Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 7 2013 _{No. 5}

Quantized mixed tensor space and Schur–Weyl duality

Richard Dipper, Stephen Doty and Friederike Stoll

00



Quantized mixed tensor space and Schur–Weyl duality

Richard Dipper, Stephen Doty and Friederike Stoll

Let *R* be a commutative ring with 1 and *q* an invertible element of *R*. The (specialized) quantum group $\mathbf{U} = U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ over *R* of the general linear group acts on mixed tensor space $V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s}$, where *V* denotes the natural **U**-module R^n , *r* and *s* are nonnegative integers and V^* is the dual **U**-module to *V*. The image of **U** in $\operatorname{End}_R(V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s})$ is called the rational *q*-Schur algebra $S_q(n; r, s)$. We construct a bideterminant basis of $S_q(n; r, s)$. There is an action of a *q*-deformation $\mathfrak{B}_{r,s}^n(q)$ of the walled Brauer algebra on mixed tensor space centralizing the action of **U**. We show that $\operatorname{End}_{\mathfrak{B}_{r,s}^n(q)}(V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s}) = S_q(n; r, s)$. By a previous result, the image of $\mathfrak{B}_{r,s}^n(q)$ in $\operatorname{End}_R(V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s})$ is $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbf{U}}(V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s})$. Thus, a mixed tensor space as $(\mathbf{U}, \mathfrak{B}_{r,s}^n(q))$ -bimodule satisfies Schur–Weyl duality.

Introduction

Schur–Weyl duality plays an important role in representation theory since it relates the representations of the general linear group with the representations of the symmetric group. The classical Schur–Weyl duality, due to Schur [1927], states that the actions of the general linear group $G = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ and the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_m on the tensor space $V^{\otimes m}$ with $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfy the bicentralizer property, that is, $\operatorname{End}_{\mathfrak{S}_m}(V^{\otimes m})$ is generated by the action of G and correspondingly, $\operatorname{End}_G(V^{\otimes m})$ is generated by the action of \mathfrak{S}_m . This duality has been generalized to subgroups of G (e.g., orthogonal, symplectic groups, and Levi subgroups) and corresponding algebras related with the group algebra of the symmetric group (e.g., Brauer algebras and Ariki–Koike algebras) as well as deformations of these algebras. In general, the phrase "Schur–Weyl duality" has come to indicate such a bicentralizer property for two algebras acting on some module.

One such generalization is the mixed tensor space $V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s}$, where *V* is the natural and V^* its dual $\mathbb{C}G$ -module. The centralizer algebra is known to be the walled Brauer algebra $\mathfrak{B}_{r,s}^n$, and it was shown by Benkart, Chakrabarti, Halverson, Leduc, Lee and Stroomer [Benkart et al. 1994] that mixed tensor space under the

MSC2010: primary 33D80; secondary 16D20, 16S30, 17B37, 20C08.

Keywords: Schur-Weyl duality, walled Brauer algebra, mixed tensor space, rational q-Schur algebra.

action of $\mathbb{C}G$ and $\mathfrak{B}_{r,s}^n$ satisfies Schur–Weyl duality; see also [Koike 1989; Turaev 1989]. In [Kosuda and Murakami 1993] the authors introduced a one-parameter deformation $\mathfrak{B}_{r,s}^n(q)$ of the walled Brauer algebra and proved Schur–Weyl duality in the generic case (i.e., over $\mathbb{C}(q)$), where $\mathbb{C}G$ is replaced by the generic quantum group $U_{\mathbb{C}(q)}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$.

In this paper, we generalize the results of [Benkart et al. 1994; Kosuda and Murakami 1993] to a very general setting. Let *R* be a commutative ring with 1 and $q \in R$ be invertible. Let **U** be (a specialized version of) the quantum group over *R*, which replaces the general linear group in the quantized case. Let $\mathfrak{B}_{r,s}^n(q)$ be the *q*-deformation of the walled Brauer algebra defined in [Leduc 1994]. Here we use a specialized version of Leduc's multiparameter version that acts on mixed tensor space $V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s}$, where $V = R^n$ is the natural U-module.

In [Dipper et al. 2012], one side of Schur–Weyl duality was shown in this situation, namely that the image of $\mathfrak{B}_{r,s}^n(q)$ in $\operatorname{End}_R(V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s})$ is the centralizing algebra of the action of **U** on mixed tensor space.

In this paper, which is a revised version of a preprint that has circulated since 2008, the other side of Schur–Weyl duality will be proven, namely that the image of U in $\operatorname{End}_R(V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s})$ is the endomorphism algebra of mixed tensor space under the action of $\mathfrak{B}_{r,s}^n(q)$. We call this image the *rational q-Schur algebra* and denote it $S_q(n; r, s)$. It is a *q*-analogue of the rational Schur algebra introduced and studied in [Dipper and Doty 2008]. In case q = 1, we obtain a similar statement (which is also new) for the rational Schur algebra with respect to the hyperalgebra over R of \mathfrak{gl}_n . In the meantime, this result was shown in [Tange 2012] in the special case q = 1 by different methods. One may also wish to consult [Brundan and Stroppel 2011], which enlarges the landscape on walled Brauer algebras considerably.

For technical reasons, it will be useful to turn things around and instead define $S_q(n; r, s)$ to be $\operatorname{End}_{\mathfrak{B}^n_{r,s}(q)}(V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s})$. Since we show at the end that this coincides with the image of **U** in $\operatorname{End}_R(V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s})$, there is no harm in this abuse of notation. In our proof, we will show that $\operatorname{End}_{\mathfrak{B}^n_{r,s}(q)}(V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s}) = S_q(n; r, s)$ is free as *R*-module of rank independent of the choice of *R* and *q*. We shall accomplish this by constructing an *R*-basis of $S_q(n; r, s)$ that is dual to a certain bideterminant basis of the dual coalgebra $A_q(n; r, s)$ of $S_q(n; r, s)$.

As a guide for the reader, we briefly outline the main ideas behind the proof. There is a natural embedding of mixed tensor space $V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s}$ into ordinary tensor space $V^{\otimes r+(n-1)s}$. This embedding κ is not U-linear but is U'-linear, where U' is the subalgebra of U corresponding to the special linear Lie algebra. We will see that replacing U by U' is not significant. For $u \in U'$, the restriction of the action of u on $V^{\otimes r+(n-1)s}$ to $V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s} \leq V^{\otimes r+(n-1)s}$ commutes with the action of $\mathfrak{B}_{r,s}^n(q)$ on $V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s}$ and hence lies in $S_q(n; r, s)$. Thus, κ induces an algebra homomorphism π from the ordinary q-Schur algebra $S_q(n, r+(n-1)s)$, which is the image of U' in $\operatorname{End}_R(V^{\otimes r+(n-1)s})$ into $S_q(n; r, s)$. This homomorphism was motivated by a similar homomorphism in [Dipper and Doty 2008].

Let $\rho_{\text{ord}} : \mathbf{U}' \to S_q(n, r + (n-1)s)$ be the representation of \mathbf{U}' on $V^{\otimes r+(n-1)s}$ and $\rho_{\text{mxd}} : \mathbf{U}' \to S_q(n; r, s)$ the representation of \mathbf{U}' on mixed tensor space. Then $\rho_{\text{mxd}} = \pi \circ \rho_{\text{ord}}$ by construction. By classical quantized Schur–Weyl duality, ρ_{ord} is surjective, so ρ_{mxd} is surjective (i.e., $\rho_{\text{mxd}}(\mathbf{U}') = S_q(n; r, s)$) if π is surjective. We show that π possesses an *R*-linear right inverse, thus proving the surjectivity of π .

At this point, we switch over to coefficient spaces. It is well known that the dual coalgebra $A_q(n, r + (n-1)s) = S_q(n, r + (n-1)s)^*$ is the coefficient space of U' acting on ordinary tensor space $V^{\otimes r+(n-1)s}$. There is no problem here with dualization since the classical q-Schur algebra $S_q(n, r + (n-1)s)$ is known to be free as *R*-module of fixed rank independent of the choice of *R* and *q*. Moreover, $A_q(n, r + (n-1)s)$ possesses a bideterminant basis [Huang and Zhang 1993]. The endomorphism algebra $S_q(n; r, s) = \operatorname{End}_{\mathfrak{B}^n_r(q)}(V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s})$ may be described by a system of linear equations in the endomorphism algebra $\operatorname{End}_{R}(V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s})$, which is free as R-module. Using these equations, we apply a general argument (Lemma 2.3) to construct a factor coalgebra $A_a(n; r, s)$ of the *R*-coalgebra $\operatorname{End}_{R}(V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s})$ such that $A_{q}(n; r, s)^{*}$ is isomorphic to the *R*-algebra $S_{q}(n; r, s)$. In Section 5, we exhibit a map $\iota : A_q(n; r, s) \to A_q(n, r + (n-1)s)$ and show explicitly that $\iota^* = \pi : S_q(n, r + (n-1)s) \to S_q(n; r, s)$. In Section 6, we show that $A_q(n; r, s)$ and hence $S_q(n; r, s)$ are free as *R*-module by constructing a (rational) bideterminant basis. From this it is not hard to find an (R-linear) left inverse of the map ι whose dual map is then the required right inverse of $\iota^* = \pi$, proving that $S_a(n; r, s)$ is the image of U' (and hence U) acting on mixed tensor space.

1. Preliminaries

Let *n* be a given positive integer. In this section, we introduce the quantized enveloping algebra of the general linear Lie algebra \mathfrak{gl}_n over a commutative ring *R* with parameter *q* and summarize some well known results; see for example [Hong and Kang 2002; Jantzen 1996; Lusztig 1990]. We will start by recalling the definition of the quantized enveloping algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$, where *q* is an indeterminate.

Let P^{\vee} be the free \mathbb{Z} -module with basis h_1, \ldots, h_n , and let $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n \in P^{\vee *}$ be the corresponding dual basis: ε_i is given by $\varepsilon_i(h_j) := \delta_{i,j}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$, where δ is the usual Kronecker symbol. For $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, let $\alpha_i \in P^{\vee *}$ be defined by $\alpha_i := \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}$.

Definition 1.1. The quantum general linear algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ is the associative $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra with 1 generated by the elements e_i , f_i (i = 1, ..., n-1) and q^h $(h \in P^{\vee})$ with the defining relations

$$q^{0} = 1,$$
 $q^{h}q^{h'} = q^{h+h'},$ $q^{h}e_{i}q^{-h} = q^{\alpha_{i}(h)}e_{i},$ $q^{h}f_{i}q^{-h} = q^{-\alpha_{i}(h)}f_{i},$

Richard Dipper, Stephen Doty and Friederike Stoll

$$e_i f_j - f_j e_i = \delta_{i,j} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}, \text{ where } K_i := q^{h_i - h_{i+1}},$$

$$e_i^2 e_j - (q + q^{-1}) e_i e_j e_i + e_j e_i^2 = 0 \text{ for } |i - j| = 1,$$

$$f_i^2 f_j - (q + q^{-1}) f_i f_j f_i + f_j f_i^2 = 0 \text{ for } |i - j| = 1,$$

$$e_i e_j = e_j e_i \text{ and } f_i f_j = f_j f_i \text{ for } |i - j| > 1.$$

We note that the subalgebra generated by the K_i , e_i and f_i (i = 1, ..., n - 1) is isomorphic with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$. Also, $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ is a Hopf algebra with comultiplication Δ , counit ε the unique algebra homomorphisms and antipode *S* the unique invertible antihomomorphism of algebras, defined on generators by

$$\Delta(q^{h}) = q^{h} \otimes q^{h},$$

$$\Delta(e_{i}) = e_{i} \otimes K_{i}^{-1} + 1 \otimes e_{i}, \qquad \Delta(f_{i}) = f_{i} \otimes 1 + K_{i} \otimes f_{i},$$

$$\varepsilon(q^{h}) = 1, \qquad \varepsilon(e_{i}) = \varepsilon(f_{i}) = 0,$$

$$S(q^{h}) = q^{-h}, \qquad S(e_{i}) = -e_{i}K_{i}, \qquad S(f_{i}) = -K_{i}^{-1}f_{i}.$$

Let $V_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$ be a free $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -vector space with basis $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$. We make $V_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$ a $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ -module via

$$q^{h}v_{j} = q^{\varepsilon_{j}(h)}v_{j} \quad \text{for } h \in P^{\vee} \text{ and } j = 1, \dots, n,$$
$$e_{i}v_{j} = \begin{cases} v_{i} & \text{if } j = i+1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad f_{i}v_{j} = \begin{cases} v_{i+1} & \text{if } j = i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We call $V_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$ the vector representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. This is also a $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ -module by restriction of the action.

