

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 5

2011

No. 3

Toric-friendly groups

Mikhail Borovoi and Zinovy Reichstein



mathematical sciences publishers

Toric-friendly groups

Mikhail Borovoi and Zinovy Reichstein

Let G be a connected linear algebraic group over a field k . We say that G is toric-friendly if for any field extension K/k and any maximal K -torus T in G the group $G(K)$ acts transitively on $(G/T)(K)$. Our main result is a classification of semisimple (and under certain assumptions on k , of connected) toric-friendly groups.

Introduction

Let k be a field and X be a homogeneous space of a connected linear algebraic group G defined over k . The first question one usually asks about X is whether or not it has a k -point. If the answer is “yes”, then one often wants to know whether or not the set $X(k)$ of k -points of X forms a single orbit under the group $G(k)$.

In this paper we shall focus on the case where the geometric stabilizers for the G -action on X are maximal tori of $G_{\bar{k}} := G \times_k \bar{k}$ (here \bar{k} stands for a fixed algebraic closure of k). Such homogeneous spaces arise, in particular, in the study of the adjoint action of a connected reductive group G on its Lie algebra or of the conjugation action of G on itself; see [Colliot-Thélène et al. 2011]. It is shown in Corollary 4.6 of the same reference (see also [Kottwitz 1982, Lemma 2.1]) that every homogeneous space X of this type has a k -point, assuming that G is split and $\text{char}(k) = 0$. Therefore it is natural to ask if this point is unique up to translations by $G(k)$.

Definition 0.1. Let k be a field. We say that a connected linear k -group G is *toric-friendly* if for every field extension K/k the following condition is satisfied:

- (*) For every maximal K -torus T of $G_K := G \times_k K$, the group $G(K)$ has only one orbit in $(G_K/T)(K)$; equivalently, the natural map $\pi : G(K) \rightarrow (G_K/T)(K)$ is surjective.

Borovoi was partially supported by the Hermann Minkowski Center for Geometry. Reichstein was partially supported by NSERC Discovery and Accelerator Supplement grants.

MSC2000: primary 20G10; secondary 20G15, 14G05.

Keywords: toric-friendly group, linear algebraic group, semisimple group, maximal torus, rational point, elementary obstruction.

Examining the cohomology exact sequence associated to the K -subgroup T of G_K [Serre 1994, I.5.4, Proposition 36], we see that G is toric-friendly if and only if $\ker[H^1(K, T) \rightarrow H^1(K, G)] = 1$ for every field extension K/k and every maximal K -torus T of G_K .

Observe that G is toric-friendly if and only if condition $(*)$ of Definition 0.1 is satisfied for all *finitely generated* extensions K/k .

We are interested in classifying toric-friendly groups. In Section 1 we partially reduce this problem to the case where the group is semisimple. The rest of this paper will be devoted to proving the following classification theorem for semisimple toric-friendly groups.

Main Theorem 0.2. *Let k be a field. A connected semisimple k -group G is toric-friendly if and only if G is isomorphic to a direct product $\prod_i R_{F_i/k} G'_i$, where each F_i is a finite separable extension of k and each G'_i is an inner form of PGL_{n_i, F_i} for some integer n_i .*

Notation. Unless otherwise specified, k will denote an arbitrary field. For any field K we denote by K_s a separable closure of K .

By a k -group we mean an affine algebraic group scheme over k , not necessarily smooth or connected. However, when talking of a *reductive* or *semisimple* k -group, we implicitly assume smoothness and connectedness.

Let S be a k -group. We denote by $H^i(k, S)$ the i -th flat cohomology set for $i = 0, 1$ [Waterhouse 1979, 17.6]. If S is abelian, we denote by $H^i(k, S)$ the i -th flat cohomology group for $i \geq 0$ [Berhuy et al. 2007, Appendix B]. There are exact sequences for flat cohomology similar to those for Galois cohomology, [Waterhouse 1979, 18.1; Berhuy et al. 2007, Appendix B]. When S is smooth, the flat cohomology $H^i(k, S)$ can be identified with Galois cohomology.

1. First reductions

Lemma 1.1. *Let $1 \rightarrow U \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\varphi} G' \rightarrow 1$ be an exact sequence of smooth connected k -groups, where U is unipotent. We assume that U is k -split, that is, has a composition series over k whose successive quotients are isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_{a, k}$. Then G is toric-friendly if and only if G' is toric-friendly.*

Proof. Choose a field extension K/k and a maximal K -torus $T \subset G_K$. Set $T' = \varphi(T) \subset G'_K$, then T' is a maximal torus of G'_K . The map $\varphi^T : T \rightarrow T'$ is an isomorphism, because $T \cap U_K = 1$ (as U_K is unipotent). Conversely, let us start from a maximal torus T' of G'_K . The preimage

$$H = \varphi^{-1}(T') \subset G_K$$

of T' is smooth and connected, so any maximal torus T of H maps isomorphically onto T' and therefore it is maximal in G_K .

Now we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{CD} H^1(K, T) @>>> H^1(K, G) \\ @V{\varphi_*^T}VV @VV{\varphi_*}V \\ H^1(K, T') @>>> H^1(K, G') \end{CD}$$

Since $\varphi^T : T \rightarrow T'$ is an isomorphism of tori, the left vertical arrow φ_*^T is an isomorphism of abelian groups. On the other hand, by [Sansuc 1981, Lemma 1.13], the right vertical arrow φ_* is a bijective map. We see that the top horizontal arrow in the diagram is injective if and only if the bottom horizontal arrow is injective, which proves the lemma. □

Let k be a perfect field and G be a connected k -group. Recall that over a perfect field the unipotent radical of G makes sense; that is, the “geometric” unipotent radical over an algebraic closure is defined over k , by Galois descent. We denote the unipotent radical of G by $R_u(G)$.

Corollary 1.2. *Let k be a perfect field, G be a connected k -group, and $R_u(G)$ be its unipotent radical. Then G is toric-friendly if and only if the associated reductive k -group $G/R_u(G)$ is toric-friendly.*

Proof. Since k is perfect, the smooth connected unipotent k -group $R_u(G)$ is k -split [Borel 1991, Theorem 15.4], and the corollary follows from Lemma 1.1. □

Let k be a field. We recall that a k -group G is called *special* if $H^1(K, G) = 1$ for every field extension K/k . This notion was introduced by J.-P. Serre [1958]. Semisimple special groups over an algebraically closed field were classified by A. Grothendieck [1958]; we shall use his classification later on.

Recall that a k -torus T is called *quasitrivial*, if its character group $\mathbb{X}(T)$ is a permutation Galois module. Split tori and, more general, quasitrivial tori are special.

