Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 6
2012
No. 4

Multi-Frey $\mathbb{Q}$-curves and the Diophantine equation $a^2 + b^6 = c^n$

Michael A. Bennett and Imin Chen

mathematical sciences publishers

ALGEBRA AND NUMBER THEORY 6:4(2012)

msp

Multi-Frey $\mathbb{Q}$-curves and the Diophantine equation $a^2 + b^6 = c^n$

Michael A. Bennett and Imin Chen

We show that the equation $a^2+b^6=c^n$ has no nontrivial positive integer solutions with $(a, b) = 1$ via a combination of techniques based upon the modularity of Galois representations attached to certain $\mathbb{Q}$-curves, corresponding surjectivity results of Ellenberg for these representations, and extensions of multi-Frey curve arguments of Siksek.

1. Introduction

Following the proof of Fermat's last theorem [Wiles 1995], there has developed an extensive literature on connections between the arithmetic of modular abelian varieties and classical Diophantine problems, much of it devoted to solving *generalized Fermat equations* of the shape

$$a^p + b^q = c^r, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} < 1, \tag{1}$$

in coprime integers a, b, and c, and positive integers p, q, and r. That the number of such solutions (a, b, c) is finite, for a fixed triple (p, q, r), is a consequence of [Darmon and Granville 1995]. It has been conjectured that there are in fact at most finitely many such solutions, even when we allow the triples (p, q, r) to vary, provided we count solutions corresponding to $1^p + 2^3 = 3^2$ only once. Being extremely optimistic, one might even believe that the known solutions constitute a complete list, namely (a, b, c, p, q, r) corresponding to $1^p + 2^3 = 3^2$, for $p \geq 7$, and to nine other identities (see [Darmon and Granville 1995; Beukers 1998]):

$$2^5 + 7^2 = 3^4, \quad 7^3 + 13^2 = 2^9, \quad 2^7 + 17^3 = 71^2, \quad 3^5 + 11^4 = 122^2,$$
$$17^7 + 76271^3 = 21063928^2, \quad 1414^3 + 2213459^2 = 65^7, \quad 9262^3 + 15312283^2 = 113^7,$$
$$43^8 + 96222^3 = 30042907^2, \quad \text{and} \quad 33^8 + 1549034^2 = 15613^3.$$

Research supported by NSERC.
MSC2010: primary 11D41; secondary 11D61, 11G05, 14G05.
Keywords: Fermat equations, Galois representations, $\mathbb{Q}$-curves, multi-Frey techniques.

(For brevity, we omit listing the solutions which differ only by sign changes and permutation of coordinates: for instance, if p is even, $(-1)^p + 2^3 = 3^2$, etc.)

Since all known solutions have $\min\{p, q, r\} < 3$, a closely related formulation is that there are no nontrivial solutions in coprime integers once $\min\{p, q, r\} \geq 3$.

There are a variety of names associated to the above conjectures, including, alphabetically, Beal (see [Mauldin 1997]), Darmon and Granville [1995], van der Poorten, Tijdeman, and Zagier (see, for example, [Beukers 1998; Tijdeman 1989]), and apparently financial rewards have even been offered for their resolution, positive or negative.

Techniques based upon the modularity of Galois representations associated to putative solutions of (1) have, in many cases, provided a fruitful approach to these problems, though the limitations of such methods are still unclear. Each situation where finiteness results have been established for infinite families of triples (p, q, r) has followed along these lines. We summarize the results to date; in each case, no solutions outside those mentioned above have been discovered:

(p, q, r)	Reference(s)
(n, n, n), $n \geq 3$	[Wiles 1995; Taylor and Wiles 1995]
$(n, n, 2)$, $n \geq 4$	[Darmon and Merel 1997; Poonen 1998]
$(n, n, 3)$, $n \geq 3$	[Darmon and Merel 1997; Poonen 1998]
$(2n, 2n, 5)$, $n \geq 2$	[Bennett 2006]
$(2, 4, n)$, $n \geq 4$	[Bruin 1999; Ellenberg 2004; Bennett et al. 2010]
$(2, n, 4)$, $n \geq 4$	Immediate from [Bruin 2003; Bennett and Skinner 2004]
$(2, 2n, k)$, $n \geq 2$, $k \in \{9, 10, 15\}$	[Bennett et al. $\geq$ 2012]
$(4, 2n, 3)$, $n \geq 2$	[Bennett et al. $\geq$ 2012]
$(2, n, 6)$, $n \geq 3$	[Bennett et al. $\geq$ 2012]
$(3, 3, n)$, $n \geq 3^*$	[Kraus 1998; Bruin 2000; Dahmen 2008; Chen and Siksek 2009]
$(3j, 3k, n)$, $j, k, n \geq 2$	[Kraus 1998]
$(3, 3, 2n)$, $n \geq 2$	[Bennett et al. $\geq$ 2012]
$(3, 6, n)$, $n \geq 2$	[Bennett et al. $\geq$ 2012]
$(2, 2n, 3)$, $n \geq 3^*$	[Bruin 1999; Chen 2008; Dahmen 2008; 2011; Siksek 2008]
$(2, 2n, 5)$, $n \geq 3^*$	[Chen 2010]
$(2, 3, n)$, $6 \leq n \leq 10$	[Bruin 1999; 2003; 2005; Poonen et al. 2007; Siksek 2010; Brown 2012]

The $(*)$ here indicates that the result has been proven for a family of exponents of natural density one (but that there remain infinitely many cases of positive Dirichlet density untreated).

In this paper, we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer. Then the equation*

$$a^2 + b^6 = c^n \tag{2}$$

has no solutions in positive integers a, b, and c, with a and b coprime.

Our motivations for considering this problem are two-fold. Firstly, the exponents $(2, 6, n)$ provide one of the final examples of an exponent family for which there is known to exist a corresponding family of Frey–Hellegouarch elliptic $\mathbb{Q}$-curves. A remarkable program for attacking the generalized Fermat equation of signature (n, n, m) (and perhaps others) is outlined in [Darmon 2000], relying upon the construction of Frey–Hellegouarch abelian varieties. Currently, however, it does not appear that the corresponding technology is suitably advanced to allow the application of such arguments to completely solve families of such equations for fixed $m \geq 5$.

In some sense, the signatures $(2, 6, n)$ and $(2, n, 6)$ (the latter equations are treated in [Bennett et al. $\geq$ 2012]) represent the final remaining families of generalized Fermat equations approachable by current techniques. More specifically, as discussed in [Darmon and Granville 1995], associated to a generalized Fermat equation $x^p + y^q = z^r$ is a triangle Fuchsian group with signature $(1/p, 1/q, 1/r)$. A reasonable precondition to applying the modular method using rational elliptic curves or $\mathbb{Q}$-curves is that this triangle group be commensurable with the full modular group. Such a classification has been performed in [Takeuchi 1977], where it is shown that the possible signatures containing ∞ are $(2, 3, \infty)$, $(2, 4, \infty)$, $(2, 6, \infty)$, $(2, \infty, \infty)$, $(3, 3, \infty)$, $(3, \infty, \infty)$, $(4, 4, \infty)$, $(6, 6, \infty)$, (∞, ∞, ∞). A related classification of Frey representations for prime exponents can be found in [Darmon and Granville 1995; Darmon 2000]. The above list does not, admittedly, explain all the possible families of generalized Fermat equations that have been amenable to the modular method. In all other known cases, however, the Frey curve utilized is derived from a descent step to one of the above "pure" Frey curve families. Concerning the applicability of using certain families of $\mathbb{Q}$-curves, see the conclusions section of [Chen 2010] for further remarks.

Our secondary motivation is as an illustration of the utility of the multi-Frey techniques of S. Siksek (see [Bugeaud et al. 2008a; 2008b]). A fundamental difference between the case of signature $(2, 4, n)$ considered in [Ellenberg 2004] and that of $(2, 6, n)$ is the existence, in this latter situation, of a potential obstruction to our arguments in the guise of a particular modular form *without* complex multiplication. To eliminate the possibility of a solution to the equation $x^2 + y^6 = z^n$ arising from this form requires fundamentally new techniques, based upon a generalization of the multi-Frey technique to $\mathbb{Q}$-curves (rather than just curves over $\mathbb{Q}$).

The computations in this paper were performed in MAGMA [Bosma et al. 1997]. The programs, data, and output files are posted in this paper's Electronic Supplement and at http://people.math.sfu.ca/ ichen/firstb3i-data. Throughout the text, we have included specific references to the MAGMA code employed, indicated as sample.txt.

2. Review of $\mathbb{Q}$-curves and their attached Galois representations

The exposition of $\mathbb{Q}$-curves and their attached Galois representations we provide in this section closely follows that of [Ribet 1992; Quer 2000; Ellenberg and Skinner 2001; Chen 2012]; we include it in the interest of keeping our exposition reasonably self-contained.

Let K be a number field and C/K be a non-CM elliptic curve such that there is an isogeny $\mu(\sigma) : {}^{\sigma}C \to C$ defined over K for each $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Such a curve C/K is called a $\mathbb{Q}$-curve defined over K. Let $\hat{\phi}_{C,p} : G_K \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ be the representation of G_K on the Tate module $\hat{V}_p(C)$. One can attach a representation

$$\hat{\rho}_{C,\beta,p} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^* \,\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

to C such that $\mathbb{P}\hat{\rho}_{C,\beta,p}|_{G_K} \cong \mathbb{P}\hat{\phi}_{C,p}$. The representation depends on the choice of splitting map β (in what follows, we will provide more details of this choice). Let π be a prime above p of the field M_β generated by the values of β. In practice, the representation $\hat{\rho}_{C,\beta,\pi}$ is constructed in a way so that its image lies in $M^*_{\beta,\pi}\,\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and we choose to use the notation $\hat{\rho}_{C,\beta,p} = \hat{\rho}_{C,\beta,\pi}$ to indicate the choice of π in this explicit construction.

