

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 6

2012

No. 7

**Crystalline extensions and the weight part of Serre's
conjecture**

Toby Gee, Tong Liu and David Savitt



Crystalline extensions and the weight part of Serre's conjecture

Toby Gee, Tong Liu and David Savitt

Let $p > 2$ be prime. We complete the proof of the weight part of Serre's conjecture for rank-two unitary groups for mod p representations in the totally ramified case by proving that any Serre weight which occurs is a predicted weight. This completes the analysis begun by Barnet-Lamb, Gee, and Geraghty, who proved that all predicted Serre weights occur. Our methods are a mixture of local and global techniques, and in the course of the proof we use global techniques (as well as local arguments) to establish some purely local results on crystalline extension classes. We also apply these local results to prove similar theorems for the weight part of Serre's conjecture for Hilbert modular forms in the totally ramified case.

1. Introduction	1537
2. Serre weight conjectures: definitions	1540
3. Realising local representations globally	1545
4. Congruences	1546
5. Finite flat models	1549
6. Global consequences	1556
Acknowledgments	1558
References	1558

1. Introduction

The weight part of generalisations of Serre's conjecture has seen significant progress in recent years, particularly for (forms of) GL_2 . Conjectural descriptions of the set of Serre weights were made in increasing generality in [Buzzard et al. 2010; Schein 2008; Gee et al. 2012], and cases of these conjectures were proved in [Gee 2011; Gee and Savitt 2011a]. Most recently, significant progress was made towards completely establishing the conjecture for rank-two unitary groups in [Barnet-Lamb et al. 2011]. We briefly recall this result. Let $p > 2$ be prime, F a CM field, and

The authors were partially supported by NSF grants DMS-0841491 (Gee), DMS-0901360 (Liu), and DMS-0901049 (Savitt).

MSC2010: 11F33.

Keywords: Serre's conjecture, p -adic Hodge theory, automorphy lifting theorems.

$\bar{r} : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ a modular representation (see [Barnet-Lamb et al. 2011] for the precise definition of “modular”, which is in terms of automorphic forms on compact unitary groups). There is a conjectural set $W^?(\bar{r})$ of Serre weights in which \bar{r} is predicted to be modular, which is defined in Section 2, following [Gee et al. 2012]. Then the main result of [Barnet-Lamb et al. 2011] is that under mild technical hypotheses, \bar{r} is modular of every weight in $W^?(\bar{r})$. We note that this result is rather more general than anything that has been proved for inner forms of GL_2 over totally real fields, where there is a parity obstruction due to the unit group; algebraic Hilbert modular forms must have paritious weight. This problem does not arise for the unitary groups considered here, which is why we use them, rather than making use of the more obvious choice of an inner form. In the absence of a mod p functoriality principle, it is not known that the results for inner and outer forms of GL_2 are equivalent, and at present the theory for outer forms is in a better state.

It remains to show that if \bar{r} is modular of some Serre weight, then this weight is contained in $W^?(\bar{r})$. It had been previously supposed that this was the easier direction; indeed, just as in the classical case, the results of [Barnet-Lamb et al. 2011] reduce the weight part of Serre’s conjecture for these unitary groups to a purely local problem in p -adic Hodge theory. However, this problem has proved to be difficult, and so far only fragmentary results are known. In the present paper we resolve the problem in the totally ramified case, so that in combination with [ibid.] we resolve the weight part of Serre’s conjecture in this case, proving the following theorem (see Theorem 6.1.2).

Theorem A. *Let F be an imaginary CM field with maximal totally real subfield F^+ , and suppose that F/F^+ is unramified at all finite places, that $\zeta_p \notin F$, and that $[F^+ : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even. Suppose that $p > 2$, and that $\bar{r} : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ is an irreducible modular representation with split ramification such that $\bar{r}(G_{F(\zeta_p)})$ is adequate. Assume that for each place $w|p$ of F , F_w/\mathbb{Q}_p is totally ramified.*

Let $a \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)_0^S$ be a Serre weight. Then $a_w \in W^?(\bar{r}|_{G_{F_w}})$ if and only if \bar{r} is modular of weight a .

(See the body of the paper, especially Section 2.2, for any unfamiliar notation and terminology.) While [Barnet-Lamb et al. 2011] reduced this result to a purely local problem, our methods are not purely local; in fact we use the main result of [ibid.], together with potential automorphy theorems, as part of our proof.

In the case that $\bar{r}|_{G_{F_w}}$ is semisimple for each place $w|p$, the result was established (in a slightly different setting) in [Gee and Savitt 2011a]. The method of proof was in part global, making use of certain potentially Barsotti–Tate lifts to obtain conditions on $\bar{r}|_{G_{F_w}}$. We extend this analysis in the present paper to the case that $\bar{r}|_{G_{F_w}}$ is reducible but nonsplit, obtaining conditions on the extension classes that

can occur; we show that (other than in one exceptional case) they lie in a certain set L_{flat} , defined in terms of finite flat models. We are also able to apply our final local results to improve on the global theorems proved in [Gee and Savitt 2011a]; see Theorem 6.1.3 below.

In the case that $\bar{r}|_{G_{F_w}}$ is reducible the definition of $W^?$ also depends on the extension class; it is required to lie in a set L_{crys} , defined in terms of reducible crystalline lifts with specified Hodge–Tate weights. To complete the proof, we show that $L_{\text{crys}} = L_{\text{flat}}$, except in one exceptional case that we handle separately in Proposition 5.2.9. An analogous result was proved in generic unramified cases in Section 3.4 of [Gee 2011] by means of explicit calculations with Breuil modules; our approach here is less direct, but has the advantage of working in nongeneric cases, and requires far less calculation.

We use a global argument to show that $L_{\text{crys}} \subset L_{\text{flat}}$. Given a class in L_{crys} , we use potential automorphy theorems to realise the corresponding local representation as part of a global modular representation, and then apply the main result of [Barnet-Lamb et al. 2011] to show that this representation is modular of the expected weight. Standard congruences between automorphic forms then show that this class is also contained in L_{flat} .

To prove the converse inclusion, we make a study of different finite flat models to show that L_{flat} is contained in a vector space of some dimension d . A standard calculation shows that L_{crys} contains a space of dimension d , so equality follows. As a byproduct, we show that both L_{flat} and L_{crys} are vector spaces. We also show that various spaces defined in terms of crystalline lifts are independent of the choice of lift (see Corollary 5.2.8). The analogous property was conjectured in the unramified case in [Buzzard et al. 2010].

It is natural to ask whether our methods could be extended to handle the general case, where F_w/\mathbb{Q}_p is an arbitrary extension. Unfortunately, this does not seem to be the case, because in general the connection between being modular of some Serre weight and having a potentially Barsotti–Tate lift of some type is less direct. We expect that our methods could be used to reprove the results of Section 3.4 of [Gee 2011], but we do not see how to extend them to cover the unramified case completely. In particular, we are unsure as to when the equality $L_{\text{flat}} = L_{\text{crys}}$ holds in general.

We now explain the structure of the paper. In Section 2 we recall the definition of $W^?$, and the global results from [Barnet-Lamb et al. 2011] that we will need. In Section 3 we recall (and give a concise proof of) a potential automorphy result from [Gee and Kisin 2012], allowing us to realise a local mod p representation globally. Section 4 contains the definitions of the spaces L_{crys} and L_{flat} and the proof that $L_{\text{crys}} \subset L_{\text{flat}}$, and in Section 5 we carry out the necessary calculations with Breuil modules to prove our main local results. All of these results are in

the reducible case, the irreducible case being handled in [Gee and Savitt 2011a]. Finally, in Section 6 we combine our local results with the techniques of [ibid.] and the main result of [Barnet-Lamb et al. 2011] to prove Theorem A, and we deduce a similar result in the setting of [Gee and Savitt 2011a].

Notation. If M is a field, we let G_M denote its absolute Galois group. Let ϵ denote the p -adic cyclotomic character, and $\bar{\epsilon}$ the mod p cyclotomic character. If M is a global field and v is a place of M , let M_v denote the completion of M at v . If M is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_l for some l , we write I_M for the inertia subgroup of G_M . If R is a local ring we write \mathfrak{m}_R for the maximal ideal of R .

Let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , with ring of integers \mathbb{O}_K and residue field k . We write $\text{Art}_K : K^\times \rightarrow W_K^{\text{ab}}$ for the isomorphism of local class field theory, normalised so that uniformisers correspond to geometric Frobenius elements. For each $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(k, \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ we define the fundamental character ω_σ corresponding to σ to be the composite

$$I_K \longrightarrow W_K^{\text{ab}} \xrightarrow{\text{Art}_K^{-1}} \mathbb{O}_K^\times \longrightarrow k^\times \xrightarrow{\sigma} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times.$$

In the case that $k \cong \mathbb{F}_p$, we will sometimes write ω for ω_σ . Note that in this case we have $\omega^{[K:\mathbb{Q}_p]} = \bar{\epsilon}$.

We fix an algebraic closure \bar{K} of K . If W is a de Rham representation of G_K over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ and τ is an embedding $K \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ then the multiset $\text{HT}_\tau(W)$ of Hodge–Tate weights of W with respect to τ is defined to contain the integer i with multiplicity

$$\dim_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} (W \otimes_{\tau, K} \widehat{K}(-i))^{G_K},$$

with the usual notation for Tate twists. Thus for example $\text{HT}_\tau(\epsilon) = \{1\}$.