Proposition 1.3. *Let $1 \rightarrow C \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\varphi} G' \rightarrow 1$ be an exact sequence of k -groups, where G and G' are reductive, and $C \subset G$ is central, hence of multiplicative type (not necessarily connected or smooth).*

- (a) *If G is toric-friendly, so is G' .*
- (b) *If C is a special k -torus, then G is toric-friendly if and only if G' is toric-friendly.*

Proof. Let K/k be a field extension. The map $T \mapsto T' := \varphi(T)$ is a bijection between the set of maximal K -tori $T \subset G_K$ and the set of maximal K -tori $T' \subset G'_K$

(the inverse map is $T' \mapsto T := \varphi^{-1}(T')$). For such T and $T' = \varphi(T)$ we have commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G_K & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & G'_K \\
 \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi' \\
 G_K/T & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\varphi_*} & G'_K/T'
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 G(K) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & G'(K) \\
 \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi' \\
 (G_K/T)(K) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\varphi_*} & (G'_K/T')(K)
 \end{array}$$

where $\varphi_* : G_K/T \xrightarrow{\sim} G'_K/T'$ is an isomorphism of K -varieties, and the induced map on K -points $\varphi_* : (G_K/T)(K) \rightarrow (G'_K/T')(K)$ is a bijection. Now, if G is toric-friendly, then the map $\pi : G(K) \rightarrow (G_K/T)(K)$ is surjective, and we see from the right-hand diagram that then the map $\pi' : G'(K) \rightarrow (G'_K/T')(K)$ is surjective as well. This shows that G' is toric-friendly, thus proving (a).

To prove (b), assume that G' is toric-friendly and C is a special k -torus. Then the map $\pi' : G'(K) \rightarrow (G'_K/T')(K)$ is surjective (because G' is toric-friendly) and the map $\varphi : G(K) \rightarrow G'(K)$ is surjective (because C is special). We see from the right-hand diagram that the map $\pi : G(K) \rightarrow (G_K/T)(K)$ is surjective as well. Hence G is toric-friendly. □

We record the following immediate corollary of Proposition 1.3(b).

Corollary 1.4. *Let G be a reductive k -group. Suppose that the radical $R(G)$ is a special k -torus (in particular, this condition is satisfied if $R(G)$ is a quasitrivial k -torus). Then G is toric-friendly if and only if the semisimple group $G/R(G)$ is toric-friendly.* □

The next result follows from Corollaries 1.2 and 1.4. It partially reduces the problem of classifying toric-friendly groups G to the case where G is semisimple.

Corollary 1.5. *Let k be a perfect field. Let G be a connected k -group containing a split maximal torus. Then G is toric-friendly if and only if the semisimple group $G/R(G)$ is toric-friendly.* □

The following two lemmas will be used to reduce the problem of classifying adjoint semisimple toric-friendly groups G to the case where G is an absolutely simple adjoint k -group.

Lemma 1.6. *A direct product $G = G' \times_k G''$ of connected k -groups is toric-friendly if and only if both G' and G'' are toric-friendly.*

Proof. Let K/k be a field extension. Let $T' \subset G'_K$ and $T'' \subset G''_K$ be maximal K -tori, then $T := T' \times_K T'' \subset G_K$ is a maximal K -torus, and every maximal K -torus

in G_K is of this form. The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G(K) & \xlongequal{\quad} & G'(K) \times G''(K) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (G_K/T)(K) & \xlongequal{\quad} & (G'_K/T')(K) \times (G''_K/T'')(K) \end{array}$$

shows that every K -point of G_K/T lifts to G if and only if every K -point of G'_K/T' lifts to G' and every K -point of G''_K/T'' lifts to G'' . \square

Lemma 1.7. *Let l/k be a finite separable field extension, G' a connected l -group, and $G = R_{l/k}G'$. Then G is toric-friendly if and only if G' is toric-friendly.*

Proof. Let K/k be a field extension. Then $l \otimes_k K = L_1 \times \cdots \times L_r$, where L_i are finite separable extensions of K . It follows that $G_K = \prod_i R_{L_i/K}G'_{L_i}$. Let $T \subset G_K$ be a maximal K -torus, then $T = \prod_i R_{L_i/K}T'_i$, where T'_i is a maximal L_i -torus of G'_{L_i} for each i . We have

$$G(K) = G_K(K) = \left(\prod_i R_{L_i/K}G'_{L_i} \right)(K) = \prod_i G'_{L_i}(L_i) = \prod_i G'(L_i)$$

and similarly $(G_K/T)(K) = \prod_i (G'_{L_i}/T'_i)(L_i)$, yielding a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G(K) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \prod_i G'(L_i) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (G_K/T)(K) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \prod_i (G'_{L_i}/T'_i)(L_i) \end{array}$$

If G' is toric-friendly, then the right vertical arrow in the diagram is surjective, hence the left vertical arrow is surjective and G is toric-friendly.

Conversely, assume that G is toric-friendly. Let L/l be a field extension and $T' \subset G'_L$ a maximal L -torus. Set $K := L$ and $T := T'$ in the diagram above. Then we can identify L with one of L_i in the decomposition $l \otimes_k K = L_1 \times \cdots \times L_r$, say with L_1 . In this way we identify G'_L with G'_{L_1} and G'_L/T' with G'_{L_1}/T'_1 . Since G is toric-friendly, the left vertical arrow in the diagram is surjective, hence the right vertical arrow is also surjective. This means that the map $G'(L_i) \rightarrow (G'_{L_i}/T'_i)(L_i)$ is surjective for each i and in particular, for $i = 1$. Consequently, the map $G'(L) \rightarrow (G'_L/T')(L)$ is surjective, and G' is toric-friendly, as desired. \square

2. The elementary obstruction

2.1. Let K be a field and X be a smooth geometrically integral K -variety. Write $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Gal}(K_s/K)$, where K_s is a fixed separable closure of K . Recall from [Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc 1987, Definition 2.2.1] that the *elementary obstruction* $\text{ob}(X)$

is the class in $\text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1(K_s(X)^*/K_s^*, K_s^*)$ of the extension

$$1 \rightarrow K_s^* \rightarrow K_s(X)^* \rightarrow K_s(X)^*/K_s^* \rightarrow 1.$$

In particular, $\text{ob}(X) = 0$ if and only if this extension of \mathfrak{g} -modules splits. If X has a K -point, then $\text{ob}(X) = 0$ [Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc 1987, Proposition 2.2.2(a)]. Conversely, if Y is a T -torsor over K for some K -torus T , and $\text{ob}(Y) = 0$, then Y has a K -point, by Lemma 2.1(iv) of [Borovoi et al. 2008]. However, if X is an H -torsor over K for some simply connected semisimple K -group H , then $\text{ob}(X) = 0$ even when X has no K -points; see Lemma 2.2(viii) of that same reference. (The standing assumption in [Borovoi et al. 2008] is that $\text{char}(K) = 0$; however, the proofs of Lemmas 2.1(iv) and 2.2(viii) go through in arbitrary characteristic.)

The following key lemma was suggested to us by J.-L. Colliot-Thélène.

