Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 5 2011 _{No. 8}

Parametrizing quartic algebras over an arbitrary base

U

1

D.

Melanie Matchett Wood

mathematical sciences publishers



Parametrizing quartic algebras over an arbitrary base

Melanie Matchett Wood

We parametrize quartic commutative algebras over any base ring or scheme (equivalently finite, flat degree-4 *S*-schemes), with their cubic resolvents, by pairs of ternary quadratic forms over the base. This generalizes Bhargava's parametrization of quartic rings with their cubic resolvent rings over \mathbb{Z} by pairs of integral ternary quadratic forms, as well as Casnati and Ekedahl's construction of Gorenstein quartic covers by certain rank-2 families of ternary quadratic forms. We give a geometric construction of a quartic algebra from any pair of ternary quadratic forms, and prove this construction commutes with base change and also agrees with Bhargava's explicit construction over \mathbb{Z} .

1. Introduction

Definitions and main result. A *n*-ic algebra Q over a scheme S is an \mathbb{O}_S -algebra Q that is a locally free rank- $n \mathbb{O}_S$ -module, or equivalently Spec Q is a finite, flat degree-n S-scheme. For n = 3, 4, we call such algebras *cubic* and *quartic* respectively. Given a quartic algebra, we can define a cubic resolvent which is a model over S of the classical cubic resolvent field of a quartic field (but which is not always determined uniquely by the quartic algebra). For a quartic algebra Q over S, a *cubic resolvent* C of Q is a cubic algebra C over S, with a quadratic map $\phi: Q/\mathbb{O}_S \to C/\mathbb{O}_S$ and an isomorphism $\delta: \bigwedge^4 Q \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigwedge^3 C$, such that for any sections x, y of Q we have $\delta(1 \land x \land y \land xy) = 1 \land \phi(x) \land \phi(y)$ and also C is the cubic algebra corresponding to $\text{Det}(\phi)$ (see Section 3 for more details). An isomorphism from a pair (Q, C) of a quartic algebra and cubic resolvent to a pair (Q', C') is given by isomorphisms of the respective algebras that respect ϕ and δ .

A *double ternary quadratic form* over *S* is a locally free rank-3 \mathbb{O}_S -module *W*, a locally free rank-2 \mathbb{O}_S -module *U*, and a global section $p \in \text{Sym}^2 W \otimes U$, and an isomorphism $\bigwedge^3 W \otimes \bigwedge^2 U \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{O}_S$ which is called an *orientation*. An isomorphism

MSC2000: primary 11R16; secondary 11E20.

Keywords: quartic algebras, cubic resolvents, pairs of ternary quadratic forms, degree-4 covers, quartic covers.

of double ternary quadratic forms (W, U, p) and (W', U', p') is given by isomorphisms $W \xrightarrow{\sim} W'$ and $U \xrightarrow{\sim} U'$ that send p to p' and respect the orientations.

The main theorem of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1.1. There is an isomorphism between the moduli stack for quartic algebras with cubic resolvents and the moduli stack for double ternary quadratic forms. In other words, for a scheme S there is an equivalence between the category of quartic algebras with cubic resolvents and the category of double ternary quadratic forms (with morphisms given by isomorphisms in both categories), and this natural equivalence commutes with base change in S.

The moduli stack of double ternary quadratic forms is simply $[\mathbb{A}^{12}/\Gamma]$, where Γ is the sub-group scheme of $GL_2 \times GL_3$ of elements (g, h) such that det g det h = 1. The action of Γ on \mathbb{A}^{12} is given by viewing \mathbb{A}^{12} as the space $\operatorname{Sym}^2 \mathbb{Z}^3 \otimes \mathbb{Z}^2$, where GL₃ has the standard action on the \mathbb{Z}^3 (and the thereby induced action on Sym² \mathbb{Z}^3) and acts trivially on the \mathbb{Z}^2 and GL_2 acts trivially on the Sym² \mathbb{Z}^3 and with the standard action on \mathbb{Z}^2 . In particular, we have a parametrization of quartic algebras with cubic resolvents.

Corollary 1.2. Over a scheme S, there is a bijection between isomorphism classes of double ternary quadratic forms over S and isomorphism classes of pairs (Q, C)where Q is a quartic algebra over S and C is a cubic resolvent of Q.

Remark 1.3. The geometric language of this paper makes it more natural to work over a scheme S, but all of our work includes the case S = Spec R, in which case we are simply working over a ring R. The reader mainly interested in a base ring can replace \mathbb{O}_S with *R* and "global section" with "element" throughout the paper.

Background and previous work. It has been known since [Delone and Faddeev 1940] (see also Section 2 of this paper and [Davenport and Heilbronn 1971; Gan et al. 2002; Bhargava 2004b]) that cubic rings are parametrized by binary cubic forms. A *cubic ring* is a ring whose additive structure is a free rank-3 Z-module, and a *binary cubic form* is a polynomial

$$f = ax^3 + bx^2y + cxy^2 + dy^3$$

with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Cubic rings, up to isomorphism, are in natural discriminantpreserving bijection with $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -classes of binary cubic forms. If we prefer to think geometrically, a cubic ring is a finite, flat degree-3 cover of Spec \mathbb{Z} . A parametrization analogous to that of [Delone and Faddeev 1940] was proven in [Miranda 1985] for finite, flat degree-3 covers of an irreducible scheme over an algebraically closed field of characteristic not 2 or 3. Though these correspondences were originally given by writing down a multiplication table for the cubic ring (or sheaf of functions on the cubic cover), when f is a non-zero integral binary

cubic form, the associated cubic ring is simply the ring of global functions of the subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Z}}$ cut out by f; see [Deligne 2000; Wood 2011b, Theorem 2.4; Casnati and Ekedahl 1996].

In this paper, we study quartic (commutative) algebras, or equivalently, finite, flat degree-4 covers of a base scheme. Casnati and Ekedahl [1996] found that finite, flat degree-4 Gorenstein covers of an integral base scheme are given by global sections of certain double ternary quadratic forms, with a codimension condition on the section at every point of the base. (See also [Hahn and Miranda 1999] on quartic covers of algebraic varieties in characteristic not 2.) Recently, quartic algebras over \mathbb{Z} have been parametrized in [Bhargava 2004b]. More precisely, Bhargava proved that isomorphism classes of pairs (Q, C), where Q is a quartic ring (i.e., isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^4 as a \mathbb{Z} -module) and C is a cubic resolvent of Q, are in natural bijection with $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \times GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ -classes of pairs of integral ternary quadratic forms. (We could view a pair of ternary quadratic forms over \mathbb{Z} as a double ternary quadratic form $\sum_{1 \le i \le j \le 3} a_{ij} x_i x_j y + \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le 3} b_{ij} x_i x_j z$.) Bhargava [2004b] introduced cubic resolvents as models of the classical cubic resolvent field of a quartic field. All quartic rings over \mathbb{Z} have at least one cubic resolvent, and many quartic rings (for example, maximal quartic rings over \mathbb{Z}) have a unique cubic resolvent [Bhargava 2004b, Corollary 4]. This has allowed Bhargava [2005] to count asymptotically the number of S_4 number fields of discriminant less than X (as well as the number of orders in S_4 number fields). Casnati [1998] has also given a construction of a finite, flat degree-3 "discriminant cover" corresponding to a finite, flat degree-4 Gorenstein cover of an integral scheme over an algebraically closed field of characteristic not equal to 2, but since he was only considering quartic covers that turn out to have unique cubic resolvents, the importance of the cubic resolvent to the moduli problem was not apparent. Bhargava [2004b] realized that to obtain a nice parametrization of quartic rings over \mathbb{Z} , one must parametrize them along with their cubic resolvents.

In this paper, we generalize the results of [Bhargava 2004b] from \mathbb{Z} to an arbitrary scheme, and those of [Casnati and Ekedahl 1996] from the case of Gorenstein covers and special forms to all quartic covers and forms, as well as to an arbitrary base scheme. Moreover, we prove our correspondence between quartic algebras with resolvents and double ternary quadratic forms commutes with base change.

Bhargava [2004b] describes the relationship between quartic rings with cubic resolvents and pairs of ternary quadratic forms by giving the multiplication tables for the quartic and cubic rings explicitly in terms of the coefficients of the forms. In this paper, we give a geometric, coordinate-free description of a quartic ring Q given by a pair of integral ternary quadratic forms. For the nicest forms, the pair of ternary quadratic forms gives a pencil of conics in $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and the quartic ring is given by the global functions of the degree-4 subscheme cut out by the pencil. In Section 4, we give a global, geometric, coordinate-free construction over a quartic algebra from a double ternary quadratic form over any scheme *S*. The construction that works for all forms is taking the degree-0 hypercohomology of the Koszul complex of the double ternary quadratic form. This agrees with the intuitive geometric description given above for nice cases, but unlike the above description, always gives a quartic algebra. Casnati and Ekedahl [1996] have given an analogous geometric construction over an arbitrary scheme in the case when the quartic algebra is Gorenstein. Deligne, in a letter to Bhargava [2004], gives an analogous geometric construction when the generic conic in the pencil is non-singular over each geometric point, and proves that it extends (without giving a geometric construction in the extended case) to all pairs of ternary quadratic forms. The geometric construction in this paper works for all double ternary quadratic forms, for example when the form is identically 0 in some fiber, when the conics given by the ternary quadratic forms share a component, or even when both forms are identically 0!

In Section 5, we explain how the quartic algebra associated to a double ternary quadratic form over S can be defined locally in terms of the multiplication tables given in [Bhargava 2004b], and prove that these constructions agree. The calculations showing this agreement are not straightforward and are given in Theorem 5.1.

In Section 2, we review the parametrization of cubic algebras. This is not only motivation for our study of quartic algebras, but also is important background for the results in this paper because the cubic resolvent C is a cubic algebra. In Section 3 we give the definition of a cubic resolvent in more detail. In Section 6, we give the construction of a cubic resolvent from a double ternary quadratic form. In Section 7, we prove Theorem 1.1.

Notation. If \mathcal{F} is a sheaf, we use $s \in \mathcal{F}$ to denote that *s* is a global section of \mathcal{F} . If *V* is a locally free \mathbb{O}_S -module, we use V^* to denote the \mathbb{O}_S -module $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{O}_S}(V, \mathbb{O}_S)$. We use Sym^{*n*} *V* to denote the usual quotient of $V^{\otimes n}$, and Sym_{*n*} *V* to denote the submodule of symmetric elements of $V^{\otimes n}$. Note that when *V* is locally free we have Sym_{*n*} *V* = (Sym^{*n*} *V*^{*})^{*} (see Lemma A.4). We define $\mathbb{P}(V) = \operatorname{Proj} \operatorname{Sym}^* V$.

