

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 6

2012

No. 2

**Fusion rules for abelian extensions
of Hopf algebras**

Christopher Goff



mathematical sciences publishers

Fusion rules for abelian extensions of Hopf algebras

Christopher Goff

We investigate the representation theory and fusion rules of a class of cocentral abelian (quasi-)Hopf extensions of Hopf algebras which includes twisted (generalized) quantum doubles of finite groups, and a certain quasi-Hopf algebra of Schauenburg associated to group-theoretical fusion categories. We then present a nontrivial example with noncommutative fusion rules.

1. Introduction

We present here a “ground-up” approach to attaining the fusion rules for a class of cocentral abelian extensions of Hopf algebras. Moreover, by not requiring strict coassociativity of the coproduct in the extension, our results are applicable not only to cocentral abelian (Hopf) extensions of Hopf algebras, but also to certain quasi-Hopf extensions as well. One such example, from [Schauenburg 2002], arises in the study of group-theoretical fusion categories (see also [Natale 2005]). (For a definition of group-theoretical fusion categories and basic properties, see [Etingof et al. 2005].) Another family of examples includes the twisted quantum double of a finite group, introduced in [Dijkgraaf et al. 1991], and the generalization which is defined in [Goff and Mason 2010].

In Section 2, we review definitions and notation, largely following [Kashina et al. 2002; Witherspoon 2004]. (For more information on extensions of Hopf algebras, consult [Andruskiewitsch 1996; Montgomery 1993], or, for quasi-Hopf extensions, [Masuoka 2002].) Then, Section 3 contains explicit formulas for irreducible characters and central idempotents for such extensions, as well as the inner product for which the irreducible characters form an orthonormal set. In Section 4, we write down the character of the tensor product representation and combine it with the inner product to deduce the fusion coefficients. The main result containing the fusion coefficients for irreducible representations, Theorem 4.5, is anticipated in [Witherspoon 2004] but is presented in this note without reference to Hochschild

MSC2000: primary 16S40, 18D10; secondary 16W30.

Keywords: fusion rules, Hopf algebras, quasi-Hopf, abelian extensions, group-theoretical fusion categories.

cohomology *per se*. [Corollary 4.6](#) points out the connection to the K_0 -ring of a group-theoretical fusion category. Then, in [Section 5](#), we apply these formulas to a generalized twisted quantum double of a finite group [[Goff and Mason 2010](#)]. Indeed, our [Section 5](#) supersedes [[Goff and Mason 2010](#), Section 3]. Finally, [Section 6](#) contains a nontrivial example of a cocentral abelian extension having noncommutative fusion rules.

2. Cocentral abelian extensions

We follow closely the notation of [[Kashina et al. 2002](#)] with a few exceptions. First, our action is a right action, consistent with [[Andruskiewitsch and Natale 2003](#)]. Second, our modules will be right modules rather than left.

Let L and G be finite groups and let \mathbb{F} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic not dividing $|G||L|$. An abelian extension H is of the form

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}G)^* \rightarrow H \rightarrow \mathbb{F}L \rightarrow 0,$$

where $H = (\mathbb{F}G)^* \#_{\sigma}^{\tau} \mathbb{F}L$, $\sigma : \mathbb{F}L \otimes \mathbb{F}L \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}G)^*$ is a group 2-cocycle, and $\tau : (\mathbb{F}G)^* \rightarrow \mathbb{F}L \otimes \mathbb{F}L$ is the dual of a group 2-cocycle. The condition on \mathbb{F} assures that H is semisimple and cosemisimple. We specialize to a *cocentral* abelian extension, meaning that $(\mathbb{F}L)^* \subseteq Z(H^*)$, and thus that the coaction $\mathbb{F}L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}L \otimes (\mathbb{F}G)^*$ inherent in the extension is trivial. The cocentrality also has consequences for the tensor product structure on irreducible modules, as we will see in [Section 4](#).

There is a right action of $\mathbb{F}L$ on $(\mathbb{F}G)^*$ which induces an action on $\mathbb{F}G$ via $(f \leftarrow \ell)(g) := f(g \leftarrow \ell^{-1})$ for $g \in G$, $\ell \in L$, and extended linearly. Since L acts as automorphisms of $(\mathbb{F}G)^*$, L permutes the idempotents of the dual basis. Thus, the action can be viewed as an action of L on G , also by automorphisms. For the basis $\{p_g \mid g \in G\}$, we have $p_g \leftarrow \ell = p_{g \leftarrow \ell}$. Moreover, let L_g be the stabilizer of g in L and $\mathcal{O}(g)$ the orbit of g under the action of L . That is,

$$L_g = \{\ell \in L \mid g \leftarrow \ell = g\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O}(g) = \{g \leftarrow \ell \mid \ell \in L\}.$$

Let T_g be a complete set of right coset representatives for L_g in L . That is, $L = \bigcup_{y \in T_g} L_g y$. Note that $\mathcal{O}(g) = \{g \leftarrow y \mid y \in T_g\}$.

We can write σ and τ in terms of the dual basis via

$$\sigma(x, y) = \sum_{g \in G} \sigma_g(x, y) p_g \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(x) = \sum_{g, h \in G} \tau_{g, h}(x) (p_g \otimes p_h),$$

where $\sigma_g(x, y), \tau_{g, h}(x) \in \mathbb{F}$. There are many identities satisfied by σ and τ , such as

$$\sigma_{g \leftarrow z}(x, y) \sigma_g(z, xy) = \sigma_g(z, x) \sigma_g(zx, y) \tag{1}$$

and

$$\tau_{g,h}(x)\tau_{g\leftarrow x,h\leftarrow x}(y)\sigma_g(x,y)\sigma_h(x,y) = \tau_{g,h}(xy)\sigma_{gh}(x,y), \quad (2)$$

for all $g, h \in G, x, y, z \in L$.