Let $[l]_q$ in $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ (or in *R*) be defined by

$$[l]_q := \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} q^{2i-l+1}$$

and set $[l]_q! := [l]_q[l-1]_q \cdots [1]_q$. Define the divided powers $e_i^{(l)} := e_i^l/[l]_q!$ and $f_i^{(l)} := f_i^l/[l]_q!$. Let $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}$ (resp. $\mathbf{U}'_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}$) be the $\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]$ -subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ generated by the q^h (resp. the K_i) and the $e_i^{(l)}$ and $f_i^{(l)}$ for $l \ge 0$. Then $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}$ is a Hopf algebra, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(e_i^{(l)}) &= \sum_{k=0}^l q^{k(l-k)} e_i^{(l-k)} \otimes K_i^{k-l} e_i^{(k)}, \quad \Delta(f_i^{(l)}) = \sum_{k=0}^l q^{-k(l-k)} f_i^{(l-k)} K_i^k \otimes f_i^{(k)}, \\ S(e_i^{(l)}) &= (-1)^l q^{l(l-1)} e_i^{(l)} K_i^l, \qquad S(f_i^{(l)}) = (-1)^l q^{-l(l-1)} K_i^{-l} f_i^{(l)}, \\ \varepsilon(e_i^{(l)}) &= \varepsilon(f_i^{(l)}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -lattice $V_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}$ in $V_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$ generated by the v_i is invariant under the action of $U_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}$ and of $U'_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}$. Now, make the transition from $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ to an arbitrary commutative ring R with 1. Let $q \in R$ be invertible, and consider R as a $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -module via specializing $q \in \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}] \mapsto q \in R$.

Let $\mathbf{U}_R := R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} \mathbf{U}_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}$ and $\mathbf{U}'_R := R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} \mathbf{U}'_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}$. Then \mathbf{U}_R inherits a Hopf algebra structure from $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}$, and $V_R := R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} V_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}$ is a \mathbf{U}_R -module and by restriction also a \mathbf{U}'_R -module.

If no ambiguity arises, we will henceforth omit the index *R* and write **U**, **U'** and *V* instead of **U**_{*R*}, **U'**_{*R*} and *V*_{*R*}. Furthermore, we will write $e_i^{(l)}$ as shorthand for $1 \otimes e_i^{(l)} \in \mathbf{U}_R$, similarly for the $f_i^{(l)}$, K_i for $1 \otimes K_i$ and q^h for $1 \otimes q^h$.

Suppose *W*, *W*₁ and *W*₂ are **U**-modules; then one can define **U**-module structures on $W_1 \otimes W_2 = W_1 \otimes_R W_2$ and $W^* = \text{Hom}_R(W, R)$ using the comultiplication and the antipode by setting $x(w_1 \otimes w_2) = \Delta(x)(w_1 \otimes w_2)$ and (xf)(w) = f(S(x)w).

Definition 1.2. Let *r* and *s* be nonnegative integers. The U-module $V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s}$ is called *mixed tensor space*.

Let I(n, r) be the set of *r*-tuples with entries in $\{1, ..., n\}$, and let I(n, s) be defined similarly. The elements of I(n, r) (and I(n, s)) are called *multi-indices*. Note that the symmetric groups \mathfrak{S}_r and \mathfrak{S}_s act on I(n, r) and I(n, s) respectively from the right by place permutation, that is, if $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, ...)$ is a multi-index and s_j is a Coxeter generator, then let $\mathbf{i}.s_j := (i_1, ..., i_{j-1}, i_{j+1}, i_j, i_{j+2}, ...)$. Then a basis of the mixed tensor space $V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s}$ can be indexed by $I(n, r) \times I(n, s)$. For $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, ..., i_r) \in I(n, r)$ and $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, ..., j_s) \in I(n, s)$, let

$$v_{i|\mathbf{j}} := v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_r} \otimes v_{j_1}^* \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_s}^* \in V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{* \otimes s}$$

where $\{v_1^*, \ldots, v_n^*\}$ is the basis of V^* dual to $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$. Then $\{v_{i|j} : i \in I(n, r), j \in I(n, s)\}$ is a basis of $V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s}$.

We have another algebra acting on $V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s}$, namely the quantized walled Brauer algebra $\mathfrak{B}_{r,s}^n(q)$ introduced in [Dipper et al. 2012]. This algebra is defined as a diagram algebra in terms of Kauffman's tangles. A presentation by generators and relations can be found in [Dipper et al. 2012]. Note that this algebra and its action coincide with Leduc's algebra [1994] (see the remarks in [Dipper et al. 2012]).

Here, all we need is the action of generators given in the following diagrams. The Brauer algebra $\mathfrak{B}_{r,s}^n(q)$ is generated by the elements

$$E = [\cdots] \quad \swarrow \quad f \cdots f, \quad S_i = [\cdots] \quad \swarrow \quad f \cdots f \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{S}_j = [\cdots] \quad f \quad \frown \quad f \quad \land f \quad : f \quad$$

where the nonpropagating edges in *E* connect vertices in columns *r* and *r* + 1 while the crossings in S_i and \hat{S}_j connect vertices in columns *i* and *i* + 1 and columns r + j and r + j + 1, respectively. If $v_{i|j} = v \otimes v_{i_r} \otimes v_{i_j}^* \otimes v'$, then the action of the generators on $V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{* \otimes s}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} v_{i|j}E &= \delta_{i_r,j_1} \sum_{s=1}^n q^{2i_r - n - 1} v \otimes v_s \otimes v_s^* \otimes v', \\ v_{i|j}S_i &= \begin{cases} q^{-1}v_{i|j} & \text{if } i_i = i_{i+1}, \\ v_{i,s_i|j} & \text{if } i_i < i_{i+1}, \\ v_{i,s_i|j} + (q^{-1} - q)v_{i|j} & \text{if } i_i > i_{i+1}, \end{cases} \\ v_{i|j}\hat{S}_j &= \begin{cases} q^{-1}v_{i|j} & \text{if } j_j = j_{j+1}, \\ v_{i|j,s_j} & \text{if } j_j > j_{j+1}, \\ v_{i|j,s_j} + (q^{-1} - q)v_{i|j} & \text{if } j_j < j_{j+1}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The action of $\mathfrak{B}^n_{rs}(q)$ on $V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{\otimes s}$ commutes with the action of **U**.

Theorem 1.3 [Dipper et al. 2012]. Let $\sigma : \mathfrak{B}^n_{r,s}(q) \to \operatorname{End}_{\mathbf{U}}(V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s})$ be the representation of the quantized walled Brauer algebra on the mixed tensor space. Then σ is surjective, that is,

$$\operatorname{End}_{\mathbf{U}}(V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s}) \cong \mathfrak{B}^{n}_{r,s}(q)/_{\operatorname{ann}_{\mathfrak{B}^{n}_{r,s}(q)}(V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s})}.$$

The main result of this paper is the other half of the preceding theorem.

Theorem 1.4. Let $\rho_{mxd} : \mathbf{U} \to \operatorname{End}_{\mathfrak{B}^n_{r,s}(q)}(V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s})$ be the representation of the quantum group. Then ρ_{mxd} is surjective, that is,

$$\operatorname{End}_{\mathfrak{B}^n_{r,s}(q)}(V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s}) \cong \mathbf{U}/_{\operatorname{ann}_{\mathbf{U}}(V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s})}.$$

Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 together state that the mixed tensor space is a (**U**, $\mathfrak{B}_{r,s}^n(q)$)bimodule with the double centralizer property. In the literature, this is also called *Schur–Weyl Duality*. Theorem 1.4 will be proved at the end of this paper.

For s = 0, this is well known; $\mathfrak{B}_{m,0}^n(q)$ is the Hecke algebra \mathcal{H}_m , and $V^{\otimes m}$ is the (ordinary) tensor space.

Definition 1.5. If *m* is a positive integer, let \mathcal{H}_m be the associative *R*-algebra with 1 generated by elements T_1, \ldots, T_{m-1} with respect to the relations

$$(T_i + q)(T_i - q^{-1}) = 0$$
 for $i = 1, ..., m - 1$,
 $T_i T_{i+1} T_i = T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, ..., m - 2$,
 $T_i T_j = T_j T_i$ for $|i - j| \ge 2$.

If $w \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ is an element of the symmetric group on *m* letters and $w = s_{i_1}s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_l}$ is a reduced expression as a product of Coxeter generators, let $T_w := T_{i_1}T_{i_2} \dots T_{i_l}$. Then the set { $T_w : w \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ } is a basis of \mathcal{H}_m .

Note that \mathscr{H}_m acts on $V^{\otimes m}$ since $\mathscr{H}_m \cong \mathfrak{B}^n_{m,0}(q)$, the isomorphism given by $T_i \mapsto S_i$.

Theorem 1.6 [Dipper and James 1989; Green 1996]. Let $\rho_{\text{ord}} : \mathbf{U} \to \text{End}_R(V^{\otimes m})$ be the representation of \mathbf{U} on $V^{\otimes m}$. Then im $\rho_{\text{ord}} = \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}_m}(V^{\otimes m})$. This algebra is called the *q*-Schur algebra and denoted $S_q(n, m)$.

We will refer to $V^{\otimes m}$ as ordinary tensor space.

2. Mixed tensor space as a submodule

Recall that U' is the subalgebra of U corresponding to the Lie algebra \mathfrak{sl}_n .

Theorem 2.1. If *m* is a nonnegative integer, let $\rho_{\text{ord}} : \mathbf{U} \to \text{End}_R(V^{\otimes m})$ be the representation of \mathbf{U} on $V^{\otimes m}$. Then

$$\rho_{\rm ord}(\mathbf{U}) = \rho_{\rm ord}(\mathbf{U}').$$

Proof. Define the *weight* of $i \in I(n, m)$ to be wt $(i) := \lambda = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n)$ such that λ_i is the number of entries in i that are equal to i. If $\lambda = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n)$ is a composition of m into n parts, i.e., $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n = m$, let $V_{\lambda}^{\otimes m}$ be the R-submodule of $V^{\otimes m}$ generated by all v_i with wt $(i) = \lambda$. Then $V^{\otimes m}$ is the direct sum of all $V_{\lambda}^{\otimes m}$, where λ runs through the set of compositions of m into n parts. Let φ_{λ} be the projection onto $V_{\lambda}^{\otimes m}$. By [Green 1996], the restriction of $\rho_{\text{ord}} : \mathbf{U} \to S_q(n, m)$ to any subalgebra $\mathbf{U}' \subseteq \mathbf{U}$ is surjective if the subalgebra \mathbf{U}' contains the divided powers $e_i^{(l)}$ and $f_i^{(l)}$ and preimages of the projections φ_{λ} .

Therefore, we define a partial order on the set of compositions of *m* into *n* parts by $\lambda \leq \mu$ if and only if

$$(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2, \lambda_2 - \lambda_3, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_n) \leq (\mu_1 - \mu_2, \mu_2 - \mu_3, \ldots, \mu_{n-1} - \mu_n)$$

in the lexicographical order. It suffices to show that for each composition λ , there exists an element $u \in \mathbf{U}'$ such that $uv_i = 0$ whenever $wt(i) \prec \lambda$ (i.e., $wt(i) \preceq \lambda$ and $wt(i) \neq \lambda$) and $uv_i = v_i$ whenever $wt(i) = \lambda$. In Theorem 4.5 of [Lusztig 1990], it is shown that certain elements

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_i; c \\ t \end{bmatrix} := \prod_{s=1}^t \frac{K_i q^{c-s+1} - K_i^{-1} q^{-c+s-1}}{q^s - q^{-s}}$$

are elements of U' for $i = 1, ..., n - 1, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$u := \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} K_i; m+1\\ \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} + m + 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

which is an element of \mathbf{U}' since $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} + m + 1 > 0$. Then *u* has the desired properties.