2. Serre weight conjectures: definitions

2.1. Local definitions. We begin by recalling some generalisations of the weight part of Serre’s conjecture. We begin with some purely local definitions. Let K be a finite totally ramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p with absolute ramification index e , and let $\bar{\rho} : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ be a continuous representation.

Definition 2.1.1. A *Serre weight* is an irreducible $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ -representation of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Up to isomorphism, any such representation is of the form

$$F_a := \det^{a_2} \otimes \text{Sym}^{a_1 - a_2} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p^2$$

where $0 \leq a_1 - a_2 \leq p - 1$. We also use the term Serre weight to refer to the pair $a = (a_1, a_2)$.

We say that two Serre weights a and b are *equivalent* if and only if $F_a \cong F_b$ as representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$. This is equivalent to demanding that we have $a_1 - a_2 = b_1 - b_2$ and $a_2 \equiv b_2 \pmod{p - 1}$.

We write \mathbb{Z}_+^2 for the set of pairs of integers (n_1, n_2) with $n_1 \geq n_2$, so that a Serre weight a is by definition an element of \mathbb{Z}_+^2 . We say that an element $\lambda \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(K, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})}$ is a *lift* of a Serre weight $a \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$ if there is an element $\tau \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(K, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ such that $\lambda_\tau = a$, and for all other $\tau' \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(K, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ we have $\lambda_{\tau'} = (0, 0)$.

Definition 2.1.2. Let K/\mathbb{Q}_p be a finite extension, let $\lambda \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(K, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})}$, and let $\rho : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ be a de Rham representation. Then we say that ρ has *Hodge type* λ if for each $\tau \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(K, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ we have $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(\rho) = \{\lambda_{\tau,1} + 1, \lambda_{\tau,2}\}$.

In particular, we will say that ρ has “Hodge type $\underline{0}$ ” if its Hodge–Tate weights are $(0, 1)$ with respect to each embedding. Following [Gee et al. 2012] (which in turn follows [Buzzard et al. 2010; Schein 2008]), we define an explicit set of Serre weights $W^?(\bar{\rho})$.

Definition 2.1.3. If $\bar{\rho}$ is reducible, then a Serre weight $a \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$ is in $W^?(\bar{\rho})$ if and only if $\bar{\rho}$ has a crystalline lift of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 & * \\ 0 & \chi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

which has Hodge type λ for some lift $\lambda \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(K, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})}$ of a .

In particular, if $a \in W^?(\bar{\rho})$ then by Lemma 6.2 of [Gee and Savitt 2011a] it is necessarily the case that there is a decomposition $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_p, \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}) = J \sqcup J^c$ and an integer $0 \leq \delta \leq e - 1$ such that

$$\bar{\rho}|_{I_K} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \omega^\delta \prod_{\sigma \in J} \omega_\sigma^{a_1+1} \prod_{\sigma \in J^c} \omega_\sigma^{a_2} & * \\ 0 & \omega^{e-1-\delta} \prod_{\sigma \in J^c} \omega_\sigma^{a_1+1} \prod_{\sigma \in J} \omega_\sigma^{a_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

We remark that this definition in terms of crystalline lifts is hard to work with concretely, and this is the reason for most of the work in this paper. We also remark that while it may seem strange to consider the single element set $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_p, \overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$, this notation will be convenient for us (note that we always assume that the residue field of K is \mathbb{F}_p).

Definition 2.1.4. Let K' denote the quadratic unramified extension of K inside \overline{K} , with residue field k' of order p^2 .

If $\bar{\rho}$ is irreducible, then a Serre weight $a \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$ is in $W^?(\bar{\rho})$ if and only if there is a subset $J \subset \mathrm{Hom}(k', \overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$ of size 1, and an integer $0 \leq \delta \leq e - 1$ such that if we

write $\text{Hom}(k', \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) = J \amalg J^c$, then

$$\bar{\rho}|_{I_K} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \prod_{\sigma \in J} \omega_{\sigma}^{a_1+1+\delta} & \prod_{\sigma \in J^c} \omega_{\sigma}^{a_2+e-1-\delta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \prod_{\sigma \in J^c} \omega_{\sigma}^{a_1+1+\delta} \prod_{\sigma \in J} \omega_{\sigma}^{a_2+e-1-\delta} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We remark that by Lemma 4.1.19 of [Barnet-Lamb et al. 2011], if $a \in W^?(\bar{\rho})$ and $\bar{\rho}$ is irreducible then $\bar{\rho}$ necessarily has a crystalline lift of Hodge type λ for any lift $\lambda \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(K, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)}$ of a . Note also that if a and b are equivalent and $a \in W^?(\bar{\rho})$ then $b \in W^?(\bar{\rho})$.

Remark 2.1.5. If $\bar{\theta} : G_K \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^{\times}$ is an unramified character, then

$$W^?(\bar{r}) = W^?(\bar{r} \otimes \bar{\theta}).$$

2.2. Global conjectures. The point of the local definitions above is to allow us to formulate global Serre weight conjectures. Following [Barnet-Lamb et al. 2011], we work with rank-two unitary groups which are compact at infinity. As we will not need to make any arguments that depend on the particular definitions made in that article, and our main results are purely local, we simply recall some notation and basic properties of the definitions, referring the reader to [Barnet-Lamb et al. 2011] for precise formulations.

We emphasise that our conventions for Hodge–Tate weights are the opposite of the ones there; for this reason, we must introduce a dual into the definitions.

Fix an imaginary CM field F , and let F^+ be its maximal totally real subfield. We assume that each prime of F^+ over p has residue field \mathbb{F}_p and splits in F . We define a global notion of Serre weight by taking a product of local Serre weights in the following way.

Definition 2.2.1. Let S denote the set of places of F above p . If $w \in S$ lies over a place v of F^+ , write $v = ww^c$. Let $(\mathbb{Z}_+^2)_0^S$ denote the subset of $(\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^S$ consisting of elements $a = (a_w)_{w \in S}$ such that $a_{w,1} + a_{w^c,2} = 0$ for all $w \in S$. We say that an element $a \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)_0^S$ is a *Serre weight* if for each $w|p$ we have

$$p - 1 \geq a_{w,1} - a_{w,2}.$$

Let $\bar{r} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ be a continuous irreducible representation. Definition 2.1.9 of [Barnet-Lamb et al. 2011] states what it means for \bar{r} to be modular, and more precisely for \bar{r} to be modular of some Serre weight a ; roughly speaking, \bar{r} is modular of weight a if there is a cohomology class on some unitary group with coefficients in the local system corresponding to a whose Hecke eigenvalues are determined by the characteristic polynomials of \bar{r} at Frobenius elements. Since our conventions for Hodge–Tate weights are the opposite of those of Barnet-Lamb et al., we make the following definition.

Definition 2.2.2. Suppose that $\bar{r} : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ is a continuous irreducible modular representation. Then we say that \bar{r} is modular of weight $a \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)_0^S$ if \bar{r}^\vee is modular of weight a in the sense of Definition 2.1.9 of [Barnet-Lamb et al. 2011].

We remark that the definition of “modular” in that reference includes the hypotheses that F/F^+ is unramified at all finite places, that every place of F^+ dividing p splits in F , and that $[F^+ : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even.

If \bar{r} is modular then $\bar{r}^c \cong \bar{r}^\vee \otimes \bar{\epsilon}$. We globalise the definition of the set $W^?(\bar{\rho})$ in the following natural fashion.

Definition 2.2.3. If $\bar{r} : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ is a continuous representation, then we define $W^?(\bar{r})$ to be the set of Serre weights $a \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)_0^S$ such that for each place $w|p$ the corresponding Serre weight $a_w \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$ is an element of $W^?(\bar{r}|_{G_{F_w}})$.

One then has the following conjecture.

Conjecture 2.2.4. Suppose that $\bar{r} : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ is a continuous irreducible modular representation, and that $a \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)_0^S$ is a Serre weight. Then \bar{r} is modular of weight a if and only if $a \in W^?(\bar{r})$.

If $\bar{r} : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ is a continuous representation, then we say that \bar{r} has *split ramification* if any finite place of F at which \bar{r} is ramified is split over F^+ . We will frequently place ourselves in the following situation.

Hypothesis 2.2.5. Let F be an imaginary CM field with maximal totally real subfield F^+ , and let $\bar{r} : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ be a continuous representation. Assume that:

- $p > 2$,
- $[F^+ : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even,
- F/F^+ is unramified at all finite places,
- F_w/\mathbb{Q}_p is totally ramified for each place $w|p$ of F , and
- \bar{r} is an irreducible modular representation with split ramification.

We point out that the condition that any place above p in F^+ splits in F , which is assumed throughout [ibid.], is implied by the third and fourth conditions above. The following result is Theorem 5.1.3 of [ibid.], one of the main theorems of that paper, specialised to the case of interest to us where F_w/\mathbb{Q}_p is totally ramified for each place $w|p$ of F . (Note that in [ibid.], the set of Serre weights $W^?(\bar{r})$ is referred to as $W^{\mathrm{explicit}}(\bar{r})$.)

Theorem 2.2.6. Suppose that Hypothesis 2.2.5 holds. Suppose further that $\zeta_p \notin F$ and $\bar{r}(G_{F(\zeta_p)})$ is adequate. Let $a \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)_0^S$ be a Serre weight. Assume that $a \in W^?(\bar{r})$. Then \bar{r} is modular of weight a .

Here *adequacy* is a group-theoretic condition, introduced in [Thorne 2011], that for subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ with $p > 5$ is equivalent to the usual condition that $\bar{r}|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$ is irreducible. For a precise definition, see [Barnet-Lamb et al. 2011, Definition A.1.1].

