

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 5

2011

No. 1

Group algebra extensions of depth one

Robert Boltje and Burkhard Külshammer



mathematical sciences publishers

Group algebra extensions of depth one

Robert Boltje and Burkhard Külshammer

A ring extension $A \subseteq B$ is said to have depth one if B is isomorphic to a direct summand of A^n as an (A, A) -bimodule, for some positive integer n . We prove group-theoretic characterizations of this property in the case $kH \subseteq kG$, where H is a subgroup of a finite group G and k is a field. We determine when the source algebra of a block of kG with defect group P is a depth-one extension of kP .

Introduction

A *ring extension* is a unitary ring homomorphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ between two rings A and B . In this situation, the ring B can be viewed as an (A, A) -bimodule using the map f . A ring extension $f: A \rightarrow B$ is said to be of *depth one* (or *centrally projective* [Kadison 1999]) if B is isomorphic, as an (A, A) -bimodule, to a direct summand of A^n for some positive integer n . We write $B \mid A^n$ for this condition. Whenever A is a unitary subring of B and $f: A \rightarrow B$ is the inclusion map, we denote the corresponding ring extension by $A \subseteq B$.

To the best of our knowledge, centrally projective ring extensions were first considered by Hirata [1969]. The identification of centrally projective ring extensions with ring extensions of depth one appeared in [Kadison and Szlachányi 2003]. Ring extensions of higher depth were studied in [Kadison 2008], for example.

In this paper we try to answer the question of when a ring extension $kH \subseteq kG$ of group rings has depth one. Here and throughout this paper we denote by k a commutative ring, by G a group and by H a subgroup of finite index in G . In [Boltje and Külshammer 2010] we considered the question of when the ring extension $kH \subseteq kG$ has depth two (that is, when $kG \otimes_{kH} kG \mid (kG)^n$ as (kG, kH) -bimodules, or equivalently as (kH, kG) -bimodules, for some positive integer n). It turns out that this is equivalent to H being normal in G , independently of k . If the ring extension $kH \subseteq kG$ has depth one it also has depth two, since one can apply the functor $kG \otimes_{kH} -$ to the relation $kG \mid (kH)^n$. In particular, H has to be normal in G . The converse is not true in general, as our main results, Theorems 1.7 and 1.9,

MSC2000: primary 20C05; secondary 19A22, 16D90, 16D20, 20C20.

Keywords: depth-one ring extension, centrally projective ring extension, depth-two ring extension, symmetric Frobenius extension, p -hypoelementary group, nilpotent block, source algebra, trivial source module.

show. In these theorems we have to assume that k is a field (or a complete discrete valuation ring of characteristic 0 and positive residual characteristic p). In both cases the depth-one condition is equivalent to a purely group theoretic condition on the inclusion $H \leq G$, namely that $G = HC_G(X)$, for every cyclic subgroup X of H in the characteristic 0 case, and for every p -hypoelementary subgroup X of H in the modular case (see Remark 1.8 for a definition of p -hypoelementary groups). Therefore, the group theoretic depth-one condition does depend on the base ring k . We do not have a group theoretic reformulation in the case $k = \mathbb{Z}$.

At the end we study the depth-one condition for the ring extension $FP \rightarrow A$, where P is the defect group of a block of a group algebra over a field F of positive characteristic p , and A is a source algebra of the block.

1. Depth one for group algebra extensions

1.1. Assume that g belongs to $N_G(H)$, the normalizer of H in G . Then

$$c_{g^{-1}}: kH \rightarrow kH, \quad a \mapsto g^{-1}ag$$

is a k -algebra automorphism of kH . Restriction along this automorphism defines a functor

$$C_g: {}_kH\text{Mod} \rightarrow {}_kH\text{Mod}, \quad M \mapsto {}^gM$$

on the category of left kH -modules. More explicitly, for $M \in {}_kH\text{Mod}$, the left kH -module gM is defined to be equal to M as an abelian group, and it is endowed with the module structure $a * m := (g^{-1}ag) \cdot m$, where “ \cdot ” denotes the original kH -module structure of M . The functor C_g maps a homomorphism $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ in ${}_kH\text{Mod}$ to ${}^g f := f: {}^gM_1 \rightarrow {}^gM_2$.

If C_g is naturally equivalent to the identity functor on ${}_kH\text{Mod}$, we say that g acts trivially on ${}_kH\text{Mod}$. For this paper, we say that G acts trivially on ${}_kH\text{Mod}$ if H is normal in G and g acts trivially on ${}_kH\text{Mod}$ for every $g \in G$. Note that H acts trivially on ${}_kH\text{Mod}$. This is also an immediate consequence of the next proposition.

