

# *Algebra & Number Theory*

Volume 5

2011

No. 5

Mutation classes of  $\tilde{A}_n$ -quivers and derived  
equivalence classification of cluster tilted algebras of  
type  $\tilde{A}_n$

Janine Bastian



mathematical sciences publishers

# Mutation classes of $\tilde{A}_n$ -quivers and derived equivalence classification of cluster tilted algebras of type $\tilde{A}_n$

Janine Bastian

We give an explicit description of the mutation classes of quivers of type  $\tilde{A}_n$ . Furthermore, we provide a complete classification of cluster tilted algebras of type  $\tilde{A}_n$  up to derived equivalence. We show that the bounded derived category of such an algebra depends on four combinatorial parameters of the corresponding quiver.

## 1. Introduction

A few years ago, Fomin and Zelevinsky [2002] introduced the concept of cluster algebras, which rapidly became a successful research area. Cluster algebras nowadays link various areas of mathematics, like combinatorics, Lie theory, algebraic geometry, representation theory, integrable systems, Teichmüller theory, Poisson geometry and also string theory in physics (via recent work on quivers with superpotentials; see [Derksen et al. 2008; Labardini-Fragoso 2009]).

In an attempt to categorify cluster algebras, which a priori are combinatorially defined, cluster categories have been introduced by Buan, Marsh, Reineke, Reiten and Todorov [Buan et al. 2006]. For a quiver  $Q$  without loops and oriented 2-cycles and the corresponding path algebra  $KQ$  (over an algebraically closed field  $K$ ), the cluster category  $\mathcal{C}_Q$  is the orbit category of the bounded derived category  $D^b(KQ)$  by the functor  $\tau^{-1}[1]$ , where  $\tau$  denotes the Auslander–Reiten translation and  $[1]$  is the shift functor on the triangulated category  $D^b(KQ)$ .

Important objects in cluster categories are the cluster-tilting objects. The endomorphism algebras of such objects in the cluster category  $\mathcal{C}_Q$  are called cluster tilted algebras of type  $Q$  [Buan et al. 2007]. Cluster tilted algebras have several interesting properties; for example, their representation theory can be completely understood in terms of the representation theory of the corresponding path algebra of a quiver (ibid.). These algebras have been studied by various authors; see for instance [Assem et al. 2008a, 2008b; Buan et al. 2008; Caldero et al. 2006].

---

*MSC2000:* primary 16G20; secondary 18E35, 18E30.

*Keywords:* cluster tilted algebra, quiver mutation, derived equivalence.

In recent years, a focal point in the representation theory of algebras has been the investigation of derived equivalences of algebras. Since a lot of properties and invariants of rings and algebras are preserved by derived equivalences, it is important for many purposes to classify classes of algebras up to derived equivalence, instead of Morita equivalence. For self-injective algebras, the representation type is preserved under derived equivalences [Krause 1997; Rickard 1989a]. It has also been proved in [Rickard 1991] that the class of symmetric algebras is closed under derived equivalences. Additionally, derived equivalent algebras have the same number of pairwise nonisomorphic simple modules and isomorphic centers.

In this work, we are concerned with the problem of derived equivalence classification of cluster tilted algebras of type  $\tilde{A}_n$ . Such a classification was done for cluster tilted algebras of type  $A_n$  by Buan and Vatne [2008]; see also [Murphy 2010] on the more general case of  $m$ -cluster tilted algebras of type  $A_n$ .

Since the quivers of cluster tilted algebras of type  $\tilde{A}_n$  are exactly the quivers in the mutation classes of  $\tilde{A}_n$ , our first aim in this paper is to give a description of the mutation classes of  $\tilde{A}_n$ -quivers; these mutation classes are known to be finite (for example, see [Fomin et al. 2008]). The second purpose of this note is to describe, when two cluster tilted algebras of type  $Q$  have equivalent derived categories, where  $Q$  is a quiver whose underlying graph is  $\tilde{A}_n$ .


In Definition 3.3 we present a class  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  of quivers with  $n+1$  vertices that includes all nonoriented cycles of length  $n+1$ . To show that this class contains all quivers mutation-equivalent to some quiver of type  $\tilde{A}_n$  we first prove that this class is closed under quiver mutation. Furthermore, we define parameters  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$ ,  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  for any quiver  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_n$  in Definition 3.7 and prove that every quiver in  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  with parameters  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$ ,  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  can be mutated to a normal form, see Figure 1, without changing the parameters.

With the help of the result above we can show that every quiver  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_n$  with parameters  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$ ,  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  is mutation-equivalent to some nonoriented cycle with  $r := r_1 + 2r_2$  arrows in one direction and  $s := s_1 + 2s_2$  arrows in the other. Hence, if two quivers  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  of  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  have the parameters  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$ ,  $s_1$ ,  $s_2$ , respectively  $\tilde{r}_1$ ,  $\tilde{r}_2$ ,  $\tilde{s}_1$ ,  $\tilde{s}_2$  and  $r_1 + 2r_2 = \tilde{r}_1 + 2\tilde{r}_2$ ,  $s_1 + 2s_2 = \tilde{s}_1 + 2\tilde{s}_2$  (or vice versa), then  $Q_1$  is mutation-equivalent to  $Q_2$ .

The converse of this result — an explicit description of the mutation classes of quivers of type  $\tilde{A}_n$  — can be shown with the help of [Fomin et al. 2008, Lemma 6.8].

The main result of the derived equivalence classification of cluster tilted algebras of type  $\tilde{A}_n$  is the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Two cluster tilted algebras of type  $\tilde{A}_n$  are derived equivalent if and only if their quivers have the same parameters  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$ ,  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  (up to changing the roles of  $r_i$  and  $s_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ).*



**Figure 1.** Normal form for quivers in  $\mathcal{Q}_n$ .

We prove that every cluster tilted algebra of type  $\tilde{A}_n$  with parameters  $r_1, r_2, s_1$  and  $s_2$  is derived equivalent to a cluster tilted algebra corresponding to a quiver in normal form. Furthermore, we compute the parameters  $r_1, r_2, s_1$  and  $s_2$  as combinatorial derived invariants for a quiver  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_n$  with the help of an algorithm defined by Avella-Alaminos and Geiß [2008].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we collect some basic notions about quiver mutations. In Section 3 we present the set  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  of quivers that can be obtained by iterated mutation from quivers whose underlying graph is of type  $\tilde{A}_n$ . Moreover, we describe, when two quivers of  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  are in the same mutation class. In the fourth section we describe the cluster tilted algebras of type  $\tilde{A}_n$  and their relations (as shown in [Assem et al. 2010]). In Section 5 we first briefly review the fundamental results on derived equivalences. Afterwards, we prove our main result, that is, we show, when two cluster tilted algebras of type  $\tilde{A}_n$  are derived equivalent.

## 2. Quiver mutations

A *quiver* is a finite directed graph  $Q$ , consisting of a finite set of vertices  $Q_0$  and a finite set of arrows  $Q_1$  between them.

Let  $Q$  be a quiver and  $K$  be an algebraically closed field. We can form the *path algebra*  $KQ$ , where the basis of  $KQ$  is given by all paths in  $Q$ , including trivial paths  $e_i$  of length zero at each vertex  $i$  of  $Q$ . Multiplication in  $KQ$  is defined by concatenation of paths. Our convention is to read paths from right to left. For any path  $\alpha$  in  $Q$  let  $s(\alpha)$  denote its start vertex and  $t(\alpha)$  its end vertex. Then the product

of two paths  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  is defined to be the concatenated path  $\alpha\beta$  if  $s(\alpha) = t(\beta)$ . The unit element of  $KQ$  is the sum of all trivial paths:  $1_{KQ} = \sum_{i \in Q_0} e_i$ .

We now recall the definition of quiver mutations.

**Definition 2.1** [Fomin and Zelevinsky 2002]. Let  $Q$  be a quiver without loops and oriented 2-cycles. The *mutation* of  $Q$  at a vertex  $k$  to a new quiver  $Q^*$  can be described as follows:

- (1) Add a new vertex  $k^*$ .
- (2) If there are  $r > 0$  arrows  $i \rightarrow k$ ,  $s > 0$  arrows  $k \rightarrow j$  and  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$  arrows  $j \rightarrow i$  in  $Q$ , there are  $t - rs$  arrows  $j \rightarrow i$  in  $Q^*$ . (Here, a negative number of arrows means arrows in the opposite direction.)
- (3) For any vertex  $i$  replace all arrows from  $i$  to  $k$  with arrows from  $k^*$  to  $i$ , and replace all arrows from  $k$  to  $i$  with arrows from  $i$  to  $k^*$ .
- (4) Remove the vertex  $k$ .