Writing $p_g \# x$ as $p_g \bar{x}$, we can write multiplication in H as

$$p_k \bar{z} p_h \bar{y} = \delta_{k\leftarrow z,h} \sigma_k(z,y) p_k \bar{z} \bar{y},$$

for all $h, k \in G, y, z \in L$. We also occasionally write p_g for $p_g \bar{1}$ and \bar{x} for $\sum_g p_g \bar{x}$, whence $\bar{x} p_g = p_{g\leftarrow x^{-1}} \bar{x}$. The unit element is $\bar{1}$.

For a cocentral abelian extension, the comultiplication is

$$\Delta(p_g \bar{x}) = \sum_{h \in G} \tau_{h,h^{-1}g}(x) p_h \bar{x} \otimes p_{h^{-1}g} \bar{x},$$

for all $g \in G, x \in L$. The counit ϵ satisfies $\epsilon(p_g \bar{x}) = \delta_{g,1}$. Finally, the antipode S is given by

$$S(p_g \bar{x}) = \sigma_{g^{-1}\leftarrow x}(x^{-1}, x)^{-1} \tau_{g^{-1},g}(x)^{-1} p_{g^{-1}\leftarrow x} \bar{x}^{-1}.$$

Remark 2.1. For H to be a Hopf algebra, Δ must be coassociative, which implies a certain condition on τ . We require only quasicoassociativity, which implies the existence of other structures, and a related condition on τ . We omit these details here, as all of our examples are proved elsewhere [Dijkgraaf et al. 1991; Natale 2005; Andruskiewitsch 1996] to be either coassociative or quasicoassociative.

3. Modules and characters

Irreducible modules for H are induced from irreducible modules for the group algebra of L_g , but twisted by the 2-cocycle σ_g . Select one g from each orbit under the action of L , then select T_g , a set of right coset representatives. Let

$$H_g := (\mathbb{F}G)^* \#_{\sigma} \mathbb{F}L_g.$$

If V is a right projective σ_g -representation space for L_g , then $V \otimes p_g$ is a right H_g -module via

$$(v \otimes p_g) \cdot (p_h \bar{x}) = \delta_{g,h} (v \cdot x \otimes p_g)$$

for all $v \in V, h \in G, x \in L_g$.

The irreducible modules for H are induced from these. Let $\widehat{V} = (V \otimes p_g) \otimes_{H_g} H$, which is then a right H -module under right multiplication by H . In other words,

$$\widehat{V} = \sum_{y \in T_g} (v \otimes p_g) \otimes \bar{y},$$

with action given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 [(v \otimes p_g) \otimes \bar{y}] \cdot p_h \bar{x} &= (v \otimes p_g) \sigma_{h \leftarrow y^{-1}}(y, x) p_{h \leftarrow y^{-1}} \overline{y x} \\
 &= (v \otimes p_g) \delta_{g, h \leftarrow y^{-1}} \sigma_g(y, x) p_g \overline{w y'} \\
 &= (v \otimes p_g) \delta_{g, h \leftarrow y^{-1}} \sigma_g(y, x) \sigma_g(w, y')^{-1} (p_g \bar{w}) (\overline{y'}) \\
 &= \delta_{g, h \leftarrow y^{-1}} \frac{\sigma_g(y, x)}{\sigma_g(w, y')} [(v \cdot w \otimes p_g) \otimes \bar{y'}],
 \end{aligned}$$

where $w \in L_g$, $y' \in T_g$ are chosen so that $w y' = y x$.

We introduce the notation $V_{(g, \varphi)}$ to represent the H -module induced from the projective σ_g -representation of L_g that has character φ , and we let $\rho_{(g, \varphi)}$ be the representation of $V_{(g, \varphi)}$, and $\chi_{(g, \varphi)}$ its character. Then one calculates

$$\chi_{(g, \varphi)}(p_h \bar{x}) = \delta_{g \leftarrow y, h} \delta_{y x y^{-1} \in L_g} \frac{\sigma_g(y, x)}{\sigma_g(y x y^{-1}, y)} \varphi(y x y^{-1}), \quad (3)$$

where y is the unique element of T_g that maps g to h . We reiterate that $V_{(g, \varphi)}$ is irreducible if and only if φ is.

Remark 3.1. This can be seen as

$$\chi_{(g, \varphi)}(p_h \bar{x}) = \delta_{g \leftarrow y, h} \delta_{x \in L_h} \varphi^{(y)}(x), \quad (4)$$

where $\varphi^{(y)}$ is a projective representation of $L_h = L_g^y$ (conjugate to φ) with cocycle $\sigma_{g \leftarrow y} = \sigma_h$. See [Costache 2009, Lemma 59] for a similar calculation.

Before writing down the central idempotents, we first note that the character χ_{reg} of the regular representation ρ_{reg} on H satisfies $\chi_{\text{reg}}(p_h \bar{x}) = \delta_{x, 1} |\mathbb{O}(h)| |L_h| = \delta_{x, 1} |L|$, and that, from the semisimplicity of H ,

$$\rho_{\text{reg}} = \bigoplus_{(h, \psi)} \chi_{(h, \psi)} (1_H) \rho_{(h, \psi)},$$

where h ranges over the orbits and ψ ranges over the irreducible projective σ_h -representations of L_h . Let $z_{(g, \varphi)}$ denote the central idempotent corresponding to the representation $\rho_{(g, \varphi)}$. Then $\rho_{(h, \psi)}(z_{(g, \varphi)}) = \delta_{g, h} \delta_{\varphi, \psi} (\dim \varphi) |L : L_g| \text{id}$.

