –coefficients. The homology of  $\Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} X$  can be formulated by  $H_* X$ , Dyer–Lashof operations  $Q^i$ , Browder operations  $\lambda_n$  (we will also use  $[-, -]_n$ ), a function  $\xi_n$  and a function  $\zeta_n$ . The function  $\zeta_n$  is defined for  $p > 2$  only.

To formulate the homology of  $\Omega^{n+1}\Sigma^{n+1}X$ , a set  $T_nX$  will be defined first. For convenience, we list the construction of  $T_n X$  for  $p > 2$  only in the following. The case for  $p = 2$  is similar.

Let  $V = \overline{H}_* X$ . An element  $x \in V$  is a  $\lambda_n$ -product of *weight* 1 ( $\omega(x) = 1$ ); the weight of  $[a, b]_n$  is defined by  $\omega([a, b]_n) = \omega(a) + \omega(b)$ . We say  $x \in V$  is a *basic*  $\lambda_n$ -product of weight 1. Assume the basic  $\lambda_n$ -product of weight  $j < k$  has been defined and totally ordered; the *basic*  $\lambda_n$ -product of weight k is of the form [a, b]<sub>n</sub> such that:

- (1)  $\omega([a, b]_n) = k$ .
- (2a) a and b are basic  $\lambda_n$ -products, with  $a < b$ . If  $b = [c, d]_n$  for c and d basic then  $a \geq c < d$ .
- (2b) If a is a basic  $\lambda_n$  –product of weight 1 and  $n + \text{degree}(a)$  is odd, then  $[a, a]_n$  is also a basic  $\lambda_n$  –product of weight 2.

Let  $I = (\varepsilon_1, s_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_k, s_k)$  be a 2k-tuple of integers with  $s_i \geq \varepsilon_i$  and  $\varepsilon = 0$  or 1. *I* is *admissible* if  $ps_i - \varepsilon_i \geq s_{i-1}$  for  $2 \leq j \leq k$ . Define functions e, d, l and b as follows:

- (i) **Excess**  $e(I) = 2s_1 \varepsilon_1 \sum_{j=2}^{k} [2s_j(p-1) \varepsilon_j].$
- (ii) **Degree**  $d(I) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} [2s_j(p-1) \varepsilon_j].$
- (iii) Length  $l(I) = k$ .

```
(iv) b(I) = \varepsilon_1.
```
If 
$$
I = \emptyset
$$
, then let  $e(I) = \infty$  and  $d(I) = l(I) = b(I) = 0$ .  
\nFor  $I = (\varepsilon_1, s_1, ..., \varepsilon_k, s_k)$ , let  $Q^I y = \beta^{\varepsilon_1} Q^{s_1} \cdots \beta^{\varepsilon_k} Q^{s_k} y$ . Define the set  $T_n X$  by  
\n $T_n X = \{Q^I y \mid y \text{ a basic } \lambda_n\text{-product}, I \text{ admissible}, e(I) + b(I) > |y|,$   
\nif  $I = (\varepsilon_1, s_1, ..., s_k, s_k)$ , then  $s_k \leq \frac{1}{2}(n+q)\}.$ 

Here we denote  $\xi_n x$  by  $Q^{(n+q)/2}x$  and  $\zeta_n x$  by  $\beta Q^{(n+q)/2}x$  for  $x \in H_qX$ , and |y| is the degree of  $y$ .

For a prime p, the homology  $H_*\Omega^{n+1}\Sigma^{n+1}X$  is a functor of  $H_*X$ , denoted by  $W_nH_*X$ . On the other hand, let  $AT_nX$  be the free commutative algebra generated by the set  $T_n X$ . We have the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.5** [\[3,](#page-20-10) Theorem 3.1, Lemma 3.8] For a connected  $X$ , there is an isomorphism of algebras

$$
W_n H_* X \cong A T_n X.
$$

**Remark** Here we use  $W_nH_*X$  as another notation for  $H_*\Omega^{n+1}\Sigma^{n+1}X$ . In fact, it can be defined independently as an  $AR_n\Lambda_n$ –Hopf algebra with conjugation (see [\[3,](#page-20-10) Section 2]).

There is a weight filtration defined on  $W_nH_*X$ . For an element  $Q^I y$  in  $T_nX$ , let its *weight*  $\omega(Q^I v)$  be defined by

$$
\omega(Q^I y) = p^{l(I)} \omega(y),
$$

where  $l(I)$  is the length of the tuple I and  $\omega(y)$  is the weight of the basic  $\lambda_n$ –product y. Since  $H_*\Omega^{n+1}\Sigma^{n+1}X$  is the commutative algebra generated by  $T_nX$ , we can define the weight of the product  $Q^I y \cdot Q^{I'y'}$  as

$$
\omega(Q^I y \cdot Q^{I'y'}) = \omega(Q^I y) + \omega(Q^{I'y'}).
$$

This makes  $H_*\Omega^{n+1}\Sigma^{n+1}X$  a filtered algebra by defining the filtration as

$$
F_k W_n H_* X = \{ x \in H_* \Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} X \mid \omega(x) \le k \}.
$$

Let  $E_k W_n H_* X = F_k W_n H_* X / F_{k-1} W_n H_* X$ . There is a geometric realization of  $E_k W_n H_* X$ .

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Proposition 2.6** [\[3,](#page-20-10) Section 4]  $\overline{H}_*(F(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, k)^+ \wedge_{\Sigma_k} X^{(k)}) \cong E_k W_n H_* X.$ 

### 2.5 Homology suspensions and transgressions

The *homology suspension* is defined as the homomorphism

$$
\sigma_* = p \circ \partial^{-1} \colon \overline{H}_*(\Omega B) \xleftarrow{\partial} H_{*+1}(PB, \Omega B) \xrightarrow{p_*} H_{*+1}(B),
$$

where p:  $PB \rightarrow B$  is the map  $p(u) = u(1)$ . The transgression is the differential map in the Serre spectral sequences. Fix a fibration  $F \to E \to B$  with connected B and F; in the associated Serre spectral sequence, the transgression  $\tau$  is the differential

$$
d_n: E_{n,0}^n \to E_{0,n-1}^n.
$$

Some general properties of  $\sigma_*$  and  $\tau$  are listed as follows:

<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Proposition 2.7** [\[9,](#page-20-11) Propositions 6.10 and 6.11] (1) Let  $f: X \to \Omega Y$  be a pointed map and  $\tilde{f}$ :  $\Sigma X \rightarrow Y$  be its adjoint; then the homology suspension  $\sigma_*$  and the suspension  $\Sigma_*: H_*X \to H_{*+1} \Sigma X$  form a commutative diagram:

$$
\overline{H}_{q-1}(X) \xrightarrow{f_*} \overline{H}_{q-1}(\Omega Y)
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \Sigma_*
$$
\n
$$
\overline{H}_q(\Sigma X) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{f}_*} \overline{H}_q(Y)
$$

(2) If B is simply connected, then in the Serre spectral sequence of  $\Omega B \to PB \to B$ there is a commutative diagram:

$$
E_q^q \n\underset{H_q(B)}{\longrightarrow} E_{0,q-1}^q
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow
$$
\n
$$
H_q(B) \xleftarrow{\sigma_*} H_{q-1}(F)
$$

In particular, the image of  $\sigma_*$  is transgressive.