Note that mutation at sinks or sources only means changing the direction of all incoming or outgoing arrows. Two quivers are called *mutation-equivalent* (or *sink/source equivalent*) if one can be obtained from the other by a finite sequence of mutations (at sinks or sources). The *mutation class* of a quiver  $Q$  is the class of all quivers mutation-equivalent to  $Q$ .

### 3. Mutation classes of $\tilde{A}_n$ -quivers

**Remark 3.1.** Quivers of type  $\tilde{A}_n$  are just cycles with  $n + 1$  vertices. If the cycle is oriented, we get the mutation class of  $D_{n+1}$  (see [Derksen and Owen 2008; Fomin et al. 2008, 2003] or Type IV in type  $D$  in [Vatne 2010]). If the cycle is nonoriented, we get what we call the mutation classes of  $\tilde{A}_n$ .

First, we have to fix one drawing (plane embedding) of this nonoriented cycle. Thus, we can speak of clockwise and anticlockwise oriented arrows. But we have to consider that this notation is only unique up to reflection of the cycle, i.e., up to changing the roles of clockwise and anticlockwise oriented arrows.

**Lemma 3.2** [Fomin et al. 2008, Lemma 6.8]. *Let  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  be two nonoriented cycles, so that in  $C_1$  there are  $s$  arrows oriented clockwise and  $r$  arrows oriented anticlockwise. Similarly, in  $C_2$  there are  $\tilde{s}$  arrows oriented clockwise and  $\tilde{r}$  arrows oriented anticlockwise. Then  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are mutation-equivalent if and only if the unordered pairs  $\{r, s\}$  and  $\{\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}\}$  coincide.*

Thus, two nonoriented cycles of length  $n + 1$  are mutation-equivalent if and only if they have the same parameters  $r$  and  $s$  (up to changing the roles of  $r$  and  $s$ ).

Next we provide an explicit description of the mutation classes of  $\tilde{A}_n$ -quivers. For this we need a description of the mutation class of quivers of type  $A_k$ . We use one given in [Buan and Vatne 2008]:

- Each quiver has  $k$  vertices.
- All nontrivial cycles are oriented and of length 3.
- A vertex has at most four incident arrows.
- If a vertex has four incident arrows, then two of them belong to one oriented 3-cycle, and the other two belong to another oriented 3-cycle.
- If a vertex has three incident arrows, then two of them belong to an oriented 3-cycle, and the third arrow does not belong to any oriented 3-cycle.


(By a *cycle* in the second condition we mean a cycle in the underlying graph not passing through the same edge twice. In particular, this condition excludes multiple arrows.)

For another description of mutation classes of type  $A$  quivers, see [Seven 2007].

Now we can formulate the description of the mutation classes of  $\tilde{A}_n$ -quivers, similar to the description for Type IV in type  $D$  in [Vatne 2010].

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  be the class of connected quivers with  $n + 1$  vertices that satisfy the following conditions (see Figure 2 for an illustration):


- (i) There exists precisely one full subquiver that is a nonoriented cycle of length  $\geq 2$ . Thus, if the length is two, it is a double arrow.
- (ii) For each arrow  $x \xrightarrow{\alpha} y$  in this nonoriented cycle, there may (or may not) be a vertex  $z_\alpha$  not on the nonoriented cycle and such that there is an oriented 3-cycle of the form




Apart from the arrows of these oriented 3-cycles there are no other arrows incident to vertices on the nonoriented cycle.

- (iii) If we remove all vertices in the nonoriented cycle and their incident arrows, the result is a disjoint union of quivers  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots$ , one for each  $z_\alpha$  (which we call  $Q_\alpha$ ). These are quivers of type  $A_{k_\alpha}$  for  $k_\alpha \geq 1$ , and the vertices  $z_\alpha$  have at most two incident arrows in these quivers. Furthermore, if a vertex  $z_\alpha$  has two incident arrows in such a quiver, then  $z_\alpha$  is a vertex in an oriented 3-cycle in  $Q_\alpha$ .


Our convention is to choose only one of the double arrows to be part of the oriented 3-cycle in the case shown here:





**Figure 2.** Quiver in  $\mathcal{Q}_n$ .

**Notation 3.4.** Whenever we draw an edge  $\overset{j}{\bullet} \longrightarrow \bullet \overset{k}{\bullet}$  the direction of the arrow between  $j$  and  $k$  is not important for this situation; and whenever we draw a cycle



it is an oriented 3-cycle.


**Lemma 3.5.**  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  is closed under quiver mutation.

*Proof.* Let  $Q$  be a quiver in  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  and let  $i$  be some vertex of  $Q$ . The subquivers  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  highlighted in the pictures are quivers of type A.


If  $i$  is a vertex in one of the quivers  $Q_\alpha$  of type A, but not one of the vertices  $z_\alpha$  connecting this quiver of type A to the rest of the quiver  $Q$ , then the mutation at  $i$  leads to a quiver  $Q^* \in \mathcal{Q}_n$  since type A is closed under quiver mutation.

It therefore suffices to check what happens when we mutate at the other vertices, and we will consider four cases:

(1) Let  $i$  be one of the vertices  $z_\alpha$ , hence not on the nonoriented cycle. For the situation where the quiver  $Q_\alpha$  of type A attached to  $z_\alpha$  consists only of one vertex, we can look at the first mutated quiver in case (2) below since quiver mutation is an involution. Thus, we have three cases:




Then the mutation at  $i$  leads to the following three quivers, which have a nonoriented cycle one arrow longer than for  $Q$ , and this is indeed a nonoriented cycle since the arrows  $j \rightarrow i \rightarrow k$  have the same orientation as  $\alpha$  had before.




The vertices  $l$  and  $m$  have at most two incident arrows in the quivers  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  since they had at most four resp. three incident arrows in  $Q$  (see the description of quivers of type  $A$ ). Furthermore, if  $l$  or  $m$  has two incident arrows in the quiver  $Q_1$  or  $Q_2$ , then these two arrows form an oriented 3-cycle as in  $Q$ . Thus, the mutated quiver  $Q^*$  is also in  $\mathcal{Q}_n$ .



(2) Let  $i$  be a vertex on the nonoriented cycle, and not part of any oriented 3-cycle. Three cases can occur:



and mutation at  $i$  leads to




If  $i$  is a sink or a source in  $Q$ , the nonoriented cycle in  $Q^*$  is of the same length as before and  $Q^*$  is in  $\mathcal{Q}_n$ . If there is a path  $j \rightarrow i \rightarrow k$  in  $Q$ , mutation at  $i$  leads to a quiver  $Q^*$ , which has a nonoriented cycle one arrow shorter than in  $Q$ .

Note that in this case the nonoriented cycle in  $Q$  consists of at least three arrows and thus, the nonoriented cycle in  $Q^*$  has at least two arrows. Thus, the mutated quiver  $Q^*$  is also in  $\mathcal{Q}_n$ .


(3) Let  $i$  be a vertex on the nonoriented cycle that is part of exactly one oriented 3-cycle. Then four cases can occur, but two of them have been dealt with by the second and third mutated quiver in case (1) since quiver mutation is an involution. Thus, we only have to consider the two situations shown in Figure 3 and their special cases where the nonoriented cycle is a double arrow. (The two-headed arrows indicate mutation at  $i$ .)

After mutating at vertex  $i$ , the nonoriented cycle has the same length as before. Moreover,  $i$  has the same number of incident arrows as before. Thus,  $Q^*$  is in  $\mathcal{Q}_n$ .

(4) Let  $i$  be a vertex on the nonoriented cycle that is part of two oriented 3-cycles. Then three cases can occur, but one of them has been dealt with by the first mutated quiver in case (1). Thus, we have to consider only the situations in Figure 4 and their special cases where the nonoriented cycle is a double arrow.



**Figure 3.** Possibilities in case (3).



**Figure 4.** Possibilities in case (4).


The nonoriented cycle has the same length as before. Moreover,  $l$  and  $m$  have the same number of incident arrows as before. Thus, again, the mutated quiver  $Q^*$  belongs to  $\mathcal{Q}_n$ . □

**Remark 3.6.** It is easy to see that all orientations of a circular quiver of type  $\tilde{A}_n$  are in  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  (except the oriented case; but this leads to the mutation class of  $D_{n+1}$ ). Since  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  is closed under quiver mutation every quiver mutation-equivalent to some quiver of type  $\tilde{A}_n$  is in  $\mathcal{Q}_n$ , too.

Now we fix one drawing of a quiver  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ , without arrow crossing. Thus, we can again speak of clockwise and anticlockwise oriented arrows of the nonoriented cycle. But we have to consider that this notation is only unique up to reflection of the nonoriented cycle, that is, up to changing the roles of clockwise and anticlockwise oriented arrows. We define four parameters  $r_1, r_2, s_1$  and  $s_2$  for a quiver  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_n$  as follows:


**Definition 3.7.** • Let  $r_1$  be the number of arrows that are not part of any oriented 3-cycle and that fulfill one of two conditions:

- (1) The arrow is part of the nonoriented cycle and is oriented anticlockwise:




- (2) The arrow is not part of the nonoriented cycle, but is attached to an oriented 3-cycle  $C$  sharing with the nonoriented cycle one arrow  $\alpha$  that is oriented anticlockwise (see figure on the right).