Set $z_{(g, \varphi)} = \sum_{c \in G, d \in L} \alpha_{c, d} p_c \bar{d}$. We find the $\alpha_{c, d}$ by determining the value of the regular character on $S(p_{a^{-1}} \bar{b}) z_{(g, \varphi)}$ two ways. First,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \chi_{\text{reg}}(S(p_{a^{-1}} \bar{b}) z_{(g, \varphi)}) &= \sum_{c \in G, d \in L} \sigma_{a \leftarrow b} (b^{-1}, b)^{-1} \tau_{a, a^{-1}}(b)^{-1} \alpha_{c, d} \chi_{\text{reg}}(p_{a \leftarrow b} \overline{b^{-1}} p_c \bar{d}) \\
 &= \tau_{a, a^{-1}}(b)^{-1} \alpha_{a, b} |L|.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\rho_{\text{reg}}(S(p_{a^{-1}} \bar{b}) z_{(g, \varphi)}) = (\dim \varphi) |L : L_g| \rho_{(g, \varphi)}(S(p_{a^{-1}} \bar{b})),$$

which means

$$\chi_{\text{reg}}(\mathcal{S}(p_{a^{-1}}\bar{b})z_{(g,\varphi)}) = (\dim \varphi)|L : L_g| \sigma_{a \leftarrow b}(b^{-1}, b)^{-1} \tau_{a,a^{-1}}(b)^{-1} \chi_{(g,\varphi)}(p_{a \leftarrow b} \overline{b^{-1}})$$

Solving for $\alpha_{a,b}$, we obtain

$$z_{(g,\varphi)} = \frac{(\dim \varphi)}{|L_g|} \sum_{a \in G, b \in L} \frac{1}{\sigma_{a \leftarrow b}(b^{-1}, b)} \chi_{(g,\varphi)}(p_{a \leftarrow b} \overline{b^{-1}})(p_a \bar{b}).$$

Simplifying somewhat using the delta functions within $\chi_{(g,\varphi)}$, we have:

Lemma 3.2. *The central idempotent of H corresponding to $V_{(g,\varphi)}$ is*

$$z_{(g,\varphi)} = \frac{(\dim \varphi)}{|L_g|} \sum_{a \in G} \sum_{b \in L_a} \frac{1}{\sigma_a(b^{-1}, b)} \chi_{(g,\varphi)}(p_a \overline{b^{-1}})(p_a \bar{b}). \quad \square$$

Note that the first sum could be over $a \in \mathbb{O}(g)$, as $\chi = 0$ otherwise.

Proposition 3.3. *Letting*

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \frac{1}{|L|} \sum_{a \in G} \sum_{b \in L_a} \frac{1}{\sigma_a(b^{-1}, b)} \alpha(p_a \overline{b^{-1}}) \beta(p_a \bar{b}), \quad (5)$$

where α, β are characters of H , defines an inner product on the space of characters of H . The irreducible characters form an orthonormal basis with respect to this inner product.

We give three proofs to demonstrate the consistency with the character theory of projective representations of finite groups, and to demonstrate the relationship between certain conjugates of projective representations.

First proof. Clearly, (5) is linear in each component. The symmetry of (5) follows from (1) because $b \in L_a$. Using Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi_{(g,\varphi)}, \chi_{(h,\psi)} \rangle &= \frac{1}{|L|} \sum_{a \in G} \sum_{b \in L_a} \frac{1}{\sigma_a(b^{-1}, b)} \chi_{(g,\varphi)}(p_a \overline{b^{-1}}) \chi_{(h,\psi)}(p_a \bar{b}) \\ &= \frac{1}{|L|} \chi_{(h,\psi)} \left(\frac{|L_g|}{\dim \varphi} z_{(g,\varphi)} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{|L|} \frac{|L_g|}{\dim \varphi} \right) (\dim \varphi) |L : L_g| \cdot \delta_{g,h} \delta_{\varphi,\psi} = \delta_{g,h} \delta_{\varphi,\psi}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Second proof. From (3), we obtain that $a \in \mathbb{O}(g) \cap \mathbb{O}(h)$ and thus $g = h$ or else the inner product is zero. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \chi_{(g,\varphi)}, \chi_{(h,\psi)} \rangle \\
&= \frac{\delta_{g,h}}{|L|} \sum_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{O}(g) \\ [a=g \leftarrow y]}} \sum_{b \in L_a} \frac{\sigma_g(y, b^{-1})\sigma_g(y, b)}{\sigma_a(b^{-1}, b)\sigma_g(yb^{-1}y^{-1}, y)\sigma_g(yby^{-1}, y)} \varphi(yb^{-1}y^{-1})\psi(yby^{-1}) \\
&= \frac{\delta_{g,h}}{|L|} \sum_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{O}(g) \\ [a=g \leftarrow y]}} \sum_{b \in L_a} \frac{1}{\sigma_g(yb^{-1}y^{-1}, yby^{-1})} \varphi(yb^{-1}y^{-1})\psi(yby^{-1})
\end{aligned}$$

by repeated application of (1). Hence

$$\langle \chi_{(g,\varphi)}, \chi_{(h,\psi)} \rangle = \frac{\delta_{g,h}}{|L_g|} \sum_{c \in L_g} \frac{1}{\sigma_g(c^{-1}, c)} \varphi(c^{-1})\psi(c) = \delta_{g,h} \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{L_g} = \delta_{g,h} \delta_{\varphi, \psi}.$$

Here, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L_g}$ denotes the usual inner product for projective σ_g -representations of L_g . See [Nauwelaerts and Van Oystaeyen 1991, Proposition 2.8], for instance. \square

Third proof. Using Remark 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \chi_{(g,\varphi)}, \chi_{(h,\psi)} \rangle &= \frac{\delta_{g,h}}{|L|} \sum_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{O}(g) \\ [a=g \leftarrow y]}} \sum_{b \in L_a} \frac{1}{\sigma_a(b^{-1}, b)} \varphi^{(y)}(b^{-1})\psi^{(y)}(b) \\
&= \frac{\delta_{g,h}}{|L|} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{O}(g)} |L_a| \langle \varphi^{(y)}, \psi^{(y)} \rangle_{L_a} = \delta_{g,h} \delta_{\varphi, \psi}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\varphi^{(y)} = \psi^{(y)}$ if and only if $\varphi = \psi$. \square