Normally, in the language of algebra, one says that an *R*-module *M* is locally free of rank *n* if for all prime ideals \wp of *R*, the localization M_{\wp} is free of rank *n*. However, if we have a scheme *S* and an \mathbb{O}_S -module *M*, we normally say that *M* is locally free of rank *n* if on some open cover of *S* it is free of rank *n*; in the algebraic language this is equivalent to saying that for every prime ideal \wp of *R*, there is an $f \in R \setminus \wp$ such that the) localization M_f is free of rank *n*. In this paper we shall use the geometric sense of the term *locally free of rank n*. The geometric condition of locally free of rank *n* is equivalent to being finitely generated and having the algebraic condition of locally free of rank *n*.

2. The parametrization of cubic algebras

In this section, we review the parametrization of cubic algebras. A *binary cubic* form over a scheme S is a locally free rank-2 \mathbb{O}_S -module V and an $f \in \text{Sym}^3 V \otimes \bigwedge^2 V^*$. An isomorphism of binary cubic forms $(V, f) \cong (V, f')$ is given by an isomorphism $V \cong V'$ that takes f to f'. (Normally, we would call these *twisted binary cubic forms* but since they are the only binary cubic forms in this paper, we will use the shorter name for simplicity.) Of course, if V is the free rank-2 \mathbb{O}_S -module $\mathbb{O}_S x \oplus \mathbb{O}_S y$, then the binary cubic forms $f \in \text{Sym}^3 V \otimes \bigwedge^2 V^*$ are just polynomials $(ax^3 + bx^2y + cxy^2 + dy^3) \otimes (x \wedge y)^*$, where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{O}_S$.

Over an arbitrary base, we have the following theorem of Deligne, also proved by Poonen.

Theorem 2.1 [Deligne 2000; Poonen 2008, Proposition 5.1]. There is an isomorphism between the moduli stack for cubic algebras and the moduli stack for binary cubic forms. That is, there is an equivalence of categories between the category of cubic algebras over S where morphisms are given by isomorphisms and the category of binary cubic forms over S where morphisms are given by isomorphisms, and this equivalence commutes with base change in S. Thus, over a scheme S, there is a bijection between isomorphism classes of cubic algebras and isomorphism classes of binary cubic forms. If a cubic algebra C corresponds to a binary cubic form (V, f), then as \mathbb{O}_S -modules, we have $C/\mathbb{O}_S \cong V^*$.

Miranda [1985] gives the bijection between isomorphism classes over a base which is an irreducible scheme over an algebraically closed field of characteristic not equal to 2 or 3. Also, this isomorphism of stacks is studied and proven as part of a series of such isomorphisms involving binary forms of any degree in [Wood 2011b].

In [Bhargava 2004a, Footnote 3], the following algebraic, global, coordinate free description of the construction of a binary cubic form from a cubic algebra is mentioned. Given a cubic algebra *C*, we can define an \mathbb{O}_S -module $V = (C/\mathbb{O}_S)^*$. (Note that *V* is a locally free rank-2 \mathbb{O}_S -module; see [Voight 2010, Lemma 1.3], for example.) We can then define an \mathbb{O}_S -module homomorphism Sym₃ $C/\mathbb{O}_S \rightarrow$ $\bigwedge^2 C/\mathbb{O}_S$ given by $xyz \mapsto x \land yz$. One can check that this map is well-defined, and so it gives a binary cubic form $f \in (\text{Sym}_3 C/\mathbb{O}_S)^* \otimes \bigwedge^2 C/\mathbb{O}_S \cong \text{Sym}^3 V \otimes \bigwedge^2 V^*$. Deligne [2000] gives a different, geometric construction in the case when *C* is Gorenstein and then argues that the construction extends across the non-Gorenstein locus.

It is often useful to also have the following local, explicit version of the construction. Where *C* is a free \mathbb{O}_S -module, we can choose a basis 1, ω , θ for *C* and then shift ω and θ by elements of \mathbb{O}_S so that $\omega \theta \in \mathbb{O}_S$. Then, the associative law implies that we have a multiplication table

$$\omega \theta = -ad,$$

$$\omega^{2} = -ac + b\omega - a\theta,$$

$$\theta^{2} = -bd + d\omega - c\theta,$$

(1)

where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{O}_S$. Let x, y be the basis of V dual to ω, θ . Then we can define a form $(ax^3 + bx^2y + cxy^2 + dy^3) \otimes (x \wedge y)^* \in \text{Sym}^3 V \otimes \bigwedge^2 V^*$. We can check that if we pick another basis $1, \omega', \theta'$ (also normalized so that $\omega'\theta' \in \mathbb{O}_S$) and another corresponding x' and y' we would define the same form in $\text{Sym}^3 V \otimes \bigwedge^2 V^*$. Thus the form is defined everywhere locally in a way that agrees on overlapping open sets, and we have constructed a global binary cubic form (V, f).

One construction of a cubic algebra from a form (i.e., the inverse to the above construction) simply gives the cubic algebra locally by the above multiplication table. This gives the bijection locally in terms of bases with explicit formulas. However, it is hard to see where the formula for the multiplication table came from or why the local constructions are invariant under change of basis. The following global description is given by Deligne in his letter [Deligne 2000]. Given a binary form $f \in \text{Sym}^3 V \otimes \bigwedge^2 V^*$ over a base scheme *S*, the form *f* determines a subscheme S_f of $\mathbb{P}(V)$. Let $\pi : \mathbb{P}(V) \to S$. Let $\mathbb{O}(k)$ denote the usual sheaf on $\mathbb{P}(V)$ and $\mathbb{O}_{S_f}(k)$ denote the pullback of $\mathbb{O}(k)$ to S_f . Then we can define the \mathbb{O}_S -algebra by the hypercohomology

$$C := H^0 R \pi_* \big(\mathbb{O}(-3) \otimes \pi^* \bigwedge^2 V \xrightarrow{J} \mathbb{O} \big), \tag{2}$$

where $\mathbb{O}(-3) \otimes \pi^* \bigwedge^2 V \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{O}$ is a complex in degrees -1 and 0. The product on *C* is given by the product on the Koszul complex $\mathbb{O}(-3) \otimes \pi^* \bigwedge^2 V \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{O}$ with itself and the \mathbb{O}_S -algebra structure is induced from the map of \mathbb{O} as a complex in degree 0 to the complex $\mathbb{O}(-3) \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{O}$ (see Section B for more details on the inheritance of the algebra structure). (Note that $H^0 R \pi_*(\mathbb{O}) = \mathbb{O}_S$.)

Given a map of schemes $X \xrightarrow{\pi} S$, the construction of global functions of X relative to S is just the pushforward $\pi_*(\mathbb{O}_X)$. So the natural notion of global functions of S_f relative to S would be π_* of \mathbb{O}_{S_f} . We have that $\mathbb{O}_{S_f} = \mathbb{O}_S / f(\mathbb{O}(-3) \otimes \pi^* \wedge^2 V)$. When f is injective, then $\mathbb{O}_{S_f} = \mathbb{O}_S / f(\mathbb{O}(-3) \otimes \pi^* \wedge^2 V)$ as a complex in degree 0 has the same hypercohomology as $\mathbb{O}(-3) \otimes \pi^* \wedge^2 V \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{O}$ as a complex in degrees -1 and 0. Thus we see when f is injective that C is just $\pi_*(\mathbb{O}_{S_f})$. When f gives an injective map and S = Spec R then C is just the ring of global functions of S_f . Unfortunately, this simpler construction does not give a cubic algebra when $f \equiv 0$. When $f \equiv 0$, then $S_f = \mathbb{P}^1$ and the global functions are a rank-1 \mathbb{O}_S -algebra, i.e., \mathbb{O}_S itself. Hypercohomology is exactly the machinery we need to naturally extend the construction to all f.

3. Cubic resolvents

We now give the definition of a cubic resolvent, given first in [Bhargava 2004b, Definition 20] over \mathbb{Z} . The definition might seem complicated at first, but we will explain each aspect of it.

Definition. Given a quartic algebra Q over a base scheme S, a cubic resolvent C of Q is

- a cubic algebra C over S,
- a quadratic map $\phi : Q/\mathbb{O}_S \to C/\mathbb{O}_S$, and
- an isomorphism $\delta : \bigwedge^4 Q \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigwedge^3 C$ (or equivalently $\overline{\delta} : \bigwedge^3 Q / \mathbb{O}_S \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigwedge^2 C / \mathbb{O}_S$), which we call the *orientation*,

such that

- (1) for any open set $U \subset S$ and for all $x, y \in Q(U)$, we have $\delta(1 \land x \land y \land xy) = 1 \land \phi(x) \land \phi(y)$, and
- (2) *C* is the cubic algebra corresponding to $Det(\phi)$.

Note that Q/\mathbb{O}_S and C/\mathbb{O}_S are locally free \mathbb{O}_S -modules of ranks 3 and 2, respectively (see [Voight 2010, Lemma 1.3], for example). A *quadratic map* from *A* to *B* is given by an \mathbb{O}_S -module homomorphism $\operatorname{Sym}_2 A \to B$ evaluated on the diagonal (see Section A.i in Appendix A). (In [Wood 2011a, Proposition 6.1] it is shown this is equivalent to the more classical notion of a quadratic map.) The map ϕ models the map from quartic fields to their resolvent fields given by $x \mapsto xx' + x''x'''$, where x, x', x'', x''' are the conjugates of an element x. In [Bhargava 2004b, Lemma 9] it is shown that condition 1 above holds for such classical resolvent maps, and it turns out that condition 1 is the key property of resolvent maps that allows them to be useful in the parametrization of quartic algebras. So the definition of resolvent allows all quadratic maps that have this key property.

Another important property of the cubic resolvent over \mathbb{Z} is that the discriminant of the cubic resolvent is equal to the discriminant of the quartic ring. In [Bhargava 2004b], this is a crucial part of the definition of a cubic resolvent over the integers. With the above formulation of the definition of a cubic resolvent, the equality of discriminants follows as a corollary of properties 1 and 2. However, since the discriminant of an algebra R of rank n lies in $(\bigwedge^n R)^{\otimes -2}$, we need the orientation isomorphism to even state the question of the equality of discriminants. The orientation is a phenomenon that it is hard to recognize the importance of over \mathbb{Z} because $GL_1(\mathbb{Z})$ is so small, however it appears in Bhargava's choice of bases for a quartic ring and its cubic resolvent.