Lemma 2.2. *Let K be a field, T be a K -torus, H be a simply connected semisimple K -group, X be a H -torsor over K and Y be a T -torsor over K . If Y has an F -point over the function field $F = K(X)$ of X , then Y has a K -point.*

Proof. Since H is simply connected, $\text{ob}(X) = 0$; see Section 2.1 above. Suppose Y has an F -point. This means that there exist a K -rational map $X \dashrightarrow Y$. By [Wittenberg 2008, Lemma 3.1.2], if we have a K -rational map $X \dashrightarrow Y$ between smooth geometrically integral K -varieties, then $\text{ob}(X) = 0$ implies $\text{ob}(Y) = 0$. Since T is a K -torus, if $\text{ob}(Y) = 0$, then $Y(K) \neq \emptyset$; see Section 2.1 above. Thus in our situation Y has a K -point, as claimed. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let k be a field. Assume we have a commutative diagram of k -groups*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \longrightarrow & T \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H & \longrightarrow & G \end{array}$$

where G is a smooth connected k -group, the vertical map $T \rightarrow G$ is the inclusion of a maximal k -torus T into G , and H is semisimple and simply connected. If there exists a field extension K/k such that the map

$$H^1(K, S) \rightarrow H^1(K, T)$$

is nontrivial, then G is not toric-friendly.

Proof. Choose K and $s \in H^1(K, S)$ such that the image $t \in H^1(K, T)$ of s in $H^1(K, T)$ is nontrivial. Let $h \in H^1(K, H)$ be the image of $s \in H^1(K, S)$ in $H^1(K, H)$, and let $g \in H^1(K, G)$ be the image of t (and of h) in $H^1(K, G)$, as

shown in the commutative diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H^1(K, S) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, T) & & s & \longrightarrow & t \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 H^1(K, H) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, G) & & h & \longrightarrow & g
 \end{array}$$

Let X be an H -torsor over K representing h and let $F = K(X)$ be the function field of X . We denote by h_F the image of h in $H^1(F, H)$, and similarly we define s_F , t_F , and g_F . Clearly X has an F -point, hence $h_F = 1$ in $H^1(F, H)$ and therefore $g_F = 1$ in $H^1(F, G)$. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.2, $t_F \neq 1$. We conclude that the kernel of the natural map $H^1(F, T) \rightarrow H^1(F, G)$ contains $t_F \neq 1$ and hence, is nontrivial. This implies that G is not toric-friendly. \square

2.4. Let G be a reductive k -group. Let G^{ss} be the derived group of G (it is semisimple), and let G^{sc} be the universal cover of G^{ss} (it is semisimple and simply connected). Consider the composed homomorphism $f : G^{sc} \twoheadrightarrow G^{ss} \hookrightarrow G$.

Let K/k be a field extension. There is a canonical bijective correspondence $T \leftrightarrow T^{sc}$ between the set of maximal K -tori $T \subset G_K$ and the set of maximal K -tori $T^{sc} \subset G^{sc}$. Starting from a maximal K -torus $T \subset G_K$, we define a maximal K -torus $T^{sc} := f^{-1}(T) \subset G^{sc}_K$. Conversely, starting from a maximal K -torus $T^{sc} \subset G^{sc}_K$, we define a maximal K -torus $T := f(T^{sc}) \cdot R(G)_K \subset G_K$, where $R(G)$ is the radical of G .

Proposition 2.5. *Let G be a reductive k -group. Let G^{sc} and $f : G^{sc} \rightarrow G$ be as in Section 2.4 above. Let K/k be a field extension, $T \subset G_K$ be a maximal K -torus of G_K , and set $T^{sc} = f^{-1}(T) \subset G^{sc}_K$ as above. If the natural map $H^1(K, T^{sc}) \rightarrow H^1(K, T)$ is nontrivial, then G is not toric-friendly.*

Proof. Immediate from Lemma 2.3. \square

Proposition 2.6. *Let G be a semisimple k -group, $f : G^{sc} \rightarrow G$ be the universal covering and $C := \ker(f)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) G is toric-friendly.
- (b) The map $H^1(K, T^{sc}) \rightarrow H^1(K, T)$ is trivial (identically zero) for every field extension K/k and every maximal K -torus T^{sc} of G^{sc} . Here $T := f(T^{sc})$.
- (c) The map $H^1(K, C) \rightarrow H^1(K, T^{sc})$ is surjective for every field extension K/k and every maximal K -torus T^{sc} of G^{sc} .
- (d) The connecting homomorphism $\partial_T : H^1(K, T) \rightarrow H^2(K, C)$ is injective for every field extension K/k and every maximal K -torus T of G .
- (e) The natural map $H^1(K, T) \rightarrow H^1(K, G)$ is injective for every field extension K/k and every maximal K -torus T of G .

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) by Proposition 2.5. Examining the cohomology sequence

$$H^1(K, C) \rightarrow H^1(K, T^{\text{sc}}) \rightarrow H^1(K, T) \rightarrow H^2(K, C)$$

associated to the exact sequence $1 \rightarrow C \rightarrow T^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow T \rightarrow 1$ of k -groups, we see that (b), (c) and (d) are equivalent.

(d) \Rightarrow (e): The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & T^{\text{sc}} & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & G^{\text{sc}} & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

of K -groups induces compatible connecting morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(K, T) & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow \partial_T & \\ & & H^2(K, C) \\ & \nearrow \partial_G & \\ H^1(K, G) & & \end{array}$$

Suppose $\alpha, \beta \in H^1(K, T)$ map to the same element in $H^1(K, G)$. Then the diagram above shows that $\partial_T(\alpha) = \partial_T(\beta)$ in $H^2(K, C)$. Part (d) now tells us that $\alpha = \beta$.

(e) \Rightarrow (a) is obvious, since (a) is equivalent to the assertion that $H^1(K, T) \rightarrow H^1(K, G)$ has trivial kernel for every K and T ; see Definition 0.1. \square

Corollary 2.7. *With the assumptions and notation of Proposition 2.6, if G is toric-friendly and quasisplit, then*

- (a) *the map $H^1(K, G^{\text{sc}}) \rightarrow H^1(K, G)$ is trivial for every K/k ,*
- (b) *the map $H^1(K, C) \rightarrow H^1(K, G^{\text{sc}})$ is surjective for every K/k ,*
- (c) *the connecting map $\partial_G : H^1(K, G) \rightarrow H^2(K, C)$ has trivial kernel for every K/k .*

Proof. Examining the cohomology sequence

$$H^1(K, C) \rightarrow H^1(K, G^{\text{sc}}) \rightarrow H^1(K, G) \rightarrow H^2(K, C)$$

associated to the exact sequence $1 \rightarrow C \rightarrow G^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$, we see that (a), (b) and (c) are equivalent.