Consider the relation between  $\tau$  and the Browder operation  $[-, -]_n$ ; we have:

<span id="page-7-2"></span>**Proposition 2.8** If  $X$  is connected, then in the Serre spectral sequence of

$$
\Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} X \to P \Omega^n \Sigma^{n+1} X \to \Omega^n \Sigma^{n+1} X
$$

we have

$$
\tau([sx_1,\ldots,[sx_{k-1},sx_k]_{n-1}]_{n-1}) = [x_1,\ldots,[x_{k-1},x_k]_n]_n,
$$
  

$$
\tau Q^I sx = (-1)^{d(I)} Q^I x,
$$

where sx is the image of  $x \in H_*X$  under the isomorphism  $\Sigma_*: H_*X \to H_{*+1}\Sigma X$ . This proposition is implicit in the proof of [\[3,](#page-20-10) Theorem 3.2].

## <span id="page-7-0"></span>3 Functorial homotopy retracts of  $\Omega^{n+1}\Sigma^{n+1}X$

Let  $B(V)$  be a natural coalgebra-split sub-Hopf algebra of  $T(V)$  and  $Q(V)$  the set of indecomposable elements of  $B(V)$ . Let X be a 1–connected p–local suspension of

finite type. It follows from [Theorem 2.4](#page-4-2) that  $\Omega \Sigma Q(\Sigma^n X)$  is a functorial homotopy retract of  $\Omega \Sigma (\Sigma^n X)$ . By applying the loop functor *n* times, we can obtain that  $\Omega^{n+1} \Sigma Q(\Sigma^n X)$  is a homotopy retract of  $\Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} X$  and the natural inclusion

$$
\Omega^n j_{\Sigma^n X} \colon \Omega^{n+1} \Sigma Q(\Sigma^n X) \hookrightarrow \Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} X
$$

is an  $\Omega^{n+1}$ -map. If X is a co-H-space, the space  $Q(\Sigma^n X)$  can be desuspended n times:

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Lemma 3.1** If X is a co-H–space, then there is a space  $\overline{Q}(X)$  such that  $Q(\Sigma^n X)$  is naturally homotopic to  $\Sigma^n \overline{O}(X)$ .

**Proof** Since  $Q(X) = \bigvee_{m=2}^{\infty} Q_m(X)$ , it is sufficient to prove  $Q_m(\Sigma^n X)$  can be desuspended *n* times. Let  $\widehat{X}^{(m)}$  be the *m*–fold self smash product of X. The definition of  $Q_m(\Sigma^n X)$  implies a homotopy commutative diagram:

(2) 
$$
(\Sigma^n X)^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\phi} (\Sigma^n X)^{(m)}
$$
  
shuffling isomorphism 
$$
\uparrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow \text{shuffling isomorphism}
$$

$$
\Sigma^{mn} X^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{mn} \overline{\phi}} \Sigma^{mn} X^{(m)}
$$

Here

(3)  

$$
\phi = \lambda_m = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_m} k_{\sigma} \sigma \colon (\Sigma^n X)^{(m)} \to (\Sigma^n X)^{(m)},
$$

$$
\overline{\phi} = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_m} k_{\sigma} \sigma (-1)^{n^2 \operatorname{Sign} \sigma} \colon X^{(m)} \to X^{(m)},
$$

and the vertical maps are the natural shuffling homeomorphisms.

Let  $\overline{Q}_m(X) = \text{hocolim}_{\overline{\phi}} X^{(m)}$ . It is obvious that

$$
\Sigma^{mn}\overline{Q}_m(X) \simeq \text{hocolim}_{\Sigma^{mn}\overline{\phi}} \Sigma^{mn}X^{(m)} \cong \text{hocolim}_{\phi}(\Sigma^n X)^{(m)} = Q_m(\Sigma^n X).
$$

Thus,

$$
Q(\Sigma^n X) = \bigvee_{m=2}^{\infty} Q_m(\Sigma^n X) = \bigvee_{m=2}^{\infty} \Sigma^{mn} \overline{Q}_m(X) = \Sigma^n \bigvee_{m=2}^{\infty} \Sigma^{n(m-1)} \overline{Q}_m(X).
$$

It is clear that all homotopy equivalences are natural.

**Remark** This lemma shows that  $\bigvee_{m=2}^{\infty} \Sigma^{n(m-1)} \overline{Q}_m(X)$  is the n<sup>th</sup> desuspension of  $Q(\Sigma^n X)$ . For convenience, in later discussion,  $\Sigma^{-n}Q(\Sigma^n X)$  is used to denote the space  $\sqrt{\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^n \sum_{m=1}^n X}$ . Similarly, we use  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^n X$  to denote  $\sum^{n(m-1)} \overline{O}_m(X)$ .

Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, Volume 17 (2017)*

 $\Box$ 

For the space  $\Sigma^{-n}Q(\Sigma^{n}X)$ , there is a natural inclusion

$$
\Sigma^{-n} Q \Sigma^{n} X \to \Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} (\Sigma^{-n} Q \Sigma^{n} X) \xrightarrow{\Omega^{n} j_{\Sigma^{n} X}} \Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} X.
$$

Up to homotopy, this map is the adjoint map of

$$
Q\Sigma^n X \to \Omega \Sigma (Q\Sigma^n X) \xrightarrow{j_{\Sigma^n X}} \Omega \Sigma (\Sigma^n X).
$$

This composition is exactly the functorial map  $i_Y : Q(Y) \to \Omega \Sigma Y$ , where  $Y = \Sigma^n X$ . In summary, we have the following theorem:

<span id="page-9-1"></span>**Theorem 3.2** Let X be a 1–connected p–local suspension of finite type. If  $B(V)$ is a natural coalgebra-split sub-Hopf algebra of  $T(V)$  and  $Q(V)$  is the set of indecomposable elements of  $B(V)$ , then there exists a functorial homotopy retract  $\Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} (\Sigma^{-n} Q \Sigma^{n} X)$  with a natural inclusion

$$
i\colon \Omega^{n+1}\Sigma^{n+1}(\Sigma^{-n}Q\Sigma^nX)\to \Omega^{n+1}\Sigma^{n+1}X,
$$

which is an  $\Omega^{n+1}$  –map. Furthermore,

$$
\overline{H}_*(\Sigma^{-n}Q\Sigma^nX)\cong Q(\overline{H}_*(\Sigma^nX)).
$$

## <span id="page-9-0"></span>4  $\Sigma^{-n}L^{\max}\Sigma^{n}X$  and its homology image in  $\Omega^{n+1}\Sigma^{n+1}X$

Let  $L_m^{\text{max}}$  be the maximal  $T_m$ -projective submodule functor of  $L_m$ . The tensor algebra  $T(L_m^{\max}(V))$  is a natural coalgebra-split sub-Hopf algebra with the set of indecomposable elements  $L_m^{\max}(V)$ . Then we have two spaces  $L_m^{\max}(X)$  and  $\Sigma^{-n}L_m^{\max}\Sigma^n X$ . Furthermore,  $\Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} (\Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X)$  is a functorial homotopy retract of  $\Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} X$ . The inclusion map is

$$
(4) \quad \tilde{\iota}_{n,X} \colon \Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X \to \Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} (\Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X)^{\frac{\Omega^n j_{\Sigma^n X}}{\cdots}} \Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} X,
$$

which is the adjoint of the map

<span id="page-9-2"></span>
$$
i_{n,X} \colon L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X \to \Omega \Sigma (L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X) \xrightarrow{j_{\Sigma^n X}} \Omega \Sigma (\Sigma^n X).
$$

To analyze the homology image of  $\Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X$  in  $\Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} X$ , we need to compute

$$
(\tilde{\iota}_{n,X})_*\colon H_*\Sigma^{-n}L_m^{\max}\Sigma^n X\to H_*\Omega^{n+1}\Sigma^{n+1}X.
$$

From the properties of the homology suspension  $\sigma_*$  (see [Proposition 2.7\)](#page-7-1), we obtain a commutative diagram

$$
H_{*}\Sigma^{-n}L_{m}^{\max}\Sigma^{n}X \longrightarrow H_{*}\Omega^{n}\Sigma^{n}(\Sigma^{-n}L_{m}^{\max}\Sigma^{n}X) \xrightarrow{(\Omega^{n}i_{n},X)_{*}} H_{*}\Omega^{n+1}\Sigma^{n+1}X
$$

$$
\downarrow \Sigma^{(n)}_{*}
$$

$$
H_{*+n}L_{m}^{\max}(\Sigma^{n}X) \longrightarrow H_{*+n}L_{m}^{\max}(\Sigma^{n}X) \xrightarrow{(i_{n},X)_{*}} H_{*+n}\Omega\Sigma(\Sigma^{n}X)
$$

where  $\Sigma_{*}^{(n)}$  and  $\sigma_{*}^{(n)}$  mean *n*–fold compositions.

For  $x \in H_*X$ , denote the image of x under the isomorphism  $\Sigma_*: H_*X \to H_{*+1}\Sigma X$ by sx. Consequently,  $s^n x$  is used to denote  $\Sigma^{(n)}_*(x)$ . Let  $[x_1, x_2, ..., x_m]_n$  be an arbitrary  $\lambda_n$ -product of weight m formed by elements  $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ . For an element  $[s<sup>n</sup>x<sub>1</sub>, s<sup>n</sup>x<sub>2</sub>, ..., s<sup>n</sup>x<sub>m</sub>]$  in  $H_{*+n}L_m^{\max}(\Sigma^n X)$ , with  $x_i \in H_*X$ , denote its inverse image under the isomorphism

$$
\Sigma_{*}^{(n)}\colon H_{*}\Sigma^{-n}L_{m}^{\max}\Sigma^{n}X\to H_{*+n}L_{m}^{\max}(\Sigma^{n}X)
$$

by  $s^{-n}[s^{n}x_1, s^{n}x_2, \ldots, s^{n}x_m]_0$ .

<span id="page-10-0"></span>For the map  $\tilde{i}_{n,X}$ , we have the following lemma:

Lemma 4.1 Under the homomorphism

$$
(\tilde{i}_{n,X})_* \colon H_*(\Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X) \to H_*(\Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} X),
$$
  

$$
s^{-n}[s^n x_1, s^n x_2, \dots, s^n x_m]_0 \text{ is mapped to } [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m]_n \text{, with } x_i \in H_* X
$$

**Proof** We prove this lemma by induction on n. For  $n = 1$ , there is a commutative diagram:

$$
H_{*}\Sigma^{-1}L_{m}^{\max}\Sigma X \longrightarrow H_{*}\Omega\Sigma(\Sigma^{-1}L_{m}^{\max}\Sigma X) \xrightarrow{\left(\Omega i_{1,X}\right)_{*}} H_{*}\Omega^{2}\Sigma^{2}X
$$

$$
\downarrow \Sigma_{*} \qquad \qquad \downarrow \sigma_{*} \qquad \qquad \downarrow \sigma_{*}
$$

$$
H_{*+1}L_{m}^{\max}(\Sigma X) \longrightarrow H_{*+1}L_{m}^{\max}(\Sigma X) \xrightarrow{(i_{1,X})_{*}} H_{*+1}\Omega\Sigma^{2}X
$$

The bottom row is the natural inclusion

$$
(i_{1,X})_*\colon L_m^{\max}(sH_*X)\hookrightarrow T(sH_*X).
$$

The upper row is exactly  $(\tilde{t}_{1,X})_*$ . Since the first map of the upper row is a natural inclusion, we only need to prove

$$
(\Omega i_{1,X})_{*}(s^{-1}[sx_{1}, sx_{2},..., sx_{m}]_{0})=[x_{1}, x_{2},..., x_{m}]_{1}.
$$