Theorem 2.2.6 establishes one direction of Conjecture 2.2.4, and we are left with the problem of “elimination,” that is, the problem of proving that if \bar{r} is modular of weight a , then $a \in W^?(\bar{r})$. We believe that this problem should have a purely local resolution, as we now explain.

The key point is the relationship between being modular of weight a , and the existence of certain de Rham lifts of the local Galois representations $\bar{r}|_{G_{F_w}}$ with $w|p$. The link between these properties is provided by local-global compatibility for the Galois representations associated to the automorphic representations under consideration; rather than give a detailed development of this connection, we simply summarise the key results of [Barnet-Lamb et al. 2011].

Proposition 2.2.7 [Barnet-Lamb et al. 2011, Corollary 4.1.8]. *Suppose Hypothesis 2.2.5 holds. Let $a \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)_0^S$ be a Serre weight. If \bar{r} is modular of weight a , then for each place $w|p$ of F , there is a crystalline representation $\rho_w : G_{F_w} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ lifting $\bar{r}|_{G_{F_w}}$ such that ρ_w has Hodge type λ_w for some lift $\lambda_w \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(F_w, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)}$ of a .*

We stress that Proposition 2.2.7 does not complete the proof of Conjecture 2.2.4 because the representation ρ_w may be irreducible (compare with Definition 2.1.3). However, in light of this result, it is natural to make the following purely local conjecture, which together with Theorem 2.2.6 would essentially resolve Conjecture 2.2.4.

Conjecture 2.2.8. Let K/\mathbb{Q}_p be a finite totally ramified extension, and let $\bar{\rho} : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ be a continuous representation. Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$ be a Serre weight, and suppose that for some lift $\lambda \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(K, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)}$, there is a continuous crystalline representation $\rho : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ lifting $\bar{\rho}$ such that ρ has Hodge type λ .

Then $a \in W^?(\bar{r})$.

We do not know how to prove this conjecture, and we do not directly address the conjecture in the rest of this paper. Instead, we proceed more indirectly. Proposition 2.2.7 is a simple consequence of lifting automorphic forms of weight a to forms of weight λ ; we may also obtain nontrivial information by lifting to forms of weight 0 and nontrivial type. In this paper, we will always consider principal series types. Recall that if K/\mathbb{Q}_p is a finite extension the *inertial type* of a potentially semistable Galois representation $\rho : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ is the restriction to I_K of the corresponding Weil–Deligne representation. In this paper we normalise this definition as in the appendix to [Conrad et al. 1999], so that, for example, the inertial type of a finite order character is just the restriction to inertia of that character. We refer the reader to Definition 2.1.2 and the discussion immediately following it for our definition of “Hodge type 0.”

Proposition 2.2.9. *Suppose that Hypothesis 2.2.5 holds. Let $a \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)_0^S$ be a Serre weight. If \bar{r} is modular of weight a , then for each place $w|p$ of F , there is a continuous potentially semistable representation $\rho_w : G_{F_w} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ lifting $\bar{r}|_{G_{F_w}}$, such that ρ_w has Hodge type $\underline{0}$ and inertial type $\tilde{\omega}^{a_1} \oplus \tilde{\omega}^{a_2}$. (Here $\tilde{\omega}$ is the Teichmüller lift of ω .) Furthermore, ρ_w is potentially crystalline unless*

$$a_1 - a_2 = p - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{r}|_{G_{F_w}} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\chi}\bar{\epsilon} & * \\ 0 & \bar{\chi} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for some character } \bar{\chi}.$$

Proof. This is proved in exactly the same way as [Gee and Savitt 2011a, Lemma 3.4], working in the setting of [Barnet-Lamb et al. 2011] (cf. the proof of Lemma 3.1.1 there). Note that if ρ_w is not potentially crystalline, then it is necessarily a twist of an extension of the trivial character by the cyclotomic character. \square

3. Realising local representations globally

3.1. We now recall a result from [Gee and Kisin 2012], which allows us to realise local representations globally, in order to apply the results of Section 2.2 in a purely local setting.

Theorem 3.1.1. *Suppose that $p > 2$, that K/\mathbb{Q}_p is a finite extension, and let $\bar{r}_K : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ be a continuous representation. Then there is an imaginary CM field F and a continuous irreducible representation $\bar{r} : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ such that, if F^+ denotes the maximal totally real subfield of F ,*

- each place $v|p$ of F^+ splits in F and has $F_v^+ \cong K$,
- for each place $v|p$ of F^+ , there is a place \tilde{v} of F lying over F^+ with $\bar{r}|_{G_{F_{\tilde{v}}}}$ isomorphic to an unramified twist of \bar{r}_K ,
- $\zeta_p \notin F$,
- \bar{r} is unramified outside of p ,
- \bar{r} is modular in the sense of [Barnet-Lamb et al. 2011], and
- $\bar{r}(G_{F(\zeta_p)})$ is adequate.

Proof. We give a brief (but complete) proof; a more detailed version appears in [Gee and Kisin 2012, Appendix A.1.5]. The argument is a straightforward application of potential modularity techniques. First, an application of Proposition 3.2 of [Calegari 2012] supplies a totally real field L^+ and a continuous irreducible representation $\bar{r} : G_{L^+} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ such that

- for each place $v|p$ of L^+ , $L_v^+ \cong K$ and $\bar{r}|_{L_v^+} \cong \bar{r}_K$,
- for each place $v|\infty$ of L^+ , $\det \bar{r}(c_v) = -1$, where c_v is a complex conjugation at v , and
- there is a nontrivial finite extension \mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_p such that $\bar{r}(G_{L^+}) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$.

By a further base change one can also arrange that $\bar{r}|_{G_{L^+}}$ is unramified at each finite place $v \nmid p$ of L^+ .

By Lemma 6.1.6 of [Barnet-Lamb et al. 2012] and the proof of Proposition 7.8.1 of [Snowden 2009], \bar{r}_K admits a potentially Barsotti–Tate lift, and one may then apply Proposition 8.2.1 of [Snowden 2009] to deduce that there is a finite totally real Galois extension F^+/L^+ in which all primes of L^+ above p split completely, such that $\bar{r}|_{G_{F^+}}$ is modular in the sense that it is congruent to the Galois representation associated to some Hilbert modular form of parallel weight 2.

By the theory of base change between GL_2 and unitary groups (see Section 2 of [Barnet-Lamb et al. 2011]), it now suffices to show that there is a totally imaginary quadratic extension F/F^+ and a character $\bar{\theta} : G_F \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times$ such that $\bar{r}|_{G_F} \otimes \bar{\theta}$ has multiplier $\bar{\epsilon}^{-1}$ and such that for each place $v|p$ of F^+ , there is a place \tilde{v} of F lying over v with $\bar{\theta}|_{G_{F_{\tilde{v}}}}$ unramified. The existence of such a character is a straightforward exercise in class field theory, and follows for example from Lemma 4.1.5 of [Clozel et al. 2008]. □

4. Congruences

4.1. Having realised a local mod p representation globally, we can now use the results explained in Section 2 to deduce nontrivial local consequences.

Proposition 4.1.1. *Let $p > 2$ be prime, let K/\mathbb{Q}_p be a finite totally ramified extension, and let $\bar{\rho} : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ be a continuous representation. Let $a \in W^3(\bar{\rho})$ be a Serre weight. Then there is a continuous potentially semistable representation $\rho : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ lifting $\bar{\rho}$, such that ρ has Hodge type $\underline{0}$ and inertial type $\tilde{\omega}^{a_1} \oplus \tilde{\omega}^{a_2}$. Furthermore, ρ is potentially crystalline unless*

$$a_1 - a_2 = p - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\rho} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\chi}\bar{\epsilon} & * \\ 0 & \bar{\chi} \end{pmatrix}$$

for some character $\bar{\chi}$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.1.1, there is an imaginary CM field F and a modular representation $\bar{r} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ such that

- for each place $v|p$ of F^+ , v splits in F as $\tilde{v}\tilde{v}^c$, and we have $F_{\tilde{v}} \cong K$, and $\bar{r}|_{G_{F_{\tilde{v}}}}$ is isomorphic to an unramified twist of $\bar{\rho}$,
- \bar{r} is unramified outside of p ,
- $\zeta_p \notin F$, and
- $\bar{r}(G_{F(\zeta_p)})$ is adequate.

