Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 7 2013

No. 6

Counting rational points over number fields on a singular cubic surface

Christopher Frei



Counting rational points over number fields on a singular cubic surface

Christopher Frei

A conjecture of Manin predicts the distribution of K-rational points on certain algebraic varieties defined over a number field K. In recent years, a method using universal torsors has been successfully applied to several hard special cases of Manin's conjecture over the field \mathbb{Q} . Combining this method with techniques developed by Schanuel, we give a proof of Manin's conjecture over arbitrary number fields for the singular cubic surface S given by the equation $x_0^3 = x_1x_2x_3$.

1.	Introduction	1451
2.	Passing to a universal torsor	1454
3.	Proof of Theorem 1	1460
4.	Auxiliary results	1462
5.	Proof of Lemma 3.1	1465
References		1477

1. Introduction

We consider the cubic surface $S \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ defined over any number field K by the equation

$$x_0^3 = x_1 x_2 x_3.$$

It is toric, has three singular points (0:1:0:0), (0:0:1:0), (0:0:0:1), and contains three lines $L_i := \{x_0 = x_i = 0\}$, for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. The set S(K) of K-rational points on S is infinite.

The Weil height of $\mathbf{x} = (x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3) \in \mathbb{P}^3(K)$ is defined by

$$H(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{\nu \in M(K)} \max\{|x_0|_{\nu}, |x_1|_{\nu}, |x_2|_{\nu}, |x_3|_{\nu}\}^{d_{\nu}}.$$

Here, M(K) is the set of places of K, the absolute values $|\cdot|_{\nu}$ are normalized such that they extend the usual absolute values on \mathbb{Q} , and d_{ν} is the local degree $[K_{\nu}:\mathbb{Q}_p]$, if ν extends the place p of \mathbb{Q} .

MSC2010: primary 11D45; secondary 14G05.

Keywords: Manin's conjecture, number fields, rational points, singular cubic surface.

It is well known that there are only finitely many points of bounded height in $\mathbb{P}^3(K)$, so it makes sense to study the number of K-rational points on S of height bounded by B, as B tends to infinity. A generalization of a conjecture by Manin [Franke et al. 1989; Batyrev and Tschinkel 1998b], applied to our case, links the asymptotic behavior of this quantity to geometric features of S, provided that we exclude the points lying on the lines L_i . Indeed, the number of K-rational points of bounded height on these lines dominates the number of K-rational points on the rest of S, whereas much of the geometric information about S would be lost when considering just the lines.

Therefore, we denote by U the complement of the three lines in S and define the counting function

$$N(B) := |\{x \in U(K) \mid H(x) \le B\}|.$$

Here, U(K) is the set of K-rational points on U. The above-mentioned generalization of Manin's conjecture [Franke et al. 1989; Batyrev and Tschinkel 1998b] to Fano varieties with at worst canonical singularities predicts in this case that

$$N(B) \sim cB(\log B)^6$$
,

with a positive leading constant $c = c_{S,K,H}$. A conjectural interpretation of the leading constant in Manin's conjecture was given by Peyre [1995] and extended to Fano varieties with at worst canonical singularities by Batyrev and Tschinkel [1998b]. When writing "Manin's conjecture", we implicitly include the conjecture about the leading constant.

Manin's conjecture has been proved for smooth toric varieties over arbitrary number fields by Batyrev and Tschinkel [1998a], studying the height zeta function with the help of Fourier analysis. In [Batyrev and Tschinkel 1998b] they explain how this result can be applied to prove Manin's conjecture for our singular surface *S*. Similar methods work for other varieties that are equivariant compactifications of certain algebraic groups; for example, see [Chambert-Loir and Tschinkel 2002].

Salberger [1998] gave a new proof of Manin's conjecture for split toric varieties over the field $\mathbb Q$ of rational numbers by a fundamentally different approach using universal torsors. These were first introduced by Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc [1980; 1987] to study the Hasse principle. In the context of Manin's conjecture, the basic idea is to find a parametrization of the rational points on the variety under consideration that makes it feasible to count them by analytic number theory.

Based on Salberger's ideas, proofs were found for several hard special cases of Manin's conjecture over \mathbb{Q} , to which the methods of Batyrev and Tschinkel cannot be applied; see for instance [Baier and Browning 2013; de la Bretèche 2002; de la Bretèche and Browning 2011; de la Bretèche et al. 2007; de la Bretèche and Fouvry 2004; de la Bretèche et al. 2012; Browning and Derenthal 2009; Le Boudec 2012].

For our surface *S*, independent proofs of Manin's conjecture over \mathbb{Q} were given by de la Bretèche [1998], Fouvry [1998], Salberger [1998], Heath-Brown and Moroz [1999], and de la Bretèche and Swinnerton-Dyer [2007], with the help of such parametrizations. The best error terms have been obtained in [de la Bretèche 1998; de la Bretèche and Swinnerton-Dyer 2007].

In a first attempt to generalize universal torsor techniques to number fields other than \mathbb{Q} , Derenthal and Janda [2013] modified the approach by Heath-Brown and Moroz [1999] and successfully applied it to the case of imaginary quadratic number fields of class number 1.

In this article, we combine the method of Derenthal and Janda with ideas developed by Schanuel [1979] and apply it to arbitrary number fields. To the author's best knowledge, this is the first example of universal torsor techniques applied to a special case of Manin's conjecture over general number fields, aside from Schanuel's result for \mathbb{P}^n . Hopefully, similar approaches will lead to results for nontoric varieties.

Before we state the theorem, let us fix some notation: by Δ_K , h_K , R_K , and ω_K , we denote the discriminant, class number, regulator, and number of roots of unity of K. Moreover, r and s denote the number of real and complex places of K, and q := r + s - 1. We write \mathbb{O}_K for the ring of integers of K and \mathfrak{Na} for the absolute norm of the nonzero fractional ideal \mathfrak{a} of K.

Theorem 1. For every number field K, we have

$$N(B) = c_K B(\log B)^6 + O(B(\log B)^5),$$

for $B \ge e$. Here, the implicit O-constant depends on K, and

$$c_K := \frac{9^q}{4 \cdot 6!} \left(\frac{2^r (2\pi)^s}{\sqrt{|\Delta_K|}} \right)^9 \left(\frac{h_K R_K}{\omega_K} \right)^7 \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{p}} \right)^7 \left(1 + \frac{7}{\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{p}} + \frac{1}{\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{p}^2} \right),$$

where the product runs over all nonzero prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of \mathbb{O}_K .

The leading constant. Let us check the leading constant c_K in Theorem 1 against the expected one. According to [Batyrev and Tschinkel 1998b, Section 3.4, Step 4], it should have the form

$$\frac{\gamma_{\mathfrak{K}^{-1}}(U)\delta_{\mathfrak{K}^{-1}}(U)\tau_{\mathfrak{K}^{-1}}(U)}{6!},$$

where $\gamma_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}}(U)$ is the volume of a certain polytope depending only on U, $\delta_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}}(U)$ is a cohomological invariant, and $\tau_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}}(U)$ is a generalized version of the Tamagawa number introduced by Peyre [1995] for smooth Fano varieties.

Derenthal and Janda [2013, Section 3] computed these constants for our U over arbitrary number fields K, using a minimal desingularization \tilde{S} of S constructed

by blow-ups of \mathbb{P}^2 in six rational points: We have $\delta_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}}(U)=1$, and, as already given in [Batyrev and Tschinkel 1998b, Section 5.3], $\gamma_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}}(U)=\frac{1}{36}$. The Tamagawa number $\tau_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}}(U)$ is an adelic invariant given as a product of local densities with certain convergence factors

$$\tau_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}}(U) = \left(\frac{2^r (2\pi)^s h_K R_K}{\omega_K \sqrt{|\Delta_K|}}\right)^7 |\Delta_K|^{-1} \prod_{\nu \mid \infty} \omega_{\mathcal{H}^{-1},\nu}(\tilde{S}(K_{\nu})) \prod_{\nu \nmid \infty} \lambda_{\nu}^{-1} \omega_{\mathcal{H}^{-1},\nu}(\tilde{S}(K_{\nu})).$$

For the Archimedean densities, we have

$$\omega_{\mathcal{H}^{-1},\nu}(\tilde{S}(K_{\nu})) = \begin{cases} 36 & \text{if } K_{\nu} = \mathbb{R}, \\ 36\pi^2 & \text{if } K_{\nu} = \mathbb{C}. \end{cases}$$

The non-Archimedean density at the place ν corresponding to the prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathbb{O}_K is given by

$$\lambda_{\nu}^{-1}\omega_{\mathcal{H}^{-1},\nu}(\tilde{S}(K_{\nu})) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{Np}}\right)^{7} \left(1 + \frac{7}{\mathfrak{Np}} + \frac{1}{\mathfrak{Np}^{2}}\right).$$

Putting this together, we see that the constant c_K in Theorem 1 is as expected.

More notation. The ideal class of a nonzero fractional ideal \mathfrak{a} of K is denoted by $[\mathfrak{a}]$. We write P_K for the group of nonzero principal fractional ideals of K. We denote the real embeddings by $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r : K \to \mathbb{R}$ and the complex embeddings by $\sigma_{r+1}, \overline{\sigma}_{r+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{r+s}, \overline{\sigma}_{r+s} : K \to \mathbb{C}$. The componentwise continuation of σ_i to K^n is also denoted by σ_i . If ν is the place corresponding to σ_i then we put $d_i := d_{\nu}$. When convenient, we write $\alpha^{(i)} := \sigma_i(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in K$. If \mathfrak{a} , \mathfrak{b} are fractional ideals of K, we put $(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}) := \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$. For any point $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \ldots, x_n) \in K^{n+1}$, let $\mathfrak{J}(\mathbf{x}) := (x_0 \mathbb{O}_K, \ldots, x_n \mathbb{O}_K)$. Then, for $\mathbf{x} \in K^4$,

$$H(\mathbf{x}) = \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{J}(\mathbf{x})^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{r+s} \max \{|x_0^{(i)}|, |x_1^{(i)}|, |x_2^{(i)}|, |x_3^{(i)}|\}^{d_i}.$$

We fix, once and for all, a system of fundamental units of \mathbb{O}_K , and denote by \mathscr{F} the multiplicative subgroup of K^{\times} generated by this system. Then \mathscr{F} is a free Abelian group of rank q, and the unit group \mathbb{O}_K^{\times} is the direct product $\mathbb{O}_K^{\times} = \mu_K \mathscr{F}$, where μ_K is the group of roots of unity in K.

Moreover, we fix, once and for all, a system \mathscr{C} of integral representatives for the ideal classes of \mathbb{O}_K , that is, a set of h_K nonzero ideals of \mathbb{O}_K , one from every ideal class.

2. Passing to a universal torsor

In this section, we find a parametrization of the rational points of bounded height on U by (almost) integral points on an open subset of \mathbb{A}^9_K , subject to some height- and

coprimality conditions, and up to a certain action of $(\mathbb{O}_K^{\times})^7$. This parametrization has the merit that, due to the coprimality conditions, the non-Archimedean parts of the height conditions are trivial.

Over \mathbb{Q} and imaginary quadratic number fields, the action of $(\mathbb{O}_K^{\times})^7$ makes no problems, since then \mathbb{O}_K^{\times} is finite. In general, that is not the case; this is one of the main difficulties which we have to overcome.

While we will use purely number-theoretic arguments, we mention that the open subset of \mathbb{A}^9 is a universal torsor over S, and that our construction is motivated by geometric considerations; see [Derenthal and Janda 2013]. The choice of indices might seem slightly counterintuitive at the beginning. It is, however, closely related to those geometric considerations and will lead to a rather symmetric result.

Parametrization. Let $\Psi_0: K^3 \to K^4$ be given by

$$\Psi_0(x_{23}, x_{31}, x_{12}) = (x_{12}x_{23}x_{31}, x_{12}x_{31}^2, x_{23}x_{12}^2, x_{31}x_{23}^2).$$

We will also consider Ψ_0 as a rational map $\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$. Let $W \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ be the open subset

$$W = \{(x_{23} : x_{31} : x_{12}) \in \mathbb{P}^2 \mid x_{12}x_{23}x_{31} \neq 0\}.$$

Then Ψ_0 induces a bijection between $W(K) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_2(K)$ and $U(K) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_3(K)$ with inverse $(x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3) \mapsto (x_0^2 : x_0 x_1 : x_1 x_2)$. Therefore,

$$N(B) = |\{x \in W(K) \mid H(\Psi_0(x)) \le B\}|. \tag{2-1}$$

Whenever indices j, k, l appear in an expression, this expression is understood to hold for all $(j, k, l) \in \{(1, 2, 3), (2, 3, 1), (3, 1, 2)\} =: A$.

