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The Hilbert scheme $H_{n}^{d}$ of $n$ points in $\mathbb{A}^{d}$ contains an irreducible component $R_{n}^{d}$ which generically represents $n$ distinct points in $\mathbb{A}^{d}$. We show that when $n$ is at most 8 , the Hilbert scheme $H_{n}^{d}$ is reducible if and only if $n=8$ and $d \geq 4$. In the simplest case of reducibility, the component $R_{8}^{4} \subset H_{8}^{4}$ is defined by a single explicit equation, which serves as a criterion for deciding whether a given ideal is a limit of distinct points.

To understand the components of the Hilbert scheme, we study the closed subschemes of $H_{n}^{d}$ which parametrize those ideals which are homogeneous and have a fixed Hilbert function. These subschemes are a special case of multigraded Hilbert schemes, and we describe their components when the colength is at most 8 . In particular, we show that the scheme corresponding to the Hilbert function $(1,3,2,1)$ is the minimal reducible example.

## 1. Introduction

The Hilbert scheme $H_{n}^{d}$ of $n$ points in affine $d$-space parametrizes 0-dimensional, degree $n$ subschemes of $\mathbb{A}^{d}$. Equivalently, the $k$-valued points of $H_{n}^{d}$ parametrize ideals $I \subset S=k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right]$ such that $S / I$ is an $n$-dimensional vector space over $k$. The smoothable component $R_{n}^{d} \subset H_{n}^{d}$ is the closure of the set of ideals of distinct points. The motivating problem of this paper is characterizing the ideals which lie in the smoothable component, that is, the 0-dimensional subschemes which are limits of distinct points. We determine the components of the schemes $H_{n}^{d}$ for $n \leq 8$, and find explicit equations defining $R_{8}^{4} \subset H_{8}^{4}$.

We assume that $k$ is a field of characteristic not 2 or 3 .
Theorem 1.1. Suppose $n$ is at most 8 and $d$ is any positive integer. Then the Hilbert scheme $H_{n}^{d}$ is reducible if and only if $n=8$ and $d \geq 4$, in which case it consists of exactly two irreducible components: the smoothable component, of dimension $8 d$, and a component denoted $G_{8}^{d}$, of dimension $8 d-7$, which consists of local algebras isomorphic to homogeneous algebras with Hilbert function (1, 4, 3).

[^0]It is known that for $d$ at least 3 and $n$ sufficiently large the Hilbert scheme of points is always reducible [Iarrobino 1972]. The fact that the Hilbert scheme $H_{8}^{4}$ has at least two components appears in [Iarrobino and Emsalem 1978]. In contrast, for the Hilbert scheme of points in the plane $(d=2)$, the smoothable component is the only component [Fogarty 1968].

To show that the Hilbert scheme of $n$ points is irreducible, it suffices to show that each isomorphism type of local algebras of rank at most $n$ is smoothable, and for $n$ at most 6 there are finitely many isomorphism types of local algebras. In contrast, there are infinitely many nonisomorphic local algebras of degree 7. Relying on a classification of the finitely many isomorphism types in degree 6, Mazzola [1980] proves the irreducibility of $H_{n}^{d}$ for $n=7$.

In our approach, a coarser geometric decomposition replaces most of the need for classification. We divide the local algebras in $H_{n}^{d}$ into sets $H_{h}^{d}$ by their Hilbert function $\boldsymbol{h}$, and we determine which components of these sets are smoothable. The main advantage to this approach is that there are fewer Hilbert functions than isomorphism classes, and this enables us to extend the smoothability results of [Mazzola 1980] up to degree 8.

In order to determine the components of $H_{h}^{d}$, we first determine the components of the standard graded Hilbert scheme $\mathscr{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{\boldsymbol{d}}$, which parametrizes homogeneous ideals with Hilbert function $\boldsymbol{h}$. By considering the map $\pi_{\boldsymbol{h}}: H_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{d} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{d}$ which sends a local algebra to its associated graded ring, we relate the components of $\mathscr{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{\boldsymbol{d}}$ to those of $H_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{d}$. The study of standard graded Hilbert schemes leads to the following analogue of Theorem 1.1:

Theorem 1.2. Let $\mathscr{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{d}$ be the standard graded Hilbert scheme for Hilbert function $\boldsymbol{h}$, where $\sum h_{i} \leq 8$. Then $\mathscr{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{d}$ is reducible if and only if

$$
\boldsymbol{h}=(1,3,2,1) \quad \text { or } \quad \boldsymbol{h}=(1,4,2,1)
$$

in which case it has exactly two irreducible components. In particular, $\mathscr{H}_{(1,3,2,1)}^{3}$ is the minimal example of a reducible standard graded Hilbert scheme.

As in the ungraded case, all standard graded Hilbert schemes in the plane are smooth and irreducible [Evain 2004].

In the case when $d=4$ and $n=8$, we describe the intersection of the two components of $H_{8}^{4}$ explicitly. Let $S=k[x, y, z, w]$ and $S_{1}$ be the vector space of linear forms in $S$. Let $S_{2}^{*}$ denote the space of symmetric bilinear forms on $S_{1}$. Then, the component $G_{8}^{4}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{4} \times \operatorname{Gr}\left(3, S_{2}^{*}\right)$, where $\operatorname{Gr}\left(3, S_{2}^{*}\right)$ denotes the Grassmannian of 3-dimensional subspaces of $S_{2}^{*}$.

Theorem 1.3. The intersection $R_{8}^{4} \cap G_{8}^{4}$ is a prime divisor on $G_{8}^{4}$. We have the following equivalent descriptions of $R_{8}^{4} \cap G_{8}^{4} \subset G_{8}^{4}$.

Set-theoretic: For a point $I \in G_{8}^{4} \cong \mathbb{A}^{4} \times \operatorname{Gr}\left(3, S_{2}^{*}\right) \cong \mathbb{A}^{4} \times \operatorname{Gr}\left(7, S_{2}\right)$ let $V$ be the corresponding 7-dimensional subspace of $S_{2}$. Then $I \in G_{8}^{4}$ belongs to the intersection if and only if the skew-symmetric bilinear form

$$
\langle,\rangle_{I}:\left(S_{1} \otimes S_{2} / V\right)^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \stackrel{3}{\bigwedge}\left(S_{2} / V\right) \cong k
$$

given by

$$
\left\langle l_{1} \otimes q_{1}, l_{2} \otimes q_{2}\right\rangle_{I}=\left(l_{1} l_{2}\right) \wedge q_{1} \wedge q_{2}
$$

is degenerate.
Local equations: Around any $I \in G_{8}^{4}$, choose an open neighborhood $U_{I} \subset G_{8}^{4}$ such that the universal Grassmannian bundle over the $U_{I}$ is generated by three sections. Since these sections are bilinear forms we may represent them as symmetric $4 \times 4$ matrices $A_{1}, A_{2}$, and $A_{3}$ with entries in $\Gamma\left(U_{I}, 0_{G_{8}^{4}}\right)$. The local equation for $R_{8}^{4} \cap U_{I}$ is then the Pfaffian of the $12 \times 12$ matrix:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
0 & A_{1} & -A_{2} \\
-A_{1} & 0 & A_{3} \\
A_{2} & -A_{3} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Note that specializing this equation to I gives the Pfaffian of $\langle,\rangle_{I}$.
The local equation from the previous theorem gives an effective criterion for deciding whether an algebra of colength 8 belongs to the smoothable component. Moreover, it can be lifted to equations which cut out $R_{8}^{4} \subset H_{8}^{4}$. Recall that $H_{8}^{4}$ can be covered by open affines corresponding to monomial ideals in $k[x, y, z, w]$ of colength 8 .
Theorem 1.4. On these monomial coordinate charts, $R_{8}^{4} \subset H_{8}^{4}$ is cut out settheoretically by
(1) the zero ideal on charts corresponding to monomial ideals with Hilbert functions other than $(1,4,3)$, and
(2) the pullback of the equations in Theorem 1.3 along the projection to homogeneous ideals in charts corresponding to monomial ideals with Hilbert function (1, 4, 3).
Remark 1.5. It is not known whether $H_{8}^{4}$ is reduced. If it is, then the equations in Theorem 1.4 cut out the smoothable component scheme-theoretically.
Remark 1.6. Every point of the Hilbert scheme of $n$ points in $\mathbb{P}^{d}$ has an open neighborhood isomorphic to $H_{n}^{d}$. Therefore, analogues of Theorems 1.1, 1.3, and 1.4 hold for the Hilbert scheme of $n$ points in $\mathbb{P}^{d}$. However, the most natural setting for our methods is the affine case and the language of multigraded Hilbert schemes.

The material in this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 contains background and definitions. Section 3 describes the geometry of standard graded Hilbert schemes of degree at most 8 . Section 4 contains proofs of the smoothability of families of algebras and its main steps are collected in Table 4.1. Section 5 is devoted to the study of the components of $H_{8}^{4}$ and their intersection. Section 6 ties together these results to give proofs of all theorems mentioned above. Finally, Section 7 proposes some open questions.

## 2. Background

In this section, let $k$ be a field and $S=k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right]$.
Multigraded Hilbert schemes. A grading of $S$ by an abelian group $A$ is a semigroup homomorphism deg : $\mathbb{N}^{d} \rightarrow A$ which assigns to each monomial in $S$ a degree in $A$. Let $h: A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be an arbitrary function, which we will think of as a vector $\boldsymbol{h}$, with values $h_{a}$ indexed by $a$ in $A$. We say that a homogeneous ideal $I$ in $S$ has Hilbert function $\boldsymbol{h}$ if $S_{a} / I_{a}$ has $k$-dimension $h_{a}$ for all $a \in A$. Multigraded Hilbert schemes, introduced in [Haiman and Sturmfels 2004], parametrize homogeneous ideals with a fixed Hilbert function. More precisely these are quasiprojective schemes over $k$ which represent the following functors [Haiman and Sturmfels 2004, Theorem 1.1]:
Definition 2.1. For a fixed integer $d$, grading deg, and Hilbert function $\boldsymbol{h}$, the multigraded Hilbert functor $\mathscr{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}: k$-Alg $\rightarrow$ Set assigns to each $k$-algebra $T$, the set of homogeneous ideals $J$ in $S \otimes \bar{T}$ such that the graded component $(S \otimes T / J)_{a}$ is a locally free $T$-module of rank $h_{a}$ for all a in $A$. The multigraded Hilbert scheme is the scheme which represents the multigraded Hilbert functor.

In particular, we will be interested in the following two special kinds of multigraded Hilbert scheme:

- Let deg : $\mathbb{N}^{d} \rightarrow 0$ be the constant function to the trivial group and define $h_{0}=n$. In this case the multigraded Hilbert scheme is the Hilbert scheme of $n$ points in $\mathbb{A}^{d}$ and will be denoted $H_{n}^{d}$.
- Let deg : $\mathbb{N}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the summation function, which induces the standard grading $\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{i}\right)=1$. We call the corresponding multigraded Hilbert scheme the standard graded Hilbert scheme for Hilbert function $\boldsymbol{h}$ and denote it with $\mathcal{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{\boldsymbol{d}}$.
If $n=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} h_{j}$ there is a closed immersion $\mathscr{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{d} \rightarrow H_{n}^{d}$ by [Haiman and Sturmfels 2004, Proposition 1.5].

Coordinates for the Hilbert scheme of points. In this section we briefly discuss some coordinate systems on $H_{n}^{d}$. The reader should refer to [Miller and Sturmfels

2005, Chapter 18] for an extended treatment. For a monomial ideal $M$ of colength $n$ with standard monomials $\lambda$, let $U_{\lambda} \subset H_{n}^{d}$ be the set of ideals $I$ such that the monomials in $\lambda$ are a basis for $S / I$. Note that the $U_{\lambda}$ form an open cover of $H_{n}^{d}$. An ideal $I \in U_{\lambda}$ has generators of the form $m-\sum_{m^{\prime} \in \lambda} c_{m^{\prime}}^{m} m^{\prime}$. The $c_{m^{\prime}}^{m}$ are local coordinates for $U_{\lambda}$ which define a closed immersion into affine space.

Suppose $V(I)$ consists of $n$ distinct points $q^{(1)}, \ldots, q^{(n)}$ with coordinates $q_{i}^{(j)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Fix an order $\lambda=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}\right)$ on the set of monomials $\lambda$ and define $\Delta_{\lambda}=\operatorname{det}\left(\left[m_{i}\left(q^{(j)}\right)\right]_{i, j}\right)$. For example, if $\lambda=\left(1, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{1}^{n-1}\right)$, then $\Delta_{\lambda}$ is the determinant of the Vandermonde matrix on the $q_{1}^{(j)}$. If $I \in U_{\lambda}$, we can express the $c_{m^{\prime}}^{m}$ in terms of the $q_{i}^{(j)}$, using Cramer's rule, as

$$
c_{m^{\prime}}^{m}=\frac{\Delta_{\lambda-m^{\prime}+m}}{\Delta_{\lambda}}
$$

where $\lambda-m^{\prime}+m$ is the ordered set of monomials obtained from $\lambda$ by replacing $m^{\prime}$ with $m$. Note that the right-hand side of this equality is only defined for ideals of distinct points. The quotient or product of two $\Delta_{\lambda}$ 's is $S_{n}$-invariant. Thus the formula does not depend on the order of $\lambda$. Gluing over the various $U_{\lambda}$, these quotients determine a birational map

$$
\left(\mathbb{A}^{d}\right)^{n} / / S_{n} \longrightarrow R_{n}^{d}
$$

that is regular when the points $q^{(j)}$ are all distinct. The rational functions $\Delta_{\eta_{1}} / \Delta_{\eta_{2}}$ are elements of the quotient field of either $\left(\mathbb{A}^{d}\right)^{n} / / S_{n}$ or $R_{n}^{d}$. The expressions $\Delta_{\lambda}$ and their relationship to the local equations $c_{m^{\prime}}^{m}$ were introduced in [Haiman 1998, Proposition 2.6].