To prove this, we consider a natural commutative diagram of Serre path fibrations



which implies a natural morphism of Serre spectral sequences. Therefore, for the transgression  $\tau$ , there is an equality by naturality,

$$
\tau \circ (i_{1,X})_* = (\Omega i_{1,X})_* \circ \tau.
$$

In the Serre spectral sequence of the path fibration

$$
\Omega^2 \Sigma^2 X \to P \Omega \Sigma^2 X \to \Omega \Sigma^2 X,
$$

we have the equality (see Proposition 2.8)

$$
\tau[sx_1,\ldots,sx_m]_0=[x_1,\ldots,x_m]_1.
$$

Hence,

$$
(\Omega i_{1,X})_{*}(s^{-1}[sx_{1}, sx_{2},..., sx_{m}]_{0}) = (\Omega i_{1,X})_{*} \circ \tau([sx_{1}, sx_{2},..., sx_{m}]_{0}))
$$
  
=  $\tau \circ (i_{1,X})_{*}([sx_{1}, sx_{2},..., sx_{m}]_{0})$   
=  $\tau([sx_{1}, sx_{2},..., sx_{m}]_{0})$   
=  $[x_{1},..., x_{m}]_{1}.$ 

Now assume this lemma is true for  $n < k$ . For  $n = k$ , there is a commutative diagram:

 $\overline{L}$ 

$$
H_{*}\Sigma^{-k}L_{m}^{\max}\Sigma^{k}X \longrightarrow H_{*}\Omega^{k}\Sigma^{k}(\Sigma^{-k}L_{m}^{\max}\Sigma^{k}X) \xrightarrow{(\Omega^{k}i_{k},X)*} H_{*}\Omega^{k+1}\Sigma^{k+1}X
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \Sigma_{*} \qquad \qquad \downarrow \sigma_{*} \qquad \qquad \downarrow \sigma_{*}
$$
\n
$$
H_{*+1}\Sigma^{1-k}L_{m}^{\max}(\Sigma^{k}X) \longrightarrow H_{*+1}\Omega^{k-1}L_{m}^{\max}(\Sigma^{k}X) \xrightarrow{(\Omega^{k-1}i_{k-1},\Sigma X)^{*}} H_{*+1}\Omega^{k}\Sigma^{k+1}X
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \Sigma_{*}^{(k-1)} \qquad \qquad \downarrow \sigma_{*}^{(k-1)} \qquad \qquad \downarrow \sigma_{*}^{(k-1)}
$$
\n
$$
H_{*+k}L_{m}^{\max}\Sigma^{k}X \longrightarrow H_{*+k}L_{m}^{\max}\Sigma^{k}X \xrightarrow{(i_{k},X)*} H_{*+k}\Omega\Sigma^{k+1}X
$$

The composition of the second row is  $(\tilde{i}_{k-1,\Sigma}x)_*$ . By induction,

$$
(\tilde{i}_{k-1,\Sigma X})_*(s^{1-k}[s^k x_1, s^k x_2, \dots, s^k x_m]_0) = [sx_1, sx_2, \dots, sx_m]_{k-1}.
$$

The horizontal rows of left commutative squares are natural inclusions. So, the above identity implies

$$
(\Omega^{k-1} i_{k-1,\Sigma X})_*(s^{1-k} [s^k x_1, s^k x_2, \dots, s^k x_m]_0) = [s x_1, s x_2, \dots, s x_m]_{k-1}.
$$

Algebraic & Geometric Topology, Volume 17 (2017)

906

Note that we need to prove

$$
(\Omega^k i_{k,X})_*(s^{-k}[s^k x_1, s^k x_2, \dots, s^k x_m]_0) = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m]_k.
$$

It follows from the commutative diagram

$$
\Omega^k L_m^{\max}(\Sigma^k X) \longrightarrow P\Omega^{k-1} L_m^{\max}(\Sigma^k X) \longrightarrow \Omega^{k-1} L_m^{\max}(\Sigma^k X)
$$
  
\n
$$
\downarrow \Omega^k i_{k,X} \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \Omega^{k-1} i_{k-1,\Sigma X}
$$
  
\n
$$
\Omega^{k+1} \Sigma^{k+1} X \longrightarrow P\Omega^k \Sigma^{k+1} X \longrightarrow \Omega^k \Sigma^{k+1} X
$$

and the induced Serre spectral sequences that

$$
(\Omega^k i_{k,X})_* \circ \tau = \tau \circ (\Omega^{k-1} i_{k-1,\Sigma X})_*.
$$

Thus.

$$
\begin{aligned} (\Omega^k i_{k,X})_*(s^{-k}[s^k x_1, s^k x_2, \dots, s^k x_m]_0) \\ &= (\Omega^k i_{k,X})_* \circ \tau(s^{1-k}[s^k x_1, s^k x_2, \dots, s^k x_m]_0) \\ &= \tau \circ (\Omega^{k-1} i_{k-1,\Sigma X})_*(s^{1-k}[s^k x_1, s^k x_2, \dots, s^k x_m]_0) \\ &= \tau([s x_1, s x_2, \dots, s x_m]_{k-1}) \\ &= [x_1, \dots, x_m]_k. \end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.

## <span id="page-12-0"></span>5 Further decompositions of the Snaith splitting

Fix an integer  $n \ge 0$ . The space  $\Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} X$  has the Snaith splitting

$$
\Sigma^{\infty} \Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} X \simeq \bigvee_{j=0}^{\infty} \Sigma^{\infty} F(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, j)^{+} \wedge_{\Sigma_j} X^{(j)} = \bigvee_{j=0}^{\infty} \Sigma^{\infty} D_j(X).
$$

Here  $F(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, j)$  is the j<sup>th</sup> configuration space of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , and  $D_j(X)$  is the smash product  $F(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, j)^+ \wedge_{\Sigma_i} X^{(j)}$ . From the above splitting,  $D_j(X)$  is a natural stable retract of  $\Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} X$ . The homology of  $D_i(X)$  (see Proposition 2.6) is

$$
H_*(D_j(X)) \cong F_j W_n H_* X / F_{j-1} W_n H_* X = E_j W_n H_* X.
$$

In other words,  $\overline{H}_*D_i(X)$  consists of the homology classes in  $H_*\Omega^{n+1}\Sigma^{n+1}X$  with weight  $j$ .

It follows from Theorem 3.2 that  $\Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} (\Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X)$  is a functorial homotopy retract of  $\Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} X$ . Hence we can apply the Snaith splitting to both spaces and compare  $D_j(\Sigma^{-n}L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X)$  with  $D_q(X)$  for nonnegative integers j, m and q.