The quadratic map ϕ is equivalent to a double ternary quadratic form in the module $\operatorname{Sym}^2(Q/\mathbb{O}_S)^* \otimes C/\mathbb{O}_S$. The determinant of a double ternary quadratic

form is given by a natural cubic map from $\operatorname{Sym}^2 W \otimes V$ to $(\bigwedge^3 W)^{\otimes 2} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^3 V$. We have a natural cubic determinant map from $\operatorname{Sym}^2 W$ to $(\bigwedge^3 W)^{\otimes 2}$. For free *W* and an element of $\operatorname{Sym}^2 W$ represented by the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \frac{1}{2}a_{12} & \frac{1}{2}a_{13} \\ \frac{1}{2}a_{12} & a_{22} & \frac{1}{2}a_{23} \\ \frac{1}{2}a_{13} & \frac{1}{2}a_{23} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix},$$

the map is given by the polynomial 4 Det(*A*), and since this is invariant under GL₃ change of basis, it defines a determinant map for all locally free *W*. We use 2's in the denominator of our expression for *A* because it allows us a convenient way to express the polynomial 4 Det(*A*), but note that the polynomial given by 4 Det(*A*) does not have any denominators, and thus we do not need to require that 2 is invertible to construct the determinant of a double ternary quadratic form. We can extend to a cubic determinant map from Sym² $W \otimes V$ to $(\bigwedge^3 W)^{\otimes 2} \otimes \text{Sym}^3 V$ by using the elements of *V* as coefficients (see Section A.ii). Thus the determinant of ϕ lies in $(\bigwedge^3 Q/\mathbb{O}_S)^{\otimes -2} \otimes \text{Sym}^3 (C/\mathbb{O}_S)$, which is isomorphic to $(\bigwedge^2 C/\mathbb{O}_S) \otimes \text{Sym}^3 (C/\mathbb{O}_S)^*$ by the orientation isomorphism (see also Corollary A.3). From Theorem 2.1, we have that *C* corresponds to a global section of $(\bigwedge^2 C/\mathbb{O}_S) \otimes \text{Sym}^3 (C/\mathbb{O}_S)^*$, and condition (2) above is that *C* corresponds to the section Det(ϕ).

When we speak of a pair (Q, C) of a quartic algebra Q and a cubic resolvent C of Q, the maps ϕ and δ are implicit. An isomorphism of pairs is given by isomorphisms of the respective algebras that respect ϕ and δ .

4. The geometric construction

In this section, we will construct a quartic algebra from a double ternary quadratic form $p \in \text{Sym}^2 W \otimes U$ over a base *S*. We consider the map $\pi : \mathbb{P}(W) \to S$, and the usual line bundles $\mathbb{O}(k)$ on $\mathbb{P}(W)$. We can view *p* as a two-dimensional family of quadratic forms on $\mathbb{P}(W)$ (the two dimensions being given by *U*). More precisely, since *p* is equivalent to a map $U^* \to \text{Sym}^2 W$, we have a naturally induced map $\pi^*U^* \to \mathbb{O}(2)$, which is equivalent to a map $p_1 : \pi^*U^* \otimes \mathbb{O}(-2) \to \mathbb{O}$. The image of p_1 is functions that are zero on the space cut out by the forms of *p*. The regular functions on the scheme cut out by *p* are just given by $\mathbb{O}/\text{im}(p_1)$. From *p* we can construct one more map to make the Koszul complex of *p*, given as follows

$$\mathscr{K}_p: \quad \bigwedge^2 \pi^* U^* \otimes \mathbb{O}(-4) \xrightarrow{p_2} \pi^* U^* \otimes \mathbb{O}(-2) \xrightarrow{p_1} \mathbb{O}.$$

The complex \mathscr{K}_p has \mathbb{O} in degree 0, and the other two terms in degrees -1 and -2. We can construct p_2 similarly to p_1 since p is also equivalent to a map $\bigwedge^2 U^* \otimes U \to \operatorname{Sym}^2 W$. (Recall that $\bigwedge^2 U^* \otimes U \cong U^*$; see Lemma A.2.) One can

read about the construction of all the maps in the Koszul complex in [Eisenbud 2005, Appendices A2F and A2H].

Example 4.1. Suppose *U* is free with basis *x*, *y*, and dual basis \dot{x} and \dot{y} . Then we can write $p = f_1 \otimes x + f_2 \otimes y$. The map p_1 just sends $\dot{x} \otimes g \mapsto f_1g$ and $\dot{y} \otimes g \mapsto f_2g$. We can write how p_1 acts on a general element as $a \otimes g \mapsto gp(a)$, where *p* acts on an element of U^* by evaluating the *U* components of *p* at the given element of U^* . The map p_2 sends $\dot{x} \wedge \dot{y} \otimes g \mapsto gf_1 \otimes \dot{y} - gf_2 \otimes \dot{x}$. We can write how p_2 acts on a general element as $a \wedge b \otimes g \mapsto b \otimes gp(a) - a \otimes gp(b)$. From this we see that \mathcal{H}_p is a complex.

For sufficiently nice p the Koszul complex will be exact in all places except the last and thus give a resolution of $\mathbb{O}/\operatorname{im}(p_1)$. For example, this it true when pis the universal double ternary quadratic form over the polynomial ring in twelve variables. In this well-behaved case, p will cut out four (relative) points in $\mathbb{P}(W)$ (i.e., a finite, flat degree four S-scheme) and the pushforward of the global functions of those points will give us a quadratic algebra over the base S.

When the Koszul complex of p is not a resolution, instead of taking the pushforward of the global functions of the scheme cut out by p, we will take the 0th hypercohomology of the complex \mathcal{H}_p . We define Q_p to be $H^0R\pi_*(\mathcal{H}_p)$, where $R\pi_*$ denotes the pushforward of the complex in the derived category. Alternatively, we can view the construction as the hypercohomological derived functor of π_* , where the hypercohomology is necessary since we are operating on a complex and not just a single sheaf. If p is nice enough that its Koszul complex \mathcal{H}_p is a resolution of $\mathbb{O}/\operatorname{im}(p_1)$, then Q_p will just be $\pi_*(\mathbb{O}/\operatorname{im}(p_1))$. However, what is convenient about the hypercohomology construction is that Q_p will be a quartic algebra even when \mathcal{H}_p is not a resolution (as we'll see in Section 4.ii). So far we have constructed Q_p as an \mathbb{O}_S -module, however, the Koszul complex has a natural differential graded algebra structure, and that gives the cohomology an inherited algebra structure (see Section B for more details on the inheritance of the algebra structure). The map from \mathbb{O} as a complex in degree 0 to the complex \mathcal{H}_p induces a map from $H^0R\pi_*(\mathbb{O}) = \mathbb{O}_S \to Q_p$. This gives Q_p the structure of an \mathbb{O}_S -algebra.

4.i. *Examples when* \mathcal{K}_p *is not a resolution.* When constructing the cubic algebra from a binary cubic form, we took

$$H^0 R\pi_*(\mathbb{O}(-3) \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{O})$$

on $\mathbb{P}(V)$, which, as long as the cubic form f gives an injective map above is the same as $\pi_*(\mathbb{O}/\operatorname{im} f)$. For example, when the base S is integral, whenever $f \neq 0$ then $\mathbb{O}(-3) \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{O}$ is injective. However, when $f \equiv 0$, of course $\mathbb{O}(-3) \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{O}$ is not

injective, and $H^0 R \pi_*(\mathbb{O}(-3) \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{O})$ is not the same as $\pi_*(\mathbb{O}/\operatorname{im} f)$. When $f \equiv 0$, the latter is an \mathbb{O}_S -module of rank 1.

Again, when constructing our quartic algebra as $H^0R\pi_*(\mathcal{H}_p)$, if $p \equiv 0$ the complex will not be a resolution and $H^0R\pi_*(\mathcal{H}_p)$ won't agree with $\pi_*(\mathbb{O}/\operatorname{im} p_1)$. This is the case when both "conics" are given by the 0 form. However, even over an integral base, there are now more situations on which the complex \mathcal{H}_p is not a resolution. The geometric constructions of [Casnati and Ekedahl 1996] and [Deligne 2004] for certain nice quartic algebras are in cases when $\pi_*(\mathbb{O}/\operatorname{im} p_1)$ simply gives the quartic algebra.

We now give several examples in which \mathcal{K}_p is not a resolution.

Example 4.2. Let $p \equiv 0$. Then $Q_p = \mathbb{O}_S \oplus W^*$, with the multiplication given by $W^* \otimes_{\mathbb{O}_S} W^* \to 0$.

Let U be free with the notation of Example 4.1.

Example 4.3. If $f_2 \equiv 0$, then $Q_p = \mathbb{O}_S \oplus W^*$, with the multiplication given by $W^* \otimes_{\mathbb{O}_S} W^* \to 0$.

Now, let W be free on w_1, w_2, w_3 .

Example 4.4. If $f_1 = w_1 w_2$ and $f_2 = w_1 w_3$, then $Q_p \cong \mathbb{O}_S \oplus \mathbb{O}_S[z_1, z_2]/(z_1, z_2)^2$. This is the case where the two conics share a linear component, and the pencil of second lines all go through a point not on the shared line.

Example 4.5. If $f_1 = w_1 w_2$ and $f_2 = w_1^2$, then $Q_p \cong \mathbb{O}_S[z_1, z_2]/(z_1^3, z_1 z_2, z_2^2)$. This is the case where the two conics share a linear component, and the pencil of second lines all go through a point on the shared line.

Example 4.6. If $f_1 = w_1^2 + w_1 w_3$ and $f_2 = w_2^2 + w_2 w_3$, then \mathcal{K}_p *is* a resolution and $Q_p \cong R := \mathbb{O}_S \oplus \mathbb{O}_S \oplus \mathbb{O}_S \oplus \mathbb{O}_S$. However, unlike in the case of binary cubic forms, we can change p in just one closed fiber and \mathcal{K}_p will no longer be a resolution. For simplicity, let $S = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$, and let q be a prime. Then if $f_1 = q(w_1^2 + w_1 w_3)$ and $f_2 = q(w_2^2 + w_2 w_3)$, the global functions of the subscheme cut out by p are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \oplus pR \subset R$ (a quartic \mathbb{Z} -algebra) but $Q_p \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus p^2R \subset R$.