4. Fusion rules

The character of the tensor product representation (via Δ) is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{(g,\varphi) \otimes (h,\psi)}(p_a \bar{b}) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{f \in G \\ [f \in \mathbb{O}(g), f^{-1}a \in \mathbb{O}(h) \\ [f=g \leftarrow y, f^{-1}a=h \leftarrow w]}}} \delta_{b \in L_f \cap L_{f^{-1}a}} \varphi(yby^{-1})\psi(wbw^{-1}) \frac{\tau_{f, f^{-1}a}(b)\sigma_g(y, b)\sigma_g(w, b)}{\sigma_g(yby^{-1}, y)\sigma_g(wbw^{-1}, b)} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{f \in G \\ [f \in \mathbb{O}(g), f^{-1}a \in \mathbb{O}(h) \\ [f=g \leftarrow y, f^{-1}a=h \leftarrow w]}}} \delta_{b \in L_f \cap L_{f^{-1}a}} \tau_{f, f^{-1}a}(b) \varphi^{(y)}(b) \psi^{(w)}(b) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{f \in G \\ [f \in \mathbb{O}(g), f^{-1}a \in \mathbb{O}(h) \\ [f=g \leftarrow y, f^{-1}a=h \leftarrow w]}}} \delta_{b \in L_f \cap L_{f^{-1}a}} [\varphi^{(y)} \otimes \psi^{(w)} \tau_{f, f^{-1}a}](b),
\end{aligned}$$

where $[\varphi^{(y)} \otimes \psi^{(w)} \tau_{f, f^{-1}a}]$ is a projective representation (of $L_f \cap L_{f^{-1}a} \leq L_a$) with cocycle σ_a . As explained in [Witherspoon 2004, (4.7)], the cocentrality of the extension, and the fact that the coproduct Δ is an algebra map, together imply that σ_a is cohomologous to $\sigma_f \cdot \sigma_{f^{-1}a}$ on $L_f \cap L_{f^{-1}a}$ via $\tau_{f, f^{-1}a}$. This is the content of Equation (2), which depends on the assumption of cocentrality.

Remark 4.1. If $h = 1$, then $\chi_{(g, \varphi) \otimes (1, \psi)} = \chi_{(g, \varphi \otimes \psi \downarrow_{L_g})}$. If $g = 1$ the result is similar. Hence, the irreducible representations induced from $1 \in G$ are in the center of the fusion algebra and their tensor products with other modules can be reduced to a calculation in the appropriate stabilizer. This generalizes a similar result in [Goff and Mason 2010].

We need two lemmas before calculating the fusion coefficients.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $a, f \in G, y \in L$.*

(1) *Let α and β be projective σ_f -representations of L_f . Then*

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{L_f} = \langle \alpha^{(y)}, \beta^{(y)} \rangle_{L_f^y}.$$

Note that $\alpha^{(y)}$ and $\beta^{(y)}$ are $\sigma_{f \leftarrow y}$ -representations of $L_{f \leftarrow y} = L_f^y$.

(2) *Let α be a σ_f -representation of L_f and let β be a $\sigma_{f^{-1}a}$ -representation of $L_{f^{-1}a}$. Then*

$$[\alpha \otimes \beta \tau_{f, f^{-1}a}]^{(y)} = [\alpha^{(y)} \otimes \beta^{(y)} \tau_{f \leftarrow y, f^{-1}a \leftarrow y}]$$

as $\sigma_{a \leftarrow y}$ -representations of $L_f^y \cap L_{f^{-1}a}^y \leq L_a^y$.

Proof. The proof is straightforward, using (4), (1), and (2). □

We need a way to calculate products of L -orbits in $\mathbb{C}G$. The following formula appears in [Witherspoon 2004, Proof of Theorem 4.8], where the author relies on standard trace map properties of the L -algebra $\mathbb{Z}G$, citing general results of [Thévenaz 1995]. Our proof is specific to group actions on sets. Recall that if L acts on G , then L also acts on $G \times G$ diagonally: $(g_1, g_2) \leftarrow \ell = (g_1 \leftarrow \ell, g_2 \leftarrow \ell)$ for $\ell \in L, g_1, g_2 \in G$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $g, h \in G$. Then*

$$\mathbb{O}(g)\mathbb{O}(h) = \sum_{x \in D} |L_{(g \leftarrow x)h} : L_{g \leftarrow x} \cap L_h| \mathbb{O}((g \leftarrow x)h),$$

where D is a complete set of $L_g \backslash L / L_h$ double coset representatives.

Proof. Consider the orbits of the diagonal action of L on $G \times G$. Evidently, $y \in L_g x L_h$ if and only if $\mathbb{O}_L((g \leftarrow x, h)) = \mathbb{O}_L((g \leftarrow y, h))$. Now pick $x \in D$ and consider the image of $\mathbb{O}_L((g \leftarrow x, h))$ in G under the product map. Clearly, the product $(g \leftarrow x)h$ is fixed by $L_{(g \leftarrow x)h}$ but also each component is fixed by

$L_g^x \cap L_h \leq L_{(g \leftarrow x)h}$. So, the number of distinct ordered pairs $(g \leftarrow xw, h \leftarrow w)$ such that $(g \leftarrow xw)(h \leftarrow w) = (g \leftarrow x)h$ is $|L_{(g \leftarrow x)h} : L_g^x \cap L_h|$. Since L acts by automorphisms, this is also the number of times $\mathbb{O}((g \leftarrow x)h)$ appears in this term of the sum. \square

Remark 4.4. The right hand side in Lemma 4.3 cannot generally be interpreted as a summation over distinct orbits. There may be $y \notin L_g x L_h$ for which $\mathbb{O}((g \leftarrow x)h) = \mathbb{O}((g \leftarrow y)h)$.

Anticipated in [Witherspoon 2004, Theorem 4.8], the following theorem gives the fusion coefficients for irreducible representations of H .