Now, since the truth of the result to be proved is obviously unaffected by making an unramified twist (if $\bar{\rho}$ is replaced by a twist by an unramified character $\bar{\theta}$, one may

replace ρ by a twist by an unramified lift of $\bar{\theta}$, we may without loss of generality suppose that $\bar{r}|_{G_{\bar{F}_w}} \cong \bar{\rho}$. Let $b \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)_0^S$ be the Serre weight such that $b_{\bar{v}} = a$ for each place $v|p$ of F^+ , where S denotes the set of places of F above p . By Remark 2.1.5, $b \in W^?(\bar{r})$. Then by Theorem 2.2.6, \bar{r} is modular of weight b . The result now follows from Proposition 2.2.9. \square

4.2. Spaces of crystalline extensions. We now specialise to the reducible setting of Definition 2.1.3. As usual, we let K/\mathbb{Q}_p be a finite totally ramified extension with residue field $k = \mathbb{F}_p$, ramification index e , and uniformiser π . We fix a Serre weight $a \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$. Note that all the subsequent constructions that we make (such as the definitions of the spaces L_{flat} and L_{crys} below) will depend on this choice. We fix a continuous representation $\bar{\rho} : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, and we assume that there is:

- a decomposition $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_p, \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p) = J \amalg J^c$, and
- an integer $0 \leq \delta \leq e - 1$ such that

$$\bar{\rho}|_{I_K} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \omega^\delta \prod_{\sigma \in J} \omega_\sigma^{a_1+1} & \prod_{\sigma \in J^c} \omega_\sigma^{a_2} & * \\ 0 & \omega^{e-1-\delta} \prod_{\sigma \in J^c} \omega_\sigma^{a_1+1} & \prod_{\sigma \in J} \omega_\sigma^{a_2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that in general there might be several choices of J, δ . Consider pairs of characters $\chi_1, \chi_2 : G_K \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ with the properties that:

- (1) $\bar{\rho} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\chi}_1 & * \\ 0 & \bar{\chi}_2 \end{pmatrix}$,
- (2) χ_1 and χ_2 are crystalline, and
- (3) if we let S denote the set of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(K, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$, then there exist J, δ as above such that either
 - (i) J is nonempty, and there is one embedding $\tau \in S$ with $\text{HT}_\tau(\chi_1) = a_1 + 1$ and $\text{HT}_\tau(\chi_2) = a_2$, there are δ embeddings $\tau \in S$ with $\text{HT}_\tau(\chi_1) = 1$ and $\text{HT}_\tau(\chi_2) = 0$, and for the remaining $e - 1 - \delta$ embeddings $\tau \in S$ we have $\text{HT}_\tau(\chi_1) = 0$ and $\text{HT}_\tau(\chi_2) = 1$, or
 - (ii) $J = \emptyset$, and there is one embedding $\tau \in S$ with $\text{HT}_\tau(\chi_1) = a_2$ and $\text{HT}_\tau(\chi_2) = a_1 + 1$, there are δ embeddings $\tau \in S$ with $\text{HT}_\tau(\chi_1) = 1$ and $\text{HT}_\tau(\chi_2) = 0$, and for the remaining $e - 1 - \delta$ embeddings $\tau \in S$ we have $\text{HT}_\tau(\chi_1) = 0$ and $\text{HT}_\tau(\chi_2) = 1$.

Note that these properties do not uniquely determine the characters χ_1 and χ_2 , even in the unramified case, as one is always free to twist either character by an unramified character which is trivial mod p . We point out that the Hodge type of any de Rham extension of χ_2 by χ_1 will be a lift of a . Conversely, by Lemma 6.2 of [Gee and Savitt 2011a] any χ_1, χ_2 satisfying (1) and (2) such that the Hodge

type of $\chi_1 \oplus \chi_2$ is a lift of a will satisfy (3) for a valid choice of J and δ (unique unless $a = 0$).

Suppose now that we have fixed two such characters χ_1 and χ_2 , and we now allow the (line corresponding to the) extension class of $\bar{\rho}$ in $\text{Ext}_{G_K}(\bar{\chi}_2, \bar{\chi}_1)$ to vary. We naturally identify $\text{Ext}_{G_K}(\bar{\chi}_2, \bar{\chi}_1)$ with $H^1(G_K, \bar{\chi}_1\bar{\chi}_2^{-1})$ from now on.

Definition 4.2.1. Let L_{χ_1, χ_2} be the subset of $H^1(G_K, \bar{\chi}_1\bar{\chi}_2^{-1})$ such that the corresponding representation $\bar{\rho}$ has a crystalline lift ρ of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 & * \\ 0 & \chi_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have the following variant of Lemma 3.12 of [Buzzard et al. 2010].

Lemma 4.2.2. L_{χ_1, χ_2} is an $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ -vector subspace of $H^1(G_K, \bar{\chi}_1\bar{\chi}_2^{-1})$ of dimension $|J| + \delta$ unless $\bar{\chi}_1 = \bar{\chi}_2$, in which case it has dimension $|J| + \delta + 1$.

Proof. Let $\chi = \chi_1\chi_2^{-1}$. Recall $H_f^1(G_K, \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_p(\chi))$ is the preimage of $H_f^1(G_K, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p(\chi))$ under the natural map $\eta : H^1(G_K, \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_p(\chi)) \rightarrow H^1(G_K, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p(\chi))$, so that L_{χ_1, χ_2} is the image of $H_f^1(G_K, \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_p(\chi))$ in $H^1(G_K, \bar{\chi})$. The kernel of η is precisely the torsion part of $H^1(G_K, \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_p(\chi))$. Since $\chi \neq 1$, e.g., by examining Hodge–Tate weights, this torsion is nonzero if and only if $\bar{\chi} = 1$, in which case it has the form $\kappa^{-1}\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_p/\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ for some $\kappa \in m_{\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_p}$. (To see this, note that if $\chi \neq 1$ is defined over E , then the long exact sequence associated to $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{O}_E(\chi) \rightarrow \mathbb{O}_E(\chi) \rightarrow k_E(\bar{\chi}) \rightarrow 0$ identifies $k_E(\bar{\chi})^{G_K}$ with the ϖ -torsion in $\ker(\eta)$.)

By Proposition 1.24(2) of [Nekovář 1993] we see that

$$\dim_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} H_f^1(G_K, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p(\chi)) = |J| + \delta,$$

again using $\chi \neq 1$. Since $H^1(G_K, \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_p(\chi))$ is a finitely generated $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ -module, the result follows. □

Definition 4.2.3. If $\bar{\chi}_1$ and $\bar{\chi}_2$ are fixed, we define L_{crys} to be the subset of $H^1(G_K, \bar{\chi}_1\bar{\chi}_2^{-1})$ given by the union of the L_{χ_1, χ_2} over all χ_1 and χ_2 as above.

Note that L_{crys} is a union of subspaces of possibly varying dimensions, and as such it is not clear that L_{crys} is itself a linear subspace. Note also that the representations $\bar{\rho}$ corresponding to elements of L_{crys} are by definition precisely those for which $a \in W^?(\bar{\rho})$. Note also that L_{crys} depends only on $\bar{\rho}^{\text{ss}}$ and a .

Definition 4.2.4. Let L_{flat} be the subset of $H^1(G_K, \bar{\chi}_1\bar{\chi}_2^{-1})$ consisting of classes with the property that if

$$\bar{\rho} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\chi}_1 & * \\ 0 & \bar{\chi}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is the corresponding representation, then there is a finite field $k_E \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and a finite flat k_E -vector space scheme over $\mathbb{O}_{K(\pi^{1/(p-1)})}$ with generic fibre descent data to K of type $\omega^{a_1} \oplus \omega^{a_2}$ (see Definition 5.1.1) whose generic fibre is $\bar{\rho}$.

Note that L_{flat} depends only on $\bar{\rho}^{\text{ss}}$ and a .

Proposition 4.2.5. *Provided that $a_1 - a_2 \neq p - 1$ or that $\bar{\chi}_1 \bar{\chi}_2^{-1} \neq \bar{\epsilon}$, $L_{\text{crys}} \subset L_{\text{flat}}$.*

Proof. Take a class in L_{crys} , and consider the corresponding representation

$$\bar{\rho} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\chi}_1 & * \\ 0 & \bar{\chi}_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As remarked above, $a \in W^?(\bar{\rho})$, so by Proposition 4.1.1, $\bar{\rho}$ has a crystalline lift of Hodge type $\underline{0}$ and inertial type $\tilde{\omega}^{a_1} \oplus \tilde{\omega}^{a_2}$, and this representation can be taken to have coefficients in the ring of integers \mathbb{O}_E of a finite extension E/\mathbb{Q}_p . Let ϖ be a uniformiser of \mathbb{O}_E , and k_E the residue field. Such a representation corresponds to a p -divisible \mathbb{O}_E -module with generic fibre descent data, and taking the ϖ -torsion gives a finite flat k_E -vector space scheme with generic fibre descent data whose generic fibre is $\bar{\rho}$. By Corollary 5.2 of [Gee and Savitt 2011b] this descent data has type $\omega^{a_1} \oplus \omega^{a_2}$. □

In the next section we will make calculations with finite flat group schemes in order to relate L_{flat} and L_{crys} .

5. Finite flat models

5.1. We work throughout this section in the following setting:

- K/\mathbb{Q}_p is a finite extension with ramification index e , ring of integers \mathbb{O}_K , uniformiser π and residue field \mathbb{F}_p .
- $\bar{\chi}_1, \bar{\chi}_2$ are characters $G_K \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times$.
- $a \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$ is a Serre weight.
- There is a decomposition $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_p, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) = J \amalg J^c$, and an integer $0 \leq \delta \leq e - 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\chi}_1|_{I_K} &= \omega^\delta \prod_{\sigma \in J} \omega^{a_1+1} \prod_{\sigma \in J^c} \omega^{a_2}, \\ \bar{\chi}_2|_{I_K} &= \omega^{e-1-\delta} \prod_{\sigma \in J^c} \omega^{a_1+1} \prod_{\sigma \in J} \omega^{a_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note in particular that $(\bar{\chi}_1 \bar{\chi}_2)|_{I_K} = \omega^{a_1+a_2+e}$.

Let $K_1 := K(\pi^{1/(p-1)})$. Let k_E be a finite extension of \mathbb{F}_p such that $\bar{\chi}_1, \bar{\chi}_2$ are defined over k_E ; for the moment k_E will be fixed, but eventually it will be allowed to vary.