4.ii. *Module structure of* Q_p . In this section, we determine the \mathbb{O}_S -module structure of Q_p . We consider the short exact sequence of complexes $O \to \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathfrak{D} \to 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}: & 0 \longrightarrow \pi^* U^* \otimes \mathbb{O}(-2) \xrightarrow{p_1} \mathbb{O} \\ \mathcal{H}_p: & \bigwedge^2 \pi^* U^* \otimes \mathbb{O}(-4) \xrightarrow{p_2} \pi^* U^* \otimes \mathbb{O}(-2) \xrightarrow{p_1} \mathbb{O} \\ \mathcal{B}: & \bigwedge^2 \pi^* U^* \otimes \mathbb{O}(-4) \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

From this short exact sequence we obtain a long exact sequence of hypercohomology sheaves on *S*, of which we consider the following part:

This sequence will allow us the determine the modules structure of Q_p once we compute the other terms. It is natural to shift the term in \mathcal{B} to degree 0 and obtain

We can analyze the \mathcal{A} terms by putting the complex \mathcal{A} in its own short exact sequence of complexes $0 \to \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{E} \to 0$, given by the following

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}: & 0 & \longrightarrow \mathbb{O} \\ \mathscr{A}: & \pi^* U^* \otimes \mathbb{O}(-2) & \stackrel{p_1}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{O} \\ \mathfrak{E}: & \pi^* U^* \otimes \mathbb{O}(-2) & \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the long exact sequence for this short exact sequence of complexes gives

$$H^{-1}R\pi_{*}(\mathscr{E}) \to H^{0}R\pi_{*}(\mathscr{D}) \to H^{0}R\pi_{*}(\mathscr{A}) \to H^{0}R\pi_{*}(\mathscr{E})$$
$$\to H^{1}R\pi_{*}(\mathscr{D}) \to H^{1}R\pi_{*}(\mathscr{A}) \to H^{1}R\pi_{*}(\mathscr{E}),$$

or

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^0\pi_*(\pi^*U^*\otimes\mathbb{O}(-2)) \longrightarrow R^0\pi_*(\mathbb{O}) \longrightarrow H^0R\pi_*(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow R^1\pi_*(\pi^*U^*\otimes\mathbb{O}(-2)) \\ & \| & \| \\ 0 & \mathbb{O}_S & 0 \end{array}$$

and

Thus, we conclude that $H^0 R \pi_*(\mathcal{A}) \cong \mathbb{O}_S$ and $H^1 R \pi_*(\mathcal{A}) = 0$. Going back to our original long exact sequence, we have

$$0 \to \mathbb{O}_S \to Q_p \to W^* \to 0.$$

This proves that Q_p is a locally free rank-4 \mathbb{O}_S -module. Also, it gives us the necessary map $\mathbb{O}_S \to Q_p$ for our algebra to have a unit. (We can check this map respects the algebra structures because it is induced from the map of complexes $\mathfrak{D} \to \mathcal{K}_p$ that respects the differential graded algebra structures on \mathfrak{D} and \mathcal{K}_p .)

Theorem 4.7. The construction of Q_p commutes with base change in S.

Proof. To prove this theorem, we will compute all of the cohomology of \mathscr{H}_p . The complex \mathscr{H}_p has no cohomology in degrees other than 0. We have $R^k \pi(\mathbb{O}(-4)) = 0$ for $k \neq 2$, and $R^k \pi_*(\mathbb{O}(-2)) = 0$ for all k, and $R^k \pi_*(\mathbb{O}) = 0$ for $k \neq 0$. Thus $H^k R \pi_*(\mathscr{H}_p) = 0$ for $k \neq 0$. We have just seen that $H^0 R \pi_*(\mathscr{H}_p)$ is locally free. Thus since all $H^i R \pi_*(\mathscr{H}_p)$ are flat, by [EGA III.2 1963, corollaire 6.9.9], we have that cohomology and base change commute.

5. Local construction by multiplication table

Given a double ternary quadratic form $p \in \text{Sym}^2 W \otimes U$ (with a given $\bigwedge^3 W \cong \bigwedge^2 U^*$), now that we know that there is a natural quartic algebra Q_p we could define the structure locally where W and U are free by giving multiplication tables, as in the case of cubic algebras from binary cubic forms.

For a double ternary quadratic form over \mathbb{Z} (and therefore with *W* and *U* necessarily free), Bhargava [2004b, Equations (15) and (21)] gives a ring structure on \mathbb{Z}^4 whose multiplication table is given in terms of the coefficients of *p*. Each entry in the multiplication table is a polynomial in the coefficients of *p*. This, of course, is the multiplication table we would impose for free *W* and *U* in the above local construction. We will now see that this local construction agrees with the geometric construction we have given in Section 4. We will show this by working over the universal algebra $R = \mathbb{Z}[\{a_{ij}, b_{ij}\}_{1 \le i \le j \le 3} a_{ij}x_ix_jy_1 + b_{ij}x_ix_jy_2$.

Theorem 5.1. For the universal form u, the quartic algebra Q_u is isomorphic to the quartic algebra over R that is constructed above using Bhargava's multiplication tables.

In particular, since our geometric construction of Q_u is invariant under change of basis of W and U (respecting $\bigwedge^3 W \cong \bigwedge^2 U^*$), this gives a proof of the invariance of Bhargava's multiplication table under change of basis, as long as the correct $GL_3 \times GL_2$ action is used. Since all double ternary quadratic forms are locally pullbacks from the universal form, and both the local construction by multiplication tables and the global geometric construction of Section 4 respect base change, Theorem 5.1 implies that the two constructions of quartic algebras from double ternary quadratic forms agree. We now prove Theorem 5.1.

Proof. For the universal form u, the complex \mathcal{H}_u used to define Q_u is exact, and therefore Q_u is just the global functions on the scheme S_u in \mathbb{P}^2_R cut out by

$$A = \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le 3} a_{ij} x_i x_j \quad \text{and} \quad B = \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le 3} b_{ij} x_i x_j.$$

(We can just work in terms of global functions instead of the pushforward to the base since the base Spec *R* is affine. Moreover, the multiplicative structure of the global functions of S_u is the same as the induced multiplicative structure on the hypercohomological construction of Q_u .) We cover S_u with open sets \mathfrak{U}_{x_i} coming from the usual open sets in \mathbb{P}^2_R . As a first step, we will find $(f, g) \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{U}_{x_i}) \times \Gamma(\mathfrak{U}_{x_j})$ such that f = g in $\Gamma(\mathfrak{U}_{x_i} \cap \mathfrak{U}_{x_j})$. This will find all regular functions on $\mathfrak{U}_{x_i} \cup \mathfrak{U}_{x_j}$, and it will turn out that they all extend uniquely to global functions on S_u . Thus, we will have found all the regular functions on S_u . We will identify these regular functions with the basis in Bhargava's quartic ring construction, and then it can be checked that the multiplication tables agree.

Let i, j, k be some permutation of 1, 2, 3. We have that

$$\Gamma(\mathfrak{A}_{x_i}) = R[x_j/x_i, x_k/x_i]/(A/x_i^2, B/x_i^2).$$

Let I_i be the ideal $(A/x_i^2, B/x_i^2)$ of $R[x_i/x_i, x_k/x_i]$, and similarly for I_i . Also,

$$\Gamma(\mathfrak{A}_{x_i} \cap \mathfrak{A}_{x_j}) = R[x_j/x_i, x_k/x_i, x_i/x_j]/(A/x_i^2, B/x_i^2).$$

If we have $(f, g) \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{A}_{x_i}) \times \Gamma(\mathfrak{A}_{x_j})$ such that f = g in $\Gamma(\mathfrak{A}_{x_i} \cap \mathfrak{A}_{x_j})$, then f and g are represented by polynomials $\tilde{f} \in R[x_j/x_i, x_k/x_i]$ and $\tilde{g} \in R[x_i/x_j, x_k/x_j]$ such the element $\tilde{f} - \tilde{g} \in R[x_j/x_i, x_k/x_i, x_i/x_j]$ is in the ideal $I = (A/x_i^2, B/x_i^2)$. However, $\tilde{f} - \tilde{g}$ will not have any terms with an x_i and an x_j in the denominator. We define T_1 to be the sub R-module of I of elements that do not have any terms with both an x_i and an x_j in the denominator. The set T_1 gives all the relations between polynomials representing elements in $\Gamma(\mathfrak{A}_{x_i})$ and polynomials representing elements in $\Gamma(\mathfrak{A}_{x_i})$. We define T_2 to be the sub R-module of T_1 generated by the images of I_i and I_j under their natural inclusion into $R[x_j/x_i, x_k/x_i, x_i/x_j]$. The set T_2 gives all the relations of T_1 that come from relations already in \mathfrak{A}_{x_i} and already in \mathfrak{A}_{x_j} . We now seek to determine T_1/T_2 , which gives rise to all pairs $(f, g) \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{A}_{x_i}) \times \Gamma(\mathfrak{A}_{x_j})$ such that f = g in $\Gamma(\mathfrak{A}_{x_i} \cap \mathfrak{A}_{x_j})$ that are not functions on the base Spec R.

We first define some notation to help us write down elements of T_1/T_2 . Let

$$A_{i^m j^n} = A \frac{x_k^{m+n-2}}{x_i^m x_j^n},$$

where the subscript $i^m j^n$ is a product of formal symbols, where a missing exponent denotes an exponent of 1. We define $B_{i^m j^n}$ analogously.

Lemma 5.2. Let $t \in T_1/T_2$. We can write

$$t = \sum_{\substack{m,n \ge 1 \\ m+n \le 3}} c_{m,n} A_{i^m j^n} + d_{m,n} B_{i^m j^n} \quad \text{with } c_{m,n}, d_{m,n} \in R.$$

Proof. Clearly we can write any *t* in *I* as such as sum over $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m+n \ge 2$. Any term with $m \le 0$ is in the image of I_j and thus in T_2 , and any term with $n \le 0$ is in the image of I_i and thus in T_2 . It remains to show that we do not need terms with $m + n \ge 4$ in order to represent *t*.

We suppose for the sake of contradiction that a term with $m+n \ge 4$ was required, and we take a *t* with m + n maximal for this condition, and *m* maximal given that. Then $c_{m,n}A_{i^m j^n}$ contributes a $x_k^{m+n}/x_i^m x_j^n$ term with coefficient $c_{m,n}a_{kk}$ and $d_{m,n}B_{i^m j^n}$ contributes $x_k^{m+n}/x_i^m x_j^n$ term with coefficient $d_{m,n}b_{kk}$. No other terms of the summand for *t* can contribute a term with $x_i^m x_j^n$ in the denominator, and so we must have $c_{m,n} = rb_{kk}$ and $d_{m,n} = -ra_{kk}$ for some element $r \in R$.

Now we claim we did not need to use the terms $rb_{kk}A_{i^mj^n} - ra_{kk}B_{i^mj^n}$ in the sum that represents *t*. To prove this claim, we use the following identity

$$b_{kk}A_{i^{m}j^{n}} - a_{kk}B_{i^{m}j^{n}}$$

= $-b_{ik}A_{i^{m-1}j^{n}} + a_{ik}B_{i^{m-1}j^{n}} - b_{jk}A_{i^{m}j^{n-1}} + a_{jk}B_{i^{m}j^{n-1}} + a_{ij}B_{i^{m-1}j^{n-1}}$
 $-b_{ij}A_{i^{m-1}j^{n-1}} - b_{jj}A_{i^{m}j^{n-2}} + a_{jj}B_{i^{m}j^{n-2}} - b_{i,i}A_{i^{m-2}j^{n}} + a_{ii}B_{i^{m-2}j^{n}}.$

This proves the lemma.