Let

$$c_C(\sigma,\tau) = \mu_C(\sigma)\,{}^{\sigma}\mu_C(\tau)\mu_C(\sigma\tau)^{-1} \in (\mathrm{Hom}_K(C,C)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Q})^* = \mathbb{Q}^*,$$

where $\mu_C^{-1} := (1/\deg\mu_C)\mu'_C$ and μ'_C is the dual of μ_C. Then $c_C(\sigma,\tau)$ determines a class in $H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}},\mathbb{Q}^*)$ which depends only on the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-isogeny class of C. The class $c_C(\sigma,\tau)$ factors through $H^2(G_{K/\mathbb{Q}},\mathbb{Q}^*)$, depending now only on the K-isogeny class of C. Alternatively,

$$c_C(\sigma,\tau) = \alpha(\sigma)\,{}^{\sigma}\alpha(\tau)\alpha(\sigma\tau)^{-1}$$

arises from a class $\alpha \in H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*/\mathbb{Q}^*)$ through the map

$$H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*/\mathbb{Q}^*) \to H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}},\mathbb{Q}^*),$$

resulting from the short exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathbb{Q}^* \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^* \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*/\mathbb{Q}^* \to 1.$$

Explicitly, $\alpha(\sigma)$ is defined by $\sigma^*(\omega_C) = \alpha(\sigma)\omega_C$.

Tate showed that $H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*)$ is trivial where the action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ is trivial. Thus, there is a continuous map $\beta : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ such that

$$c_C(\sigma, \tau) = \beta(\sigma)\beta(\tau)\beta(\sigma\tau)^{-1}$$

as cocycles, and we call β a splitting map for c_C. We define

$$\hat{\rho}_{C,\beta,\pi}(\sigma)(1 \otimes x) = \beta(\sigma)^{-1} \otimes \mu_C(\sigma)(\sigma(x)).$$

Given a splitting $c_C(\sigma, \tau) = \beta(\sigma)\beta(\tau)\beta(\sigma\tau)^{-1}$, Ribet attaches an abelian variety A_β defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, of GL_2-type and having C as a simple factor over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

In practice, what we do in this paper is find a continuous $\beta : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$, factoring over an extension of low degree, such that $c_C(\sigma, \tau) = \beta(\sigma)\beta(\tau)\beta(\sigma\tau)^{-1}$ as elements in $H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*)$, using results in [Quer 2000]. Then we choose a suitable twist C_β/K_β of C, where K_β is the splitting field of β, such that $c_{C_\beta}(\sigma, \tau)$ is exactly the cocycle $c_\beta(\sigma, \tau) = \beta(\sigma)\beta(\tau)\beta(\sigma\tau)^{-1}$. In this situation, the abelian variety A_β is constructed as a quotient over $\mathbb{Q}$ of $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{K_\beta} C_\beta$.

The endomorphism algebra of A_β is given by $M_\beta = \mathbb{Q}(\{\beta(\sigma)\})$ and the representation on the π^n-torsion points of A_β coincides with the representation $\hat{\rho}_{C,\beta,\pi}$ defined earlier.

Let $\epsilon : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ be defined by

$$\epsilon(\sigma) = \beta(\sigma)^2 / \deg \mu(\sigma). \tag{3}$$

Then ϵ is a character and

$$\det \hat{\rho}_{C,\beta,\pi} = \epsilon^{-1} \cdot \chi_p, \tag{4}$$

where $\chi_p : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ is the p-th cyclotomic character.

3. $\mathbb{Q}$-curves attached to $a^2 + b^6 = c^p$ and their Galois representations

Let $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ be a solution to $a^2 + b^6 = c^p$ where we suppose that p is a prime. We call (a, b, c) *proper* if $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$ and *trivial* if $|c| = 1$. Note that a solution $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ is proper if and only if the integers a, b, and c are pairwise coprime. In what follows, we will always assume that the triple (a, b, c) is a proper, nontrivial solution. We consider the following associated (Frey or Frey–Hellegouarch) elliptic curve:

$$E : Y^2 = X^3 - 3(5b^3 + 4ai)bX + 2(11b^6 + 14ib^3a - 2a^2),$$

with j-invariant

$$j = 432i \frac{b^3(4a - 5ib^3)^3}{(a - ib^3)(a + ib^3)^3} \tag{5}$$

and discriminant $\Delta = -2^8 \cdot 3^3 \cdot (a - ib^3) \cdot (a + ib^3)^3$.

Lemma 2. *Suppose $a/b^3 \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$. Then the j-invariant of E does not lie in $\mathbb{Q}$ except when*

- $a/b^3 = 0$ *and* $j = 54000$, *or*
- $a/b^3 = \infty$ *and* $j = 0$.

Proof. This can be seen by solving the polynomial equation in $\mathbb{Q}[i][j, a/b^3]$ derived from (5) by clearing the denominators and collecting terms with respect to $\{1, i\}$, using the restriction that $j, a/b^3 \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$. □

Corollary 3. *E does not have complex multiplication unless*

- $a/b^3 = 0$, $j = 54000$, *and* $d(\mathbb{O}) = -12$, *or*
- $a/b^3 = \infty$, $j = 0$, *and* $d(\mathbb{O}) = -3$.

Proof. If E has complex multiplication by an order $\mathbb{O}$ in an imaginary quadratic field, then $j(E)$ has a real conjugate over $\mathbb{Q}$ (for instance, arising from $j(E_0)$, where E_0 is the elliptic curve associated to the lattice $\mathbb{O}$ itself). Hence, $j(E) \in \mathbb{Q}$ in fact. For a list of the j-invariants of elliptic curves with complex multiplication by an order of class number 1, see, for instance, [Cox 1989, p. 261]. □

Lemma 4. *If $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ with $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$ and $a^2 + b^6 = c^p$, then either $c = 1$ or c is divisible by a prime not equal to 2 or 3.*

Proof. The condition $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$ together with inspection of $a^2 + b^6$ modulo 3 shows that c is never divisible by 3. Similar reasoning allows us to conclude, since $p > 1$, that c is necessarily odd, whereby the lemma follows. □

From here on, let us suppose that E arises from a nontrivial proper solution to $a^2+b^6=c^p$ where p is an odd prime. Note that ab is even and, from the preceding discussion, that $a - b^3 i$ and $a + b^3 i$ are coprime p-th powers in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

The elliptic curve E is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(i)$. Its conjugate over $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ is 3-isogenous to E over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}, i)$; see isogeny.txt. This is in contrast to the situation in [Ellenberg 2004], where the corresponding isogeny is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(i)$. We make a choice of isogenies $\mu(\sigma) : {}^{\sigma}E \to E$ such that $\mu(\sigma) = 1$ for $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}(i)}$ and $\mu(\sigma)$ is the 3-isogeny above when $\sigma \notin G_{\mathbb{Q}(i)}$.

Let $d(\sigma)$ denote the degree of $\mu(\sigma)$. We have $d(G_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \{1, 3\} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^*/\mathbb{Q}^{*2}$. The fixed field K_d of the kernel of $d(\sigma)$ is $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ and so $(a, d) = (-1, 3)$ is a dual basis in the terminology of [Quer 2000]. The quaternion algebra $(-1, 3)$ is ramified at 2, 3 and so a choice of splitting character for $c_E(\sigma, \tau)$ is given by $\epsilon = \epsilon_2\epsilon_3$ where ϵ_2 is the nontrivial character of $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}^\times$ and ϵ_3 is the nontrivial character of $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}^\times$. The fixed field of ϵ is $K_\epsilon = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$.

Let $G_{\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q}} = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_{-1}\}$. We have that

$$\alpha(\sigma_1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha(\sigma_{-1}) = i\sqrt{3}.$$

This can be checked by noting that the quotient of E by the 3-torsion point of E using Vélu multiplies the invariant differential by 1. The resulting quotient elliptic curve is then a twist over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}, i)$ of the original E. This twisting multiplies the invariant differential by $i\sqrt{3}$.

So now we can express $c_E(\sigma, \tau) = \alpha(\sigma)^{\sigma}\alpha(\tau)\alpha(\sigma\tau)^{-1}$. Let $\beta(\sigma) = \sqrt{\epsilon(\sigma)}\sqrt{d(\sigma)}$ and $c_\beta(\sigma, \tau) = \beta(\sigma)\beta(\tau)\beta(\sigma\tau)^{-1} \in H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Q}^*)$. We know from [Quer 2000] that $c_\beta(\sigma, \tau)$ and $c_E(\sigma, \tau)$ represent the same class in $H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Q}^*)$. The fixed field of β is $K_\beta = K_\epsilon \cdot K_d = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}, i)$ and $M_\beta = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}, i)$.

Our goal is to find a $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ such that $c_\beta(\sigma, \tau) = \alpha_1(\sigma)^{\sigma}\alpha_1(\tau)\alpha_1(\sigma\tau)^{-1}$, where $\alpha_1(\sigma) = \alpha(\sigma)\,{}^{\sigma}(\sqrt{\gamma})/\sqrt{\gamma}$. Using a similar technique as for the equation $a^2 + b^{2p} = c^5$ (compare [Chen 2010], where the corresponding K_β is cyclic quartic), we can narrow down the possibilities for choices of γ and subsequently verify that a particular choice actually works.

In more detail, recall that $K_\beta = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}, i) = \mathbb{Q}(z)$, where $z = (i + \sqrt{3})/2$ is a primitive twelfth root of unity. Let $G_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3},i)/\mathbb{Q}} = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_{-1}, \sigma_3, \sigma_{-3}\}$ and assume that $\alpha_1(\sigma_{-3})^2/\alpha(\sigma_{-3})^2 = \alpha_1(\sigma_{-3})^2/-3$ is a unit, say 1. This implies that ${}^{\sigma_{-3}}\gamma/\gamma = 1$, whereby $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$. Furthermore, let us assume that ${}^{\sigma_{-1}}\gamma/\gamma$ is a square in K_β of a unit in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, say z^2 (the other choices produce isomorphic twists). Solving for γ, we obtain that $\gamma = (-3 + i\sqrt{3})/2$ is one possible choice.