We wish to consider the representations

$$\bar{\rho} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\chi}_1 & * \\ 0 & \bar{\chi}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

such that there is a finite flat k_E -vector space scheme \mathcal{G} over \mathbb{O}_{K_1} with generic fibre descent data to K of type $\omega^{a_1} \oplus \omega^{a_2}$ (see Definition 5.1.1), whose generic fibre is $\bar{\rho}$. In order to do so, we will work with Breuil modules with descent data from K_1 to K . We recall the necessary definitions from [Gee and Savitt 2011b].

Fix π_1 , a $(p - 1)$ -st root of π in K_1 . Write $e' = e(p - 1)$. The category BrMod_{dd} consists of quadruples $(\mathcal{M}, \text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}, \phi_1, \{\widehat{g}\})$ where:

- \mathcal{M} is a finitely generated free $k_E[u]/u^{e'p}$ -module,
- $\text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}$ is a $k_E[u]/u^{e'p}$ -submodule of \mathcal{M} containing $u^{e'} \mathcal{M}$,
- $\phi_1 : \text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is k_E -linear and ϕ -semilinear (where

$$\phi : \mathbb{F}_p[u]/u^{e'p} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[u]/u^{e'p}$$

is the p -th power map) with image generating \mathcal{M} as a $k_E[u]/u^{e'p}$ -module, and

- $\widehat{g} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ for each $g \in \text{Gal}(K_1/K)$ are additive bijections that preserve $\text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M}$, commute with the ϕ_1 -, and k_E -actions, and satisfy $\widehat{g}_1 \circ \widehat{g}_2 = \widehat{g_1 \circ g_2}$ for all $g_1, g_2 \in \text{Gal}(K_1/K)$; furthermore $\widehat{1}$ is the identity, and if $a \in k_E, m \in \mathcal{M}$ then $\widehat{g}(au^i m) = a((g(\pi)/\pi)^i)u^i \widehat{g}(m)$.

The category BrMod_{dd} is equivalent to the category of finite flat k_E -vector space schemes over \mathbb{O}_{K_1} together with descent data on the generic fibre from K_1 to K (this equivalence depends on π_1); see [Savitt 2008], for instance. We obtain the associated G_K -representation (which we will refer to as the generic fibre) of an object of $\text{BrMod}_{\text{dd}, K_1}$ via the covariant functor $T_{\text{st}, 2}^K$ (which is defined immediately before Lemma 4.9 of [Savitt 2005]).

Definition 5.1.1. Let \mathcal{M} be an object of BrMod_{dd} such that the underlying k_E -module has rank two. We say that the finite flat k_E -vector space scheme corresponding to \mathcal{M} has descent data of type $\omega^{a_1} \oplus \omega^{a_2}$ if \mathcal{M} has a basis e_1, e_2 such that $\widehat{g}(e_i) = \omega^{a_i}(g)e_i$. (Here we abuse notation by identifying an element of G_K with its image in $\text{Gal}(K_1/K)$.)

We now consider a finite flat group scheme with generic fibre descent data \mathcal{G} as above. By a standard scheme-theoretic closure argument, $\bar{\chi}_1$ corresponds to a finite flat subgroup scheme with generic fibre descent data \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{G} , so we begin by analysing the possible finite flat group schemes corresponding to characters.

Suppose now that \mathcal{M} is an object of BrMod_{dd} . The rank one objects of BrMod_{dd} are classified as follows.

Proposition 5.1.2. *With our fixed choice of uniformiser π , every rank-one object of BrMod_{dd} has the form:*

- $\mathcal{M} = (k_E[u]/u^{e'p}) \cdot v$,
- $\text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{M} = u^{x(p-1)} \mathcal{M}$,
- $\phi_1(u^{x(p-1)}v) = cv$ for some $c \in k_E^\times$, and
- $\widehat{g}(v) = \omega(g)^k v$ for all $g \in \text{Gal}(K_1/K)$,

where $0 \leq x \leq e$ and $0 \leq k < p - 1$ are integers.

Then $T_{\text{st},2}^K(\mathcal{M}) = \omega^{k+x} \cdot \text{ur}_{c^{-1}}$, where $\text{ur}_{c^{-1}}$ is the unramified character taking an arithmetic Frobenius element to c^{-1} .

Proof. This is a special case of Proposition 4.2 and Corollary 4.3 of [Gee and Savitt 2011b]. □

Let \mathcal{M} (or $\mathcal{M}(x)$) be the rank-one Breuil module with k_E -coefficients and descent data from K_1 to K corresponding to \mathcal{H} , and write \mathcal{M} in the form given by Proposition 5.1.2. Since \mathcal{G} has descent data of type $\omega^{a_1} \oplus \omega^{a_2}$, we must have $\omega^k \in \{\omega^{a_1}, \omega^{a_2}\}$.

5.2. Extensions. Having determined the rank-one objects, we now go further and compute the possible extension classes. By a scheme-theoretic closure argument, the Breuil module \mathcal{P} corresponding to \mathcal{G} is an extension of \mathcal{N} by \mathcal{M} , where \mathcal{M} is as in the previous section, and \mathcal{N} (or $\mathcal{N}(y)$) is defined by

- $\mathcal{N} = (k_E[u]/u^{e'p}) \cdot w$,
- $\text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{N} = u^{y(p-1)} \mathcal{N}$,
- $\phi_1(u^{y(p-1)}v) = dw$ for some $d \in k_E^\times$, and
- $\widehat{g}(v) = \omega(g)^l v$ for all $g \in \text{Gal}(K_1/K)$,

where $0 \leq y \leq e$ and $0 \leq l < p - 1$ are integers. Now, as noted above, the descent data for \mathcal{G} is of type $\omega^{a_1} \oplus \omega^{a_2}$, so we must have that either $\omega^k = \omega^{a_1}$ and $\omega^l = \omega^{a_2}$, or $\omega^k = \omega^{a_2}$ and $\omega^l = \omega^{a_1}$. Since by definition we have $(\overline{\chi}_1 \overline{\chi}_2)|_{I_K} = \omega^{a_1+a_2+e}$, we see from Proposition 5.1.2 that

$$x + y \equiv e \pmod{p - 1}.$$

We have the following classification of extensions of \mathcal{N} by \mathcal{M} .

Proposition 5.2.1. *Every extension of \mathcal{N} by \mathcal{M} is isomorphic to exactly one of the form*

- $\mathcal{P} = (k_E[u]/u^{e'p}) \cdot v + (k_E[u]/u^{e'p}) \cdot w$,
- $\text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{P} = (k_E[u]/u^{e'p}) \cdot u^{x(p-1)}v + (k_E[u]/u^{e'p}) \cdot (u^{y(p-1)}w + \nu v)$,
- $\phi_1(u^{x(p-1)}v) = cv, \phi_1(u^{y(p-1)}w + \nu v) = dw$,

- $\widehat{g}(v) = \omega^k(g)v$ and $\widehat{g}(w) = \omega^l(g)w$ for all $g \in \text{Gal}(K_1/K)$,

where $v \in u^{\max\{0, (x+y-e)(p-1)\}} k_E[u]/u^{e'p}$ has all nonzero terms of degree congruent to $l - k$ modulo $p - 1$, and has all terms of degree less than $x(p - 1)$, unless $\bar{\chi}_1 = \bar{\chi}_2$ and $x \geq y$, in which case it may additionally have a term of degree $px - y$.

Proof. This is a special case of Theorem 7.5 of [Savitt 2004] with the addition of k_E -coefficients. When K (in the notation of *loc. cit.*) is totally ramified, the proof of that theorem with coefficients added proceeds in the same manner with only the following changes, where l corresponds to p in our present paper.

- Replace Lemma 7.1 of *loc. cit.* (i.e., Lemma 5.2.2 of [Breuil et al. 2001]) with Lemma 5.2.4 of [Breuil et al. 2001] (with $k' = k_E$ and $k = \mathbb{F}_p$ in the notation of that lemma). In particular replace t^l with $\phi(t)$ wherever it appears in the proof, where ϕ is the k_E -linear endomorphism of $k_E[u]/u^{e'l}$ sending u^i to u^{li} .
- Instead of applying Lemma 4.1 of [Savitt 2004], note that the cohomology group $H^1(\text{Gal}(K_1/K), k_E[u]/u^{e'l})$ vanishes because $\text{Gal}(K_1/K)$ has prime-to- l order while $k_E[u]/u^{e'l}$ has l -power order.
- Every occurrence of T_i^l in the proof (for any subscript i) should be replaced with T_i .
- The coefficients of h, t are permitted to lie in k_E (that is, they are not constrained to lie in any particular proper subfield). □

The formulas for $(\mathcal{P}, \text{Fil}^1\mathcal{P}, \phi_1, \{\widehat{g}\})$ in the statement of Proposition 5.2.1 define a Breuil module with descent data provided that $\text{Fil}^1\mathcal{P}$ contains $u^{e'}\mathcal{P}$ and is preserved by each \widehat{g} . This is the case as long as v lies in $u^{\max\{0, (x+y-e)(p-1)\}} k_E[u]/u^{e'p}$ and has all nonzero terms of degree congruent to $l - k$ modulo $p - 1$ (compare the discussion in Section 7 of [Savitt 2004]); denote this Breuil module by $\mathcal{P}(x, y, v)$. Note that c is fixed while x determines k , since we require $\omega^{k+x} \cdot \text{ur}_{c-1} = \bar{\chi}_1$; similarly d is fixed and y determines l . So this notation is reasonable.

We would like to compare the generic fibres of extensions of different choices of \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} . To this end, we have the following result. Write $\bar{\chi}_1|_{I_K} = \omega^\alpha, \bar{\chi}_2|_{I_K} = \omega^\beta$.