Duality. First let us suppose that $k$ has characteristic 0 , and let $S^{*}$ be the ring $k\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right]$, with the structure of an $S$-module via formal partial differentiation $x_{i} \cdot f=\partial f / \partial y_{i}$. If we look at homogeneous polynomials of a fixed degree $j$ in each of the two rings, we have a pairing $S_{j} \times S_{j}^{*} \rightarrow S_{0}^{*}=k$. Any vector subspace of $S_{j}$ has an orthogonal subspace in $S_{j}^{*}$ of complementary dimension. In particular, if $I$ is a homogeneous ideal in $S$, we have subspaces $I_{j}^{\perp} \subset S_{j}^{*}$ and we set $I^{\perp}=\oplus I_{j}^{\perp}$, which is often called the Macaulay inverse system of $I$. The subspace $I^{\perp}$ is closed under differentiation, that is, $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}} I_{j}^{\perp} \subset I_{j-1}^{\perp}$ for all $i$ and $j$. Conversely, any graded vector subspace $I^{\perp} \subset S^{*}$ which is closed under differentiation determines an orthogonal ideal $I \subset S$ with Hilbert function $h_{j}=\operatorname{dim}_{k} I_{j}^{\perp}$. Also, note that any linear change of variables in $S$ induces a linear change of variables in $S^{*}$.

If $k$ has positive characteristic $p$, then the same theory works for sufficiently small degree. Formal partial differentiation gives a perfect pairing $S_{j} \times S_{j}^{*} \rightarrow k$ if and only if $j$ is less than $p$. Thus, we can associate orthogonal subspaces $I_{j}^{\perp}$ to a homogeneous ideal $I$ so long as $I_{p}$ contains all of $S_{p}$. In this case, we define
$I_{j}^{\perp}=0$ for all degrees $j$ at least $p$, and $I=\oplus I_{j}$ as before. Conversely, for a graded vector subspace $I^{\perp} \subset S_{*}$ which is closed under differentiation and with $I_{j}^{\perp}=0$ for $j$ at least $p$, the orthogonal space is a homogeneous ideal $I \subset S$ with Hilbert function $h_{j}=\operatorname{dim}_{k} I_{j}^{\perp}$.

## 3. Components of the standard graded Hilbert schemes

In this section, $k$ will denote an algebraically closed field of characteristic not 2 or 3 .

We will study the components of the standard graded Hilbert schemes $\mathscr{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{d}$ with Hilbert function $\boldsymbol{h}$ where $\sum h_{i} \leq 8$. These results will be important for the proofs of smoothability in the following section. From [Evain 2004, Theorem 1], we have that for $d=2$, the standard graded Hilbert schemes are irreducible. Thus, we will only work with $d$ at least 3 . For the purposes of classifying irreducible components of $\mathscr{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}{ }_{\boldsymbol{h}}$, it is convenient to work with homogeneous ideals which contain no linear forms, and thus we assume that $h_{1}=d$. The following lemma allows us to restrict our attention to this case:
Proposition 3.1. The standard graded Hilbert scheme $\mathscr{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{d}$ with $d \geq h_{1}$ is a $\mathscr{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{h_{1}}$ bundle over $\operatorname{Gr}\left(d-h_{1}, S_{1}\right)$. In particular, if $\mathscr{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{h_{1}}$ is irreducible of dimension $D$ then $\mathscr{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{d}$ is irreducible of dimension $D+\left(d-h_{1}\right) d$.
Proof. The degree 1 summand of the universal ideal sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{H e}_{h}^{d}}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right]$ is locally free of rank $d-h_{1}$ and thus defines a morphism $\phi: \mathscr{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{d} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}\left(d-h_{1}, S_{1}\right)$. Over an open affine $U \cong \mathbb{A}^{\left(d-h_{1}\right) h_{1}}$ in $\operatorname{Gr}\left(d-h_{1}, S_{1}\right)$, we have an isomorphism $\phi^{-1}(U) \cong U \times H_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{h_{1}}$ by taking a change of variables in $\mathbb{O}_{U}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right]$.
Lemma 3.2. Let be $m$ a positive integer such that $m$ ! is not divisible by the characteristic of $k$. Let $f\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right)$ be a homogeneous polynomial in $S_{m}^{*}$ whose partial derivatives form an $r$-dimensional vector subspace of $S_{m-1}^{*}$. Then $f$ can be written as a polynomial in terms of some r-dimensional subspace of $S_{1}^{*}$.
Proof. There exists a linear map from $S_{1} \rightarrow S_{m-1}^{*}$ which sends $x_{i} \mapsto \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{i}}$. After a change of variables, we can assume that $x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{d}$ annihilate $f$. Thus, any term of $f$ contains only the variables $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}$.

Throughout this section, $N$ will denote $\operatorname{dim}_{k} S_{2}=\binom{d+1}{2}$, the dimension of the vector space of quadrics.
Proposition 3.3. Let $0 \leq e \leq N$. The standard graded Hilbert scheme for Hilbert function $(1, d, e)$ is isomorphic to the Grassmannian $\operatorname{Gr}\left(N-e, S_{2}\right)$, and it is thus irreducible of dimension $(N-e) N$.
Proof. We build the isomorphism via the functors of points of these schemes. For a $k$-algebra $T$ let $\phi(T): \mathscr{H}_{h}(T) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}\left(N-e, S_{2}\right)(T)$ be the morphism of sets which
maps a homogeneous ideal $I \subset T \otimes_{k} S$ to $I_{2}$. Let $\psi(T): \operatorname{Gr}\left(N-e, S_{2}\right)(T) \rightarrow \mathscr{H}_{h}(T)$ be the map which sends a $k$-submodule $L$ of $T \otimes S_{2}$ to $L \oplus \bigoplus_{j \geq 3}\left(T \otimes_{k} S_{j}\right)$, which is an ideal of $T \otimes S$. The natural transformations $\phi$ and $\psi$ are inverses of one another and the isomorphism follows from Yoneda's Lemma.

Proposition 3.4. Let $\boldsymbol{h}=(1, d, 1, \ldots, 1)$ and let $m \geq 3$ be the largest index such that $h_{m}$ is nonzero. Then the standard graded Hilbert scheme for $\boldsymbol{h}$ is irreducible of dimension $d-1$.

Proof. We claim that the scheme $\mathscr{H}_{h}^{d}$ is parametrized by $\operatorname{Gr}\left(1, S_{1}^{*}\right)$ by sending a vector space generated by $\ell \in S_{1}^{*}$ to the ideal generated by the quadrics orthogonal to $\ell^{2}$ and all degree $m+1$ polynomials. This ideal has the right Hilbert function and the parametrization is clearly surjective.

Theorem 3.5. If $d$ is at least 3, the standard graded Hilbert scheme for Hilbert function $(1, d, 2,1)$ is reducible and consists of the following two components:
(1) The homogeneous ideals orthogonal to $\ell^{3}, \ell^{2}$, and $q$ where $\ell$ is a linear form and $q$ is a quadric linearly independent of $\ell^{2}$. We denote this component by $2_{d}$, and $\operatorname{dim}\left(2_{d}\right)=\left(d^{2}+3 d-6\right) / 2$.
(2) The closure of the homogeneous ideals orthogonal to a cubic $c$ and its partial derivatives, where the degree 1 derivatives of $c$ have rank 2. We denote this component by $\mathscr{P}_{d}$, and $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathscr{P}_{d}\right)=2 d-1$.

Proof. We compute the dimension of the first component. It is parametrized by the 1-dimensional subspace of $S_{1}^{*}$ generated by $\ell$ and a 2-dimensional subspace of $S_{2}^{*}$ which contains $\ell^{2}$. These have dimensions $d-1$ and $N-1-1$ respectively, for a total of $\left(d^{2}+3 d-6\right) / 2$.

Note also that an open subset of the second component, $\mathscr{P}_{d}$, is parametrized by a 2-dimensional subspace $V$ of $S_{1}^{*}$ and a cubic $c \in \operatorname{Sym}_{3}(V)$ which is not a perfect cube. The parametrization is by taking the ideal whose components of degrees 3 and 2 are orthogonal to $c$ and to its derivatives respectively. The space of derivatives is 2 -dimensional by our construction of $c$. The dimension of $\mathscr{P}_{d}$ is $3+2(d-2)=2 d-1$.

We claim that any homogeneous ideal with Hilbert function ( $1, d, 2,1$ ) lies in one of these two components. Any such ideal is orthogonal to a cubic $c$, and the derivatives of $c$ are at most 2 -dimensional. If the derivatives are 1 -dimensional, then $c$ must be a perfect cube, so the ideal is in $\mathscr{2}_{d}$. Otherwise, the ideal is in $\mathscr{P}_{d}$.

Finally, we will show that $\mathscr{P}_{d}$ has a point that does not lie on $2_{d}$. Let $I$ be the ideal orthogonal to $y_{1} y_{2}^{2}$, and its partial derivatives, $2 y_{1} y_{2}, y_{2}^{2}$. Then $I$ is generated by $x_{2}^{3}$ and all degree 2 monomials other than $x_{2}^{2}$ and $x_{1} x_{2}$. We will study the homomorphisms $\phi: I \rightarrow S / I$ of degree 0 , as these correspond to the tangent space of $\mathscr{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{d}$ at $I$. For any quadric generator $q$, we can write $\phi(q)=a_{q} x_{2}^{2}+b_{q} x_{1} x_{2}$. Note
that $x_{1} \phi(q)=a_{q} x_{1} x_{2}^{2}$ and $x_{2} \phi(q)=b_{q} x_{1} x_{2}^{2}$. For any $i, j>2, \phi$ must satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
x_{1} \phi\left(x_{i} x_{j}\right)=x_{j} \phi\left(x_{i} x_{1}\right)=0, & x_{2} \phi\left(x_{i} x_{j}\right)=x_{j} \phi\left(x_{i} x_{2}\right)=0, \\
x_{1} \phi\left(x_{1} x_{i}\right)=x_{i} \phi\left(x_{1}^{2}\right)=0, & x_{2} \phi\left(x_{1} x_{i}\right)=x_{1} \phi\left(x_{2} x_{i}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

In matrix form, we see that $\phi$ must be in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \\
& x_{2}^{2} \\
& x_{1} x_{2} \\
& x_{1} x_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{1}^{2} & x_{1} x_{i} & x_{2} x_{i} & x_{i} x_{j} & x_{2}^{3} \\
* & c_{i} & * & 0 & 0 \\
* & 0 & c_{i} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & *
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $i$ and $j$ range over all integers greater than 2 . Thus there are at most $2(d-2)+3=2 d-1$ tangent directions, but since $\mathscr{P}_{d}$ has dimension $2 d-1$, there are exactly $2 d-1$ tangent directions. On the other hand, $2_{d}$ has dimension $\left(d^{2}+3 d-6\right) / 2$, which is greater than $2 d-1$ for $d$ at most 3 , so $I$ cannot belong to $2_{d}$ and thus $\mathscr{P}_{d}$ is a component.

Proposition 3.6. The standard graded Hilbert scheme for Hilbert function $\boldsymbol{h}=$ $(1, d, 2,2)$ is irreducible of dimension $2 d-2$.
Proof. The Hilbert scheme is parametrized by a 2-dimensional subspace $L$ of $S_{1}^{*}$ and a subspace of $S_{2}$ of dimension $N-2$, and containing the ( $N-3$ )-dimensional subspace orthogonal to the square of $L$. The parametrization is by sending the subspace of $S_{2}$ to the ideal generated by that subspace, together with all degree 4 polynomials. The dimension of this parametrization is $2(d-2)+2=2 d-2$.

Proposition 3.7. The standard graded Hilbert scheme for Hilbert function $\boldsymbol{h}=$ $(1,3,3,1)$ is irreducible of dimension 9 .

Proof. This Hilbert scheme embeds as a closed subscheme of the smooth 18dimensional variety $\operatorname{Gr}\left(3, S_{2}\right) \times \operatorname{Gr}\left(9, S_{3}\right)$ by mapping an ideal to its degree 2 and 3 graded components. Furthermore, $\mathscr{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{3}$ is defined by $9=3 \cdot 3$ equations, corresponding to the restrictions that the products of each of the 3 variables and each of the 3 quadrics in $I_{2}$ are in $I_{3}$. In particular, the dimension of each irreducible component is at least 9 .

Now we will look at the projection of $\mathscr{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{3}$ onto the $\operatorname{Grassmannian} \operatorname{Gr}\left(9, S_{3}\right)$, which is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Gr}\left(1, S_{3}^{*}\right)$. The orthogonal cubic in $S_{3}^{*}$ can be classified according to the vector space dimension of its derivatives. For a generic cubic, its three derivatives will be linearly independent and therefore the cubic will completely determine the orthogonal space. Thus, the projection from $\mathscr{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{3}$ is a bijection over this open set, so the preimage is 9 -dimensional. In the case where the derivatives of the cubic are 2-dimensional, we have that, after a change of coordinates, the
cubic is written in terms of two variables. Thus, the parameter space of the cubic consists of a 2-dimensional choice of a subspace of $S_{1}$ and then a 3-dimensional choice of a cubic written in terms of this subspace. The fiber over any fixed cubic is isomorphic to the Grassmannian of 3-dimensional subspaces of the 4-dimensional subspace of $S_{2}$ orthogonal to the derivatives of the cubic. The dimension of the locus in $\mathscr{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{3}$ is therefore $2+3+3=8$. By a similar logic, the locus where the cubic has a 1 -dimensional space of derivatives is $2+2 \cdot 3=8$. Therefore, $\mathscr{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{3}$ is the disjoint union of three irreducible sets, of dimensions 9,8 , and 8 . We conclude that $\mathscr{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{3}$ is an irreducible complete intersection of dimension 9.

Proposition 3.8. Let $1 \leq e \leq N$. The standard graded Hilbert scheme for Hilbert function $(1, d, e, 1,1)$ is irreducible of dimension $d-1+(N-e)(e-1)$.

Proof. This Hilbert scheme is parametrized by a 1-dimensional subspace $L$ of $S_{1}^{*}$, together with an $e$-dimensional subspace $V$ of $S_{2}^{*}$ which contains $\operatorname{Sym}_{2}(L)$. The parametrization is by mapping $(L, V)$ to the ideal whose summands of degrees 2,3 , and 4 are orthogonal to $V, L^{3}$, and $L^{4}$, respectively. Note that this has the desired dimension $(d-1)+((N-1)-(e-1))(e-1)$.

Theorem 3.9. With the exception of Hilbert functions $(1,3,2,1)$ and $(1,4,2,1)$, the standard graded Hilbert schemes with $\sum h_{i} \leq 8$ are irreducible.

Proof. The cases when $d=2$ follow from [Evain 2004, Theorem 1]. The cases when $d$ is at least 3 are summarized in Table 4.1.

## 4. Smoothable 0 -schemes of degree at most 8

In this section $k$ will denote an algebraically closed field of characteristic not 2 or 3 .