Algebraic & Geometric Topology, Volume 17 (2017)

907

 $\Box$ 

**Proof of [Theorem 1.1](#page-1-0)** In [Lemma 3.1,](#page-8-0) we have proved that the  $n<sup>th</sup>$  desuspension  $\Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X$  of  $L_m^{\max} (\Sigma^n X)$  exists. The left part of the main theorem will be proved in two steps. First, the stable case will be proved. We claim that there are stable maps

$$
\Sigma^{\infty} D_j(\Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X) \xleftarrow{\phi} \Sigma^{\infty} D_{jm} X
$$

 $\psi_* \circ \phi_* = id,$ 

such that

that is:

$$
H_*(\Sigma^{\infty} D_j \Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X) \xrightarrow{\phi_*} H_* \Sigma^{\infty} D_{j m} X
$$
  
\n
$$
H_* \Sigma^{\infty} D_j \Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X
$$

Recall that  $\Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} (\Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X)$  is a natural homotopy retract of  $\Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} X$ , ie there exist maps

$$
\Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} (\Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X) \xrightarrow[h]{g} \Omega^n \Sigma^n X
$$

such that

<span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
h \circ g \simeq id
$$
.

Furthermore, g is an  $\Omega^{n+1}$ -map. In fact, g can be chosen to be  $\Omega^n j_{\Sigma^n X}$  (see [\(4\)\)](#page-9-2). Applying the Snaith splitting, we have a diagram as follows:

$$
\sum^{\infty} \Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} (\Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X) \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{\infty} g} \Sigma^{\infty} \Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} X
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \q
$$

where  $p'_a$  $q'$  and  $p_q$  are the canonical projections to the  $q<sup>th</sup>$  components, and  $s'_q$  $q'$  and  $s_q$ are the canonical inclusions from the  $q<sup>th</sup>$  component to the whole spaces.

Next, consider their induced maps on homology. Recall that

$$
H_*\Sigma^{\infty} \Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} X \cong H_*\Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} X \cong \bigoplus_{q=1}^{\infty} H_*D_q X \cong \bigoplus_{q=1}^{\infty} H_*\Sigma^{\infty} D_q X
$$

*Stable functorial decompositions of*  $F(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, j)^+ \wedge_{\Sigma_j} X^{(j)}$  909

and

$$
\overline{H} * D_q X = E_q W_n H_* X.
$$

Hence  $(p_q)_*$  is isomorphic to the canonical projection from the direct sum to the  $q^{\text{th}}$ summand, and  $(s_q)_*$  is isomorphic to the canonical inclusion from the  $q<sup>th</sup>$  summand to the whole direct sum. That is,

$$
\bigoplus_{q=1}^{\infty} E_q W_n H_* X \xrightarrow{(p_q)_*} E_q W_n H_* X.
$$

Thus, we obtain a diagram of homology:

$$
W_n H_*(\Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X) \xleftarrow{\Sigma^{\infty} g)_*} W_n H_* X
$$
  
\n
$$
\downarrow \mathbb{R}
$$
  
\n
$$
\bigoplus_{j \geq 1} E_j W_n H_* (\Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X) \bigoplus_{q \geq 1} E_q W_n H_* X
$$
  
\n
$$
(s'_j)_* \bigoplus_{r \geq 1} (p'_j)_* \bigoplus_{(s_q)_*} \bigoplus_{r \geq 1} (p_q)_*
$$
  
\n
$$
E_j W_n H_* \Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X \bigoplus_{r \geq 1} E_q W_n H_* X
$$

Now the claim below is obvious:

$$
(s_h)_* \circ (p_h)_*|_{\overline{H}_*D_qX} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } h \neq q, \\ \mathrm{id}_{\overline{H}_*D_qX} & \text{if } h = q. \end{cases}
$$

Let us consider the composition

$$
E_j W_n H_* \Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X \xrightarrow{(s'_j)_*} W_n H_* (\Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X) \xrightarrow{(\Sigma^{\infty} g)_*} W_n H_* X.
$$

An element of  $E_j W_n H_* \Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X$  can be written as

$$
Q^{I_1}y_1(z_1,...,z_m)\cdot Q^{I_2}y_2(z_1,...,z_m)\cdots Q^{I_k}y_k(z_1,...,z_m),
$$

where  $y_i(z_1, \ldots, z_m)$   $(1 \le i \le k)$  are basic  $\lambda_n$ -products formed by  $z_1, \ldots, z_m$  for  $z_i \in H_* \Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X$ , and the product  $Q^{I_1} y_1 \cdots Q^{I_k} y_k$  is a homology class of  $H_*\Omega^{n+1}\Sigma^{n+1}X$  of weight j. That g is an  $\Omega^{n+1}$ -map implies that  $g_*\tilde{Q}^I = Q^I g_*$ and  $g_*[x, y]_n = [g_*x, g_*y]_n$ . Thus,

$$
g_*(Q^{I_i}y_i(z_1,\ldots,z_m))=Q^{I_i}y_i(g_*z_1,\ldots,g_*z_m).
$$

By [Lemma 4.1,](#page-10-0) for an element  $s^{-n}[s^n x_1, \ldots, s^n x_m]_0$  in  $H_* \Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X$ , with  $x_i \in \overline{H}_*X$ , we have

$$
g_*(s^{-n}[s^{n}x_1,\ldots,s^{n}x_m]_0)=[x_1,\ldots,x_m]_n.
$$

It follows that  $g_* z_i$  is of weight *m* for each element  $z_i \in H_* \Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X$ . Thus, the weight of  $Q^{I_i} y_i(g_{*}z_1,\ldots,g_{*}z_m)$  is equal to the weight of  $Q^{I_i} y_i$  multiplied by m. Finally,

$$
((\Sigma^{\infty}g)_{*}\circ (s'_{j})_{*})(E_{j}W_{n}H_{*}\Sigma^{-n}L_{m}^{\max}\Sigma^{n}X)\subseteq E_{jm}W_{n}H_{*}X.
$$

Now let  $\phi_{j,q} = p_q \circ \Sigma^{\infty} g \circ s'_i$  $\psi'_{j}$  and  $\psi_{j,q} = p'_{j}$  $\sum_{j}^{\prime} \circ \sum_{j}^{\infty} h \circ s_q$ . We can obtain that

$$
(\psi_{j,q})_* \circ (\phi_{j,q})_* = (p'_j)_* \circ (\Sigma^\infty h)_* \circ ((s_q)_* \circ (p_q)_*) \circ (\Sigma^\infty g)_* \circ (s'_j)_*.
$$

Since

$$
\operatorname{Im}((\Sigma^{\infty}g)_{*}\circ(s'_{j})_{*})\subseteq E_{jm}W_{n}H_{*}X,
$$

we have:

(1) If  $q \neq jm$ , then

$$
(s_q)_* \circ (p_q)_*|_{E_{jm}H_*X} = 0.
$$

Thus  $(\psi_{i,q})_* \circ (\phi_{i,q})_* = 0$ .