The next lemma is motivated by [Dipper and Doty 2008, §6.3].

Lemma 2.2. There is a well defined U'-monomorphism $\kappa : V^* \to V^{\otimes n-1}$ given by

$$v_i^* \mapsto (-q)^i \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-1}} (-q)^{l(w)} v_{(12\dots\hat{i}\dots n).w}$$

= $(-q)^i \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-1}} (-q)^{l(w)} v_{(12\dots\hat{i}\dots n)} T_w = (-q)^i v_{(12\dots\hat{i}\dots n)} \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-1}} (-q)^{l(w)} T_w,$

where *î* means leaving out *i*.

Proof. Clearly κ is a monomorphism of *R*-modules, and $K_i v_j^* = q^{\delta_{i+1,j} - \delta_{i,j}} v_j^*$ and $K_i v_{(1...\hat{j}...n)} = q^{1-\delta_{i,j}} q^{\delta_{i+1,j}-1} v_{(1...\hat{j}...n)}$ by definition. Thus, κ commutes with K_i . Now $e_i v_j^* = -\delta_{i,j} q^{-1} v_{j+1}^*$. If $j \neq i, i+1$, then

$$e_{i}\kappa(v_{j}^{*}) = (-q)^{j}e_{i}\sum_{w}(-q)^{l(w)}v_{(1\dots ii+1\dots \hat{j}\dots n)}T_{w}$$
$$= -(-q)^{j}\sum_{w}(-q)^{l(w)}v_{(1\dots ii\dots \hat{j}\dots n)}T_{w} = 0 = \kappa(e_{i}v_{j}^{*}).$$

For j = i (resp. i + 1), we get

$$e_{i}\kappa(v_{i+1}^{*}) = (-q)^{i+1} \sum_{w} (-q)^{l(w)} (e_{i}v_{(1\dots\hat{i}+1\dots n)}) T_{w} = 0,$$

$$e_{i}\kappa(v_{i}^{*}) = (-q)^{i} \sum_{w} (-q)^{l(w)} (e_{i}v_{(1\dots\hat{i}+1\dots n)}) T_{w}$$

$$= (-q)^{i} \sum_{w} (-q)^{l(w)} v_{(1\dots\hat{i}+1\dots n)} T_{w} = -q^{-1}\kappa(v_{i+1}^{*})$$

Furthermore, for $l \ge 2$ we clearly have $e_i^{(l)}v_j^* = 0$ and $e_i^{(l)}\kappa(v_j^*) = 0$. The argument for f_i works similarly.

Lemma 2.2 enables us to consider the mixed tensor space $V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s}$ as a U'-submodule $T^{r,s}$ of $V^{\otimes r+(n-1)s}$ via an embedding that we will also denote κ . Thus, $\mathfrak{B}^n_{r,s}(q)$ acts on $T^{r,s}$.

If we restrict the action of an element of U' on $V^{\otimes r+(n-1)s}$ or equivalently of the *q*-Schur algebra $S_q(n, r + (n-1)s)$ to $T^{r,s}$, then we get an element of $\operatorname{End}_R(T^{r,s})$. Since the actions of U' and $\mathfrak{B}_{r,s}^n(q)$ commute, this is also an element of $\operatorname{End}_{\mathfrak{B}_{r,s}^n(q)}(T^{r,s})$. Let $S_q(n; r, s) := \operatorname{End}_{\mathfrak{B}_{r,s}^n(q)}(V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s})$; thus, we have an algebra homomorphism $\pi : S_q(n, r + (n-1)s) \to S_q(n; r, s)$ by restriction of the action to $T^{r,s} \cong V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s}$. Our aim is to show that π is surjective, for then each element of $\operatorname{End}_{\mathfrak{B}_{r,s}^n(q)}(V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s})$ is given by the action of an element of U'.

Lemma 2.3. Let *M* be a free *R*-module with basis $\mathfrak{B} = \{b_1, \ldots, b_l\}$ and *U* a submodule of *M* given by a set of linear equations on the coefficients with respect to the basis \mathfrak{B} , i.e., $a_{ij} \in R$ such that $U = \{\sum_{i} c_i b_i \in M : \sum_{i} a_{ij} c_j = 0 \text{ for all } i\}$

exist. Let $\{b_1^*, \ldots, b_l^*\}$ be the basis of $M^* = \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$ dual to \mathfrak{B} , and let X be the submodule generated by all $\sum_j a_{ij}b_j^*$. Then $U \cong (M^*/X)^*$.

Proof. We have that $(M^*/X)^*$ is isomorphic to the submodule of M^{**} given by linear forms on M^* that vanish on X. Via the natural isomorphism $M^{**} \cong M$, this is isomorphic to the set of elements of M that are annihilated by X. An element $m = \sum_k c_k b_k$ is annihilated by X if and only if $0 = \sum_{j,k} a_{ij} b_j^* (c_k b_k) = \sum_k a_{ik} c_k$ for all i, and this is true if and only if $m \in U$.

Note an element $\tilde{\varphi} \in (M^*/X)^*$ corresponds to the element $\varphi = \sum_i \tilde{\varphi}(b_i^* + X)b_i$ of U. In our case, $S_q(n, m)$ and $S_q(n; r, s)$ are R-submodules of R-free algebras, namely $\operatorname{End}_R(V^{\otimes m})$ and $\operatorname{End}_R(V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s})$ respectively, given by a set of linear equations, which we will determine more precisely in Sections 3 and 4.

Definition 2.4. Let $M := \operatorname{End}_R(V^{\otimes m})$ and $U := S_q(n, m)$. Then U is defined as the algebra of endomorphisms commuting with a certain set of endomorphisms and thus is given by a system of linear equations on the coefficients. Let $A_q(n, m) := M^*/X$ as in Lemma 2.3. Similarly, let $A_q(n; r, s) := M^*/X$ with $M := \operatorname{End}_R(V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s})$ and $U := S_q(n; r, s)$.

By Lemma 2.3, $A_q(n, m)^* = S_q(n, m)$ and $A_q(n; r, s)^* = S_q(n; r, s)$. We will proceed as follows. We will take m = r + (n - 1)s and define an *R*-homomorphism $\iota: A_q(n; r, s) \to A_q(n, r + (n - 1)s)$ so that $\iota^* = \pi : S_q(n, r + (n - 1)s) \to S_q(n; r, s)$. Then we will define an *R*-homomorphism $\phi: A_q(n, r + (n - 1)s) \to A_q(n; r, s)$ such that $\phi \circ \iota = \operatorname{id}_{A_q(n;r,s)}$ by giving suitable bases for $A_q(n, r + (n - 1)s)$ and $A_q(n; r, s)$. Dualizing this equation, we get $\pi \circ \phi^* = \iota^* \circ \phi^* = \operatorname{id}_{S_q(n;r,s)}$, and this shows that π is surjective. Actually, $A_q(n, r + (n - 1)s)$ and $A_q(n; r, s)$ are coalgebras, and ι is a morphism of coalgebras, but we do not need this for our results.

3.
$$A_q(n, m)$$

The description of $A_q(n, m)$ is well known; see, e.g., [Dipper and Donkin 1991]. Let $A_q(n)$ be the free *R*-algebra on generators x_{ij} $(1 \le i, j \le n)$ subject to the relations

| $x_{ik}x_{jk} = qx_{jk}x_{ik}$ | if $i < j$, |
|--|--------------------------|
| $x_{ki}x_{kj} = qx_{kj}x_{ki}$ | if $i < j$, |
| $x_{ij}x_{kl} = x_{kl}x_{ij}$ | if $i < k$ and $j > l$, |
| $x_{ij}x_{kl} = x_{kl}x_{ij} + (q - q^{-1})x_{il}x_{kj}$ | if $i < k$ and $j < l$. |

Note that these relations define the commutative algebra in n^2 commuting indeterminates x_{ij} in case q = 1. The free algebra on the generators x_{ij} is obviously graded (with all generators in degree 1), and since the relations are homogeneous, this induces a grading on $A_q(n)$. Then we have the following lemma:

Lemma 3.1 [Dipper and Donkin 1991]. $A_q(n, m)$ is the *R*-submodule of $A_q(n)$ of elements of homogeneous degree *m*.

Proof. Since our relations of the Hecke algebra differ from those in [Dipper and Donkin 1991] $((T_i - q)(T_i + 1) = 0$ is replaced by $(T_i + q)(T_i - q^{-1}) = 0$) and thus $A_q(n, m)$ differs as well, we include a proof here.

Suppose φ is an endomorphism of $V^{\otimes m}$ commuting with the action of a generator S_i . For convenience, we assume that m = 2 and $S = S_1$. Then φ can be written as a linear combination of the basis elements $E_{(ij),(kl)}$ mapping $v_k \otimes v_l$ to $v_i \otimes v_j$ and all other basis elements to 0. For the coefficient of $E_{(ij),(kl)}$, we write $c_{ik}c_{jl}$ so that $\varphi = \sum_{i,j,k,l} c_{ik}c_{jl}E_{(ij),(kl)}$. On the one hand, we have

$$S(\varphi(v_k \otimes v_l))$$

$$= S\left(\sum_{i,j} c_{ik} c_{jl} v_i \otimes v_j\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i < j} c_{ik} c_{jl} v_j \otimes v_i + q^{-1} \sum_i c_{ik} c_{il} v_i \otimes v_i + \sum_{i > j} c_{ik} c_{jl} (v_j \otimes v_i + (q^{-1} - q) v_i \otimes v_j))$$

$$= \sum_{i \neq j} c_{ik} c_{jl} v_j \otimes v_i + q^{-1} \sum_i c_{ik} c_{il} v_i \otimes v_i + (q^{-1} - q) \sum_{i < j} c_{jk} c_{il} v_j \otimes v_i.$$

Now, suppose that k > l. Then

$$\varphi(S(v_k \otimes v_l)) = \varphi(v_l \otimes v_k + (q^{-1} - q)v_k \otimes v_l)$$
$$= \sum_{i,j} (c_{jl}c_{ik} + (q^{-1} - q)c_{jk}c_{il})v_j \otimes v_i$$

Similar formulas hold for k = l and k < l. Comparing coefficients leads to the relations given above.

 $A_q(n, m)$ has a basis consisting of monomials, but it will turn out to be more convenient for our purposes to work with a basis of standard bideterminants; see [Huang and Zhang 1993]. In that reference, the supersymmetric quantum letterplace algebra for $L^- = P^- = \{1, ..., n\}$ and $L^+ = P^+ = \emptyset$ is isomorphic to $A_{q^{-1}}(n) \cong$ $A_q(n)^{\text{opp}}$, and we will adjust the results to our situation.

A *partition* λ of *m* is a sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_k)$ of nonnegative integers such that $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_k$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = m$. Denote the set of partitions of *m* by $\Lambda^+(m)$. The *Young diagram* [λ] of a partition λ is { $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : 1 \le i \le k, 1 \le j \le \lambda_i$ }. It can be represented by an array of boxes: λ_1 boxes in the first row, λ_2 boxes in the second row, etc.

A λ -*tableau* t is a map $f : [\lambda] \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$. A tableau can be represented by writing the entry f(i, j) into the (i, j)th box. A tableau t is called *standard* if the entries in each row are strictly increasing from left to right and the entries in each

column are nondecreasing downward. In the literature, this property is also called semistandard, and the role of rows and columns may be interchanged. Note that if t is a standard λ -tableau, then $\lambda_1 \leq n$. A pair [t, t'] of λ -tableaux is called a *bitableau*. It is standard if both t and t' are standard λ -tableaux.

Note that the next definition differs from the definition in [Huang and Zhang 1993] by a sign.