To prove (a), recall that since G_K is quasisplit, by a theorem of Steinberg [1965, Theorem 1.8] every $x^{\text{sc}} \in H^1(K, G^{\text{sc}})$ lies in the image of the map $H^1(K, T^{\text{sc}}) \rightarrow$

$H^1(K, G^{\text{sc}})$ for some maximal K -torus T^{sc} of G_K^{sc} . Since G is toric-friendly, by Proposition 2.6 the map $H^1(K, T^{\text{sc}}) \rightarrow H^1(K, T)$ is trivial. The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(K, T^{\text{sc}}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, T) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^1(K, G^{\text{sc}}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, G) \end{array}$$

now shows that the image of x^{sc} in $H^1(K, G)$ is 1. Thus the map $H^1(K, G^{\text{sc}}) \rightarrow H^1(K, G)$ is trivial. \square

Theorem 2.8. *Let G be a split semisimple k -group and $f : G^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow G$ be its universal covering map. If G is toric-friendly, then G^{sc} is special.*

Proof. Let T^{sc} be a split maximal torus of G^{sc} . Recall that T^{sc} is special (as is any split torus). Set $C = \ker f$, then $C \subset T^{\text{sc}}$. For any field extension K/k , the map $H^1(K, C) \rightarrow H^1(K, G^{\text{sc}})$ factors through $H^1(K, T^{\text{sc}}) = 1$ and hence is trivial. By Corollary 2.7(b) this map is also surjective. This shows that $H^1(K, G^{\text{sc}}) = 1$ for every K/k , that is, G^{sc} is special. \square

Remark 2.9. Our proof of Theorem 2.8 goes through for any (not necessarily split) semisimple k -group G , as long as G^{sc} contains a special maximal k -torus T^{sc} . In particular, Theorem 2.8 remains valid for any quasisplit semisimple k -group G , in view of Lemma 2.10 below. This lemma is a special case of [Colliot-Thélène et al. 2004, Lemma 5.6]; however, for the sake of completeness we supply a short self-contained proof.

Lemma 2.10. *Let G be a semisimple, simply connected, quasisplit k -group over a field k . Let $B \subset G$ be a Borel subgroup defined over k , and let $T \subset B \subset G$ be a maximal k -torus of G contained in B . Then T is a quasitrivial k -torus.*

Proof. We write \bar{k} for a fixed algebraic closure of k . Let $\mathbb{X}^\vee(T)$ denote the group of cocharacters of T . Let $R^\vee = R^\vee(G_{\bar{k}}, T_{\bar{k}}) \subset \mathbb{X}^\vee(T)$ denote the coroot system of $G_{\bar{k}}$ with respect to $T_{\bar{k}}$, and let $\Pi^\vee \subset R^\vee$ denote the basis of R^\vee corresponding to B . The Galois group $\text{Gal}(k_s/k)$ acts on $\mathbb{X}^\vee(T)$. Since T , G , and B are defined over k , the subsets R^\vee and Π^\vee of $\mathbb{X}^\vee(T)$ are invariant under this action. Since G is simply connected, Π^\vee is a \mathbb{Z} -basis of $\mathbb{X}^\vee(T)$. Thus $\text{Gal}(k_s/k)$ permutes the \mathbb{Z} -basis Π^\vee of $\mathbb{X}^\vee(T)$; in other words, T is a quasitrivial torus. \square

Remark 2.11. A similar assertion for *adjoint* quasisplit groups was proved by G. Prasad [1989, Proof of Lemma 2.0].

3. Examples in type A

Let k be a field and A a central simple k -algebra of dimension n^2 . We write $\text{GL}_{1,A}$ for the k -group with $\text{GL}_{1,A}(R) = (A \otimes_k R)^*$ for any unital commutative k -algebra

R (here $(\)^*$ denotes the group of invertible elements). The k -group $\mathrm{GL}_{1,A}$ is an inner form of $\mathrm{GL}_{n,k}$.

Let K be a field. Recall that an n -dimensional commutative étale K -algebra is a finite product $E = \prod_i L_i$, where each L_i is a finite separable field extension of K and $\sum_i [L_i : K] = n$. For such $E = \prod_i L_i$ we define a K -torus $R_{E/K} \mathbb{G}_{m,E} := \prod_i R_{L_i/K} \mathbb{G}_{m,L_i}$, then $(R_{E/K} \mathbb{G}_{m,E})(K) = E^*$. Clearly the K -torus $R_{E/K} \mathbb{G}_{m,E}$ is quasitrivial.

Proposition 3.1. *Let k be a field, and let A/k be a central simple k -algebra of dimension n^2 .*

- (a) *The k -group $G = \mathrm{GL}_{1,A}$ is toric-friendly.*
- (b) *The k -group $\mathrm{PGL}_{1,A} := \mathrm{GL}_{1,A}/\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ is toric-friendly.*
- (c) *In particular, $\mathrm{GL}_{n,k}$ and $\mathrm{PGL}_{n,k}$ are toric-friendly.*

Proof. (a) Let K/k be a field extension and let

$$T \subset G_K = \mathrm{GL}_{1,A \otimes_k K}$$

be a maximal K -torus. Let E be the centralizer of T in $A \otimes_k K$. An easy calculation over a separable closure K_s of K shows that E is an n -dimensional commutative étale K -subalgebra of $A \otimes_k K$ and that $T = R_{E/K} \mathbb{G}_{m,E}$. It follows that T is quasitrivial, hence special. Since all maximal K -tori $T \subset G_K$ are special, G is toric-friendly.

(b) follows from (a) and Corollary 1.4. To deduce (c) from (a) and (b), set $A = M_n(k)$ (the matrix algebra). \square

We now come to the main result of this section, which asserts that a toric-friendly semisimple groups of type A is necessarily an adjoint group.

Proposition 3.2. *Let k be a field. Consider a k -group $G = (\mathrm{SL}_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathrm{SL}_{n_r})/C$, where $C \subset \mu := \mu_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mu_{n_r}$ is a central subgroup of $G^{\mathrm{sc}} = \mathrm{SL}_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathrm{SL}_{n_r}$, not necessarily smooth. If $C \neq \mu$, then G is not toric-friendly.*

Before proceeding with the proof, we fix some notation. Let L/K be a finite separable field extension of degree n . Set

$$R_{L/K}^1(\mathbb{G}_m) := \ker[N_{L/K} : R_{L/K} \mathbb{G}_{m,L} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,K}],$$

where $N_{L/K}$ is the norm map. Clearly $R_{L/K}^1(\mathbb{G}_m)$ can be embedded into $\mathrm{SL}_{n,K}$ as a maximal K -torus. The embedding $K \hookrightarrow L$ induces an embedding $\mu_{n,K} \hookrightarrow R_{L/K}^1 \mathbb{G}_m$, where $n = [L : K]$.

The following two lemmas are undoubtedly known. We include short proofs below because we have not been able to find appropriate references.

Lemma 3.3. *There is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{CD}
 K^*/K^{*n} @>\cong>> H^1(K, \mu_n) \\
 @VVV @VVV \\
 K^*/N_{L/K}(L^*) @>\cong>> H^1(K, R_{L/K}^1 \mathbb{G}_m)
 \end{CD} \tag{1}$$

where the horizontal arrows are canonical isomorphisms, the right vertical arrow is induced by the embedding $\mu_n \hookrightarrow R_{L/K}^1 \mathbb{G}_m$, and the left vertical arrow is the natural projection.