In this sense, “attached” means that the arrow is part of the quiver  $Q_\alpha$  of type  $A$  that shares the vertex  $z_\alpha$  with the cycle  $C$  (see Definition 3.3).




- Let  $r_2$  be the number of oriented 3-cycles that fulfill one of two conditions:

- (1) The cycle shares with the nonoriented cycle one arrow  $\alpha$  that is oriented anticlockwise:



(2) The cycle is attached to an oriented 3-cycle  $C$  sharing one arrow  $\alpha$  with the nonoriented cycle and  $\alpha$  is oriented anticlockwise:




Here, “attached” is in the same sense as above.

• Similarly we define the parameters  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  with “clockwise” instead of “anticlockwise”.

**Example 3.8.** We denote the arrows that count for the parameter  $r_1$  by  $\bullet \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \blacktriangleright$  and the arrows that count for  $s_1$  by  $\bullet \text{---} \blacktriangleright$ . Furthermore, the oriented 3-cycles of  $r_2$  are denoted by  $\circlearrowleft$  and the oriented 3-cycles of  $s_2$  are denoted by  $\circlearrowright$ .

Let  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{16}$  be a quiver of the form



Then  $r_1 = 3$ ,  $r_2 = 3$ ,  $s_1 = 4$  and  $s_2 = 2$ .

**Lemma 3.9.** *If  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  are quivers in  $\mathcal{Q}_n$ , and  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  have the same parameters  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$ ,  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  (up to interchanging  $r_1$  with  $s_1$  and  $r_2$  with  $s_2$ ), then  $Q_2$  can be obtained from  $Q_1$  by iterated mutation, where all the intermediate quivers have the same parameters as well.*


*Proof.* It is enough to show that all quivers in  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  with parameters  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$ ,  $s_1$ ,  $s_2$  can be mutated to a quiver in *normal form* (see Figure 1) without changing the parameters  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$ ,  $s_1$ ,  $s_2$ . In such a quiver,  $r_1$  is the number of anticlockwise arrows in the nonoriented cycle that do not share any arrow with an oriented 3-cycle and

$s_1$  is the number of clockwise arrows in the nonoriented cycle that do not share any arrow with an oriented 3-cycle. Furthermore,  $r_2$  is the number of oriented 3-cycles sharing one arrow  $\alpha$  with the nonoriented cycle and  $\alpha$  is oriented anticlockwise and  $s_2$  is the number of oriented 3-cycles sharing one arrow  $\beta$  with the nonoriented cycle and  $\beta$  is oriented clockwise (see Definition 3.7).


We divide this process into five steps.

**Step 1:** Let  $Q$  be a quiver in  $\mathcal{Q}_n$ . We move all oriented 3-cycles of  $Q$  sharing no arrow with the nonoriented cycle towards the oriented 3-cycle that is attached to them and that shares one arrow with the nonoriented cycle.

*Method:* Let  $C$  and  $C'$  be a pair of neighboring oriented 3-cycles in  $Q$  (i.e., no arrow in the path between them is part of an oriented 3-cycle) such that the length of the path between them is at least one. We want to move  $C$  and  $C'$  closer together by mutation.



In the picture the  $Q_i$  are subquivers of  $Q$ . Mutating at  $d$  will produce a quiver  $Q^*$  looking like this:




Thus, the length of the path between  $C^*$  and  $C'$  decreases by 1 and there is a path of length one between  $C^*$  and  $Q_c$ . The arguments for a quiver with arrow  $d \rightarrow e$  are analogous and these mutations can also be used if the arrows between  $d$  and  $f$  are part of the nonoriented cycle (see Step 4).

In this procedure, the parameters  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$ ,  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  are left unchanged since we are not changing the number of arrows and the number of oriented 3-cycles which are attached to an oriented 3-cycle sharing one arrow with the nonoriented cycle.

**Step 2:** We move all oriented 3-cycles onto the nonoriented cycle.

*Method:* Let  $C$  be an oriented 3-cycle that shares one vertex  $z_\alpha$  with an oriented 3-cycle  $C_\alpha$  sharing an arrow  $\alpha$  with the nonoriented cycle. Then we mutate at the

vertex  $z_\alpha$ :



Hence, both of the oriented 3-cycles share one arrow with the nonoriented cycle and these arrows are oriented as  $\alpha$  was before. Thus, the parameters  $r_1, r_2, s_1$  and  $s_2$  are left unchanged. Furthermore, the length of the nonoriented cycle increases by 1. By iterated mutation of that kind, we produce a quiver  $Q^*$ , where all the oriented 3-cycles share an arrow with the nonoriented cycle.

**Step 3:** We move all arrows onto the nonoriented cycle.

*Method:* This is a similar process as in Step 2: Let  $C_\alpha$  be an oriented 3-cycle that shares an arrow  $\alpha$  with the nonoriented cycle. All arrows attached to  $C_\alpha$  can be moved into the nonoriented cycle by iteratively mutating at vertex  $z_\alpha$ . After mutating, all these arrows have the same orientation as  $\alpha$  in the nonoriented cycle. Thus, the parameters  $r_1, r_2, s_1$  and  $s_2$  are left unchanged.

**Step 4:** Move oriented 3-cycles along the nonoriented cycle.


*Method:* First, we number all oriented 3-cycles by  $C_1, \dots, C_{r_2+s_2}$  in such a way that  $C_{i+1}$  follows  $C_i$  anticlockwise. As in Step 1, we can move an oriented 3-cycle  $C_i$  towards  $C_{i+1}$  without changing the orientation of the arrows, that is, without changing the parameters  $r_1, r_2, s_1$  and  $s_2$ .

If the nonoriented cycle includes the vertex  $a$  in the pictures of Step 1, the arrows between the two cycles move to the top of  $C_{i+1}$ , that is, they are no longer part of the nonoriented cycle. However, we can reverse their directions by mutating at the new sinks or sources and insert these arrows into the nonoriented cycle between  $C_{i+1}$  and  $C_{i+2}$  by mutations like in Step 3 (if  $C_{i+2}$  exists).

Doing this iteratively, we produce a quiver  $Q^*$  as in Figure 5, with  $r_1 + s_1$  arrows that are not part of any oriented 3-cycle and  $r_2 + s_2$  oriented 3-cycles sharing one arrow with the nonoriented cycle.

**Step 5:** Change orientation on the nonoriented cycle to the orientation of Figure 1.


*Method:* The part of the nonoriented cycle without oriented 3-cycles can be moved to the desired orientation of Figure 1 via sink/source mutations, without mutating



**Figure 5.** Normal form of Step 4.

at the end vertices that are attached to oriented 3-cycles. Thus, the parameters  $r_1$  and  $s_1$  are left unchanged.


Each oriented 3-cycle shares one arrow with the nonoriented cycle. If all of these arrows are oriented in the same direction, the quiver is in the required form. Thus, we can assume that there are at least two arrows of two oriented 3-cycles  $C_i$  and  $C_{i+1}$  having opposite orientations. If we mutate at the connecting vertex of  $C_i$  and  $C_{i+1}$ , the directions of these arrows are changed:



Hence, these mutations act like sink/source mutations at the nonoriented cycle and the parameters  $r_2$  and  $s_2$  are left unchanged. Thus, we can mutate at such connecting vertices as in the part without oriented 3-cycles to reach the desired orientation of Figure 1.  $\square$


**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_n$  with parameters  $r_1, r_2, s_1$  and  $s_2$ . Then  $Q$  is mutation-equivalent to a nonoriented cycle of length  $n + 1$  with parameters  $r = r_1 + 2r_2$  and  $s = s_1 + 2s_2$ .*

*Proof.* We can assume that  $Q$  is in normal form (see Lemma 3.9) and we label the vertices  $z_\alpha$  as follows:



Mutation at the vertex  $x_i$  of an oriented 3-cycle  $\dots \rightarrow x_i \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow x_i \rightarrow \dots$  leads to two arrows of the form  $\dots \leftarrow x_i \leftarrow \dots$ .