The above lemma tells us that every element of T_1/T_2 can be written as an R-linear combination of A_{ij} , B_{ij} , A_{i^2j} , B_{i^2j} , A_{ij^2} , and B_{ij^2} . Since only A_{i^2j} and B_{i^2j} have terms with $x_i^2 x_j$ in the denominator, we must have that A_{i^2j} and B_{i^2j} appear with coefficients $c_{2,1}$ and $d_{2,1}$ so as to cancel those terms out. We can argue similarly for A_{ij^2} and B_{ij^2} . Thus, every element of T_1/T_2 can be written as a R linear combination of A_{ij} , B_{ij} , $b_{kk}A_{i^2j} - a_{kk}B_{i^2j}$, and $b_{kk}A_{ij^2} - a_{kk}B_{ij^2}$. We note that all four of A_{ij} , B_{ij} , $b_{kk}A_{i^2j} - a_{kk}B_{i^2j}$, and $b_{kk}A_{ij^2} - a_{kk}B_{ij^2}$ have terms with a $x_i x_j$ denominator.

We define some notation so we can write combinations of these elements down more easily. For i < j, let $a_{ji} = a_{ij}$. Let $\lambda_{\ell_3\ell_4}^{\ell_1\ell_2} = a_{\ell_1\ell_2}b_{\ell_3\ell_4} - b_{\ell_1\ell_2}a_{\ell_3\ell_4}$. We note that

$$H_{i,j} = b_{kk}A_{i^{2}j} - a_{kk}B_{i^{2}j} + b_{ik}A_{ij} - a_{ik}B_{ij}$$

= $\lambda_{kk}^{jj}\frac{x_{j}x_{k}}{x_{i}^{2}} + \lambda_{kk}^{ij}\frac{x_{k}}{x_{i}} + \lambda_{kk}^{ii}\frac{x_{k}}{x_{j}} + \lambda_{kk}^{jk}\frac{x_{k}^{2}}{x_{i}^{2}} + \lambda_{ik}^{jj}\frac{x_{j}}{x_{i}} + \lambda_{ik}^{ij} + \lambda_{ik}^{ii}\frac{x_{i}}{x_{j}} + \lambda_{ik}^{jk}\frac{x_{k}}{x_{i}}$

and

$$H_{j,i} = b_{kk}A_{ij^2} - a_{kk}B_{ij^2} + b_{jk}A_{ij} - a_{jk}B_{ij}$$

do not have any terms with both x_i and x_j in the denominator. Every element of T_1/T_2 can be written as a *R* linear combination of A_{ij} , B_{ij} , $H_{i,j}$ and $H_{j,i}$, because this is just a unipotent triangular transformation of the last list of four generators. We have seen that $H_{i,j}$ and $H_{j,i}$ have no x_k^2/x_ix_j terms, and A_{ij} and B_{ij} have x_k^2/x_ix_j terms with coefficients a_{kk} and b_{kk} respectively. Since an element of *t* does not have a term with x_ix_j in the denominator, it can be written as a linear combination of $H_{i,j}$, $H_{j,i}$ and $F_{ij} = F_{ji} = b_{kk}A_{ij} - a_{kk}B_{ij}$. Moreover, $H_{i,j}$, $H_{j,i}$ and F_{ij} are all in T_1 . We now define $h_{\underline{i},j}$ to be the sum of terms in $H_{i,j}$ that do not have an x_j in the denominator, so that $f_{\underline{i}j} + f_{\underline{j}i} + \lambda_{kk}^{ij} = F_{ij}$.

We have now found that the pairs $(f, g) \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{A}_{x_i}) \times \Gamma(\mathfrak{A}_{x_j})$ such that f = g in $\Gamma(\mathfrak{A}_{x_i} \cap \mathfrak{A}_{x_j})$ can be written in terms of four *R*-module generators:

$$(1, 1), (h_{\underline{i}, j}, -h_{i, \underline{j}}), (h_{j, \underline{i}}, -h_{\underline{j}, i}), (f_{\underline{i}j}, -f_{\underline{j}i} + \lambda_{ij}^{kk}).$$

Letting *i* and *j* vary, this information is enough to determine the global functions on S_u . In this case, it turns out that the regular functions on \mathcal{U}_{x_i} that can be extended to \mathcal{U}_{x_j} are exactly the same as the regular functions on \mathcal{U}_{x_i} that can be extended to \mathcal{U}_{x_k} . In particular, in the polynomial ring $R[x_j/x_i, x_k/x_i]$, we can compute that

$$h_{\underline{i},j} + h_{\underline{i},k} = \lambda_{jk}^{ii} + a_{jk}B/x_i^2 - b_{jk}A/x_i^2$$

and

$$h_{j,\underline{i}} = -f_{\underline{i}k}.$$

Moreover, it will turn out that the extensions to \mathcal{U}_{x_j} and \mathcal{U}_{x_k} agree on their intersection. We see that the global functions of S_u are generated as a *R*-module by four generators $g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}_{x_1}) \times \Gamma(\mathcal{U}_{x_2}) \times \Gamma(\mathcal{U}_{x_3})$, whose components are:

	$\Gamma(\mathfrak{U}_{x_1})$	$\Gamma(\mathfrak{A}_{x_2})$	$\Gamma(\mathfrak{U}_{x_3})$
g_1	1	1	1
<i>g</i> ₂	$h_{\underline{1},2} = -h_{\underline{1},3} + \lambda_{\underline{23}}^{11}$	$-h_{1,\underline{2}} = f_{\underline{2}3}$	$-f_{\underline{3}2} + \lambda_{\underline{2}3}^{11} = h_{1,\underline{3}} + \lambda_{\underline{2}3}^{11}$
<i>g</i> ₃	$h_{2,\underline{1}} = -f_{\underline{1}3}$	$-h_{\underline{2},1} = h_{\underline{2},3} + \lambda_{22}^{13}$	$-h_{2,\underline{3}} + \lambda_{22}^{13} = f_{\underline{3}1} + \lambda_{22}^{13}$
<i>g</i> 4	$f_{\underline{1}\underline{2}} = -h_{3,\underline{1}}$	$-f_{\underline{2}1} + \lambda_{12}^{33} = h_{3,\underline{2}} + \lambda_{12}^{33}$	$-h_{\underline{3},2} + \lambda_{12}^{33} = h_{\underline{3},1}$

We now show that the g_i are generators for a free *R*-module of rank 4. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that there was a relation among these generators. Then over the generic point of *R* the global functions of S_u would be a vector space of at most dimension 3. But we have seen (top of page 1080) that the global functions of S_u form a locally free four-dimensional *R* module, and thus will be a four-dimensional vector space over the generic point of Spec R.

To construct the multiplication table on our four generators g_i of the global functions on S_u , we can reduce to finding a multiplication table in the $\Gamma(\mathcal{U}_{x_1})$ component, since the g_i are *R*-linearly independent even in this component. We can further reduce to finding the multiplication table over the generic point of Spec *R*. We first construct a multiplication table on $1, x_2/x_1, x_3/x_1, x_2x_3/x_1^2$ over the generic point of Spec *R*. We first construct a multiplication table on $1, x_2/x_1, x_3/x_1, x_2x_3/x_1^2$ over the generic point of Spec *R*. To do this, we replace *A* and *B* by linear combinations of *A* and *B*, one of which has no $(x_2/x_1)^2$ term, and one of which has no $(x_3/x_1)^2$ term. Then on \mathcal{U}_{x_1} over the generic point of Spec *R*, we can write all functions in terms of $1, x_2/x_1, x_3/x_1, x_2x_3/x_1^2$. We can then also write the g_i in terms of $1, x_2/x_1, x_3/x_1, x_2x_3/x_1^2$, and just apply this change of basis to the multiplication table to obtain a multiplication table for the g_i . If we take $\alpha_1 = -g_2, \alpha_2 = -g_3$, and $\alpha_3 = -g_4$, we obtain exactly the multiplication tables in [Bhargava 2004b, (15) and (21)].

In Section 4.ii, we found that Q_p/\mathbb{O}_S is canonically isomorphic to W^* . However, we also have explicit basis for Q_p/\mathbb{O}_S when we have a basis for W. We see how these bases are related.

Theorem 5.3. For the universal form u, in the map $Q_p \to W^*$ from Section 4.ii, we have

$$g_2 \mapsto x_1^*, \quad g_3 \mapsto x_3^*, \quad g_4 \mapsto x_2^*.$$

Proof. We compute the map in two steps. We first find the map

$$R^0\pi_*(\mathbb{O}/u(\mathbb{O}(-2)^{\oplus 2})) \to R^1\pi_*(\mathbb{O}(-2)^{\oplus 2}/u(\mathbb{O}(-4)))$$

and then the map

$$R^1\pi_*(\mathbb{O}(-2)^{\oplus 2}/u(\mathbb{O}(-4))) \to R^2\pi_*(\mathbb{O}(-4)).$$

We compute each of the individual maps by using the snake lemma on the Čech complex with the usual affine cover of \mathbb{P}^2 . The charts on pages 1085–1087, which should be read from upper right to lower left, summarize the computation.

6. Construction of the cubic resolvent

In Section 2, we have already given a geometric construction of a cubic algebra from a binary cubic form. In Section 3, we defined the determinant of a double ternary quadratic form p to be a binary cubic form $det(p) \in \text{Sym}^3 U^* \otimes (\bigwedge^2 U)$. The cubic algebra C of this binary cubic form can be constructed as described in Section 2, and is the desired cubic resolvent.

We have $C/\mathbb{O}_S \cong U$ (see [Wood 2011b, Section 3.1] for a similar, but simpler argument to the one in Section 4.ii). Thus, p gives the required quadratic map

	©(-4)	$\mathbb{O}(-2)^{\oplus 2}$	$\mathbb{O}(-2)^{\oplus 2}/u(\mathbb{O}(-4))$	õ	$\left \mathbb{O}/u(\mathbb{O}(-2)^{\oplus 2}) \right $
$\Gamma(\mathfrak{N}_{x_1})$				$h_{1,2}$	
$\times \Gamma(\mathbb{U}_{x_2})$				$-h_{1,2}$	82
$\times \Gamma({}^0\!\! u_{x_3})$				$-f_{3,2}+\lambda_{23}^{11}$	
$\Gamma({}^{0}\!\! u_{x_1x_2})$			$\left(\frac{a_{33}x_3}{x_1^2x_2} + \frac{a_{13}}{x_1x_2}, \frac{b_{33}x_3}{x_1^2x_2} + \frac{b_{13}}{x_1x_2}\right)$	$h_{\pm,2} + h_{1,2} = H_{1,2}$	
				$-h_{1,2} + f_{3,2} - \lambda_{23}^{11}$	
$ imes \Gamma(\mathfrak{N}_{x_2 x_3})$			$\left(rac{a_{11}}{a_{11}},rac{b_{11}}{a_{11}} ight)$	$= f_{2,3} + f_{3,2} - \lambda_{23}^{11}$ $= F_{23}$	
				$h_{1,2} + f_{3,2} - \lambda_{13}^{11}$	
				$= -h_{1,3} - h_{1,3} + a_{23} \frac{B}{\sqrt{2}} - b_{23} \frac{A}{\sqrt{2}}$	
$\times \Gamma({}^{0}\! u_{x_{3}x_{1}})$			$-\left(\frac{a_{22}x_2}{x_1^2x_3} + \frac{a_{12}}{x_1x_3}, \frac{b_{22}x_2}{x_1^2x_3} + \frac{b_{12}}{x_1x_3}\right)$	$=-H_{1,3}$ $\overset{x_1}{}$ $\overset{x_1}{}$	
			$-\left(rac{a_{23}}{x_1^2},rac{b_{23}}{x_1^2} ight)$	$+ \qquad \qquad$	
$\Gamma(\mathfrak{V}_{x_1x_2x_3})$	$\frac{1}{x_1^2 x_2 x_3}$	$\frac{A+B}{x_1^2 x_2 x_3}$			

Parametrizing quartic algebras over an arbitrary base

Calculation of the image of g_2 under the map $Q_p \to W^*$.