Proof. Apply the flat cohomology functor to the commutative diagram of commutative K -groups

$$\begin{CD}
 1 @>>> \mu_{n,K} @>>> \mathbb{G}_{m,K} @>n>> \mathbb{G}_{m,K} @>>> 1 \\
 @. @VVV @VVV @VV \text{id} V @. \\
 1 @>>> R_{L/K}^1 \mathbb{G}_m @>>> R_{L/K} \mathbb{G}_m @>N_{L/K}>> \mathbb{G}_{m,K} @>>> 1
 \end{CD}$$

and use Hilbert’s Theorem 90. □

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose $r \mid n$. Then there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{CD}
 K^*/K^{*n} @>\cong>> H^1(K, \mu_n) \\
 @VVV @VV (n/r)_* V \\
 K^*/K^{*r} @>\cong>> H^1(K, \mu_r),
 \end{CD}$$

where the horizontal arrows are canonical isomorphisms, the right vertical arrow is induced by the homomorphism $\mu_n \xrightarrow{n/r} \mu_r$ given by $x \mapsto x^{n/r}$, and the left vertical arrow is the natural projection.

Proof. Similar to that of Lemma 3.3, using the commutative diagram

$$\begin{CD}
 1 @>>> \mu_n @>>> \mathbb{G}_m @>n>> \mathbb{G}_m @>>> 1 \\
 @. @VV n/r V @VV n/r V @VV \text{id} V @. \\
 1 @>>> \mu_r @>>> \mathbb{G}_m @>r>> \mathbb{G}_m @>>> 1
 \end{CD}$$

Example 3.5. The group $G = \text{SL}_{n,k}$ ($n \geq 2$) is not toric-friendly.

Proof. Since SL_n is special, it suffices to construct an extension K/k and a maximal K -torus $T := R_{L/K}^1(\mathbb{G}_m)$ such that $H^1(K, T) \neq 1$. In view of Lemma 3.3 it suffices to show that $N_{L/K}(L^*) \neq K^*$ for some field extension K/k and some finite

separable field extension L/K of degree n . This is well known; see for example the proof of [Rowen 1980, Proposition 3.1.46]. We include a short proof below as a way of motivating a related but more complicated argument at the end of the proof of Proposition 3.2.

Let $L := k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, where x_1, \dots, x_n are independent variables, and $K := L^\Gamma$, where Γ is the cyclic group of order n that acts on L by cyclically permuting x_1, \dots, x_n . For $0 \neq a \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, let $\deg(a) \in \mathbb{N}$ denote the degree of a as a polynomial in x_1, \dots, x_n . If $a \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is of the form $a = b/c$ with nonzero $b, c \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then we define $\deg(a) = \deg(b) - \deg(c)$. This yields the usual degree homomorphism $\deg : L^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Since $N_{L/K}(a) = \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma(a)$, we see that $\deg(N_{L/K}(a)) = n \deg(a)$ is divisible by n , for every $a \in L^*$. On the other hand, $s_1 = x_1 + \dots + x_n \in K$ has degree 1. This shows that $N_{L/K}(L^*) \neq K^*$, as claimed. \square

3.6. Proof of Proposition 3.2. Let K/k be a field extension. For each $i = 1, \dots, r$, let L_i be a separable field extension of degree n_i over K , and let $T = T_1 \times \dots \times T_r$ be a maximal K -torus of G^{sc} , where $T_i := R_{L_i/K}^1(\mathbb{G}_m)$. By Proposition 2.6 it suffices to show that the composition

$$H^1(K, C) \rightarrow H^1(K, \mu) \rightarrow H^1(K, T) \quad (2)$$

is not surjective for some choice of extensions K/k and L_i/K_i . Since $C \not\subseteq \mu$, there exist a prime p and a nontrivial character $\chi : \mu \rightarrow \mu_p$ such that $\chi(C) = 1$. By Proposition 1.3(a) we may assume that $C = \ker(\chi)$. For notational simplicity, let us suppose that n_1, \dots, n_s are divisible by p and n_{s+1}, \dots, n_r are not, for some $0 \leq s \leq r$. Then it is easy to see that χ is of the form

$$\chi(c_1, \dots, c_r) = c_1^{d_1 n_1/p} \dots c_s^{d_s n_s/p}$$

for some integers d_1, \dots, d_s . Since χ is nontrivial on μ , we have $s \geq 1$ and d_i is not divisible by p for some $i = 1, \dots, s$, say for $i = 1$. That is, we may assume that d_1 is not divisible by p .

Lemma 3.3 gives a concrete description of the second map in (2). To determine the image of the map $H^1(K, C) \rightarrow H^1(K, \mu)$, we examine the cohomology exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^1(K, C) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, \mu) & \xrightarrow{\chi_*} & H^1(K, \mu_p) \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ & & \prod_{i=1}^r K^*/K^{*n_i} & \xrightarrow{\chi_*} & K^*/K^{*p} \end{array}$$

induced by the exact sequence $1 \rightarrow C \rightarrow \mu \xrightarrow{\chi} \mu_p \rightarrow 1$. The image of $H^1(K, C)$ in $H^1(K, \mu)$ is the kernel of χ_* . By Lemma 3.4, χ_* maps the class of (a_1, \dots, a_r)

in $H^1(K, \mu) = \prod_{i=1}^r K^*/K^{*n_i}$ to the class of $a_1^{d_1} \cdots a_s^{d_s}$ in $H^1(K, \mu_p) = K/K^{*p}$. In other words, the image of $H^1(K, C)$ in $H^1(K, \mu)$ is the subgroup of classes of r -tuples (a_1, \dots, a_r) in $H^1(K, \mu) = \prod_{i=1}^r K^*/K^{*n_i}$ such that $a_1^{d_1} \cdots a_s^{d_s} \in K^{*p}$. Hence, the image of $H^1(K, C)$ in $H^1(K, T) = \prod_{i=1}^r K^*/N_{L_i/K}(L_i^*)$ consists of classes of r -tuples (a_1, \dots, a_r) such that $a_1^{d_1} \cdots a_s^{d_s} \in K^{*p}$.

It remains to construct a field extension K/k , separable field extensions L_i/K of degree n_i for $i = 1, \dots, r$, and an element $\alpha \in H^1(K, T) = \prod_{i=1}^r K^*/N_{L_i/K}(L_i^*)$, which cannot be represented by $(a_1, \dots, a_r) \in (K^*)^r$ such that $a_1^{d_1} \cdots a_s^{d_s} \in K^{*p}$. This will show that the map $H^1(K, C) \rightarrow H^1(K, T)$ is not surjective, as claimed.