Theorem 4.5. Let $g, h, k \in G$ and let φ be a σ_g -representation of L_g , ψ a σ_h -representation of L_h , and γ a σ_k -representation of L_k and consider the corresponding induced modules of H . Then

$$\langle \chi_{(k, \gamma)}, \chi_{(g, \varphi) \otimes (h, \psi)} \rangle = \sum_{\substack{x \in D \\ (g \leftarrow x)h \in \mathbb{O}(k) \\ [(g \leftarrow xw')(h \leftarrow w') = k]}} \langle \gamma, [\varphi^{(xw')} \otimes \psi^{(w')} \tau_{g \leftarrow xw', h \leftarrow w'}] \rangle_{L_g^{xw'} \cap L_h^{w'}}$$

where D is a set of those $L_g \backslash L / L_h$ double coset representatives x satisfying

$$(g \leftarrow x)h \in \mathbb{O}(k),$$

and the inner product on $L_g^{xw'} \cap L_h^{w'} \leq L_k$ is of projective σ_k -representations.

Proof. Using the inner product (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \chi_{(k, \gamma)}, \chi_{(g, \varphi) \otimes (h, \psi)} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{|L|} \sum_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{O}(k) \\ [a = k \leftarrow z]}} \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{O}(g) \\ f^{-1}a \in \mathbb{O}(h) \\ [f = g \leftarrow y] \\ [f^{-1}a = h \leftarrow w]}} \sum_{b \in L_a \cap L_f} \gamma(zb^{-1}z^{-1})\varphi(yby^{-1})\psi(wbw^{-1}) \\ & \quad \cdot \frac{\tau_{f, f^{-1}a}(b)\sigma_k(z, b^{-1})\sigma_g(y, b)\sigma_h(w, b)}{\sigma_a(b^{-1}, b)\sigma_k(zb^{-1}z^{-1}, z)\sigma_g(yby^{-1}, y)\sigma_h(wbw^{-1}, w)} \\ &= \frac{1}{|L|} \sum_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{O}(k) \\ [a = k \leftarrow z]}} \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{O}(g) \\ f^{-1}a \in \mathbb{O}(h) \\ [f = g \leftarrow y] \\ [f^{-1}a = h \leftarrow w]}} \sum_{b \in L_a \cap L_f} \frac{\tau_{f, f^{-1}a}(b)}{\sigma_a(b^{-1}, b)} \gamma^{(z)}(b^{-1})\varphi^{(y)}(b)\psi^{(w)}(b) \\ &= \frac{1}{|L|} \sum_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{O}(k) \\ [a = k \leftarrow z]}} \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{O}(g) \\ f^{-1}a \in \mathbb{O}(h) \\ [f = g \leftarrow y] \\ [f^{-1}a = h \leftarrow w]}} |L_f \cap L_{f^{-1}a}| \langle \gamma^{(z)}, [\varphi^{(y)} \otimes \psi^{(w)} \tau_{f, f^{-1}a}] \rangle_{L_f \cap L_{f^{-1}a}}. \end{aligned}$$

By [Lemma 4.2](#) this is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{|L|} \sum_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{O}(k) \\ [a=k \leftarrow z]}} \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{O}(g) \\ f^{-1}a \in \mathbb{O}(h) \\ [f=g \leftarrow y] \\ [f^{-1}a=h \leftarrow w]}} |L_f \cap L_{f^{-1}a}| \\
&\quad \cdot \langle \gamma, [\varphi^{(yz^{-1})} \otimes \psi^{(wz^{-1})} \tau_{f \leftarrow z^{-1}, f^{-1}a \leftarrow z^{-1}}] \rangle_{L_f^{z^{-1}} \cap L_{f^{-1}a}^{z^{-1}}} \\
&= \frac{1}{|L_k|} \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{O}(g) \\ f^{-1}k \in \mathbb{O}(h) \\ [f=g \leftarrow y'] \\ [f^{-1}k=h \leftarrow w']}} |L_f \cap L_{f^{-1}k}| \langle \gamma, [\varphi^{(y')} \otimes \psi^{(w')} \tau_{f, f^{-1}k}] \rangle_{L_f \cap L_{f^{-1}k}},
\end{aligned}$$

and by [Lemma 4.3](#) this can further be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{|L_k|} \sum_{\substack{x \in D \\ (g \leftarrow x)h \in \mathbb{O}(k) \\ [(g \leftarrow xw')(h \leftarrow w')=k]}} |L_g^{xw'} \cap L_h^{w'}| |L_{(g \leftarrow x)h} : L_g^x \cap L_h| \\
&\quad \cdot \langle \gamma, [\varphi^{(xw')} \otimes \psi^{(w')} \tau_{f, f^{-1}k}] \rangle_{L_g^{xw'} \cap L_h^{w'}} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{x \in D \\ (g \leftarrow x)h \in \mathbb{O}(k) \\ [(g \leftarrow xw')(h \leftarrow w')=k]}} \langle \gamma, [\varphi^{(xw')} \otimes \psi^{(w')} \tau_{g \leftarrow xw', h \leftarrow w'}] \rangle_{L_g^{xw'} \cap L_h^{w'}},
\end{aligned}$$

where D is a set of $L_g \setminus L/L_h$ double coset representatives with $(g \leftarrow x)h \in \mathbb{O}(k)$. Thus, the fusion rules for H modules can be determined from the fusion rules for projective σ_k -representations restricted to certain subgroups of L_k . \square

As stated before, the theorem holds for certain quasi-Hopf extensions, including the examples in the following corollary and the next section.