The subset $kgH = gkH = kHg$ of kG is a (kH, kH) -subbimodule of kG . It is isomorphic to ${}^{(g,1)}kH$ if we view (kH, kH) -bimodules M as left $k[H \times H]$ -modules via

$$(h_1, h_2) \cdot m := h_1 m h_2^{-1} \quad \text{for } h_1, h_2 \in H \text{ and } m \in M.$$

Assume that R is an arbitrary ring and that α is an automorphism of R . Let R_α denote the (R, R) -bimodule that equals R as abelian group but has the twisted action $axb := \alpha(a)xb$, for $a, x, b \in R$. It is well-known and straightforward to prove that α is an inner automorphism if and only if R_α is isomorphic to R as an (R, R) -bimodule. It is also equivalent to α acting trivially on ${}_R\text{Mod}$. The following proposition is a special case, and we leave its proof to the reader.

Proposition 1.2. *For $g \in N_G(H)$, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $kgH \cong kH$ as (kH, kH) -bimodules.
- (ii) *There exists a unit u of kH such that $gag^{-1} = uau^{-1}$ for all $a \in kH$.*
- (iii) g acts trivially on ${}_kH\text{Mod}$.

For every subset X of H we denote by $C_G(X)$ the centralizer of X in G .

Corollary 1.3. *If $G = HC_G(H)$, then the ring extension $kH \subseteq kG$ has depth one. Conversely, if the ring extension $kH \subseteq kG$ has depth one, then H is normal in G .*

Proof. Suppose first that $G = HC_G(H)$. Then every $g \in G$ satisfies condition (ii). Using condition (i) together with the decomposition $kG = \bigoplus_{gH \in G/H} kgH$ into (kH, kH) -subbimodules, the first assertion follows. The second assertion was already observed in the introduction. \square

1.4. If Λ is a k -order (that is, a k -algebra that is finitely generated and projective as a k -module) we say that the *Krull–Schmidt theorem holds* for Λ -lattices if the following two properties hold for every Λ -module M that is finitely generated and projective as a k -module:

- M has a decomposition $M = U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_r$ into indecomposable Λ -submodules, and
- if $M = U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_r = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_s$ are two decompositions into indecomposable Λ -submodules, then $r = s$ and there exists a permutation σ of $\{1, \dots, r\}$ such that $U_i \cong V_{\sigma(i)}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$.

If k is a field or a complete discrete valuation ring, then the Krull–Schmidt theorem holds for every k -order Λ [Curtis and Reiner 1981, Theorem 6.12].

Proposition 1.5. *Assume that G is finite and that the Krull–Schmidt theorem holds for $k[H \times H]$ -lattices. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $kH \subseteq kG$ is a ring extension of depth one.
- (ii) H is normal in G and $kgH \cong kH$ as (kH, kH) -bimodules for every $g \in G$.
- (iii) H is normal in G and $kG \cong (kH)^{[G:H]}$ as (kH, kH) -bimodules.
- (iv) $kG \cong (kH)^{[G:H]}$ as (kH, kH) -bimodules.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Since the ring extension $kH \subseteq kG$ has depth one, Corollary 1.3 implies that H is normal in G . Therefore, for every $g \in G$, one has $kgH \mid kG \mid (kH)^n$ as (kH, kH) -bimodules for some positive integer n . Since the Krull–Schmidt theorem holds for $k[H \times H]$ -lattices, every indecomposable direct summand of the $k[H \times H]$ -module kgH is isomorphic to an indecomposable direct summand of the $k[H \times H]$ -module kH . But the indecomposable direct summands of kH are the blocks of kH , and they are pairwise nonisomorphic. Since kgH is isomorphic to

$(g,1)kH$ and since $C_{(g,1)}: {}_{k[H \times H]}\text{Mod} \rightarrow {}_{k[H \times H]}\text{Mod}$ is a category equivalence, the indecomposable direct summands of kgH are also pairwise nonisomorphic. Thus, we can decompose kgH and kH multiplicity-free into a direct sum of indecomposable $k[H \times H]$ -submodules. The number of these summands coincides, since $kgH \cong (g,1)kH$. Since every summand of kgH occurs as a summand of kH , we can conclude that $kgH \cong kH$ as (kH, kH) -bimodules.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): This follows from the decomposition $kG = \bigoplus_{gH \in G/H} kgH$ into (kH, kH) -subbimodules.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv): This is trivial.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i): This is immediate from the definition of depth one. \square

Remark 1.6. In the proof of Proposition 1.5, the Krull–Schmidt property is only used for permutation $k[H \times H]$ -modules. By [Boltje and Glesser 2007, Theorem 1.6], the four conditions in Proposition 1.5 are still equivalent when k is a local domain containing a root of unity of order e , where e is defined as the exponent $\exp(H)$ of H in the case that k has characteristic 0, and as the p' -part of $\exp(H)$ in the case that k has positive characteristic p .