Set $L := k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, where $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_r$ and x_1, \dots, x_n are independent variables. The symmetric group S_n acts on L by permuting these variables; we embed $S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_r}$ into S_n in the natural way, by letting S_{n_1} permute the first n_1 variables, S_{n_2} permute the next n_2 variables, etc. Set $K := L^{S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_r}}$, $s_1 := x_1 + \cdots + x_n \in K$ and

$$L_1 := K(x_1), \quad L_2 := K(x_{n_1+1}), \quad \dots \quad L_r := K(x_{n_1+\cdots+n_{r-1}+1}).$$

Clearly $[L_i : K] = n_i$. We claim the class of $(s_1, 1, \dots, 1)$ in $\prod_{i=1}^r K^*/N_{L_i/K}(L_i^*)$ cannot be represented by any $(a_1, \dots, a_r) \in (K^*)^r$ with $a_1^{d_1} \cdots a_s^{d_s} \in K^{*p}$.

Let $\deg : L^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the degree map, as in [Example 3.5](#). Arguing as we did there, we see that $\deg(N_{L_i/K}(a))$ is divisible by n_i for every $i = 1, \dots, r$ and every $a \in L_i^*$. In particular, $(a_1, \dots, a_r) \mapsto \deg(a_i) + n_i\mathbb{Z}$ is a well-defined function $\prod_{i=1}^r K^*/N_{L_i/K}(L_i^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n_i\mathbb{Z}$, and consequently,

$$f(a_1, \dots, a_n) := d_1 \deg(a_1) + \cdots + d_s \deg(a_s) + p\mathbb{Z}$$

is a well-defined function $H^1(K, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. We have

$$f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \deg(a_1^{d_1} \cdots a_s^{d_s}).$$

If $a_1^{d_1} \cdots a_s^{d_s} \in K^{*p}$, then $f(a_1, \dots, a_r) = 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, since $\deg(1) = 0$, $\deg(s_1) = 1$ and d_1 is not divisible by p , we conclude that $f(s_1, 1, \dots, 1)$ is nonzero in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. This proves the claim and the proposition. \square

4. Groups of type C_n and outer forms of A_n

Proposition 4.1. *No absolutely simple k -group of type C_n ($n \geq 2$) is toric-friendly.*

Proof. Clearly we may assume that k is algebraically closed. We may also assume that G is adjoint, see [Proposition 1.3\(a\)](#). We see that $G = \mathrm{PSp}_{2n}$ and $G^{\mathrm{sc}} = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$. By [Example 3.5](#), SL_2 is not toric-friendly. This means that there exist a field extension K/k , a maximal K -torus $S \subset \mathrm{SL}_{2,K}$, and a cohomology class $a_S \in H^1(K, S)$ such

that $a_S \neq 1$. We consider the standard embedding

$$(\mathrm{SL}_2)^n = (\mathrm{Sp}_2)^n \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Set $T^{\mathrm{sc}} = S^n \subset (\mathrm{Sp}_2)^n \subset \mathrm{Sp}_{2n} = G^{\mathrm{sc}}$. Let $\iota : S \hookrightarrow T^{\mathrm{sc}} = S^n$ be the embedding as the first factor. Set $a^{\mathrm{sc}} = \iota_*(a_S) \in H^1(K, T^{\mathrm{sc}})$. Let T be the image of T^{sc} in $G = \mathrm{PSp}_{2n}$, and let a be the image of a^{sc} in $H^1(K, T)$.

Now observe that the homomorphism

$$\chi : T^{\mathrm{sc}} = S^n \rightarrow S, \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto x_1 x_2^{-1},$$

factors through T (recall that $n \geq 2$). Since $\chi \circ \iota = \mathrm{id}_S$, we see that $a \neq 1$. On the other hand, the image of a^{sc} in $H^1(K, G^{\mathrm{sc}})$ is 1 (because $G^{\mathrm{sc}} = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$ is special), hence $a \in \ker[H^1(K, T) \rightarrow H^1(K, G)]$, and we see that $G = \mathrm{PSp}_{2n}$ is not toric-friendly. \square

Proposition 4.2. *No absolutely simple k -group of outer type A_n ($n \geq 2$) is toric-friendly.*

Lemma 4.3. *Let k be a field, K/k a separable quadratic extension, and D/K a central division algebra of dimension r^2 over K with an involution σ of the second kind (i.e., σ acts nontrivially on K and trivially on k). Then there exists a finite separable field extension F/k such that $K_F := K \otimes_k F$ is a field and $D \otimes_K K_F$ is split, that is, K_F -isomorphic to the matrix algebra $M_r(K_F)$.*

Proof of the lemma. Since there are no nontrivial central division algebras over finite fields, we may assume that k and K are infinite. Let

$$H = \{x \in D \mid x^\sigma = x\}$$

denote the k -space of Hermitian elements of D . Consider the embedding $D \hookrightarrow M_r(K_s)$ induced by an isomorphism $D \otimes_K K_s \cong M_r(K_s)$, where K_s is a separable closure of K . An element x of D is called semisimple regular if its image in $D \otimes_K K_s \cong M_r(K_s)$ is a semisimple matrix with r distinct eigenvalues. A standard argument using an isomorphism $D \otimes_k K_s \cong M_r(K_s) \times M_r(K_s)$ shows that there is a dense open subvariety H_{reg} in the space H , consisting of semisimple regular elements. Clearly H_{reg} is defined over k and contains k -points.

Let $x \in H_{\mathrm{reg}}(k) \subset D$ be a semisimple regular Hermitian element. Let L be the centralizer of x in D . Since x is Hermitian (σ -invariant), the k -algebra L is σ -invariant. Since x is semisimple and regular, the algebra L is a commutative étale K -subalgebra of D of dimension r over K , as is easily seen by passing to K_s . Clearly L is a field, $[L : K] = r$, and L is separable over k . Since $L \subset D$ and $[L : K] = r$, the field L is a splitting field for D ; see, for example, [Pierce 1982, Corollary 13.3].

Since $L \supset K$, we see that σ acts nontrivially on L . Let $F = L^{\langle \sigma \rangle}$ denote the subfield of L consisting of elements fixed by σ . Then $[L : F] = 2$ and $[F : k] = r$. Clearly F is separable over k . Since $F \cap K = k$ and $FK = L$, we conclude that $L = K \otimes_k F := K_F$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

4.4. Proof of Proposition 4.2. By Proposition 1.3(a) we may assume that G is adjoint. By Lemma 4.3 there is a finite separable field extension F/k such that $G_F \cong \text{PSU}(L^{n+1}, h)$, where L/F is a separable quadratic extension and h is a Hermitian form on L^{n+1} . It suffices to prove that $G_F = \text{PSU}(L^{n+1}, h)$ is not toric-friendly.

Set $S = R_{L/F}^1 \mathbb{G}_m$. We set $G_F^{\text{sc}} = \text{SU}(L^{n+1}, h)$. We may assume that h is a diagonal form [Knus 1991, Proposition 6.2.4(1); Scharlau 1985, Theorem 7.6.3]. Consider the diagonal torus $S^{n+1} \subset \text{U}(L^{n+1}, h)$ and set $T^{\text{sc}} = S^{n+1} \cap \text{SU}(L^{n+1}, h)$.

We claim that there exists a field extension K/F such that $H^1(K, S) \neq 1$. Indeed, take $K = F((t))$, the field of formal Laurent series over F . Then by [Serre 1968, Proposition V.2.3(c)], $H^1(K, S) \cong H^1(F, S) \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \neq 1$.