Lemma 2.1. Let \mathfrak{b}_1 , \mathfrak{b}_2 , \mathfrak{b}_3 be nonzero ideals of \mathbb{O}_K , and let $\mathfrak{c} := (\mathfrak{b}_1, \mathfrak{b}_2, \mathfrak{b}_3)$. Then there exist unique nonzero ideals \mathfrak{a}_1 , \mathfrak{a}_2 , \mathfrak{a}_3 , \mathfrak{a}_{12} , \mathfrak{a}_{21} , \mathfrak{a}_{23} , \mathfrak{a}_{32} , \mathfrak{a}_{31} , \mathfrak{a}_{13} of \mathbb{O}_K such that

$$\mathfrak{b}_{j} = \mathfrak{c} \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{jk} \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{k}^{2} \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{lk} \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{j} \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{kj}, \tag{2-2}$$

and such that the following coprimality conditions hold:

$$(\mathfrak{a}_k,\mathfrak{a}_j) = \mathbb{O}_K, \quad (2-3) \qquad (\mathfrak{a}_k,\mathfrak{a}_{lj}) = \mathbb{O}_K, \quad (2-6) \qquad (\mathfrak{a}_{lk},\mathfrak{a}_{lj}) = \mathbb{O}_K, \quad (2-9)$$

$$(\mathfrak{a}_k, \mathfrak{a}_{kj}) = \mathbb{O}_K, \quad (2-4) \qquad (\mathfrak{a}_k, \mathfrak{a}_{kl}) = \mathbb{O}_K, \quad (2-7) \qquad (\mathfrak{a}_{lk}, \mathfrak{a}_{jl}) = \mathbb{O}_K, \quad (2-10)$$

$$(\mathfrak{a}_k,\mathfrak{a}_{jl}) = \mathbb{O}_K, \quad (2-5) \qquad (\mathfrak{a}_{lk},\mathfrak{a}_{jk}) = \mathbb{O}_K, \quad (2-8) \qquad (\mathfrak{a}_{jk},\mathfrak{a}_{kl}) = \mathbb{O}_K. \quad (2-11)$$

Conversely, given ideals \mathfrak{a}_k , \mathfrak{a}_{jk} , \mathfrak{a}_{lk} as in (2-3)–(2-11), the ideals \mathfrak{b}_j defined by (2-2) satisfy $(\mathfrak{b}_1, \mathfrak{b}_2, \mathfrak{b}_3) = \mathfrak{c}$.

Proof. It is enough to prove the lemma if $\mathfrak{c} = \mathbb{O}_K$, since we can always replace \mathfrak{b}_j by $\mathfrak{c}^{-1}\mathfrak{b}_j$. In this case, we have $(\mathfrak{b}_j, \mathfrak{b}_k^2)(\mathfrak{b}_l, \mathfrak{b}_j) \mid \mathfrak{b}_j$. Let

$$\mathfrak{a}_{jk} := \frac{\mathfrak{b}_j}{(\mathfrak{b}_j, \mathfrak{b}_k^2)(\mathfrak{b}_l, \mathfrak{b}_j)}, \quad \mathfrak{a}_k := \left(\frac{\mathfrak{b}_j}{(\mathfrak{b}_j, \mathfrak{b}_k)}, \mathfrak{b}_k\right), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{a}_{lk} := \frac{(\mathfrak{b}_j, \mathfrak{b}_k)}{\mathfrak{a}_k}. \quad (2-12)$$

Then the \mathfrak{a}_{jk} , \mathfrak{a}_k , \mathfrak{a}_{lk} are nonzero ideals of \mathbb{O}_K and (2-2) holds, since

$$(\mathfrak{b}_j, \mathfrak{b}_k^2) = (\mathfrak{b}_j, \mathfrak{b}_k)\mathfrak{a}_k = \mathfrak{a}_k^2\mathfrak{a}_{lk}$$
 and $(\mathfrak{b}_l, \mathfrak{b}_j) = \mathfrak{a}_j\mathfrak{a}_{kj}$.

One readily verifies that the left-hand sides in conditions (2-3)–(2-6), (2-9), and (2-10) divide $(\mathfrak{b}_1, \mathfrak{b}_2, \mathfrak{b}_3) = \mathbb{O}_K$. Similarly, the left-hand sides in (2-7), (2-11) divide $(\mathfrak{b}_j/(\mathfrak{b}_j, \mathfrak{b}_k), \mathfrak{b}_k/(\mathfrak{b}_j, \mathfrak{b}_k)) = \mathbb{O}_K$, and the left-hand side in (2-8) divides $(\mathfrak{b}_k/\mathfrak{a}_k, \mathfrak{b}_j/((\mathfrak{b}_j, \mathfrak{b}_k)\mathfrak{a}_k)) = \mathbb{O}_K$.

Now assume that (2-2) holds, with given nonzero ideals \mathfrak{a}_k , \mathfrak{a}_{jk} , \mathfrak{a}_{lk} satisfying the coprimality conditions (2-3)–(2-11). These conditions imply that $(\mathfrak{b}_j, \mathfrak{b}_k) = \mathfrak{a}_k \mathfrak{a}_{lk}$, and furthermore $(\mathfrak{b}_j/(\mathfrak{a}_k \mathfrak{a}_{lk}), \mathfrak{b}_k) = \mathfrak{a}_k$. Thus, the $\mathfrak{a}_k, \mathfrak{a}_{lk}$ are as in (2-12). Clearly, this holds as well for the \mathfrak{a}_{ik} , and uniqueness is proved.

The last assertion is again a direct consequence of (2-3)–(2-11).

The coprimality conditions (2-3)–(2-11) can be expressed in a more convenient way: Let G = (V, E) be the graph with vertex set $V := \{1, 2, 3, 12, 21, 23, 32, 31, 13\}$ and edge set $E := \{\{k, jk\}, \{k, lk\}, \{kl, lk\} \mid (j, k, l) \in A\}$. We can draw it as follows:

$$1 - 21 - 21 - 2$$
 $31 - 13 - 3 - 23 - 32$

Then (2-3)–(2-11) hold if and only if $(\mathfrak{a}_v, \mathfrak{a}_w) = \mathbb{O}_K$ for all pairs (v, w) of nonadjacent vertices of V. If we denote the edge set of the complement graph by E', this means that

for any
$$\{v, w\} \in E'$$
, we have $(\mathfrak{a}_v, \mathfrak{a}_w) = \mathbb{O}_K$. (2-13)

For every point $(x_{23}:x_{31}:x_{12}) \in W(K)$, the ideal class $[\mathfrak{J}(x_{23},x_{31},x_{12})]$ is well-defined, and $[\mathfrak{J}(x_{23},x_{31},x_{12})]=[C]$, for some $C \in \mathscr{C}$. By multiplying with a suitable element of K^{\times} , we can choose a representative $\mathbf{x}=(x_{23},x_{31},x_{12})\in (\mathbb{O}_K\setminus\{0\})^3$ with $\mathfrak{J}(\mathbf{x})=C$. This representative is unique up to scalar multiplication by units in \mathbb{O}_K^{\times} .

We apply Lemma 2.1 to the principal ideals $\mathfrak{b}_j := x_{jk} \mathbb{O}_K$ and obtain

$$x_{jk} \mathbb{O}_K = C \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{jk} \cdot \mathfrak{a}_k^2 \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{lk} \cdot \mathfrak{a}_j \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{kj},$$

with unique ideals \mathfrak{a}_v of \mathbb{O}_K satisfying (2-13). For all $v \in V \setminus \{12, 23, 31\}$, there is a unique $C_v \in \mathscr{C}$ with $[\mathfrak{a}_v] = [C_v^{-1}]$. Choose $y_v \in K^\times$ with $y_v \mathbb{O}_K = \mathfrak{a}_v C_v$, and

define y_{12} , y_{23} , $y_{31} \in K^{\times}$ by the equations

$$x_{jk} = y_{jk} \cdot y_k^2 \cdot y_{lk} \cdot y_j \cdot y_{kj}. \tag{2-14}$$

Then

$$y_{jk} \mathbb{O}_K = \mathfrak{a}_{jk} C_{jk}$$
 with $C_{jk} := C C_k^{-2} C_{lk}^{-1} C_j^{-1} C_{kj}^{-1}$.

For $C = (C, C_1, C_2, C_3, C_{21}, C_{32}, C_{13}) \in \mathcal{C}^7$, we define M_C as the set of all $\mathbf{y} = (y_v)_{v \in V} \in (K^{\times})^9$ such that

$$y_v \in C_v$$
 for all $v \in V$, and the ideals $\mathfrak{a}_v := y_v C_v^{-1}$ satisfy (2-13). (2-15)

By what we have shown above, relations (2-14) define a surjective mapping

$$\phi: \bigcup_{C\in\mathscr{C}^7} M_C \to W(K).$$

If $y \in M_C$ and $\phi(y) = (x_{23} : x_{31} : x_{12})$ with x_{jk} as in (2-14) then

$$x_{jk} \mathbb{O}_K = C \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{jk} \cdot \mathfrak{a}_k^2 \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{lk} \cdot \mathfrak{a}_j \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{kj}$$
.

By Lemma 2.1, we have $\mathfrak{J}(x_{23},x_{31},x_{12})=C$, and the \mathfrak{a}_v (and thus as well the C_v) are uniquely determined by the $x_{jk}\mathbb{O}_K$. In particular, the sets M_C , $C\in \mathscr{C}^7$, are pairwise disjoint. Moreover, (x_{23},x_{31},x_{12}) and the $y_v,v\in V$, are determined by $\phi(y)$ up to multiplication by units. Therefore, $\phi(y)=\phi(z)$ if and only if there are units $\zeta,\zeta_v\in \mathbb{O}_K^\times$ with

$$z_v = \zeta_v y_v$$
 for all $v \in V$ and $\zeta_{ik} \zeta_k^2 \zeta_{lk} \zeta_i \zeta_{ki} = \zeta$ for all $(j, k, l) \in A$.

By eliminating the ζ_{jk} , we see that $\phi(y) = \phi(z)$ if and only if y and z are in the same orbit of the action \odot of $(\mathbb{O}_K^{\times})^7$ on $(K^{\times})^9$ given by

$$(\zeta, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_{21}, \zeta_{32}, \zeta_{13}) \odot (y_v)_v := (z_v)_v,$$
 (2-16)

where $z_v := \zeta_v y_v$ for all $v \in V \setminus \{12, 23, 31\}$ and $z_{jk} := \zeta \zeta_k^{-2} \zeta_{lk}^{-1} \zeta_j^{-1} \zeta_{kj}^{-1} y_{jk}$.

In what follows, it will be more convenient to work with the free Abelian subgroup \mathscr{F} of \mathbb{O}_K^{\times} generated by our fixed system of fundamental units. Clearly, $(\mathbb{O}_K^{\times})^7$ is the direct product $(\mathbb{O}_K^{\times})^7 = \mu_K^7 \cdot \mathscr{F}^7$. Since the action of $(\mathbb{O}_K^{\times})^7$ on $(K^{\times})^9$ is free, every orbit of $(K^{\times})^9$ under the action of $(\mathbb{O}_K^{\times})^7$ is the union of $|\mu_K^7| = \omega_K^7$ orbits under the action of \mathscr{F}^7 .

Let \Re be a system of representatives for the orbits of $(K^{\times})^9$ under the action of \mathscr{F}^7 . Then ϕ induces an ω_K^7 -to-1 map

$$\phi:\;\bigcup\;(M_C\cap\mathcal{R})\to W(K).$$

The benefits of our construction become apparent in the height condition. With $\mathbf{x} = (x_{23}, x_{31}, x_{12})$ as in (2-14), we have $\psi_0(\mathbf{x}) = y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3^2 y_{21} y_{32} y_{13} \cdot \psi(\mathbf{y})$, where

$$\psi(\mathbf{y}) = (\psi(\mathbf{y})_0, \psi(\mathbf{y})_1, \psi(\mathbf{y})_2, \psi(\mathbf{y})_3),$$

with

$$\psi(\mathbf{y})_0 := \prod_{v \in V} y_v$$
 and $\psi(\mathbf{y})_j := y_j^3 y_{jk} y_{jl} y_{kj}^2 y_{lj}^2$ for $1 \le j \le 3$.

Therefore,

$$H(\psi_0(\mathbf{x})) = H(\psi(\mathbf{y})) = \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{J}(\psi(\mathbf{y}))^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{r+s} \max_{0 \le j \le 3} \{|\psi(\mathbf{y})_j^{(i)}|\}^{d_i}.$$

A straightforward computation using $y_v = a_v C_v$ and (2-13) shows that

$$\mathfrak{J}(\psi(y)) = C^3 C_1^{-2} C_2^{-2} C_3^{-2} C_{21}^{-1} C_{32}^{-1} C_{13}^{-1}.$$

By our construction, $\psi(y)$ satisfies the equation $\psi(y)_0^3 = \psi(y)_1 \psi(y)_2 \psi(y)_3$. Since this holds as well for all conjugates, the maximum is always one of $|\psi(y)_1^{(i)}|$, $|\psi(y)_2^{(i)}|$, $|\psi(y)_3^{(i)}|$. We define

$$\Re(B) := \left\{ \mathbf{y} \in \Re \, \left| \, \prod_{i=1}^{r+s} \max_{1 \le j \le 3} \left\{ |\sigma_i(y_j^3 y_{jk} y_{jl} y_{kj}^2 y_{lj}^2)| \right\}^{d_i} \le B \right\}.$$
 (2-17)

The results of this section can be summarized as follows.