Recall that a point $I$ in $H_{n}^{d}$ is smoothable if $I$ belongs to the smoothable component $R_{n}^{d}$. In this section, we first reduce the question of smoothability to ideals $I$ in $H_{n}^{d}$ where $S / I$ is a local $k$-algebra and $I$ has embedding dimension $d$. Then we define the schemes $H_{h}^{d}$ which parametrize local algebras, and we use these to show that each 0 -dimensional algebra of degree at most 8 is either smoothable or is isomorphic to a homogeneous local algebra with Hilbert function (1, 4, 3).

We use two different methods to show that a subscheme $H_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{d}$ belongs to the smoothable component.
(1) For each irreducible component of $H_{h}^{d}$, consider a generic ideal $I$ from that component. Apply suitable isomorphisms to put $I$ into a nice form. Then show $I$ is smoothable. Since the set of ideals isomorphic to $I$ are dense in the component and smoothable, the entire component of $H_{h}^{d}$ containing $I$ must belong to $R_{n}^{d}$.
(2) Within each irreducible component of $H_{h}^{d}$, find an ideal $I$ such that $I$ is a smooth point in $H_{n}^{d}$ and $I$ belongs to $R_{n}^{d}$. Then the whole component of $H_{h}^{d}$ containing $I$ must belong to $R_{n}^{d}$.
In each method we need to show that a particular ideal $I$ is smoothable. We do this by showing $I=$ in $J$ with respect to some nonnegative weight vector for a smoothable ideal $J$. The corresponding Gröbner deformation induces a morphism $\mathrm{A}^{1} \rightarrow R_{n}^{d}$ which maps 0 to $I$.

For $d=2$, the Hilbert scheme $H_{n}^{2}$ is smooth and irreducible [Fogarty 1968, Theorem 2.4]. Thus, we will limit our analysis to algebras with embedding dimension at least 3 .

For a finite rank $k$-algebra $A_{0}$, we say that $A_{0}$ is smoothable if there exists a flat family $k \llbracket t \rrbracket \rightarrow A$ such that the special fiber of $A$ is isomorphic to $A_{0}$ and such that the generic fiber of $A$ is smooth. This terminology is justified by the following result:

Lemma 4.1. Let $I \subseteq S$ an ideal of colength $n$. Then $I$ is smoothable if and only if $S / I$ is smoothable (as a k-algebra).
Proof. Let $I \subseteq S$ be a smoothable ideal, and let $U \subseteq R_{n}^{d}$ be the open set parametrizing smooth 0 -schemes. Since $I \in R_{n}^{d}$, there exists a smooth curve $C$ and a map $f: C \rightarrow R_{n}^{d}$ such that $f(P)=I$ for some point $P \in C$ and such that $f(C)$ meets $U$. By considering the completion of $C$ at the point $P$, we obtain an induced map $\widehat{f}: \operatorname{Spec}(k \llbracket t \rrbracket) \rightarrow R_{n}^{d}$ which sends the closed point to $I$ and the generic point into $U$. The flat family over $\operatorname{Spec}(k \llbracket t \rrbracket)$ which corresponds to the map $\widehat{f}$ induces an abstract smoothing of $S / I$.

Conversely, let's assume that $A_{0}:=S / I$ admits an abstract smoothing $k \llbracket t \rrbracket \rightarrow A$. Let $\phi: k \llbracket t \rrbracket\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right] \rightarrow A$ be defined by sending each $x_{i}$ to any lift of $x_{i}$ from $S / I=A_{0}$. Since $A$ is a finitely generated $k \llbracket t \rrbracket$-module, the cokernel of $\phi$ is finitely generated, and so we can apply Nakayama's Lemma to show that $\phi$ is surjective. The map $\phi$ thus induces a morphism of schemes $f: \operatorname{Spec}(k \llbracket t \rrbracket) \rightarrow H_{n}^{d}$ which sends the closed point to $I$ and the generic point into $U$. It follows that $I \in \bar{U}=R_{n}^{d}$.

If $I$ is supported at multiple points, then $S / I$ is the product of local Artin algebras and a smoothing of each factor over $k \llbracket t \rrbracket$ yields a smoothing of $S / I$. Because of this observation and Lemma 4.1, we will now only consider ideals $I$ in $H_{n}^{d}$ which define local algebras with embedding dimension $d$.

The schemes $\boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{\boldsymbol{d}}$. If $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ is a local algebra, its Hilbert function is defined by $h_{i}=\operatorname{dim}_{k} \mathfrak{m}^{i} / \mathfrak{m}^{i+1}$, which is equivalently the Hilbert function of the associated graded ring of $A$. When $A$ is both local and graded, the two notions of Hilbert function coincide. We now define the schemes $H_{h}^{d}$ and explore their irreducible components for each Hilbert function $\boldsymbol{h}$ with $\sum h_{i} \leq 8$.

For each $\boldsymbol{h}$ such that $\sum h_{i}=n$, the subscheme $H_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{d} \subset H_{n}^{d}$ consists set-theoretically of the ideals $I$ defining a local algebra $S / I$ with maximal ideal $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)$ whose Hilbert function equals $\boldsymbol{h}$. More precisely, let $\mathscr{A}=\mathcal{O}_{H_{n}^{d}}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right] / \mathscr{I}$ be the universal sheaf of algebras on $H_{n}^{d}$ and let $\mathcal{M}$ be the ideal $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \mathscr{A}$. The fiber at an ideal $I$ of the quotient sheaf $\mathscr{A} / \mathcal{M}^{i}$ is isomorphic to $S /\left(I+\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)^{i}\right)$. For any fixed $\boldsymbol{h}$, there is a locally closed subset of $H_{n}^{d}$ consisting of those points such that the fiber of $\mathscr{A} / \mathcal{M}^{i}$ has dimension $h_{0}+\cdots+h_{i-1}$ for all $i \geq 0$. Let $H_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{d}$ be the reduced subscheme on this subset, and then the restriction of each $\mathscr{A} / \mathcal{M}^{i}$ to $H_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{d}$ is locally free. Define $\mathscr{B}$ to be the sheaf of graded algebras on $H_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{d}$ whose $i$-th component is

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(\left.\left.\left(\mathscr{A} / \mathcal{M}^{i+1}\right)\right|_{H_{h}^{d}} \rightarrow\left(\mathscr{A} / \mathcal{M}^{i}\right)\right|_{H_{h}^{d}}\right)
$$

which is locally free of rank $h_{i}$ because it is the kernel of a surjection of locally free sheaves. Note that the fiber of $\mathscr{B}$ at $I$ is the associated graded ring of $S / I$. There is a canonical surjection of graded algebras $O_{H_{h}^{d}}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right] \rightarrow \mathscr{B}$ which defines a morphism $\pi_{\boldsymbol{h}}: H_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{d} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{d}$ to the standard graded Hilbert scheme. The ideal $I$ gets mapped to its initial ideal with respect to the weight vector $(-1, \ldots,-1)$.

With the exception $\boldsymbol{h}=(1,3,2,1,1)$, we will show that the irreducible components of $H_{h}^{d}$ and $\mathscr{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{d}$ are in bijection via the map $\pi_{\boldsymbol{h}}$.
Proposition 4.2. Each subscheme $H_{(1, d, e)}^{d}$ is irreducible.
Proof. Since $H_{(1, d, e)}^{d} \cong \mathscr{H}_{(1, d, e)}^{d}$, this follows from Proposition 3.3.
Proposition 4.3. Fix $\boldsymbol{h}=(1, d, e, f)$. Let $m=(d+1) d / 2-e=\operatorname{dim}_{k} S_{2} / I_{2}$. Then every fiber of $\pi_{\boldsymbol{h}}$ is irreducible of dimension $m f$. In particular, the irreducible components of $H_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{d}$ are exactly the preimages of the irreducible components of $\mathscr{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{\boldsymbol{d}}$.
Proof. Fix a point in $\mathscr{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{d}$, which corresponds to a homogeneous ideal $I$. Let $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m}$ be quadratic generators of $I$, and let $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{f}$ be cubics which form a vector space basis for $S_{3} / I_{3}$. Define a map $\phi: \mathbb{A}^{m f} \rightarrow H_{n}^{d}$ via the ideal

$$
\left\langle q_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{f} t_{i j} c_{j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\right\rangle+I_{\geq 3},
$$

where the $t_{i j}$ are the coordinate functions of $\mathbb{A}^{m f}$. Because a product of any variable $x_{\ell}$ with any of these generators is in $I$, this ideal has the right Hilbert function and maps to the fiber of $\pi_{\boldsymbol{h}}$ over $I$. Furthermore, $\phi$ is bijective on field-valued points, so the fiber is irreducible of dimension $m f$.

For the last statement, we have that for any irreducible component of $\mathscr{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}$, the restriction of $\pi_{\boldsymbol{h}}$ has irreducible equidimensional fibers over an irreducible base, so the preimage is irreducible. These closed sets cover $H_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{d}$ and because each lies over a distinct component of $\mathscr{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{\boldsymbol{d}}$, they are distinct irreducible components.

Combining Theorem 3.5 with the above proposition, we see $H_{(1, d, 2,1)}^{d}$ has exactly two components: $P_{d}:=\pi^{-1}\left(\mathscr{F}_{d}\right)$ and $Q_{d}:=\pi^{-1}\left(2_{d}\right)$. In addition, by Propositions 3.6, 3.7, and 4.3, $H_{(1, d, 2,2)}^{d}$ and $H_{(1,3,3,1)}^{3}$ are irreducible.
Proposition 4.4. Let $\boldsymbol{h}=(1, d, 1, \ldots, 1)$ and let $m \geq 3$ be the largest index such that $h_{m}$ is nonzero. Then $H_{h}^{d}$ is irreducible of dimension $(d+2 m-2)(d-1) / 2$. At a generic point, after a change of coordinates, we can take the ideal to be

$$
\left\langle x_{d}^{m+1}, x_{i}^{2}-x_{d}^{m}, x_{j} x_{k} \mid 1 \leq i<d, 1 \leq j<k \leq d\right\rangle
$$

Proof. Fix an ideal $I \in \mathscr{H}_{\boldsymbol{h}}^{\boldsymbol{d}}$, and after a change of coordinates, we can assume

$$
I=\left\langle x_{d}^{m+1}, x_{i} x_{j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq d-1,1 \leq j \leq d\right\rangle
$$

Let $J$ be an ideal in the fiber above $I$. By assumption $J$ contains an element of the form

$$
x_{i} x_{d}-b_{i 3} x_{d}^{3}-\cdots-b_{i m} x_{d}^{m}
$$

for $1 \leq i<d$. Let $J^{\prime}$ be the image of $J$ after the change of coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i} \mapsto x_{i}+b_{i 3} x_{d}^{2}+\cdots b_{i m} x_{d}^{m-1} \tag{4-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and note that $J^{\prime}$ contains $x_{i} x_{d}$ for $1 \leq i<d$ and also lies over $I$. Thus, for each $1 \leq i \leq j<d, J^{\prime}$ contains an element of the form $f=x_{i} x_{j}-a_{i j} x_{d}^{k}-\cdots$ for some $k$. However, $J^{\prime}$ must also contain $x_{j}\left(x_{i} x_{d}\right)-x_{d} f=a_{i j} x_{d}^{k+1}+\cdots$, so in order to have $I$ as the initial ideal, $k$ must equal $m$. Therefore, $J^{\prime}$ is of the form

$$
J^{\prime}=\left\langle x_{d}^{m+1}, x_{i} x_{j}-a_{i j} x_{d}^{m}, x_{k} x_{d} \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq d, 1 \leq k<d\right\rangle
$$

Conversely, for any choice of $a_{i j}$ and $b_{i j}$, applying the change of variables in (4-1) to the ideal $J^{\prime}$ gives a unique ideal $J$ with $I$ as an initial ideal. Thus, the fiber is irreducible of dimension $(m-2)(d-1)+(d-1) d / 2=(d-1)(d+2 m-4) / 2$, which, together with Proposition 3.4 proves the first statement.

For the second statement, note that the coefficients $a_{i j}$ define a symmetric bilinear form. By taking the form to be generic and choosing a change of variables, we get the desired presentation of the quotient algebra.

The above propositions cover all Hilbert functions of length at most 8 except for $\boldsymbol{h}=(1,3,2,1,1)$. In this case the fibers of $\pi_{(1,3,2,1,1)}$ are not equidimensional. The dimension of the fiber depends on whether or not the homogeneous ideal requires a cubic generator.
Lemma 4.5. No ideal in $\mathscr{H}_{(1,3,2,1,1)}^{3}$ requires a quartic generator.
Proof. If $I$ were such an ideal, then leaving out the quartic generator would yield an ideal with Hilbert function (1, 3, 2, 1, 2). No such ideal exists, because no such monomial ideal exists.

Lemma 4.6. There exists a 4-dimensional irreducible closed subset $\not \mathscr{L}$ of $\mathscr{H}=$ $\mathscr{H}_{(1,3,2,1,1)}^{3}$ where the corresponding homogeneous ideal requires a single cubic generator. $O n ~ U=\mathscr{H} \backslash \nsupseteq$, the ideal does not require any cubic generators.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{S}_{j}$ denote the $j$-th graded component of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{H}}[x, y, z]$ and $\mathscr{I}_{j} \subset \mathscr{S}_{j}$ the $j$ th graded component of the universal family of ideals on $\mathscr{H}$. Consider the cokernel 2 of the multiplication map on the coherent sheaves $\mathscr{I}_{2} \otimes_{\text {© }_{H}} \mathscr{S}_{1} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{3}$ on $\mathscr{H}$. The dimension of 2 is upper semicontinuous. Furthermore, since it is not possible to have an algebra with Hilbert function (1, 3, 2, 3), the dimension is at most 1 . The set $\mathscr{L}$ is exactly the support of $\mathscr{2}$.

We claim that $\mathscr{L}$ is parametrized by the data of a complete flag $V_{1} \subset V_{2} \subset S_{1}^{*}$, and a 2-dimensional subspace $Q$ of $V_{2}^{2}$ which contains $V_{1}^{2}$. The dimension of this parametrization is $2+1+1=4$. An ideal is formed by taking the ideal which is orthogonal to $Q$ in degree 2 and to the powers $V_{1}^{3}$ and $V_{1}^{4}$ in degrees 3 and 4 respectively. After a change of variables, we can assume that the flag is orthogonal to $\langle x\rangle \subset\langle x, y\rangle \subset S_{2}$. Then the degree 2 generators of $I$ are $x^{2}, x y, x z$ and another quadric. It is easy to see that these only generate a codimension 2 subspace of $S_{3}$. Conversely, for any ideal with this property, the orthogonal cubic has a 1dimensional space of derivatives. Furthermore, there exists a homogeneous ideal with Hilbert function $(1,3,2,2,1)$ contained in the original ideal. The cubics orthogonal to these have a 2-dimensional space of derivatives, so we can write them in terms of a 2-dimensional space of the dual variables. These two vector spaces determine the flag, and the parametrization is bijective on closed points. In particular, $\mathscr{L}$ is irreducible of dimension 4.