Now let $a_S \in H^1(K, S)$, $a_S \neq 1$, and consider the embedding

$$\iota : S \hookrightarrow T^{\text{sc}} \subset S^{n+1}, \quad x \mapsto (x, x^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1).$$

Set $a^{\text{sc}} = \iota_*(a_S) \in H^1(K, T^{\text{sc}})$. Let T be the image of T^{sc} in $G_F = \text{PSU}(L^{n+1}, h)$ and a be the image of a^{sc} in $H^1(K, T)$.

Note that the homomorphism

$$\chi : T^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow S, \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) \mapsto x_1 x_3^{-1},$$

factors through T (recall that $n \geq 2$). Since $\chi \circ \iota = \text{id}_S$, we see that $a \neq 1$. Now by Proposition 2.5, G_F and hence G are not toric-friendly. \square

5. Classification of semisimple toric-friendly groups

Lemma 5.1. *Let k be an algebraically closed field. If a semisimple k -group G is toric-friendly, then it is adjoint of type A , that is, $G \cong \prod_i \text{PGL}_{n_i}$ for some integers $n_i \geq 2$.*

Proof. First assume that G is simple. By Theorem 2.8 the simply connected cover G^{sc} of G is special. By a theorem of Grothendieck [1958, Theorem 3], G^{sc} is special if and only if G is of type A_n , $n \geq 1$ or C_n , $n \geq 2$. Proposition 4.1 rules out the second possibility. Thus G is of type A .

Now let G be semisimple. By Proposition 1.3(a), G^{ad} is toric-friendly. Write $G^{\text{ad}} = \prod_i G_i$, where each G_i is an adjoint simple group, then by Lemma 1.6 each G_i is toric-friendly. As we have seen, this implies that each G_i is of type A , that is, isomorphic to PGL_{n_i} for some n_i . By Proposition 3.2, G is adjoint, that is, $G = G^{\text{ad}} = \prod_i \text{PGL}_{n_i}$. \square

5.2. Proof of the Main Theorem 0.2. If G is toric-friendly, then clearly $G_{\bar{k}}$ is toric-friendly, where \bar{k} is an algebraic closure of k . By Lemma 5.1, G is adjoint of type A . Write $G = \prod_i R_{F_i/k} G'_i$, where each F_i/k is a finite separable extension and G'_i is a form of PGL_{n_i, F_i} . By Lemmas 1.6 and 1.7, each G'_i is toric-friendly, and by Proposition 4.2, G'_i is an inner form of PGL_{n_i, F_i} .

Conversely, by Proposition 3.1 an inner form G'_i of PGL_{n_i, F_i} is toric-friendly. By Lemmas 1.6 and 1.7, the product $G = \prod_i R_{F_i/k} G'_i$ is toric-friendly. □

Corollary 5.3. *Let G be a nontrivial semisimple k -group. Then there exist a field extension K/k and a maximal K -torus $T \subset G$ that is not special. Equivalently, there exist a field extension K/k and a maximal K -torus T of G such that $H^1(K, T) \neq 1$.*

Proof. Assume the contrary, that is, that for any field extension K/k , any maximal K -torus $T \subset G_K$ is special. We may and shall assume that G is split. Recall that for a (quasi)split group, by [Steinberg 1965, Theorem 11.1], every element of $H^1(K, G)$ lies in the image of the map $H^1(K, T) \rightarrow H^1(K, G)$ for some maximal K -torus T of G . Thus, under our assumption we have $H^1(K, G) = 1$ for every field extension K/k , that is, G is special. By [Grothendieck 1958, Theorem 3], this is only possible if G is simply connected and has components only of types A and C . On the other hand, G is clearly toric-friendly (see Definition 0.1), and by the Main Theorem 0.2 no nontrivial simply connected semisimple group can be toric-friendly, a contradiction. □

The next result follows immediately from the Main Theorem 0.2 and Corollary 1.4.

Corollary 5.4. *Let G be a split reductive k -group. The group G is toric-friendly if and only if it satisfies these two conditions:*

- (a) *the center $Z(G)$ of G is a k -torus, and*
- (b) *the adjoint group $G^{\mathrm{ad}} := G/Z(G)$ is a direct product of simple adjoint groups of type A .* □

Note that in condition (a) we allow the trivial k -torus $\{1\}$.

By Corollary 1.4 if G is a reductive k -group such that $G/R(G)$ is toric-friendly and $R(G)$ is special, then G is toric-friendly. The example below shows that when $G/R(G)$ is toric-friendly but $R(G)$ is not special, G need not be toric-friendly.

Example 5.5. Let $k = \mathbb{R}$, $G = \mathrm{U}_2$, the unitary group in two complex variables. Then $Z(G)$ is the group of scalar matrices in G , it is connected, hence $R(G) = Z(G)$ and $G/R(G) = G^{\mathrm{ad}} = \mathrm{PSU}_2$. Since PSU_2 is an inner form of $\mathrm{PGL}_{2, \mathbb{R}}$, by the Main Theorem 0.2 it is toric-friendly. However, the group $G = \mathrm{U}_2$ is not toric-friendly. This does not contradict Corollary 1.4, because $R(G) = Z(G)$ is not special: $H^1(\mathbb{R}, Z(G)) = \mathbb{R}^*/N_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}^*) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

To show that $G = U_2$ is not toric-friendly, set $S = R_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}^1 \mathbb{G}_m$. Let T be the diagonal maximal \mathbb{R} -torus of U_2 . Set $G^{\text{sc}} = \text{SU}_2$, $T^{\text{sc}} = T \cap \text{SU}_2$, then $T^{\text{sc}} \cong S$.

Let $a^{\text{sc}} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}, T^{\text{sc}})$ be the cohomology class of the cocycle given by the element $-1 \in T^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R})$ of order 2. Let $a \in H^1(\mathbb{R}, T)$ be the image of a^{sc} in $H^1(\mathbb{R}, T)$. Clearly $a \neq 1$. By [Proposition 2.5](#), G is not toric-friendly. \square

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène for helpful comments and suggestions. In particular, he contributed [Lemma 2.2](#) and the idea of [Proposition 2.5](#), which simplified our earlier arguments. We thank the anonymous referee for a quick and thorough review and an anonymous editor of ANT for helpful comments. We also thank Brian Conrad, Philippe Gille, Boris Kunyavskiĭ, and James S. Milne for stimulating discussions.