Definition 3.2. Let $i_1, \ldots, i_k, j_1, \ldots, j_k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. For $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k$, let the *right quantum minor* be defined by

$$(i_1i_2...i_k|j_1j_2...j_k)_r := \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_k} (-q)^{l(w)} x_{i_{w1}j_1} x_{i_{w2}j_2}...x_{i_{wk}j_k}.$$

For arbitrary i_1, \ldots, i_k , the right quantum minor is then defined by the rule

$$(i_1 \dots i_l i_{l+1} \dots i_k | j_1 j_2 \dots j_k)_r := -q^{-1} (i_1 \dots i_{l-1} i_{l+1} i_l i_{l+2} \dots i_k | j_1 j_2 \dots j_k)_r$$

for $i_l > i_{l+1}$. Similarly, let the *left quantum minor* be defined by

$$(i_1 \dots i_k | j_1 \dots j_k)_l := \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_k} (-q)^{l(w)} x_{i_1, j_{w1}} x_{i_2 j_{w2}} \dots x_{i_k j_{wk}} \text{ if } j_1 < \dots < j_k,$$

$$(i_1 \dots i_k | j_1 \dots j_k)_l := -q^{-1} (i_1 \dots i_k | j_1 \dots j_{l+1} j_l \dots j_k)_l \text{ if } j_l > j_{l+1}.$$

Finally, let the quantum determinant be defined by

 $\det_q := (12...n|12...n)_r = (12...n|12...n)_l.$

If [t, t'] is a bitableau and t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_k (resp. t'_1, t'_2, \ldots, t'_k) are the rows of t (resp. t'), then let

 $(\mathfrak{t}|\mathfrak{t}') := (\mathfrak{t}_k|\mathfrak{t}'_k)_r \dots (\mathfrak{t}_2|\mathfrak{t}'_2)_r (\mathfrak{t}_1|\mathfrak{t}'_1)_r.$

Then $(\mathfrak{t}|\mathfrak{t}')$ is called a *bideterminant*.

Remark 3.3. We note the following properties of quantum minors:

- (1) $(i_1 \dots i_k | j_1 \dots j_k)_r = -q(i_1 \dots i_k | j_1 \dots j_{l+1} j_l \dots j_k)_r$ for $j_l > j_{l+1}$, $(i_1 \dots i_k | j_1 \dots j_k)_l = -q(i_1 \dots i_{l+1} i_l \dots i_k | j_1 \dots j_k)_l$ for $i_l > i_{l+1}$.
- (2) If $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k$ and $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_k$, then right and left quantum minors coincide, and we simply write $(i_1 \dots i_k | j_1 \dots j_k)$. This notation thus indicates that the sequences of numbers are increasing. In general, right and left quantum minors differ by a power of -q.
- (3) If two i_l s or j_l s coincide, then the quantum minors vanish.
- (4) The quantum determinant det_q is an element of the center of $A_q(n)$.

Definition 3.4. Let the *content* of a monomial $x_{i_1j_1} \dots x_{i_mj_m}$ be defined as the tuple $(\alpha, \beta) = ((\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n))$, where α_i is the number of indices i_t such that $i_t = i$ and β_j is the number of indices j_t such that $j_t = j$. Note that $\sum \alpha_i = \sum \beta_j = m$ for each monomial of homogeneous degree m. For such a tuple (α, β) , let $P(\alpha, \beta)$ be the subspace of $A_q(n, m)$ generated by the monomials of content (α, β) . Furthermore, let the *content* of a bitableau [t, t'] be defined similarly as the tuple (α, β) such that α_i is the number of entries in t equal to i and β_j is the number of entries in t' equal to j.

Theorem 3.5 [Huang and Zhang 1993]. The bideterminants $(\mathfrak{t}|\mathfrak{t}')$ of the standard λ -tableaux with λ a partition of m form a basis of $A_q(n, m)$ such that the bideterminants of standard λ -tableaux of content (α, β) form a basis of $P(\alpha, \beta)$.

The proof in [Huang and Zhang 1993] works over a field, but the arguments are valid if the field is replaced by a commutative ring with 1. The reversed order of the minors is due to the opposite algebra. Note that for $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k$ and $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_k$, we have

$$q^{k(k-1)/2}(i_1i_2\ldots i_k|j_1j_2\ldots j_k)_r = \sum_{w\in\mathfrak{S}_k} (-q)^{-l(w)} x_{i_{wk}j_1} x_{i_{w(k-1)}j_2}\ldots x_{i_{w1}j_k},$$

which is a quantum minor of $A_{q^{-1}}(n)^{\text{opp}}$.

Lemma 3.6 (Laplace's expansion [Huang and Zhang 1993]).

(1) For $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_l < j_{l+1} < \cdots < j_k$, we have

$$(i_1 i_2 \dots i_k | j_1 j_2 \dots j_k)_l = \sum_w (-q)^{l(w)} (i_1 \dots i_l | j_{w1} \dots j_{wl})_l (i_{l+1} \dots i_k | j_{w(l+1)} \dots j_{wk})_l,$$

where the summation is over all $w \in \mathfrak{S}_k$ such that $w1 < w2 < \cdots < wl$ and $w(l+1) < w(l+2) < \cdots < wk$.

(2) *For* $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k$, we have

$$(i_1 i_2 \dots i_k | j_1 j_2 \dots j_k)_r = \sum_w (-q)^{l(w)} (i_{w1} \dots i_{wl} | j_1 \dots j_l)_r (i_{w(l+1)} \dots i_{wk} | j_{l+1} \dots j_k)_r,$$

the summation again over all $w \in \mathfrak{S}_k$, such that $w1 < w2 < \cdots < wl$ and $w(l+1) < w(l+2) < \cdots < wk$.

4. $A_q(n; r, s)$

A basis of $\operatorname{End}_R(V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s})$ is given by matrix units $E_{i|j|k|l}$ such that $E_{i|j|k|l}v_{s|l} = \delta_{k|l,s|t}v_{i|j}$. Suppose $\varphi := \sum_{i,j,k,l} c_{i|j|k|l}E_{i|j|k|l} \in \operatorname{End}_R(V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{*\otimes s})$ commutes

with the action of $\mathfrak{B}_{r,s}^n(q)$ or equivalently with a set of generators of $\mathfrak{B}_{r,s}^n(q)$. Since coefficient spaces are multiplicative, we can write

$$c_{i_1k_1}c_{i_2k_2}\cdots c_{i_rk_r}c^*_{j_1l_1}c^*_{j_2l_2}\cdots c^*_{j_sl_s}$$

for the coefficient $c_{i|j|k|l}$. It is easy to see from the description of $A_q(n, m)$ that φ commutes with the generators without nonpropagating edges if and only if the c_{ij} satisfy the relations of $A_q(n)$ and the c_{ij}^* satisfy the relations of $A_{q-1}(n) \cong A_q(n)^{\text{opp}}$.

Now suppose that φ in addition commutes with the action of the generator

We assume $\varphi = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{n} c_{ik} c_{jl}^* E_{i|j|k|l}$ and that r = s = 1 (the general case being similar). Let $v = v_i \otimes v_j^*$ be a basis element of $V \otimes V^*$. We have (the indices in the sums always run from 1 to *n*)

$$\varphi(v)e = \sum_{s,t} c_{si}c_{tj}^*(v_s \otimes v_t^*)e = \sum_{s,k} q^{2s-n-1}c_{si}c_{sj}^*(v_k \otimes v_k^*),$$

$$\varphi(ve) = \delta_{ij}q^{2i-n-1}\sum_k \varphi(v_k \otimes v_k^*) = \delta_{ij}q^{2i-n-1}\sum_{k,s,t} c_{sk}c_{tk}^*v_s \otimes v_t^*.$$

Comparing coefficients, we get the following conditions:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{ik} c_{jk}^{*} = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j,$$
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} q^{2k} c_{ki} c_{kj}^{*} = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j,$$
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} q^{2k-2i} c_{ki} c_{ki}^{*} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{jk} c_{jk}^{*}.$$

This, combined with Lemma 2.3, shows the following:

Lemma 4.1. We have

$$A_q(n; r, s) \cong (F(n, r) \otimes_R F_*(n, s))/Y,$$

where F(n, r) (resp. $F_*(n, s)$) is the *R*-submodule of the free algebra on generators x_{ij} (resp. x_{ij}^*) generated by monomials of degree *r* (resp. *s*) and *Y* is the *R*-submodule of $F(n, r) \otimes_R F_*(n, s)$ generated by elements of the form $h_1h_2h_3$, where h_2 is one of the elements

$$x_{ik}x_{jk} - qx_{jk}x_{ik} \qquad for \ i < j, \tag{4.1.1}$$

$$x_{ki}x_{kj} - qx_{kj}x_{ki} \qquad \qquad for \ i < j, \tag{4.1.2}$$

$$x_{ij}x_{kl} - x_{kl}x_{ij}$$
 for $i < k, j > l$, (4.1.3)

Richard Dipper, Stephen Doty and Friederike Stoll

$$x_{ij}x_{kl} - x_{kl}x_{ij} - (q - q^{-1})x_{il}x_{kj} \quad \text{for } i < k, \ j < l, \tag{4.1.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_{ik}^* x_{jk}^* - q^{-1} x_{jk}^* x_{ik}^* & for \ i < j, \\ x_{ik}^* x_{ki}^* - q^{-1} x_{ki}^* x_{ki}^* & for \ i < j, \end{aligned}$$
(4.1.5)

$$\begin{aligned} x_{ki}^* x_{kj}^* &= q^{-1} x_{kj} x_{ki} & \text{for } i < j, \\ x_{ij}^* x_{kl}^* &= x_{kl}^* x_{ij}^* & \text{for } i < k, j > l, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (4.1.6) \\ \text{for } i < k, j > l, \end{aligned}$$

$$x_{ij}^* x_{kl}^* - x_{kl}^* x_{ij}^* + (q - q^{-1}) x_{il}^* x_{kj}^* \quad for \ i < k, \ j < l,$$
(4.1.8)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{ik} x_{jk}^{*} \qquad for \ i \neq j,$$
(4.1.9)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} q^{2k} x_{ki} x_{kj}^{*} \qquad \text{for } i \neq j, \qquad (4.1.10)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} q^{2k-2i} x_{ki} x_{ki}^* - \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{jk} x_{jk}^*$$
(4.1.11)

and h_1 and h_3 are monomials of appropriate degree.

Remark 4.2. The map given by $x_{ik} \mapsto q^{2k-2i} x_{ki}$ and $x_{ik}^* \mapsto x_{ki}^*$ induces an *R*-linear automorphism of $A_q(n; r, s)$.

Bideterminants can also be formed using the variables x_{ii}^* . In this case, let

 $(\mathfrak{t}|\mathfrak{t}')^* := (\mathfrak{t}_1|\mathfrak{t}_1')_r^*(\mathfrak{t}_2|\mathfrak{t}_2')_r^*\cdots(\mathfrak{t}_k|\mathfrak{t}_k')_r^*,$

where the quantum minors $(i_1 \dots i_k | j_1 \dots j_k)_{r/l}^*$ are defined as above with q replaced by q^{-1} .

5. The map $\iota: A_q(n; r, s) \rightarrow A_q(n, r + (n-1)s)$

For any $1 \le i, j \le n$, let $\iota(x_{ij}) := x_{ij}$ and

$$u(x_{ij}^*) := (-q)^{j-i} (12 \dots \hat{i} \dots n | 12 \dots \hat{j} \dots n) \in A_q(n, n-1);$$

then there is a unique *R*-linear map

$$\iota: F(n,r) \otimes_R F_*(n,s) \to A_q(n,r+(n-1)s)$$

such that $\iota(x_{i_1j_1}\cdots x_{i_rj_r}x_{k_1l_1}^*\cdots x_{k_sl_s}^*) = \iota(x_{i_1j_1})\cdots \iota(x_{i_rj_r})\iota(x_{k_1l_1}^*)\cdots \iota(x_{k_sl_s}^*).$

Lemma 5.1. The kernel of ι contains Y, and thus, ι induces an R-linear map

$$A_q(n; r, s) \rightarrow A_q(n, r + (n-1)s),$$

which we will then also denote ι .