Proposition 2.2. Let M_C be as in (2-15), let \Re be any system of representatives for the orbits of $(K^{\times})^9$ under the action \odot of \mathscr{F}^7 given by (2-16), and let $\Re(B)$ be as in (2-17). Then $M_C \cap \Re(B)$ is finite for all B > 0, $C \in \mathscr{C}^7$, and

$$N(B) = \frac{1}{\omega_K^7} \sum_{C \in \mathscr{Q}^7} |M_C \cap \mathscr{R}(u_C B)|,$$

where $u_C := \mathfrak{N}(C^3C_1^{-2}C_2^{-2}C_3^{-2}C_{21}^{-1}C_{32}^{-1}C_{13}^{-1}).$

A system of representatives for the orbits. We construct a system \Re of representatives for the orbits of $(K^{\times})^9$ under the action \odot of \mathscr{F}^7 given by (2-16).

Lemma 2.3. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \mathcal{F}$ and consider the system of equations

$$\zeta \zeta_k^{-2} \zeta_j^{-1} = \alpha_j, for(j, k) \in \{(1, 2), (2, 3), (3, 1)\},$$
 (2-18)

with variables $\zeta, \zeta_i \in \mathcal{F}$.

- (i) If $\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3$ is not a cube in \mathcal{F} then this system has no solutions.
- (ii) If $\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 = \xi^3$ with $\xi \in \mathcal{F}$ then the solutions are given by

$$\zeta_1 = \delta, \qquad \zeta_2 = \delta \xi^{-1} \alpha_3, \qquad \zeta_3 = \delta \xi \alpha_2^{-1}, \qquad \zeta = \delta^3 \xi \alpha_2^{-1} \alpha_3,$$

for all $\delta \in \mathcal{F}$.

1459

Proof. Equations (2-18) imply that

$$\zeta^{3}\zeta_{j}^{-9} = \alpha_{j}\alpha_{k}^{-2}\alpha_{l}^{4} = \alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}\alpha_{3}\alpha_{k}^{-3}\alpha_{l}^{3}, \tag{2-19}$$

which proves (i).

Now assume that $\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 = \xi^3$ for some $\xi \in \mathcal{F}$. Then ξ is unique since \mathcal{F} is free Abelian. Direct computations verify that the values given in (ii) are solutions.

Given any solution $(\zeta, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3)$ of (2-18), let $\delta := \zeta_1$. Then (2-19) with j = 1 shows that ζ has the desired form. Similar computations using (2-19) with j = 2 and j = 3 prove that ζ_2 and ζ_3 are as desired.

Let H be the subgroup of $(K^{\times})^6$ of all $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_{12}, \alpha_{21}, \alpha_{23}, \alpha_{32}, \alpha_{31}, \alpha_{13}) \in \mathcal{F}^6$ for which $\alpha_{12}\alpha_{21}^2\alpha_{23}\alpha_{32}^2\alpha_{31}\alpha_{13}^2$ is a cube in \mathcal{F} .

Lemma 2.4. Let $\Re_1 \subseteq (K^{\times})^3$ be a system of representatives for the orbits of $(K^{\times})^3$ under the action of \mathscr{F} by scalar multiplication, and let $\Re_2 \subseteq (K^{\times})^6$ be a system of representatives for $(K^{\times})^6/H$. Then $\Re:=\Re_1 \times \Re_2$ is a system of representatives for the orbits of $(K^{\times})^9$ under the action \odot of \mathscr{F}^7 .

Proof. Let $\mathbf{y} = (y_v)_{v \in V} \in (K^{\times})^9$. Then there is a unique $\alpha \in H$ such that

$$(\alpha_{12}y_{12}, \alpha_{21}y_{21}, \alpha_{23}y_{23}, \alpha_{32}y_{32}, \alpha_{31}y_{31}, \alpha_{13}y_{13}) \in \Re_2.$$

The elements $\underline{\zeta} = (\zeta, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_{21}, \zeta_{32}, \zeta_{13}) \in \mathcal{F}^7$ with $\underline{\zeta} \odot \mathbf{y} \in (K^{\times})^3 \times \mathcal{R}_2$ are those satisfying

$$\zeta_{kj} = \alpha_{kj} \text{ and } \zeta \zeta_k^{-2} \zeta_{lk}^{-1} \zeta_j^{-1} \zeta_{kj}^{-1} = \alpha_{jk}.$$
 (2-20)

With $\alpha_i := \alpha_{ik}\alpha_{ki}\alpha_{lk}$, this simplifies to (2-18). Now

$$\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 = \alpha_{12} \alpha_{21}^2 \alpha_{23} \alpha_{32}^2 \alpha_{31} \alpha_{13}^2$$

is a cube in \mathcal{F} , so ζ , ζ_1 , ζ_2 , ζ_3 are of the form given in Lemma 2.3(ii), for $\delta \in \mathcal{F}$. There is exactly one $\delta \in \mathcal{F}$ such that the corresponding ζ_1 , ζ_2 , ζ_3 satisfy $(\zeta_1 y_1, \zeta_2 y_2, \zeta_3 y_3) \in \mathcal{R}_1$. Hence, there is exactly one $\zeta \in \mathcal{F}^7$ with $\zeta \odot y \in \mathcal{R}$.

Lemma 2.5. Let $R \subseteq K^{\times}$ be a system of representatives for K^{\times}/\mathcal{F} , and let $R_{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ be a system of representatives for $\mathcal{F}/\{\xi^3 \mid \xi \in \mathcal{F}\}$. Then

$$\Re_2 := \bigcup_{\rho \in R_{\mathcal{F}}} (\rho R \times R \times R \times R \times R \times R)$$

is a system of representatives for $(K^{\times})^6/H$.

Proof. Clearly, $\bigcup_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}} \rho R$ is a system of representatives for $K^{\times}/\{\xi^3 \mid \xi \in \mathcal{F}\}$. Let $\mathbf{y} \in (K^{\times})^6$. For all $v \in \{21, 23, 32, 31, 13\}$, there is exactly one $\alpha_v \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\alpha_v y_v \in R$. Moreover, there is exactly one $\xi \in \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$y_{12}(\alpha_{21}^2\alpha_{23}\alpha_{32}^2\alpha_{31}\alpha_{13}^2)^{-1}\xi^3 \in \bigcup_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}} \rho R.$$

Hence, there is exactly one $\alpha_{12}:=(\alpha_{21}^2\alpha_{23}\alpha_{32}^2\alpha_{31}\alpha_{13}^2)^{-1}\xi^3\in \mathscr{F}$ such that

$$\alpha = (\alpha_{12}, \alpha_{21}, \alpha_{23}, \alpha_{32}, \alpha_{31}, \alpha_{13}) \in H$$
 and $\alpha y \in \Re_2$.

We choose the system $\Re = \Re_1 \times \Re_2$ as in Lemma 2.4, where \Re_1 is any system of representatives for the diagonal action of \mathscr{F} on $(K^{\times})^3$, and \Re_2 is as in Lemma 2.5.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

This section is a generalization of [Derenthal and Janda 2013, Section 5]. We reduce Theorem 1 to a central lemma (Lemma 3.1), whose proof will take up the rest of the article. We assume that K is of degree $d \ge 2$. Over \mathbb{Q} , one would need to replace Lemma 5.2 by a slightly more intricate argument to make the sum over the error terms converge, for which we refer to [Heath-Brown and Moroz 1999].

Möbius inversions. Let $C = (C, C_1, C_2, C_3, C_{21}, C_{32}, C_{13}) \in \mathcal{C}^7$ be fixed. We investigate the quantity $|M_C \cap \mathcal{R}(u_C B)|$ from Proposition 2.2. We can write

$$|M_C \cap \mathcal{R}(u_C B)| = \sum_{\substack{y \in \mathcal{R}(u_C B) \\ (2-15) \text{ holds}}} 1.$$

Möbius inversion for all the coprimality conditions in (2-13) yields

$$|M_{C} \cap \Re(u_{C}B)| = \sum_{\substack{(\mathfrak{d}_{e})_{e \in E'} \\ \{0\} \neq \mathfrak{d}_{e} \leq \mathfrak{O}_{K}}} \left(\prod_{e \in E'} \mu(\mathfrak{d}_{e}) \right) \sum_{\substack{y \in \Re(u_{C}B) \\ \forall e = \{v, w\} \in E': y_{v} \in \mathfrak{d}_{e}C_{v}, y_{w} \in \mathfrak{d}_{e}C_{w}}} 1, \quad (3-1)$$

where each \mathfrak{d}_e runs over all nonzero ideals of \mathbb{O}_K and μ is the Möbius function for nonzero ideals of \mathbb{O}_K . Lemma 3.1 will imply that the last sum is always finite and nonzero for at most finitely many $(\mathfrak{d}_e)_{e \in E'}$. With $\mathfrak{a}_v := \bigcap_{v \in e \in E'} \mathfrak{d}_e C_v$, we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{y} \in \Re(u_C B) \\ \forall e = \{v, w\} \in E' : y_n \in \mathfrak{d}_e C_v, \ y_w \in \mathfrak{d}_e C_w}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{y} \in \Re(u_C B) \\ \forall v : y_v \in \mathfrak{a}_v}} 1.$$
 (3-2)

We estimate this sum by the following lemma. Its proof is central to this article and will be given in Section 5.

Lemma 3.1. For every $v \in V$, let \mathfrak{a}_v be a fractional ideal of K with $\mathfrak{Na}_v \geq c$, for some constant c > 0 depending only on K. With $\mathfrak{R}(B)$ as in (2-17), we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\substack{y \in \mathcal{R}(B) \\ \forall v: y_v \in \mathfrak{a}_v}} 1 &= \frac{9^q}{4 \cdot 6!} \left(\frac{2^r (2\pi)^s}{\sqrt{|\Delta_K|}} \right)^9 \frac{R_K^7}{\prod_{v \in V} \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_v} B(\log B)^6 \\ &\quad + O\left(\frac{\max_j \{\mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_j\}^{1/d}}{\prod_j \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_j \prod_{i \neq j} \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_{ij}^{1-2/(3d)}} B(\log B)^5 \right), \end{split}$$

for $B \geq e$. The implicit O-constant depends on K.

For any $(\mathfrak{d}_e)_{e \in E'}$ and $v \in V$, we define $r_v := \mathfrak{N}(\cap_{v \in e \in E'} \mathfrak{d}_e)$,

$$R_1 := \prod_{v \in V} r_v$$
, and $R_2 := \max_j \{r_j\}^{-1/d} \prod_j r_j \prod_{i \neq j} r_{ij}^{1-2/(3d)}$. (3-3)

We notice that $\mathfrak{Na}_v = \mathfrak{N}(\cap_{v \in e \in E'} \mathfrak{d}_e C_v) = \mathfrak{N}(C_v) r_v$. Recall that we defined $C_{jk} := CC_k^{-2}C_{lk}^{-1}C_j^{-1}C_{ki}^{-1}$ for $jk \in \{12, 23, 31\}$, so

$$\prod_{v \in V} \mathfrak{N}C_v = \mathfrak{N}(C^3 C_1^{-2} C_2^{-2} C_3^{-2} C_{21}^{-1} C_{32}^{-1} C_{13}^{-1}) = u_C.$$

Since the C, C_j , C_{kj} are members of the fixed finite set \mathscr{C} , their absolute norms are bounded from below and above by positive constants depending only on K. With this and Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{y \in \mathcal{R}(u_C B)\\ y_0 \in G_0}} 1 = \frac{9^q}{4 \cdot 6!} \left(\frac{2^r (2\pi)^s}{\sqrt{|\Delta_K|}} \right)^9 R_K^7 \frac{B}{R_1} (\log B)^6 + O\left(\frac{B}{R_2} (\log B)^5 \right),$$

whenever $B \ge e/u_C$. Otherwise, the error term dominates the main term. Let

$$\omega := \sum_{\substack{(\mathfrak{d}_e)_{e \in E'} \\ \{0\} \neq \mathfrak{d}_e < \emptyset_K}} \prod_{e \in E'} \mu(\mathfrak{d}_e) R_1^{-1}, \qquad \rho := \sum_{\substack{(\mathfrak{d}_e)_{e \in E'} \\ \{0\} \neq \mathfrak{d}_e < \emptyset_K}} \prod_{e \in E'} |\mu(\mathfrak{d}_e)| R_2^{-1}. \tag{3-4}$$

We will see in Lemma 3.2 that these sums converge under our assumption that $d \ge 2$. Since the sum defining ρ converges, (3-1) and (3-2) yield

$$|M_C \cap \Re(u_C B)| = \frac{9^q}{4 \cdot 6!} \left(\frac{2^r (2\pi)^s}{\sqrt{|\Delta_K|}} \right)^9 R_K^7 \omega B (\log B)^6 + O(B(\log B)^5).$$

Computation of the constant. We notice that the above expression for

$$|M_{\mathbf{C}} \cap \Re(u_{\mathbf{C}}B)|$$

does not depend on $C \in \mathcal{C}^7$. Therefore, Proposition 2.2 implies

$$N(B) = \frac{9^q}{4 \cdot 6!} \left(\frac{2^r (2\pi)^s}{\sqrt{|\Delta_K|}} \right)^9 \left(\frac{h_K R_K}{\omega_K} \right)^7 \omega B (\log B)^6 + O(B(\log B)^5).$$

Theorem 1 is an immediate consequence of the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. Let ω , ρ be as in (3-4), with R_1 , R_2 as in (3-3). If $d \ge 2$ then both sums converge, and

$$\omega = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{p}} \right)^7 \left(1 + \frac{7}{\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{p}} + \frac{1}{\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{p}^2} \right), \tag{3-5}$$

where the product runs over all nonzero prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of \mathbb{O}_K .