Lemma 4.7. The preimage $Z:=\pi^{-1}(\mathscr{L})$ is irreducible of dimension 11.
Proof. By Lemma 4.6, it suffices to prove that the fibers of $\pi$ over $\mathscr{L}$ are irreducible and 7 -dimensional. Let $I$ be a point in $\mathscr{L}$. As in the proof of Lemma 4.6, we can assume that the ideal corresponding to a point of $\mathscr{L}$ is generated by $x^{2}, x y, x z, q, c$, where $q$ and $c$ are a homogeneous quadric and cubic respectively. A point $J$ in the fiber must be generated by $\mathfrak{m}^{5}$ and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
g_{1}:=x^{2}+a_{1} z^{3}+b_{1} z^{4}, & g_{2}:=x y+a_{2} z^{3}+b_{2} z^{4} \\
g_{3}:=x z+a_{3} z^{3}+b_{3} z^{4}, & g_{4}:=q+a_{4} z^{3}+b_{4} z^{4},
\end{array} \quad g_{5}:=c+b_{5} z^{4} .
$$

The $a_{i}, b_{i}$ are not necessarily free. We must impose additional conditions to ensure the initial ideal for the weight vector $(-1,-1,-1)$ is no larger than $I$. In particular, we must have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z g_{1}-x g_{3}=a_{1} z^{4}+b_{1} z^{5}-a_{3} x z^{3}-b_{3} x z^{4} \in J \\
& z g_{2}-y g_{3}=a_{2} z^{4}+b_{2} z^{5}-a_{3} y z^{3}-b_{3} y z^{4} \in J
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies $a_{1}=a_{2}=0$, because the final three terms of each expression are already in $J$. By Buchberger's criterion, it is also sufficient for these conditions to be satisfied. Therefore the fibers are 7-dimensional.
Lemma 4.8. The preimage $U:=\pi^{-1}(\vartheta)$ is irreducible of dimension 12.
Proof. By Proposition 3.8, it suffices to show that the fibers of $\pi$ over $U$ are irreducible of dimension 6 . Let $I$ be an ideal in $U$. Let $V$ be the 1-dimensional subspace of $S_{1}^{*}$ such that $I_{3}$ is orthogonal to $\operatorname{Sym}_{3}(V)$ and let $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{4}$ be the degree 2 generators of $I$. Choose a basis $x, y, z$ of $S_{1}$ such that $x, y$ is a basis for $V^{\perp}$. Then any $J$ in $\phi^{-1}(I)$ is of the form

$$
\left\langle q_{i}+a_{i} z^{3}+b_{i} z^{4} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 4\right\rangle+\mathfrak{m}^{5} .
$$

We claim that forcing $\operatorname{in}_{(-1,-1,-1)}(J)=I$ imposes two linear conditions on the $a_{i} \mathrm{~s}$. Using the table of isomorphism classes of $(1,3,2)$ algebras in [Poonen 2008], one can check that for any 4-dimensional subspace $\left\langle q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right\rangle$ of $\operatorname{Sym}_{2}(V)$, the intersection of $\left\langle z q_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 4\right\rangle_{3}$ and $\left\langle x q_{j}, y q_{j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq 4\right\rangle_{3}$ is 2-dimensional. After choosing a different basis for $Q$, we may assume $z q_{1}, z q_{2} \in\left\langle x q_{j}, y q_{j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq 4\right\rangle$. By using a similar argument to the one in Lemma 4.7, we see $a_{1}=a_{2}=0$. Since the only other linear syzygies among the $q_{i}^{\prime} s$ have no $z$ coefficients and $x z^{3}, y z^{3}$ and $\mathfrak{m}^{5}$ are in the ideal, these are the only conditions imposed. Therefore, the fiber is 6-dimensional.

Therefore, it suffices to show the following irreducible sets are contained in the smoothable component.

$$
H_{(1, d, 1, \ldots, 1)}^{d}, H_{(1, d, 2)}^{d}, P_{d}, Q_{d}, H_{(1, d, 2,2)}^{d}, H_{(1,3,4)}^{3}, H_{(1,3,3)}^{3}, H_{(1,3,3,1)}^{3}, U, Z
$$

Smoothable algebras. In this section we prove that the irreducible sets

$$
H_{(1, d, 1, \ldots, 1)}^{d}, H_{(1, d, 2)}^{d}, P_{d}, Q_{d}, H_{(1, d, 2,2)}^{d}, H_{(1,3,4)}^{3}
$$

are in the smoothable component by showing that a generic algebra in each is smoothable. We then show that the remaining irreducible sets,

$$
H_{(1,3,3)}^{3}, H_{(1,3,3,1)}^{3}, U, Z
$$

are in the smoothable component by finding a point in each which is smoothable and a smooth point on the Hilbert scheme.
Proposition 4.9. All algebras in $H_{(1, d, 1, \ldots, 1)}^{d}$ are smoothable.
Proof. We prove this by induction on $d$. Note the $d=1$ case is trivial. Let $m$ be the greatest integer such that $h_{m}$ is nonzero. Then, by Proposition 4.4 we can take a generic ideal to be

$$
I=\left\langle x_{1}^{2}-x_{d}^{m}, \ldots, x_{d-1}^{2}-x_{d}^{m}, x_{d}^{m+1}\right\rangle+\left\langle x_{i} x_{j} \mid i \neq j\right\rangle
$$

We define $J$ to be

$$
J=\left\langle x_{1}^{2}+x_{1}-x_{d}^{m}, \ldots, x_{d-1}^{2}-x_{d}^{m}, x_{d}^{m+1}\right\rangle+\left\langle x_{i} x_{j} \mid i \neq j\right\rangle
$$

Note that $J$ admits a decomposition as $J=J_{1} \cap J_{2}$ where $J_{1}=\left\langle x_{1}+1, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots x_{d}\right\rangle$ and

$$
J_{2}=\left\langle x_{1}-x_{d}^{m}, x_{2}^{2}-x_{d}^{m}, \ldots, x_{d-1}^{2}-x_{d}^{m}, x_{d}^{m+1}\right\rangle+\left\langle x_{i} x_{j} \mid i \neq j\right\rangle .
$$

As the Hilbert function of $J_{2}$ equals $(1, d-1,1, \ldots, 1)$, the inductive hypothesis implies that $J_{2}$ is smoothable. Thus $J$ itself is also smoothable. Next note that $I \subset \operatorname{in}_{(m, \ldots, m, 2)}(J)$. Since both $I$ and $J$ have the same colength, we obtain the equality $I=\operatorname{in}_{(m, \ldots, m, 2)}(J)$. The corresponding Gröbner degeneration induces a map $\mathbb{A}^{1} \rightarrow R_{n}^{d}$ which sends 0 to $I$. Thus $I$ is smoothable.
Proposition 4.10. All algebras in $H_{(1, d, 2)}^{d}$ are smoothable.
Proof. The proof is by induction on $d$. The case $d=2$ follows from Theorem 2.4 of [Fogarty 1968].

Assume $d$ is at least 3. Note that $I_{2}^{\perp}$ defines a pencils of quadrics in $d$-variables. It then follows from [Harris 1992, Lemma 22.42] that, up to isomorphism, a generic ideal in $H_{(1, d, 2)}^{d}$ is of the form

$$
I=\left\langle x_{i} x_{j} \mid i \neq j\right\rangle+\left\langle x_{i}^{2}-a_{i} x_{d-1}^{2}-b_{i} x_{d}^{2} \mid 1 \leq i \leq d-2\right\rangle,
$$

with $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ elements of $k$.
Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{1} & =\left\langle x_{i} x_{j} \mid i \neq j\right\rangle+\left\langle x_{1}+a_{1} x_{n-1}^{2}+b_{1} x_{d}^{2}, x_{i}^{2}-a_{i} x_{d-1}^{2}-b_{i} x_{d}^{2} \mid 2 \leq i \leq d-2\right\rangle \\
J_{2} & =\left\langle x_{1}-1, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $J_{1}$ has Hilbert function $(1, d-1,2)$, it is thus smoothable by the induction hypothesis. One can check that $I=\operatorname{in}_{(1, \ldots, 1)}\left(J_{1} \cap J_{2}\right)$. Therefore $I$ is smoothable.

Proposition 4.11. All algebras in $P_{d}$ are smoothable.
Proof. Let $I$ be a generic ideal in $P_{d}$. After a change of variables we may assume

$$
I=\left\langle x_{i} x_{j}, x_{\ell}^{2}+x_{1}^{3}, x_{1}^{3}-x_{2}^{3} \mid 1 \leq i<j \leq d, 2<\ell \leq d\right\rangle
$$

One can check

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=\operatorname{in}_{(2,2,3, \ldots, 3)}\left(\left\langle x_{1}+1, x_{j} \mid j>1\right\rangle \cap\right. \\
& \left.\quad\left\langle x_{i} x_{j}, x_{\ell}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}, x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{3} \mid 1 \leq i<j \leq d, 2<\ell \leq d\right\rangle\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The second ideal in the intersection has Hilbert function $(1, d, 1,1)$, hence is smoothable by Proposition 4.9. It follows that $I$ is smoothable.

Proposition 4.12. All algebras in $Q_{d}$ are smoothable.
Proof. Let $I$ be a generic ideal in $Q_{d}$. After a change of variables, we may assume

$$
I=\left\langle x_{1} x_{\ell}, x_{i} x_{j}+b_{(i, j)} x_{1}^{3}, x_{k}^{2}-x_{k+1}^{2}+b_{k} x_{1}^{3} \mid \ell \neq 1,1<i<j \leq d, 1<k<d\right\rangle
$$

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{1}=\left\langle x_{1} x_{\ell}, x_{i} x_{j}+b_{(i, j)} x_{1}^{2}, x_{k}^{2}-x_{k+1}^{2}+b_{k} x_{1}^{2} \mid \ell \neq 1,1<i<j \leq d, 1<k<d\right\rangle, \\
& J_{2}=\left\langle x_{1}+1, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $J_{1}$ has Hilbert function $(1, d, 2)$ so is smoothable by Proposition 4.10. One can check that $I=\operatorname{in}_{(2,3, \ldots, 3)}\left(J_{1} \cap J_{2}\right)$, and thus $I$ is smoothable.
Proposition 4.13. All algebras in $H_{(1, d, 2,2)}^{d}$ are smoothable.
Proof. Let $I$ be a generic ideal with Hilbert function (1, $d, 2,2$ ). After a change of variable, we may assume $(\pi(I))_{2}^{\perp}=\left\langle y_{1}^{2}, y_{2}^{2}\right\rangle$. Thus $I$ must be of the form

$$
\left\langle x_{\ell}^{2}-a_{\ell \ell} x_{1}^{3}-b_{\ell \ell} x_{2}^{3}, x_{i} x_{j}-a_{i j} x_{1}^{3}-b_{i j} x_{2}^{3} \mid i<j, 2<\ell\right\rangle+\mathfrak{m}^{4}
$$

Note $I$ determines a symmetric bilinear map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi:\left(\mathfrak{m}: \mathfrak{m}^{3}\right) / \mathfrak{m}^{2} \times\left(\mathfrak{m}: \mathfrak{m}^{3}\right) / \mathfrak{m}^{2} & \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}^{3} \cong k^{2} \\
\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) & \mapsto a_{i j} x_{1}^{3}+b_{i j} x_{2}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

By composing $\phi$ with projections onto the two coordinates, we get a pair of symmetric bilinear forms. For a generic $\phi$, these are linearly independent and their span is invariant under a change of basis on $\mathfrak{m}^{3}$. By [Harris 1992, Lemma 22.42], there exists a basis for $\left(\mathfrak{m}: \mathfrak{m}^{3}\right) / \mathfrak{m}^{2}$ and $\mathfrak{m}^{3}$ such that these bilinear forms are represented by diagonal matrices. Thus $I$ has the following form

$$
\left\langle x_{\ell}^{2}-a_{\ell} x_{1}^{3}-b_{\ell} x_{2}^{3}, x_{i} x_{j}-a_{i j} x_{1}^{3}-b_{i j} x_{2}^{3} \mid i<j, 2<\ell\right\rangle+\mathfrak{m}^{4}
$$

where $a_{i j}=b_{i j}=0$ if $i$ and $j$ are both greater than 2 and $a_{\ell}, b_{\ell}$ are nonzero for all $\ell>2$. After suitable changes of variable, we may assume $b_{i j}=a_{i j}=0$ for all $i, j$. This gives the ideal

$$
I=\left\langle x_{\ell}^{2}-a_{\ell} x_{1}^{3}-b_{\ell} x_{2}^{3}, x_{i} x_{j}, x_{1}^{4}, x_{2}^{4} \mid i<j, 2<\ell\right\rangle
$$

Now consider the following ideals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{1}:=\left\langle x_{\ell}^{2}-a_{\ell} x_{1}^{2}-b_{\ell} x_{2}^{3}, x_{i} x_{j}, x_{1}^{3}, x_{2}^{4} \mid i<j, 2<\ell\right\rangle, \\
& J_{2}:=\left\langle x_{1}+1, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note $J_{1}$ is a $(1, d, 2,1)$ ideal and in fact lies in the component $Q_{d}$, and therefore is smoothable by Proposition 4.12. One can check that $I=\operatorname{in}_{(2,2,3, \ldots, 3)}\left(J_{1} \cap J_{2}\right)$, and therefore $I$ is smoothable.

Proposition 4.14. All algebras in $H_{(1,3,4)}^{3}$ are smoothable.
Proof. Such algebras are given by a 2-dimensional subspace of the space of quadratic forms, with isomorphisms given by the action of $\mathrm{GL}_{3}$. Arguing as in Proposition 4.10, we conclude that, up to isomorphism, a generic 2-dimensional space of quadrics is spanned by $x^{2}+z^{2}$ and $y^{2}+z^{2}$. Adding the necessary cubic generators, we conclude that $I=\left\langle y^{2}+z^{2}, x^{2}+z^{2}, z^{3}, y z^{2}, x z^{2}, x y z\right\rangle$ is a generic point of $H_{(1,3,4)}^{3}$.