(2) If  $q = jm$ , then

$$
(s_q)_* \circ (p_q)_*|_{E_{jm}H_*X} = \mathrm{id}.
$$

Thus  $(\psi_{j,q})_* \circ (\phi_{j,q})_* = (p'_i)$  $(j')_* \circ (\Sigma^\infty h)_* \circ (\Sigma^\infty g)_* \circ (s'_j)$  $j'_{j}$ ) $_{*}$  = id.

Let  $\phi = \phi_{j,jm}$  and  $\psi = \psi_{j,jm}$ . The discussion above implies that

$$
\psi_* \circ \phi_* = \mathrm{id} \, .
$$

This completes the proof of step one.

In step two, it will be proved that the stable maps  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  can be induced from unstable maps. Recall diagram [\(5\).](#page-13-0)

There are an integer  $t_1$  and a map

$$
\overline{p}_q \colon \Sigma^{t_1} \Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} X \to \Sigma^{t_1} D_q X
$$

such that

$$
\Sigma^{\infty} \overline{p}_q : \Sigma^{\infty} \Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} X \to \Sigma^{\infty} D_q X
$$

is homotopic to the map  $p_q$  [\[4,](#page-20-1) Theorem 7.1]. Similarly, we have a map

$$
\overline{s}_q \colon \Sigma^{t_2} D_q X \to \Sigma^{t_2} \Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} X
$$

for some integer  $t_2$ . This map induces the stable map  $s_q$ . Similarly, we can obtain maps  $\overline{p}'_i$  $\overline{s}_j'$  and  $\overline{s}_j'$  $\bar{p}'_j$  inducing maps  $p'_j$  $j'$  and  $s'_{j}$  $t'_{j}$  for integers  $t_3$  and  $t_4$ , respectively:

$$
\overline{p}_j': \Sigma^{t_3} \Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} (\Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X) \to \Sigma^{t_3} D_j (\Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X),
$$
  

$$
\overline{s}_j': \Sigma^{t_4} D_j (\Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X) \to \Sigma^{t_4} \Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} (\Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X).
$$

*Stable functorial decompositions of*  $F(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, j)^+ \wedge_{\Sigma_j} X^{(j)}$  911

Let  $t = \max\{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}$ . There are four maps  $\bar{p}_j$ ,  $\bar{s}_j$ ,  $\bar{p}_j$  $\overline{s}_j'$  and  $\overline{s}_j'$  $\bar{y}'_j$  up to  $\Sigma^t$ . For simplicity, we still denote them by  $\bar{p}_j$ ,  $\bar{s}_j$ ,  $\bar{p}_i'$  $\overline{s}_j'$  and  $\overline{s}_j'$  $\bar{f}'_j$ . Then there is a diagram:

$$
\Sigma^{t} \Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} (\Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^{n} X) \xleftarrow{\Sigma^{t} g} \Sigma^{t} \Omega^{n+1} \Sigma^{n+1} X
$$

$$
\overline{s}'_j \left\| \overline{p}'_j \right\|_{\Sigma^{t} M} \overline{s}_q \right\|_{\Sigma^{t} D} \overline{p}_q
$$

$$
\Sigma^{t} D_j \Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^{n} X \qquad \Sigma^{t} D_q X
$$

Define two maps  $\overline{\phi}$  and  $\overline{\psi}$  as follows:

$$
\overline{\phi} = \overline{p}_{jm} \circ \Sigma^{t} g \circ \overline{s}'_{j}: \Sigma^{t} D_{j}(\Sigma^{-n} L_{m}^{\max} \Sigma^{n} X) \to \Sigma^{t} D_{jm} X,
$$
  

$$
\overline{\psi} = \overline{p}'_{j} \circ \Sigma^{t} h \circ \overline{s}_{jm}: \Sigma^{t} D_{jm} X \to \Sigma^{t} D_{j}(\Sigma^{-n} L_{m}^{\max} \Sigma^{n} X).
$$

The map  $\bar{\psi} \circ \bar{\phi}$  induces an identity on the homology:

$$
(\overline{\psi})_* \circ (\overline{\phi})_* = (\Sigma^{\infty} \overline{\psi})_* \circ (\Sigma^{\infty} \overline{\phi})_* = \psi_* \circ \phi_* = id.
$$

By the Whitehead theorem, we have  $\bar{\psi} \circ \bar{\phi}$  is a homotopy equivalence. It follows that

$$
(\overline{\psi} \circ \overline{\phi})^{-1} \circ \overline{\psi} \circ \overline{\phi} \simeq id.
$$

The maps

$$
\overline{\phi} \colon \Sigma^t D_j(\Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X) \to \Sigma^t D_{jm} X,
$$
  

$$
(\overline{\psi} \circ \overline{\phi})^{-1} \circ \overline{\psi} \colon \Sigma^t D_{jm} X \to \Sigma^t D_j(\Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X),
$$

imply that  $\Sigma^t D_j (\Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X)$  is a homotopy retract of  $\Sigma^t D_{jm}X$ . Note that we assume all spaces are CW–complexes, thus all constructions are natural up to homotopy. This completes the proof of step two.  $\Box$ 

From the proof, we can obtain a corollary for the stable case.

**Corollary 5.1** Let X be a 1-connected  $p$ -local suspension of finite type. For the natural coalgebra-split sub-Hopf algebra  $T(L_m^{\max}(V))$ , the spectrum  $\Sigma^{\infty}D_j(\Sigma^{-n}L_m^{\max}\Sigma^nX)$ is a functorial stable homotopy retract of  $\Sigma^{\infty}D_{im}(X)$ . In other words, there are maps

$$
\Sigma^{\infty} D_j(\Sigma^{-n} L_m^{\max} \Sigma^n X) \xleftarrow{\phi} \Sigma^{\infty} D_{jm} X \text{ such that } \psi \circ \phi \simeq \text{id}.
$$

### <span id="page-16-0"></span>6 Example

Let X be a p-local 2-cell complex. Denote the Steenrod algebra by A. Let  $V =$  $\overline{H}_*(X;\mathbb{Z}/p)$ . Assume that there are two generators u and v in V such that  $P^1_*v = u$ ,

where  $P_*^1$  is the dual operation of Steenrod operation  $P^1$ . Furthermore, assume that the degrees of u and v are both odd; denote them by |u| and |v|, respectively.