Next we will study the case where k is a field of characteristic 0 and H is a finite group. In this case we will denote by \bar{k} an algebraic closure of k and by $\text{Irr}(H)$ the set of irreducible characters of $\bar{k}H$. Recall that $N_G(H)$ acts from the left on $\text{Irr}(H)$ via $\chi \mapsto {}^g\chi$ for $g \in N_G(H)$, where ${}^g\chi(h) = \chi(g^{-1}hg)$, for $h \in H$. Recall also that $N_G(H)$ acts on the set of conjugacy classes of H via $\mathcal{K} \mapsto {}^g\mathcal{K}$, where ${}^g\mathcal{K} = \{ghg^{-1} \mid h \in \mathcal{K}\}$ for a conjugacy class \mathcal{K} of H .

Theorem 1.7. *Assume that k is a field of characteristic 0 and that G is finite. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $kH \subseteq kG$ is a ring extension of depth one.
- (ii) H is normal in G and G acts trivially on $\text{Irr}(H)$.
- (iii) H is normal in G and G acts trivially on the set of conjugacy classes of H .
- (iv) For every cyclic subgroup X of H one has $G = HC_G(X)$.

Proof. (i) \iff (ii): Using Corollary 1.3, we may assume that H is normal in G . Using Propositions 1.2 and 1.5, one sees that it suffices to show that, for every $g \in G$, the (kH, kH) -bimodules kgH and kH are isomorphic if and only if g acts trivially on $\text{Irr}(H)$. By the Dering–Noether theorem [Nagao and Tsushima 1989, Theorem II.3.1], $kgH \cong kH$ as (kH, kH) -bimodules if and only if $\bar{k}gH \cong \bar{k}H$ as $(\bar{k}H, \bar{k}H)$ -bimodules. By Proposition 1.2, the latter is equivalent to g acting trivially on ${}_{\bar{k}H}\text{Mod}$, which implies that g acts trivially on $\text{Irr}(H)$. Conversely, if ${}^g\chi = \chi$ for every $\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ then $\bar{k}gH \cong \bar{k}H$ as $\bar{k}[H \times H]$ -modules, since the

character of $\bar{k}H$ is equal to $\sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)} \chi \times \bar{\chi}$ and the character of $\bar{k}gH$ is equal to

$${}^{(g,1)}\left(\sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)} \chi \times \bar{\chi}\right) = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)} {}^g\chi \times \bar{\chi} = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)} \chi \times \bar{\chi}.$$

(ii) \iff (iii): This follows immediately from Brauer's permutation lemma [Nagao and Tsushima 1989, Lemma III.2.19].

(iii) \implies (iv): Let $X = \langle x \rangle$ be a cyclic subgroup of H and let g be an element of G . Since $g x g^{-1}$ lies in the same conjugacy class as x , there exists $h \in H$ such that $g x g^{-1} = h x h^{-1}$. This implies $h^{-1} g \in C_G(x)$ and $g \in h C_G(x) \subseteq H C_G(X)$.

(iv) \implies (ii): Condition (iv) implies immediately that H is normal in G . Now let $g \in G$, $\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ and $x \in H$. Then there exists $h \in H$ and $c \in C_G(x)$ such that $g = hc$. Hence $\chi(g x g^{-1}) = \chi(h c x c^{-1} h^{-1}) = \chi(h x h^{-1}) = \chi(x)$. Thus ${}^{g^{-1}}\chi = \chi$ and $\chi = {}^g\chi$. \square

Remark 1.8. Next we study the depth-one condition for the ring extension $kH \subseteq kG$ in the case where k is a field of positive characteristic p , or where k is a complete discrete valuation ring of characteristic 0 and positive residual characteristic p . We will need the theory of species developed by Benson and Parker [1998, Section 5.5]. For this remark assume that G is finite and that k contains a root of unity whose order is equal to $\exp(G)$ if k has characteristic 0, and to the p' -part of $\exp(G)$ if k has characteristic p . Let S and T be finite left G -sets. We denote the corresponding permutation kG -modules by kS and kT . The goal of this remark is to derive a criterion for kS being isomorphic to kT . Denote by $\mathcal{H}_p(G)$ the set of p -hypo-elementary subgroups E of G , that is, subgroups E that have a normal (Sylow) p -subgroup P such that E/P is cyclic. We claim that

$$kS \cong kT \text{ as } kG\text{-modules} \iff |S^E| = |T^E| \text{ for all } E \in \mathcal{H}_p(G), \tag{1.8.a}$$

where $|S^E|$ denotes the cardinality of the set S^E of E -fixed points on S . In order to see this equivalence, it suffices to show that

$$s_{E,g}(kS) = |S^E| \tag{1.8.b}$$

for all $E \in \mathcal{H}_p(G)$ and all p' -elements $g \in E$ such that gP generates E/P , where P denotes the Sylow p -subgroup of E . For a definition of $s_{E,g}$ see [Benson 1998, Section 5.5]. Since $s_{E,g}(kS) = s_{E,g}(\text{Res}_E^G(kS))$, we may assume that $G = E$. Moreover, since $s_{E,g}$ is additive, we may assume that S is a transitive E -set, that is, $S = E/D$ for some subgroup D of E . Then $kS \cong \text{Ind}_D^E(k)$. If P is not contained in D , then no indecomposable direct summand of kS has vertex P , and both sides of Equation (1.8.b) are equal to 0. If $P \leq D < E$, the Brauer species of $\text{Ind}_D^E(k)$ at g equals 0, since $g \notin D$, and again both sides in Equation (1.8.b) are equal to 0. Finally, if $D = E$, it is immediate that both sides of the equation are equal to 1.