Proof. The proof is a straightforward generalization of the one in [Derenthal and Janda 2013, Section 5]. An obvious modification of the argument given there shows that the Euler factor of ρ corresponding to a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathbb{O}_K is $1 + O(\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{p}^{-(6d-5)/(3d)})$, so the sum defining ρ is convergent whenever $d \geq 2$. Since $\omega \leq \rho$, the sum defining ω converges as well.

Let A(x) be the polynomial defined [ibid., Section 5], and $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the Euler factor of ω corresponding to \mathfrak{p} . Then we have $A_{\mathfrak{p}} = A(\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{p}^{-1})$, and (3-5) follows from the investigation of A(x) [ibid., Section 5].

This completes our proof of Theorem 1, up to proving Lemma 3.1.

4. Auxiliary results

Let n, M be positive integers and L > 0. By Lip(n, M, L) we denote the set of all subsets \mathfrak{B} of \mathbb{R}^n for which there exist M maps $\Phi : [0, 1]^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying a Lipschitz condition

$$|\Phi(v) - \Phi(w)| \le L|v - w|$$

such that \mathfrak{B} is covered by the union of the images of the maps Φ . Here, $|\cdot|$ is the usual Euclidean norm. (The subsets in Lip(1, M, L) are just those with at most M elements.) We will use the following lemma to bound the error terms when estimating a sum by an integral. Part (i) generalizes an argument used in [Lang 1994, Chapter VI, Theorem 2].

Lemma 4.1. Let D, $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be bounded subsets with $\mathfrak{B} \in \text{Lip}(n, M, L)$.

(i) Let $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a lattice. Then

$$|\{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid (\lambda + D) \cap \Re \neq \varnothing\}| \ll_{\Lambda, D} M(L+1)^{n-1}.$$

(ii) If D, \Re are compact then $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (x+D) \cap \Re \neq \emptyset\}$ is measurable and

$$Vol\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (x+D) \cap \mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset\} \ll_D M(L+1)^{n-1}.$$

Proof. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have $(x + D) \cap \Re \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $x \in \Re - D$. If \Re and D are compact, the set $\Re - D$ is compact as well. This proves measurability of the set in (ii).

Let $\Phi: [0,1]^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be one of the M maps with Lipschitz constant L whose images cover \mathfrak{B} . We split up $[0,1]^{n-1}$ into L_1^{n-1} subcubes of side length $1/L_1$, where $L_1:=\lfloor L\rfloor+1$. Let C be one of those subcubes. Then $\Phi(C)$ has diameter at most $\sqrt{n-1}L/L_1 \le \sqrt{n-1}$, so it is contained in a closed ball $B_z(2\sqrt{n-1})$ of radius $2\sqrt{n-1}$ centered at some point $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Since D is bounded, it is contained in a closed zero-centered ball $B_0(R_D)$ of some radius R_D . Every point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $(x + D) \cap \Phi(C) \neq \emptyset$ satisfies $x \in B_z(2\sqrt{n-1}) - B_0(R_D) = B_z(2\sqrt{n-1} + R_D)$.

The number of lattice points in such a ball is finite and can be bounded independently from z. Therefore,

$$|\{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid (\lambda + D) \cap \Phi(C) \neq \emptyset\}| \ll_{\Lambda D} 1. \tag{4-1}$$

Moreover,

$$\operatorname{Vol}\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (x+D) \cap \Phi(C) \neq \varnothing\} \leq \operatorname{Vol} B_z(2\sqrt{n-1} + R_D) \ll_D 1. \tag{4-2}$$

Summing (4-1) and (4-2) over all C and
$$\Phi$$
 yields (i) and (ii).

Counting lattice points. We will need to count lattice points in certain bounded subsets of \mathbb{R}^n for lattices $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ of the form $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \cdots \times \Lambda_r$, where each Λ_i is a lattice in \mathbb{R}^{n_i} and $n_1 + \cdots + n_r = n$. Then we have $\det(\Lambda) = \det(\Lambda_1) \cdots \det(\Lambda_r)$, and the successive minima (with respect to the unit ball) of Λ are just the successive minima of $\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_r$. Several authors (for instance [Christensen and Gubler 2008; Masser and Vaaler 2007]) provide counting results where the first successive minimum is reflected in the error term by making an argument from [Lang 1994, Chapter VI, Theorem 2] explicit. For our application, we need the error term to reflect information about all the lattices Λ_i , which is accomplished with the help of a theorem by Widmer.

Theorem 4.2 [Widmer 2010, Theorem 5.4]. Let Λ be a lattice in \mathbb{R}^n with successive minima (with respect to the unit ball) $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$. Let \mathcal{B} be a bounded set in \mathbb{R}^n with boundary $\partial \mathcal{B} \in \text{Lip}(n, M, L)$. Then \mathcal{B} is measurable, and moreover

$$\left| |\mathfrak{B} \cap \Lambda| - \frac{\operatorname{Vol} \mathfrak{B}}{\det \Lambda} \right| \le c_0(n) M \max_{0 \le k < n} \frac{L^k}{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_k}.$$

For k = 0, the expression in the maximum is to be understood as 1. Furthermore, one can choose $c_0(n) = n^{3n^2/2}$.

Let $\lambda_{i1} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{in_i}$ be the successive minima of Λ_i , and assume that the Λ_i are ordered in such a way that $\lambda_{11} \leq \lambda_{21} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{r1}$ holds.

Corollary 4.3. Let Λ and Λ_i be as above, and let $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded set with boundary $\partial \mathfrak{B} \in \operatorname{Lip}(n, M, L)$. Then \mathfrak{B} is measurable and

$$\left| |\Re \cap \Lambda| - \frac{\operatorname{Vol} \Re}{\det \Lambda} \right| \le c_0(n) M \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \left(\frac{L}{\lambda_{i1}} + 1 \right)^{n_i} \left(\frac{L}{\lambda_{r1}} + 1 \right)^{n_r - 1}.$$

Proof. We use Theorem 4.2. Let $\lambda_1 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n$ be the successive minima of Λ , that is, the λ_{ij} in correct order. Clearly,

$$\max_{0 \le k < n} \frac{L^k}{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_k} \le \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{L}{\lambda_j} + 1 \right) \le \prod_{i=1}^r \left(\frac{L}{\lambda_{i1}} + 1 \right)^{n_i} \bigg/ \left(\frac{L}{\lambda_{i01}} + 1 \right),$$

where i_0 is chosen such that $\lambda_{i_0n_{i_0}} = \lambda_n$. The last expression is at most

$$\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \left(\frac{L}{\lambda_{i1}} + 1\right)^{n_i} \left(\frac{L}{\lambda_{r1}} + 1\right)^{n_r - 1}.$$

Lemma 4.4. Let Λ and Λ_i be as above, and let $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be contained in a zero-centered ball of radius R. Assume, moreover, that $\partial \mathfrak{B} \in \operatorname{Lip}(n, M, L)$, and that the following property holds for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathfrak{B}$:

If we write
$$\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r)$$
 with $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ then $\mathbf{x}_i \neq \mathbf{0}$ for all i . (4-3)

Then \Re is measurable and, for all $T \geq 0$, we have

$$\left| |T \Re \cap \Lambda| - \frac{T^n \operatorname{Vol} \Re}{\det \Lambda} \right| \ll_{n,M,R,L} \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \left(\frac{T}{\lambda_{i1}} \right)^{n_i} \left(\frac{T}{\lambda_{r1}} \right)^{n_r-1}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.2, \mathfrak{B} is measurable. We start with the case where $TR < \lambda_{r1}$. Suppose that $\mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_r) \in T\mathfrak{B} \cap \Lambda$. Then $\mathbf{a}_r \neq \mathbf{0}$ by (4-3). Therefore, $|\mathbf{a}| \geq |\mathbf{a}_r| \geq \lambda_{r1} > TR$, so $\mathbf{a} \notin T\mathfrak{B}$, a contradiction. Hence, $|T\mathfrak{B} \cap \Lambda| = 0$. Denote by V_1 the volume of a ball of radius 1 in \mathbb{R}^n . Then $\operatorname{Vol} \mathfrak{B} \leq R^n V_1$. We denote the successive minima of Λ again by $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$. By Minkowski's second theorem we have

$$\frac{T^n \operatorname{Vol} \mathfrak{B}}{\det \Lambda} \leq \frac{V_1 2^n (RT)^n}{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n V_1} \leq 2^n R^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \left(\frac{T}{\lambda_{i1}}\right)^{n_i} \left(\frac{T}{\lambda_{r1}}\right)^{n_r-1}.$$

Now assume $TR \ge \lambda_{r1}$. Clearly, $Vol(T\mathcal{B}) = T^n Vol \mathcal{B}$ and $\partial(T\mathcal{B}) \in Lip(n, M, TL)$. To finish the proof, we use Corollary 4.3 and observe that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \left(\frac{TL}{\lambda_{i1}} + 1 \right)^{n_i} \left(\frac{TL}{\lambda_{r1}} + 1 \right)^{n_r - 1} \leq \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \left(\frac{T(L+R)}{\lambda_{i1}} \right)^{n_i} \left(\frac{T(L+R)}{\lambda_{r1}} \right)^{n_r - 1} \\
= (L+R)^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \left(\frac{T}{\lambda_{i1}} \right)^{n_i} \left(\frac{T}{\lambda_{r1}} \right)^{n_r - 1}. \quad \Box$$

The basic sets. Here, we describe the sets \mathfrak{B} to which Lemma 4.4 will be applied. These sets were introduced in [Schanuel 1979] and, in a more general context, in [Masser and Vaaler 2007]. Our notation is similar to that of the latter. When talking about lattices, volumes, etc., we identify \mathbb{C} with \mathbb{R}^2 .

Let Σ be the hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^{r+s} where $x_1 + \cdots + x_{r+s} = 0$. It is well known that the map $l: K^{\times} \to \mathbb{R}^{r+s}$ defined by $l(\alpha) = (d_1 \log |\alpha^{(1)}|, \ldots, d_{r+s} \log |\alpha^{(r+s)}|)$ induces a group homomorphism of \mathbb{O}_K^{\times} onto a lattice in Σ , with kernel μ_K . In particular, l induces a group isomorphism from \mathcal{F} to $l(\mathbb{O}_K^{\times})$. Let F be a fundamental

1465

parallelotope for this lattice, and let $\delta := (d_1, \dots, d_{r+s}) \in \mathbb{R}^{r+s}$. We define the vector sums

$$F(\infty) := F + \mathbb{R}\delta$$
 and $F(T) := F + (-\infty, \log T)\delta$ for $T > 0$.

Then $F(\infty)$ is a system of representatives for the orbits of the additive action of $l(\mathcal{F}) = l(\mathbb{O}_K^{\times})$ on \mathbb{R}^{r+s} . Let $S_F^n(T)$ be the set of all

$$(z_{1,1},\ldots,z_{1,n},\ldots,z_{r+s,1},\ldots,z_{r+s,n})\in (\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\})^r\times (\mathbb{C}^n\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\})^s$$

such that

$$(d_i \log \max_{1 \le j \le n} \{|z_{i,j}|\})_{i=1}^{r+s} \in F(T).$$

Since $F \subseteq \Sigma$ and $d_1 + \cdots + d_{r+s} = d$, this is equivalent to

$$(d_i \log \max_{1 \le j \le n} \{|z_{i,j}|\})_{i=1}^{r+s} \in F(\infty) \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{j=1}^{r+s} \max_{1 \le j \le n} \{|z_{i,j}|\}^{d_i} \le T^d.$$

The set $S_F^n(\infty)$ is defined similarly. Here are some basic properties of $S_F^n(T)$:

- (i) $S_F^n(T) = T S_F^n(1)$ is homogeneously expanding.
- (ii) $S_E^n(1)$ is bounded.
- (iii) $\partial S_F^n(1) \in \text{Lip}(nd, M_n, L_n)$ for some M_n, L_n .
- (iv) $S_F^n(1)$ is measurable and Vol $S_F^n(1) = n^q 2^{nr} \pi^{ns} R_K$.