Proposition 5.2.2. *The Breuil module $\mathcal{P}(x, y, v)$ has the same generic fibre as the Breuil module \mathcal{P}' , where*

- $\mathcal{P}' = (k_E[u]/u^{e'p}) \cdot v' + (k_E[u]/u^{e'p}) \cdot w'$,
- $\text{Fil}^1\mathcal{P}' = (k_E[u]/u^{e'p}) \cdot u^{e(p-1)}v' + (k_E[u]/u^{e'p}) \cdot (w' + u^{p(e-x)+y}vv')$,
- $\phi_1(u^{e(p-1)}v') = cv', \phi_1(w' + u^{p(e-x)+y}vv') = dw'$,
- $\widehat{g}(v') = \omega^{\alpha-e}(g)v'$ and $\widehat{g}(w') = \omega^\beta(g)w'$ for all $g \in \text{Gal}(K_1/K)$.

Proof. Consider the Breuil module \mathcal{P}'' defined by

- $\mathcal{P}'' = (k_E[u]/u^{e'p}) \cdot v'' + (k_E[u]/u^{e'p}) \cdot w''$,

- $\text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{P}'' = (k_E[u]/u^{e'p}) \cdot u^{e(p-1)}v'' + (k_E[u]/u^{e'p}) \cdot (u^{y(p-1)}w'' + u^{p(e-x)}\nu v'')$,
- $\phi_1(u^{e(p-1)}v'') = cv''$, $\phi_1(u^{y(p-1)}w'' + u^{p(e-x)}\nu v'') = dw''$,
- $\widehat{g}(v'') = \omega^{k+x-e}(g)v''$ and $\widehat{g}(w'') = \omega^l(g)w''$ for all $g \in \text{Gal}(K_1/K)$.

(One checks without difficulty that this is a Breuil module. For instance the condition on the minimum degree of terms appearing in ν guarantees that $\text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{P}''$ contains $u^{e'}\mathcal{P}''$.) Note that $k + x \equiv \alpha \pmod{p - 1}$, $l + y \equiv \beta \pmod{p - 1}$. We claim that \mathcal{P} , \mathcal{P}' and \mathcal{P}'' all have the same generic fibre. To see this, one can check directly that there is a morphism $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}''$ given by

$$v \mapsto u^{p(e-x)}v'', \quad w \mapsto w'',$$

and a morphism $\mathcal{P}' \rightarrow \mathcal{P}''$ given by

$$v' \mapsto v'', \quad w' \mapsto u^{py}w''.$$

By Proposition 8.3 of [Savitt 2004], it is enough to check that the kernels of these maps do not contain any free $k_E[u]/(u^{e'p})$ -submodules, which is an immediate consequence of the inequalities $p(e - x)$, $py < e'p$. □

Remark 5.2.3. For future reference, while the classes in $H^1(G_K, \bar{\chi}_1 \bar{\chi}_2^{-1})$ realised by $\mathcal{P}(x, y, \nu)$ and \mathcal{P}' may not coincide, they differ at most by multiplication by a k_E -scalar. To see this, observe that the maps $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}''$ and $\mathcal{P}' \rightarrow \mathcal{P}''$ induce k_E -isomorphisms on the one-dimensional sub- and quotient characters.

We review the constraints on the integers x, y : they must lie between 0 and e , and if we let k, l be the residues of $\alpha - x, \beta - y \pmod{p - 1}$ in the interval $[0, p - 1)$ then we must have $\{\omega^k, \omega^l\} = \{\omega^{a_1}, \omega^{a_2}\}$. Call such a pair x, y *valid*.

Corollary 5.2.4. *Let x', y' be another valid pair. Suppose that $x' + y' \leq e$ and $p(x' - x) + (y - y') \geq 0$. Then $\mathcal{P}(x, y, \nu)$ has the same generic fibre as $\mathcal{P}(x', y', \nu')$, where $\nu' = u^{p(x'-x)+(y-y')}\nu$.*

Proof. The Breuil module $\mathcal{P}(x', y', \nu')$ is well-defined: one checks, for example from the relation $l - k \equiv \beta - \alpha + x - y \pmod{p - 1}$, that the congruence condition on the degrees of the nonzero terms in ν' is satisfied, while since $x' + y' \leq e$ there is no condition on the lowest degrees appearing in ν' . Now the result is immediate from Proposition 5.2.2, since $u^{p(e-x)+y}\nu = u^{p(e-x')+y'}\nu'$. □

Recall that $x + y \equiv e \pmod{p - 1}$, so that x and $e - y$ have the same residue modulo $p - 1$. It follows that if x, y is a valid pair of parameters, then so is $e - y, y$; and similarly for $x, e - x$. Let X be the largest value of x over all valid pairs x, y , and similarly Y the smallest value of y . Then on the one hand $X \geq e - Y$ by definition of X , while on the other hand $e - X \geq Y$ by definition of Y . It follows that $X + Y = e$.

Corollary 5.2.5. *The module $\mathcal{P}(x, y, v)$ has the same generic fibre as $\mathcal{P}(X, Y, \mu)$ where $\mu \in k_E[u]/u^{e-p}$ has all nonzero terms of degree congruent to $\beta - \alpha + X - Y$ modulo $p - 1$, and has all terms of degree less than $X(p - 1)$, unless $\bar{\chi}_1 = \bar{\chi}_2$, in which case it may additionally have a term of degree $pX - Y$.*

Proof. Since $X + Y = e$ and $p(X - x) + (y - Y) \geq 0$ from the choice of X, Y , Corollary 5.2.4 shows that $\mathcal{P}(x, y, v)$ has the same generic fibre as some $\mathcal{P}(X, Y, v')$; by Proposition 5.2.1 there exists μ as in the statement such that $\mathcal{P}(x, y, \mu)$ has the same generic fibre as $\mathcal{P}(X, Y, v')$. (Note that if $\bar{\chi}_1 = \bar{\chi}_2$ then automatically $X \geq Y$, because in this case if (x, y) is a valid pair then so is (y, x) .) \square

Proposition 5.2.6. *Let X be as above, that is, X is the maximal integer such that*

- $0 \leq X \leq e$, and
- either $\bar{\chi}_1|_{I_K} = \omega^{a_1+X}$ or $\bar{\chi}_1|_{I_K} = \omega^{a_2+X}$.

Then L_{flat} is an $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ -vector space of dimension at most X , unless $\bar{\chi}_1 = \bar{\chi}_2$, in which case it has dimension at most $X + 1$.

Proof. Let $L_{\text{flat}, k_E} \subset L_{\text{flat}}$ consist of the classes η such that the containment $\eta \in L_{\text{flat}}$ is witnessed by a k_E -vector space scheme with generic fibre descent data. By Corollary 5.2.5 and Remark 5.2.3, these are exactly the classes arising from the Breuil modules $\mathcal{P}(X, Y, \mu)$ with k_E -coefficients as in Corollary 5.2.5. These classes form a k_E -vector space (since they are all the extension classes arising from extensions of $\mathcal{N}(Y)$ by $\mathcal{M}(X)$), and by counting the (finite) number of possibilities for μ we see that $\dim_{k_E} L_{\text{flat}, k_E}$ is at most X or, when $\bar{\chi}_1 = \bar{\chi}_2$, $X + 1$.

Since $L_{\text{flat}, k_E} \subset L_{\text{flat}, k'_E}$ if $k_E \subset k'_E$ it follows easily that $L_{\text{flat}} = \bigcup_{k_E} L_{\text{flat}, k_E}$ is an $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ -vector space of dimension at most X or $X + 1$, respectively. \square

We can now prove our main local result, the relation between L_{flat} and L_{crys} .

Theorem 5.2.7. *If either $a_1 - a_2 \neq p - 1$ or $\bar{\chi}_1 \bar{\chi}_2^{-1} \neq \bar{\epsilon}$, we have $L_{\text{flat}} = L_{\text{crys}}$.*

Proof. Before we begin the proof, we remind the reader that the spaces L_{crys} and L_{flat} depend on the fixed Serre weight a and the fixed representation $\bar{\rho}^{\text{ss}}$, and that we are free to vary J and δ in our arguments. By Proposition 4.2.5, we know that $L_{\text{crys}} \subset L_{\text{flat}}$, so by Proposition 5.2.6 it suffices to show that L_{crys} contains an $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ -subspace of dimension X (respectively $X + 1$ if $\bar{\chi}_1 = \bar{\chi}_2$). Since L_{crys} is the union of the spaces L_{χ_1, χ_2} , it suffices to show that one of these spaces has the required dimension. Let X be as in the statement of Proposition 5.2.6, so that X is maximal in $[0, e]$ with the property that either $\bar{\chi}_1|_{I_K} = \omega^{a_1+X}$ or $\bar{\chi}_1|_{I_K} = \omega^{a_2+X}$. Note that by the assumption that there is a decomposition $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_p, \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p) = J \amalg J^c$,

and an integer $0 \leq \delta \leq e - 1$ such that

$$\bar{\rho}|_{I_K} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \omega^\delta \prod_{\sigma \in J} \omega_\sigma^{a_1+1} \prod_{\sigma \in J^c} \omega_\sigma^{a_2} & * \\ 0 & \omega^{e-1-\delta} \prod_{\sigma \in J^c} \omega_\sigma^{a_1+1} \prod_{\sigma \in J} \omega_\sigma^{a_2} \end{pmatrix},$$

we see that if $X = 0$ then $\bar{\chi}_1|_{I_K} = \omega^{a_2}$.