Recall  $\Sigma^{-1} L_p^{\max} \Sigma X$  is a stable functorial homotopy retract of  $D_pX$ . Thus, we have a stable functorial homotopy decomposition

$$
D_p X \stackrel{s}{\simeq} (\Sigma^{-1} L_p^{\max} \Sigma X) \vee M_p X.
$$

In the following, the homology of this decomposition and the A–module structure of each piece for  $p = 5$  will be computed.

#### 6.1 Additive basis

In  $H_*\Omega^2\Sigma^2X$ , denote the 1–bracket (of Browder operation)  $[x_1, \ldots, [x_{m-1}, x_m]_1, ]_1$ by  $[x_1, \ldots, x_m]_1$ . The basic 1–bracket (ie basic  $\lambda_1$ –product) with weight no greater than 5 are

$$
u < v < [u, v]_1 < [u, u, v]_1 < [v, u, v]_1 < [u, u, u, v]_1 < [v, u, u, v]_1 < [v, v, u, v]_1
$$
\n
$$
\langle [u, u, u, u, v]_1 < [v, u, u, u, v]_1 < [v, v, u, u, v]_1 < [v, v, v, u, v]_1
$$
\n
$$
\langle [u, v]_1, [u, u, v]_1]_1 < [[u, v]_1, [v, u, v]_1]_1.
$$

Since |u| and |v| are odd,  $[u, u]_1$  and  $[v, v]_1$  are trivial. All the basic 1–products above are of odd degrees.

Recalling [Proposition 2.6,](#page-6-0) we have the following additive basis of  $\overline{H}_*D_pX$ :

(6)  $u \cdot [u, u, u, v]_1$ ,  $u \cdot [v, u, u, v]_1$ ,  $u \cdot [v, v, u, v]_1$ ,  $v \cdot [u, u, u, v]_1$ ,  $v \cdot [v, u, u, v]_1$ ,  $v-[v, v, u, v]_1$ ,  $[u, v]_1$   $[u, u, v]_1$ ,  $[u, v]_1$   $[v, u, v]_1$ ,  $u \cdot v$   $[u, u, v]_1$ ,  $u \cdot v$   $[v, u, v]_1$ ,  $[u, u, u, v]_1$ ,  $[v, u, u, u, v]_1$ ,  $[v, v, u, u, v]_1$ ,  $[v, v, v, u, v]_1$ ,  $[[u, v]_1, [u, u, v]_1]_1, [[u, v]_1, [v, u, v]_1]_1, \zeta_1 u, \zeta_1 v, \zeta_1 u, \zeta_1 v.$ 

In  $H_*\Omega^2\Sigma^2X$ , the first two rows of this basis are decomposable. The others are indecomposable.

#### 6.2 Module structures over the Steenrod algebra

Let  $P^r_*: H_*X \to H_{*-2r(p-1)}X$  be the dual operation of the Steenrod operation  $P^r$ . We have a right A–module structure on  $\overline{H}_*D_5X$ . For convenience, we still write the Steenrod operation  $P^r_*$  on the left.

There is a new additive basis of  $\overline{H}_*D_5X$  which is invariant under Steenrod operations (see [\[13,](#page-20-9) Proposition 5.2]):

Stable functorial decompositions of  $F(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, j)^+ \wedge_{\Sigma_i} X^{(j)}$ 

(7) 
$$
u \cdot [u, u, u, v]_1
$$
,  $u \cdot [v, u, u, v]_1$ ,  $u \cdot [v, v, u, v]_1$ ,  $2v \cdot [u, u, u, v]_1 - u \cdot [v, u, u, v]_1$ ,  
\n $2v \cdot [v, u, u, v]_1 + u \cdot [v, v, u, v]_1$ ,  $v \cdot [v, v, u, v]_1$ ,  $[u, v]_1 \cdot [u, u, v]_1$ ,  
\n $[u, v]_1 \cdot [v, u, v]_1$ ,  $u \cdot v \cdot [u, u, v]_1$ ,  $u \cdot v \cdot [v, u, v]_1$ ,  $-[u, u, u, u, u]_1$ ,  
\n $-[v, u, u, u, v]_1 + [[u, v]_1, [u, u, v]_1]_1$ ,  $2[v, v, u, u, v]_1 - [[u, v]_1, [v, u, v]_1]_1$ ,  
\n $-[v, v, v, u, v]_1$ ,  $[[u, v]_1, [u, u, v]_1]_1$ ,  $[[u, v]_1, [v, u, v]_1]_1$ ,  
\n $\zeta_1 u$ ,  $\zeta_1 v$ ,  $\xi_1 u$ ,  $\xi_1 v$ .

For  $x \in \overline{H}_* D_p X$ , let  $A\langle x \rangle$  be the right A-module generated by x. Define A-modules  $M_i$  for  $1 \le i \le 5$  as follows:

(1) 
$$
M_1 = A \langle [[u, v]_1, [v, u, v]_1]_1 \rangle
$$
, with  
\n
$$
[[u, v]_1, [v, u, v]_1]_1 \xrightarrow{P^1_*} [[u, v]_1, [u, u, v]_1]_1.
$$

(2)  $M_2 = A \langle u \cdot v \cdot [v, u, v]_1 \rangle$ , with

 $u \cdot v \cdot [v, u, v]_1 \xrightarrow{P^1_*} u \cdot v \cdot [u, u, v]_1.$ 

(3)  $M_3 = A \langle [u, v]_1 \cdot [v, u, v]_1 \rangle$ , with  $[u, v]_1 \cdot [v, u, v]_1 \xrightarrow{P^1_*} [u, v]_1 \cdot [u, u, v]_1.$ 

(4)  $M_4 = A \langle \xi_1 v \rangle$ . The diagram shows the additive basis of  $M_4$ :



Algebraic & Geometric Topology, Volume 17 (2017)