Proof. We have to show that the generators of *Y* lie in the kernel of ι . Generators of *Y* involving the elements (4.1.1)–(4.1.4) are obviously in the kernel of ι . Theorem 7.3 of [Goodearl 2006] shows that generators involving elements (4.1.5)–(4.1.8) are also in the kernel. Laplace's expansion shows that

$$\iota\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{ik} x_{jk}^{*}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-q)^{(k-1)-(j-1)} x_{ik} \cdot (1 \dots \hat{j} \dots n | 1 \dots \hat{k} \dots n)_{l}$$

= $(-q)^{1-j} (i 1 \dots \hat{j} \dots n | 1 \dots n)_{l} = \delta_{i,j} \cdot \det_{q},$
$$\iota\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} q^{2k-2i} x_{ki} x_{kj}^{*}\right) = q^{-2i+j+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-q)^{k-1} x_{ki} \cdot (1 \dots \hat{k} \dots n | 1 \dots \hat{j} \dots n)_{r}$$

= $(-q)^{j-2i+1} (1 \dots n | i 1 \dots \hat{j} \dots n)_{r} = \delta_{i,j} \cdot \det_{q};$

thus, the generators involving the elements (4.1.9)–(4.1.11) are in the kernel of ι . \Box

Now, we have maps

$$\iota^* : A_q(n, r+(n-1)s)^* \to A_q(n; r, s)^*$$
 and $\pi : S_q(n, r+(n-1)s) \to S_q(n; r, s).$
By definition, $A_q(n, r+(n-1)s)^* \cong S_q(n, r+(n-1)s)$ and $A_q(n; r, s)^* \cong S_q(n; r, s).$

Lemma 5.2. Under the identifications above, we have $\iota^* = \pi$.

Proof. We will write

$$\begin{aligned} x_{i_1...i_l} \ j_{1...j_l} &= x_{i_1,j_1} \cdots x_{i_l,j_l}, \\ x_{i_l...i_1|l_1...l_m} \ j_{1...j_1|k_1...k_m} &= x_{i_l,j_l} \cdots x_{i_1,j_1} x_{l_1,k_1}^* \cdots x_{l_m,k_m}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $\tilde{\varphi} \in A_q(n, r + (n-1)s)^*$. Then

$$\varphi = \sum_{i,j \in \mathbf{I}(n,r+(n-1)s)} \tilde{\varphi}(x_{\mathbf{i}j}) E_{ij}$$

is the corresponding element of $S_q(n, r + (n-1)s)$. Since $\iota^*(\tilde{\varphi}) = \tilde{\varphi} \circ \iota$, we have

$$\iota^*(\varphi) = \sum_{i,j,k,l} \tilde{\varphi} \circ \iota(x_{i|j|k|l}) E_{i|j|k|l}.$$

In other words, the coefficient of $E_{i|j\,k|l}$ in $\iota^*(\varphi)$ can be computed by substituting each x_{st} in $\iota(x_{i|j\,k|l})$ by $\tilde{\varphi}(x_{st})$. On the other hand, to compute the coefficient of $E_{i|j\,k|l}$ in $\pi(\varphi)$, one has to consider the action of φ on a basis element $v = \kappa(v_{k|l})$ of $T^{r,s}$. For a multi-index $l \in I(n, s)$, let $l^* \in I(n, (n-1)s)$ be defined by

$$\boldsymbol{l}^* := (1 \dots \widehat{l_1} \dots n \dots \widehat{l_2} \dots n \dots 1 \dots \widehat{l_s} \dots n).$$

Then

$$v = \kappa(v_{k|l}) = (-q)^{l_1 + l_2 + \dots + l_s} \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-1}^{\times s}} (-q)^{l(w)} v_k \otimes (v_{l^*} T_w),$$

and thus, we have

$$\varphi(v) = (-q)^{\sum l_k} \sum_{s,t,w} (-q)^{l(w)} \tilde{\varphi}(x_{st}) E_{st}(v_k \otimes (v_{l^*} T_w))$$
$$= \sum_{s,w} (-q)^{l(w) + \sum l_k} \tilde{\varphi}(x_{s\,kl^*w}) v_s.$$

Since φ leaves $T^{r,s}$ invariant, $\varphi(v)$ is a linear combination of the basis elements $\kappa(v_{i|j})$ of $T^{r,s}$. Distinct $\kappa(v_{i|j})$ involve distinct basis vectors of $V^{\otimes r+(n-1)s}$. Thus, if

$$\varphi(v) = \sum_{i|j} \lambda_{i|j} \kappa(v_{i|j}) = \sum_{i|j,w} \lambda_{i|j} (-q)^{l(w)+j_1+\cdots+j_s} v_{ij^*.w},$$

then $(-q)^{\sum j_k} \lambda_{i|j}$ is equal to the coefficient of v_{ij^*} when $\varphi(v)$ is written as a linear combination of basis vectors of $V^{\otimes r+(n-1)s}$. The coefficient of v_{ij^*} in $\varphi(v)$ is, by the formula above,

$$(-q)^{\sum l_k} \sum_w (-q)^{l(w)} \tilde{\varphi}(x_{ij^* kl^*w}).$$

Thus,

$$\lambda_{i|j} = (-q)^{\sum l_k - j_k} \sum_{w} (-q)^{l(w)} \tilde{\varphi}(x_{ij^* kl^*w}) = \tilde{\varphi} \circ \iota(x_{i|j k|l}).$$

But $\lambda_{i|j}$ is also the coefficient of $E_{i|j|k|l}$ in $\pi(\varphi)$, which shows the result.

Theorem 5.3 (Jacobi's ratio theorem). Suppose $n \ge l \ge 0$ and $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_l$ and $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_l$. Let $i'_1 < i'_2 < \cdots < i'_{n-l}$ and $j'_1 < j'_2 < \cdots < j'_{n-l}$ be the unique numbers such that $\{1, \ldots, n\} = \{i_1, \ldots, i_l, i'_1, \ldots, i'_{n-l}\} = \{j_1, \ldots, j_l, j'_1, \ldots, j'_{n-l}\}$. Then

$$\iota((i_1 \dots i_l | j_1 \dots j_l)^*) = (-q)^{\sum_{l=1}^l (j_l - i_l)} \det_q^{l-1} (i'_1 \dots i'_{n-l} | j'_1 \dots j'_{n-l}).$$

Proof. We argue by induction on l. Note that for l = 0, $\det_q^{l-1} = \det_q^{-1}$ is not an element of $A_q(n)$. However, $(i'_1 \dots i'_{n-l} | j'_1 \dots j'_{n-l})$ turns out to be \det_q ; thus, the right-hand side of the formula is $\det_q^{-1} \det_q = 1 = \iota(1)$. In this sense, the formula is valid for l = 0.

For l = 1, the theorem is true by the definition of $\iota(x_{ij}^*)$. Now assume the theorem is true for l - 1. Apply Laplace's expansion and use induction to get

$$\iota((i_1 \dots i_l | j_1 \dots j_l)^*) = \iota\left(\sum_{k=1}^l (-q)^{-(k-1)} x_{i_k j_1}^* (i_1 \dots \widehat{i_k} \dots i_l | j_2 \dots j_l)^*\right)$$

$$=\sum_{k=1}^{l}(-q)^{1-k}(-q)^{j_1-i_k}(1\ldots\widehat{i_k}\ldots n|1\ldots\widehat{j_1}\ldots n)\cdot(-q)^{\sum_{t\neq 1}j_t-\sum_{t\neq k}i_t}\det_q^{l-2}$$
$$\cdot(1\ldots\widehat{i_1}\ldots\widehat{i_2}\ldots\ldots\widehat{i_{k-1}}\ldots\widehat{i_{k+1}}\ldots\widehat{i_l}\ldots n|1\ldots\widehat{j_2}\ldots\widehat{j_3}\ldots\ldots\widehat{j_l}\ldots n).$$

We claim that this is equal to

$$(-q)^{\sum_{l=1}^{l}(j_{l}-i_{l})} \det_{q}^{l-2} \sum_{w} (-q)^{l(w)+1-n}(w 1 w 2 \dots w (n-1)|1 \dots \hat{j_{1}} \dots n) \cdot (w n 1 \dots \hat{i_{1}} \dots \dots \hat{i_{l}} \dots n|1 \dots \hat{j_{2}} \dots \dots \hat{j_{l}} \dots n)_{l}, \quad (5.3.1)$$

where the summation is over all $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ such that $w1 < w2 < \cdots < w(n-1)$. If wn is not one of the i_k s, then the summand in (5.3.1) vanishes since wn appears twice in the row on the left side of the second minor. Thus, the summation is over all w as above with $wn = i_k$ for some k. Note that $l(w) = n - i_k$ and

$$(i_k 1 \dots \widehat{i_1} \dots \widehat{i_l} \dots n | \mathfrak{t})_l = (-q)^{i_k - k} (1 \dots \widehat{i_1} \dots \widehat{i_{k-1}} \dots \widehat{i_{k+1}} \dots \widehat{i_l} \dots n | \mathfrak{t});$$

the claim follows. Again apply Laplace's expansion to the second minor in (5.3.1) to get

$$(wn \ 1 \dots \widehat{i_1} \dots \widehat{i_l} \dots n | 1 \dots \widehat{j_2} \dots \widehat{j_l} \dots n)_l$$

= $\sum_{v} (-q)^{l(v)} x_{wn \ v1} (1 \dots \widehat{i_1} \dots \widehat{i_l} \dots n | v2v3 \dots \widehat{vj_2} \dots \widehat{vj_l} \dots vn),$

the summation being over all $v \in \mathfrak{S}_{\{1,\ldots,\hat{j_2},\ldots,\hat{j_l},\ldots,n\}}$ with $v2 < v3 < \cdots < vn$. After substituting this term in (5.3.1), one can again apply Laplace's expansion to get that (5.3.1) is equal to

$$(-q)^{\sum (j_l - i_l)} \det_q^{l-2} \sum_{v} (-q)^{l(v) + 1 - n} (12 \dots n | 1 \dots \widehat{j_1} \dots n v 1)_r$$

$$\cdot (1 \dots \widehat{i_1} \dots \dots \widehat{i_l} \dots n | v 2v 3 \dots v \widehat{j_2} \dots \dots v \widehat{j_l} \dots v n). \quad (5.3.2)$$

The only summand in (5.3.2) that does not vanish is the term for $v1 = j_1$ with $l(v) = j_1 - 1$. Thus, (5.3.2) is equal to

$$(-q)^{\sum (j_t - i_t)} \det_q^{l-2} (-q)^{j_1 - n} (12 \dots n | 1 \dots \hat{j_1} \dots n j_1)_r \cdot (i'_1 \dots i'_{n-l} | j'_1 \dots j'_{n-l}) = (-q)^{\sum_{l=1}^l (j_l - i_l)} \det_q^{l-1} (i'_1 \dots i'_{n-l} | j'_1 \dots j'_{n-l}). \quad \Box$$

6. A basis for $A_q(n; r, s)$

Theorem 5.3 enables us to construct elements of $A_q(n; r, s)$ that are mapped to standard bideterminants under ι . First, we will introduce the notion of rational tableaux although we will slightly differ from the definition of rational tableaux in [Stembridge 1987]. Recall that $\Lambda^+(k)$ is the set of partitions of k.

Definition 6.1. Fix $0 \le k \le \min(r, s)$. Let $\rho \in \Lambda^+(r-k)$ and $\sigma \in \Lambda^+(s-k)$ with $\rho_1 + \sigma_1 \le n$. A *rational* (ρ, σ) *-tableau* is a pair $(\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s})$ with \mathfrak{r} a ρ -tableau and \mathfrak{s} a σ -tableau.

Let first_{*i*}($\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s}$) be the number of entries of the first row of \mathfrak{r} , which are at most *i*, plus the number of entries of the first row of \mathfrak{s} , which are at most *i*. A rational tableau is called *standard* if \mathfrak{r} and \mathfrak{s} are standard tableaux and the following condition holds:

$$\operatorname{first}_i(\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{s}) \le i \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n.$$
 (6.1.1)

A pair $[(\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s}), (\mathfrak{r}', \mathfrak{s}')]$ of rational (ρ, σ) -tableaux is called a *rational bitableau*, and it is called a standard rational bitableau if both $(\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s})$ and $(\mathfrak{r}', \mathfrak{s}')$ are standard rational tableaux.

Remark 6.2. In [Stembridge 1987], condition (6.1.1) is already part of the definition of rational tableaux. The condition $\rho_1 + \sigma_1 \le n$ is equivalent to condition (6.1.1) for i = n. The reason for the difference will be apparent in the next lemma's proof.