If $\bar{\chi}_1|_{I_K} = \omega^{a_2+X}$ then we can take J to be empty and we take $\delta = X$; otherwise $X > 0$ and $\bar{\chi}_1|_{I_K} = \omega^{a_1+X}$, and we can take J^c to be empty and $\delta = X - 1$. In either case, we may define characters χ_1 and χ_2 as in Section 4.2, and we see from Lemma 4.2.2 that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} L_{\chi_1, \chi_2} = X$ unless $\bar{\chi}_1 = \bar{\chi}_2$, in which case it is $X + 1$. The result follows. □

As a consequence of this result, we can also address the question of the relationship between the different spaces L_{χ_1, χ_2} for a fixed Serre weight $a \in W^2(\bar{\rho})$. If e is large, then these spaces do not necessarily have the same dimension, so they cannot always be equal. However, it is usually the case that the spaces of maximal dimension coincide, as we can now see.

Corollary 5.2.8. *If either $a_1 - a_2 \neq p - 1$ or $\bar{\chi}_1 \bar{\chi}_2^{-1} \neq \bar{\epsilon}$, then the spaces L_{χ_1, χ_2} of maximal dimension are all equal.*

Proof. In this case $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} L_{\chi_1, \chi_2} = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} L_{\text{crys}}$ by the proof of Theorem 5.2.7, so we must have $L_{\chi_1, \chi_2} = L_{\text{crys}}$. □

Finally, we determine L_{crys} in the one remaining case, where the spaces L_{χ_1, χ_2} of maximal dimension no longer coincide.

Proposition 5.2.9. *If $a_1 - a_2 = p - 1$ and $\bar{\chi}_1 \bar{\chi}_2^{-1} = \bar{\epsilon}$, then $L_{\text{crys}} = H^1(G_K, \bar{\epsilon})$.*

Proof. We adapt the proof of [Barnet-Lamb et al. 2012, Lemma 6.1.6]. By twisting we can reduce to the case $(a_1, a_2) = (p - 1, 0)$. Let L be a given line in $H^1(G_K, \bar{\epsilon})$, and choose an unramified character ψ with trivial reduction. Let χ be some fixed crystalline character of G_K with Hodge–Tate weights $p, 1, \dots, 1$ such that $\bar{\chi} = \bar{\epsilon}$. Let E/\mathbb{Q}_p be a finite extension with ring of integers \mathbb{O} , uniformiser ϖ and residue field \mathbb{F} , such that ψ and χ are defined over E and L is defined over \mathbb{F} (that is, there is a basis for L which corresponds to an extension defined over \mathbb{F}). Since any extension of 1 by $\chi\psi$ is automatically crystalline, it suffices to show that we can choose ψ so that L lifts to $H^1(G_K, \mathbb{O}(\psi\chi))$.

Let H be the hyperplane in $H^1(G_K, \mathbb{F})$ which annihilates L under the Tate pairing. Let $\delta_1 : H^1(G_K, \mathbb{F}(\bar{\epsilon})) \rightarrow H^2(G_K, \mathbb{O}(\psi\chi))$ be the map coming from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{O}(\psi\chi) \xrightarrow{\varpi} \mathbb{O}(\psi\chi) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(\bar{\epsilon}) \rightarrow 0$$

of G_K -modules. We need to show that $\delta_1(L) = 0$ for some choice of ψ .

Let δ_0 be the map

$$H^0(G_K, (E/\mathbb{O})(\psi^{-1}\chi^{-1}\epsilon)) \rightarrow H^1(G_K, \mathbb{F})$$

coming from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \rightarrow (E/\mathbb{O})(\psi^{-1}\chi^{-1}\epsilon) \xrightarrow{\varpi} (E/\mathbb{O})(\psi^{-1}\chi^{-1}\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$$

of G_K -modules. By Tate local duality, the condition that L vanishes under the map δ_1 is equivalent to the condition that the image of the map δ_0 is contained in H . Let $n \geq 1$ be the largest integer with the property that $\psi^{-1}\chi^{-1}\epsilon \equiv 1 \pmod{\varpi^n}$. Then we can write $\psi^{-1}\chi^{-1}\epsilon(x) = 1 + \varpi^n\alpha_\psi(x)$ for some function $\alpha_\psi : G_K \rightarrow \mathbb{O}$. Let $\bar{\alpha}_\psi$ denote $\alpha_\psi \pmod{\varpi} : G_K \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$. Then $\bar{\alpha}_\psi$ is a group homomorphism (that is a 1-cocycle), and the choice of n ensures that it is nontrivial. It is straightforward to check that the image of the map δ_0 is the line spanned by $\bar{\alpha}_\psi$. If $\bar{\alpha}_\psi$ is in H for some ψ , we are done. Suppose this is not the case. We break the rest of the proof into two cases.

Case 1: L is très ramifié. To begin, we observe that it is possible to have chosen ψ so that $\bar{\alpha}_\psi$ is ramified. To see this, let m be the largest integer with the property that $(\psi^{-1}\chi^{-1}\epsilon)|_{I_K} \equiv 1 \pmod{\varpi^m}$. Note that m exists since the Hodge–Tate weights of $\psi^{-1}\chi^{-1}\epsilon$ are not all 0. If $m = n$ then we are done, so assume instead that $m > n$. Let $g \in G_K$ be a fixed lift of Frob_K . We claim that

$$\psi^{-1}\chi^{-1}\epsilon(g) = 1 + \varpi^n\alpha_\psi(g) \quad \text{such that } \alpha_\psi(g) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\varpi}.$$

In fact, if

$$\alpha_\psi(g) \equiv 0 \pmod{\varpi} \quad \text{then } \psi^{-1}\chi^{-1}\epsilon(g) \in 1 + \varpi^{n+1}\mathbb{O}_K.$$

Since $m > n$ we see that $\psi^{-1}\chi^{-1}\epsilon(G_K) \subset 1 + \varpi^{n+1}\mathbb{O}_K$ and this contradicts the selection of n . Now let ψ' be the unramified character sending our fixed g to $1 + \varpi^n\alpha_\psi(g)$. Then ψ' has trivial reduction, and after replacing ψ by $\psi\psi'$ we see that n has increased but m has not changed. After finitely many iterations of this procedure we have $m = n$, completing the claim.

Suppose, then, that $\bar{\alpha}_\psi$ is ramified. The fact that L is très ramifié implies that H does not contain the unramified line in $H^1(G_K, \mathbb{F})$. Thus there is a unique $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{F}^\times$ such that $\bar{\alpha}_\psi + u_{\bar{x}} \in H$ where $u_{\bar{x}} : G_K \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is the unramified homomorphism sending Frob_K to \bar{x} . Replacing ψ with ψ times the unramified character sending Frob_K to $(1 + \varpi^n x)^{-1}$, for x a lift of \bar{x} , we are done.

Case 2: L is peu ramifié. Making a ramified extension of \mathbb{O} if necessary, we can and do assume that $n \geq 2$ (for example, replacing E by $E(\varpi^{1/2})$ has the effect of replacing n by $2n$). The fact that L is peu ramifié implies that H contains the unramified line. It follows that if we replace ψ with ψ times the unramified character sending Frob_K to $1 + \varpi$, then we are done (as the new $\bar{\alpha}_\psi$ will be unramified). \square

6. Global consequences

6.1. We now deduce our main global results, using the main theorems of [Barnet-Lamb et al. 2011] together with our local results to precisely determine the set of Serre weights for a global representation in the totally ramified case.

Theorem 6.1.1. *Suppose that Hypothesis 2.2.5 holds. Let $a \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)_0^S$ be a Serre weight such that \bar{r} is modular of weight a . Let w be a place of F dividing p , write $a_w = (a_1, a_2)$, and write ω for the unique fundamental character of I_{F_w} of niveau one. Then $a_w \in W^?(\bar{r}|_{G_{F_w}})$.*

Proof. Let e be the ramification degree of F_w . Suppose first that $\bar{r}|_{G_{F_w}}$ is irreducible. Then the proof of Lemma 5.5 of [Gee and Savitt 2011a] goes through unchanged, and gives the required result. So we may suppose that $\bar{r}|_{G_{F_w}}$ is reducible. In this case the proof of Lemma 5.4 of [ibid.] goes through unchanged, and shows that

$$\bar{r}|_{G_{F_w}} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\chi}_1 & * \\ 0 & \bar{\chi}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $(\bar{\chi}_1 \bar{\chi}_2)|_{I_K} = \omega^{a_1+a_2+e}$, and either $\bar{\chi}_1|_{I_K} = \omega^{a_1+z}$ or $\bar{\chi}_1|_{I_K} = \omega^{a_2+e-z}$ for some $1 \leq z \leq e$, so we are in the situation of Section 4.2. Consider the extension class in $H^1(G_{F_w}, \bar{\chi}_1 \bar{\chi}_2^{-1})$ corresponding to $\bar{r}|_{G_{F_w}}$. By Proposition 2.2.9, either $a_1 - a_2 = p - 1$ and $\bar{\chi}_1 \bar{\chi}_2^{-1} = \bar{\epsilon}$, or this extension class is in L_{flat} . In either case, by Theorem 5.2.7 and Proposition 5.2.9, the extension class is in L_{crys} , so that $a_w \in W^?(\bar{r}|_{G_{F_w}})$, as required. \square

We remark that we have stated Theorem 6.1.1 only when F_w/\mathbb{Q}_p is totally ramified for all places $w|p$ of F in order to avoid recalling the definition of Serre weights in any greater generality; however, the above argument would prove essentially the same result at any totally ramified place $w|p$ of F , even if not all places $w|p$ are totally ramified (just modify Proposition 2.2.9 suitably).