References

- [Berhuy et al. 2007] G. Berhuy, C. Frings, and J.-P. Tignol, “Galois cohomology of the classical groups over imperfect fields”, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **211**:2 (2007), 307–341. [MR 2009f:12004](#) [Zbl 1121.11035](#)
- [Borel 1991] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, 2nd ed., Grad. Texts in Math. **126**, Springer, New York, 1991. [MR 92d:20001](#) [Zbl 0726.20030](#)
- [Borovoi et al. 2008] M. Borovoi, J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, and A. N. Skorobogatov, “The elementary obstruction and homogeneous spaces”, *Duke Math. J.* **141**:2 (2008), 321–364. [MR 2009f:14040](#) [Zbl 1135.14013](#)
- [Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc 1987] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc, “La descente sur les variétés rationnelles, II”, *Duke Math. J.* **54**:2 (1987), 375–492. [MR 89f:11082](#) [Zbl 0659.14028](#)
- [Colliot-Thélène et al. 2004] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, P. Gille, and R. Parimala, “Arithmetic of linear algebraic groups over 2-dimensional geometric fields”, *Duke Math. J.* **121**:2 (2004), 285–341. [MR 2005f:11063](#) [Zbl 1129.11014](#)
- [Colliot-Thélène et al. 2011] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, B. Kunyavskiĭ, V. L. Popov, and Z. Reichstein, “Is the function field of a reductive Lie algebra purely transcendental over the field of invariants for the adjoint action?”, *Compos. Math.* **147**:2 (2011), 428–466. [MR 2776610](#)
- [Grothendieck 1958] A. Grothendieck, “Torsion homologique et sections rationnelles”, *Sém. C. Chevalley* **3**:5 (1958), 1–29.
- [Knus 1991] M.-A. Knus, *Quadratic and Hermitian forms over rings*, Grundlehren der Math. Wiss. **294**, Springer, Berlin, 1991. [MR 92i:11039](#) [Zbl 0756.11008](#)
- [Kottwitz 1982] R. E. Kottwitz, “Rational conjugacy classes in reductive groups”, *Duke Math. J.* **49**:4 (1982), 785–806. [MR 84k:20020](#) [Zbl 0506.20017](#)
- [Pierce 1982] R. S. Pierce, *Associative algebras*, Grad. Texts in Math. **88**, Springer, New York, 1982. [MR 84c:16001](#) [Zbl 0497.16001](#)
- [Prasad 1989] G. Prasad, “Volumes of S -arithmetic quotients of semi-simple groups”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **69** (1989), 91–117. [MR 91c:22023](#) [Zbl 0695.22005](#)
- [Rowen 1980] L. H. Rowen, *Polynomial identities in ring theory*, Pure Appl. Math. **84**, Academic Press, New York, 1980. [MR 82a:16021](#) [Zbl 0461.16001](#)

- [Sansuc 1981] J.-J. Sansuc, “Groupe de Brauer et arithmétique des groupes algébriques linéaires sur un corps de nombres”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **327** (1981), 12–80. [MR 83d:12010](#) [Zbl 0468.14007](#)
- [Scharlau 1985] W. Scharlau, *Quadratic and Hermitian forms*, Grundlehren der Math. Wiss. **270**, Springer, Berlin, 1985. [MR 86k:11022](#) [Zbl 0584.10010](#)
- [Serre 1958] J.-P. Serre, “Espaces fibrés algébriques”, *Sém. C. Chevalley* **3**:1 (1958), 1–37.
- [Serre 1968] J.-P. Serre, *Corps locaux*, 2nd ed., Publications de l’Institut de mathématique de l’Université de Nancago **8**, Hermann, Paris, 1968. 4th ed. in 2004. [MR 50 #7096](#) [Zbl 1095.11504](#)
- [Serre 1994] J.-P. Serre, *Cohomologie Galoisienne*, 5th ed., Lecture Notes in Math. **5**, Springer, Berlin, 1994. [MR 96b:12010](#) [Zbl 0812.12002](#)
- [Steinberg 1965] R. Steinberg, “Regular elements of semi-simple algebraic groups”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **25** (1965), 49–80. [MR 31 #4788](#) [Zbl 0136.30002](#)
- [Waterhouse 1979] W. C. Waterhouse, *Introduction to affine group schemes*, Grad. Texts in Math. **66**, Springer, New York, 1979. [MR 82e:14003](#) [Zbl 0442.14017](#)
- [Wittenberg 2008] O. Wittenberg, “On Albanese torsors and the elementary obstruction”, *Math. Ann.* **340**:4 (2008), 805–838. [MR 2008m:14022](#) [Zbl 1135.14014](#)

Communicated by Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène

Received 2010-04-03

Revised 2010-10-17

Accepted 2010-10-17

borovoi@post.tau.ac.il

Tel Aviv University, School of Mathematical Sciences,
69978 Tel Aviv, Israel

<http://www.math.tau.ac.il/~borovoi>

reichst@math.ubc.ca

University of British Columbia, Department of Mathematics,
1984 Mathematics Road, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z2, Canada

<http://www.math.ubc.ca/~reichst>

Algebra & Number Theory

www.jant.org

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Bjorn Poonen
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, USA

EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR

David Eisenbud
University of California
Berkeley, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Georgia Benkart	University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA	Shigefumi Mori	RIMS, Kyoto University, Japan
Dave Benson	University of Aberdeen, Scotland	Andrei Okounkov	Princeton University, USA
Richard E. Borcherds	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Raman Parimala	Emory University, USA
John H. Coates	University of Cambridge, UK	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
J-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Sud, France	Karl Rubin	University of California, Irvine, USA
Brian D. Conrad	University of Michigan, USA	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Hélène Esnault	Universität Duisburg-Essen, Germany	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Hubert Flenner	Ruhr-Universität, Germany	Ronald Solomon	Ohio State University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Vasudevan Srinivas	Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, India
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	J. Toby Stafford	University of Michigan, USA
Joseph Gubeladze	San Francisco State University, USA	Bernd Sturmfels	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Ehud Hrushovski	Hebrew University, Israel	Richard Taylor	Harvard University, USA
Craig Huneke	University of Kansas, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Mikhail Kapranov	Yale University, USA	Michel van den Bergh	Hasselt University, Belgium
Yujiro Kawamata	University of Tokyo, Japan	Marie-France Vignéras	Université Paris VII, France
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Kei-Ichi Watanabe	Nihon University, Japan
Yuri Manin	Northwestern University, USA	Andrei Zelevinsky	Northeastern University, USA
Barry Mazur	Harvard University, USA	Efim Zelmanov	University of California, San Diego, USA
Susan Montgomery	University of Southern California, USA		

PRODUCTION

contact@msp.org

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Andrew Levy, Production Editor

See inside back cover or www.jant.org for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2011 is US \$150/year for the electronic version, and \$210/year (+\$35 shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, USA.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1937-0652) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW™ from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

Published by
 **mathematical sciences publishers**
<http://msp.org/>

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L^AT_EX

Copyright ©2011 by Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 5 No. 3 2011

A categorical proof of the Parshin reciprocity laws on algebraic surfaces	289
DENIS OSIPOV and XINWEN ZHU	
Quantum differentiation and chain maps of bimodule complexes	339
ANNE V. SHEPLER and SARAH WITHERSPOON	
Toric-friendly groups	361
MIKHAIL BOROVOI and ZINOVY REICHSTEIN	
Reflexivity and rigidity for complexes, II Schemes	379
LUCHEZAR AVRAMOV, SRIKANTH B. IYENGAR and JOSEPH LIPMAN	