Consider

$$
J=\left\langle y^{2}+z^{2}, x+x^{2}+z^{2}, z^{3}, y z^{2}, x z^{2}, x y z\right\rangle
$$

Note that $J$ is the intersection of an ideal of colength 3 and an ideal of colength 5:

$$
J=\left\langle x+1, y^{2}, y z, z^{2}\right\rangle \cap\left\langle x+z^{2}, y^{2}+z^{2}, z^{3}, y z^{2}\right\rangle
$$

Since both ideals in the above intersection are smoothable, $J$ itself is smoothable. One can check that $I=\operatorname{in}_{(1,1,1)}(J)$. Therefore $I$ is smoothable.

To prove that the remaining families are smoothable, we show that they contain a smooth point which is also smoothable. For this, we use the following result, which is well known (see for example [Miller and Sturmfels 2005, Lemma 18.10] in characteristic 0 ), but we give the proof in arbitrary characteristic for the reader's convenience:

Proposition 4.15. All monomial ideals are smoothable.
Proof. Suppose we have a monomial ideal of colength $n$, written in multiindex notation $I=\left\langle\boldsymbol{x}^{\alpha^{(1)}}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}^{\alpha^{(m)}}\right\rangle$. Since $k$ is algebraically closed, we can pick an arbitrarily long sequence $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots$ consisting of distinct elements in $k$. Define

$$
f_{i}=\prod_{j=1}^{d}\left(\left(x_{j}-a_{1}\right)\left(x_{j}-a_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{j}-a_{\alpha_{j}^{(i)}}\right)\right)
$$

Note that $\operatorname{in}\left(f_{i}\right)=\boldsymbol{x}^{\alpha^{(i)}}$ with respect to any global term order. Let $J$ be the ideal generated by the $f_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and then $\operatorname{in}(J) \supset I$ and so $J$ has colength at most $n$. However, for any standard monomial $\boldsymbol{x}^{\beta}$ in $I$, we have a distinct point $\left(a_{\beta_{1}}, \ldots, a_{\beta_{d}}\right)$ in $\mathbb{A}^{d}$, and each $f_{i}$ vanishes at this point. Therefore, $J$ must be the radical ideal vanishing at exactly these points and have initial ideal $I$. Thus, $I$ is smoothable.

Furthermore, the tangent space of an ideal $I$ in the Hilbert scheme is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Hom}_{S}(I, S / I)$. We use this fact to compute the dimension of the tangent space of a point $I$.

Proposition 4.16. All algebras in $H_{(1,3,3)}^{3}$ are smoothable.

Proof. This irreducible set includes the smoothable monomial ideal $I$ generated by $x^{2}, y^{2}, z^{2}, x y z$. A direct computation shows $I$ has a 21-dimensional tangent space, so $I$ is a smooth point in $H_{7}^{3}$. Thus, any algebra in $H_{(1,3,3)}^{3}$ is smoothable.

Proposition 4.17. All algebras in $H_{(1,3,3,1)}^{3}$ are smoothable.
Proof. The ideal $I=\left\langle x^{2}, y^{2}, z^{2}\right\rangle$ in this locus is smoothable by Proposition 4.15, and one can check that the Hilbert scheme is smooth at this point as well. Therefore $H_{(1,3,3,1)}^{3}$ is contained in the smoothable component of the Hilbert scheme.

Proposition 4.18. All algebras in $Z$ are smoothable.
Proof. Consider $I=\left\langle x^{2}, x y, x z, y z, z^{3}-y^{4}\right\rangle \in Z$ and note that

$$
I=\operatorname{in}_{(1,0,0)}\left(\langle x+1, y, z\rangle \cap\left\langle x, y z, z^{3}-y^{4}\right\rangle\right)
$$

The second ideal is smoothable [Fogarty 1968, Theorem 2.4], so $I$ is smoothable. One can also check $I$ is smooth in the Hilbert scheme by computing the dimension of $\operatorname{Hom}_{S}(I, S / I)$. Therefore $Z$ is contained in the smoothable component of the Hilbert scheme.

Proposition 4.19. All algebras in $U \subset H_{(1,3,2,1,1)}^{3}$ are smoothable.
Proof. Consider the ideal $I=\left\langle x^{2}, x y-z^{4}, y^{2}-x z, y z\right\rangle \in U$. One can check that

$$
I=\operatorname{in}_{(7,5,3)}\left(\langle x, y, z-1\rangle \cap\left\langle x^{2}, x y-z^{3}, y^{2}-x z, y z\right\rangle\right)
$$

The second ideal in the intersection is in $Q_{3}$ and therefore smoothable by Proposition 4.12. Therefore $I$ is smoothable by the same argument in the proof of Proposition 4.14. One can also check $I$ has a 24 -dimensional tangent space in the Hilbert scheme and is thus smooth. Therefore $U$ is contained in the smoothable component.

Theorem 4.20. With the exception of local algebras with Hilbert function $(1,4,3)$, every algebra with $n \leq 8$ is smoothable.

Proof. The possible Hilbert functions are exactly the Hilbert functions of monomial ideals, and for $d$ at least 3, one can check that there are no possibilities other those listed in Table 4.1. For $d$ at most 2, smoothability follows from Theorem 2.4 of [Fogarty 1968]

In particular, this implies that there are no components other than the ones listed in Theorem 1.1.

| degree | Hilbert function $\boldsymbol{h}$ | $\mathscr{H}_{h}^{d}$ c.d. | reference | $H_{h}^{d}$ c.d. | smoothability reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 1,3 | 0 | Prop. 3.3 | 0 | Prop. 4.15 |
| 5 | 1, 3, 1 | 5 | Prop. 3.3 | 5 | Prop. 4.9 |
|  | 1,4 | 0 | Prop. 3.3 | 0 | Prop. 4.15 |
| 6 | 1, 3, 1, 1 | 2 | Prop. 3.4 | 7 | Prop. 4.10 |
|  | 1,4,1 | 9 | Prop. 3.3 | 9 | Prop. 4.9 |
|  | 1,5 | 0 | Prop. 3.3 | 0 | Prop. 4.15 |
| 7 | 1, 3, 1, 1, 1 | 2 | Prop. 3.4 | 9 | Prop. 4.9 |
|  | 1,3,2,1 | 5,6 | Thm. 3.5 | 9, 10 | Prop. 4.12, 4.11 |
|  | 1,3,3 | 9 | Prop. 3.3 | 9 | Prop. 4.16 |
|  | 1,4, 1, 1 | 3 | Prop. 3.4 | 12 | Prop. 4.9 |
|  | 1,4,2 | 16 | Prop. 3.3 | 16 | Prop. 4.10 |
|  | 1, 5, 1 | 14 | Prop. 3.3 | 14 | Prop. 4.9 |
|  | 1,6 | 0 | Prop. 3.3 | 0 | Prop. 4.15 |
| 8 | 1,3, 1, 1, 1, 1 | 2 | Prop. 3.4 | 11 | Prop. 4.9 |
|  | 1,3, 2, 1, 1 | 6 | Prop. 3.8 | 11(?), 12 | Prop. 4.19, 4.18 |
|  | 1, 3, 2, 2 | 4 | Prop. 3.6 | 12 | Prop. 4.13 |
|  | 1, 3, 3, 1 | 9 | Prop. 3.7 | 12 | Prop. 4.17 |
|  | 1,3, 4 | 8 | Prop. 3.3 | 8 | Prop. 4.14 |
|  | 1,4, 1, 1, 1 | 3 | Prop. 3.4 | 15 | Prop. 4.9 |
|  | 1, 4, 2, 1 | 7,11 | Thm. 3.5 | 15, 19 | Prop. 4.12, 4.11 |
|  | 1, 4, 3 | 21 | Prop. 3.3 | 21 |  |
|  | 1, 5, 2 | 26 | Prop. 3.3 | 26 | Prop. 4.10 |
|  | 1, 5, 1, 1 | 4 | Prop. 3.4 | 18 | Prop. 4.9 |
|  | 1, 6, 1 | 20 | Prop. 3.3 | 20 | Prop. 4.9 |
|  | 1,7 | 0 | Prop. 3.3 | 0 | Prop. 4.15 |

Table 4.1. Summary of the decomposition of Hilbert schemes by Hilbert function of the local algebra with $h_{1} \geq 3$. Here c.d. stands for "component dimensions". The component dimensions of $H_{h}^{d}$ are computed using Propositions 4.3 and 4.4. In the case of $\boldsymbol{h}=$ $(1,3,2,1,1)$, Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8 show that $H_{h}^{d}$ is the union of two irreducible sets, but we don't know whether the smaller set is contained in the closure of the larger one.

## 5. Characterization of smoothable points of $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{8}}^{\mathbf{4}}$

In this section $k$ will denote a field of characteristic not 2 or 3 , except for Section 5 D where $k=\mathbb{C}$.

We show that besides the smoothable component, the Hilbert scheme $H_{8}^{4}$ contains a second component parametrizing the local algebras with $\boldsymbol{h}=(1,4,3)$. We
prove that the intersection of the two components can be described as in Theorem 1.3, and as a result we determine exactly which algebras with Hilbert function $(1,4,3)$ are smoothable. We will use $G_{0}$ to denote the standard graded Hilbert scheme $\mathscr{H}_{(1,4,3)}^{4} \cong \operatorname{Gr}\left(7, S_{2}\right)$, which we will think of as a closed subscheme of $H_{8}^{4}$.

In Section 5B we introduce and investigate the Pfaffian which appears in Theorem 1.3, and we prove the crucial fact that it is the unique $\mathrm{GL}_{4}$-invariant of minimal degree. In Section 5C, we give a first approximation of the intersection locus. We then use the uniqueness results from Section 5B to prove Theorem 1.3. We begin by proving reducibility,

Proposition 5.1. For $d$ at least 4 , the Hilbert scheme $H_{8}^{d}$ is reducible.
Proof. It is sufficient to find a single ideal whose tangent space dimension is less than $8 d=\operatorname{dim} R_{8}^{d}$. Consider the ideal

$$
J=\left\langle x_{1}^{2}, x_{1} x_{2}, x_{2}^{2}, x_{3}^{2}, x_{3} x_{4}, x_{4}^{2}, x_{1} x_{4}+x_{2} x_{3}\right\rangle+\left\langle x_{i} \mid 4<i \leq d\right\rangle
$$

The tangent space of $J$ in $H_{8}^{d}$ can be computed as $\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{Hom}_{S}(J, S / J)$. A direct computation shows that an arbitrary element of $\operatorname{Hom}_{S}(J, S / J)$ can be represented as a matrix

\[

\]

where $i$ again ranges over $4<i \leq d$, the $a_{i}$ are any elements in $k$, and each $*$ represents an independent choice of an element of $k$. Thus, $\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{Hom}(J, S / J)=$ $4+21+8(d-4)=8 d-7$. The computation holds in all characteristics. Since $8 d-7<8 d=\operatorname{dim}\left(R_{8}^{d}\right)$, we conclude that $J$ is not smoothable and that $H_{8}^{d}$ is reducible.

Remark 5.2. This proposition holds with the same proof even when char $k=2,3$.
5A. The irreducible components of $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{8}}^{\mathbf{4}}$. Consider $H_{8}^{4}$ with its universal ideal sheaf $\mathscr{I}$ and let $\mathscr{A}=\mathcal{O}_{H_{8}^{4}}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right] / \mathscr{I}$. On each open affine $U=\operatorname{Spec} B$ such that $\left.\mathscr{A}\right|_{U}$ is free, define $f_{i} \in B$ to be $\frac{1}{8} \operatorname{tr}\left(X_{i}\right)$ where $X_{i}$ is the operator on the free $B$-module $\mathscr{A}(U)$ defined by multiplication by $x_{i}$. We think of the $f_{i}$ as being the "center of mass" functions for the subscheme of $\mathbb{A}_{B}^{4}$ defined by $\mathscr{I}_{U}$. Note that the
definitions of $f_{i}$ commute with localization and thus they lift to define elements $f_{i} \in \Gamma\left(H_{8}^{4}, O_{H_{8}^{4}}\right)$, which determine a morphism $f: H_{8}^{4} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{4}$.

Considered as an additive group, $\mathbb{A}^{4}$ acts on $H_{8}^{4}$ by translation. We define the "recentering" map $r$ to be the composition

$$
r: \mathrm{H}_{8}^{4} \xrightarrow{-f \times \mathrm{id}} \mathbb{A}^{4} \times \mathrm{H}_{8}^{4} \longrightarrow H_{8}^{4} .
$$

By forgetting about the grading of ideals, we have a closed immersion $l$ of $G_{0} \cong \mathscr{H}_{(1,4,3)}^{4}$ into $H_{8}^{4}$ [Haiman and Sturmfels 2004, Proposition 1.5]. We define $G$ to be the preimage of this closed subscheme via the "recentering" map, that is, the fiber product


We define intersections $W:=G \cap R_{8}^{4}$ and $W_{0}:=G_{0} \cap R_{8}^{4}$. We will focus on $W_{0}$, and the following lemma shows that this is sufficient for describing $W$.

Lemma 5.3. We have isomorphisms $G \cong G_{0} \times \mathbb{A}^{4}$ and $W \cong W_{0} \times \mathbb{A}^{4}$.
Proof. We have a map $G \rightarrow G_{0}$, and a map $f: H_{8}^{4} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{4}$. We claim that the induced map $\phi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{4} \times G_{0}$ is an isomorphism.

Define $\psi: \mathbb{A}^{4} \times G_{0} \rightarrow H_{8}^{4}$ to be the closed immersion $\imath$ followed by translation. We work with an open affine $U \cong \operatorname{Spec} A \subset G_{0}$ such that the restriction $\left.l\right|_{U}$ corresponds to an ideal $I \subset A\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right]$ whose cokernel is a graded $A$-module with free components of ranks $(1,4,3)$. The map $\left.\psi\right|_{\mathbb{A}^{4} \times U}$ corresponds to the ideal $I^{\prime} \subset A\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{4}\right]\left[x_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{4}^{\prime}\right]$ where $I^{\prime}$ is the image of $I$ under the homomorphism of $A$-algebras that sends $x_{i}$ to $x_{i}^{\prime}+t_{i}$. Then $A\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{4}\right]\left[x_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{4}^{\prime}\right] / I^{\prime} \cong$ $\left(A\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right] / I\right)\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{4}\right]$ is a graded $A\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{4}\right]$-algebra with $x_{i}^{\prime}-t_{i}=x_{i}$ homogeneous of degree 1 . The key point is that as operators on a free $A\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{4}\right]$ module, the $x_{i}$ have trace zero, so the trace of the $x_{i}^{\prime}$ is $8 t_{i}$. Thus, $r \circ \psi: \mathbb{A}^{4} \times G_{0} \rightarrow$ $H_{8}^{4}$ corresponds to an ideal $I^{\prime \prime} \subset A\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{4}\right]\left[x_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, x_{4}^{\prime \prime}\right]$ which is the image of $I^{\prime}$ under the homomorphism that takes $x_{i}^{\prime}$ to $x_{i}^{\prime \prime}-t_{i}$. This is of course the extension of $I \subset A\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right]$ to $A\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{4}\right]\left[x_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, x_{4}^{\prime \prime}\right]$ with $x_{i}^{\prime \prime}=x_{i}$, and so $I^{\prime \prime}$ has the required properties such that $r \circ \psi$ factors through the closed immersion $l$. Thus, $\psi$ maps to $G$. Furthermore, we see that $r \circ \psi$ is the projection onto the first coordinate of $\mathbb{A}^{4} \times G_{0}$ and $f \circ \psi$ is projection onto the second coordinate. Thus, $\phi \circ \psi$ is the identity.