Thus, after mutating at all the  $x_i$ , the parameter  $r_2$  is zero and we have a new parameter  $r = r_1 + 2r_2$ . Similarly, we get  $s = s_1 + 2s_2$ . Hence, mutating at all the  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  leads to a quiver with underlying graph  $\tilde{A}_n$  as follows:



Since there is a nonoriented cycle in every  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ , both  $r$  and  $s$  are nonzero. Thus, the cycle above is also nonoriented. Hence,  $Q$  is mutation-equivalent to some quiver of type  $\tilde{A}_n$  with parameters  $r = r_1 + 2r_2$  and  $s = s_1 + 2s_2$ .  $\square$



**Corollary 3.11.** *Let  $Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathcal{Q}_n$  with parameters  $r_1, r_2, s_1$  and  $s_2$ , respectively  $\tilde{r}_1, \tilde{r}_2, \tilde{s}_1$  and  $\tilde{s}_2$ . If  $r_1 + 2r_2 = \tilde{r}_1 + 2\tilde{r}_2$  and  $s_1 + 2s_2 = \tilde{s}_1 + 2\tilde{s}_2$ , or vice versa, then  $Q_1$  is mutation-equivalent to  $Q_2$ .*

**Theorem 3.12.** *Let  $Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathcal{Q}_n$  with parameters  $r_1, r_2, s_1$  and  $s_2$ , respectively  $\tilde{r}_1, \tilde{r}_2, \tilde{s}_1$  and  $\tilde{s}_2$ . Then  $Q_1$  is mutation-equivalent to  $Q_2$  if and only if*

$$r_1 + 2r_2 = \tilde{r}_1 + 2\tilde{r}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad s_1 + 2s_2 = \tilde{s}_1 + 2\tilde{s}_2$$

or

$$r_1 + 2r_2 = \tilde{s}_1 + 2\tilde{s}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad s_1 + 2s_2 = \tilde{r}_1 + 2\tilde{r}_2.$$

The “only if” part follows from Theorem 3.10 and Lemma 3.2.

#### 4. Cluster tilted algebras of type $\tilde{A}_n$

In general, cluster tilted algebras arise as endomorphism algebras of cluster-tilting objects in a cluster category [Buan et al. 2007]. Since a cluster tilted algebra  $A$  of type  $\tilde{A}_n$  is finite dimensional over an algebraically closed field  $K$ , there exists a quiver  $Q$  which is in the mutation classes of  $\tilde{A}_n$  [Buan et al. 2008] and an admissible ideal  $I$  of the path algebra  $KQ$  of  $Q$  such that  $A \cong KQ/I$ . A nonzero linear combination  $k_1\alpha_1 + \dots + k_m\alpha_m$ ,  $k_i \in K \setminus \{0\}$ , of paths  $\alpha_i$  of length at least two, with the same starting point and the same end point, is called a *relation* in  $Q$ . If  $m = 1$ , we call such a relation a *zero-relation*. Any admissible ideal of  $KQ$  is generated by a finite set of relations in  $Q$ .

From [Assem et al. 2010] and [Assem and Redondo 2009], we know that a cluster tilted algebra  $A$  of type  $\tilde{A}_n$  is gentle, a notion whose definition we recall:


**Definition 4.1.** We call  $A = KQ/I$  a *special biserial algebra* if these properties hold:

- (1) Each vertex of  $Q$  is the starting point of at most two arrows and the end point of at most two arrows.
- (2) For each arrow  $\alpha$  in  $Q$  there is at most one arrow  $\beta$  such that  $\alpha\beta \notin I$ , and at most one arrow  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma\alpha \notin I$ .


$A$  is *gentle* if moreover:

- (3) The ideal  $I$  is generated by paths of length 2.
- (4) For each arrow  $\alpha$  in  $Q$  there is at most one arrow  $\beta'$  with  $t(\alpha) = s(\beta')$  such that  $\beta'\alpha \in I$ , and there is at most one arrow  $\gamma'$  with  $t(\gamma') = s(\alpha)$  such that  $\alpha\gamma' \in I$ .

Also from the same references, all relations in a cluster tilted algebra  $A$  of type  $\tilde{A}_n$  occur in the oriented 3-cycles (cycles of the form on the right with (zero-)relations  $\alpha\gamma$ ,  $\beta\alpha$  and  $\gamma\beta$ ).



**Remark 4.2.** According to our convention in Definition 3.3 there are only three (zero-)relations in the quiver



and here, these are  $\alpha\delta$ ,  $\beta\alpha$  and  $\delta\beta$ .

For the next section, we need the notion of Cartan matrices of an algebra  $A$  (for example, see [Holm 2005]). Let  $K$  be a field and  $A = KQ/I$ . Since  $\sum_{i \in Q_0} e_i + I$  is the unit element in  $A$  we get  $A = A \cdot 1 = \bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} Ae_i$ ; hence the (left)  $A$ -modules  $P_i := Ae_i$  are the indecomposable projective  $A$ -modules. The Cartan matrix  $C = (c_{ij})$  of  $A$  is a  $|Q_0| \times |Q_0|$ -matrix defined by setting  $c_{ij} = \dim_K \text{Hom}_A(P_j, P_i)$ . Any homomorphism  $\varphi : Ae_j \rightarrow Ae_i$  of left  $A$ -modules is uniquely determined by  $\varphi(e_j) \in e_j Ae_i$ , the  $K$ -vector space generated by all paths in  $Q$  from vertex  $i$  to vertex  $j$  that are nonzero in  $A$ . In particular,  $c_{ij} = \dim_K e_j Ae_i$ .

That means that computing entries of the Cartan matrix for  $A$  reduces to counting paths in  $Q$  that are nonzero in  $A$ .

### 5. Derived equivalence classification of cluster tilted algebras of type $\tilde{A}_n$

We briefly review the fundamental results on derived equivalences. For a  $K$ -algebra  $A$  the bounded derived category of  $A$ -modules is denoted by  $D^b(A)$ . Recall that two algebras  $A, B$  are called derived equivalent if  $D^b(A)$  and  $D^b(B)$  are equivalent as triangulated categories. By a celebrated theorem of Rickard (Theorem 5.2), derived equivalences can be found using the concept of tilting complexes.

**Definition 5.1.** A tilting complex  $T$  over  $A$  is a bounded complex of finitely generated projective  $A$ -modules satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $\text{Hom}_{D^b(A)}(T, T[i]) = 0$  for all  $i \neq 0$ , where  $[\cdot]$  denotes the shift functor in  $D^b(A)$ .
- (ii) The category  $\text{add}(T)$  (i.e., the full subcategory consisting of direct summands of direct sums of  $T$ ) generates the homotopy category  $K^b(P_A)$  of projective  $A$ -modules as a triangulated category.

**Theorem 5.2** [Rickard 1989b]. *Two algebras  $A$  and  $B$  are derived equivalent if and only if there exists a tilting complex  $T$  for  $A$  such that the endomorphism algebra  $\text{End}_{D^b(A)}(T) \cong B$ .*

For calculating the endomorphism algebra  $\text{End}_{D^b(A)}(T)$  we can use the following alternating sum formula, which gives a general method for computing the Cartan matrix of an endomorphism algebra of a tilting complex from the Cartan matrix of the algebra  $A$ .

**Proposition 5.3** [Happel 1988]. *For an algebra  $A$  let  $Q = (Q^r)_{r \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and  $R = (R^s)_{s \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be bounded complexes of projective  $A$ -modules. Then*

$$\sum_i (-1)^i \dim \text{Hom}_{D^b(A)}(Q, R[i]) = \sum_{r,s} (-1)^{r-s} \dim \text{Hom}_A(Q^r, R^s).$$

*In particular, if  $Q$  and  $R$  are direct summands of the same tilting complex, then*


$$\dim \text{Hom}_{D^b(A)}(Q, R) = \sum_{r,s} (-1)^{r-s} \dim \text{Hom}_A(Q^r, R^s).$$

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $A = KQ/I$  be a cluster tilted algebra of type  $\tilde{A}_n$ . Let  $r_1, r_2, s_1$  and  $s_2$  be the parameters of  $Q$  that are defined in Definition 3.7. Then  $A$  is derived equivalent to a cluster tilted algebra corresponding to a quiver in normal form as in Figure 1.*

*Proof.* First, the number of oriented 3-cycles with full relations is invariant under derived equivalence for gentle algebras [Holm 2005], so the number  $r_2 + s_2$  is an invariant. From [Avella-Alaminos and Geiss 2008, Proposition B], we know that the number of arrows is also invariant under derived equivalence, so the number  $r_1 + s_1$  is an invariant, too. Later, we show in the proof of Theorem 5.5 that the single parameters  $r_1, r_2, s_1$  and  $s_2$  are invariant under derived equivalence.

Our strategy in this proof is to go through the proof of Lemma 3.9 and define a tilting complex for each mutation in Steps 1 and 2. We can omit the other three steps since these are just the same situations as in the first two steps. We show that if we mutate at some vertex of the quiver  $Q$  and obtain a quiver  $Q^*$ , then the two corresponding cluster tilted algebras are derived equivalent.