Corollary 4.6. *The fusion rules in [Theorem 4.5](#) describe the K_0 -ring for the group-theoretical module category $\mathcal{C}(G \rtimes L, \omega, L, 1)$, where $\omega \in H^3(G \rtimes L, \mathbb{F}^*)$ is the 3-cocycle associated to $[\sigma, \tau]$ in the relevant Kac exact sequence. See [\[Schauenburg 2002; Natale 2003; Masuoka 2002\]](#) for further cohomological details.*

Proof. Indeed, the theorem holds whenever the structure maps and (1) and (2) hold, even if H is a quasi-Hopf algebra (with coassociator Φ), because the fusion rules for H do not depend on the associativity constraint (determined by Φ) in the category of right H -modules, $\text{Mod-}H$. Thus these fusion rules hold for a certain quasi-Hopf algebra of Schauenburg, denoted (A^{op}, Φ) by Natale [\[2005\]](#), in the case when $A = (\mathbb{F}G) \#_{\sigma}^{\tau} \mathbb{F}L$, and the left action \triangleright of G on L is trivial; i.e., when $GL = G \rtimes L$. (In this case, the structure maps and cocycles are exactly as in [Section 2](#).) Natale, in the proof of her [Theorem 4.4](#), cites [\[Schauenburg 2002\]](#) to demonstrate that $(A^{\text{op}}, \Phi)\text{-Mod}$ is tensor-equivalent to $\mathcal{C}(G \rtimes L, \omega, L, 1)$, where $\omega \in H^3(G \rtimes L, \mathbb{F}^*)$ is the 3-cocycle associated to $[\sigma, \tau]$ in the Kac exact sequence. \square

5. Example: generalized twisted quantum doubles of finite groups

Other examples of abelian extensions satisfying the structure maps of [Section 2](#) (and hence having fusion rules determined by [Theorem 4.5](#)) include twisted quantum doubles of finite groups [[Dijkgraaf et al. 1991](#)] and generalized twisted doubles of finite groups [[Goff and Mason 2010](#)]. We expand on the latter, but using right modules here. As mentioned earlier, this section supersedes [[Goff and Mason 2010](#), Section 3].

Let G be a finite group, N a normal subgroup, and $\bar{G} := G/N$. We use the bar notation for elements in \bar{G} , i.e., if $g \in G$ then $\bar{g} = gN \in \bar{G}$. Then G acts naturally on \bar{G} via conjugation, namely $\bar{g} \leftarrow x := x^{-1}\bar{g}x = \bar{g}^x = \bar{g}^{\bar{x}} = \bar{x}^{-1}\bar{g}\bar{x}$, for all $x \in G$, $\bar{g} \in \bar{G}$.

In addition, let $\omega \in H^3(\bar{G}, \mathbb{F}^*)$, and let $\omega' := \text{Infl}_{\bar{G}}^G \omega$. In analogy with σ and τ , define $\theta : \mathbb{F}G \otimes \mathbb{F}G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}\bar{G}^*$ and $\gamma : \mathbb{F}\bar{G}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{F}G \otimes \mathbb{F}G$ via

$$\theta = \sum_{\bar{g} \in \bar{G}} \theta_{\bar{g}} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = \sum_{x, y \in \bar{G}} \gamma_0(x, y),$$

where

$$\theta_{\bar{g}}(x, y) = \frac{\omega(\bar{g}, \bar{x}, \bar{y})\omega(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{g}^{xy})}{\omega(\bar{x}, \bar{g}^x, \bar{y})}, \quad \gamma_{\bar{g}}(x, y) = \frac{\omega(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{g})\omega(\bar{g}, \bar{x}^g, \bar{y}^g)}{\omega(\bar{x}, \bar{g}, \bar{y}^g)}.$$

Notice that $\theta_{\bar{g}}$ and $\gamma_{\bar{g}}$ could be thought of as functions from $\mathbb{F}\bar{G} \otimes \mathbb{F}\bar{G}$ to \mathbb{F}^* since they pass to the quotient \bar{G} . The generalized twisted double is then $D^\omega(G, \bar{G}) = (\mathbb{F}\bar{G})^* \#_{\theta}^{\gamma} (\mathbb{F}G)$. The maps θ and γ satisfy (1) and (2), *mutatis mutandis* [[Dijkgraaf et al. 1991](#)].

The irreducible (right) modules of $D^\omega(G, \bar{G})$ are induced from irreducible projective representations of centralizers. In particular, the character of the irreducible projective $\theta_{\bar{g}}$ -representation φ of $C_G(\bar{g})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\chi}_{(\bar{g}, \varphi)}(e(\bar{h}) \bowtie x) &= \delta_{\bar{g}^y, \bar{h}} \delta_{yxy^{-1} \in C_G(\bar{g})} \frac{\theta_{\bar{g}}(y, x)}{\theta_{\bar{g}}(yxy^{-1}, y)} \varphi(yxy^{-1}) \\ &= \delta_{\bar{g}^y, \bar{h}} \delta_{x \in C_G(\bar{h})} \varphi^{(y)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Consistent with (5), the inner product on characters is given by

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\bar{k} \in \bar{G}} \sum_{x \in C_G(\bar{k})} \frac{1}{\theta_{\bar{k}}(\bar{x}^{-1}, \bar{x})} \alpha(e(\bar{k}) \bowtie x^{-1}) \beta(e(\bar{k}) \bowtie x),$$

and thus the fusion coefficients are given by

$$\langle \widehat{\chi}_{(\bar{k}, \lambda)}, \widehat{\chi}_{(\bar{g}, \varphi) \otimes (\bar{h}, \psi)} \rangle = \sum_{\substack{x \in D \\ [\bar{g}^{xw'} \bar{h}^{w'} = \bar{k}]} } \langle \lambda, [\varphi^{(xw')} \otimes \psi^{(w')} \gamma_0(\bar{g}^{xw'}, \bar{h}^{w'})] \rangle_{C_G(\bar{g}^{xw'}) \cap C_G(\bar{h}^{w'})}$$

where D is a set of $C_G(\bar{g}) \backslash G / C_G(\bar{h})$ double coset representatives with $\bar{g}^x \bar{h} \in \mathbb{O}(\bar{k})$, and the inner product on $C_G(\bar{g}^x w') \cap C_G(\bar{h} w') \leq C_G(\bar{k})$ is of $\theta_{\bar{k}}$ -representations.