Properties (i), (ii) follow directly from the definition, and (iii), (iv) are immediate consequences of Lemmas 3 and 4 of [Masser and Vaaler 2007]. Strictly speaking, the case n=1 is not covered in that paper, but the proofs remain correct without change. We need a slightly modified version: Define

$$S_F^{n*}(T) := S_F^n(T) \cap ((\mathbb{R}^\times)^{nr} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{ns}). \tag{4-4}$$

Then (i)–(iv) hold as well for $S_F^{n*}(T)$. This is clear for (i), (ii), (iv). For (iii), let $X:=(\mathbb{R}^{nr}\times\mathbb{C}^{ns})\setminus((\mathbb{R}^\times)^{nr}\times(\mathbb{C}^\times)^{ns})$. Then $\partial S_F^{n*}(1)\subseteq\partial S_F^n(1)\cup(\overline{S_F^n(1)}\cap X)$. Since $\overline{S_F^n(1)}$ is bounded and X is a union of finitely many proper subspaces, we have $(\overline{S_F^n(1)}\cap X)\in \operatorname{Lip}(nd,M_n',L_n')$, for suitably chosen M_n',L_n' , so

$$\partial S_F^{n*}(1) \in \operatorname{Lip}(nd, M_n + M'_n, \max\{L_n, L'_n\}).$$

5. Proof of Lemma 3.1

Whenever we use Vinogradov's \ll notation, the implicit constant may depend on K. Let us start by summing over y_1 , y_2 , y_3 , for fixed y_{jk} , y_{kj} . Write

$$V' := V \setminus \{1, 2, 3\} = \{12, 21, 23, 32, 31, 13\}.$$

For any choice of y_v , $v \in V'$, we define $\xi_j := y_{jk}y_{jl}y_{kj}^2y_{lj}^2$. The height condition in (2-17) implies that

$$|N(y_j)^3 N(\xi_j)| = \prod_{i=1}^{r+s} |\sigma_i(y_j^3 \xi_j)|^{d_i} \le B.$$

For $y_j \in \mathfrak{a}_j$, we obtain $|N(\xi_j)| \le B|N(y_j)|^{-3} \le B\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{a}_j^{-3}$. By our choice of \mathfrak{R} in Lemma 2.4, we can write the sum in Lemma 3.1 as

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{R}(B) \\ \mathbf{y}_{v} \in \mathfrak{a}_{v}}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{(y_{v})_{v \in V'} \in \mathcal{R}_{2} \\ y_{v} \in \mathfrak{a}_{v}}} \sum_{\substack{(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}) \in \mathcal{R}_{1} \\ y_{j} \in \mathfrak{a}_{j} \\ y_{j} \in \mathfrak{a}_{j}}} 1.$$

$$(5-1)$$

$$\forall j : |N(\xi_{j})| \leq B \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{a}_{j}^{-3} \prod_{i=1}^{r+s} \max_{\mathbf{a}_{i}} \{|\sigma_{i}(y_{j}^{3} \xi_{j})|\}^{d_{i}} \leq B$$

The first summation. Here, we handle the inner sum in (5-1). The necessary tool is provided in Lemma 5.2.

Lemma 5.1. Let \mathfrak{a} be a fractional ideal of K, and let τ be the linear automorphism of $\mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s$ (regarded as \mathbb{R}^d) given by $\tau(z_1, \ldots, z_{r+s}) = (t_1 z_1, \ldots, t_{r+s} z_{r+s})$, with $t_1, \ldots, t_{r+s} > 0$. Let $\sigma : K \to \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s$ be the standard embedding. Then $\tau \circ \sigma(\mathfrak{a})$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s$ of determinant

$$\det(\tau \circ \sigma(\mathfrak{a})) = t_1^{d_1} \cdots t_{r+s}^{d_{r+s}} \cdot 2^{-s} \cdot \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{a}_j) \cdot \sqrt{|\Delta_K|}$$

and first successive minimum $\lambda \geq (t_1^{d_1} \cdots t_{r+s}^{d_{r+s}} \cdot \mathfrak{Na})^{1/d}$.

Proof. For d=1, the lemma is trivial, so we assume $d \ge 2$. Classically, $\sigma(\mathfrak{a})$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s$ of determinant $2^{-s}\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{a}_j)\sqrt{|\Delta_K|}$. Since τ is a linear automorphism of determinant $t_1^{d_1}\cdots t_{r+s}^{d_{r+s}}$, it follows immediately that $\tau\circ\sigma(\mathfrak{a})$ is a lattice with the correct determinant.

For λ , we slightly generalize the argument in [Masser and Vaaler 2007, Lemma 5] (see also [Widmer 2010, Lemma 9.7]). There is an $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$ with $\lambda = |\tau \circ \sigma(\alpha)|$. By the inequality of weighted arithmetic and geometric means, we have

$$\lambda^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{r+s} |t_{i}\alpha^{(i)}|^{2} \ge \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{r+s} d_{i} |t_{i}\alpha^{(i)}|^{2} \ge \frac{d}{2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{r+s} |t_{i}\alpha^{(i)}|^{d_{i}} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}} \ge \left(t_{1}^{d_{1}} \cdots t_{r+s}^{d_{r+s}} |N(\alpha)| \right)^{\frac{2}{d}}.$$

The lemma follows upon noticing that $|N(\alpha)| \ge \mathfrak{Na}$.

Lemma 5.2. Given constants $C_{ij} > 0$, for $i \in \{1, ..., r+s\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, let

$$C_j := C_{1j}^{d_1} \cdots C_{r+s,j}^{d_{r+s}}.$$

Let \mathfrak{a}_1 , \mathfrak{a}_2 , $\mathfrak{a}_3 \neq \{0\}$ be fractional ideals of K, and \Re_1 a system of representatives

for the orbits of $(K^{\times})^3$ under the action of \mathcal{F} by scalar multiplication. Define

$$M_1(T) := (\mathfrak{a}_1 \times \mathfrak{a}_2 \times \mathfrak{a}_3) \cap \left\{ (y_1, y_2, y_3) \in \mathfrak{R}_1 \ \middle| \ \prod_{i=1}^{r+s} \max_{1 \le j \le 3} \{C_{ij} | y_j^{(i)} | \}^{d_i} \le T^d \right\}.$$

Then $M_1(T)$ is finite and

$$|M_1(T)| = \frac{3^q 2^{3r} (2\pi)^{3s} R_K}{(\sqrt{|\Delta_k|})^3 C_1 C_2 C_3 \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_1 \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_2 \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_3} T^{3d} + O\left(\frac{T^{3d-1} \max_j \{C_j \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_j\}^{1/d}}{C_1 C_2 C_3 \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_1 \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_2 \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_3}\right)$$

for all T > 0. The implicit O-constant depends only on K.

Proof. We notice that $|M_1(T)|$ does not depend on the choice of \Re_1 , since both $\mathfrak{a}_1 \times \mathfrak{a}_2 \times \mathfrak{a}_3$ and the height condition are invariant under scalar multiplication of (y_1, y_2, y_3) by units. Hence, it is enough to prove the lemma with a specific choice of \Re_1 , which we construct below.

Let $\sigma: K^3 \to \mathbb{R}^{3r} \times \mathbb{C}^{3s}$ be the embedding given by $\sigma(y) = (\sigma_i(y))_{i=1}^{r+s}$. For $i \in \{1, \dots, r+s\}$, let ϕ_i be the linear automorphism of \mathbb{R}^3 (if $i \le r$) or \mathbb{C}^3 (if i > r) given by $\phi_i(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (C_{i1}z_1, C_{i2}z_2, C_{i3}z_3)$, and let $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{3r} \times \mathbb{C}^{3s} \to \mathbb{R}^{3r} \times \mathbb{C}^{3s}$ be the automorphism obtained by applying the ϕ_i componentwise.

With $S_F^{3*}(T)$ as in (4-4), we define \Re_1 as the set of all $\mathbf{y} \in (K^\times)^3$ such that $\phi \circ \sigma(\mathbf{y}) \in S_F^{3*}(\infty)$. Then \Re_1 is a system of representatives for the orbits of $(K^\times)^3$ under the action of \mathcal{F} by scalar multiplication. Indeed, for any $\mathbf{y} \in (K^\times)^3$ and $\zeta \in \mathcal{F}$, we have

$$(d_i \log \max_{1 \le j \le 3} \{|C_{ij}\sigma_i(\zeta y_j)|\})_{i=1}^{r+s} = (d_i \log \max_{1 \le j \le 3} \{|C_{ij}\sigma_i(y_j)|\})_{i=1}^{r+s} + l(\zeta),$$

and $F(\infty)$ is a system of representatives for the orbits of the additive action of $l(\mathcal{F})$ on \mathbb{R}^{r+s} .

Let $\Lambda := \phi \circ \sigma(\mathfrak{a}_1 \times \mathfrak{a}_2 \times \mathfrak{a}_3)$. Then Λ is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{3r} \times \mathbb{C}^{3s}$, and $\phi \circ \sigma$ induces a one-to-one correspondence between $M_1(T)$ and $\Lambda \cap S_F^{3*}(T)$. Therefore,

$$|M_1(T)| = |\Lambda \cap S_F^{3*}(T)|.$$
 (5-2)

Since $S_F^{3*}(T)$ is bounded, $M_1(T)$ is finite. To simplify the notation, we change the order of coordinates by

$$(z_{11}, z_{12}, z_{13}, \dots, z_{r+s,1}, z_{r+s,2}, z_{r+s,3}) \mapsto (z_{11}, \dots, z_{r+s,1}, \dots, z_{13}, \dots, z_{r+s,3}).$$

This way, $\mathbb{R}^{3r} \times \mathbb{C}^{3s}$ becomes $(\mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s)^3$, and Λ becomes

$$\Lambda = \tau_1 \circ \sigma(\mathfrak{a}_1) \times \tau_2 \circ \sigma(\mathfrak{a}_2) \times \tau_3 \circ \sigma(\mathfrak{a}_3),$$

where $\sigma: K \to \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s$ is the standard embedding given by $\sigma(y) = (\sigma_i(y))_{i=1}^r$ and

$$\tau_j(z_1,\ldots,z_{r+s}) := (C_{1j}z_1,\ldots,C_{r+s,j}z_{r+s}).$$

Each $\Lambda_j := \tau_j \circ \sigma(\mathfrak{a}_j)$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s = \mathbb{R}^d$. Let λ_j be the first successive minimum of Λ_j . By Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\det \Lambda = \det \Lambda_1 \cdot \det \Lambda_2 \cdot \det \Lambda_3 = 2^{-3s} (\sqrt{|\Delta_K|})^3 C_1 C_2 C_3 \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_1 \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_2 \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_3$$

and $\lambda_j \ge (C_j \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_j)^{1/d}$. The lemma now follows from (5-2), Lemma 4.4 and the properties of the basic sets discussed on pages 1464–1465.

The inner sum in (5-1) is exactly $|M_1(T)|$ in Lemma 5.2, with

$$C_{ii} := |\sigma_i(\xi_i)|^{1/3}, \quad C_i := |N(\xi_i)|^{1/3} \quad \text{and} \quad T := B^{1/(3d)}.$$

Observe that $C_1C_2C_3 = |N(\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3)|^{1/3} = \prod_{v \in V'} |N(y_v)|$. We define

$$\mathcal{M}(B, (\mathfrak{a}_{v})_{v}) := \sum_{\substack{(y_{v})_{v \in V'} \in \mathcal{R}_{2} \\ y_{v} \in \mathfrak{a}_{v}}} \frac{1}{\prod_{v \in V'} |N(y_{v})|},$$

$$\forall j : |N(\xi_{i})| < B\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{a}_{i}^{-3}$$
(5-3)

$$\Re(B, (\mathfrak{a}_{v})_{v}) := \sum_{\substack{(y_{v})_{v \in V'} \in \Re_{2} \\ y_{v} \in \mathfrak{a}_{v}}} \frac{\max_{j} \{|N(\xi_{j})|\}^{1/(3d)}}{\prod_{v \in V'} |N(y_{v})|}.$$
 (5-4)

Then (5-1) and Lemma 5.2 imply

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{R}(B) \\ \mathbf{y}_{v} \in \mathfrak{a}_{v}}} 1 = \frac{3^{q} 2^{3r} (2\pi)^{3s} R_{K} B}{(\sqrt{|\Delta_{K}|})^{3} \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_{1} \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_{2} \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_{3}} \mathcal{M}(B, (\mathfrak{a}_{v})_{v})$$

$$+ O\left(\frac{\max_{j} \{\mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_{j}\}^{1/d}}{\mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_{1} \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_{2} \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_{3}} B^{1-1/(3d)} \mathcal{R}(B, (\mathfrak{a}_{v})_{v})\right). \quad (5-5)$$

Recall that the \mathfrak{Na}_v are bounded from below by a positive constant c depending only on K. This implies, for example,

$$\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{a}_{jk}\mathfrak{a}_{jl}\mathfrak{a}_{kj}^2\mathfrak{a}_{lj}^2)^{1/(3d)} \ll \prod_{v \in V'} \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{a}_v^{2/(3d)}, \tag{5-6}$$

$$\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{a}_{j}^{3}\mathfrak{a}_{jk}\mathfrak{a}_{jl}\mathfrak{a}_{kj}^{2}\mathfrak{a}_{lj}^{2})^{-1} \le c_{2},\tag{5-7}$$

for some constant $c_2 \ge 1$ depending only on K.