The resulting values of $\alpha_2(\sigma) = \alpha(\sigma)\sqrt{{}^{\sigma}\gamma/\gamma}$ are

$$\alpha_2(\sigma_1) = 1, \quad \alpha_2(\sigma_{-1}) = i\sqrt{3}z, \quad \alpha_2(\sigma_3) = z, \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_2(\sigma_{-3}) = i\sqrt{3},$$

where we have fixed a choice of square root for each $\sigma \in G_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$. It can be verified that $c_\beta(\sigma, \tau) = \alpha_2(\sigma)^{\sigma}\alpha_2(\tau)\alpha_2(\sigma\tau)^{-1}$.

Consider the twist E_β of E given by the equation

$$E_\beta : Y^2 = X^3 - 3(5b^3 + 4ai)b\gamma^2 X + 2(11b^6 + 14ib^3a - 2a^2)\gamma^3. \tag{6}$$

From the relationship between E_β and E, the initial $\mu(\sigma)$'s for E give rise to choices for $\mu_\beta(\sigma)$ for E_β which are, in general, locally constant on a smaller subgroup than G_K. For these choices of $\mu_\beta(\sigma)$ we have

$$\alpha_{E_\beta}(\sigma) = \alpha_1(\sigma) = \alpha(\sigma)\,{}^{\sigma}(\sqrt{\gamma})/\sqrt{\gamma}.$$

Now, $\sqrt{{}^{\sigma}\gamma/\gamma} = \xi(\sigma)\delta(\sigma)$ where $\delta(\sigma) = {}^{\sigma}(\sqrt{\gamma})/\sqrt{\gamma}$ and $\xi(\sigma) = \pm 1$. Since $\delta(\sigma)^{\sigma}\delta(\tau)\delta(\sigma\tau)^{-1} = 1$, it follows that $c_{E_\beta}(\sigma, \tau) = c_\beta(\sigma, \tau)\xi(\sigma)\xi(\tau)\xi(\sigma\tau)^{-1}$. Hence, by using the alternate set of isogenies $\mu'_\beta(\sigma) = \mu_\beta(\sigma)\xi(\sigma)$, which are now locally constant on G_K, the corresponding $\alpha_{E_\beta}(\sigma) = \alpha(\sigma)\sqrt{{}^{\sigma}\gamma/\gamma} = \alpha_2(\sigma)$, and hence $c_{E_\beta}(\sigma, \tau) = \alpha_2(\sigma)^{\sigma}\alpha_2(\tau)\alpha_2(\sigma\tau)^{-1} = c_\beta(\sigma, \tau)$ as cocycles, not just as classes in $H^2(G_{K/\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Q}^*)$. The elliptic curve E_β/K_β is a $\mathbb{Q}$-curve defined over K_β; see isogenyp.txt.

Another way to motivate the preceding calculation is as follows. Without loss of generality, we may assume that γ is square-free in the ring of integers of K_β (if γ is a square, then the corresponding E_β is isomorphic over K_β to E). The field K_β has class number 1. If $\gamma = \lambda\gamma'$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$, then using γ' instead of γ yields an E_β whose $c_{E_\beta}(\sigma, \tau)$ is the same cocycle in $H^2(G_{K/\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Q}^*)$. The condition that $\sqrt{{}^\sigma\gamma/\gamma}$ be a square in K_β for all $\sigma \in G_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$ shows that only ramified primes divide γ and there are two such primes in $K_\beta = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}, i)$.

The discriminant of K_β is $d_{K_\beta/\mathbb{Q}} = 2^4 \cdot 3^2 = 144$. The prime factorizations of (2) and (3) in K_β are given by

$$(2) = \mathfrak{q}_2^2 \quad \text{and} \quad (3) = \mathfrak{q}_3^2.$$

Let v_2 and v_3 be uniformizers at $\mathfrak{q}_2$ and $\mathfrak{q}_3$ respectively with associated valuations v_2 and v_3. The units in K_β are generated by z of order 12 and a unit u_2 of infinite order. Thus, up to squares, γ is a product of a subset of the elements $\{z, u_2, v_2, v_3\}$.

The authors have subsequently learned that a similar technique for finding γ also appeared in [Dieulefait and Urroz 2009] (where K_β is polyquadratic).

It would be interesting to study the twists E_β which arise from other choices of splitting maps. We will not undertake this here.

Lemma 5. *Suppose that E and E' are elliptic curves defined by*

$$E: Y^2 + a_1XY + a_3Y = X^3 + a_2X^2 + a_4X + a_6,$$
$$E': Y^2 + a_1'XY + a_3'Y = X^3 + a_2'X^2 + a_4'X + a_6',$$

where the a_i and a_i' lie in a discrete valuation ring $\mathcal{O}$ with uniformizer v.

(a) *Suppose the valuation at v of the discriminants is, in each case, equal to 12. If E has reduction type II* and $a_i' \equiv a_i \pmod{v^6}$, then E' also has reduction type II*. If E has reduction type I_0 and $a_i' \equiv a_i \pmod{v^6}$, then E' also has reduction type I_0.*

(b) *Suppose the valuation at v of the discriminants is, in each case, equal to 16. If E has reduction type II and $a_i' \equiv a_i \pmod{v^8}$, then E' also has reduction type II.*

(c) *Suppose the Weierstrass equation of E is in minimal form, and E has reduction type II or III. If $a_i' \equiv a_i \pmod{v^8}$, then E' has the same reduction type as E and is also in minimal form.*

Proof. We give a proof for case (a); the remaining cases are similar. Since the discriminants of E and E' have valuation 12, when E and E' are processed through Tate's algorithm [Silverman 1994], the algorithm terminates at one of Steps 1–10 or reaches Step 11 to loop back a second time at most once.

If E has reduction type II*, the algorithm applied to E terminates at Step 10. Since the transformations used in Steps 1–10 are translations, they preserve the congruence $a_i \equiv a_i' \pmod{\nu^6}$ as E and E' are processed through the algorithm, and since the conditions to exit at Steps 1–10 are congruence conditions modulo ν^6 on the coefficients of the Weierstrass equations, we see that if the algorithm applied to E terminates at Step 10, it must also terminate at Step 10 for E'.

If E has reduction type I_0, the algorithm applied to E reaches Step 11 to loop back a second time to terminate at Step 1 (because the valuation of the discriminant of the model for E is equal to 12). Again, since $a_i' \equiv a_i \pmod{\nu^6}$, it follows that the algorithm applied to E' also reaches Step 11 to loop back a second time and terminate at Step 1 (again because the valuation of the discriminant of the model for E' is equal to 12). □

Theorem 6. *The conductor of E_β is*

$$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{q}_2^4\, \mathfrak{q}_3^{\varepsilon} \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{q} \mid c \\ \mathfrak{q} \nmid 2,3}} \mathfrak{q},$$

where $\varepsilon = 0, 4$.

Proof. See tate2m.txt and tate3m.txt for the computations. Recall that E_β is given by

$$E_\beta : Y^2 = X^3 - 3(5b^3 + 4ai)b\gamma^2 X + 2(11b^6 + 14ib^3 a - 2a^2)\gamma^3, \tag{7}$$

with

$$\Delta_{E_\beta} = -2^8 \cdot 3^3 \cdot (a - ib^3)(a + ib^3)^3 \cdot \gamma^6. \tag{8}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_4 &= 2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot b(4ia + 5b^3) \cdot \gamma^2 \\ c_6 &= 2^5 \cdot 3^3 \cdot (2a + (-7i - 6z^2 + 3)b^3)(2a + (-7i + 6z^2 - 3)b^3) \cdot \gamma^3. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a prime not dividing $2 \cdot 3$ but dividing Δ_{E_β}. The elliptic curve E_β has bad multiplicative reduction at $\mathfrak{q}$ if one of $c_4, c_6 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}$. Since γ is not divisible by $\mathfrak{q}$ and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, we note that $c_4 \equiv c_6 \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}$ happens if and only if

$$b^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{q}} \quad \text{or} \quad 4ia + 5b^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{q}},$$

and

$$2a + (-7i - 6z^2 + 3)b^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{q}} \quad \text{or} \quad 2a + (-7i + 6z^2 - 3)b^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}.$$

The determinants of the resulting linear system in the variables a and b^3, in all four cases, are only divisible by primes above 2 and 3. It follows that E_β has bad multiplicative reduction at $\mathfrak{q}$.

By (8), since $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, we have $v_3(\Delta_{E_\beta}) = 12$. We run through all possibilities for (a, b) modulo ν_3^6 and, in each case, we compute the reduction type of E_β at $\mathfrak{q}_3$ using MAGMA; in every case, said reduction type turns out to be of type II* or I_0. By Lemma 5(a), this determines all the possible conductor exponents for E_β at $\mathfrak{q}_3$.

Since a and b are of opposite parity, (8) implies that $v_2(\Delta_{E_\beta}) = 16$. Checking all possibilities for (a, b) modulo ν_2^8, and in each case computing the reduction type of E_β at $\mathfrak{q}_2$, via MAGMA, we always arrive at reduction type II. By Lemma 5(b), this determines all the possible conductor exponents for E_β at $\mathfrak{q}_2$. □

Theorem 7. *The conductor of* $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{K_\beta} E_\beta$ *is*

$$d_{K_\beta/\mathbb{Q}}{}^2 \cdot N_{K_\beta/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{m}) = 2^{16} \cdot 3^{4+2\varepsilon} \cdot \prod_{\substack{q \mid c \\ q \neq 2,3}} q^4,$$

where $\varepsilon = 0, 4$.