Second, we check that the composition $\psi \circ \phi$ is the identity on $G$. This is clear because $\psi \circ \phi$ amounts to translation by $-f$ followed by translation by $f$.

The isomorphism for $W$ follows by the same argument.

Lemma 5.4. $W$ and $W_{0}$ are prime divisors in $G$ and $G_{0}$ respectively.
Proof. The point $I=\left\langle x_{1}^{2}, x_{1} x_{2}, x_{2}^{2}, x_{3}^{2}, x_{3} x_{4}, x_{4}^{2}, x_{1} x_{4}\right\rangle$ belongs to $R_{8}^{4}$ and to $G$ and has a 33 -dimensional tangent space in any characteristic. As a result, an open set $U$ around $I$ in the Hilbert scheme is a closed subscheme of a smooth 33-dimensional variety $Y$. By the subadditivity of codimension of intersections, as in [Harris 1992, Theorem 17.24], it follows that every component of $W$ through $I$ has codimension 1 in $G$.

To show integrality, fix a monomial ideal $M_{\lambda} \in G_{0}$, and let $U_{\lambda} \subset H_{8}^{4}$ be the corresponding open set, as in our discussion of coordinates for the Hilbert scheme of points (page 766). For any $I \in U_{\lambda}$ the initial ideal $\operatorname{in}_{(1,1,1,1)}(I) \in G_{0} \cap U_{\lambda}$ and is generated by the $(1,1,1,1)$-leading forms of the given generating set of $I$ and all cubics. Thus we may define a projection morphism $\pi: U_{\lambda} \rightarrow G_{0} \cap U_{\lambda}$ which corresponds to taking the $(1,1,1,1)$-initial ideal. Since $R_{8}^{4}$ is integral, so is the image $\pi\left(R_{8}^{4} \cap U_{\lambda}\right)=W_{0} \cap U_{\lambda}$. Thus $W_{0}$ and $W_{0} \times \mathbb{A}^{4} \cong W$ are integral.

5B. A GL4-invariant of a system of three quadrics. In this section we study the Pfaffian which appears in the statement of Theorem 1.3. Recall that any $I \in G_{0}$ defines a 3-dimensional subspace $I_{2}^{\perp} \subset S_{2}^{*}$.

Let $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, Q_{3}$ be a basis of quadrics for $I_{2}^{\perp}$, let $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$ be the symmetric $4 \times 4$ matrices which represent the $Q_{i}$ via $\vec{y}^{t} A_{i} \vec{y}=Q_{i}$ where $\vec{y}$ is the vector of formal variables $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right)$.

Definition 5.5. The Salmon-Turnbull Pfaffian is the Pfaffian (that is, the square root of the determinant) of the skew-symmetric $12 \times 12$ matrix

$$
M_{I}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & A_{1} & -A_{2} \\
-A_{1} & 0 & A_{3} \\
A_{2} & -A_{3} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Lemma 5.6. The Salmon-Turnbull Pfaffian of $M_{I}$ coincides up to scaling with the Pfaffian of the skew-symmetric bilinear form $\langle,\rangle_{I}:\left(S_{1} \otimes S_{2} / I_{2}\right)^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \bigwedge^{3} S_{2} / I_{2} \cong k$ defined by

$$
\left\langle l_{1} \otimes q_{1}, l_{2} \otimes q_{2}\right\rangle_{I}=\left(l_{1} l_{2}\right) \wedge q_{1} \wedge q_{2}
$$

In particular, the vanishing of the Salmon-Turnbull Pfaffian is independent of the choice of basis of $I_{2}^{\perp}$ and invariant under the $\mathrm{GL}_{4}$ action induced by linear change of coordinates on $S$.
Proof. Let $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$ be any basis of $S_{2} / I_{2}$ and let $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}$ be a basis for $S_{1}$. Let $A_{i}$ be the matrix representation with respect to this basis of the symmetric bilinear form obtained by composing multiplication $S_{1} \otimes S_{1} \rightarrow S_{2} / I_{2}$ with projection onto $m_{i}$. Note that if $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$ form a basis dual to $\frac{1}{2} Q_{1}, \frac{1}{2} Q_{2}, \frac{1}{2} Q_{3}$ then this definition of $A_{i}$ agrees with the definition of $A_{i}$ above. Thus, $x_{j} x_{j^{\prime}}=$
$\sum_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)_{j j^{\prime}} m_{i}$ where $\left(A_{i}\right)_{j j^{\prime}}$ is the $\left(j, j^{\prime}\right)$ entry of $A_{i}$. Then we will use the basis $x_{1} \otimes m_{3}, x_{2} \otimes m_{3}, \ldots, x_{4} \otimes m_{1}$ for $S_{1} \otimes S_{2} / I_{2}$. We compute the matrix representation of $\langle,\rangle_{I}$ in this basis:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle x_{j} \otimes m_{i}, x_{j^{\prime}} \otimes m_{i^{\prime}}\right\rangle_{I} & =\left(x_{j} x_{j^{\prime}}\right) \wedge m_{i} \wedge m_{i^{\prime}} \\
& =\left(\sum_{1 \leq \ell \leq 3}\left(A_{\ell}\right)_{j j^{\prime}} m_{\ell}\right) \wedge m_{i} \wedge m_{i}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $i=i^{\prime}$, this quantity will be zero. Otherwise, let $i^{\prime \prime}$ be the index which is not $i$ or $i^{\prime}$ and then we get

$$
=\left(A_{i^{\prime \prime}}\right)_{j j^{\prime}} m_{i^{\prime \prime}} \wedge m_{i} \wedge m_{i^{\prime}}= \pm\left(A_{i^{\prime \prime}}\right)_{j j^{\prime}} m_{1} \wedge m_{2} \wedge m_{3}
$$

where $\pm$ is the sign of the permutation which sends $1,2,3$ to $i^{\prime \prime}, i, i^{\prime}$ respectively. Thus, with $m_{1} \wedge m_{2} \wedge m_{3}$ as the basis for $\wedge^{3} S_{2} / I_{2},\langle,\rangle_{I}$ is represented as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & A_{1} & -A_{2} \\
-A_{1} & 0 & A_{3} \\
A_{2} & -A_{3} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since the vanishing of the Salmon-Turnbull Pfaffian depends only on the vector subspace $I_{2}^{\perp} \subset S_{2}^{*}$, it defines a function $P$ on $G_{0}$ which is homogeneous of degree 2 in the Plücker coordinates. We next show that the Salmon-Turnbull Pfaffian is irreducible and that, over the complex numbers, it is uniquely determined by its degree and $\mathrm{GL}_{4}$-invariance.

Lemma 5.7. There are no polynomials of degree 1 in the Plücker coordinates of $G_{0}$ whose vanishing locus is invariant under the action of the algebraic group $\mathrm{GL}_{4}$. Therefore, the Salmon-Turnbull Pfaffian is irreducible.

Proof. We may prove this lemma by passing to the algebraic closure, and we thus assume that $k$ is algebraically closed. Let $W=\bigwedge^{3} S_{2}^{*}$ and consider the Plücker embedding of $\operatorname{Gr}\left(3, S_{2}^{*}\right)$ in $\mathbb{P}(W)=\operatorname{Proj}(R)$ where $R$ is the polynomial ring $k\left[p_{i j \ell}\right]$ where $\{i, j, \ell\}$ runs over all unordered triplets of monomials in $S_{2}^{*}$. The Plücker coordinate ring $A$ is the quotient of $R$ by a homogeneous ideal $J$. In each degree $e$, we obtain a split exact sequence of $\mathrm{GL}_{4}$-representations:

$$
0 \rightarrow J_{e} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}_{e}(W) \rightarrow A_{e} \rightarrow 0
$$

Since $J_{1}=0$ we have $\operatorname{Sym}_{1}(W)=A_{1}$, and it suffices to show that this has no 1 -dimensional subrepresentations. Given a monomial $i \in S_{2}^{*}$ let $\alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{N}^{4}$ be its multiindex. For $\theta=\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{4}\right)$, let $L$ be the diagonal matrix with $L_{m m}=\theta_{m}$. The action of $L$ on the Plücker coordinate $p_{i j \ell}$ is to scale it by $\theta^{\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}+\alpha_{\ell}}$.

Suppose that there exists an invariant polynomial $F=\sum c_{i j \ell} p_{i j \ell}$ in $\operatorname{Sym}_{1}(W)$. Then $L \cdot F=\lambda F$ for some $\lambda \in k^{\times}$. But since $L \cdot F=\sum c_{i j \ell} \theta^{\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}+\alpha_{\ell}} p_{i j \ell}$ it follows that whenever $c_{i j \ell}$ and $c_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime} \ell^{\prime}}$ are both nonzero, then $\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}+\alpha_{\ell}=\alpha_{i^{\prime}}+$ $\alpha_{j^{\prime}}+\alpha_{\ell^{\prime}}$. However there are no multiindices of total degree 6 which are also symmetric in $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}, \theta_{4}$. Thus each $c_{i j \ell}=0$ and there are no nontrivial $\mathrm{GL}_{4}-$ invariant polynomials of degree 1. In particular no product of linear polynomials is $\mathrm{GL}_{4}$-invariant, and thus the Salmon-Turnbull Pfaffian is irreducible.

Lemma 5.8. If $k=\mathbb{C}$, then there is a polynomial of degree 2 in the Plücker coordinates, unique up to scaling, whose vanishing locus is $\mathrm{GL}_{4}$-invariant. This is the Salmon-Turnbull Pfaffian.

Proof. We take the same notation as in the proof of Lemma 5.7 and recall that we have a split exact sequence of $\mathrm{GL}_{4}(\mathbb{C})$-representations:

$$
0 \rightarrow J_{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}_{2}(W) \rightarrow A_{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

We determine the irreducible subrepresentations of $\operatorname{Sym}_{2}(W)$ by computing the following Schur function decomposition of its character $\chi$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi=s_{(8,2,2)}+s_{(7,4,1)}+2 s_{(7,3,1,1)}+s_{(7,2,1,1)}+s_{(6,6)}+3 s_{(6,4,2)}+s_{(6,4,1,1)} \\
&+2 s_{(6,4,1,1)}+2 s_{(6,3,2,1)}+s_{(6,2,2,2)}+2 s_{(5,5,1,1)}+s_{(5,4,3)}+s_{(5,4,2,1)}+s_{(5,3,3,1)} \\
&+s_{(4,4,4)}+s_{(4,4,3,1)}+2 s_{(4,4,2,2)}+s_{(3,3,3,3)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We conclude that $\operatorname{Sym}_{2}(W)$ contains a unique 1-dimensional subrepresentation with character $s_{(3,3,3,3)}$. It follows from this and Lemma 5.6 that, over $\mathbb{C}$, the Salmon-Turnbull Pfaffian is the only $\mathrm{GL}_{4}$-invariant of degree 2 in the Plücker coordinates.

Remark 5.9. Salmon gives a geometric description of the Salmon-Turnbull Pfaffian [Salmon 1874, pp. 242-244], where he shows that the Pfaffian vanishes whenever there exists a cubic form $C$ and three linear differential operators $d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}$ such that $d_{i} C=Q_{i}$. Turnbull also describes this invariant in his study of ternary quadrics [Turnbull 1922].

5C. A first approximation to the intersection locus. Any point $I$ in $W_{0}$ is a singular point in the Hilbert scheme. In Lemma 5.11, we construct an equation that cuts out the singular locus over an open set of $G_{0}$. The local equation defines a nonreduced divisor whose support contains $W_{0}$. The following subsets of $G_{0}$ will be used in this section:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{0}^{\prime} & :=\left\{I \in G_{0} \mid \text { the ideal } I \text { is generated in degree } 2\right\} \\
Z_{1} & :=G_{0} \backslash G_{0}^{\prime} \\
Z_{2} & :=\left\{I \in G_{0} \mid \operatorname{Hom}(I, S / I)_{-2} \neq 0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $G_{0}^{\prime}$ is open in $G_{0}$ and that every ideal in $G_{0}^{\prime}$ is generated by seven quadrics. The set $Z_{2}$ will be used in Lemma 5.16. If $I$ is any ideal in $G_{0}$, the tangent space $\operatorname{Hom}_{S}(I, S / I)$ is graded. The following lemma shows that if we want to determine whether $I$ is a singular point in the Hilbert scheme, then it suffices to compute only the degree -1 component of the tangent space.

Lemma 5.10. For any $I \in G_{0}^{\prime}$ we have $\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{Hom}_{S}(I, S / I)_{-1} \geq 4$, and $I$ is singular in $H_{8}^{4}$ if and only if $\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{Hom}_{S}(I, S / I)_{-1} \geq 5$.

Proof. Since $S / I$ is concentrated in degrees 0,1 and 2 , and $I \in G_{0}^{\prime}$ is minimally generated only in degree 2 , we have that $\operatorname{Hom}_{S}(I, S / I)$ is concentrated in degrees $0,-1,-2$. Furthermore, since $I \in G_{0}^{\prime}$ we have that $\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{Hom}_{S}(I, S / I)_{0}=21$, because any $k$-linear map $I_{2} \rightarrow(S / I)_{2}$ will be $S$-linear. Next, note that the morphisms $t_{i}: I_{2} \rightarrow(S / I)_{1}$ mapping $q_{j}$ to the class of $\partial q_{j} / \partial x_{i}$ are $S$-linear morphisms, and thus we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{S}(I, S / I)_{-1}$ is at least 4-dimensional.