Lemma 6.3. There is a bijection between the set consisting of all standard rational (ρ, σ) -tableaux for $\rho \in \Lambda^+(r-k)$ and $\sigma \in \Lambda^+(s-k)$ as k runs from 0 to min(r, s) and the set of all standard λ -tableaux for $\lambda \in \Lambda^+(r+(n-1)s)$ so $\sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i \ge (n-1)s$.

Proof. Given a rational (ρ, σ) -tableau $(\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s})$, we construct a λ -tableau \mathfrak{t} as follows. Draw a rectangular diagram with *s* rows and *n* columns. Rotate the tableau \mathfrak{s} by 180 degrees, and place it in the bottom right corner of the rectangle. Place the tableau \mathfrak{r} on the left side below the rectangle. Fill the empty boxes of the rectangle with numbers such that in each row the entries that do not appear in \mathfrak{t} appear in the empty boxes in increasing order. Let \mathfrak{t} be the tableau consisting of the formerly empty boxes and the boxes of \mathfrak{r} . We illustrate this procedure with an example. Let n = 5, r = 4, s = 5 and k = 1, and let

$$(\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{s}) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} 3 & 4 \\ \hline 3 & 5 \\ \hline \end{array} \right).$$

Then



It is now easy to give an inverse. Just draw the rectangle into the tableau \mathfrak{t} , fill the empty boxes of the rectangle in a similar way as before, and rotate these back to obtain \mathfrak{s} . Note \mathfrak{r} is the part of the tableau \mathfrak{t} that lies outside the rectangle. We have to show that these bijections provide standard tableaux of the right shape.

Suppose $(\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s})$ is a rational (ρ, σ) -tableau, so \mathfrak{t} is a λ -tableau with $\lambda_i = n - \sigma_{s+1-i}$ for $i \leq s$ and $\lambda_i = \rho_{i-s}$ for i > s. So $\lambda_i \geq \lambda_{i+1}$ for i < s is equivalent to $\sigma_{s+1-i} \leq \sigma_{s-i}$, and for i > s it is equivalent to $\rho_{i-s} \geq \rho_{i+1-s}$. Now $\rho_1 + \sigma_1 = \lambda_{s+1} - (\lambda_s - n)$. This shows that λ is a partition if and only if ρ and σ are partitions with $\rho_1 + \sigma_1 \leq n$. We still have to show that $(\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s})$ is standard if and only if \mathfrak{t} is standard.

By definition, all standard tableaux have increasing rows. A tableau has nondecreasing columns if and only if for all i = 1, ..., n and all rows (except for the last row) the number of entries at most i in this row is greater than or equal to the number of entries at most i in the next row. Now it follows from the construction that t has nondecreasing columns inside the rectangle if and only if \mathfrak{s} has nondecreasing columns outside the rectangle if and only if \mathfrak{r} has nondecreasing columns and the columns in t do not decrease from row s to row s+1 if and only if condition (6.1.1) holds.

Definition 6.4. Let $\operatorname{det}_q^{(k)} \in A_q(n; k, k)$ with $k \ge 1$ be recursively defined by $\operatorname{det}_q^{(1)} := \sum_{l=1}^n x_{1l} x_{1l}^*$ and $\operatorname{det}_q^{(k)} := \sum_{l=1}^n x_{1l} \operatorname{det}_q^{(k-1)} x_{1l}^*$ for k > 1.

Let a (rational) bideterminant $((\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{s})|(\mathfrak{r}',\mathfrak{s}')) \in A_q(n;r,s)$ be defined by

$$((\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{s})|(\mathfrak{r}',\mathfrak{s}')) := (\mathfrak{r}|\mathfrak{r}') \mathfrak{det}_q^{(k)} (\mathfrak{s}|\mathfrak{s}')^*$$

whenever $[(\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s}), (\mathfrak{r}', \mathfrak{s}')]$ is a rational (ρ, σ) -bitableau such that $\rho \in \Lambda^+(r-k)$ and $\sigma \in \Lambda^+(s-k)$ for some $k = 0, 1, ..., \min(r, s)$.

Note that the proof of Lemma 5.1 and Remark 3.3(4) show that $\iota(\mathfrak{det}_q^{(k)}) = \det_q^k$. Furthermore, if ρ_1 or $\sigma_1 > n$, then the bideterminant of a (ρ, σ) -bitableau vanishes. As a direct consequence of Theorem 5.3, we get the following:

Lemma 6.5. Let $(\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s})$ and $(\mathfrak{r}', \mathfrak{s}')$ be two standard rational tableaux, and let \mathfrak{t} and \mathfrak{t}' be the (standard) tableaux obtained from the correspondence of Lemma 6.3. Then

$$\iota((\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{s})|(\mathfrak{r}',\mathfrak{s}')) = (-q)^{c(\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{t}')}(\mathfrak{t}|\mathfrak{t}')$$

for some integer c(t, t'). In particular, the bideterminants of standard rational bitableaux are linearly independent.

Proof. This follows directly from Theorem 5.3, the construction of the bijection and $\iota(\mathfrak{det}_q^{(k)}) = \det_q^k$. The second statement follows from the fact that the $(\mathfrak{t}|\mathfrak{t}')$ s are linearly independent.

Lemma 6.6. We have

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n} x_{il} \mathfrak{det}_{q}^{(k)} x_{jl}^{*} = 0 \quad for \ i \neq j,$$
(6.6.1)

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n} q^{2l} x_{li} \mathfrak{det}_{q}^{(k)} x_{lj}^{*} = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j,$$
(6.6.2)

and

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n} q^{2l-2i} x_{li} \mathfrak{det}_{q}^{(k)} x_{li}^{*} = \sum_{l=1}^{n} x_{jl} \mathfrak{det}_{q}^{(k)} x_{jl}^{*}.$$
(6.6.3)

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume k = 1. Suppose that $i, j \neq 1$. Then

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n} x_{il} \operatorname{det}_{q}^{(1)} x_{jl}^{*} = \sum_{k,l=1}^{n} x_{ik} x_{1l} x_{1l}^{*} x_{jk}^{*} = \sum_{kl} \left(x_{1l} x_{ik} x_{jk}^{*} x_{1l}^{*} + (q^{-1} - q) (x_{1k} x_{il} x_{1l}^{*} x_{jk}^{*} + x_{1l} x_{ik} x_{1k}^{*} x_{jl}^{*}) \right)$$
$$= \sum_{k,l} x_{1l} x_{ik} x_{jk}^{*} x_{1l}^{*} + (q^{-2} - 1) \sum_{k} q x_{1k} x_{ik} x_{1k}^{*} x_{jk}^{*} + (q^{-1} - q) \sum_{k>l} (x_{1k} x_{il} x_{1l}^{*} x_{jk}^{*} + x_{1l} x_{ik} x_{1k}^{*} x_{jl}^{*})$$
$$= \delta_{ij} \operatorname{det}_{q}^{(2)} + (q^{-1} - q) \sum_{k,l} x_{1k} x_{il} x_{1l}^{*} x_{jk}^{*} = \delta_{ij} \operatorname{det}_{q}^{(2)}.$$

For $j \neq 1$, we have

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n} x_{1l} \mathfrak{det}_{q}^{(1)} x_{jl}^{*} = \sum_{k,l=1}^{n} x_{1k} x_{1l} x_{1l}^{*} x_{jk}^{*} = \sum_{kl} (q^{-1} x_{1l} x_{1k} x_{jk}^{*} x_{1l}^{*} + (q^{-1} - q) x_{1k} x_{1l} x_{jl}^{*} x_{1k}^{*})$$
$$= \sum_{k,l} q^{-1} x_{1l} x_{1k} x_{jk}^{*} x_{1l}^{*} = 0.$$

Similarly, one can show that

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n} x_{il} \operatorname{det}_{q}^{(1)} x_{1l}^{*} = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq 1,$$

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n} q^{2l-2i} x_{li} \operatorname{det}_{q}^{(1)} x_{lj}^{*} = \delta_{ij} \sum_{l=1}^{n} q^{2l-2} x_{l1} \operatorname{det}_{q}^{(1)} x_{l1}^{*} \quad \text{for } i, j \neq 1,$$

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n} q^{2l-2i} x_{l1} \operatorname{det}_{q}^{(1)} x_{lj}^{*} = 0 \quad \text{for } j \neq 1,$$

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n} q^{2l-2i} x_{li} \operatorname{det}_{q}^{(1)} x_{l1}^{*} = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq 1.$$

Finally,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{l=1}^{n} q^{2l-2} x_{l1} \mathfrak{det}_{q}^{(1)} x_{l1}^{*} \\ &= \sum_{l,k} q^{2l-2} x_{l1} x_{1k} x_{1k}^{*} x_{l1}^{*} = \sum_{l,k\neq 1} q^{2l-2} x_{1k} x_{l1} x_{l1}^{*} x_{1k}^{*} \\ &+ \sum_{l\neq 1} q^{2l-4} x_{11} x_{l1} x_{l1}^{*} x_{11}^{*} + \sum_{k\neq 1} q^{2} x_{1k} x_{11} x_{1k}^{*} + x_{11} x_{11} x_{11}^{*} x_{11}^{*} \\ &= \mathfrak{det}_{q}^{(2)} + \sum_{l\neq 1} q^{2l-4} (1-q^{2}) x_{11} x_{l1} x_{l1}^{*} x_{11}^{*} + \sum_{k\neq 1} (q^{2}-1) x_{1k} x_{11} x_{1k}^{*} x_{1k}^{*} \\ &= \mathfrak{det}_{q}^{(2)} + (1-q^{2}) \left(\sum_{l\neq 1} q^{2l-4} x_{11} x_{l1} x_{l1}^{*} x_{11}^{*} - q^{-2} \sum_{k\neq 1} x_{11} x_{1k} x_{1k}^{*} x_{11}^{*} \right) \\ &= \mathfrak{det}_{q}^{(2)}. \end{split}$$

Lemma 6.7. Suppose $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \ldots, r_k)$, $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \ldots, s_k) \in I(n, k)$ are fixed. Let $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $k \ge 1$. Then we have, modulo $\operatorname{\mathfrak{det}}_q^{(1)}$,

$$\sum_{j < j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k} (\mathbf{r} | j_k \dots j_2 j_1)_r (\mathbf{s} | j_1 j_2 \dots j_k)_r^*$$

$$\equiv (-1)^k q^{2\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} i} \sum_{j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k \le j} (\mathbf{r} | j_k \dots j_2 j_1)_r (\mathbf{s} | j_1 j_2 \dots j_k)_r^*.$$

Proof. The only difference between $(s|j_1j_2...j_k)_r^*$ and $(s|j_1j_2...j_k)_l^*$ is on a power of -q not depending on $j_1, j_2, ..., j_k$. Thus, we can show the lemma with $(\cdot, \cdot)_r^*$ replaced by $(\cdot, \cdot)_l^*$. Similarly, we can assume that $r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_k$ and $s_1 > s_2 > \cdots > s_k$. Note that, modulo $\det_q^{(1)}$, we have the relations $\sum_{k=1}^n x_{ik} x_{jk}^* \equiv 0$. It follows that the lemma is true for k = 1. Assume that the lemma holds for k - 1. If M is an ordered set, let $M^{k,<}$ be the set of k-tuples in M with increasing entries. For a subset $M \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$, we have

$$\sum_{j \in M^{k,<}} (\mathbf{r} | j_k \dots j_2 j_1)_r (\mathbf{s} | j_1 j_2 \dots j_k)_l^*$$

= $\sum_{j \in M^{k,<}, w} (-q)^{-l(w)} (\mathbf{r} | j_k \dots j_2 j_1)_r x_{s_1 j_{w_1}}^* \cdots x_{s_k j_{w_k}}^*$
= $\sum_{j \in M^{k,<}, w} (\mathbf{r} | j_{wk} \dots j_{w1})_r x_{s_1 j_{w_1}}^* \cdots x_{s_k j_{w_k}}^*$
= $\sum_{j \in M^k} (\mathbf{r} | j_k \dots j_1)_r x_{s_1 j_1}^* \cdots x_{s_k j_k}^*.$