Proof. See [Milne 1972, Lemma, p. 178]. We also note that K_β is unramified outside $\{2, 3\}$ so the product is of the form stated. □

Corollary 8. *The elliptic curve* E_β *has potentially good reduction at* $\mathfrak{q}_2$ *and* $\mathfrak{q}_3$. *In the latter case, the reduction is potentially supersingular.*

Let $A = \mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{K_\beta} E_\beta$. By [Quer 2000, Theorem 5.4], A is an abelian variety of GL_2 type with $M_\beta = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}, i)$. The conductor of the system of $M_{\beta,\pi}[G_{\mathbb{Q}}]$-modules $\{\hat{V}_\pi(A)\}$ is given by

$$2^4 \cdot 3^{1+\varepsilon/2} \cdot \prod_{\substack{q \mid c \\ q \neq 2,3}} q, \tag{10}$$

using the conductor results explained in [Chen 2010].

For the next two theorems, it is useful to recall that $a - b^3 i$ and $a + b^3 i$ are coprime p-th powers in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

Theorem 9. *The representation* $\phi_{E,p}|_{I_p}$ *is finite flat for* $p \neq 2, 3$.

Proof. This follows from the fact that E has good or bad multiplicative reduction at primes above p when $p \neq 2, 3$, and in the case of bad multiplicative reduction, the exponent of a prime above p in the minimal discriminant of E is divisible by p. Also, p is unramified in K_β so that $I_p \subseteq G_{K_\beta}$. □

Theorem 10. *The representation* $\phi_{E,p}|_{I_\ell}$ *is trivial for* $\ell \neq 2, 3, p$.

Proof. This follows from the fact that E has good or bad multiplicative reduction at primes above ℓ when $\ell \neq 2, 3$, and, in the case of bad multiplicative reduction, the exponent of a prime above ℓ in the minimal discriminant of E is divisible by p. Also, ℓ is unramified in K_β so that $I_\ell \subseteq G_{K_\beta}$. □

Theorem 11. *Suppose $p \neq 2, 3$. The conductor of $\rho = \rho_{E,\beta,\pi}$ is one of* 48 *or* 432.

Proof. Since we are determining the Artin conductor of ρ, we consider only ramification at primes above $\ell \neq p$.

Suppose $\ell \neq 2, 3, p$. Since $\ell \neq 2, 3$, we see that K_β is unramified at ℓ and hence G_{K_β} contains I_ℓ. Now, in our case, $\rho|_{G_{K_\beta}}$ is isomorphic to $\phi_{E,p}$. Since $\phi_{E,p}|_{I_\ell}$ is trivial, $\rho|_{I_\ell}$ is trivial, so ρ is unramified outside $\{2, 3, p\}$.

Suppose $\ell = 2, 3$. The representation $\hat{\phi}_{E,p}|_{I_\ell}$ factors through a finite group of order only divisible by the primes 2 and 3. Now, in our case, $\hat{\rho}|_{G_{K_\beta}}$ is isomorphic to $\hat{\phi}_{E,p}$. Hence, the representation $\hat{\rho}|_{I_\ell}$ also factors through a finite group of order only divisible by the primes 2 and 3. It follows that the exponent of ℓ in the conductor of ρ is the same as in the conductor of $\hat{\rho}$ as $p \neq 2, 3$. □

Proposition 12. *Suppose $p \neq 2, 3$. Then the weight of $\rho = \rho_{E,\beta,\pi}$ is* 2.

Proof. The weight of ρ is determined by $\rho|_{I_p}$. Since $p \neq 2, 3$, we see that K_β is unramified at p and hence G_{K_β} contains I_p. Now, in our case, $\rho|_{G_{K_\beta}}$ is isomorphic to $\phi_{E,p}$. Since $\phi_{E,p}|_{I_p}$ is finite flat and its determinant is the p-th cyclotomic character, the weight of ρ is necessarily 2 [Serre 1987, Proposition 4]. □

Proposition 13. *The character of $\rho_{E,\beta,\pi}$ is ϵ.*

Proof. This follows from (4). □

Let $X^K_{0,B}(d, p)$, $X^K_{0,N}(d, p)$, and $X^K_{0,N'}(d, p)$ be the modular curves with level-p structure corresponding to a Borel subgroup B, the normalizer of a split Cartan subgroup N, the normalizer of a nonsplit Cartan subgroup N' of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$, and level-$d$ structure consisting of a cyclic subgroup of order d, twisted by the quadratic character associated to K through the action of the Fricke involution w_d.

Lemma 14. *Let E be a $\mathbb{Q}$-curve defined over K', K a quadratic number field contained in K', and d a prime number such that*

(a) *the elliptic curve E is defined over K,*

(b) *the choices of $\mu_E(\sigma)$ are constant on G_K cosets, $\mu_E(\sigma) = 1$ when $\sigma \in G_K$, and $\deg \mu_E(\sigma) = d$ when $\sigma \notin G_K$, and*

(c) *$\mu_E(\sigma)^\sigma \mu_E(\sigma) = \pm d$ whenever $\sigma \notin G_K$.*

If $\rho_{E,\beta,\pi}$ has image lying in a Borel subgroup, normalizer of a split Cartan subgroup, or normalizer of a nonsplit Cartan subgroup of $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$, then E gives rise to a $\mathbb{Q}$-rational point on the corresponding modular curve above.

Proof. This proof is based on [Ellenberg 2004, Proposition 2.2]. Recall the action of $G_\mathbb{Q}$ on $\mathbb{P}E[d]$ is given by $x \mapsto \mu_E(\sigma)({}^\sigma x)$. Suppose $\mathbb{P}\rho_{E,\beta,p}$ has image lying in a Borel subgroup. Then we have that $\mu_E(\sigma)({}^\sigma C_p) = C_p$ for some cyclic subgroup C_p of order p in $E[p]$ and all $\sigma \in G_\mathbb{Q}$. Let C_d be the cyclic subgroup of order d in

$E[d]$ defined by $\mu_E(\sigma)({}^\sigma E[d])$ where σ is an element of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ which is nontrivial on K. This does not depend on the choice of σ. Suppose σ is an element of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ which is nontrivial on K. The kernel of $\mu_E(\sigma)$ is precisely ${}^\sigma C_d$ as $\mu_E(\sigma)({}^\sigma C_d) = \mu_E(\sigma)\,{}^\sigma\mu_E(\sigma)({}^{\sigma^2}E[d]) = [\pm d]({}^{\sigma^2}E[d]) = 0$. Hence, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} w_d\,{}^\sigma(E, C_d, C_p) &= w_d({}^\sigma E, {}^\sigma C_d, {}^\sigma C_p) \\ &= (\mu_E(\sigma)({}^\sigma E), \mu_E(\sigma)({}^\sigma E[d]), \mu_E(\sigma)({}^\sigma C_p)) \\ &= (E, C_d, C_p), \end{aligned}$$

so ${}^\sigma(E, C_d, C_p) = w_d(E, C_d, C_p)$, where w_d is the Fricke involution. Suppose σ is an element of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ which is trivial on K. In this case, we have ${}^\sigma(E, C_d, C_p) = (E, C_d, C_p)$. Thus, (E, C_d, C_p) gives rise to a $\mathbb{Q}$-rational point on $X_{0,B}(d, p)$.

The case when the image of $\rho_{E,\beta,\pi}$ lies in the normalizer of a Cartan subgroup is similar except now we have the existence of a set of distinct points $S_p = \{\alpha_p, \beta_p\}$ of $\mathbb{P}E[p] \otimes \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ such that the action of $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by $x \mapsto \mu_E(\sigma)({}^\sigma x)$ fixes S_p as a set. □

Theorem 15. *Suppose the representation $\rho_{E,\beta,\pi}$ is reducible for $p \neq 2, 3, 5, 7, 13$. Then E has potentially good reduction at all primes above $\ell > 3$.*

Proof. See [Ellenberg 2004, Proposition 3.2]. E gives rise to a $\mathbb{Q}$-rational point on $X_{0,N}^K(3, p)$ by Lemma 14, even though the isogeny between E and its conjugate is only defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}, i)$. □

Corollary 16. *The representation $\rho_{E,\beta,\pi}$ is irreducible for $p \neq 2, 3, 5, 7, 13$.*

Proof. Lemma 4 shows that E must have bad multiplicative reduction at some prime of K above $\ell > 3$. □

Proposition 17. *If $p = 13$, then $\rho_{E,\beta,\pi}$ is irreducible.*

Proof. By Lemma 14, if $\rho_{E,\beta,\pi}$ were reducible, then E would give rise to a noncuspidal K-rational point on $X_0(39)$ where $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ and a noncuspidal $\mathbb{Q}$-rational point on $X_0(39)/w_3$. We can now use [Kenku 1979] which says that $X_0(39)/w_3$ has four $\mathbb{Q}$-rational points. Two of them are cuspidal. Two of them arise from points in $X_0(39)$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$. Hence, no such E can exist, since a K-rational point on $X_0(39)$ which is also $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$-rational must be $\mathbb{Q}$-rational (and again by [Kenku 1979], $X_0(39)$ has no noncuspidal $\mathbb{Q}$-rational points). □

Outline of proof of Theorem 1. Using the modularity of E, which now follows from Serre's conjecture [Serre 1987; Khare and Wintenberger 2009a; 2009b; Kisin 2009] plus the usual level-lowering arguments based on results in [Ribet 1990], we have $\rho_{E,\pi,\beta} \cong \rho_{g,\pi}$, where g is a newform in $S_2(\Gamma_0(M), \epsilon)$ where $M = 48$ or $M = 432$. This holds for $n = p \geq 11$.