6. Example: noncommutative fusion rules

Noncommutative fusion rules for cocentral abelian extensions are not rare: choose $L = 1, \sigma$ and τ trivial, and any nonabelian G , for instance. Also, see [Kosaki et al. 1997; Nikshych 1998; Zhu 2001]. We give here an example with σ, τ trivial, but nontrivial action of L . Let G be the dihedral group of order 18, and let

$$L \leq \text{Aut } D_9 \cong \mathbb{Z}_9 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_9^*.$$

Namely, $L = \langle 3 \rangle \times \langle 4 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$. [The first factor of L is with respect to addition, the second, multiplication.] If we let $G = \langle x, y \mid x^2 = y^9 = e, yx = xy^{-1} \rangle$, then

$$(x^c y^d) \leftarrow (a, b) := x^c y^{ac+bd}.$$

We choose L -orbit representatives $S = \{e, y^3, y^6, y, y^2, x, xy, xy^2\}$ with their respective stabilizers. Since L is abelian, $\chi_{(g,\varphi)}^{(\ell)} = \chi_{(g,\varphi)}$ for all $\ell \in L$. Note that in the decomposition of the product of orbits, we have

$$\mathbb{O}(x)\mathbb{O}(y) = 3\mathbb{O}(xy) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{O}(y)\mathbb{O}(x) = 3\mathbb{O}(xy^2),$$

which suffices to guarantee noncommutative fusion rules.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $M(g, \alpha)$ denote the irreducible representation of $(\mathbb{F}G)^* \# (\mathbb{F}L)$ induced from α , an irreducible representation of L_g for $g \in S$. The first five rules are commutative.*

- i. $M(s, \alpha) \otimes M(t, \beta) = M(st, \alpha \otimes \beta)$ for $s, t \in \langle y^3 \rangle$.
- ii. $M(s, \alpha) \otimes M(g, \beta) = M(g, \alpha \downarrow_{L_g} \otimes \beta)$ for $s \in \langle y^3 \rangle, g \in \{y, y^2, x, xy, xy^2\}$.
- iii. $M(g, \alpha) \otimes M(g, \beta) = 3M(h, \alpha \otimes \beta)$ if $\{g, h\} = \{y, y^2\}$.
- iv. $M(y, \alpha) \otimes M(y^2, \beta) = \bigoplus_{s \in \langle y^3 \rangle} \bigoplus_{\gamma \downarrow_{L_y} = \alpha \otimes \beta} M(s, \gamma)$.
- v. $M(g, \alpha) \otimes M(g, \beta) = \bigoplus_{s \in \langle y^3 \rangle} \bigoplus_{\gamma \downarrow_{L_g} = \alpha \otimes \beta} M(s, \gamma)$ for $g \in \{x, xy, xy^2\}$.

The rest of the list holds for all $\alpha, \beta, \delta, \epsilon, \zeta, \eta, \mu, \nu$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vi. } M(y, \alpha) \otimes M(x, \beta) &= \bigoplus_{\text{all } \gamma} M(xy^2, \gamma) = M(x, \delta) \otimes M(y^2, \epsilon) \\ &= M(y^2, \zeta) \otimes M(xy, \eta) = M(xy, \mu) \otimes M(y, \nu). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vii. } M(y, \alpha) \otimes M(xy, \beta) &= \bigoplus_{\text{all } \gamma} M(x, \gamma) = M(xy, \delta) \otimes M(y^2, \epsilon) \\ &= M(y^2, \zeta) \otimes M(xy^2, \eta) = M(xy^2, \mu) \otimes M(y, \nu). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{viii. } M(y, \alpha) \otimes M(xy^2, \beta) &= \bigoplus_{\text{all } \gamma} M(xy, \gamma) = M(xy^2, \delta) \otimes M(y^2, \epsilon) \\ &= M(y^2, \zeta) \otimes M(x, \eta) = M(x, \mu) \otimes M(y, \nu). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ix. } M(x, \alpha) \otimes M(xy, \beta) &= \bigoplus_{\text{all } \gamma} M(y, \gamma) = M(xy, \delta) \otimes M(xy^2, \epsilon) \\ &= M(xy^2, \zeta) \otimes M(x, \eta). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{x. } M(x, \alpha) \otimes M(xy^2, \beta) &= \bigoplus_{\text{all } \gamma} M(y^2, \gamma) = M(xy^2, \delta) \otimes M(xy, \epsilon) \\ &= M(xy, \zeta) \otimes M(x, \eta). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Straightforward. □

Acknowledgement

The author acknowledges the gracious comments of the referee, which led to major improvements in the paper.

References

- [Andruskiewitsch 1996] N. Andruskiewitsch, “Notes on extensions of Hopf algebras”, *Canad. J. Math.* **48**:1 (1996), 3–42. [MR 97c:16046](#) [Zbl 0857.16033](#)
- [Andruskiewitsch and Natale 2003] N. Andruskiewitsch and S. Natale, “Braided Hopf algebras arising from matched pairs of groups”, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **182** (2003), 119–149. [MR 2004d:16064](#) [Zbl 1024.16018](#)
- [Costache 2009] T.-L. Costache, “On irreducible projective representations of finite groups”, *Surv. Math. Appl.* **4** (2009), 191–214. [MR 2011h:20022](#) [Zbl 1202.20015](#)
- [Dijkgraaf et al. 1991] R. Dijkgraaf, V. Pasquier, and P. Roche, “Quasi Hopf algebras, group cohomology and orbifold models”, pp. 60–72 in *Proceedings of the fourth meeting on theoretical physics: Recent advances in field theory* (Annecy-le-Vieux, 1990), edited by P. Binétruy et al., Nuclear Phys. B Proc. Suppl. **18B**, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1991. [MR 92m:81238](#) [Zbl 0957.81670](#)
- [Etingof et al. 2005] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik, “On fusion categories”, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **162**:2 (2005), 581–642. [MR 2006m:16051](#) [Zbl 1125.16025](#)
- [Goff and Mason 2010] C. Goff and G. Mason, “Generalized twisted quantum doubles and the McKay correspondence”, *J. Algebra* **324**:11 (2010), 3007–3016. [MR 2011i:16046](#) [Zbl 1228.16029](#)
- [Kashina et al. 2002] Y. Kashina, G. Mason, and S. Montgomery, “Computing the Frobenius–Schur indicator for Abelian extensions of Hopf algebras”, *J. Algebra* **251** (2002), 888–913. [MR 2003f:16061](#) [Zbl 1012.16040](#)