**Step 1:** Let  $A$  be a cluster tilted algebra with corresponding quiver



We can compute the Cartan matrix to be 
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since we are dealing with left modules and read paths from right to left, a nonzero path from vertex  $i$  to  $j$  gives a homomorphism  $P_j \rightarrow P_i$  by right multiplication. Thus, two arrows  $\alpha : i \rightarrow j$  and  $\beta : j \rightarrow k$  give a path  $\beta\alpha$  from  $i$  to  $k$  and a homomorphism  $\alpha\beta : P_k \rightarrow P_i$ .

In the situation above, we have homomorphisms  $P_3 \xrightarrow{\alpha_3} P_2$  and  $P_3 \xrightarrow{\alpha_4} P_4$ .

Let  $T = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n+1} T_i$  be the following bounded complex of projective  $A$ -modules, where  $T_i : 0 \rightarrow P_i \rightarrow 0$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, 4, \dots, n + 1\}$ , are complexes concentrated in degree zero and

$$T_3 : 0 \rightarrow P_3 \xrightarrow{(\alpha_3, \alpha_4)} P_2 \oplus P_4 \rightarrow 0$$


is a complex concentrated in degrees  $-1$  and  $0$ .

We leave it to the reader to verify that this is indeed a tilting complex.

By Rickard's Theorem 5.2,  $E := \text{End}_{D^b(A)}(T)$  is derived equivalent to  $A$ . Using the alternating sum formula of the Proposition 5.3 of Happel we can compute the Cartan matrix of  $E$  to be

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

We define homomorphisms in  $E$  as follows:



Now we have to check the relations, up to homotopy.

Clearly, the homomorphism  $(\alpha_4 \alpha_1 \alpha_2, 0)$  in the oriented 3-cycle containing the vertices 1, 3 and 4 is zero since  $\alpha_1 \alpha_2$  was zero in  $A$ . Furthermore, the composition of  $(\alpha_2, 0)$  and  $(0, \text{id})$  yields a zero-relation. The last zero-relation in this oriented 3-cycle is the concatenation of  $(0, \text{id})$  and  $\alpha_4 \alpha_1$  since this homomorphism is homotopic to zero:


$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \searrow^{\alpha_1} & \downarrow^{(0, \alpha_4 \alpha_1)} & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & P_3 & \xrightarrow{(\alpha_3, \alpha_4)} & P_2 \oplus P_4 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

The relations in all other oriented 3-cycles of this quiver are the same as in the quiver of  $A$ .

Thus, we have defined homomorphisms between the summands of  $T$  corresponding to the arrows of the quiver that we obtain after mutating at vertex 3 in the quiver of  $A$ . We have shown that they satisfy the defining relations of this

algebra and the Cartan matrices agree. Thus,  $A$  is derived equivalent to  $E$  and  $A^{\text{op}}$  is derived equivalent to  $E^{\text{op}}$ , where the quiver of  $E$  is the same as the quiver we obtain after mutating at vertex 3 in the quiver of  $A$ . Furthermore, the quivers of  $A^{\text{op}}$  and  $E^{\text{op}}$  are the quivers in the other case in Step 1.

**Step 2:** Let  $A$  be a cluster tilted algebra with corresponding quiver




We define a tilting complex  $T$  as the bounded complex of projective  $A$ -modules  $T = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n+1} T_i$ , where  $T_i : 0 \rightarrow P_i \rightarrow 0$ , for  $i \in \{1, 2, 4, \dots, n + 1\}$ , are complexes concentrated in degree zero and  $T_3 : 0 \rightarrow P_3 \xrightarrow{(\alpha_2, \alpha_6)} P_1 \oplus P_4 \rightarrow 0$  is a complex concentrated in degrees  $-1$  and  $0$ .

By Rickard’s theorem,  $E := \text{End}_{D^b(A)}(T)$  is derived equivalent to  $A$ . Using Happel’s alternating sum formula (Proposition 5.3), we can compute the Cartan matrix of  $E$  to be

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

(This deals with the case where not all the arrows between 2 and 1 along the nonoriented cycle are oriented in the same direction. The case where they are can be handled similarly.)

We define homomorphisms in  $E$  as follows:



Thus,  $A$  is derived equivalent to  $E$  and  $A^{\text{op}}$  is derived equivalent to  $E^{\text{op}}$ , where the quiver of  $E$  is the same as the quiver we obtain after mutating at 3.

In Steps 3 and 4 of the proof of Lemma 3.9 we mutate at a vertex with three incident arrows as in Step 1. In Step 5 we mutate at sinks, sources and at vertices with four incident arrows as in Step 2.

Thus, we obtain a quiver of a derived equivalent cluster tilted algebra by all mutations in the proof of Lemma 3.9. Hence, every cluster tilted algebra  $A = KQ/I$  of type  $\tilde{A}_n$  is derived equivalent to a cluster tilted algebra with a quiver in normal form having the same parameters as  $Q$ .  $\square$

Our next aim is to prove the main result:

**Theorem 5.5.** *Two cluster tilted algebras of type  $\tilde{A}_n$  are derived equivalent if and only if their quivers have the same parameters  $r_1, r_2, s_1$  and  $s_2$ , up to changing the roles of  $r_i$  and  $s_i$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .*

But first, we recall some background from [Avella-Alaminos and Geiss 2008]. Let  $A = KQ/I$  be a gentle algebra, where  $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$  is a connected quiver. A *permitted path* of  $A$  is a path  $C = \alpha_l \dots \alpha_2 \alpha_1$  that contains no zero-relations. A permitted path  $C$  is called a *nontrivial permitted thread* if for all  $\beta \in Q_1$  neither  $C\beta$  nor  $\beta C$  is a permitted path. Similarly a *forbidden path* of  $A$  is a sequence  $\Pi = \alpha_l \dots \alpha_2 \alpha_1$  formed by pairwise different arrows in  $Q$  with  $\alpha_{i+1} \alpha_i \in I$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l-1\}$ . A forbidden path  $\Pi$  is called a *nontrivial forbidden thread* if for all  $\beta \in Q_1$  neither  $\Pi\beta$  nor  $\beta\Pi$  is a forbidden path. Let  $v \in Q_0$  such that  $\#\{\alpha \in Q_1 : s(\alpha) = v\} \leq 1$ ,  $\#\{\alpha \in Q_1 : t(\alpha) = v\} \leq 1$  and if  $\beta, \gamma \in Q_1$  are such that  $s(\gamma) = v = t(\beta)$ , then  $\gamma\beta \notin I$ . Then we consider  $e_v$  a *trivial permitted thread* in  $v$  and denote it by  $h_v$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_A$  be the set of all permitted threads of  $A$ , trivial and nontrivial. Similarly, for  $v \in Q_0$  such that  $\#\{\alpha \in Q_1 : s(\alpha) = v\} \leq 1$ ,  $\#\{\alpha \in Q_1 : t(\alpha) = v\} \leq 1$  and if  $\beta, \gamma \in Q_1$  are such that  $s(\gamma) = v = t(\beta)$ , then  $\gamma\beta \in I$ , we consider  $e_v$  a *trivial forbidden thread* in  $v$  and denote it by  $p_v$ . Note that certain paths can be permitted and forbidden threads simultaneously.

Now, one can define functions  $\sigma, \varepsilon : Q_1 \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$  that satisfy these conditions:

- (1) If  $\beta_1 \neq \beta_2$  are arrows with  $s(\beta_1) = s(\beta_2)$ , then  $\sigma(\beta_1) = -\sigma(\beta_2)$ .
- (2) If  $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2$  are arrows with  $t(\gamma_1) = t(\gamma_2)$ , then  $\varepsilon(\gamma_1) = -\varepsilon(\gamma_2)$ .
- (3) If  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are arrows with  $s(\gamma) = t(\beta)$  and  $\gamma\beta \notin I$ , then  $\sigma(\gamma) = -\varepsilon(\beta)$ .

We can extend these functions to threads of  $A$  as follows: for a nontrivial thread  $H = \alpha_l \dots \alpha_2 \alpha_1$  of  $A$  define  $\sigma(H) := \sigma(\alpha_1)$  and  $\varepsilon(H) := \varepsilon(\alpha_l)$ . If there is a trivial permitted thread  $h_v$  for some  $v \in Q_0$ , the connectivity of  $Q$  assures the existence of some  $\gamma \in Q_1$  with  $s(\gamma) = v$  or some  $\beta \in Q_1$  with  $t(\beta) = v$ . In the first case, we define  $\sigma(h_v) = -\varepsilon(h_v) := -\sigma(\gamma)$ , for the second case  $\sigma(h_v) = -\varepsilon(h_v) := \varepsilon(\beta)$ . If there is a trivial forbidden thread  $p_v$  for some  $v \in Q_0$ , we know that there exists  $\gamma \in Q_1$  with  $s(\gamma) = v$  or  $\beta \in Q_1$  with  $t(\beta) = v$ . In the first case, we define  $\sigma(p_v) = \varepsilon(h_v) := -\sigma(\gamma)$ , for the second case  $\sigma(p_v) = \varepsilon(h_v) := -\varepsilon(\beta)$ .