Since the dimension of $G_{0}^{\prime}$ is $25, I$ must be singular if $\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{Hom}_{S}(I, S / I)_{-1}>4$. Conversely, assume for contradiction that there exists an $I$ such that $I$ is singular and dimension of $\operatorname{Hom}_{S}(I, S / I)_{-1}$ is exactly 4. Since $I$ is singular, we have that $\operatorname{Hom}_{S}(I, S / I)_{-2}$ is nontrivial. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{S}(I, S / I)_{-2}$ be a nonzero map. By changing the generators of $I$ we may assume that $\phi\left(q_{i}\right)=0$ for $i=1, \ldots, 6$ and $\phi\left(q_{7}\right)=1$. Now the vector space $\left\langle x_{1} \phi, x_{2} \phi, x_{3} \phi, x_{4} \phi\right\rangle$ is a 4-dimensional subspace of $\operatorname{Hom}(I, S / I)_{-1}$. Since we have assumed that $\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{Hom}(I, S / I)_{-1}=4$ it must be the case that the space $\left\langle x_{1} \phi, x_{2} \phi, x_{3} \phi, x_{4} \phi\right\rangle$ equals the space $\left\langle t_{1}, \ldots, t_{4}\right\rangle$. However, this would imply that all partial derivatives of $q_{1}$ are zero, which is impossible.

Now we will investigate those ideals which have extra tangent vectors in degree -1 . If $\phi: I_{2} \rightarrow(S / I)_{1}$ is a $k$-linear map then $\phi$ will be $S$-linear if and only if $\phi$ satisfies the syzygies of $I$ modulo $I$. In other words, $\phi$ should belong to the kernel of

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hom}_{k}\left(I_{2},(S / I)_{1}\right) & \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{k}(\operatorname{Syz}(I),(S / I)), \\
\phi & \mapsto(\sigma \mapsto \overline{\sigma(\phi)}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $I$ contains $\mathfrak{m}^{3}$ and is generated by quadrics, it suffices to consider linear syzygies $\sigma$ and we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{S}(I, S / I)_{-1} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{k}\left(I_{2},(S / I)_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\psi} \operatorname{Hom}_{k}\left(\operatorname{Syz}(I)_{1},(S / I)_{2}\right),
$$

where $\operatorname{Syz}(I)_{1}$ is the vector space of linear syzygies. We see that the $t_{i}$ from the previous lemma span a 4-dimensional subspace $T$ of the kernel of $\psi$. We obtain

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{k}(I, S / I)_{-1} / T \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}} \operatorname{Hom}_{k}\left(\operatorname{Syz}(I)_{1},(S / I)_{2}\right),
$$

and $I \in G_{0}^{\prime}$ will be a singular point if and only if $\operatorname{ker}(\bar{\psi}) \neq 0$. Since $I$ is generated by quadrics, it follows that $\operatorname{Syz}(I)_{1}$ has dimension $4 \cdot 7-20=8$. Therefore $\bar{\psi}$ is a map between 24-dimensional spaces. Thus $\operatorname{det}(\bar{\psi})$ vanishes if and only if $I \in G_{0}^{\prime}$ is a singular point in $H_{8}^{4}$.

The global version of this determinant will give a divisor whose support contains $W_{0}$. On $G_{0}^{\prime}$ we have the $\mathscr{O}_{G_{0}^{\prime}}$-algebra $\mathscr{S}:=\mathscr{O}_{G_{0}^{\prime}}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right]$, which is graded in the standard way, $\mathscr{\mathscr { S }}=\oplus_{i} \mathscr{S}_{i}$. We have a graded universal ideal sheaf $\mathscr{\mathscr { I }}=\oplus \mathscr{I}_{i}$, and a universal sheaf of graded algebras $\mathscr{S} / \mathscr{I}=\oplus_{i} \mathscr{S}_{i} / \mathscr{S}_{i}$. For all $i$ the sheaves $\mathscr{S}_{i}, \mathscr{I}_{i}$ and $\mathscr{S}_{i} / \mathscr{I}_{i}$ are coherent locally free $O_{G_{0}^{\prime}}$-modules.

Let $\mu: \mathscr{I}_{2} \otimes \mathscr{S}_{1} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{3}$ be the multiplication map. Surjectivity of this map follows from the definition of $G_{0}^{\prime}$. We define $\mathscr{K}_{1}$ to be the kernel of this map, so that we have the exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{K}_{1} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{2} \otimes \mathscr{S}_{1} \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathscr{I}_{3} \rightarrow 0 \tag{5-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, $\mathscr{K}_{1}$ is the sheaf of linear syzygies. Let $U$ be an open subset of $G_{0}^{\prime}$ such that $\left.\mathscr{I}_{2}\right|_{U}$ is free. Denote generators of $\mathscr{I}_{2}(U)$ by $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{7}$ and thus we have

$$
\mathscr{K}_{1}(U)=\left\{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{7} q_{i} \otimes l_{i}\right) \mid l_{i} \in \mathscr{S}_{1}(U), \sum q_{i} l_{i}=0 \in \mathscr{I}_{3}\right\} .
$$

To simplify notation in the following lemma we write $\mathscr{H o m}$ to denote $\mathscr{H}_{o m_{G_{G_{0}^{\prime}}}}$.
Lemma 5.11. (1) On $G_{0}^{\prime}$ there is a morphism of locally free sheaves of ranks 28 and 24 respectively:

$$
h: \mathscr{H o m}\left(\mathscr{I}_{2}, \mathscr{S}_{1}\right) \rightarrow{\mathscr{H o m}\left(\mathscr{K}_{1}, \mathscr{S}_{2} / \mathscr{I}_{2}\right), ~}_{\text {, }}
$$

such that for any $I \in G_{0}^{\prime}$, we have $\operatorname{ker}(h \otimes k(I))=\operatorname{Hom}(I, S / I)_{-1}$.
(2) There is a locally free subsheaf of rank four $\mathscr{T} \subset \operatorname{ker}(h)$ inducing a morphism:

$$
\bar{h}: \mathscr{H o m}\left(\mathscr{I}_{2}, \mathscr{S}_{1}\right) / \mathscr{T} \rightarrow \mathscr{H o m}\left(\mathscr{K}_{1}, \mathscr{I}_{2} / \mathscr{I}_{2}\right),
$$

such that $\operatorname{ker}(\bar{h} \otimes k(I)) \neq 0$ if and only if $\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{Hom}(I, S / I)_{-1} \geq 5$.
Proof. (1) We have a map of locally free $\mathcal{O}_{G_{0}^{\prime}}$-modules: $\mathscr{K}_{1} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{2} \otimes \mathscr{S}_{1}$. This induces the map $\mathscr{K}_{1} \otimes \check{\mathscr{S}}_{1} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{2}$. Applying $\mathscr{H}$ om $\left(-, \mathscr{S}_{1}\right)$ to both sides we get

$$
\mathscr{H o m}\left(\mathscr{I}_{2}, \mathscr{S}_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{H o m}\left(\mathscr{K}_{1} \otimes \check{\mathscr{S}}_{1}, \mathscr{S}_{1}\right) \cong \mathscr{H o m}\left(\mathscr{K}_{1}, \mathscr{S}_{1} \otimes \mathscr{S}_{1}\right)
$$

For the isomorphism above, we are using identities about $\mathscr{H o m}$, tensor product of sheaves, and sheaf duality from [Hartshorne 1977, p. 123]. The sequence $\mathscr{S}_{1} \otimes$ $\mathscr{S}_{1} \rightarrow \mathscr{S}_{2} \rightarrow \mathscr{S}_{2} / \mathscr{I}_{2}$ gives a map from $\mathscr{H o m}\left(\mathscr{K}_{1}, \mathscr{S}_{1} \otimes \mathscr{S}_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{H o m}\left(\mathscr{K}_{1}, \mathscr{S}_{2} / \mathscr{I}_{2}\right)$. By composition we obtain the desired map:

$$
h: \mathscr{H o m}\left(\mathscr{I}_{2}, \mathscr{S}_{1}\right) \rightarrow{\mathscr{H o m}\left(\mathscr{K}_{1}, \mathscr{S}_{2} / \mathscr{I}_{2}\right) . . . ~}_{\text {. }}
$$

Let us take a moment and consider $h$ in concrete terms, since this will be used for proving part (2) of the lemma. Let $U \subset G_{0}^{\prime}$ be an open subset such that all relevant locally free sheaves are in fact free. Let $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{7}$ be the generators of $\mathscr{I}_{2}(U)$ and let $\sigma_{j}:=\sum_{i=1}^{7} q_{i} \otimes l_{i j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq 8$ be the generators of $\mathscr{K}_{1}(U)$. Finally, let $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathscr{I}_{2}, \mathscr{I}_{1}\right)$ be a map $\left(q_{i} \mapsto m_{i}\right)$. Then $h(\phi)$ is the map

$$
\sigma_{j} \mapsto \sum \overline{m_{i} l_{i}}
$$

where $\overline{m_{i} l_{i}}$ is the reduction of $m_{i} l_{i}$ modulo $\mathscr{I}_{2}$.
(2) Over any $U$ where $\mathscr{I}_{2}$ is free, let $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{7}$ the global generators. Then we define $t_{1}: q_{i} \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} q_{i}$, and we define $t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}$ similarly. This defines a locally free subsheaf $\mathscr{T}(U):=\left\langle t_{1}, \ldots, t_{4}\right\rangle \subset \mathscr{H o m}\left(\mathscr{I}_{2}, \mathscr{S}_{1}\right)$ of rank 4. By the proof of Lemma 5.10, the injection $\mathscr{T} \rightarrow \mathscr{H o m}\left(\mathscr{I}_{2}, \mathscr{S}_{1}\right)$ remains exact under pullback to a point. It follows that the quotient $\mathscr{H o m}\left(\mathscr{I}_{2}, \mathscr{S}_{1}\right) / \mathscr{T}$ is locally free of rank 24 [Hartshorne 1977, Ex II.5.8].

It remains to show that $\mathscr{T} \subset \operatorname{ker}(h)$ and that $\operatorname{ker}(\bar{h} \otimes k(I))$ is nontrivial if and only if $\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{Hom}(I, S / I)_{1} \geq 5$. This is immediate from the discussion preceding this theorem.

By the previous lemma, $\bar{h}$ is a map between locally free sheaves of rank 24, and thus $\operatorname{det}(\bar{h})$ defines a divisor on $G_{0}^{\prime}$. To ensure that this is the restriction of a unique divisor on $G_{0}$, we need to verify that $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ are not too large. For this, we construct the rational curve $\tau: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow G_{0}$ defined for $t \neq \infty$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{t}=\left(x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}^{2}, x_{3}^{2}, x_{4}^{2}, x_{1} x_{2}, x_{2} x_{3}+t x_{3} x_{4}, x_{1} x_{4}+t x_{3} x_{4}\right) \tag{5-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5.12. $Z_{1} \cup Z_{2}$ is a closed set of codimension at least 2 in $G_{0}$.
Proof. $Z_{1}$ is closed because it is the support of the cokernel of the multiplication map $\mathscr{I}_{2} \otimes \mathscr{S}_{1} \rightarrow \mathscr{S}_{3}$. The intersection $Z_{2} \cap G_{0}^{\prime}$ is the degeneracy locus of

$$
\mathscr{H o m}\left(\mathscr{I}_{2}, \mathscr{S}_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{H o m}\left(\mathscr{K}_{1}, \mathscr{S}_{1}\right) \oplus \mathscr{H o m}\left(\mathscr{K}_{2}, \mathscr{S}_{2} / I_{2}\right)
$$

which is the analogue of Lemma 5.11 (1) for computing $\operatorname{Hom}\left(I_{2}, S_{0}\right)$. Thus $Z_{1} \cup Z_{2}$ is closed in $G_{0}$.
$\operatorname{Because} \operatorname{Pic}\left(G_{0}\right)=\mathbb{Z}$ and $G_{0}$ is projective, checking that the 1-cycle $\tau$ does not intersect $Z_{1} \cup Z_{2}$ will show that $Z_{1} \cup Z_{2}$ has codimension at least 2 . By passing to the algebraic closure, we can assume that $k$ is algebraically closed. The group $k^{\times}$ acts on $\mathbb{A}^{4}$ by $\alpha \cdot\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \alpha x_{3}, \alpha x_{4}\right)$, and taking $\alpha=t$ maps $I_{1}$ to $I_{t}$, for any $t$ other than 0 or $\infty$. Thus, it suffices to check that the following three
ideals do not intersect $Z_{1} \cup Z_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{0} & =\left(x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}^{2}, x_{3}^{2}, x_{4}^{2}, x_{1} x_{2}, x_{2} x_{3}, x_{1} x_{4}\right) \\
I_{1} & =\left(x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}^{2}, x_{3}^{2}, x_{4}^{2}, x_{1} x_{2}, x_{2} x_{3}+x_{3} x_{4}, x_{1} x_{4}+x_{3} x_{4}\right) \\
I_{\infty} & =\left(x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}^{2}, x_{3}^{2}, x_{4}^{2}, x_{1} x_{2}, x_{2} x_{3}-x_{1} x_{4}, x_{3} x_{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is obvious that these are generated in degree 2. A change of variables transforms $I_{\infty}$ to the ideal $J$ from Proposition 5.1, which is smooth, so $\operatorname{Hom}\left(I_{t}, S / I_{t}\right)_{-2}=0$ for $t=1, \infty$. One can also check that $\operatorname{Hom}\left(I_{0}, S / I_{0}\right)_{-2}=0$, which holds in all characteristics because $I_{0}$ is a monomial ideal. Therefore, $Z_{1} \cup Z_{2}$ has codimension at least 2 .

Lemma 5.13. Let $D$ be the divisor on $G_{0}$ defined locally by $\operatorname{det}(\bar{h})$. Then $W_{0}$ belongs to the support of $D$.

Proof. The Hilbert scheme is singular on $W_{0}$, so $W_{0} \cap G_{0}^{\prime} \subset V(\operatorname{det}(\bar{h}))$. Since $W_{0}$ is a divisor, Lemma 5.12 tells us that $W_{0}$ intersects $G_{0}^{\prime}$, so the irreducibility of $W_{0}$ means that it is contained in $D$.

5D. An equation for $\boldsymbol{W}_{\mathbf{0}}$. In this section, except for the last paragraph, we restrict to the case $k=\mathbb{C}$ in order to use the representation theory of $\mathrm{GL}_{4}(\mathbb{C})$.