In the next theorem we will apply the criterion in (1.8.a) to the $H \times H$ -sets gH and H for $g \in N_G(H)$.

For a subgroup X of H , we set $\Delta X := \{(x, x) \mid x \in X\} \leq H \times H$.

Theorem 1.9. *Assume that G is finite and that k is a field of characteristic $p > 0$ or a complete discrete valuation ring of characteristic 0 with residual characteristic $p > 0$. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *The ring extension $kH \subseteq kG$ has depth one.*
- (ii) *H is normal in G , and $|(gH)^E| = |H^E|$ for all $g \in G$ and $E \in \mathcal{H}_p(H \times H)$.*
- (iii) *H is normal in G , and $|(gH)^{\Delta X}| = |H^{\Delta X}|$ for all $g \in G$ and $X \in \mathcal{H}_p(H)$.*
- (iv) *For all $X \in \mathcal{H}_p(H)$ one has $G = C_G(X)H$.*

Proof. (i) \iff (ii): By Corollary 1.3, we may assume that H is normal in G . Now the equivalence of (i) and (ii) follows immediately from Proposition 1.5 and Remark 1.8 applied to the $k[H \times H]$ -modules kgH and kH , for $g \in G$. In fact, by [Benson 1998, Corollary 3.11.4(i)] and the Dering–Noether theorem [Nagao and Tsushima 1989, Theorem II.3.1], we have $kgH \cong kH$ as $k[H \times H]$ -modules if and only if $k'gH \cong k'H$ as $k'[H \times H]$ -modules, where k' is obtained from k by adjoining a root of unity whose order is equal to $\exp(H)$ if k has characteristic 0, and to the p' -part of $\exp(H)$ if k has characteristic p .

(ii) \implies (iii): This is trivial.

(iii) \implies (iv): Let $g \in G$ and let $X \in \mathcal{H}_p(H)$. Since $1 \in H^{\Delta X}$, the set $(gH)^{\Delta X}$ is nonempty. Let $h \in H$ such that $gh \in (gH)^{\Delta X}$. Then $gh \in C_G(X)$ and $g \in C_G(X)H$.

(iv) \implies (ii): From (iv) we have immediately that H is normal in G . Now let $g \in G$ and let $E \in \mathcal{H}_p(H)$. The $H \times H$ -sets H and gH are transitive. The stabilizer of $1 \in H$ is ΔH and the stabilizer of $g \in gH$ is ${}^{(g,1)}\Delta H$. One has $|H^E| = 0 = |(gH)^E|$ unless E is $H \times H$ -conjugate to a subgroup of ΔH or ${}^{(g,1)}\Delta H$. Since the number of fixed points does not change if we replace E by an $H \times H$ -conjugate of E , we may assume that $E \leq \Delta H$ or $E \leq {}^{(g,1)}\Delta H$. We first assume that $E \leq \Delta H$. Then $E = \Delta X$ for some $X \in \mathcal{H}_p(H)$. Since $g \in C_G(X)H$, we can write $g = ch$ with $c \in C_G(X)$ and $h \in H$. Then $gH = cH$, and for $h' \in H$ we have

$$h' \in H^{\Delta X} \iff h' \in C_G(X) \iff ch' \in C_G(X) \iff ch' \in (cH)^{\Delta X}.$$

It follows that $|H^{\Delta X}| = |(cH)^{\Delta X}| = |(gH)^{\Delta X}|$. Finally, if $E \leq {}^{(g,1)}\Delta H$, then ${}^{(g^{-1},1)}E = \Delta X$ for some $X \in \mathcal{H}_p(H)$. Again we can write $g = ch$ with $c \in C_G(X)$ and $h \in H$. Then $g = h'c$ with $h' = ghg^{-1} \in H$ and $E = {}^{(g,1)}(\Delta X) = {}^{(h'c,1)}(\Delta X) = {}^{(h',1)}(\Delta X)$ is $H \times H$ -conjugate to ΔX . By the first case, this implies

$$|(gH)^E| = |(gH)^{\Delta X}| = |H^{\Delta X}| = |H^E|. \quad \square$$

Remark 1.10. In the case $k = \mathbb{Z}$, we do not know if there is a similar equivalence (i) \iff (iv) as in Theorem 1.9 with $\mathcal{H}_p(H)$ replaced by some other set $\mathcal{S}(H)$ of subgroups of H . Even if there existed such a set $\mathcal{S}(H)$, we don't have a good guess what it should be.