We next use a combinatorial algorithm to produce certain pairs of natural numbers, using only the quiver with relations which defines a gentle algebra. In the algorithm we go forward through permitted threads and backwards through forbidden threads in such a way that each arrow and its inverse are used exactly once.

**Algorithm 5.6** [Avella-Alaminos and Geiss 2008].

- (1) Begin with a permitted thread  $H_0$  of  $A$ .
  - If  $H_i$  is defined, consider  $\Pi_i$  the forbidden thread that ends in  $t(H_i)$  and such that  $\varepsilon(H_i) = -\varepsilon(\Pi_i)$ .
  - Let  $H_{i+1}$  be the permitted thread that starts in  $s(\Pi_i)$  and such that  $\sigma(H_{i+1}) = -\sigma(\Pi_i)$ .

The process stops when  $H_k = H_0$  for some natural number  $k$ . Set

$$m = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} l(\Pi_{i-1}),$$

where  $l(\cdot)$  is the length (number of arrows) of a path. We obtain the pair  $(k, m)$ .

- (2) Repeat the first step of the algorithm until all permitted threads of  $A$  have been considered.
- (3) If there are oriented cycles in which each pair of consecutive arrows form a relation, we add a pair  $(0, m)$  for each of those cycles, where  $m$  is the length of the cycle.
- (4) Define  $\phi_A : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\phi_A(k, m)$  is the number of times the pair  $(k, m)$  arises in the algorithm.


This function  $\phi$  is invariant under derived equivalence:

**Lemma 5.7** [Avella-Alaminos and Geiss 2008]. *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be gentle algebras. If  $A$  and  $B$  are derived equivalent, then  $\phi_A = \phi_B$ .*

**Example 5.8.** Figure 6 shows the quiver of a cluster tilted algebra  $A$  of type  $\tilde{A}_{18}$ , where  $r_1 = 2$ ,  $r_2 = 3$ ,  $s_1 = 3$  and  $s_2 = 4$  and thus,  $r := r_1 + r_2 = 5$  and  $s := s_1 + s_2 = 7$ .

Define the functions  $\sigma$  and  $\varepsilon$  for all arrows in  $Q$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\alpha_i) &= 1, & \varepsilon(\alpha_i) &= -1 & \text{for all } i &= 1, \dots, 5, \\ \sigma(\alpha_i) &= -1, & \varepsilon(\alpha_i) &= 1 & \text{for all } i &= 6, \dots, 12, \\ \sigma(\beta_{j,1}) &= 1, & \varepsilon(\beta_{j,1}) &= 1 & \text{for all } j &= 1, \dots, 3, \\ \sigma(\beta_{j,2}) &= -1, & \varepsilon(\beta_{j,2}) &= 1 & \text{for all } j &= 1, \dots, 3, \\ \sigma(\gamma_{l,1}) &= -1, & \varepsilon(\gamma_{l,1}) &= -1 & \text{for all } l &= 1, \dots, 4, \\ \sigma(\gamma_{l,2}) &= 1, & \varepsilon(\gamma_{l,2}) &= -1 & \text{for all } l &= 1, \dots, 4. \end{aligned}$$



**Figure 6.** Quiver for Example 5.8.

Then  $\mathcal{H}_A$  is formed by  $h_{v_1}, h_{v_6}, h_{v_7}, \gamma_{4,2}\alpha_5\alpha_4\alpha_3\alpha_2\alpha_1, \beta_{3,2}\alpha_{12}\alpha_{11}\alpha_{10}\alpha_9\alpha_8\alpha_7\alpha_6, \beta_{1,1}, \beta_{1,2}\beta_{2,1}, \beta_{2,2}\beta_{3,1}, \gamma_{1,1}, \gamma_{1,2}\gamma_{2,1}, \gamma_{2,2}\gamma_{3,1}$  and  $\gamma_{3,2}\gamma_{4,1}$ . The forbidden threads of  $A$  are  $p_{x_1}, p_{x_2}, p_{x_3}, p_{y_1}, p_{y_2}, p_{y_3}, p_{y_4}, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_6, \alpha_7, \alpha_8$  and all the oriented 3-cycles.

Moreover, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(h_{v_1}) &= -\varepsilon(h_{v_1}) = -\sigma(\alpha_2) = \varepsilon(\alpha_1) = -1, \\ \sigma(h_{v_6}) &= -\varepsilon(h_{v_6}) = -\sigma(\alpha_7) = \varepsilon(\alpha_6) = 1, \\ \sigma(h_{v_7}) &= -\varepsilon(h_{v_7}) = -\sigma(\alpha_8) = \varepsilon(\alpha_7) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

for the trivial permitted threads and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(p_{x_i}) &= \varepsilon(p_{x_i}) = -\sigma(\beta_{i,1}) = -\varepsilon(\beta_{i,2}) = -1 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, 3, \\ \sigma(p_{y_i}) &= \varepsilon(p_{y_i}) = -\sigma(\gamma_{i,1}) = -\varepsilon(\gamma_{i,2}) = 1 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \end{aligned}$$

for the trivial forbidden threads.

Let  $H_0 = h_{v_1}$  and  $\Pi_0 = \alpha_1$  with  $\varepsilon(h_{v_1}) = -\varepsilon(\alpha_1) = 1$ . Then  $H_1$  is the permitted thread that starts in  $s(\Pi_0) = v_0$  and  $\sigma(H_1) = \sigma(\alpha_6) = -\sigma(\Pi_0) = -1$ , that is,  $\beta_{3,2}\alpha_{12}\alpha_{11}\alpha_{10}\alpha_9\alpha_8\alpha_7\alpha_6$ . Now  $\Pi_1 = p_{x_3}$  since it is the forbidden thread that ends in  $x_3$  and  $\varepsilon(\Pi_1) = -\varepsilon(H_1) = -\varepsilon(\beta_{3,2}) = -1$ . Then  $H_2 = \beta_{2,2}\beta_{3,1}$  is the permitted thread starting in  $x_3$  and  $\sigma(\Pi_1) = -\sigma(H_2) = -\sigma(\beta_{3,1}) = -1$ . Thus,  $\Pi_2 = p_{x_2}$  with  $\varepsilon(H_2) = \varepsilon(\beta_{2,2}) = -\varepsilon(\Pi_2) = 1$ .



In the same way we can define the missing threads and we get

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 H_0 = h_{v_1} & \Pi_0^{-1} = \alpha_1^{-1} \\
 H_1 = \beta_{3,2}\alpha_{12}\alpha_{11}\alpha_{10}\alpha_9\alpha_8\alpha_7\alpha_6 & \Pi_1^{-1} = p_{x_3} \\
 H_2 = \beta_{2,2}\beta_{3,1} & \Pi_2^{-1} = p_{x_2} \\
 H_3 = \beta_{1,2}\beta_{2,1} & \Pi_3^{-1} = p_{x_1} \\
 H_4 = \beta_{1,1} & \Pi_4^{-1} = \alpha_2^{-1} \\
 H_5 = H_0 &
 \end{array}$$

$$\rightarrow (5, 2)$$

where  $\alpha_1^{-1}$  is defined by  $s(\alpha_1^{-1}) := t(\alpha_1)$ ,  $t(\alpha_1^{-1}) := s(\alpha_1)$  and  $(\alpha_1^{-1})^{-1} = \alpha_1$ .

If we continue with the algorithm we obtain the second pair  $(7, 3) = (s, s_1)$  in the following way:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 H_0 = h_{v_6} & \Pi_0^{-1} = \alpha_6^{-1} \\
 H_1 = \gamma_{4,2}\alpha_5\alpha_4\alpha_3\alpha_2\alpha_1 & \Pi_1^{-1} = p_{y_4} \\
 H_2 = \gamma_{3,2}\gamma_{4,1} & \Pi_2^{-1} = p_{y_3} \\
 H_3 = \gamma_{2,2}\gamma_{3,1} & \Pi_3^{-1} = p_{y_2} \\
 H_4 = \gamma_{1,2}\gamma_{2,1} & \Pi_4^{-1} = p_{y_1} \\
 H_5 = \gamma_{1,1} & \Pi_5^{-1} = \alpha_8^{-1} \\
 H_6 = h_{v_7} & \Pi_6^{-1} = \alpha_7^{-1} \\
 H_7 = H_0 &
 \end{array}$$

$$\rightarrow (7, 3)$$

Finally, we have to add seven pairs  $(0, 3)$  for the seven oriented 3-cycles. Thus, we get  $\phi_A(5, 2) = 1$ ,  $\phi_A(7, 3) = 1$ , and  $\phi_A(0, 3) = 7$ .