Combining Theorem 6.1.1 with Theorem 5.1.3 of [Barnet-Lamb et al. 2011], we obtain our main global result.

Theorem 6.1.2. *Suppose that Hypothesis 2.2.5 holds. Suppose further that $\zeta_p \notin F$ and $\bar{r}(G_{F(\zeta_p)})$ is adequate. Let $a \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)_0^S$ be a Serre weight. Then $a_w \in W^?(\bar{r}|_{G_{F_w}})$ for all places $w|p$ of F if and only if \bar{r} is modular of weight a .*

Finally, we may apply our local results to the case of inner forms of GL_2 , as considered in [Gee and Savitt 2011a]. Here is an example of the kind of theorem that one can prove. We refer the reader to [ibid.] for the notion of $\bar{\rho}$ as below being modular (of some weight).

Theorem 6.1.3. *Let F be a totally real field, let $p \geq 7$ be prime, and suppose that p is totally ramified in F , and that $[F(\zeta_p) : F] > 4$. Let $\bar{\rho} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ be a*

continuous modular representation, and suppose that $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$ is irreducible. Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ be a Serre weight. Let v be the unique place of F lying over p , and assume that $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}^{\text{ss}} \not\cong \bar{\epsilon}\omega^{a_1} \oplus \omega^{a_2}, \bar{\epsilon}\omega^{a_2} \oplus \omega^{a_1}$. Then $\bar{\rho}$ is modular of weight a if and only if $a \in W^?(\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}})$, where v is the unique place of F lying over p .

Proof. This follows easily from Theorem 5.2.7 together with (the proof of) Corollary 7.3 of [ibid.], replacing the use of Theorem 7.1 of [ibid.] with an appeal to Theorem 6.1.9 of [Barnet-Lamb et al. 2012]. \square

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the anonymous referee for an extremely thorough reading of the paper, and for their helpful suggestions which have improved the exposition in many places. One of us (DS) thanks Fred Diamond for valuable discussions on closely related questions.

References

- [Barnet-Lamb et al. 2011] T. Barnet-Lamb, T. Gee, and D. Geraghty, “Serre weights for rank two unitary groups”, preprint, 2011. arXiv 1106.5586
- [Barnet-Lamb et al. 2012] T. Barnet-Lamb, T. Gee, and D. Geraghty, “Congruences between Hilbert modular forms: constructing ordinary lifts”, *Duke Math. J.* **161**:8 (2012), 1521–1580. Zbl 06050950
- [Breuil et al. 2001] C. Breuil, B. Conrad, F. Diamond, and R. Taylor, “On the modularity of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} : Wild 3-adic exercises”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **14**:4 (2001), 843–939. MR 2002d:11058 Zbl 0982.11033
- [Buzzard et al. 2010] K. Buzzard, F. Diamond, and F. Jarvis, “On Serre’s conjecture for mod ℓ Galois representations over totally real fields”, *Duke Math. J.* **155**:1 (2010), 105–161. MR 2730374 Zbl 1227.11070
- [Calegari 2012] F. Calegari, “Even Galois representations and the Fontaine–Mazur conjecture, II”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **25**:2 (2012), 533–554. MR 2869026 Zbl 06022636
- [Clozel et al. 2008] L. Clozel, M. Harris, and R. Taylor, “Automorphy for some l -adic lifts of automorphic mod l Galois representations”, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **108** (2008), 1–181. MR 2010j:11082 Zbl 1169.11020
- [Conrad et al. 1999] B. Conrad, F. Diamond, and R. Taylor, “Modularity of certain potentially Barsotti–Tate Galois representations”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **12**:2 (1999), 521–567. MR 99i:11037 Zbl 0923.11085
- [Gee 2011] T. Gee, “On the weights of mod p Hilbert modular forms”, *Invent. Math.* **184**:1 (2011), 1–46. MR 2012g:11088 Zbl 05883620
- [Gee and Kisin 2012] T. Gee and M. Kisin, “The Breuil–Mézard conjecture for potentially Barsotti–Tate representations”, preprint, 2012. arXiv 1208.3179
- [Gee and Savitt 2011a] T. Gee and D. Savitt, “Serre weights for mod p Hilbert modular forms: The totally ramified case”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **660** (2011), 1–26. MR 2855818 Zbl 05987921
- [Gee and Savitt 2011b] T. Gee and D. Savitt, “Serre weights for quaternion algebras”, *Compos. Math.* **147**:4 (2011), 1059–1086. MR 2012h:11070 Zbl 05938390

- [Gee et al. 2012] T. Gee, F. Herzig, and D. Savitt, "Explicit Serre weight conjectures", in preparation, 2012.
- [Nekovář 1993] J. Nekovář, "On p -adic height pairings", pp. 127–202 in *Séminaire de théorie des nombres* (Paris, 1990–1991), edited by S. David, Progr. Math. **108**, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1993. MR 95j:11050 Zbl 0859.11038
- [Savitt 2004] D. Savitt, "Modularity of some potentially Barsotti–Tate Galois representations", *Compos. Math.* **140**:1 (2004), 31–63. MR 2004h:11051 Zbl 1053.11048
- [Savitt 2005] D. Savitt, "On a conjecture of Conrad, Diamond, and Taylor", *Duke Math. J.* **128**:1 (2005), 141–197. MR 2006c:11060 Zbl 1101.11017
- [Savitt 2008] D. Savitt, "Breuil modules for Raynaud schemes", *J. Number Theory* **128**:11 (2008), 2939–2950. MR 2010a:14083 Zbl 1222.14098
- [Schein 2008] M. M. Schein, "Weights in Serre's conjecture for Hilbert modular forms: The ramified case", *Israel J. Math.* **166** (2008), 369–391. MR 2009e:11090 Zbl 1197.11063
- [Snowden 2009] A. Snowden, "On two dimensional weight two odd representations of totally real fields", preprint, 2009. arXiv 0905.4266
- [Thorne 2011] J. Thorne, "On the automorphy of l -adic Galois representations with small residual image", preprint, 2011. To appear in *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*. arXiv 1107.5989

Communicated by Brian Conrad

Received 2011-07-02 Revised 2011-10-04 Accepted 2011-11-02

tobygee@gmail.com *Imperial College London, London, SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom*

tongliu@math.purdue.edu *Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, 150 N. University Street, West Lafayette, IN 47907, United States*

savitt@math.arizona.edu *Department of Mathematics, University of Arizona, 617 N. Santa Rita Ave., PO Box 210089, Tucson, AZ 85721-0089, United States*

Algebra & Number Theory

msp.berkeley.edu/ant

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Bjorn Poonen
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, USA

EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR

David Eisenbud
University of California
Berkeley, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Georgia Benkart	University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA	Susan Montgomery	University of Southern California, USA
Dave Benson	University of Aberdeen, Scotland	Shigefumi Mori	RIMS, Kyoto University, Japan
Richard E. Borcherds	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Raman Parimala	Emory University, USA
John H. Coates	University of Cambridge, UK	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
J-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Sud, France	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
Brian D. Conrad	University of Michigan, USA	Karl Rubin	University of California, Irvine, USA
Hélène Esnault	Freie Universität Berlin, Germany	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Hubert Flenner	Ruhr-Universität, Germany	Joseph H. Silverman	Brown University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	Vasudevan Srinivas	Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, India
Joseph Gubeladze	San Francisco State University, USA	J. Toby Stafford	University of Michigan, USA
Ehud Hrushovski	Hebrew University, Israel	Bernd Sturmfels	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Craig Huneke	University of Virginia, USA	Richard Taylor	Harvard University, USA
Mikhail Kapranov	Yale University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Yujiro Kawamata	University of Tokyo, Japan	Michel van den Bergh	Hasselt University, Belgium
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Marie-France Vignéras	Université Paris VII, France
Yuri Manin	Northwestern University, USA	Kei-Ichi Watanabe	Nihon University, Japan
Barry Mazur	Harvard University, USA	Andrei Zelevinsky	Northeastern University, USA
Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne	Efim Zelmanov	University of California, San Diego, USA

PRODUCTION

production@msp.org
Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or www.jant.org for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2012 is US \$175/year for the electronic version, and \$275/year (+\$40 shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, USA.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1937-0652) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY
 **mathematical sciences publishers**
<http://msp.org/>

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L^AT_EX

Copyright ©2012 by Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 6 No. 7 2012

On the rank of the fibers of rational elliptic surfaces CECÍLIA SALGADO	1289
Néron's pairing and relative algebraic equivalence CÉDRIC PÉPIN	1315
Free subalgebras of quotient rings of Ore extensions JASON P. BELL and DANIEL ROGALSKI	1349
Classes de cycles motiviques étales BRUNO KAHN	1369
Higher-order Maass forms ROELOF BRUGGEMAN and NIKOLAOS DIAMANTIS	1409
Log canonical thresholds, F -pure thresholds, and nonstandard extensions BHARGAV BHATT, DANIEL J. HERNÁNDEZ, LANCE EDWARD MILLER and MIRCEA MUSTAȚĂ	1459
The semistable reduction problem for the space of morphisms on \mathbb{P}^n ALON LEVY	1483
Grothendieck's trace map for arithmetic surfaces via residues and higher adèles MATTHEW MORROW	1503
Crystalline extensions and the weight part of Serre's conjecture TOBY GEE, TONG LIU and DAVID SAVITT	1537
Annihilating the cohomology of group schemes BHARGAV BHATT	1561



1937-0652(2012)6:7;1-8