There is one newform F_1 in $S_2(\Gamma_0(48), \epsilon)$ and this has CM by $\mathbb{Q}(-3)$; see inner-48.txt, cm-48.txt. At level 432, we find three newforms G_1, G_2, and G_3 in $S_2(\Gamma_0(432), \epsilon)$; inner-432.txt. As it transpires, both G_1 and G_2 have CM by $\mathbb{Q}(-3)$; cm-432.txt. The form G_3 is harder to eliminate as it does not have complex multiplication and its field of coefficients is $M_\beta = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}, i)$. Furthermore, the complex conjugate of G_3 is a twist of G_3 by ϵ^{-1}. In fact, G_3 arises from the near solution $1^2 + 1^6 = 2$ (this near solution gives rise to a form at level 432 and it is the unique non-CM form at that level) so it shares many of the same properties g should have as both arise from the same geometric construction. Note, however, that one cannot have $a \equiv b \equiv 1 \pmod 2$ in the equation $a^2 + b^6 = c^p$ as $p > 1$.

Unfortunately, it is not possible to eliminate the possibility of $g = G_3$ by considering the fields cut out by images of inertia at 2. Using [Kraus 1990, théorème 3] and its proof, it can be checked that these fields are the same regardless of whether or not $a \equiv b \equiv 1 \pmod 2$.

In the next two sections, we show that in each case $g = G_i$, for $i = 1, 2$ (CM case), and $i = 3$, we are led to a contradiction, if $n = p \geq 11$. Finally, in the last section, we deal with the cases $n = 3, 4, 5, 7$. This suffices to prove the theorem as any integer $n \geq 3$ is either divisible by an odd prime or by 4.

4. Eliminating the CM forms

When $g = G_i$ for $i = 1$ or 2, g has complex multiplication by $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ so that $\rho_{E,\beta,\pi}$ has image lying in the normalizer of a Cartan subgroup for $p > 3$. However, this leads to a contradiction using the arguments below.

Proposition 18. *Let $p \geq 7$ be prime and suppose there exists either a p-newform in $S_2(\Gamma_0(3p^2))$ with $w_p f = f$, $w_3 f = -f$, or a p-newform in $S_2(\Gamma_0(p^2))$ with $w_p f = f$, such that $L(f \otimes \chi_{-4}, 1) \neq 0$, where χ_{-4} is the Dirichlet character associated to $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$. Let E be an elliptic curve which gives rise to a noncuspidal $\mathbb{Q}$-rational point on $X^K_{0,N}(3, p)$ or $X^K_{0,N'}(3, p)$. Then E has potentially good reduction at all primes of K above $\ell > 3$.*

Proof. See [Ellenberg 2004] and comments in [Bennett et al. 2010, Proposition 6] about the applicability to the split case (see also the argument in [Ellenberg 2004, Lemma 3.5] which shows potentially good reduction at a prime of K above p in the split case). □

Proposition 19. *If $p \geq 11$ is prime, then there exists a p-newform $f \in S_2(\Gamma_0(p^2))$ with $w_p f = f$ and $L(f \otimes \chi_{-4}, 1) \neq 0$.*

Proof. For $p \geq 61$, this is, essentially, the content of the proof of [Bennett et al. 2010, Proposition 7] (the proof applies to $p \equiv 1 \pmod 8$, not just to $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod 8$)

as stated). Further, a relatively short Magma computation newform-twists.txt reveals the same to be true for smaller values of p with the following forms f (the number following the level indicates Magma's ordering of forms; one should note that this numbering is consistent neither with Stein's modular forms database nor with Cremona's tables):

p	f	dim f	p	f	dim f	p	f	dim f
11	121 (1)	1	29	841 (1)	2	47	2209 (9)	16
13	169 (2)	3	31	961 (1)	2	53	2809 (1)	1
17	289 (1)	1	37	1369 (1)	1	59	3481 (1)	2
19	361 (1)	1	41	1681 (1)	2			
23	529 (7)	4	43	1849 (1)	1			

□

Theorem 20. *Suppose the representation $\rho_{E,\beta,\pi}$ has image lying in the normalizer of a Cartan subgroup for $p \geq 11$. Then E has potentially good reduction at all primes of K above $\ell > 3$.*

Proof. We note that E still gives rise to a $\mathbb{Q}$-rational point on $X^K_{0,N}(3, p)$ or $X^K_{0,N'}(3, p)$ with $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$, even though, as a consequence of Lemma 14, the isogeny between E and its conjugate is only defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}, i)$. □

Theorem 21. *If $p \geq 11$ is prime, the representation $\rho_{E,\beta,\pi}$ does not have image lying in the normalizer of a Cartan subgroup.*

Proof. Lemma 4 immediately implies that E necessarily has bad multiplicative reduction at a prime of K lying above some $\ell > 3$. □

5. Eliminating the newform G_3

Recall that $E = E_{a,b}$ is given by

$$E : Y^2 = X^3 - 3(5b^3 + 4ai)bX + 2(11b^6 + 14ib^3a - 2a^2).$$

Let $E' = E'_{a,b}$ be the elliptic curve

$$E' : Y^2 = X^3 + 3b^2X + 2a,$$

which is a Frey–Hellegouarch elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ for the equation $a^2 + (b^2)^3 = c^p$. We will show how to eliminate the case of $g = G_3$ using a combination of congruences from the two Frey curves E and E'. This is an example of the multi-Frey technique [Bugeaud et al. 2008a; 2008b], as applied to the situation when one of the Frey curves is a $\mathbb{Q}$-curve. We are grateful to Siksek for suggesting a version of Lemma 24 which allows us to do this.

The discriminant of E' is given by

$$\Delta' = -2^6 \cdot 3^3 (a^2 + b^6). \tag{11}$$

For $a \not\equiv b \pmod 2$, $v_2(\Delta') = 6$, so E' is in minimal form at 2. Since a and b are not both multiples of 3, we have $v_3(\Delta') = 3$ and so E' is also minimal at 3. If q divides Δ' and is neither 2 nor 3, then E' has bad multiplicative reduction at q.

For each congruence class of (a, b) modulo 2^4 where $a \not\equiv b \pmod 2$, we compute the conductor exponent at 2 of E' using MAGMA. The conductor exponent at 2 of each test case was 5 (reduction type III) or 6 (reduction type II): tate2m-3.txt. By Lemma 5(c), the conductor exponent at 2 of E' is 5 or 6. In a similar way, the conductor exponent at 3 of E' is 2 (reduction type III) or 3 (reduction type II): tate3m-3.txt.

We are now almost in position to apply the modular method to E'. We need only show that the representation $\rho_{E',p}$ arising from the p-torsion points of E' is irreducible.

Lemma 22. *If $p \geq 11$ is prime, then $\rho_{E',p}$ is irreducible.*

Proof. If $p \neq 13$, the result follows essentially from [Mazur 1978] (see [Dahmen 2008, Theorem 22]), provided $j_{E'}$ is not one of

$$-2^{15},\ -11^2,\ -11 \cdot 131^3,\ \frac{-17 \cdot 373^3}{2^{17}},\ \frac{-17^2 \cdot 101^3}{2},\ -2^{15} \cdot 3^3,\ -7 \cdot 137^3 \cdot 2083^3,$$
$$-7 \cdot 11^3,\ -2^{18} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3,\ -2^{15} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 11^3,\ -2^{18} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 23^3 \cdot 29^3.$$

Since

$$j_{E'} = \frac{1728 b^6}{a^2 + b^6} > 0,$$

we may thus suppose that $p = 13$. In this case, if $\rho_{E',p}$ were reducible, the representation would correspond to a rational point on the curve defined via the equation $j_{13}(t) = j_{E'}$, where $j_{13}(t)$ is the map from the modular curve $X_0(13)$ to $X(1)$, given by

$$\begin{aligned} j_{13}(t) &= \frac{(t^4 + 7t^3 + 20t^2 + 19t + 1)^3 (t^2 + 5t + 13)}{t} \\ &= \frac{(t^6 + 10t^5 + 46t^4 + 108t^3 + 122t^2 + 38t - 1)^2 (t^2 + 6t + 13)}{t} + 1728. \end{aligned}$$

Writing $s = a/b^3$, we thus have $1728/(s^2 + 1) = j_{13}(t)$, for some $t \in \mathbb{Q}$, and so

$$s^2 = \frac{1728 - j_{13}(t)}{j_{13}(t)} = -\frac{(t^6+10t^5+46t^4+108t^3+122t^2+38t-1)^2 (t^2+6t+13)}{(t^4+7t^3+20t^2+19t+1)^3 (t^2+5t+13)}.$$

It follows that there exist rational numbers x and y with

$$y^2 = -(x^2+6x+13)(x^2+5x+13)(x^4+7x^3+20x^2+19x+1),$$

and hence coprime, nonzero integers u and v, and an integer z for which

$$(u^2+6uv+13v^2)(u^2+5uv+13v^2)(u^4+7u^3v+20u^2v^2+19uv^3+v^4) = -z^2.$$

Note that, via a routine resultant calculation, if a prime p divides both $u^2+6uv+13v^2$ and the term $(u^2+5uv+13v^2)(u^4+7u^3v+20u^2v^2+19uv^3+v^4)$, then necessarily $p \in \{2, 3, 13\}$. Since $u^2+6uv+13v^2$ is positive-definite and u, and v are coprime (whereby $u^2+6uv+13v^2 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod 3$), we thus have

$$u^2+6uv+13v^2 = 2^{\delta_1}13^{\delta_2}z_1^2,$$
$$(u^2+5uv+13v^2)(u^4+7u^3v+20u^2v^2+19uv^3+v^4) = -2^{\delta_1}13^{\delta_2}z_2^2,$$

for $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\delta_i \in \{0, 1\}$. The first equation, with $\delta_1 = 1$, implies that $u \equiv v \equiv 1 \pmod 2$, contradicting the second. We thus have $\delta_1 = 0$, whence

$$u^2+6uv+13v^2 \equiv u^2+v^2 \equiv z_1^2 \equiv 1 \pmod 3,$$

so that 3 divides one of u and v, again contradicting the second equation, this time modulo 3. □

Applying the modular method with E' as the Frey curve thus shows that $\rho_{E',p} \cong \rho_{g',\pi'}$ for some newform $g' \in S_2(\Gamma_0(M))$ where $M = 2^r3^s$, $r \in \{5, 6\}$, and $s \in \{2, 3\}$ (here π' is a prime above p in the field of coefficients of g'). The possible forms g' were computed using b3i-modformagain.txt. The reason the multi-Frey method works is because when $a \not\equiv b \pmod 2$, we that $r \in \{5, 6\}$, whereas when $a \equiv b \equiv 1 \pmod 2$, we have $r = 7$. Thus, the 2-part of the conductor of $\rho_{E',\pi}$ separates the cases $a \not\equiv b \pmod 2$ and $a \equiv b \pmod 2$. Hence, the newform g' that the near solution $a = b = 1$ produces does not cause trouble from the point of view of the Frey curve E'. By linking the two Frey curves E and E', it is possible to pass this information from the Frey curve E' to the Frey curve E, by appealing to the multi-Frey technique.