Now we can extend this example to general quivers of cluster tilted algebras of type  $\tilde{A}_n$  in normal form.

*Proof of Theorem 5.5.* We know from Lemma 5.4 that every cluster tilted algebra  $A = KQ/I$  of type  $\tilde{A}_n$  with parameters  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$ ,  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  is derived equivalent to a cluster tilted algebra with a quiver in normal form, as shown in Figure 1, where  $r_1$  is the number of arrows anticlockwise that do not share any arrow with an oriented 3-cycle and  $s_1$  is the number of arrows clockwise that do not share any arrow with an oriented 3-cycle. Moreover,  $r_2$  is the number of oriented 3-cycles that share one arrow  $\alpha$  with the nonoriented cycle and  $\alpha$  is oriented anticlockwise and  $s_2$  is the number of oriented 3-cycles that share one arrow  $\beta$  with the nonoriented cycle and  $\beta$  is oriented clockwise (see Definition 3.7). Thus,  $r := r_1 + r_2$  is the number of anticlockwise arrows of the nonoriented cycle and  $s := s_1 + s_2$  is the number of clockwise arrows of the nonoriented cycle.

We consider the quiver  $Q$  in normal form with notation as given in Figure 7 and define the functions  $\sigma$  and  $\varepsilon$  for all arrows in  $Q$ :




Figure 7. A quiver in normal form.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma(\alpha_i) &= 1, \quad \varepsilon(\alpha_i) = -1 && \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, r, \\
 \sigma(\alpha_i) &= -1, \quad \varepsilon(\alpha_i) = 1 && \text{for all } i = r + 1, \dots, r + s, \\
 \sigma(\beta_{j,1}) &= 1, \quad \varepsilon(\beta_{j,1}) = 1 && \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, r_2, \\
 \sigma(\beta_{j,2}) &= -1, \quad \varepsilon(\beta_{j,2}) = 1 && \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, r_2, \\
 \sigma(\gamma_{l,1}) &= -1, \quad \varepsilon(\gamma_{l,1}) = -1 && \text{for all } l = 1, \dots, s_2, \\
 \sigma(\gamma_{l,2}) &= 1, \quad \varepsilon(\gamma_{l,2}) = -1 && \text{for all } l = 1, \dots, s_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\mathcal{H}_A$  is formed by

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_{v_1}, \dots, h_{v_{r_1-1}}, h_{v_{r_1+1}}, \dots, h_{v_{r+s_1-1}}, \gamma_{s_2,2}\alpha_r\alpha_{r-1}\dots\alpha_2\alpha_1, \\
 \beta_{r_2,2}\alpha_{r+s}\alpha_{r+s-1}\dots\alpha_{r+2}\alpha_{r+1}, \beta_{1,1}, \beta_{1,2}\beta_{2,1}, \dots, \beta_{r_2-1,2}\beta_{r_2,1}, \\
 \gamma_{1,1}, \gamma_{1,2}\gamma_{2,1}, \dots, \gamma_{s_2-1,2}\gamma_{s_2,1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The forbidden threads of  $A$  are  $p_{x_1}, \dots, p_{x_{r_2}}, p_{y_1}, \dots, p_{y_{s_2}}, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r_1}, \alpha_{r+1}, \dots, \alpha_{r+s_1}$  and all the oriented 3-cycles.

Moreover, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma(h_{v_1}) &= -\varepsilon(h_{v_1}) = -\sigma(\alpha_2) = \varepsilon(\alpha_1) = -1, \\
 &\vdots \\
 \sigma(h_{v_{r_1-1}}) &= -\varepsilon(h_{v_{r_1-1}}) = -\sigma(\alpha_{r_1}) = \varepsilon(\alpha_{r_1-1}) = -1, \\
 \sigma(h_{v_{r_1+1}}) &= -\varepsilon(h_{v_{r_1+1}}) = -\sigma(\alpha_{r+2}) = \varepsilon(\alpha_{r+1}) = 1, \\
 &\vdots \\
 \sigma(h_{v_{r+s_1-1}}) &= -\varepsilon(h_{v_{r+s_1-1}}) = -\sigma(\alpha_{r+s_1}) = \varepsilon(\alpha_{r+s_1-1}) = 1
 \end{aligned}$$

for the trivial permitted threads and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(p_{x_i}) = \varepsilon(p_{x_i}) = -\sigma(\beta_{i,1}) = -\varepsilon(\beta_{i,2}) = -1 & \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, r_2, \\ \sigma(p_{y_i}) = \varepsilon(p_{y_i}) = -\sigma(\gamma_{i,1}) = -\varepsilon(\gamma_{i,2}) = 1 & \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, s_2 \end{aligned}$$

for the trivial forbidden threads.

Thus, we can apply Algorithm 5.6 as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} H_0 &= h_{v_1} & \Pi_0^{-1} &= \alpha_1^{-1} \\ H_1 &= \beta_{r_2,2} \alpha_r \alpha_{r+s-1} \dots \alpha_{r+2} \alpha_{r+1} & \Pi_1^{-1} &= p_{x_{r_2}} \\ H_2 &= \beta_{r_2-1,2} \beta_{r_2,1} & \Pi_2^{-1} &= p_{x_{r_2-1}} \\ & \vdots & & \vdots \\ H_{r_2} &= \beta_{1,2} \beta_{2,1} & \Pi_{r_2}^{-1} &= p_{x_1} \\ H_{r_2+1} &= \beta_{1,1} & \Pi_{r_2+1}^{-1} &= \alpha_{r_1}^{-1} \\ H_{r_2+2} &= h_{v_{r_1-1}} & \Pi_{r_2+2}^{-1} &= \alpha_{r_1-1}^{-1} \\ & \vdots & & \vdots \\ H_{r-1} &= h_{v_2} & \Pi_{r-1}^{-1} &= \alpha_2^{-1} \\ H_r &= H_0 & & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} m &= l(\Pi_0) + l(\Pi_{r_2+1}) + l(\Pi_{r_2+2}) + \dots + l(\Pi_{r-1}) \\ &= 1 + \underbrace{1 + 1 + \dots + 1}_{(r-1)-r_2 \text{ times}} \\ &= 1 + (r-1) - r_2 \\ &= r - r_2 \\ &= r_1 \\ &\rightarrow (r, r_1) \end{aligned}$$

If we continue with the algorithm we obtain the second pair  $(s, s_1)$  as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} H_0 &= h_{v_{r+1}} & \Pi_0^{-1} &= \alpha_{r+1}^{-1} \\ H_1 &= \gamma_{s_2,2} \alpha_r \alpha_{r-1} \dots \alpha_2 \alpha_1 & \Pi_1^{-1} &= p_{y_{s_2}} \\ H_2 &= \gamma_{s_2-1,2} \gamma_{s_2,1} & \Pi_2^{-1} &= p_{y_{s_2-1}} \\ & \vdots & & \vdots \\ H_{s_2} &= \gamma_{1,2} \gamma_{2,1} & \Pi_{s_2}^{-1} &= p_{y_1} \\ H_{s_2+1} &= \gamma_{1,1} & \Pi_{s_2+1}^{-1} &= \alpha_{r+s_1}^{-1} \\ H_{s_2+2} &= h_{v_{r+s_1-1}} & \Pi_{s_2+2}^{-1} &= \alpha_{r+s_1-1}^{-1} \\ & \vdots & & \vdots \\ H_{s-1} &= h_{v_{r+2}} & \Pi_{s-1}^{-1} &= \alpha_{r+2}^{-1} \\ H_s &= H_0 & & \end{array}$$

$$\rightarrow (s, s_1)$$

Finally, we have to add  $r_2 + s_2$  pairs  $(0, 3)$  for the oriented 3-cycles. Thus, we have  $\phi_A(r, r_1) = 1$ ,  $\phi_A(s, s_1) = 1$  and  $\phi_A(0, 3) = r_2 + s_2$ , where  $r = r_1 + r_2$  and  $s = s_1 + s_2$ .

Now, let  $A$  and  $B$  be two cluster tilted algebras of type  $\tilde{A}_n$  with parameters  $r_1, r_2, s_1, s_2$ , respectively  $\tilde{r}_1, \tilde{r}_2, \tilde{s}_1, \tilde{s}_2$ . From above we can conclude that  $\phi_A = \phi_B$  if and only if  $r_1 = \tilde{r}_1, r_2 = \tilde{r}_2, s_1 = \tilde{s}_1$  and  $s_2 = \tilde{s}_2$  or  $r_1 = \tilde{s}_1, r_2 = \tilde{s}_2, s_1 = \tilde{r}_1$  and  $s_2 = \tilde{r}_2$  (which ends up being the same quiver).