The error term. With \Re_2 as in Lemma 2.5, the term $\Re(B, (\mathfrak{a}_v)_v)$ has the form

$$\Re(B, (\mathfrak{a}_v)_v) = \sum_{\substack{\rho \in R_{\mathscr{F}} \\ y_{12} \in \rho R \cap \mathfrak{a}_{12} \\ \forall j : |N(\xi_j)| \le B\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{a}_i^{-3}}} \frac{\max_{j} \{|N(\xi_j)|\}^{1/(3d)}}{\prod_{v \in V'} |N(y_v)|}.$$

Both R and ρR are systems of representatives for K^{\times}/\mathcal{F} , so they contain exactly ω_K generators for every nonzero principal fractional ideal of K. Let H_v be the principal fractional ideal $H_v = y_v \mathbb{O}_K$. The norm condition and the summand in the inner sum depend only on $(H_v)_{v \in V'}$. Therefore, the sum does not depend on ρ . Since $|\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}| = 3^q \ll 1$, we obtain

$$\Re(B, (\mathfrak{a}_{v})_{v}) \ll \sum_{\substack{\{0\} \neq H_{v} \in P_{K}, \ v \in V' \\ H_{v} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_{v} \\ \forall j : \mathfrak{N}(H_{jk}H_{il}H_{ki}^{2}H_{lj}^{2}) \leq B\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{a}_{i}^{-3}}} \frac{\max_{j} \{\mathfrak{N}(H_{jk}H_{jl}H_{kj}^{2}H_{lj}^{2})\}^{1/(3d)}}{\prod_{v \in V'} \mathfrak{N}(H_{v})}.$$

We replace H_v by $H_v \mathfrak{a}_v^{-1} \leq \mathfrak{O}_K$ and use (5-6), (5-7) to bound this sum by

$$\ll \frac{1}{\prod\limits_{v \in V'} \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{a}_v)^{1-2/(3d)}} \sum_{\substack{\{0\} \neq H_v \leq \emptyset_K, \ v \in V' \\ H_v \in [\mathfrak{a}_v]^{-1} \\ \forall j: \mathfrak{N}(H_{jk}H_{jl}H_{kj}^2H_{lj}^2) \leq c_2 B}} \frac{\max_{j} \{\mathfrak{N}(H_{jk}H_{jl}H_{kj}^2H_{lj}^2)\}^{1/(3d)}}{\prod_{v \in V'} \mathfrak{N}(H_v)}.$$

Let us denote the above sum by $\Re_1(B, (\mathfrak{a}_v)_v)$. What follows is a rather straightforward generalization of arguments used by Heath-Brown and Moroz [1999] and Derenthal and Janda [2013]. By symmetry, we may assume that the maximum in the summand is taken for j = 1. This allows us to bound $\Re_1(B, (\mathfrak{a}_v)_v)$ by

$$\ll \sum_{\substack{\{0\} \neq H_v \leq 0_K, \ v \in V' \\ \forall j: \mathfrak{N}(H_{jk}H_{jl}H_{kj}^2H_{lj}^2) \leq c_2B}} \frac{1}{\mathfrak{N}(H_{12}H_{13})^{1-1/(3d)}\mathfrak{N}(H_{21}H_{31})^{1-2/(3d)}\mathfrak{N}(H_{23}H_{32})}$$

$$\ll \sum_{\substack{\{0\} \neq H_{ij} \leq 0_K, \ i \neq 1 \\ \mathfrak{N}H_{ij} \leq c_2B}} \frac{1}{\mathfrak{N}(H_{21}H_{31})^{1-2/(3d)}\mathfrak{N}(H_{23}H_{32})} \sum_{\substack{\{0\} \neq U \leq 0_K \\ \mathfrak{N}U \leq u}} \frac{d(U)}{\mathfrak{N}U^{1-1/(3d)}},$$

where $u := c_2 B \mathfrak{N}(H_{21} H_{31})^{-2}$ and d is the divisor function for nonzero ideals.

Lemma 5.3. For $T \ge 1$, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\{0\} \neq \mathfrak{a} \leq \mathbb{O}_K \\ \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{a} < T}} \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{a}^{\alpha} \ll \begin{cases} T^{\alpha+1} & \text{if } -1 < \alpha \leq 0, \\ \max\{1, \log T\} & \text{if } \alpha = -1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. This is a straightforward generalization of [Derenthal and Janda 2013, Lemma 4]. The proof uses Abel's summation formula and the well known fact that

$$|\{\{0\} \neq \mathfrak{a} \leq \mathbb{O}_K \mid \mathfrak{Na} \leq T\}| \ll T.$$

In the following computation, the sums run over nonzero ideals of \mathbb{O}_K . Using Lemma 5.3, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\mathfrak{M}U \leq u} \frac{d(U)}{\mathfrak{M}U^{1-1/(3d)}} &= \sum_{\mathfrak{M}U \leq u} \sum_{V|U} \mathfrak{M}U^{-1+1/(3d)} \\ &= \sum_{\mathfrak{M}V \leq u} \mathfrak{M}V^{-1+1/(3d)} \sum_{\mathfrak{M}U \leq u/\mathfrak{M}V} \mathfrak{M}U^{-1+1/(3d)} \\ &\ll \sum_{\mathfrak{M}V \leq c_2B} \mathfrak{M}V^{-1+1/(3d)} (u/\mathfrak{M}V)^{1/(3d)} \ll u^{1/(3d)} \log B. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{R}_{1}(B, (\mathfrak{a}_{v})_{v}) \ll B^{1/(3d)} \log B \sum_{\substack{\{0\} \neq H_{ij} \leq 0_{K}, i \neq 1 \\ \mathfrak{N}H_{ij} \leq c_{2}B}} \frac{1}{\mathfrak{N}(H_{21}H_{31}H_{23}H_{32})}$$
$$\ll B^{1/(3d)} (\log B)^{5}.$$

Having estimated $\Re_1(B, (\mathfrak{a}_v)_v)$ and thus $\Re(B, (\mathfrak{a}_v)_v)$, we obtain from (5-5):

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{y} \in \mathfrak{R}(B) \\ \mathbf{y}_{v} \in \mathfrak{a}_{v}}} 1 = \frac{3^{q} 2^{3r} (2\pi)^{3s} R_{K} B}{(\sqrt{|\Delta_{K}|})^{3} \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_{1} \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_{2} \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_{3}} \mathcal{M}(B, (\mathfrak{a}_{v})_{v})$$

$$+ O\left(\frac{\max_{j} \{\mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_{j}\}^{1/d}}{\prod_{j} \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_{j} \prod_{j \neq j} \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_{i,j}^{1-2/(3d)}} B(\log B)^{5}\right). \quad (5-8)$$

The main term. Just as before, we have

$$\mathcal{M}(B, (\mathfrak{a}_v)_v) = \sum_{\substack{\rho \in R_{\mathcal{F}} \\ y_{12} \in \rho R \cap \mathfrak{a}_{12} \\ \forall j : |N(\xi_j)| \le B\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{a}_j^{-3}}} \frac{1}{\prod_{v \in V'} |N(y_v)|}.$$

For all $v \in V'$, let $\mathfrak{b}_v \in \mathscr{C}$ with $[\mathfrak{b}_v] = [\mathfrak{a}_v]$, and $t_v \in K^{\times}$ with $t_v \mathfrak{a}_v = \mathfrak{b}_v$. Moreover, we define $b_j := \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{a}_j^3 \mathfrak{a}_{jk} \mathfrak{a}_{jl} \mathfrak{a}_{kj}^2 \mathfrak{a}_{lj}^2)^{-1} \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{b}_{jk} \mathfrak{b}_{jl} \mathfrak{b}_{kj}^2 \mathfrak{b}_{lj}^2)$. Then (5-7) implies that

$$b_j \le c_3$$
 for all $j \in \{1, 2, 3\},$ (5-9)

with a constant $c_3 \ge 1$ depending only on K. We replace y_v by $t_v y_v$ and obtain

$$\mathcal{M}(B, (\mathfrak{a}_v)_v) = \left(\prod_{v \in V'} \frac{\mathfrak{Mb}_v}{\mathfrak{Na}_v}\right) \sum_{\substack{\rho \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}} \\ \forall v \neq 12: y_v \in t_v R \cap \mathfrak{b}_1 \\ \forall j: |N(\mathfrak{f}_i)| \leq b_i B}} \frac{1}{\prod_{v \in V'} |N(y_v)|}.$$

Again, the inner sum does not depend on the sets of representatives $t_v R$, $t_v \rho R$ for K^{\times}/\mathcal{F} . Thus,

$$\mathcal{M}(B, (\mathfrak{a}_v)_v) = 3^q \left(\prod_{v \in V'} \frac{\mathfrak{Mb}_v}{\mathfrak{Ma}_v} \right) \sum_{\substack{y_v \in R \cap \mathfrak{b}_v, v \in V' \\ \forall j : |N(\xi_j)| \le b_j B}} \frac{1}{\prod_{v \in V'} |N(y_v)|}, \tag{5-10}$$

where R is any system of representatives for K^{\times}/\mathcal{F} . Let $\sigma: K \to \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s$ be the standard embedding, and let $S_F^1(T)$ be defined as on page 1465. We choose R to be the set of all $y \in K^{\times}$ with $\sigma(y) \in S_F^1(\infty)$. This is indeed a set of representatives for K^{\times}/\mathcal{F} : For any $y \in K^{\times}$, $\zeta \in \mathcal{F}$, we have

$$(d_i \log |\sigma_i(\zeta y)|)_{i=1}^{r+s} = (d_i \log |\sigma_i(y)|)_{i=1}^{r+s} + l(\zeta),$$

and $F(\infty)$ is a system of representatives for the orbits of the additive action of $l(\mathcal{F})$ on \mathbb{R}^{r+s} . We will first consider the sum

$$\mathcal{M}_1(B, (\mathfrak{b}_v)_v) := \sum_{\substack{y_v \in R \cap \mathfrak{b}_v, v \in V' \\ \forall j : |N(\xi_i)| \le B}} \frac{1}{\prod_{v \in V'} |N(y_v)|}.$$

For any $z \in \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s$, let $N(z) := |z_1|^{d_1} \cdots |z_{r+s}|^{d_{r+s}}$. We define M(B) as the set of all $(z_v)_{v \in V'} \in (\mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s)^6$ such that

for all
$$v \in V'$$
, we have $z_v \in S_F^1(\infty)$ and $N(z_v) \ge 1$, and for all j , we have $N(z_{jk})N(z_{jl})N(z_{kj})^2N(z_{lj})^2 \le B$.

Then M(B) is bounded for all B. Let Λ be the lattice in $(\mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s)^6$ defined by

$$\Lambda := \prod_{v \in V'} \sigma(\mathfrak{b}_v).$$

By the componentwise extension of σ to K^6 , we obtain

$$\mathcal{M}_1(B, (\mathfrak{b}_v)_v) = \sum_{(z_v)_v \in \Lambda \cap M(B)} \frac{1}{\prod_{v \in V'} N(z_v)}.$$
 (5-11)

We identify $\mathbb C$ with $\mathbb R^2$ and estimate this sum by an integral. Let

$$I(B) := \left(\frac{2^s}{\sqrt{|\Delta_K|}}\right)^6 \frac{1}{\prod_{v \in V'} \mathfrak{Nb}_v} \int_{M(B)} \prod_{v \in V'} \frac{dz_v}{N(z_v)}.$$

Lemma 5.4. We have

$$\sum_{(z_v)_v \in \Lambda \cap M(B)} \frac{1}{\prod_{v \in V'} N(z_v)} = I(B) + O((\log B)^5)$$

for $B \geq e$. The implicit O-constant depends on K.