Applying Laplace's expansion, we can write a quantum minor $(r|j_1 j_2)_r$ as a linear

combination of products of quantum minors, say

$$(\boldsymbol{r}|\boldsymbol{j}_1\boldsymbol{j}_2)_r = \sum_l c_l(\boldsymbol{r}_l'|\boldsymbol{j}_1)_r(\boldsymbol{r}_l''|\boldsymbol{j}_2)_r.$$

Then with $\epsilon_k := (-1)^k q^{2\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} i}$, $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_k)$, $\mathbf{j}' = (j_1, \dots, j_{k-1})$, $C = \{1 \dots j\}$ and $D = \{j + 1 \dots n\}$, we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j \in D^{k,<}} (\mathbf{r} | j_k \dots j_2 j_1)_r (\mathbf{s} | j_1 j_2 \dots j_k)_l^* &= \sum_{j \in D^k} (\mathbf{r} | j_k \dots j_1)_r x_{s_1 j_1}^* \cdots x_{s_k j_k}^* \\ &= \sum_{j \in D^{k,l}} c_l (\mathbf{r}_l' | j_k)_r (\mathbf{r}_l'' | j_{k-1} \dots j_1)_r x_{s_1 j_1}^* \cdots x_{s_{k-1} j_{k-1}}^* x_{s_k j_k}^* \\ &\equiv \epsilon_{k-1} \sum_{j' \in C^{k-1}, j_k > j} c_l (\mathbf{r}_l' | j_k)_r (\mathbf{r}_l'' | j_{k-1} \dots j_1)_r x_{s_{1j_1}}^* \cdots x_{s_{k-1} j_{k-1}}^* x_{s_k j_k}^* \\ &= \epsilon_{k-1} \sum_{j' \in C^{k-1}, j_k > j} (\mathbf{r} | j_k j_{k-1} \dots j_1)_r x_{s_1 j_1}^* \cdots x_{s_{k-1} j_{k-1}}^* x_{s_{k-1} j_{k-1}}^* \\ &= \epsilon_{k-1} \sum_{j' \in C^{k-1}, j_k > j} (-q)^{k-1} (\mathbf{r} | j_{k-1} \dots j_1 j_k)_r x_{s_k j_k}^* x_{s_1 j_1}^* \cdots x_{s_{k-1} j_{k-1}}^* \\ &= \epsilon_{k-1} \sum_{j' \in C^{k-1}, j_k > j} (-q)^{k-1} c_l (\mathbf{r}_l' | j_{k-1} \dots j_1)_r x_{\mathbf{r}_l' j_k}^* x_{s_k j_k}^* x_{s_1 j_1}^* \cdots x_{s_{k-1} j_{k-1}}^* \\ &= \epsilon_{k-1} \sum_{j \in C^{k,l}} (-q)^{k-1} c_l (\mathbf{r}_l' | j_{k-1} \dots j_1)_r x_{\mathbf{r}_l' j_k}^* x_{s_k j_k}^* x_{s_1 j_1}^* \cdots x_{s_{k-1} j_{k-1}}^* \\ &= -\epsilon_{k-1} \sum_{j \in C^k, l} (-q)^{k-1} (\mathbf{r} | j_{k-1} \dots j_1 j_k)_r x_{s_k j_k}^* x_{s_1 j_1}^* \cdots x_{s_{k-1} j_{k-1}}^* \\ &= -\epsilon_{k-1} \sum_{j \in C^k, l} (-q)^{k-1} (\mathbf{r} | j_k \dots j_1 j_l)_r (s_k s_1 \dots s_{k-1} | j_1 \dots j_k)_l^* \\ &= -\epsilon_{k-1} \sum_{j \in C^{k,<}} (-q)^{k-1} (\mathbf{r} | j_k \dots j_1 j_l)_r (s_1 \dots s_k | j_1 \dots j_k)_l^* \\ &= \epsilon_k \sum_{j \in C^{k,<}} (\mathbf{r} | j_k \dots j_2 j_1)_r (s | j_1 j_2 \dots j_k)_l^*. \Box$$

Lemma 6.8. Let \mathbf{r}' and \mathbf{s}' be strictly increasing multi-indices considered as tableaux with one row. Let i be the maximal entry appearing, and suppose that i is minimal such that i violates condition (6.1.1). Let I be the set of entries appearing in both \mathbf{r}' and \mathbf{s}' ; then we have $i \in I$. Let $L_1 := \{k_1, \ldots, k_{l_1}\}$ be the set of entries of \mathbf{r}' not appearing in \mathbf{s}' , let $L_2 := \{k'_1, \ldots, k'_{l_2}\}$ be the set of entries of \mathbf{s}' not appearing in \mathbf{r}' , and let $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k = i$ be the entries of I. Let $D := \{i_1, ..., i_k, i_k + 1, i_k + 2, ..., n\}$ and $C := \{1, ..., n\} \setminus (D \cup L_1 \cup L_2)$. Furthermore, for $j_1, ..., j_t \in \{1, ..., n\}$, let

$$m(j_1, \ldots, j_l) := |\{(l, c) \in \{1, \ldots, t\} \times C : j_l < c\}|.$$

Let $\mathbf{k} := (k_1, \dots, k_{l_1})$ and $\mathbf{k}' := (k'_1, \dots, k'_{l_2})$, and let \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{s} be multi-indices of the same length as \mathbf{r}' (resp. \mathbf{s}'); then we have

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{j}\in D^{k,<}}q^{2m(\boldsymbol{j})}(\boldsymbol{r}|\boldsymbol{k}j_k\dots j_1)_r(\boldsymbol{s}|j_1\dots j_k\boldsymbol{k}')_r^*\equiv 0 \mod \mathfrak{det}_q^{(1)}$$

Proof. Note that $i \in I$ and $i = 2k + l_1 + l_2 - 1$; otherwise, i - 1 would violate (6.1.1). Therefore, |C| = k - 1. Let c_{\max} be the maximal element of C, $\tilde{C} = \{1, \ldots, c_{\max}\}$, $\tilde{D} = \{c_{\max} + 1, c_{\max} + 2, \ldots, n\} \subset D \cup L_1 \cup L_2, D_- = \{d \in D : d < c_{\max}\}$ and $D_+ = \{d \in D : d > c_{\max}\}$. With $\tilde{j} = (j_1, \ldots, j_l)$ and $\hat{j} = (j_{l+1}, \ldots, j_k)$, we have $\sum_{j \in D^{k,<}} q^{2m(j)} (\mathbf{r} | \mathbf{k} j_k \ldots j_1)_r (\mathbf{s} | j_1 \ldots j_k \mathbf{k'})_r^*$ $= \sum_{l=0}^k \sum_{\tilde{j} \in D_-^{l,<}} q^{2m(\tilde{j})} \sum_{\tilde{j} \in D_+^{k-l,<}} (\mathbf{r} | \mathbf{k} j_k \ldots j_1)_r (\mathbf{s} | j_1 \ldots j_k \mathbf{k'})_r^*.$ (6.8.1)

Without loss of generality, we may assume that the entries in *s* are increasing. We apply Laplace's expansion and Lemma 6.7 to get for fixed *l* and \tilde{j}

$$\sum_{\hat{j}\in D_{+}^{k-l,<}} (\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{k}j_{k}\dots j_{1})_{r} (\mathbf{s}|j_{1}\dots j_{k}\mathbf{k}')_{r}^{*} = \sum_{\hat{j}\in\tilde{D}^{k-l,<}} (\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{k}j_{k}\dots j_{1})_{r} (\mathbf{s}|j_{1}\dots j_{k}\mathbf{k}')_{r}^{*}$$

$$= q^{2l(k-l)} \sum_{\hat{j}\in\tilde{D}^{k-l,<}} (\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{k}j_{l}\dots j_{1}j_{k}\dots j_{l+1})_{r} (\mathbf{s}|j_{l+1}\dots j_{k}j_{1}\dots j_{l}\mathbf{k}')_{r}^{*}$$

$$\equiv \epsilon_{k-l}q^{2l(k-l)} \sum_{\hat{j}\in\tilde{C}^{k-l,<}} (\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{k}j_{l}\dots j_{1}j_{k}\dots j_{l+1})_{r} (\mathbf{s}|j_{l+1}\dots j_{k}j_{1}\dots j_{l}\mathbf{k}')_{r}^{*}$$

$$= \epsilon_{k-l}q^{2l(k-l)} \sum_{\hat{j}\in(C\cup D_{-})^{k-l,<}} (\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{k}j_{l}\dots j_{1}j_{k}\dots j_{l+1})_{r} (\mathbf{s}|j_{l+1}\dots j_{k}j_{1}\dots j_{l}\mathbf{k}')_{r}^{*}$$

This expression can be substituted into (6.8.1). Each nonzero summand belongs to a disjoint union $S_1 \cup S_2 = S \subset C \cup D_-$ such that |S| = k, $S_1 = \{j_1, \ldots, j_l\}$ and $S_2 = \{j_{l+1}, \ldots, j_k\}$. We will show that the summands belonging to some fixed set *S* cancel out.

Therefore, we claim that for each subset $S \subset C \cup D_-$ with *k* elements, there exists some $d \in D \cap S$ such that $m(d) = |\{s \in S : s > d\}|$. Suppose not. Since |C| = k - 1, *S* contains at least one element of *D*. Let $s_1 < s_2 < \cdots < s_m$ be the elements of $D \cap S$. We show by downward induction that $m(s_l) > |\{s \in S : s > s_l\}|$ for $1 \le l \le m$; $m(s_m)$ is the cardinality of $\{s_m + 1, \dots, c_{\max}\} \cap C$. Since all $s \in S$ with $s > s_m$ are elements of *C*, we have $\{s_m + 1, ..., c_{\max}\} \cap S \subset \{s_m + 1, ..., c_{\max}\} \cap C$, and thus, $m(s_m) \ge |\{s \in S : s > s_m\}|$. By assumption, we have > instead of \ge . Now suppose $m(s_l) > |\{s \in S : s > s_l\}|$, so $\{s \in S : s_{l-1} < s \le s_l\} = \{s \in S \cap C : s_{l-1} < s < s_l\} \cup \{s_l\}$; thus, *S* contains at most $m(s_{l-1}) - m(s_l)$ elements between s_{l-1} and s_l , so at most $m(s_{l-1}) - m(s_l) + 1 + m(s_l) - 1 = m(s_{l-1})$ elements are greater than s_{l-1} . By assumption, we have $m(s_{l-1}) > |\{s \in S : s > s_{l-1}\}|$. We have shown that *S* contains less than $m(s_1)$ elements greater than s_1 ; thus, *S* contains less than |C| + 1 = kelements, which is a contradiction. This shows the claim.

Let $S \subset C \cup D_-$ be fixed subset of cardinality *k*. By the previous consideration, there is an element $d \in D \cap S$ with $m(d) = |\{s \in S : s > d\}|$. We claim that the summand for S_1 and S_2 with $d \in S_1$ cancels the summand for $S_1 \setminus \{d\}$ and $S_2 \cup \{d\}$. Note that

$$(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{k} j_{l} \dots \widehat{d} \dots j_{1} j_{k} \dots d \dots j_{l+1})_{r} (\mathbf{s}|j_{l+1} \dots d \dots j_{k} j_{1} \dots \widehat{d} \dots j_{l} \mathbf{k}')_{r}^{*} = q^{2|\{s \in S: s > d\}| - 2(l-1)} (\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{k} j_{l} \dots j_{1} j_{k} \dots j_{l+1})_{r} (\mathbf{s}|j_{l+1} \dots j_{k} j_{1} \dots j_{l} \mathbf{k}')_{r}^{*}.$$

Comparing coefficients, we see that both summands cancel.

Theorem 6.9 (Rational Straightening Algorithm). The set of bideterminants of standard rational bitableaux forms an *R*-basis of $A_q(n; r, s)$.

Proof. We have to show that the bideterminants of standard rational bitableaux generate $A_q(n; r, s)$. Clearly, the bideterminants $((\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s})|(\mathfrak{r}', \mathfrak{s}'))$ with $\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{r}', \mathfrak{s}$ and \mathfrak{s}' standard tableaux generate $A_q(n; r, s)$. Let cont (\mathfrak{r}) (resp. cont (\mathfrak{s})) be the content of \mathfrak{r} (resp. \mathfrak{s}) defined in Definition 3.4.