	0(-4)	$\mathbb{O}(-4) \mid \mathbb{O}(-2)^{\oplus 2} \mid$	$\mathbb{O}(-2)^{\oplus 2}/u(\mathbb{O}(-4))$	Q	$\mathbb{O}/u(\mathbb{O}(-2)^{\oplus 2})$
$\Gamma(\mathfrak{N}_{x_1})$				$h_{2,\underline{1}}$	
$\times \Gamma({}^{0}\! u_{x_2})$				$-h_{2,1}$	83
$\times \Gamma({}^{0}\!u_{x_3})$				$f_{\underline{3},1} + \lambda_{22}^{13}$	
$\Gamma(\mathbb{O}u_{x_1x_2})$			$\left(\frac{a_{33}x_3}{x_1x_2^2} + \frac{a_{23}}{x_1x_2}, \frac{b_{33}x_3}{x_1x_2^2} + \frac{b_{23}}{x_1x_2}\right)$	$h_{2,\underline{1}} + h_{\underline{2},1} = H_{2,1}$	
				$-h_{\underline{2},1} - f_{\underline{3},1} - \lambda_{\underline{2}\underline{3}}^{13}$ = h_2, + h_2, - a_1, <u>B</u> + h_1, <u>A</u>	
$ imes \Gamma(\mathcal{U}_{x_2x_3})$			$\left(\frac{a_{11}x_1}{x_3x_2^2} + \frac{a_{12}}{x_3x_2}, \frac{b_{11}x_1}{x_3x_2^2} + \frac{b_{12}}{x_3x_2}\right)$	$= H_{2,3}$	
			$+\left(rac{a_{13}}{x_2^2},rac{b_{13}}{x_2^2} ight)$	$+ -a_{13}\frac{B}{x_2^2} + b_{13}\frac{A}{x_2^2}$	
				$h_{2,\underline{1}} - f_{\underline{3},1} - \lambda_{22}^{13}$	
				$= -f_{\underline{1},3} - f_{\underline{3},1} - \lambda_{22}^{13}$	
$\times \Gamma({}^{0}\! u_{x_{3}x_{1}})$			$-\left(\frac{a_{22}}{x_1x_3},\frac{b_{22}}{x_1x_3}\right)$	$= -F_{13}$	
$\Gamma(\mathfrak{U}_{x_1x_2x_3})$	$\frac{1}{x_1x_2^2x_3}$	$\frac{A+B}{x_1x_2^2x_3}$			

Calculation of the image of g_3 under the map $Q_p \to W^*$.

	©(-4)	$\mathbb{O}(-2)^{\oplus 2}$	$\mathbb{O}(-2)^{\oplus 2}/u(\mathbb{O}(-4))$	Q	$\mathbb{O}/u(\mathbb{O}(-2)^{\oplus 2})$
$\Gamma(\mathfrak{M}_{x_1})$				$h_{3,\underline{1}}$	
$\times \Gamma(\mathbb{Y}_{x_2})$				$-f_{2,1}^{-}+\lambda_{12}^{33}$	84
$\times \Gamma({}^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}\!u_{x_3})$				$h_{\underline{3},1}$	
				$-h_{3,\underline{1}} + f_{\underline{2},1} - \lambda_{12}^{33}$ $= f_{2,1} + f_{1,2} + \lambda_{12}^{33}$	
$\Gamma({}^{0}\!u_{x_{1}x_{2}})$			$\left(\frac{a_{33}}{x_1x_2},\frac{b_{33}}{x_1x_2}\right)$	$=F_{12}$	
				$-h_{\underline{3},1} - f_{\underline{2},1} + \lambda_{12}^{33}$ = h_{2,2} + h_{2,2} - a_{12,2} - \underline{B} + h_{12,2} - A_{13,2} - A_{13,3} - A_{13,	
$\times \Gamma({}^{0}\!u_{x_{2}x_{3}})$			$\left(\frac{a_{11}x_1}{x_1x_2^2} + \frac{a_{13}}{x_2x_3}, \frac{b_{11}x_1}{x_1x_2^2} + \frac{b_{13}}{x_2x_3}\right)$	$=H_{3,2}$	
			$+\left(\frac{a_{12}}{x_3^2},\frac{b_{12}}{x_3^2}\right)$	$+ -a_{12}\frac{B}{x_3^2} + b_{12}\frac{A}{x_3^2}$	
$\times \Gamma(\vartheta_{x_3 x_1})$			$-\left(\frac{a_{22}x_2}{x_3^2x_1} + \frac{a_{23}}{x_1x_3}, \frac{b_{22}x_2}{x_3^2x_1} + \frac{b_{23}}{x_1x_3}\right)$	$-h_{\underline{3},1}-h_{3,\underline{1}}=-H_{3,1}$	
$\Gamma(\mathfrak{A}_{x_1x_2x_3})$	$\frac{1}{x_1x_2x_3^2}$	$\frac{A+B}{x_1x_2x_3^2}$			

Parametrizing quartic algebras over an arbitrary base

Calculation of the image of g_4 under the map $Q_p \to W^*$.

from Q/\mathbb{O}_S to C/\mathbb{O}_S . The orientation isomorphism $\delta : \bigwedge^3 Q/\mathbb{O}_S \to \bigwedge^2 C/\mathbb{O}_S$ comes from the orientation on the double ternary quadratic form. On any open set, we can check that $\delta(x \land y \land xy) = p(x) \land p(y)$ by looking on a open subcover on which W and U are trivial and pulling back from the universal form on each open set in that subcover. It remains to check that $\delta(x \land y \land xy) = p(x) \land p(y)$ when p is the universal ternary quadratic form, which can be checked explicitly given the multiplication table of Q_p . In particular, at the end of the proof of the main theorem in Section 7, we lay out a plan to determine the multiplication table of Q_p in terms of p. The result agrees with the multiplication table given explicitly in [Bhargava 2004b, Equations (15) and (21)]. The expressions $\delta(x \land y \land xy)$ and $p(x) \land p(y)$ both represent linear maps from $\text{Sym}_2(Q_p/\mathbb{O}_S) \otimes \text{Sym}_2(Q_p/\mathbb{O}_S)$ to $\bigwedge^4 Q_p$. Thus it suffices to check that these maps agree on a basis of global sections of $\text{Sym}_2(Q_p/\mathbb{O}_S) \otimes \text{Sym}_2(Q_p/\mathbb{O}_S)$, since in this case Q_p/\mathbb{O}_S is a free \mathbb{O}_S module. This is easily checked, especially exploiting the symmetry of the situation.

7. Main theorem

In this section, we prove the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 7.1. There is an isomorphism between the moduli stack for quartic algebras with cubic resolvents and the moduli stack for double ternary quadratic forms. In other words, for a scheme S there is an equivalence between the category of quartic algebras with cubic resolvents and the category of double ternary quadratic forms (with morphisms given by isomorphisms in both categories), and this natural equivalence commutes with base change in S.

Proof. Given a double ternary quadratic form p over a base S, we have shown how to construct a pair (Q_p, C_p) , and all aspects of the construction commute with base change in S. Given a pair (Q, C) over S, we can just take the quadratic map ϕ from Q/\mathbb{O}_S to C/\mathbb{O}_S to be our double ternary quadratic form with $W = (Q/\mathbb{O}_S)^*$ and $U = C/\mathbb{O}_S$ (using the orientation $\bigwedge^3 Q/\mathbb{O}_S \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigwedge^2 C/\mathbb{O}_S$). This construction clearly commutes with base change.

It remains to prove that the compositions of these two constructions (in either order) are the identity. To prove this, we rigidify the moduli problems. A *based double ternary quadratic form* is a ternary quadratic form $p \in \text{Sym}^2 W \otimes U$ and a choice of bases w_1, w_2, w_3 and u_1, u_2 for W and U respectively as free \mathbb{O}_S modules, such that $(w_1 \wedge w_2 \wedge w_3) \otimes (u_1 \wedge u_2)$ corresponds to the identity under the orientation isomorphism. A *based pair* (Q, C) of a quadratic algebra and cubic resolvent is a pair (Q, C) of quadratic algebra and cubic resolvent and choices of basis q_1, q_2, q_3 and c_1, c_2 for Q/\mathbb{O}_S and C/\mathbb{O}_S as free \mathbb{O}_S -modules, such that $(q_1 \wedge q_2 \wedge q_3)$ corresponds to $(c_1 \wedge c_2)$ under the orientation isomorphism. We see that our constructions above extend to the moduli stacks for these rigidified moduli

problems. In particular, we obtain a basis for Q/\mathbb{O}_S as a dual basis for the basis of W and vice versa.

It now suffices to show that these constructions compose to the identity on the rigidified moduli stacks. If we start with a double ternary quadratic form $p \in$ Sym² $W \otimes U$, we obtain a pair (Q, C) whose quadratic map is given exactly by the form, and then the construction of a form from (Q, C) gives back exactly our original form. The choices of bases for W and U and the orientation are clearly preserved under this composition.

We can start with a based pair (Q, C), then build another based pair (Q_{ϕ}, C_{ϕ}) from the quadratic map ϕ of (Q, C), and we wish to show that (Q, C) and (Q_{ϕ}, C_{ϕ}) are equal. (We can use the notion of equal instead of isomorphic since all of the objects are based.) We have that C and C_{ϕ} are both given as the cubic algebra corresponding to $\text{Det}(\phi)$ and thus are equal. The quadratic resolvent maps are the same, since ϕ carries through the two constructions. The orientation isomorphism are clearly the same since they also carry through the constructions. It remains to show that the multiplication on Q agrees with the multiplication on Q_{ϕ} . To do this, we will show that the condition $\delta(1 \wedge x \wedge y \wedge xy) = \phi(x) \wedge \phi(y)$ determines the multiplication table on Q from the resolvent map ϕ . Since Q and Q_{ϕ} have the same resolvent map, this will show that they are isomorphic as \mathbb{O}_S -algebras.