(5) 
$$
M_5 = A \langle v \cdot [v, v, u, v]_1, u \cdot [v, v, u, v]_1 \rangle
$$
, with:  
\n
$$
v \cdot [v, v, u, v]_1
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow P_*^1
$$
\n
$$
2v \cdot [v, u, u, v]_1 + u \cdot [v, v, u, v]_1 \qquad u \cdot [v, v, u, v]_1
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow P_*^1 \qquad \qquad \downarrow P_*^1
$$
\n
$$
2v \cdot [u, u, u, v]_1 - u \cdot [v, u, u, v]_1 \qquad u \cdot [v, u, u, v]_1
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow P_*^1 \qquad \qquad \downarrow P_*^1
$$
\n
$$
u \cdot [u, u, u, v]_1 \qquad \qquad u \cdot [u, u, u, v]_1
$$

It is obvious that there is an isomorphism of right  $A$ –modules

$$
\overline{H} * D_5 X \cong M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus M_3 \oplus M_4 \oplus M_5.
$$

## 6.3  $\Sigma^{-1} L_p^{\max} \Sigma X$  and  $M_p X$

 $L_5^{\max}(V)$  has a basis  $[[u, v], [u, u, v]], [[u, v], [v, u, v]]$  [\[10,](#page-20-8) Proposition 11.6]. It follows from [Lemma 4.1](#page-10-0) that this basis is mapped by the map

$$
i_*\colon \overline{H}_*\Sigma^{-1}L_p^{\max}\Sigma X \to \overline{H}_*\Omega^2\Sigma^2 X
$$
 to  $[[u, v]_1, [u, u, v]_1]_1$ ,  $[[u, v]_1, [v, u, v]_1]_1$ .

Thus we can obtain the homology of  $\Sigma^{-1} L_{5}^{\max} \Sigma X$  and  $M_{5}X$ . The following equations are isomorphisms of right A–modules:

$$
\overline{H}_*(\Sigma^{-1}L_5^{\max}\Sigma X)\cong M_1,\quad \overline{H}_*M_5X\cong M_2\oplus M_3\oplus M_4\oplus M_5.
$$

**Remark** As a right A–module,  $\overline{H}_* M_p X$  is splittable, so it is natural to ask whether  $M_pX$  is splittable as a topological space, particularly whether the functorial homotopy decomposition exists or not.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank the referee most warmly for valuable comments and suggestions that have improved the manuscript. Wu is partially supported by the Singapore Ministry of Education research grant (AcRF Tier 1 WBS No. R-146-000-222-112) and a grant (No. 11329101) of NSFC of China.

### References

<span id="page-19-0"></span>[1] A Bahri, M Bendersky, F R Cohen, S Gitler, *[The polyhedral product functor: a](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2010.03.026) [method of decomposition for moment-angle complexes, arrangements and related](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2010.03.026) [spaces](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2010.03.026)*, Adv. Math. 225 (2010) 1634–1668 [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/2673742)

- <span id="page-20-4"></span>[2] C-F Bödigheimer, *[Stable splittings of mapping spaces](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BFb0078741)*, from "Algebraic topology" (HR Miller, DC Ravenel, editors), Lecture Notes in Math. 1286, Springer, Berlin (1987) 174–187 [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/922926)
- <span id="page-20-10"></span>[3] **FR Cohen,** *[The homology of](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BFb0080467)*  $C_{n+1}$ -spaces,  $n \ge 0$ , from "The homology of iterated loop spaces" (F R Cohen, T J Lada, J P May, editors), Lecture Notes in Mathematics 533, Springer, Berlin (1976) 207–351 [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/0436146)
- <span id="page-20-1"></span>[4] F R Cohen, J P May, L R Taylor, *[Splitting of certain spaces](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100055298)* CX , Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 84 (1978) 465–496 [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/503007)
- <span id="page-20-2"></span>[5] F R Cohen, J P May, L R Taylor, *[Splitting of some more spaces](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100056048)*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 86 (1979) 227–236 [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/538744)
- <span id="page-20-5"></span>[6] N Dobrinskaya, *Loops on polyhedral products and diagonal arrangements*, preprint (2009) [arXiv](http://msp.org/idx/arx/0901.2871)
- <span id="page-20-7"></span>[7] J Y Li, F C Lei, J Wu, *[Module structure on Lie powers and natural coalgebra-split](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0013091510000015) [sub-Hopf algebras of tensor algebras](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0013091510000015)*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. 54 (2011) 467–504 [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/2794667)
- <span id="page-20-3"></span>[8] J P May, L R Taylor, *[Generalized splitting theorems](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100060357)*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 93 (1983) 73–86 [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/684277)
- <span id="page-20-11"></span>[9] J McCleary, *A user's guide to spectral sequences*, 2nd edition, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 58, Cambridge University Press (2001) [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/1793722)
- <span id="page-20-8"></span>[10] P Selick, J Wu, *[On natural coalgebra decompositions of tensor algebras and loop](http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/memo/0701) [suspensions](http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/memo/0701)*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 701, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2000) [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/1706247)
- <span id="page-20-6"></span>[11] P Selick, J Wu, *[On functorial decompositions of self-smash products](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00229-002-0353-1)*, Manuscripta Math. 111 (2003) 435–457 [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/2002820)
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>[12] **VP Snaith**, *[A stable decomposition of](http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s2-7.4.577)*  $\Omega^n S^n X$ , J. London Math. Soc. 7 (1974) 577– 583 [MR](http://msp.org/idx/mr/0339155)
- <span id="page-20-9"></span>[13] Z H Yuan, *Functorial homotopy decompositions of iterated loop suspensions*, PhD thesis, National University of Singapore (2014) [http://scholarbank.nus.edu.sg/](http://scholarbank.nus.edu.sg/bitstream/handle/10635/118255/Yuan_Zihong_PhD_Thesis.pdf) [bitstream/handle/10635/118255/Yuan\\_Zihong\\_PhD\\_Thesis.pdf](http://scholarbank.nus.edu.sg/bitstream/handle/10635/118255/Yuan_Zihong_PhD_Thesis.pdf)

Department of Mathematics, National University of Singapore Block S17 (SOC1), 06-02, 10 Lower Kent Ridge Road, Singapore 119076, Singapore Institute for Infocomm Research 1 Fusionopolis Way, #21-01 Connexis South Tower, Singapore 138632, Singapore [matwuj@nus.edu.sg,](mailto:matwuj@nus.edu.sg) [yuanzhchina@gmail.com](mailto:yuanzhchina@gmail.com) [http://www.math.nus.edu.sg/](http://www.math.nus.edu.sg/~matwujie)~matwujie

Received: 9 September 2015 Revised: 18 April 2016