Proof. This is a generalization of [Derenthal and Janda 2013, Lemma 5]. Let us fix some notation. For $v \in V'$, let F_v be a fundamental parallelotope for the lattice $\sigma(\mathfrak{b}_v) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s = \mathbb{R}^d$, and let R_v be the minimal d-dimensional interval containing F_v . We denote the side lengths of R_v by $l_{v,1}, \ldots, l_{v,d}$. For any $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying

$$|z_i| \ge 1 + l_{v,i} \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, d\},$$
 (5-12)

let $R_v(z)$ be the (unique) translate of R_v such that z is the corner of $R_v(z)$ at utmost distance from the origin, and let $F_v(z)$ be the (unique) translate of F_v contained in $R_v(z)$. Similarly, for any z with

$$|z_i| \ge 1 \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, d\},$$
 (5-13)

let $R'_v(z)$ be the (unique) translate of R_v such that z is the corner of $R'_v(z)$ closest to the origin, and let $F'_v(z)$ be the (unique) translate of F_v contained in $R'_v(z)$. Consistently with the above definition of N(z) for $z \in \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s$, we let

$$N(z) := |z_1 \cdots z_r(z_{r+1}^2 + z_{r+2}^2) \cdots (z_{d-1}^2 + z_d^2)|.$$

Since $N(z) \ge N(y)$ for all $y \in F_v(z)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{N(z)} \le \frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol} F_{v}(z)} \int_{F_{v}(z)} \frac{dy}{N(y)} = \frac{2^{s}}{\sqrt{|\Delta_{K}|} \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{b}_{v}} \int_{F_{v}(z)} \frac{dy}{N(y)}. \tag{5-14}$$

Similarly,

$$\frac{1}{N(z)} \ge \frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol} F_{v}'(z)} \int_{F_{v}'(z)} \frac{dy}{N(y)} = \frac{2^{s}}{\sqrt{|\Delta_{K}|} \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{b}_{v}} \int_{F_{v}'(z)} \frac{dy}{N(y)}. \tag{5-15}$$

Clearly, if $z \neq z' \in \sigma(\mathfrak{b}_v)$ with (5-12) then $F_v(z) \cap F_v(z') = \emptyset$. Let us first prove that

$$\sum_{(z_v)_v \in \Lambda \cap M(B)} \frac{1}{\prod_{v \in V'} N(z_v)} \le I(B) + O((\log B)^5).$$
 (5-16)

To this end, we define

$$E(B) := \{(z_v)_v \in M(B) \mid \text{ all } z_v \text{ satisfy (5-12) and } F_v(z_v) \subseteq S_F^1(\infty)\},$$

and $G(B) := M(B) \setminus E(B)$. Keep in mind that E(B) and G(B) depend on $(\mathfrak{b}_v)_{v \in V'}$. For any $(z_v)_v \in \Lambda \cap E(B)$, we have $\prod_v F_v(z_v) \subseteq M(B)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{(z_v)_v \in \Lambda \cap E(B)} \frac{1}{\prod_{v \in V'} N(z_v)} &\leq \sum_{(z_v)_v \in \Lambda \cap E(B)} \prod_{v \in V'} \frac{2^s}{\sqrt{|\Delta_K|} \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{b}_v} \int_{F_v(z_v)} \frac{dy}{N(y)} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2^s}{\sqrt{|\Delta_K|}}\right)^6 \frac{1}{\prod_{v \in V'} \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{b}_v} \sum_{(z_v)_v \in \Lambda \cap E(B)} \prod_{v \in V'} \int_{F_v(z_v)} \frac{dz_v}{N(z_v)} &\leq I(B). \end{split}$$

We need to prove that

$$\sum_{(z_v)_v \in \Delta \cap G(B)} \frac{1}{\prod_{v \in V'} N(z_v)} = O((\log B)^5).$$
 (5-17)

For every $(z_v)_v \in \Lambda \cap G(B)$, there is at least one $w \in V'$ such that either

$$z_w$$
 does not satisfy (5-12) (5-18)

or

$$z_w$$
 satisfies (5-12) and $F_w(z_w) \nsubseteq S_F^1(\infty)$. (5-19)

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{(z_{v})_{v} \in \Lambda \cap G(B)} \frac{1}{\prod_{v \in V'} N(z_{v})} \leq \sum_{w \in V'} \sum_{\substack{(z_{v})_{v} \in \Lambda \cap S_{F}^{1}(\infty)^{6} \\ N(z_{v}) \leq B \\ (5-18) \text{ or } (5-19)}} \frac{1}{\prod_{v \in V'} N(z_{v})} \\
= \sum_{w \in V'} \left(\prod_{v \neq w} \sum_{\substack{z \in \sigma(\mathfrak{b}_{v}) \cap S_{F}^{1}(\infty) \\ N(z) \leq B}} \frac{1}{N(z)} \right) \sum_{\substack{z \in \sigma(\mathfrak{b}_{w}) \cap S_{F}^{1}(\infty) \\ N(z) \leq B}} \frac{1}{N(z)}. \quad (5-20)$$

Now

$$\sum_{\substack{z \in \sigma(\mathfrak{b}_v) \cap S_F^1(\infty) \\ N(z) \leq B}} \frac{1}{N(z)} = \omega_K \sum_{\substack{\{0\} \neq H \in P_K \\ H \subseteq \mathfrak{b}_v \\ \mathfrak{M}H < B}} \frac{1}{\mathfrak{N}H} \leq \sum_{\substack{\{0\} \neq H \leq \emptyset_K \\ \mathfrak{M}H \leq B}} \frac{1}{\mathfrak{N}H} \ll \log B, \quad (5-21)$$

by Lemma 5.3. Moreover, we write

$$\sum_{\substack{z \in \sigma(\mathfrak{b}_w) \cap S_1^1(\infty) \\ N(z) \le B \\ (5-18) \text{ or } (5-19) \text{ for } z}} \frac{1}{N(z)} = \sum_{n=1}^B a_n \cdot \frac{1}{n},$$
(5-22)

with $a_n := \left| \{ z \in \sigma(\mathfrak{b}_w) \cap S_F^1(\infty) \mid N(z) = n, (5\text{-}18) \text{ or } (5\text{-}19) \text{ holds for } z \} \right|$. We will apply the Abel sum formula, so we need to understand

$$A(T) := \sum_{n \le T} a_n = \left| \{ z \in \sigma(\mathfrak{b}_w) \cap S_F^1(T^{1/d}) \mid (5\text{-}18) \text{ or } (5\text{-}19) \text{ holds for } z \} \right|.$$

Let

$$H := \{ z \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid z_1 \cdots z_d = 0 \}, \tag{5-23}$$

and let D_w be the d-dimensional interval

$$D_w := [-(l_{w,1}+1), l_{w,1}+1] \times \dots \times [-(l_{w,d}+1), l_{w,d}+1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d.$$
 (5-24)

Then any z counted by A(T) satisfies $(z + D_w) \cap H \neq \emptyset$ (if (5-18) holds) or $z + D_w \nsubseteq S_F^1(T^{1/d})$ (if (5-19) holds). Therefore, any such z is contained in $A_1(T) \cup A_2(T)$, where

$$\begin{split} A_{1}(T) &:= \{z \in \sigma(\mathfrak{b}_{w}) \mid (z + D_{w}) \cap \partial S_{F}^{1}(T^{1/d}) \neq \varnothing \} \\ &\supseteq \{z \in \sigma(\mathfrak{b}_{w}) \cap S_{F}^{1}(T^{1/d}) \mid (z + D_{w}) \not\subseteq S_{F}^{1}(T^{1/d}) \}, \\ A_{2}(T) &:= \{z \in \sigma(\mathfrak{b}_{w}) \mid (z + D_{w}) \cap (S_{F}^{1}(T^{1/d}) \cap H) \neq \varnothing \} \\ &\supseteq \{z \in \sigma(\mathfrak{b}_{w}) \cap S_{F}^{1}(T^{1/d}) \mid (z + D_{w}) \subseteq S_{F}^{1}(T^{1/d}), (z_{w} + D_{w}) \cap H \neq \varnothing \}. \end{split}$$

Now $\partial S_F^1(T^{1/d}) = T^{1/d} \partial S_F^1(1) \in \text{Lip}(d, M_1, T^{1/d}L_1)$. We recall that $\mathfrak{b}_v \in \mathcal{C}$, so Lemma 4.1(i) implies that

$$|A_1(T)| \ll M_1(L_1T^{1/d} + 1)^{d-1} \ll T^{(d-1)/d}$$
 for all $T \ge 1$.

Moreover, $S_F^1(T^{1/d}) \cap H = T^{1/d}(S_F^1(1) \cap H)$, and clearly $S_F^1(1) \cap H \in \text{Lip}(d, \tilde{M}_1, \tilde{L}_1)$ for some \tilde{M}_1 and \tilde{L}_1 . By Lemma 4.1(i),

$$|A_2(T)| \ll \tilde{M}_1(\tilde{L}_1 T^{1/d} + 1)^{d-1} \ll T^{(d-1)/d}$$
 for all $T \ge 1$.

Therefore, $A(T) \ll T^{(d-1)/d}$ for $T \ge 1$. The Abel sum formula yields

$$\sum_{n=1}^{B} a_n \cdot \frac{1}{n} = A(B)/B + \int_{t=1}^{B} A(t)/t^2 dt \ll B^{-1/d} + \int_{t=1}^{B} t^{-(1+1/d)} dt \ll 1.$$

With (5-20), (5-21), (5-22), we see that (5-17) holds, which finishes the proof of (5-16). Let us prove the other inequality, that is

$$I(B) \le \sum_{(z_v)_v \in \Lambda \cap M(B)} \frac{1}{\prod_{v \in V'} N(z_v)} + O((\log B)^5).$$
 (5-25)

For every $v \in V'$ and every $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying (5-12), there is a unique $\lambda_v(z) \in \sigma(\mathfrak{b}_v)$ with (5-13) such that $z \in F'_v(\lambda_v(z))$. In a similar way as above, we define

$$E'(B) := \{(z_v)_v \in M(B) \mid \text{ all } z_v \text{ satisfy (5-12) and } \lambda_v(z_v) \in S_F^1(\infty)\},$$

and $G'(B) := M(B) \setminus E'(B)$. Both E'(B) and G'(B) are clearly measurable. For any $(z_v)_v$ in E'(B), the point $(\lambda_v(z_v))_v$ is the unique element of $\Lambda \cap M(B)$ with

 $z_v \in F_v'(\lambda_v(z_v))$ for all $v \in V'$. With this and (5-15), we obtain

$$\frac{2^{6s}}{(\sqrt{|\Delta_K|})^6 \prod_{v \in V'} \mathfrak{Nb}_v} \int_{E'(B)} \prod_{v \in V'} \frac{dz_v}{N(z_v)} \leq \sum_{\substack{(\lambda_v)_v \in \\ \Lambda \cap M(B)}} \prod_{v \in V'} \frac{2^s}{\sqrt{|\Delta_K|} \mathfrak{Nb}_v} \int_{F'_v(\lambda_v)} \frac{dz}{N(z)}$$

$$\leq \sum_{\substack{(\lambda_v)_v \in \Lambda \cap M(B)}} \frac{1}{\prod_{v \in V'} N(\lambda_v)}. \tag{5-26}$$

We need to prove that

$$\left(\frac{2^{s}}{\sqrt{|\Delta_{K}|}}\right)^{6} \frac{1}{\prod_{v \in V'} \mathfrak{Nb}_{v}} \int_{G'(B)} \prod_{v \in V'} \frac{dz_{v}}{N(z_{v})} = O((\log B)^{5}). \tag{5-27}$$

For every $(z_v)_v \in G'(B)$, there is some $w \in V'$ such that either

$$z_w$$
 does not satisfy (5-12) (5-28)

or

$$z_w$$
 satisfies (5-12) and $\lambda_w(z_w) \notin S_F^1(\infty)$. (5-29)

Similarly to (5-20), we obtain

$$\int_{G'(B)} \prod_{v \in V'} \frac{dz_v}{N(z_v)} \le \sum_{w \in V'} \left(\prod_{v \neq w} \int_{\substack{z \in S_F^1(\infty) \\ 1 \le N(z) \le B}} \frac{dz}{N(z)} \right) \int_{\substack{z \in S_F^1(\infty) \\ 1 \le N(z) \le B \\ (5-28) \text{ or } (5-29) \text{ for } z}} \frac{dz}{N(z)}.$$
 (5-30)

We denote the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{R}^d by m_1 , m_d . The restriction of N to $S_F^1(\infty)$ defines a measurable function $N_1: S_F^1(\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$. Since

$$(m_d \circ N_1^{-1})((a, b]) = \text{Vol } S_F^1(b^{1/d}) - \text{Vol } S_F^1(a^{1/d}) = (b - a) \text{ Vol } S_F^1(1)$$

for all $0 < a \le b \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain $m_d \circ N_1^{-1} = \operatorname{Vol} S_F^1(1) m_1$ on $\mathbb{R}^{>0}$. Therefore,

$$\int_{\substack{z \in S_F^1(\infty) \\ 1 \le N(z) \le B}} \frac{dz}{N(z)} = \int_{N_1^{-1}([1,B])} \frac{dm_d}{N_1(z)} = \int_{[1,B]} \frac{1}{t} d(m_d \circ N_1^{-1}) = \text{Vol } S_F^1(1) \log B.$$
 (5-31)

Let $A(T):=\{z\in S^1_F(\infty)\mid 1\leq N(z)\leq T, (5\text{-}28) \text{ or } (5\text{-}29) \text{ holds for } z\}$. Then A(T) is measurable for all T and the restriction of N to A(B) defines a measurable function $N_2:A(B)\to [1,B]$. For any $E\subseteq [1,B]$ with $m_1(E)=0$, we have $N_2^{-1}(E)\subseteq N_1^{-1}(E)$ and $(m_d\circ N_1^{-1})(E)=0$. Thus, $m_d\circ N_2^{-1}$ is absolutely continuous. With the distribution function $F(T):=(m_d\circ N_2^{-1})([1,T])$, we obtain

$$\int_{A(B)} \frac{dz}{N(z)} = \int_{N_2^{-1}([1,B])} \frac{dm_d}{N_2(z)} = \int_{[1,B]} \frac{1}{t} d(m_d \circ N_2^{-1}) = \int_1^B \frac{1}{t} dF(t). \quad (5-32)$$

Integration by parts for the Stieltjes integral on the right-hand side suggests that we need to find a suitable bound for F(T). Clearly,

$$F(T) = \text{Vol}(N_2^{-1}([1, T])) = \text{Vol} A(T).$$

With H, D_w as in (5-23), (5-24), let

$$A_1(T) := \{ z \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid (z + D_w) \cap \partial S_F^1(T^{1/d}) \neq \emptyset \},$$

$$A_2(T) := \{ z \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid (z + D_w) \cap (\overline{S_F^1(T^{1/d})} \cap H) \neq \emptyset \}.$$

A similar argument to before shows that $A(T) \subseteq A_1(T) \cup A_2(T)$. We already know that $\partial S_F^1(T^{1/d}) \in \operatorname{Lip}(d, M_1, T^{1/d}L_1)$ and $S_F^1(T^{1/d}) \cap H \in \operatorname{Lip}(n, \tilde{M}_1, T^{1/d}\tilde{L}_1)$. The same holds of course for the closure. By Lemma 4.1(ii) we obtain

Vol
$$A_1(T) \ll T^{(d-1)/d}$$
, Vol $A_2(T) \ll T^{(d-1)/d}$ for $T \ge 1$,

and thus $F(T) \ll T^{(d-1)/d}$ for $T \ge 1$. Integration by parts gives

$$\int_{1}^{B} \frac{1}{t} dF(t) = F(B)/B - F(1) - \int_{1}^{B} F d\frac{1}{t} \ll B^{-1/d} + \int_{1}^{B} t^{-(1+1/d)} dt \ll 1.$$

With (5-30), (5-31) and (5-32), we obtain (5-27). Together with (5-26) this gives (5-25).