Let the quadratic map ϕ be written as $Ac_2 + Bc_1$, where $A = \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le 3} a_{ij} x_i x_j$ and $B = \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le 3} b_{ij} x_i x_j$, and the x_i are a dual basis for q_i in Q/\mathbb{O}_S . We recall the notation

$$\lambda_{\ell_3\ell_4}^{\ell_1\ell_2} = a_{\ell_1\ell_2}b_{\ell_3\ell_4} - b_{\ell_1\ell_2}a_{\ell_3\ell_4}.$$

We lift the basis q_i of Q/\mathbb{O}_S to a basis of Q uniquely so that q_1q_2 has no q_1 or q_2 term and so that q_1q_3 has no q_1 term. Let m_{ij}^k be the coefficient of q_k in the q_iq_j . From Equation (23) in [Bhargava 2004b], we know that the constant coefficient of q_iq_j in given as a polynomial in the various m coefficients. Thus, it remains to show that the m_{ij}^k are determined by ϕ . We plug various x and y into $\delta(1 \land x \land y \land xy) = \phi(x) \land \phi(y)$. In the below, we always let i, j, k be a permutation of 1, 2, 3 and let \pm be the sign of this permutation. First, letting $x = q_i$ and $y = q_j$ gives $m_{ij}^k = \pm \lambda_{ii}^{jj}$. Then, letting $x = q_i + q_j$ and $y = q_i$ gives $m_{ii}^k = \pm \lambda_{ii}^{ij}$. Next, letting $x = q_i + q_k$ and $y = q_j$ gives $m_{jk}^k - m_{ij}^i = \pm \lambda_{ik}^{jj}$. Using the choice of lift, which gives $m_{12}^1 = m_{21}^2 = m_{13}^1 = 0$, this determines all m_{ij}^i . Finally, letting $x = q_i + q_k$ and $y = q_i$ determines m_{ii}^i in terms of the λ 's and the m's that we have already determined.

Appendix A. Maps between locally free O_S -modules

Let *S* be a scheme. In this appendix we will give several basic facts about maps between locally free \mathbb{O}_S -modules.

Lemma A.1. If *L* is a locally free \mathbb{O}_S -module and *V* is a locally free rank-n \mathbb{O}_S -module, then $\operatorname{Sym}^k(V \otimes L) \cong \operatorname{Sym}^k V \otimes L^{\otimes k}$.

Proof. We have the canonical map

$$\operatorname{Sym}^{k}(V \otimes L) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^{k} V \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{k} L,$$
$$(v_{1} \otimes \ell_{1}) \cdots (v_{k} \otimes \ell_{k}) \mapsto v_{1} \cdots v_{k} \otimes \ell_{1} \cdots \ell_{k},$$

which we can check is an isomorphism on free modules and thus is an isomorphism on locally free modules. Moreover, we have that $L^{\otimes k} \cong \operatorname{Sym}^k L$. We have the canonical quotient map $L^{\otimes k} \to \operatorname{Sym}^k L$, which is clearly an isomorphism for L free of rank 1 and thus locally free of rank 1.

Lemma A.2. If V is a locally free \mathbb{O}_S -module of rank 2 then $V \otimes \bigwedge^2 V^* \cong V^*$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V \otimes \bigwedge^2 V^* & \to & V^*, \\ v \otimes (\mathcal{V}_1 \wedge \mathcal{V}_2) & \mapsto & \mathcal{V}_1(v)\mathcal{V}_2 - \mathcal{V}_2(v)\mathcal{V}_1. \end{array}$$

We can define the canonical map which is an isomorphism for free and thus locally free modules of rank 2. $\hfill \Box$

We combine these two lemmas to obtain a corollary that is used throughout this paper.

Corollary A.3. If V is a locally free \mathbb{O}_S -module of rank 2 then

$$\operatorname{Sym}^{3} V \otimes (\bigwedge^{2} V)^{\otimes -2} \cong \operatorname{Sym}^{3} V^{*} \otimes (\bigwedge^{2} V^{*})^{\otimes -1}.$$

Lemma A.4. If V is a locally free \mathbb{O}_S -module, we have $(\text{Sym}_n V)^* \cong \text{Sym}^n V^*$.

Proof. We give a map from $\text{Sym}^n V^*$ to $(\text{Sym}_n V)^*$ as follows:

$$\mathscr{V}_1\mathscr{V}_2\cdots\mathscr{V}_n\mapsto \big(v_1\otimes\cdots\otimes v_n\mapsto \mathscr{V}_1(v_1)\mathscr{V}_2(v_2)\cdots\mathscr{V}_n(v_n)\big).$$

If we permute the \mathcal{V}_i factors, we see the result does not change because the elements of $\text{Sym}_n V$ that we evaluate on are invariant with respect to this permutation. When V is free, we can explicitly see that this map is an isomorphism.

A.i. Degree-k maps. Let M and N be locally free \mathbb{O}_S -modules. A linear map from M to R is equivalent to a global section of M^* . In other words, sections of M^* are the degree-1 functions on M. We define the *degree-n* functions on M as the global sections of Symⁿ M^* , symmetric polynomials in linear functions on M.

Definition. A *degree-n* map from M to N is a global section of

$$\operatorname{Sym}^n M^* \otimes N \cong \operatorname{\mathscr{H}om}(\operatorname{Sym}_n M, N).$$

Note that the identity map on $\text{Sym}_n M$ gives a canonical degree-*n* map from *M* to $\text{Sym}_n M$.

The language "degree-n map from M to N" suggests that we should be able to evaluate such a thing on elements of M.

Definition. Let a degree-*n* map from *M* to *N* be given, and regarded as an element $f \in \text{Hom}(\text{Sym}_n M, N)$, the *evaluation* of *f* on an element of *M* is $f(m \otimes \cdots \otimes m)$.

When *M* is free, say with generators m_1, \ldots, m_k and dual basis $\mathfrak{m}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{m}_k$ of M^* , then we defined a degree-*n* map *f* from *M* to *R* to be a homogeneous polynomial of degree-*n* in the $\mathfrak{m}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{m}_k$. If we evaluate *f* on $(c_1m_1 + \cdots + c_km_k)$ for arbitrary sections c_i of \mathbb{O}_S , we will have a degree-*n* polynomial in the c_i . Replacing the c_i in this polynomial by \mathfrak{m}_i we obtain the homogeneous polynomial of degree *n* in the $\mathfrak{m}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{m}_k$ which is the realization of *f* as an element of Symⁿ M^* .

When *M* is free, we may have a non-linear map $\rho : M \to \mathbb{O}_S$ (or $\rho : M \to N$, but we take $N = \mathbb{O}_S$ for simplicity) and wish to realize it as the evaluation of a degree-*n* map. We can consider $\rho(c_1m_1 + \cdots + c_km_k)$ for arbitrary $c_i \in R$ and if $\rho(c_1m_1 + \cdots + c_km_k)$ is a degree-*n* polynomial in the c_i , we have an $f \in \text{Sym}^n M^*$ (given by replacing the c_i by \mathfrak{m}_i) of which ρ is the evaluation).

Since *M* is locally free, we locally have $f \in \text{Sym}^n M^*$ and see that the above recipe is invariant under change of basis and so we have a global $f \in \text{Sym}^n M^*$ (as long as everywhere locally where *M* is free $\rho(c_1m_1 + \cdots + c_km_k)$ is a degree-*n* polynomial in the c_i).

As an example, we explicitly realize the determinant as a distinguished element of

Hom(Sym_n Hom(
$$M, N$$
), Hom($\bigwedge^{n} M, \bigwedge^{n} N$)).

Let $\phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n \in \text{Hom}(M, N)^{\otimes n}$. Then we can map $\phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n$ to the element of $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^n M, \bigwedge^n N)$ which sends $m_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge m_n$ to $\phi_1(m_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \phi_n(m_n)$. This will not be well-defined for $\phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n \in \text{Hom}(M, N)^{\otimes n}$, but it will be well-defined when restricted to $\text{Sym}_n \text{Hom}(M, N)$.

$$Sym_n \operatorname{Hom}(M, N) \to \operatorname{Hom}(\bigwedge^n M, \bigwedge^n N), \\
\phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n \mapsto (m_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge m_n \mapsto \phi_1(m_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \phi_n(m_n)).$$
(3)

This is our realization of the determinant function (as opposed to the determinant of a specific homomorphism) as an element of

Hom(Sym_n Hom(
$$M, N$$
), Hom($\bigwedge^n M, \bigwedge^n N$)).

When we evaluate the determinant on a map $\phi \in \text{Hom}(M, N)$, we have $\phi(m_1) \land \cdots \land \phi(m_n)$. For example, let *N* and *M* be free of rank 2. Evaluating our degree-2 determinant map on a generic element of Hom(M, N) that sends m_1 to $an_1 + cn_2$

and m_2 to $bn_1 + dn_2$, we see that we obtain the element of Hom $(\bigwedge^2 M, \bigwedge^2 N)$ that sends $m_1 \wedge m_2$ to $(an_1 + cn_2) \wedge (bn_1 + dn_2) = (ad - bc)n_1 \wedge n_2$.

A.ii. *Degree-k maps with coefficients.* Recall that we have defined a degree-*k* map from a locally free \mathbb{O}_S -module *M* to a locally free \mathbb{O}_S -module *V* to be a linear map from $\operatorname{Sym}_k M$ to *V*. This is equivalent to a global section of $\operatorname{Sym}^k M^* \otimes V$. We use the following proposition to show that we can "add coefficients" to a degree-*k* map.

Proposition A.5. In the natural map

$$\operatorname{Sym}_k(M \otimes N) \to M^{\otimes k} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^k N,$$

the image of $\operatorname{Sym}_k(M \otimes N)$ is inside $\operatorname{Sym}_k M \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^k N$.

Proof. We prove this proposition by checking the statement locally where the modules are free. If we symmetrize a pure tensor of basis elements in $(M \otimes N)^{\otimes k}$, we see that when we forget the terms from N we still obtain an element of $\operatorname{Sym}_k M$. Since all of the terms in the symmetrization will have the same factor in $\operatorname{Sym}^k N$, this completes the proof.

Thus, given a degree-k map from M to V, we naturally obtain a degree-k map from $M \otimes N$ to $V \otimes \text{Sym}^k N$ (by composing $\text{Sym}_k(M \otimes N) \rightarrow \text{Sym}_k M \otimes \text{Sym}^k N \rightarrow V \otimes \text{Sym}^k N$). We call this construction using V as coefficients, because it is as if we treat the elements of V as formal ring elements.