Hence, if  $A$  is derived equivalent to  $B$ , we know from Lemma 5.7 that  $\phi_A = \phi_B$  and thus, that the parameters are the same. Otherwise, if  $A$  and  $B$  have the same parameters, they are both derived equivalent to the same cluster tilted algebra with a quiver in normal form.  $\square$

### Acknowledgement

The author thanks Thorsten Holm for many helpful suggestions and discussions about the topics of this work.

### References

- [Assem and Redondo 2009] I. Assem and M. J. Redondo, “The first Hochschild cohomology group of a Schurian cluster-tilted algebra”, *Manuscripta Math.* **128**:3 (2009), 373–388. MR 2009m:16018 Zbl 1211.16007
- [Assem et al. 2008a] I. Assem, T. Brüstle, and R. Schiffler, “Cluster-tilted algebras and slices”, *J. Algebra* **319**:8 (2008), 3464–3479. MR 2009f:16021 Zbl 1159.16011
- [Assem et al. 2008b] I. Assem, T. Brüstle, and R. Schiffler, “Cluster-tilted algebras as trivial extensions”, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **40**:1 (2008), 151–162. MR 2009c:16086 Zbl 1182.16009
- [Assem et al. 2010] I. Assem, T. Brüstle, G. Charbonneau-Jodoin, and P.-G. Plamondon, “Gentle algebras arising from surface triangulations”, *Algebra Number Theory* **4**:2 (2010), 201–229. MR 2011h:16045 Zbl 05704468
- [Avella-Alaminos and Geiss 2008] D. Avella-Alaminos and C. Geiss, “Combinatorial derived invariants for gentle algebras”, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **212**:1 (2008), 228–243. MR 2008i:16014 Zbl 1143.16016
- [Buan and Vatne 2008] A. B. Buan and D. F. Vatne, “Derived equivalence classification for cluster-tilted algebras of type  $A_n$ ”, *J. Algebra* **319**:7 (2008), 2723–2738. MR 2009j:16013 Zbl 1155.16010
- [Buan et al. 2006] A. B. Buan, R. J. Marsh, M. Reineke, I. Reiten, and G. Todorov, “Tilting theory and cluster combinatorics”, *Adv. Math.* **204**:2 (2006), 572–618. MR 2007f:16033 Zbl 1127.16011
- [Buan et al. 2007] A. B. Buan, R. J. Marsh, and I. Reiten, “Cluster-tilted algebras”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **359**:1 (2007), 323–332. MR 2007f:16035 Zbl 1123.16009
- [Buan et al. 2008] A. B. Buan, R. J. Marsh, and I. Reiten, “Cluster mutation via quiver representations”, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **83**:1 (2008), 143–177. MR 2008k:16026 Zbl 1193.16016
- [Caldero et al. 2006] P. Caldero, F. Chapoton, and R. Schiffler, “Quivers with relations and cluster tilted algebras”, *Algebr. Represent. Theory* **9**:4 (2006), 359–376. MR 2007f:16036 Zbl 1127.16013
- [Derksen and Owen 2008] H. Derksen and T. Owen, “New graphs of finite mutation type”, *Electron. J. Combin.* **15**:1 (2008), Research Paper 139. MR 2009j:05102 Zbl 1180.05052

- [Derksen et al. 2008] H. Derksen, J. Weyman, and A. Zelevinsky, “Quivers with potentials and their representations, I: Mutations”, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **14**:1 (2008), 59–119. MR 2010b:16021 Zbl 1204.16008
- [Fomin and Zelevinsky 2002] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, “Cluster algebras, I: Foundations”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **15**:2 (2002), 497–529. MR 2003f:16050 Zbl 1021.16017
- [Fomin and Zelevinsky 2003] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, “Cluster algebras, II: Finite type classification”, *Invent. Math.* **154**:1 (2003), 63–121. MR 2004m:17011 Zbl 1054.17024
- [Fomin et al. 2008] S. Fomin, M. Shapiro, and D. Thurston, “Cluster algebras and triangulated surfaces, I: Cluster complexes”, *Acta Math.* **201**:1 (2008), 83–146. MR 2010b:57032 Zbl 05508850
- [Happel 1988] D. Happel, *Triangulated categories in the representation theory of finite dimensional algebras*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **119**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988. MR 89e:16035 Zbl 0635.16017
- [Holm 2005] T. Holm, “Cartan determinants for gentle algebras”, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **85**:3 (2005), 233–239. MR 2006e:16022 Zbl 1084.16009
- [Krause 1997] H. Krause, “Stable equivalence preserves representation type”, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **72**:2 (1997), 266–284. MR 98k:16018 Zbl 0901.16008
- [Labardini-Fragoso 2009] D. Labardini-Fragoso, “Quivers with potentials associated to triangulated surfaces”, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* **98**:3 (2009), 797–839. MR 2010b:16033 Zbl 05551833
- [Murphy 2010] G. J. Murphy, “Derived equivalence classification of  $m$ -cluster tilted algebras of type  $A_n$ ”, *J. Algebra* **323**:4 (2010), 920–965. MR 2011e:16019 Zbl 1207.16014
- [Rickard 1989a] J. Rickard, “Derived categories and stable equivalence”, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **61**:3 (1989), 303–317. MR 91a:16004 Zbl 0685.16016
- [Rickard 1989b] J. Rickard, “Morita theory for derived categories”, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **39**:3 (1989), 436–456. MR 91b:18012 Zbl 0642.16034
- [Rickard 1991] J. Rickard, “Derived equivalences as derived functors”, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **43**:1 (1991), 37–48. MR 92b:16043 Zbl 0683.16030
- [Seven 2007] A. I. Seven, “Recognizing cluster algebras of finite type”, *Electron. J. Combin.* **14**:1 (2007), Research Paper 3. MR 2008c:05192 Zbl 1114.05103
- [Vatne 2010] D. F. Vatne, “The mutation class of  $D_n$  quivers”, *Comm. Algebra* **38**:3 (2010), 1137–1146. MR 2011c:13049 Zbl 1196.16011

Communicated by Andrei Zelevinsky

Received 2010-03-04    Revised 2010-07-26    Accepted 2010-08-31

bastian@math.uni-hannover.de    *Leibniz Universität Hannover,  
Institut für Algebra, Zahlentheorie und Diskrete Mathematik,  
Welfengarten 1, D-30167 Hannover, Germany*

# Algebra & Number Theory

www.jant.org

## EDITORS

### MANAGING EDITOR

Bjorn Poonen  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Cambridge, USA

### EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR

David Eisenbud  
University of California  
Berkeley, USA

## BOARD OF EDITORS

Georgia Benkart	University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA	Shigefumi Mori	RIMS, Kyoto University, Japan
Dave Benson	University of Aberdeen, Scotland	Raman Parimala	Emory University, USA
Richard E. Borcherds	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
John H. Coates	University of Cambridge, UK	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
J-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Sud, France	Karl Rubin	University of California, Irvine, USA
Brian D. Conrad	University of Michigan, USA	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Hélène Esnault	Universität Duisburg-Essen, Germany	Joseph H. Silverman	Brown University, USA
Hubert Flenner	Ruhr-Universität, Germany	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Ronald Solomon	Ohio State University, USA
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	Vasudevan Srinivas	Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, India
Joseph Gubeladze	San Francisco State University, USA	J. Toby Stafford	University of Michigan, USA
Ehud Hrushovski	Hebrew University, Israel	Bernd Sturmfels	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Craig Huneke	University of Kansas, USA	Richard Taylor	Harvard University, USA
Mikhail Kapranov	Yale University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Yujiro Kawamata	University of Tokyo, Japan	Michel van den Bergh	Hasselt University, Belgium
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Marie-France Vignéras	Université Paris VII, France
Yuri Manin	Northwestern University, USA	Kei-Ichi Watanabe	Nihon University, Japan
Barry Mazur	Harvard University, USA	Andrei Zelevinsky	Northeastern University, USA
Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne	Efim Zelmanov	University of California, San Diego, USA
Susan Montgomery	University of Southern California, USA		

## PRODUCTION

contact@msp.org

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

---

See inside back cover or [www.jant.org](http://www.jant.org) for submission instructions.


The subscription price for 2011 is US \$150/year for the electronic version, and \$210/year (+\$35 shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, USA.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1937-0652) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

---

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW<sup>®</sup> from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**

<http://msp.org/>

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X

Copyright ©2011 by Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 5    No. 5    2011

---

Mutation classes of $\tilde{A}_n$ -quivers and derived equivalence classification of cluster tilted algebras of type $\tilde{A}_n$ JANINE BASTIAN	567
Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials and drift configurations LI LI and ALEXANDER YONG	595
Renormalization and quantum field theory RICHARD E. BORCHERDS	627
Density of rational points on isotrivial rational elliptic surfaces ANTHONY VÁRILLY-ALVARADO	659



1937-0652(2011)5:5;1-C