If $\mathbb{Z}H \subseteq \mathbb{Z}G$ has depth one, then $kH \subseteq kG$ has depth one for every commutative ring k (by scalar extension). In particular, this implies that $G = HC_G(X)$ for every p -hypoelementary subgroup X of H for all primes p . We do not know if the converse holds. On the other hand, if $G = HC_G(H)$, then $\mathbb{Z}H \subseteq \mathbb{Z}G$ has depth one by Corollary 1.3. However, the converse is not true. In fact, by [Hertweck 2001, Theorem A], there exist a finite group H (metabelian of order $2^{25} \cdot 97^2$), a noninner automorphism g of H , and a unit u of $\mathbb{Z}H$ with $g(a) = uau^{-1}$. We set $G := H \rtimes \langle g \rangle$. By Proposition 1.2, we obtain $\mathbb{Z}g^i H \cong \mathbb{Z}H$ as $(\mathbb{Z}H, \mathbb{Z}H)$ -bimodules for every integer i . This implies that $\mathbb{Z}G = \bigoplus_{xH \in G/H} \mathbb{Z}xH \cong (\mathbb{Z}H)^{[G:H]}$ and that $\mathbb{Z}H \subseteq \mathbb{Z}G$ has depth one. But $g \notin C_G(H)H$, since g is not an inner automorphism of H . This shows that if, for each finite group H , there exists a set of subgroups $\mathcal{S}(H)$ of H that replaces $\mathcal{H}_p(H)$ in Theorem 1.9(iv) in the case $k = \mathbb{Z}$, then $H \notin \mathcal{S}(H)$ for Hertweck's group H .

2. Depth one for source algebras of blocks

2.1. Let G be a finite group, let p be a prime, and let (K, R, F) be a p -modular system. Thus, R is a complete discrete valuation ring of characteristic zero, K is the field of fractions of R , and F , the residue field of R , has characteristic p . We assume that R contains a root of unity of order $\exp(G)$ and that F is algebraically closed. Then K and F are splitting fields for KG and FG , respectively. For an R -order A , we denote by \bar{A} the finite-dimensional F -algebra $F \otimes_R A$. In the following, let $k \in \{R, F\}$.

In this section, we will consider the depth-one condition for blocks and source algebras. For general background, we refer to the books [Thévenaz 1995] and [Külshammer 1991]. For the convenience of the reader, we recall some of the basic concepts.

An interior G -algebra over k consists of a k -order A and a group homomorphism $i: G \rightarrow A^\times$, where A^\times denotes the group of units of A . In this case, we will consider the k -linear extension $kG \rightarrow A$ of i as a ring extension. Two interior G -algebras A_1 and A_2 are called *isomorphic* if there exists an isomorphism $f: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ commuting with the structural maps $i_1: G \rightarrow A_1^\times$ and $i_2: G \rightarrow A_2^\times$.

If A is an interior G -algebra, then a *point* of a subgroup H of G on A is an $(A^H)^\times$ -conjugacy class β of primitive idempotents in the subalgebra

$$A^H := \{a \in A \mid ha = ah \text{ for all } h \in H\}$$

of A . In this case the pair $(H, \beta) =: H_\beta$ is called a *pointed group* on A .

The point β of H on A is called *local* if $\beta \not\subseteq \text{Tr}_L^H(A^L)$ for every proper subgroup L of H ; here $\text{Tr}_L^H: A^L \rightarrow A^H$, $a \mapsto \sum_{h \in H/L} hah^{-1}$ is the *relative trace map*. If β is a local point of H on A then H_β is called a *local pointed group* on A . One can show that in this case H has to be a p -group.

Let H_β and L_γ be pointed groups on A . We write $L_\gamma \leq H_\beta$ if $L \leq H$ and $jAj \subseteq iAi$ for suitable idempotents $i \in \beta$, $j \in \gamma$. This defines a partial order on the set of pointed groups on A . The group G acts by conjugation on the set of all pointed groups H_β on A , and this action is compatible with the partial order relation. We denote by $N_G(H_\beta)$ the stabilizer of H_β in G . Thus, $N_G(H_\beta)$ is a subgroup of $N_G(H)$.

A *block* of kG is an indecomposable direct summand B of kG , considered as a (kG, kG) -bimodule. In this case B is a k -order in its own right. We consider B as an interior G -algebra via the group homomorphism $G \rightarrow B^\times$, $g \mapsto g1_B = 1_Bg$. Then $\alpha := \{1_B\}$ is a point of G on B and we consider G_α as a pointed group on B .

The maximal local pointed groups $P_\gamma \leq G_\alpha$ are called *defect pointed groups* of G_α (and of B). They are unique up to conjugation in G . If P_γ is a defect pointed group on B , then P is also called a *defect group* of B . For $i \in \gamma$, the k -order $B_\gamma = iBi = ikGi$ is called a *source algebra* of B . One can show that $BiB = B$, so that B and iBi are Morita equivalent k -orders via multiplication with i . The source algebra iBi will always be considered as an interior P -algebra via the map $P \rightarrow (iBi)^\times$, $x \mapsto ix = xi$.