The following lemma results from the condition $\rho_{E',p} \cong \rho_{g',\pi'}$ and standard modular method arguments.

Lemma 23. *Let $q \geq 5$ be prime and assume $q \neq p$, where $p \geq 11$ is a prime. Let*

$$C_{x,y}(q, g') = \begin{cases} a_q(E'_{x,y}) - a_q(g') & \text{if } x^2+y^6 \not\equiv 0 \pmod q, \\ (q+1)^2 - a_q(g')^2 & \text{if } x^2+y^6 \equiv 0 \pmod q. \end{cases}$$

If $(a, b) \equiv (x, y) \pmod q$, then $p | C_{x,y}(q, g')$.

For our choice of splitting map β, we attached a Galois representation $\rho_{E,\beta,\pi}$ to E such that $\rho_{E,\beta,\pi} \cong \rho_{g,\pi}$ for some newform $g \in S_2(\Gamma_0(M), \epsilon)$ where $M = 48, 432$. We wish to eliminate the case of $g = G_3$. The following is the analog of Lemma 23 for $E = E_{a,b}$.

Lemma 24. *Let $q \geq 5$ be prime and assume $q \neq p$, where $p \geq 11$ is prime. Let*

$$B_{x,y}(q, g) = \begin{cases} N(a_q(E_{x,y})^2 - \epsilon(q)a_q(g)^2) & \text{if } x^2 + y^6 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q} \text{ and } \left(\frac{-4}{q}\right) = 1, \\ N(a_q(g)^2 - a_{q^2}(E_{x,y}) - 2q\epsilon(q)) & \text{if } x^2 + y^6 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q} \text{ and } \left(\frac{-4}{q}\right) = -1, \\ N(\epsilon(q)(q+1)^2 - a_q(g)^2) & \text{if } x^2 + y^6 \equiv 0 \pmod{q}, \end{cases}$$

where $a_{q^i}(E_{x,y})$ is the trace of Frob_q^i acting on the Tate module $T_p(E_{x,y})$.

If $(a, b) \equiv (x, y) \pmod{q}$, then $p | B_{x,y}(q, g)$.

Proof. Recall the setup in Sections 2 and 3. Let π be a prime of M_β above p. The mod π representation $\rho_{A_\beta,\pi}$ of $G_\mathbb{Q}$ attached to A_β is related to E_β by

$$\mathbb{P}\rho_{A_\beta,\pi}|_{G_K} \cong \mathbb{P}\phi_{E_\beta,p},$$

where $\phi_{E_\beta,p}$ is the representation of G_K on the p-adic Tate module $T_p(E_\beta)$ of E_β, and the $\mathbb{P}$ indicates that we are considering isomorphism up to scalars. The algebraic formula which describes $\rho_{E_\beta,\beta,\pi} = \rho_{A_\beta,\pi} \cong \rho_{f,\pi}$ is

$$\rho_{A_\beta,\pi}(\sigma)(1 \otimes x) = \beta(\sigma)^{-1} \otimes \mu'_\beta(\sigma)(\phi_{E_\beta,p}(\sigma)(x))$$

where $1 \otimes x \in M_{\beta,\pi} \otimes T_p(E_\beta)$. Here, $\mu'_\beta(\sigma)$ is the chosen isogeny from ${}^\sigma E_\beta \to E_\beta$ for each σ which is constant on G_K (see the paragraph after (6)).

If $x^2 + y^6 \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$, then $q | c$. Recall the conductor of A_β is given by

$$2^4 \cdot 3^{1+\varepsilon/2} \cdot \prod_{\substack{q|c \\ q \neq 2,3}} q,$$

so that q exactly divides the conductor of A_β. Using the condition $\rho_{f,\pi} \cong \rho_{g,\pi}$, we can deduce from [Carayol 1983, théorème 2.1], [Carayol 1986, théorème (A)], [Darmon et al. 1997, Theorem 3.1], and [Gross 1990, (0.1)] that

$$p | N\left(a_q(g)^2 - \epsilon^{-1}(q)(q+1)^2\right).$$

If $x^2 + y^6 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$, then let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a prime of K_β over q. Let $\bar{E} = \bar{E}_{a,b}$ be the reduction modulo $\mathfrak{q}$ of E. Since $(a, b) \equiv (x, y) \pmod{q}$, we have $\bar{E} = E_{x,y}$. Furthermore, since $\mathfrak{q}$ is a prime of good reduction, $T_p(E) \cong T_p(\bar{E})$.

We now wish to relate the representation $\rho_{E_\beta,\beta,\pi} = \rho_{A_\beta,\pi} \cong \rho_{f,\pi}$ to the representation $\phi_{E,p}$ for the original E. We know that

$$c_{E_\beta}(\sigma,\tau) = \beta(\sigma)\beta(\tau)\beta(\sigma\tau)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad c_{E_\beta}(\sigma,\tau) = c_E(\sigma,\tau)\kappa(\sigma)\kappa(\tau)\kappa(\sigma\tau)^{-1},$$

where $\kappa(\sigma) = \dfrac{{}^\sigma(\sqrt{\gamma})}{\sqrt{\gamma}}$ and $\gamma = \dfrac{-3+i\sqrt{3}}{2}$. It follows that

$$c_E(\sigma,\tau) = \beta'(\sigma)\beta'(\tau)\beta'(\sigma\tau)^{-1},$$

where $\beta'(\sigma) = \beta(\sigma)\kappa(\sigma)$, so that β' is a splitting map for the original cocycle $c_E(\sigma,\tau)$. Also, recall that $\epsilon(\mathrm{Frob}_q) = \left(\frac{12}{q}\right)$.

Now we have

$$\rho_{A_{\beta'},\pi}(\sigma)(1\otimes x) = \beta'(\sigma)^{-1} \otimes \mu(\sigma)(\phi_{E,p}(\sigma)(x)),$$

where $1 \otimes x \in M_{\beta,\pi} \otimes T_p(E)$. For this choice of $\beta'(\sigma)$,

$$\rho_{A_{\beta'},\pi} \cong \kappa(\sigma)\xi(\sigma) \otimes \rho_{A_\beta,\pi} \cong \kappa(\sigma)\xi(\sigma) \otimes \rho_{f,\pi}.$$

This can be seen by fixing the isomorphism $\iota : E \cong E_\beta$, using standard Weierstrass models and then appealing to the

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E_\beta & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & {}^\sigma E_\beta & \xrightarrow{\mu_{E_\beta}(\sigma)} & E_\beta \\ {\scriptstyle\iota}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle{}^\sigma\iota}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle\iota} \\ E & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & {}^\sigma E & \xrightarrow{\mu_E(\sigma)} & E. \end{array}$$

Recall that $\beta(\sigma) = \sqrt{\epsilon(\sigma)}\sqrt{d(\sigma)}$, so that $\beta'(\sigma) = \sqrt{\epsilon(\sigma)}\sqrt{d(\sigma)}\kappa(\sigma)$. We note that $d(\sigma) = 1$ if $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}$ and $d(\sigma) = 3$ if $\sigma \notin G_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}$.

Now $\left(\frac{-4}{q}\right) = 1$ means $\sigma = \mathrm{Frob}_q \in G_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}$. If $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}$, then $\mu(\sigma) = \mathrm{id}$ and $d(\sigma) = 1$ so

$$\rho_{A_{\beta'},\pi}(\sigma)(1\otimes x) = \beta'(\sigma)^{-1}\otimes\mu(\sigma)(\phi_{E,p}(\sigma)(x)) = \sqrt{\epsilon(\sigma)}^{-1}\kappa(\sigma)^{-1}\otimes\phi_{E,p}(\sigma)(x),$$

so $\mathrm{tr}\,\rho_{A_{\beta'},\pi}(\sigma) = \sqrt{\epsilon(\sigma)}^{-1}\kappa(\sigma)^{-1}\cdot\mathrm{tr}\,\phi_{E,p}(\sigma)$ and $\epsilon(q)a_q(f)^2 = a_q(E)^2$. Also $a_q(f) \equiv a_q(g) \pmod{\pi}$, giving the assertion that $p | B_\alpha(q,g)$ in the case $\left(\frac{-4}{q}\right) = 1$.