Appendix B. Inherited algebra structure

Let X be a scheme. A *multiplication* on a chain complex C of \mathbb{O}_X -modules is given by a map $C \otimes C \to C$. (See [Weibel 1994, 2.7.1] for the definition of the tensor product of two chain complexes.) Associativity and commutativity of the multiplication are given by the commutativity of the expected diagrams built out of the multiplication map. A unit is given by a map $\mathbb{O}_X \to C$ that satisfies the expected properties with respect to the multiplication.

If $\pi : X \to Y$ is a morphism of schemes, such a multiplication on *C* is inherited by $R\pi_*C$ in the derived category of *Y*. To be more precise, we let *Q* be the localization functor that maps complexes of \mathbb{O}_X -modules to the associated objects in the derived category of *X*. From the universal property of the derived tensor (see, [Weibel 1994, 10.5.1], for instance), we have a morphism

$$Q(C) \otimes Q(C) \to Q(C \otimes C), \tag{4}$$

where the \otimes of the left side denotes the total tensor in the derived category (see [Weibel 1994, 10.6] or [Hartshorne 1966, II.4]). From Equation (4) composed with

 $Q(C \otimes C) \rightarrow Q(C)$ from the multiplication map, we see that the multiplication on *C* is inherited by Q(C) in the derived category of *X*.

Next we see there is a map

$$R\pi_*Q(C) \otimes R\pi_*Q(C) \to R\pi_*(Q(C) \otimes Q(C)) \tag{5}$$

which can be obtained from the morphism $R\pi_*Q(C) \otimes R\pi_*Q(C) \rightarrow R\pi_*(Q(C) \otimes L\pi^*R\pi_*Q(C))$ of the projection formula (see [Weibel 1994, 10.8.1] [Hartshorne 1966, II.5.6]) and the morphism $L\pi^*R\pi_*Q(C) \rightarrow Q(C)$ that comes from the adjointness of $L\pi^*$ and $R\pi_*$ and the identity map $R\pi_* \rightarrow R\pi_*$; see [Weibel 1994, 10.7.1; Hartshorne 1966, II.5.10, II.5.11]. Thus the multiplication is inherited by $R\pi_*Q(C)$. Finally, the natural map

$$H^{0}(R\pi_{*}Q(C)) \otimes H^{0}(R\pi_{*}Q(C)) \to H^{0}(R\pi_{*}Q(C) \otimes R\pi_{*}Q(C))$$
(6)

shows how the multiplication is inherited by $H^0(R\pi_*Q(C))$. If the original multiplication on *C* is associative and commutative, one can follow the diagrams to see that the inherited multiplication on $H^0(R\pi_*Q(C))$ will also be associative and commutative. Moreover, if we have a unit $\mathbb{O}_X \to C$, and $\pi_*\mathbb{O}_X = \mathbb{O}_Y$, then one can similarly follow diagrams to see that the inherited map $\mathbb{O}_Y = H^0(R\pi_*Q(\mathbb{O}_X)) \to$ $H^0(R\pi_*Q(C))$ is a unit. Thus if *C* has a commutative, associative multiplication and a unit and $\pi_*\mathbb{O}_X = \mathbb{O}_Y$, then $H^0(R\pi_*Q(C))$ in an \mathbb{O}_Y -algebra.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Manjul Bhargava for asking the questions that inspired this research, guidance along the way, and helpful feedback both on the ideas and the exposition in this paper. She would also like to thank Lenny Taelman for suggestions for improvements to the paper. This work was done as part of the author's Ph.D. thesis at Princeton University, and during the work she was supported by an NSF Graduate Fellowship, an NDSEG Fellowship, an AAUW Dissertation Fellowship, and a Josephine De Kármán Fellowship. This paper was prepared for submission while the author was supported by an American Institute of Mathematics Five-Year Fellowship. The author would also like to thank the referee for making many suggestions that improved the paper.

References

[[]Bhargava 2004a] M. Bhargava, "Higher composition laws, II: On cubic analogues of Gauss composition", *Ann. of Math.* (2) **159**:2 (2004), 865–886. MR 2005f:11062b

[[]Bhargava 2004b] M. Bhargava, "Higher composition laws, III: The parametrization of quartic rings", *Ann. of Math.* (2) **159**:3 (2004), 1329–1360. MR 2005k:11214

[[]Bhargava 2005] M. Bhargava, "The density of discriminants of quartic rings and fields", *Ann. of Math.* (2) **162**:2 (2005), 1031–1063. MR 2006m:11163 Zbl 1159.11045

- [Casnati 1998] G. Casnati, "Covers of algebraic varieties. III. The discriminant of a cover of degree 4 and the trigonal construction", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **350**:4 (1998), 1359–1378. MR 98i:14021
- [Casnati and Ekedahl 1996] G. Casnati and T. Ekedahl, "Covers of algebraic varieties. I. A general structure theorem, covers of degree 3, 4 and Enriques surfaces", *J. Algebraic Geom.* **5**:3 (1996), 439–460. MR 97c:14014
- [Davenport and Heilbronn 1971] H. Davenport and H. Heilbronn, "On the density of discriminants of cubic fields. II", *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* **322**:1551 (1971), 405–420. MR 58 #10816 Zbl 0212.08101
- [Deligne 2000] P. Deligne, letter to W. T. Gan, B. Gross and G. Savin, November 13 2000.
- [Deligne 2004] P. Deligne, letter to M. Bhargava, March 5 2004.
- [Delone and Faddeev 1940] B. N. Delone and D. K. Faddeev, Теория иррациональностей третьей степени, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov **11**, 1940. Translated as *The theory of irrationalities of the third degree*, Trans. Math. Monographs **10**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1964. Original at http://www.mathnet.ru/php/archive.phtml?wshow=paper&jrnid=tm&paperid=900. MR 2,349d Zbl 0061.09001
- [EGA III.2 1963] A. Grothendieck, "Éléments de géométrie algébrique, III: Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents, II", *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **17** (1963), 137–223. MR 29 #1210 Zbl 0122.16102
- [Eisenbud 2005] D. Eisenbud, *The geometry of syzygies: a second course in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **229**, Springer, New York, 2005. MR 2005h:13021 Zbl 1066.14001
- [Gan et al. 2002] W. T. Gan, B. Gross, and G. Savin, "Fourier coefficients of modular forms on *G*₂", *Duke Math. J.* **115**:1 (2002), 105–169. MR 2004a:11036 Zbl 1165.11315
- [Hahn and Miranda 1999] D. W. Hahn and R. Miranda, "Quadruple covers of algebraic varieties", *J. Algebraic Geom.* **8**:1 (1999), 1–30. MR 99k:14028 Zbl 0982.14008
- [Hartshorne 1966] R. Hartshorne, *Residues and duality: lecture notes of a seminar on the work of* A. Grothendieck, Lecture Notes in Math. **20**, Springer, Berlin, 1966. MR 36 #5145
- [Miranda 1985] R. Miranda, "Triple covers in algebraic geometry", Amer. J. Math. 107:5 (1985), 1123–1158. MR 86k:14008 Zbl 0611.14011
- [Poonen 2008] B. Poonen, "The moduli space of commutative algebras of finite rank", *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **10**:3 (2008), 817–836. MR 2009d:14009 Zbl 1151.14011
- [Voight 2010] J. Voight, "Rings of low rank with a standard involution", preprint, 2010. to appear in *Ill. J. Math.* arXiv 1003.3512
- [Weibel 1994] C. A. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Adv. Math. **38**, Cambridge University Press, 1994. MR 95f:18001 Zbl 0797.18001
- [Wood 2011a] M. M. Wood, "Gauss composition over an arbitrary base", *Adv. Math.* **226**:2 (2011), 1756–1771. MR 2012a:11047 Zbl 05835547
- [Wood 2011b] M. M. Wood, "Rings and ideals parameterized by binary *n*-ic forms", *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) **83**:1 (2011), 208–231. MR 2763952 Zbl 1228.11053

Communicated by Hendrik W. Lenstra Received 2010-06-29 Revised 2010-09-28 Accepted 2010-10-27 mmwood@math.wisc.edu Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 480 Lincoln Drive, Madison, WI 53705, United States American Institute of Mathematics, 360 Portage Ave, Palo Alto, CA 94306, United States



Algebra & Number Theory

msp.berkeley.edu/ant

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR Bjorn Poonen Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, USA EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR David Eisenbud

University of California Berkeley, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Georgia Benkart	University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA	Shigefumi Mori	RIMS, Kyoto University, Japan
Dave Benson	University of Aberdeen, Scotland	Raman Parimala	Emory University, USA
Richard E. Borcherds	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
John H. Coates	University of Cambridge, UK	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
J-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Sud, France	Karl Rubin	University of California, Irvine, USA
Brian D. Conrad	University of Michigan, USA	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Hélène Esnault	Universität Duisburg-Essen, Germany	Joseph H. Silverman	Brown University, USA
Hubert Flenner	Ruhr-Universität, Germany	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Ronald Solomon	Ohio State University, USA
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	Vasudevan Srinivas	Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, India
Joseph Gubeladze	San Francisco State University, USA	J. Toby Stafford	University of Michigan, USA
Ehud Hrushovski	Hebrew University, Israel	Bernd Sturmfels	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Craig Huneke	University of Kansas, USA	Richard Taylor	Harvard University, USA
Mikhail Kapranov	Yale University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Yujiro Kawamata	University of Tokyo, Japan	Michel van den Bergh	Hasselt University, Belgium
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Marie-France Vignéras	Université Paris VII, France
Yuri Manin	Northwestern University, USA	Kei-Ichi Watanabe	Nihon University, Japan
Barry Mazur	Harvard University, USA	Andrei Zelevinsky	Northeastern University, USA
Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausan	ne Efim Zelmanov	University of California, San Diego, USA
Susan Montgomery	University of Southern California, USA		

PRODUCTION

contact@msp.org Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or www.jant.org for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2011 is US \$150/year for the electronic version, and \$210/year (+\$35 shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, USA.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1937-0652) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY mathematical sciences publishers http://msp.org/ A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Typeset in LATEX Copyright ©2011 by Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 5 No. 8 2011

The behavior of Hecke <i>L</i> -functions of real quadratic fields at $s = 0$ BYUNGHEUP JUN and JUNGYUN LEE	1001
The Picard group of a K3 surface and its reduction modulo p ANDREAS-STEPHAN ELSENHANS and JÖRG JAHNEL	1027
Linear determinantal equations for all projective schemes JESSICA SIDMAN and GREGORY G. SMITH	1041
Involutions, weights and <i>p</i> -local structure GEOFFREY R. ROBINSON	1063
Parametrizing quartic algebras over an arbitrary base MELANIE MATCHETT WOOD	1069
Coleman maps and the <i>p</i> -adic regulator ANTONIO LEI, DAVID LOEFFLER and SARAH LIVIA ZERBES	1095
Conjecture de Shafarevitch effective pour les revêtements cycliques ROBIN DE JONG and GAËL RÉMOND	1133