The block B is called *nilpotent* if $N_G(Q_\delta)/C_G(Q)$ is a p -group for every local pointed group $Q_\delta \leq G_\alpha$ on B . (Note that indeed $C_G(Q) \subseteq N_G(Q_\delta)$ here.) Puig [1988] determined the structure of the source algebra of a nilpotent block. It is a consequence of his results that every nilpotent block has a unique simple module in characteristic p , up to isomorphism. We will make use of Puig's results in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *Let B be a block of RG with defect pointed group P_γ , and let B_γ be a corresponding source algebra. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The ring extension $FP \rightarrow \overline{B}_\gamma$ defined by the canonical map $P \rightarrow \overline{B}_\gamma^\times$ has depth one.*
- (ii) *B_γ and RP are isomorphic as interior P -algebras.*
- (iii) *B is a nilpotent block, and the unique simple \overline{B} -module M has a trivial source.*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Suppose that the ring extension $FP \rightarrow \overline{B}_\gamma$ has depth one. Then $\overline{B}_\gamma \mid (FP)^n$ as an (FP, FP) -bimodule, for some positive integer n . Thus every indecomposable direct summand of the (FP, FP) -bimodule \overline{B}_γ is isomorphic to FP . Hence [Thévenaz 1995, Theorem 44.3] implies that $N_G(P_\gamma) = PC_G(P)$ and $\overline{B}_\gamma \cong FP$, as an (FP, FP) -bimodule; in particular, we have $\text{rk}_R(B_\gamma) = \dim_F \overline{B}_\gamma = |P|$. The same theorem now implies that $B_\gamma \cong RP$ as interior P -algebras.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Suppose that B_γ and RP are isomorphic interior P -algebras. Then a result by Puig [1988, Theorem 1.6] implies that the block B is nilpotent [Thévenaz 1995, Remark 50.10]. We write $\overline{B}_\gamma = iFGi$, where i is a primitive idempotent in $(FG)^P$. Since every block has at least one simple module whose vertices are defect groups of the block, P is a vertex of the unique simple \overline{B} -module M . By [Thévenaz 1995, Proposition 38.3], M has an FP -source V such that $V \mid iM$, as an FP -module. Since \overline{B} and \overline{B}_γ are Morita equivalent via multiplication with i , the \overline{B}_γ -module iM is simple. Since $\overline{B}_\gamma \cong FP$, iM is trivial as an FP -module, and so is V .

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Suppose that B is nilpotent and that the unique simple \overline{B} -module M has a trivial source. Then M has vertex P , as above, and a result by Puig [Thévenaz 1995, Theorem 50.6] implies that $\overline{B}_\gamma \cong S \otimes_F FP$ as interior P -algebras, where S is an interior P -algebra that is simple as an F -algebra. (The tensor product of two interior P -algebras is again an interior P -algebra via the diagonal map.) As above, we write $\overline{B}_\gamma = iFGi$, where i is a primitive idempotent in $(FG)^P$. Since \overline{B} and \overline{B}_γ are Morita equivalent via multiplication with i , the module iM is the unique simple \overline{B}_γ -module, up to isomorphism. Thus, S and $\text{End}_F(iM)$ are isomorphic interior P -algebras; in particular, $S^P \cong \text{End}_{FP}(iM)$ as F -algebras. But S^P is a local ring (since \overline{B}_γ^P is), so iM is indecomposable as an FP -module. On the other hand, [Thévenaz 1995, Proposition 38.3] implies that iM has a direct summand, as an FP -module, which is a source of M . Thus $\dim_F iM = 1$. Hence $\dim_F S = 1$, so $S \cong F$ and $\overline{B}_\gamma \cong FP$. In particular, the ring extension $FP \rightarrow \overline{B}_\gamma$ has depth one. \square

2.3. It would be interesting to have a similar description of the depth-two condition for source algebras of blocks. The goal of this subsection is to show that $RP \rightarrow B_\gamma$ (and also $FP \rightarrow \overline{B}_\gamma$) is a *symmetric Frobenius extension*, so that the left and right depth-two conditions are equivalent [Kadison and Szlachányi 2003, Proposition 6.4].

Recall from [Kadison 1999, Theorem I.1.2] that a ring extension $f: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$ is called a *Frobenius extension* if there exist a (Γ, Γ) -bimodule homomorphism $E: \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$ and elements $x_j, y_j \in \Delta$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_j E(y_j a) = a = \sum_{j=1}^n E(ax_j) y_j \quad (2.3.a)$$

for all $a \in \Delta$. If in addition

$$E(ca) = E(ac) \quad (2.3.b)$$

holds for all $a \in \Delta$ and $c \in C_\Delta(\Gamma)$, then one calls the extension $f: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$ a *symmetric Frobenius extension*.