If $\left(\frac{-4}{q}\right) = -1$, then $\sigma = \mathrm{Frob}_q \notin G_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}$. But then $\sigma^2 \in G_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}$, and in fact, $\sigma^2 \in G_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1},\sqrt{3})}$, so by the argument above we get

$$\mathrm{tr}\,\rho_{A_{\beta'},\pi}(\sigma^2) = \sqrt{\epsilon(\sigma)}^{-1}\kappa(\sigma)^{-1}\cdot\mathrm{tr}\,\phi_{E,p}(\sigma^2) = \mathrm{tr}\,\phi_{E,p}(\sigma^2) = a_{q^2}(E).$$

Also, $\operatorname{tr}\rho_{A_{\beta'},\pi}(\sigma) = \kappa(\sigma)\xi(\sigma)a_q(f)$ so $\operatorname{tr}\rho_{A_{\beta'},\pi}(\sigma)^2 = a_q(f)^2$. We have

$$\frac{1}{\det(1-\rho_{A_{\beta'},\pi}(\sigma)q^{-s})} = \exp\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\operatorname{tr}\rho_{A_{\beta'},\pi}(\sigma^r)\frac{q^{-sr}}{r} = \frac{1}{1-\operatorname{tr}\rho_{A_{\beta'},\pi}(\sigma)q^{-s}+q\epsilon(q)q^{-2s}}.$$

The determinant and traces are of vector spaces over $M_{\beta,\pi}$. Computing the coefficient of q^{-2s} and equating, we find that $\operatorname{tr}\rho_{A_{\beta'},\pi}(\sigma^2) = \operatorname{tr}\rho_{A_{\beta'},\pi}(\sigma)^2 - 2q\epsilon(q)$ and hence conclude that $a_q(f)^2 - 2q\epsilon(q) = a_{q^2}(E)$. Since $a_q(f) \equiv a_q(g) \pmod{\pi}$, it follows that $p|B_\alpha(q,g)$ in the case $\left(\frac{-4}{q}\right) = -1$ as well. □

Let

$$A_q(g,g') := \prod_{\substack{(x,y)\in\mathbb{F}_q^2\\(x,y)\neq(0,0)}} \gcd(B_{x,y}(q,g), C_{x,y}(q,g')).$$

Then we must have $p|A_q(g,g')$. For a pair g, g', we can pick a prime q and compute $A_q(g,g')$. Whenever this $A_q(g,g') \neq 0$, we obtain a bound on p so that the pair g, g' cannot arise for p larger than this bound.

For $g = G_3$, and g' running through the newforms in $S_2(\Gamma_0(2^r3^s))$ where $r \in \{5,6\}$ and $s \in \{2,3\}$, the above process eliminates all possible pairs $g = G_3$ and g'; see multi-frey.txt. In particular, using $q = 5$ or $q = 7$ for each pair shows that $p \in \{2,3,5\}$. Hence, if $p \notin \{2,3,5,7\}$, then a solution to our original equation cannot arise from the newform $g = G_3$.

6. The cases $n = 3, 4, 5, 7$

It thus remains only to treat the equation $a^2 + b^6 = c^n$ for $n \in \{3,4,5,7\}$. In each case, without loss of generality, we may suppose that we have a proper, nontrivial solution in positive integers a, b, and c. If $n = 4$ or 7, the desired result is immediate from [Bruin 1999] and [Poonen et al. 2007], respectively. In the case $n = 3$, a solution with $b \neq 0$ implies, via the equation

$$\left(\frac{a}{b^3}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{c}{b^2}\right)^3 - 1,$$

a rational point on the elliptic curve given by $E : y^2 = x^3 - 1$, Cremona's 144A1 of rank 0 over $\mathbb{Q}$ with $E(\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $c = b^2$ and hence $a = 0$.

Finally, we suppose that $a^2 + b^6 = c^5$, for coprime positive integers a, b, and c. From parametrizations for solutions to $x^2 + y^2 = z^5$ (see, for example, [Chen 2010, Lemma 2]), it is easy to show that there exist coprime integers u and v (and z) for which

$$v^4 - 10v^2u^2 + 5u^4 = 5^\delta z^3, \tag{12}$$

with either

(a) $v = \beta^3$, $\delta = 0$, β coprime to 5, or

(b) $v = 5^2\beta^3$, $\delta = 1$, for some integer β.

Let us begin by treating the latter case. From (12), we have

$$(u^2 - v^2)^2 - 4 \cdot 5^7 \cdot \beta^{12} = z^3;$$

and hence taking

$$x = \frac{z}{5^2\beta^4}, \qquad y = \frac{u^2 - v^2}{5^3\beta^6},$$

we have a rational point on $E : y^2 = x^3 + 20$, Cremona's 2700E1 of rank 0 and trivial torsion (with no corresponding solutions of interest to our original equation).

We may thus suppose that we are in situation (a), so that

$$\beta^{12} - 10\beta^6u^2 + 5u^4 = z^3. \tag{13}$$

Since β and u are coprime, we may assume that they are of opposite parity (and hence that z is odd), since $\beta \equiv u \equiv 1 \pmod 2$ with (13) leads to an immediate contradiction modulo 8. Writing $T = \beta^6 - 5u^2$, (13) becomes $T^2 - 20u^4 = z^3$, where T is coprime to 10. Factoring over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ (which has class number 1), we deduce the existence of integers m and n, of the same parity, such that

$$T + 2\sqrt{5}u^2 = \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{\delta}\left(\frac{m+n\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^3, \tag{14}$$

with $\delta \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.

Let us first suppose that $\delta = 1$. Then, expanding (14), we have

$$m^3 + 15m^2n + 15mn^2 + 25n^3 = 16T \quad \text{and} \quad m^3 + 3m^2n + 15mn^2 + 5n^3 = 32u^2.$$

It follows that

$$3m^2n + 5n^3 = 4T - 8u^2 \equiv 4 \pmod 8,$$

contradicting the fact that m and n have the same parity. Similarly, if $\delta = 2$, we find that

$$3m^3 + 15m^2n + 45mn^2 + 25n^3 = 16T \quad \text{and} \quad m^3 + 9m^2n + 15mn^2 + 15n^3 = 32u^2,$$

and so

$$3m^2n + 5n^3 = 24u^2 - 4T \equiv 4 \pmod 8,$$

again a contradiction.

We thus have $\delta = 0$, and so

$$m(m^2 + 15n^2) = 8T = 8(\beta^6 - 5u^2) \quad \text{and} \quad n(3m^2 + 5n^2) = 16u^2. \tag{15}$$

Combining these equations, we may write

$$16\beta^6 = (m+5n)(2m^2+5mn+5n^2). \tag{16}$$

Returning to the last equation of (15), since $\gcd(m,n)$ divides 2, we necessarily have $n = 2^{\delta_1}3^{\delta_2}r^2$ for some integers r and $\delta_i \in \{0,1\}$. Considering the equation $n(3m^2+5n^2) = 16u^2$ modulo 5 implies that $(\delta_1,\delta_2) = (1,0)$ or $(0,1)$. In case $(\delta_1,\delta_2) = (1,0)$, the two equations in (15), taken together, imply a contradiction modulo 9.

We may thus suppose that $(\delta_1,\delta_2) = (0,1)$ and, setting $y = (2\beta/r)^3$ and $x = 6m/n$ in (16), we find that

$$y^2 = (x+30)(x^2+15x+90).$$

This elliptic curve is Cremona's 3600G1, of rank 0 with nontrivial torsion corresponding to $x = -30$, $y = 0$.

It follows that there do not exist positive coprime integers a, b, and c for which $a^2+b^6 = c^5$, which completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Samir Siksek and Sander Dahmen for useful suggestions and correspondence pertaining to this paper, and the anonymous referees for numerous helpful comments.

References

[Bennett 2006] M. A. Bennett, "The equation $x^{2n}+y^{2n}=z^5$", *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux* **18**:2 (2006), 315–321. MR 2007i:11048 Zbl 1138.11009

[Bennett and Skinner 2004] M. A. Bennett and C. M. Skinner, "Ternary Diophantine equations via Galois representations and modular forms", *Canad. J. Math.* **56**:1 (2004), 23–54. MR 2005c:11035 Zbl 1053.11025

[Bennett et al. 2010] M. A. Bennett, J. S. Ellenberg, and N. C. Ng, "The Diophantine equation $A^4+2^\delta B^2 = C^n$", *Int. J. Number Theory* **6**:2 (2010), 311–338. MR 2011k:11045 Zbl 1218.11035

[Bennett et al. $\geq$ 2012] M. Bennett, I. Chen, S. Dahmen, and S. Yazdani, "Generalized Fermat equations: a miscellany", In preparation.

[Beukers 1998] F. Beukers, "The Diophantine equation $Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r$", *Duke Math. J.* **91**:1 (1998), 61–88. MR 99f:11039 Zbl 1038.11505

[Bosma et al. 1997] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, and C. Playoust, "The Magma algebra system, I: The user language", pp. 235–265 in *Computational algebra and number theory* (London, 1993), edited by J. Cannon and D. Holt, J. Symbolic Comput. **24**, 1997. MR 1484478

[Brown 2012] D. Brown, "Primitive Integral Solutions to $x^2+y^3=z^{10}$", *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2012**:2 (2012), 423–436. MR 2876388 Zbl pre06013326

[Bruin 1999] N. Bruin, "The Diophantine equations $x^2 \pm y^4 = \pm z^6$ and $x^2+y^8 = z^3$", *Compositio Math.* **118**:3 (1999), 305–321. MR 2001d:11035 Zbl 0941.11013

[Bruin 2000] N. Bruin, "On powers as sums of two cubes", pp. 169–184 in *Algorithmic number theory* (Leiden, 2000), edited by W. Bosma, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. **1838**, Springer, Berlin, 2000. MR 2002f:11029 Zbl 0986.11021

[Bruin 2003] N. Bruin, "Chabauty methods using elliptic curves", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **562** (2003), 27–49. MR 2004j:11051 Zbl 1135.11320

[Bruin 2005] N. Bruin, "The primitive solutions to $x^3 + y^9 = z^2$", *J. Number Theory* **111**:1 (2005), 179–189. MR 2006e:11040 Zbl 1081.11019