- [Kosaki et al. 1997] H. Kosaki, A. Munemasa, and S. Yamagami, “On fusion algebras associated to finite group actions”, *Pacific J. Math.* **177**:2 (1997), 269–290. [MR 98i:46064](#) [Zbl 0882.46030](#)
- [Masuoka 2002] A. Masuoka, “Hopf algebra extensions and cohomology”, pp. 167–209 in *New directions in Hopf algebras*, edited by S. Montgomery and H.-J. Schneider, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. **43**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2002. [MR 2003d:16050](#) [Zbl 1011.16024](#)
- [Montgomery 1993] S. Montgomery, *Hopf algebras and their actions on rings*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **82**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1993. [MR 94i:16019](#) [Zbl 0793.16029](#)
- [Natale 2003] S. Natale, “On group theoretical Hopf algebras and exact factorizations of finite groups”, *J. Algebra* **270**:1 (2003), 199–211. [MR 2004k:16102](#) [Zbl 1040.16027](#)
- [Natale 2005] S. Natale, “Frobenius–Schur indicators for a class of fusion categories”, *Pacific J. Math.* **221**:2 (2005), 353–377. [MR 2007j:16070](#) [Zbl 1108.16035](#)
- [Nauwelaerts and Van Oystaeyen 1991] E. Nauwelaerts and F. Van Oystaeyen, “Module characters and projective representations of finite groups”, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **62**:1 (1991), 151–166. [MR 92f:20015](#) [Zbl 0810.20012](#)
- [Nikshych 1998] D. Nikshych, “ K_0 -rings and twisting of finite-dimensional semisimple Hopf algebras”, *Comm. Algebra* **26**:1 (1998), 321–342. [MR 99d:16045a](#) [Zbl 0912.16018](#)
- [Schauenburg 2002] P. Schauenburg, “Hopf bimodules, coquasibialgebras, and an exact sequence of Kac ”, *Adv. Math.* **165**:2 (2002), 194–263. [MR 2003e:16052](#) [Zbl 1006.16054](#)
- [Thévenaz 1995] J. Thévenaz, *G-algebras and modular representation theory*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995. [MR 96j:20017](#) [Zbl 0837.20015](#)
- [Witherspoon 2004] S. J. Witherspoon, “Products in Hochschild cohomology and Grothendieck rings of group crossed products”, *Adv. Math.* **185**:1 (2004), 136–158. [MR 2005j:16010](#) [Zbl 1063.16012](#)
- [Zhu 2001] Y. Zhu, “Hecke algebras and representation ring of Hopf algebras”, pp. 219–227 in *First international congress of Chinese mathematicians* (Beijing, 1998), AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math. **20**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001. [MR 2002c:20011](#) [Zbl 1064.20011](#)

Communicated by Susan Montgomery

Received 2010-08-19

Revised 2011-02-28

Accepted 2011-04-10

cgoff@pacific.edu

Mathematics Department, University of the Pacific,
3601 Pacific Avenue, Stockton, CA 95211, United States

Algebra & Number Theory

msp.berkeley.edu/ant

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Bjorn Poonen
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, USA

EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR

David Eisenbud
University of California
Berkeley, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Georgia Benkart	University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA	Shigefumi Mori	RIMS, Kyoto University, Japan
Dave Benson	University of Aberdeen, Scotland	Raman Parimala	Emory University, USA
Richard E. Borcherds	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
John H. Coates	University of Cambridge, UK	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
J-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Sud, France	Karl Rubin	University of California, Irvine, USA
Brian D. Conrad	University of Michigan, USA	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Hélène Esnault	Universität Duisburg-Essen, Germany	Joseph H. Silverman	Brown University, USA
Hubert Flenner	Ruhr-Universität, Germany	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Ronald Solomon	Ohio State University, USA
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	Vasudevan Srinivas	Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, India
Joseph Gubeladze	San Francisco State University, USA	J. Toby Stafford	University of Michigan, USA
Ehud Hrushovski	Hebrew University, Israel	Bernd Sturmfels	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Craig Huneke	University of Kansas, USA	Richard Taylor	Harvard University, USA
Mikhail Kapranov	Yale University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Yujiro Kawamata	University of Tokyo, Japan	Michel van den Bergh	Hasselt University, Belgium
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Marie-France Vignéras	Université Paris VII, France
Yuri Manin	Northwestern University, USA	Kei-Ichi Watanabe	Nihon University, Japan
Barry Mazur	Harvard University, USA	Andrei Zelevinsky	Northeastern University, USA
Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne	Efim Zelmanov	University of California, San Diego, USA
Susan Montgomery	University of Southern California, USA		

PRODUCTION

contact@msp.org

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or www.jant.org for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2012 is US \$175/year for the electronic version, and \$275/year (+\$40 shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, USA.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1937-0652) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
<http://msp.org/>

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L^AT_EX

Copyright ©2012 by Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 6 No. 2 2012

Arithmetic of singular Enriques surfaces	195
KLAUS HULEK and MATTHIAS SCHÜTT	
An upper bound on the Abbes–Saito filtration for finite flat group schemes and applications	231
YICHAO TIAN	
On the smallest number of generators and the probability of generating an algebra	243
ROSTYSLAV V. KRAVCHENKO, MARCIN MAZUR and BOGDAN V. PETRENKO	
Moving lemma for additive higher Chow groups	293
AMALENDU KRISHNA and JINHYUN PARK	
Fusion rules for abelian extensions of Hopf algebras	327
CHRISTOPHER GOFF	
Uniformly rigid spaces	341
CHRISTIAN KAPPEN	
On a conjecture of Kontsevich and Soibelman	389
LÊ QUY THUONG	