If $\Gamma \subseteq \Delta$ is a symmetric Frobenius extension and e is an idempotent in $C_\Delta(\Gamma)$, then $e\Gamma e \subseteq e\Delta e$ is a symmetric Frobenius extension. In fact, if $E: \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$ satisfies

(2.3.a) and (2.3.b), then it is easy to verify that $\tilde{E}: e\Delta e \rightarrow e\Gamma e$, $a \mapsto eE(a)e$ satisfies

$$\sum_{j=1}^n ex_j e \tilde{E}(ey_j ea) = a = \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{E}(aex_j e) ey_j e$$

for all $a \in e\Delta e$. Moreover, Equation (2.3.b) implies $\tilde{E}(ca) = \tilde{E}(ac)$ for all $a \in e\Delta e$ and $c \in C_{e\Delta e}(e\Gamma e) = eC_\Delta(\Gamma)e$.

If H is a subgroup of G , then $kH \subseteq kG$ is a symmetric Frobenius extension. In fact, one can choose for $E: kG \rightarrow kH$ the canonical projection, and for x_j and y_j , coset representatives of G/H and their inverses. Thus, if e is an idempotent in $(kG)^H$, then also $ekHe \rightarrow ekGe$ is a symmetric Frobenius extension. This holds even over arbitrary commutative rings k .

Now our claim follows by specializing to $H = P$ and $e = 1_{B_\gamma}$ (or $e = 1_{\overline{B_\gamma}}$), and noting that $kP \rightarrow ekPe$, $a \mapsto eae = ea = ae$ is an isomorphism of k -algebras.

By the preceding discussion, we do not need to distinguish between the left and the right depth-two condition in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4. *Let B be the principal block of RG , and let P_γ be a maximal local pointed group on B (so that P is a Sylow p -subgroup of G). Set $E := N_G(P_\gamma)/PC_G(P)$. Let B_γ be a source algebra of B . Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The ring extension $FP \rightarrow \overline{B_\gamma}$ defined by the structural map $P \rightarrow \overline{B_\gamma}^\times$ has depth two.*
- (ii) *B_γ is isomorphic to a twisted group algebra $R_\sharp[P \rtimes E]$ of the semidirect product $P \rtimes E$, as an interior P -algebra.*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Suppose that the ring extension $FP \rightarrow \overline{B_\gamma}$ has depth two, and write $A := \overline{B_\gamma} = iFGi$, where i is a primitive idempotent in $(FG)^P$. Then there exists a positive integer n such that

$$\text{Res}_{FP}^A \text{Ind}_{FP}^A \text{Res}_{FP}^A(iM) \mid \text{Res}_{FP}^A(iM)^n$$

for every B -module M . Taking for M the trivial FG -module F , we obtain

$$A \otimes_{FP} iF \mid (iF)^n$$

in ${}_{FP}\text{Mod}$. Thus, P acts trivially on $A \otimes_{FP} iF$. On the other hand, A is a direct sum of (FP, FP) -bimodules of the form $F[PgP]$, for suitable $g \in G$. It is easy to see that $F[PgP] \otimes_{FP} iF \cong \text{Ind}_{P \cap gPg^{-1}}^P(F)$ in ${}_{FP}\text{Mod}$. And if P acts trivially on $\text{Ind}_{P \cap gPg^{-1}}^P(F)$, then $g \in N_G(P)$. Thus A is in fact a direct sum of (FP, FP) -bimodules of the form $F[PgP]$, for suitable $g \in N_G(P)$. Hence [Thévenaz 1995, Theorem 44.3], a result by Puig, implies that $\text{rk}_R B_\gamma = \dim_F \overline{B_\gamma} = |P| \cdot |E|$. Thus [Thévenaz 1995, Theorem 45.11], another result by Puig, implies (ii).

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Suppose that (ii) holds. Since $R_{\#}[P \rtimes E]$ is a strongly E -graded ring with 1-component $R_{\#}P \cong RP$, [Boltje and Külshammer 2010, Proposition 1.5] shows that the ring extension $RP \rightarrow R_{\#}[P \rtimes E]$ has depth two. Tensoring with F , we obtain (i). \square

Remark 2.5. The implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) is valid for arbitrary blocks B of RG . Also, if (ii) holds, one can show that every simple \overline{B} -module M has trivial source by noting that P acts trivially on iM .