[Bugeaud et al. 2008a] Y. Bugeaud, F. Luca, M. Mignotte, and S. Siksek, "Almost powers in the Lucas sequence", *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux* **20**:3 (2008), 555–600. MR 2010d:11017 Zbl 1204.11030

[Bugeaud et al. 2008b] Y. Bugeaud, M. Mignotte, and S. Siksek, "A multi-Frey approach to some multi-parameter families of Diophantine equations", *Canad. J. Math.* **60**:3 (2008), 491–519. MR 2009b:11059 Zbl 1156.11014

[Carayol 1983] H. Carayol, "Sur les représentations l-adiques attachées aux formes modulaires de Hilbert", *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **296**:15 (1983), 629–632. MR 85e:11039 Zbl 0537.10018

[Carayol 1986] H. Carayol, "Sur les représentations l-adiques associées aux formes modulaires de Hilbert", *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) **19**:3 (1986), 409–468. MR 89c:11083 Zbl 0616.10025

[Chen 2008] I. Chen, "On the equation $s^2 + y^{2p} = \alpha^3$", *Math. Comp.* **77**:262 (2008), 1223–1227. MR 2009b:11099 Zbl 1183.11030

[Chen 2010] I. Chen, "On the equation $a^2 + b^{2p} = c^5$", *Acta Arith.* **143**:4 (2010), 345–375. MR x2012b:11051 Zbl 1215.11029

[Chen 2012] I. Chen, "On the equation $a^2 - 2b^6 = c^p$ and $a^2 - 2 = c^p$", *LMS J. Comput. Math.* **15** (2012), 158–171.

[Chen and Siksek 2009] I. Chen and S. Siksek, "Perfect powers expressible as sums of two cubes", *J. Algebra* **322**:3 (2009), 638–656. MR 2011d:11070 Zbl 1215.11026

[Cox 1989] D. A. Cox, *Primes of the form $x^2 + ny^2$: Fermat, class field theory and complex multiplication*, Wiley, New York, 1989. MR 90m:11016 Zbl 0701.11001

[Dahmen 2008] S. Dahmen, *Classical and modular methods applied to Diophantine equations*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Utrecht, 2008, Available at http://tinyurl.com/dahmen-thesis.

[Dahmen 2011] S. R. Dahmen, "A refined modular approach to the Diophantine equation $x^2 + y^{2n} = z^3$", *Int. J. Number Theory* **7**:5 (2011), 1303–1316. MR 2825973 Zbl 1226.11043

[Darmon 2000] H. Darmon, "Rigid local systems, Hilbert modular forms, and Fermat's last theorem", *Duke Math. J.* **102**:3 (2000), 413–449. MR 2001i:11071 Zbl 1008.11023

[Darmon and Granville 1995] H. Darmon and A. Granville, "On the equations $z^m = F(x, y)$ and $Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r$", *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **27**:6 (1995), 513–543. MR 96e:11042 Zbl 0838.11023

[Darmon and Merel 1997] H. Darmon and L. Merel, "Winding quotients and some variants of Fermat's last theorem", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **490** (1997), 81–100. MR 98h:11076 Zbl 0976.11017

[Darmon et al. 1997] H. Darmon, F. Diamond, and R. Taylor, "Fermat's last theorem", pp. 2–140 in *Elliptic curves, modular forms & Fermat's last theorem* (Hong Kong, 1993), edited by J. Coates and S. T. Yau, International Press, Cambridge, MA, 1997. MR 99d:11067b Zbl 0877.11035

[Dieulefait and Urroz 2009] L. Dieulefait and J. J. Urroz, "Solving Fermat-type equations via modular $\mathbb{Q}$-curves over polyquadratic fields", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **633** (2009), 183–195. MR 2011i:11042 Zbl 05640144

[Ellenberg 2004] J. S. Ellenberg, "Galois representations attached to $\mathbb{Q}$-curves and the generalized Fermat equation $A^4 + B^2 = C^p$", *Amer. J. Math.* **126**:4 (2004), 763–787. MR 2005g:11089 Zbl 1059.11041

[Ellenberg and Skinner 2001] J. S. Ellenberg and C. Skinner, "On the modularity of $\mathbb{Q}$-curves", *Duke Math. J.* **109**:1 (2001), 97–122. MR 2002i:11054 Zbl 1009.11038

[Gross 1990] B. H. Gross, "A tameness criterion for Galois representations associated to modular forms (mod p)", *Duke Math. J.* **61**:2 (1990), 445–517. MR 91i:11060 Zbl 0743.11030

[Kenku 1979] M. A. Kenku, "The modular curve $X_0(39)$ and rational isogeny", *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **85**:1 (1979), 21–23. MR 80g:14023 Zbl 0392.14011

[Khare and Wintenberger 2009a] C. Khare and J.-P. Wintenberger, "Serre's modularity conjecture, I", *Invent. Math.* **178**:3 (2009), 485–504. MR 2010k:11087 Zbl 05636295

[Khare and Wintenberger 2009b] C. Khare and J.-P. Wintenberger, "Serre's modularity conjecture, II", *Invent. Math.* **178**:3 (2009), 505–586. MR 2010k:11088 Zbl 05636296

[Kisin 2009] M. Kisin, "Modularity of 2-adic Barsotti–Tate representations", *Invent. Math.* **178**:3 (2009), 587–634. MR 2010k:11089 Zbl 05636297

[Kraus 1990] A. Kraus, "Sur le défaut de semi-stabilité des courbes elliptiques à réduction additive", *Manuscripta Math.* **69**:4 (1990), 353–385. MR 91j:11045 Zbl 0792.14014

[Kraus 1998] A. Kraus, "Sur l'équation $a^3 + b^3 = c^p$", *Experiment. Math.* **7**:1 (1998), 1–13. MR 99f:11040 Zbl 0923.11054

[Mauldin 1997] R. D. Mauldin, "A generalization of Fermat's last theorem: the Beal conjecture and prize problem", *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* **44**:11 (1997), 1436–1437. MR 98j:11020 Zbl 924.11022

[Mazur 1978] B. Mazur, "Rational isogenies of prime degree", *Invent. Math.* **44**:2 (1978), 129–162. MR 80h:14022 Zbl 0386.14009

[Milne 1972] J. S. Milne, "On the arithmetic of Abelian varieties", *Invent. Math.* **17** (1972), 177–190. MR 48 #8512 Zbl 0249.14012

[Poonen 1998] B. Poonen, "Some Diophantine equations of the form $x^n + y^n = z^m$", *Acta Arith.* **86**:3 (1998), 193–205. MR 99h:11034 Zbl 0930.11017

[Poonen et al. 2007] B. Poonen, E. F. Schaefer, and M. Stoll, "Twists of $X(7)$ and primitive solutions to $x^2 + y^3 = z^7$", *Duke Math. J.* **137**:1 (2007), 103–158. MR 2008i:11085 Zbl 1124.11019

[Quer 2000] J. Quer, "$\mathbf{Q}$-curves and Abelian varieties of GL_2-type", *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **81**:2 (2000), 285–317. MR 2001j:11040 Zbl 1035.11026

[Ribet 1990] K. A. Ribet, "On modular representations of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$ arising from modular forms", *Invent. Math.* **100**:2 (1990), 431–476. MR 91g:11066 Zbl 0773.11039

[Ribet 1992] K. A. Ribet, "Abelian varieties over $\mathbf{Q}$ and modular forms", pp. 53–79 in *Algebra and topology 1992* (Taejŏn, 1992), edited by S. G. Hahn and D. Y. Suh, Korea Adv. Inst. Sci. Tech., Taejŏn, 1992. MR 94g:11042

[Serre 1987] J.-P. Serre, "Sur les représentations modulaires de degré 2 de $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$", *Duke Math. J.* **54**:1 (1987), 179–230. MR 88g:11022 Zbl 0641.10026

[Siksek 2008] S. Siksek, "personal communication", 2008.

[Siksek 2010] S. Siksek, "Explicit Chabauty over number fields", preprint, 2010. arXiv 1010.2603v1

[Silverman 1994] J. H. Silverman, *Advanced topics in the arithmetic of elliptic curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **151**, Springer, New York, 1994. MR 96b:11074 Zbl 0911.14015

[Takeuchi 1977] K. Takeuchi, "Commensurability classes of arithmetic triangle groups", *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **24**:1 (1977), 201–212. MR 57 #3077 Zbl 0365.20055

[Taylor and Wiles 1995] R. Taylor and A. Wiles, "Ring-theoretic properties of certain Hecke algebras", *Ann. of Math.* (2) **141**:3 (1995), 553–572. MR 96d:11072 Zbl 0823.11030

[Tijdeman 1989] R. Tijdeman, "Diophantine equations and Diophantine approximations", pp. 215–243 in *Number theory and applications* (Banff, 1988), edited by R. A. Mollin, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci. **265**, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1989. MR 92i:11072 Zbl 0719.11014

[Wiles 1995] A. Wiles, "Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's last theorem", *Ann. of Math.* (2) **141**:3 (1995), 443–551. MR 96d:11071 Zbl 0823.11029

Communicated by Richard Taylor
Received 2010-10-25 Revised 2011-02-23 Accepted 2011-04-01

bennett@math.ubc.ca *Department of Mathematics, University of British Columbia, 1984 Mathematics Road, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z2, Canada*

ichen@math.sfu.ca *Department of Mathematics, Simon Fraser University, 8888 University Drive, Burnaby, BC V5A 1S6, Canada*

Algebra & Number Theory

msp.berkeley.edu/ant

See inside back cover or www.jant.org for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2012 is US $175/year for the electronic version, and $275/year (+$40 shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, USA.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1937-0652) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

mathematical sciences publishers

http://msp.org/

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in LaTeX

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 6 No. 4 2012