We will use the result of Lemma 5.13 to give an upper bound on the degree of $W_{0}$ in terms of Plücker coordinates. This leads to a proof of Theorem 1.3 over $\mathbb{C}$. The restriction to $\mathbb{C}$ will be removed in the next section.

Let $H$ be an effective divisor which generates $\operatorname{Pic}\left(G_{0}\right)=\mathbb{Z}$. First we compute the degree of $D$ in Plücker coordinates, using the rational curve $\tau$.

Lemma 5.14. The curve $\tau$ has intersection multiplicities

$$
\tau \cdot H=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \tau \cdot D=16
$$

Proof. For the first statement, let $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ be the Plücker coordinates corresponding to the $\left(x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}^{2}, x_{3}^{2}, x_{4}^{2}, x_{1} x_{2}, x_{2} x_{3}, x_{3} x_{4}\right)$ - and $\left(x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}^{2}, x_{3}^{2}, x_{4}^{2}, x_{1} x_{2}, x_{2} x_{3}, x_{1} x_{4}\right)$ minors respectively. Then $L=V\left(p_{1}\right)$ does not meet $I_{t}$ at infinity. For $t \neq \infty$, we see that $p_{2}\left(I_{t}\right) \neq 0$, so local equations for $L$ valid at all common points of $L$ and $\tau$ are given by $L=\frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}$. Since this equation pulls back to $t$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1}-\infty$ the statement follows.

For the second statement, note from the proof of Lemma 5.12 that $I_{\infty}$ is a smooth point and $\tau$ does not intersect $Z_{1}$ or $Z_{2}$. Therefore, it suffices to check the degree on the open affine defined by $t \neq \infty$.

For every $t \neq \infty, I_{t}$ has the following 8 linear syzygies, where $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{7}$ are the generators of $I_{t}$ in the order in Equation (5-2).

$$
\begin{array}{l|l}
\sigma_{1}=x_{2} q_{1}-x_{1} q_{5} & \sigma_{2}=x_{4} q_{1}-x_{1} q_{7}+t x_{3} q_{7}-t^{2} x_{4} q_{3} \\
\sigma_{3}=x_{1} q_{2}-x_{2} q_{5} & \sigma_{4}=x_{3} q_{2}-x_{2} q_{6}+t x_{4} q_{6}-t^{2} x_{3} q_{4} \\
\sigma_{5}=x_{2} q_{3}-x_{3} q_{6}+t x_{4} q_{3} & \sigma_{6}=x_{1} q_{4}-x_{4} q_{7}+t x_{3} q_{4} \\
\sigma_{7}=x_{3} q_{5}-x_{1} q_{6}+t x_{3} q_{7}-t^{2} x_{4} q_{3} & \sigma_{8}=x_{4} q_{5}-x_{2} q_{7}+t x_{4} q_{6}-t^{2} x_{3} q_{4}
\end{array}
$$

The intersection number $\tau \cdot D$ equals the degree of $\tau^{*}(\operatorname{det}(\bar{h}))$, which we compute by writing out $\tau^{*}(h)$ as a matrix. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}(I, S / I)_{-1}$ be written as $\phi\left(q_{i}\right)=$ $c_{i, 1} x_{1}+c_{i, 2} x_{2}+c_{i, 3} x_{3}+c_{i, 4} x_{4}$ and recall that, if $\sigma_{j}=\sum_{i} q_{i} \otimes l_{i j}$ then $h(\phi)\left(\sigma_{j}\right)=$ $\sum \overline{\phi\left(q_{i}\right) l_{i j}}$ where the bar indicates that we are considering the image as an element of $S_{2} / I_{2}$. The monomials $x_{1} x_{3}, x_{2} x_{4}$ and $x_{1} x_{4}$ are a basis of $S_{2} / I_{2}$ for $t \neq \infty$, so we can explicitly express the $h(\phi)\left(\sigma_{j}\right)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{l|l}
h(\phi)\left(\sigma_{1}\right) & -c_{5,3} x_{1} x_{3}+c_{1,4} x_{2} x_{4}+\left(-t c_{1,3}+t c_{5,4}\right) x_{3} x_{4} \\
h(\phi)\left(\sigma_{2}\right) & \left(t c_{7,1}-c_{7,3}\right) x_{1} x_{3}+\left(c_{1,2}-t^{2} c_{3,2}\right) x_{2} x_{4}+ \\
& \left(-t c_{1,1}+c_{1,3}+t^{3} c_{3,1}-t^{2} c_{3,3}-t^{2} c_{7,2}+2 t c_{7,4}\right) x_{3} x_{4} \\
h(\phi)\left(\sigma_{3}\right) & c_{2,3} x_{1} x_{3}-c_{5,4} x_{2} x_{4}+\left(-t c_{2,4}+t c_{5,3}\right) x_{3} x_{4} \\
h(\phi)\left(\sigma_{4}\right) & \left(c_{2,1}-t^{2} c_{4,1}\right) x_{1} x_{3}+\left(t c_{6,2}-c_{6,4}\right) x_{2} x_{4}+ \\
& \left(-t c_{2,2}+c_{2,4}+t^{3} c_{4,2}-t^{2} c_{4,4}-t^{2} c_{6,1}+2 t c 6 z\right) x_{3} x_{4} \\
h(\phi)\left(\sigma_{5}\right) & -c_{6,1} x_{1} x_{3}+\left(t c_{3,2}+c_{3,4}\right) x_{2} x_{4}+\left(-t^{2} c_{3,1}+t c_{6,2}-c_{6,4}\right) x_{3} x_{4} \\
h(\phi)\left(\sigma_{6}\right) & \left(t c_{4,1}+c_{4,3}\right) x_{1} x_{3}-c_{7,2} x_{2} x_{4}+\left(-t^{2} c_{4,2}+t c_{7,1}-c_{7,3}\right) x_{3} x_{4} \\
h(\phi)\left(\sigma_{7}\right) & \left(c_{5,1}-c_{6,3}+t c_{7,1}\right) x_{1} x_{3}-t^{2} c_{3,2} x_{2} x_{4}+ \\
& \left(t^{3} c_{3,1}-t^{2} c_{3,3}-t c_{5,2}+c_{5,4}+t c_{6,4}-t^{2} c_{7,2}+t c_{7,4}\right) x_{3} x_{4} \\
h(\phi)\left(\sigma_{8}\right) & -t^{2} c_{4,1} x_{1} x_{3}+\left(c_{5,2}+t c_{6,2}-c_{7,4}\right) x_{2} x_{4}+ \\
& \left(t^{3} c_{4,2}-t^{2} c_{4,4}-t c_{5,1}+c_{5,3}-t^{2} c_{6,1}+t c_{6,3}+t c_{7,3}\right) x_{3} x_{4}
\end{array}
$$

Each row of the above lines yields three linear equations so $\tau^{*}(h)$ is represented by a $24 \times 28$ matrix $M$ as expected. Computation in Macaulay2 [Grayson and Stillman] shows that the ideal of $24 \times 24$ minors of $M$ is $\left(t^{16}\right)$ and the statement follows.

Corollary 5.15. The divisor $D$ is linearly equivalent to 16 H .
The following lemma allows us to determine the degree of $W_{0}$.
Lemma 5.16. The divisor $D$ vanishes with multiplicity at least 8 on $W_{0}$.
Proof. By Lemma 5.13, we know that $\left|W_{0}\right| \subseteq|D|$. By Lemma 5.12, a general point of $W_{0}$ does not belong to $Z_{1} \cup Z_{2}$. Let $I$ be any such point. Since $I$ is a singular point in $R_{8}^{4}, I$ has tangent space dimension at least $\operatorname{dim}\left(R_{8}^{4}\right)+1=33$, and so the null space of $\bar{h} \otimes k(I)$ must have dimension at least 8 .

Choose 8 vectors from the null space as basis vectors, and any other 16 to complete a basis of the source of $\bar{h} \otimes k(I)$. This basis in the quotient ring lifts to a basis in the local ring $\mathbb{O}_{G_{0}^{\prime}, I}$. When we represent the localization of the map
$(\bar{h})_{I}$ as a matrix with respect to this basis we see that the first 8 columns belong to the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{I}$ of $\mathscr{O}_{G_{0}^{\prime}, I}$. Thus $\operatorname{det}(\bar{h})$ belongs to $\mathfrak{m}_{I}^{8}$, and in turn $D$ has multiplicity at least 8 at $I$.
Lemma 5.17. The ideal sheaf of $D$ is $\left(P^{8}\right)$, where $P$ is the Salmon-Turnbull Pfaffian.
Proof. Since $D$ is a divisor on $G_{0}$ its defining ideal in the homogeneous coordinate ring of the Plücker embedding of $G_{0}$ is generated by a single element $f$ of degree 16 in the Plücker coordinates. If $g$ is the square-free part of $f$ then Lemma 5.16 shows that $g$ has degree at most 2 . Since $D$ is invariant under linear changes of variables, it follows from Lemmas 5.7 and 5.8 that $g=P$ and $f=P^{8}$.

By combining Lemmas 5.4, 5.13, and 5.17 we have now proven our descriptions of $W_{0}$ and $W$ :
Theorem 5.18. The subscheme $W_{0}$ is defined by $P$.
For the rest of the section, we return to the case that $k$ is a field, not necessarily algebraically closed, of characteristic not 2 or 3 .

Recall that if $M_{\lambda}$ is any monomial ideal in $G_{0}$ then there are local coordinates $c_{m^{\prime}}^{m}$ on $U_{\lambda} \cap H_{8}^{4}$. Moreover there is a surjection $\pi: R_{8}^{4} \cap U_{\lambda} \rightarrow W_{0} \cap U_{\lambda}$, and there is a rational map $\phi:\left(\mathbb{A}^{4}\right)^{8} / / S_{8} \rightarrow R_{8}^{4} \cap U_{\lambda}$ given by $c_{m^{\prime}}^{m}=\frac{\Delta_{\lambda-m^{\prime}+m}}{\Delta_{\lambda}}$ whose image is dense in $R_{8}^{4} \cap U_{\lambda}$.
Lemma 5.19. With $U_{\lambda}$ as above, the function $P \circ \pi$ vanishes identically on $R_{8}^{4} \cap U_{\lambda}$ over an arbitrary field $k$.
Proof. The composition $P \circ \pi \circ \phi$ is a rational function with integer coefficients. Theorem 5.18 proves that $P \circ \pi \circ \phi=0$ in $\mathbb{C}\left[q_{i}^{(j)}\right]\left[\Delta_{\lambda}^{-1}\right]$. Therefore, $P \circ \pi \circ \phi=0$ in $\mathbb{Z}\left[q_{i}^{(j)}\right]\left[\Delta_{\lambda}^{-1}\right]$.
Theorem 5.20. The following irreducible subsets of $G_{0}$ coincide:
(1) $W_{0}$;
(2) $V(P)$, the vanishing of the pullback to $G$ of the Salmon-Turnbull Pfaffian;
(3) the homogeneous ideals with Hilbert function $(1,4,3)$ which are flat limits of ideals of distinct points.
As a consequence, if we let $\left.\pi\right|_{G}: G \rightarrow G_{0}$ be the restriction of the projection from Lemma 5.4 then $W=V\left(\left.P \circ \pi\right|_{G}\right)$.
Proof. For other fields, note that for the ideal $J$ of Proposition 5.1 with $d=4$, we have that $P \circ \pi(J)=P(J)=1$ and thus $P \circ \pi$ does not vanish uniformly on $G$ in any characteristic. By the previous lemma, $P \circ \pi$ vanishes uniformly on $R_{8}^{4}$ for any $k$. Thus $W \subseteq V\left(\left.P \circ \pi\right|_{G}\right)$. As both $W$ and $V\left(\left.P \circ \pi\right|_{G}\right)$ are integral closed subschemes of codimension 1 in $G$, they are equal.

## 6. Proofs of main results

In this section, $k$ denotes a field of characteristic not 2 or 3 . We have used our characteristic assumption in order to apply the theory of duality in Sections 3 and 4 and to define the trace map of Lemma 5.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The irreducibility of $H_{n}^{d}$ when $d$ is at most 3 or $n$ is at most 7 follows for an algebraically closed field from Theorem 4.20. For a nonalgebraically closed field, the Hilbert scheme is irreducible because it is irreducible after passing to the algebraic closure. Proposition 3.1 and the same argument as in Lemma 5.3 show that when $d$ is at least $4, G_{8}^{d}$ is irreducible and ( $8 d-7$ )-dimensional, and Proposition 5.1 shows that it is a separate component. Theorem 4.20 shows that there are no other components, again, by passing to the algebraic closure if necessary.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. This follows from Theorem 3.9.
Proof of Theorem 1.3. The statement that $R_{8}^{4} \cap G_{8}^{4}$ is a prime divisor on $G_{8}^{4}$ is proved in Lemma 5.4. The equivalence of the set-theoretic description and the local equation description follows from Lemma 5.6. Theorem 5.20 proves that the Salmon-Turnbull Pfaffian is the correct local equation.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $M_{\lambda}$ be some monomial ideal and consider the monomial chart $U_{\lambda}$. If $M_{\lambda}$ does not have Hilbert function $(1,4,3)$ then $U_{\lambda} \cap G_{8}^{4}=\varnothing$ so that the zero ideals will cut out $R_{8}^{4}$. If $M_{\lambda}$ has Hilbert function (1, 4, 3), then Lemma 5.19 and Theorem 5.20 show that the zero set of the pullback of the Pfaffian is precisely $R_{8}^{4} \cap U_{\lambda}$.

## 7. Open questions

The motivating goal behind this work is understanding the smoothable component of the Hilbert scheme as explicitly as possible, and not just as the closure of a certain set. This can be phrased more abstractly by asking what functor the smoothable component represents, or, more concretely, by describing those algebras which occur in the smoothable component. In this paper we have done the latter for $n$ at most 8 . The following are natural further questions to ask:

- For $d$ greater than 4 , which algebras with $\operatorname{Hilbert}$ function $(1, d, 3)$ are smoothable? Generically, such algebras are not smoothable. Computer experiments lead us to conjecture that, for smoothable algebras, the analogue of the skew symmetric matrix in Theorem 1.3 has rank at most $2 d+2$. However, a dimension count shows that this rank condition alone is not sufficient for such an algebra to be smoothable. What are the other conditions?
- What is the smallest $n$ such that $H_{n}^{3}$ is reducible? We have shown $H_{8}^{3}$ is irreducible and Iarrobino [1985, Example 3] has shown that $H_{78}^{3}$ is reducible.
- Is $H_{n}^{d}$ ever nonreduced? What is the smallest example? Does it ever have generically nonreduced components?
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