References

- [Benson 1998] D. J. Benson, *Representations and cohomology, I: Basic representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **30**, Cambridge University Press, 1998. MR 99f:20001a Zbl 0908.20001
- [Boltje and Glesser 2007] R. Boltje and A. Glesser, “On p -monomial modules over local domains”, *J. Group Theory* **10**:2 (2007), 173–183. MR 2007m:20013 Zbl 1179.20006
- [Boltje and Külshammer 2010] R. Boltje and B. Külshammer, “On the depth 2 condition for group algebra and Hopf algebra extensions”, *J. Algebra* **323**:6 (2010), 1783–1796. MR 2011a:16043 Zbl 1200.16035
- [Curtis and Reiner 1981] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Methods of representation theory, I*, Wiley, New York, 1981. MR 82i:20001 Zbl 0469.20001
- [Hertweck 2001] M. Hertweck, “A counterexample to the isomorphism problem for integral group rings”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **154**:1 (2001), 115–138. MR 2002e:20010 Zbl 0990.20002
- [Hirata 1969] K. Hirata, “Separable extensions and centralizers of rings”, *Nagoya Math. J.* **35** (1969), 31–45. MR 39 #5636 Zbl 0179.33503
- [Kadison 1999] L. Kadison, *New examples of Frobenius extensions*, University Lecture Series **14**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999. MR 2001j:16024 Zbl 0929.16036
- [Kadison 2008] L. Kadison, “Finite depth and Jacobson–Bourbaki correspondence”, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **212**:7 (2008), 1822–1839. MR 2009f:16070 Zbl 1145.16021
- [Kadison and Szlachányi 2003] L. Kadison and K. Szlachányi, “Bialgebroid actions on depth two extensions and duality”, *Adv. Math.* **179**:1 (2003), 75–121. MR 2004i:16055 Zbl 1049.16022
- [Külshammer 1991] B. Külshammer, *Lectures on block theory*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **161**, Cambridge University Press, 1991. MR 92h:20020 Zbl 0726.20006
- [Nagao and Tsushima 1989] H. Nagao and Y. Tsushima, *Representations of finite groups*, Academic Press, Boston, 1989. MR 90h:20008 Zbl 0673.20002
- [Puig 1988] L. Puig, “Nilpotent blocks and their source algebras”, *Invent. Math.* **93**:1 (1988), 77–116. MR 89e:20023 Zbl 0646.20010
- [Thévenaz 1995] J. Thévenaz, *G-algebras and modular representation theory*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995. MR 96j:20017 Zbl 0837.20015

Communicated by Dave Benson

Received 2010-01-15 Revised 2010-04-22 Accepted 2010-06-06

boltje@ucsc.edu

*Department of Mathematics, University of California,
Santa Cruz, CA 95064, United States*

kuelshammer@uni-jena.de

*Mathematical Institute, Friedrich Schiller University,
07737 Jena, Germany*

Algebra & Number Theory

www.jant.org

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Bjorn Poonen
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, USA

EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR

David Eisenbud
University of California
Berkeley, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Georgia Benkart	University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA	Shigefumi Mori	RIMS, Kyoto University, Japan
Dave Benson	University of Aberdeen, Scotland	Andrei Okounkov	Princeton University, USA
Richard E. Borcherds	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Raman Parimala	Emory University, USA
John H. Coates	University of Cambridge, UK	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
J-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Sud, France	Karl Rubin	University of California, Irvine, USA
Brian D. Conrad	University of Michigan, USA	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Hélène Esnault	Universität Duisburg-Essen, Germany	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Hubert Flenner	Ruhr-Universität, Germany	Ronald Solomon	Ohio State University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Vasudevan Srinivas	Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, India
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	J. Toby Stafford	University of Michigan, USA
Joseph Gubeladze	San Francisco State University, USA	Bernd Sturmfels	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Ehud Hrushovski	Hebrew University, Israel	Richard Taylor	Harvard University, USA
Craig Huneke	University of Kansas, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Mikhail Kapranov	Yale University, USA	Michel van den Bergh	Hasselt University, Belgium
Yujiro Kawamata	University of Tokyo, Japan	Marie-France Vignéras	Université Paris VII, France
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Kei-Ichi Watanabe	Nihon University, Japan
Yuri Manin	Northwestern University, USA	Andrei Zelevinsky	Northeastern University, USA
Barry Mazur	Harvard University, USA	Efim Zelmanov	University of California, San Diego, USA
Susan Montgomery	University of Southern California, USA		

PRODUCTION

contact@msp.org

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Andrew Levy, Production Editor


See inside back cover or www.jant.org for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2011 is US \$150/year for the electronic version, and \$210/year (+\$35 shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, USA.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1937-0652) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW™ from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**

<http://msp.org/>

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L^AT_EX

Copyright ©2011 by Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 5 No. 1 2011

Formules pour l'invariant de Rost PHILIPPE GILLE and ANNE QUÉGUINER-MATHIEU	1
Modular abelian varieties of odd modular degree SOROOSH YAZDANI	37
Group algebra extensions of depth one ROBERT BOLTJE and BURKHARD KÜLSHAMMER	63
Set-theoretic defining equations of the variety of principal minors of symmetric matrices LUKE OEDING	75
Frobenius difference equations and algebraic independence of zeta values in positive equal characteristic CHIEH-YU CHANG, MATTHEW A. PAPANIKOLAS and JING YU	111



1937-0652(2011)5:1;1-G