Let \mathfrak{r} , \mathfrak{r}' , \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s}' be standard tableaux, and suppose that the rational bitableau $[(\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s}), (\mathfrak{r}', \mathfrak{s}')]$ is not standard. It suffices to show the bideterminant $((\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s})|(\mathfrak{r}', \mathfrak{s}'))$ is a linear combination of bideterminants $((\widehat{\mathfrak{r}}, \widehat{\mathfrak{s}})|(\widehat{\mathfrak{r}'}, \widehat{\mathfrak{s}'}))$ such that $\widehat{\mathfrak{r}}$ has fewer boxes than \mathfrak{r} or cont $(\mathfrak{r}) > \operatorname{cont}(\widehat{\mathfrak{r}}) = \operatorname{cont}(\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}) = \operatorname{cont}(\widehat{\mathfrak{s}})$ in the lexicographical order. Without loss of generality, we make the following assumptions:

- In the nonstandard rational bitableau [(r, s), (r', s')], the rational tableau (r', s') is nonstandard. Note that the automorphism of Remark 4.2 maps a bideterminant ((r, s)|(r', s')) to the bideterminant ((r', s')|(r, s)).
- Suppose that (𝔅, 𝔅) and (𝔅', 𝔅') are (ρ, σ)-tableaux. In view of Lemma 6.6, we can assume that ρ ∈ Λ⁺(r) and σ ∈ Λ⁺(s).
- The tableaux $\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{r}', \mathfrak{s}$ and \mathfrak{s}' have only one row (each bideterminant has a factor of this type), and we can use Theorem 3.5 to write nonstandard bideterminants as a linear combination of standard ones of the same content.
- Let *i* be minimal such that condition (6.1.1) of Definition 6.1 is violated for *i*. Applying Laplace's expansion, we may assume that there is no greater entry than *i* in t' and in s'.

Note that all elements of $A_q(n; r, s)$ having a factor $\mathfrak{det}_q^{(1)}$ can be written as a linear combination of bideterminants of rational (ρ, σ) -bitableaux with $\rho \in \Lambda^+(r-k)$, k > 0. Thus, it suffices to show that $((\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s})|(\mathfrak{r}', \mathfrak{s}'))$ is, modulo $\mathfrak{det}_q^{(1)}$, a linear combination of bideterminants of "lower content". The summand of highest content in Lemma 6.8 is that one for $\mathbf{j} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$, and this summand is a scalar multiple (a power of -q, which is invertible) of $((\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s})|(\mathfrak{r}', \mathfrak{s}'))$.

The following is an immediate consequence of the preceding theorem and Lemma 6.3.

Corollary 6.10. There exists an *R*-linear map ϕ : $A_q(n, r + (n-1)s) \rightarrow A_q(n; r, s)$ given on a basis by $\phi(\mathfrak{t}|\mathfrak{t}') := (-q)^{-c(\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{t}')}((\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{s})|(\mathfrak{r}',\mathfrak{s}'))$ if the shape λ of \mathfrak{t} satisfies $\sum_{i=1}^{s} \lambda_i \ge (n-1)s$, where $(\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{s})$ and $(\mathfrak{r}',\mathfrak{s}')$ are the rational tableaux respectively corresponding to \mathfrak{t} and \mathfrak{t}' under the correspondence of Lemma 6.3, and $\phi(\mathfrak{t}|\mathfrak{t}') := 0$ otherwise. We have

$$\phi \circ \iota = \mathrm{id}_{A_a(n;r,s)},$$

and thus, $\pi = \iota^*$ is surjective.

As noted in Section 2, we now have the main result.

Theorem 6.11 (Schur–Weyl duality for mixed tensor space, II). We have

$$S_q(n; r, s) = \operatorname{End}_{\mathfrak{B}_{r,s}(q)}(V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{\otimes s}) = \rho_{\mathrm{mxd}}(\mathbf{U}) = \rho_{\mathrm{mxd}}(\mathbf{U})$$

and $S_q(n; r, s)$ is *R*-free with a basis indexed by standard rational bitableau.

Proof. The first assertion follows from the surjectivity of π ; the second assertion is obtained by dualizing the basis of $A_q(n; r, s)$.

References

[Benkart et al. 1994] G. Benkart, M. Chakrabarti, T. Halverson, R. Leduc, C. Lee, and J. Stroomer, "Tensor product representations of general linear groups and their connections with Brauer algebras", *J. Algebra* **166**:3 (1994), 529–567. MR 95d:20071 Zbl 0815.20028

[Brundan and Stroppel 2011] J. Brundan and C. Stroppel, "Gradings on walled Brauer algebras and Khovanov's arc algebras", preprint, 2011. arXiv 1107.0999

[Dipper and Donkin 1991] R. Dipper and S. Donkin, "Quantum GL_n", *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **63**:1 (1991), 165–211. MR 92g:16055 Zbl 0734.20018

[Dipper and Doty 2008] R. Dipper and S. Doty, "The rational Schur algebra", *Represent. Theory* **12** (2008), 58–82. MR 2009e:20097 Zbl 1185.20052

[Dipper and James 1989] R. Dipper and G. James, "The *q*-Schur algebra", *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **59**:1 (1989), 23–50. MR 90g:16026 Zbl 0711.20007

[Dipper et al. 2012] R. Dipper, S. Doty, and F. Stoll, "The quantized walled Brauer algebra and mixed tensor space", preprint, 2012. arXiv 0806.0264

[Goodearl 2006] K. R. Goodearl, "Commutation relations for arbitrary quantum minors", *Pacific J. Math.* **228**:1 (2006), 63–102. MR 2007j:17019 Zbl 1125.16034

- [Green 1996] R. M. Green, "q-Schur algebras as quotients of quantized enveloping algebras", J. Algebra 185:3 (1996), 660–687. MR 97k:17016 Zbl 0862.17007
- [Hong and Kang 2002] J. Hong and S.-J. Kang, *Introduction to quantum groups and crystal bases*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 42, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. MR 2002m:17012 Zbl 1134.17007
- [Huang and Zhang 1993] R. Q. Huang and J. J. Zhang, "Standard basis theorem for quantum linear groups", *Adv. Math.* **102**:2 (1993), 202–229. MR 94j:16067 Zbl 0793.05143
- [Jantzen 1996] J. C. Jantzen, *Lectures on quantum groups*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **6**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996. MR 96m:17029 Zbl 0842.17012
- [Koike 1989] K. Koike, "On the decomposition of tensor products of the representations of the classical groups: by means of the universal characters", *Adv. Math.* **74**:1 (1989), 57–86. MR 90j:22014 Zbl 0681.20030
- [Kosuda and Murakami 1993] M. Kosuda and J. Murakami, "Centralizer algebras of the mixed tensor representations of quantum group $U_q(gl(n, \mathbb{C}))$ ", *Osaka J. Math.* **30**:3 (1993), 475–507. MR 94k:17025 Zbl 0806.17012
- [Leduc 1994] R. E. Leduc, A two-parameter version of the centralizer algebra of the mixed tensor representations of the general linear group and quantum general linear group, Ph.D. thesis, University of Wisconsin–Madison, 1994. MR 2691209
- [Lusztig 1990] G. Lusztig, "Finite-dimensional Hopf algebras arising from quantized universal enveloping algebra", *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **3**:1 (1990), 257–296. MR 91e:17009 Zbl 0695.16006
- [Schur 1927] I. Schur, "Über die rationalen Darstellungen der allgemeinen linearen Gruppe", Sitzungsber. Akad. Berlin (1927), 58–75. Reprinted as pp. 68–85 in Gesammelte Abhandlungen, III, Springer, Berlin, 1973. MR 57 #2858c JFM 53.0108.05
- [Stembridge 1987] J. R. Stembridge, "Rational tableaux and the tensor algebra of gl_n ", J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **46**:1 (1987), 79–120. MR 89a:05012 Zbl 0626.20030
- [Tange 2012] R. Tange, "A bideterminant basis for a reductive monoid", *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **216**:5 (2012), 1207–1221. MR 2012j:20138 Zbl 1251.05179
- [Turaev 1989] V. G. Turaev, "Operator invariants of tangles, and *R*-matrices", *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 53:5 (1989), 1073–1107, 1135. In Russian; translated in *Math. USSR, Izv.* 35:2 (1990), 411–444. MR 91e:17011 Zbl 0707.57003

Communicated by Georgia Benkart Received 2011-11-11 Revised 2012-04-12 Accepted 2012-06-20

rdipper@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de

Institut für Algebra und Zahlentheorie, Universität Stuttgart, Pfaffenwaldring 57, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany

doty@math.luc.edu Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Loyola University Chicago, 1023 West Sheridan Road, Chicago, IL 60660, United States

stoll@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de

Institut für Algebra und Zahlentheorie, Universität Stuttgart, Pfaffenwaldring 57, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany

msp

Algebra & Number Theory

msp.org/ant

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR Bjorn Poonen Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, USA EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR David Eisenbud

University of California Berkeley, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

| Georgia Benkart | University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA | Susan Montgomery | University of Southern California, USA |
|----------------------|---|-----------------------|--|
| Dave Benson | University of Aberdeen, Scotland | Shigefumi Mori | RIMS, Kyoto University, Japan |
| Richard E. Borcherds | University of California, Berkeley, USA | Raman Parimala | Emory University, USA |
| John H. Coates | University of Cambridge, UK | Jonathan Pila | University of Oxford, UK |
| J-L. Colliot-Thélène | CNRS, Université Paris-Sud, France | Victor Reiner | University of Minnesota, USA |
| Brian D. Conrad | University of Michigan, USA | Karl Rubin | University of California, Irvine, USA |
| Hélène Esnault | Freie Universität Berlin, Germany | Peter Sarnak | Princeton University, USA |
| Hubert Flenner | Ruhr-Universität, Germany | Joseph H. Silverman | Brown University, USA |
| Edward Frenkel | University of California, Berkeley, USA | Michael Singer | North Carolina State University, USA |
| Andrew Granville | Université de Montréal, Canada | Vasudevan Srinivas | Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, India |
| Joseph Gubeladze | San Francisco State University, USA | J. Toby Stafford | University of Michigan, USA |
| Ehud Hrushovski | Hebrew University, Israel | Bernd Sturmfels | University of California, Berkeley, USA |
| Craig Huneke | University of Virginia, USA | Richard Taylor | Harvard University, USA |
| Mikhail Kapranov | Yale University, USA | Ravi Vakil | Stanford University, USA |
| Yujiro Kawamata | University of Tokyo, Japan | Michel van den Bergh | Hasselt University, Belgium |
| János Kollár | Princeton University, USA | Marie-France Vignéras | Université Paris VII, France |
| Yuri Manin | Northwestern University, USA | Kei-Ichi Watanabe | Nihon University, Japan |
| Barry Mazur | Harvard University, USA | Efim Zelmanov | University of California, San Diego, USA |
| Philippe Michel | École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausan | ne | |

PRODUCTION

production@msp.org

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/ant for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2013 is US \$200/year for the electronic version, and \$350/year (+\$40, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1944-7833 electronic, 1937-0652 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY mathematical sciences publishers nonprofit scientific publishing http://msp.org/ © 2013 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 7 No. 5 2013

| Sharp upper bounds for the Betti numbers of a given Hilbert polynomial GIULIO CAVIGLIA and SATOSHI MURAI | 1019 |
|---|------|
| Comparing numerical dimensions BRIAN LEHMANN | 1065 |
| Some consequences of a formula of Mazur and Rubin for arithmetic local constants JAN NEKOVÁŘ | 1101 |
| Quantized mixed tensor space and Schur–Weyl duality RICHARD DIPPER, STEPHEN DOTY and FRIEDERIKE STOLL | 1121 |
| Weakly commensurable <i>S</i> -arithmetic subgroups in almost simple algebraic groups of types B and C SKIP GARIBALDI and ANDREI RAPINCHUK | 1147 |
| Minimisation and reduction of 5-coverings of elliptic curves TOM FISHER | 1179 |
| On binary cyclotomic polynomials ÉTIENNE FOUVRY | 1207 |
| Local and global canonical height functions for affine space regular automorphisms SHU KAWAGUCHI | 1225 |
| On the ranks of the 2-Selmer groups of twists of a given elliptic curve DANIEL M. KANE | 1253 |