Lemma 5.5. We have

$$I(B) = \frac{1}{4 \cdot 6!} \left(\frac{2^r (2\pi)^s R_K}{\sqrt{|\Delta_K|}} \right)^6 \frac{1}{\prod_{v \in V'} \mathfrak{Nb}_v} (\log B)^6.$$

Proof. Let m_n denote the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n . We define the measurable function $f:(S^1_F(\infty))^6\to\mathbb{R}^6$ by $f((z_v)_{v\in V'})=(N(z_v))_{v\in V'}$. For any cell $E:=\prod_{v\in V'}(a_v,b_v]$, with $0< a_v\leq b_v$, we have

$$(m_{6d} \circ f^{-1})(E) = \prod_{v \in V'} (\operatorname{Vol} S_F^1(b_v^{1/d}) - \operatorname{Vol} S_F^1(a_v^{1/d})) = (\operatorname{Vol} S_F^1(1))^6 m_6(E).$$

Thus, $m_{6d} \circ f^{-1} = (\text{Vol } S_F^1(1))^6 m_6 \text{ on } (\mathbb{R}^{\geq 0})^6$. Let

$$M_{\mathbb{Q}}(B) := \{(t_v)_{v \in V'} \in \mathbb{R}^6 \mid t_v \ge 1 \text{ for all } v \text{ and } t_{jk}t_{jl}t_{kj}^2t_{lj}^2 \le B \text{ for all } j\}.$$

Then

$$\int_{M(B)} \prod_{v \in V'} \frac{dz_v}{N(z_v)} = \int_{f^{-1}(M_{\mathbb{Q}}(B))} \prod_{v \in V'} \frac{1}{f(z)_v} dm_{6d} = \int_{M_{\mathbb{Q}}(B)} \prod_{v \in V'} \frac{1}{t_v} d(m_{6d} \circ f^{-1})$$

$$= (\operatorname{Vol} S_F^1(1))^6 \int_{M_{\mathbb{Q}}(B)} \prod_{v \in V'} \frac{1}{t_v} dm_6 = \frac{(\operatorname{Vol} S_F^1(1))^6}{4 \cdot 6!} (\log B)^6.$$

The last integral is computed at the end of [Heath-Brown and Moroz 1999].

We define

$$C_0(K) := \frac{1}{4 \cdot 6!} \left(\frac{2^r (2\pi)^s R_K}{\sqrt{|\Delta_K|}} \right)^6 \text{ and } C(K) := 3^q C_0(K).$$

Then (5-11) and the previous two lemmata imply that

$$\mathcal{M}_1(B, (\mathfrak{b}_v)_v) = \frac{C_0(K)}{\prod_{v \in V'} \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{b}_v} (\log B)^6 + O(\log B)^5.$$

Keep in mind that $\mathfrak{b}_v \in \mathscr{C}$ for all $v \in V'$. With (5-9), (5-10), we obtain

$$\mathcal{M}(B, (\mathfrak{a}_v)_v) \leq \frac{C(K)}{\prod_{v \in V'} \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_v} (\log B)^6 + O\left(\frac{1}{\prod_{v \in V'} \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{a}_v} (\log B)^5\right).$$

Let $R := \max_j \{\mathfrak{Na}_j\}^{1/d} \prod_{v \in V'} \mathfrak{Na}_v^{2/(3d)}$. Then $R \ge c_4 > 0$ for some constant c_4 depending only on K. This implies in particular that $\log R \ll R$. Moreover, we have $1/(c_5R^{3d}) \le b_j$ for some constant $c_5 \ge 1$ depending only on K. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{M}(B, (\mathfrak{a}_v)_v) \ge 3^q \left(\prod_{v \in V'} \frac{\mathfrak{Mb}_v}{\mathfrak{Ma}_v} \right) \mathcal{M}_1(B/(c_5 R^{3d}), (\mathfrak{b}_v)_v).$$

Whenever $B \ge ec_5 R^{3d}$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{M}(B, (\mathfrak{a}_v)_v) \ge \frac{C(K)}{\prod_{v \in V'} \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{a}_v} \log(B/(c_5 R^{3d}))^6 + O\left(\frac{1}{\prod_{v \in V'} \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{a}_v} \log(B/(c_5 R^{3d}))^5\right)$$

$$= \frac{C(K)}{\prod_{v \in V'} \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{a}_v} (\log B)^6 + O\left(\frac{R}{\prod_{v \in V'} \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{a}_v} (\log B)^5\right).$$

This result holds as well if $e \le B < ec_5 R^{3d}$, since then the error term dominates the main term. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{M}(B, (\mathfrak{a}_v)_v) = \frac{C(K)}{\prod_{v \in V'} \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{a}_v} (\log B)^6 + O\left(\frac{R}{\prod_{v \in V'} \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{a}_v} (\log B)^5\right),$$

and Lemma 3.1 follows from (5-8).

References

[Baier and Browning 2013] S. Baier and T. D. Browning, "Inhomogeneous cubic congruences and rational points on Del Pezzo surfaces", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **680** (2013), 69–151.

[Batyrev and Tschinkel 1998a] V. V. Batyrev and Y. Tschinkel, "Manin's conjecture for toric varieties", J. Algebraic Geom. 7:1 (1998), 15–53. MR 2000c:11107 Zbl 0946.14009

[Batyrev and Tschinkel 1998b] V. V. Batyrev and Y. Tschinkel, "Tamagawa numbers of polarized algebraic varieties", pp. 299–340 in *Nombre et répartition de points de hauteur bornée* (Paris, 1996), Astérisque **251**, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1998. MR 2000d:11090 Zbl 0926.11045

- [de la Bretèche 1998] R. de la Bretèche, "Sur le nombre de points de hauteur bornée d'une certaine surface cubique singulière", pp. 51–77 in *Nombre et répartition de points de hauteur bornée* (Paris, 1996), Astérisque **251**, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1998. MR 2000b:11074 Zbl 0969.14014
- [de la Bretèche 2002] R. de la Bretèche, "Nombre de points de hauteur bornée sur les surfaces de del Pezzo de degré 5", *Duke Math. J.* 113:3 (2002), 421–464. MR 2003m:14033 Zbl 1054.14025
- [de la Bretèche and Browning 2011] R. de la Bretèche and T. D. Browning, "Manin's conjecture for quartic del Pezzo surfaces with a conic fibration", *Duke Math. J.* **160**:1 (2011), 1–69. MR 2012k:11038 Zbl 1245.11044
- [de la Bretèche and Fouvry 2004] R. de la Bretèche and É. Fouvry, "L'éclaté du plan projectif en quatre points dont deux conjugués", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **576** (2004), 63–122. MR 2005f:11131 Zbl 1065.11080
- [de la Bretèche and Swinnerton-Dyer 2007] R. de la Bretèche and P. Swinnerton-Dyer, "Fonction zêta des hauteurs associée à une certaine surface cubique", *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **135**:1 (2007), 65–92. MR 2009f:14041 Zbl 1207.11068
- [de la Bretèche et al. 2007] R. de la Bretèche, T. D. Browning, and U. Derenthal, "On Manin's conjecture for a certain singular cubic surface", *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) **40**:1 (2007), 1–50. MR 2008e:11038 Zbl 1125.14008
- [de la Bretèche et al. 2012] R. de la Bretèche, T. Browning, and E. Peyre, "On Manin's conjecture for a family of Châtelet surfaces", Ann. of Math. (2) 175:1 (2012), 297–343. MR 2874644 Zbl 1237.11018
- [Browning and Derenthal 2009] T. D. Browning and U. Derenthal, "Manin's conjecture for a cubic surface with D_5 singularity", *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2009**:14 (2009), 2620–2647. MR 2011a:14041 Zbl 1173.14017
- [Chambert-Loir and Tschinkel 2002] A. Chambert-Loir and Y. Tschinkel, "On the distribution of points of bounded height on equivariant compactifications of vector groups", *Invent. Math.* **148**:2 (2002), 421–452. MR 2003d:11094 Zbl 1067.11036
- [Christensen and Gubler 2008] C. Christensen and W. Gubler, "Der relative Satz von Schanuel", Manuscripta Math. 126:4 (2008), 505–525. MR 2009e:11124 Zbl 1155.11034
- [Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc 1980] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc, "La descente sur les variétés rationnelles", pp. 223–237 in *Journées de Géometrie Algébrique d'Angers, Juillet 1979/Algebraic Geometry, Angers, 1979*, edited by A. Beauville, Sijthoff & Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn, 1980. MR 82d:14016 Zbl 0451.14018
- [Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc 1987] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc, "La descente sur les variétés rationnelles, II", *Duke Math. J.* **54**:2 (1987), 375–492. MR 89f:11082 Zbl 0659.14028
- [Derenthal and Janda 2013] U. Derenthal and F. Janda, "Gaussian rational points on a singular cubic surface", pp. 210–230 in *Torsors, étale homotopy and applications to rational points* (Edinburgh, 2011), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **405**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2013.
- [Fouvry 1998] É. Fouvry, "Sur la hauteur des points d'une certaine surface cubique singulière", pp. 31–49 in *Nombre et répartition de points de hauteur bornée* (Paris, 1996), Astérisque **251**, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1998. MR 2000b:11075 Zbl 0930.11044
- [Franke et al. 1989] J. Franke, Y. I. Manin, and Y. Tschinkel, "Rational points of bounded height on Fano varieties", *Invent. Math.* **95**:2 (1989), 421–435. MR 89m:11060 Zbl 0674.14012
- [Heath-Brown and Moroz 1999] D. R. Heath-Brown and B. Z. Moroz, "The density of rational points on the cubic surface $X_0^3 = X_1 X_2 X_3$ ", *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **125**:3 (1999), 385–395. MR 2000f:11080 Zbl 0938.11016

[Lang 1994] S. Lang, Algebraic number theory, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics 110, Springer, New York, 1994. MR 95f:11085 Zbl 0811.11001

[Le Boudec 2012] P. Le Boudec, "Manin's conjecture for a cubic surface with $2A_2 + A_1$ singularity type", *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **153**:3 (2012), 419–455.

[Masser and Vaaler 2007] D. Masser and J. D. Vaaler, "Counting algebraic numbers with large height. II", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **359**:1 (2007), 427–445. MR 2008m:11208 Zbl 1215.11100

[Peyre 1995] E. Peyre, "Hauteurs et mesures de Tamagawa sur les variétés de Fano", *Duke Math. J.* **79**:1 (1995), 101–218. MR 96h:11062 ZbI 0901.14025

[Salberger 1998] P. Salberger, "Tamagawa measures on universal torsors and points of bounded height on Fano varieties", pp. 91–258 in *Nombre et répartition de points de hauteur bornée* (Paris, 1996), Astérisque **251**, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1998. MR 2000d:11091 Zbl 0959.14007

[Schanuel 1979] S. H. Schanuel, "Heights in number fields", *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **107**:4 (1979), 433–449. MR 81c:12025 Zbl 0428.12009

[Widmer 2010] M. Widmer, "Counting primitive points of bounded height", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **362**:9 (2010), 4793–4829. MR 2011i:11099 Zbl 05791771

Communicated by Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène

Received 2012-04-10 Revised 2012-07-30 Accepted 2012-09-07

frei@math.lmu.de Mathematisches Institut, LMU München, Theresienstr. 39,

D-80333 München, Germany

http://www.mathematik.uni-muenchen.de/~frei



Algebra & Number Theory

msp.org/ant

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Bjorn Poonen

Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, USA

EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR

David Eisenbud

University of California

Berkeley, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Georgia Benkart	University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA	Susan Montgomery	University of Southern California, USA
Dave Benson	University of Aberdeen, Scotland	Shigefumi Mori	RIMS, Kyoto University, Japan
Richard E. Borcherds	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Raman Parimala	Emory University, USA
John H. Coates	University of Cambridge, UK	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
J-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Sud, France	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
Brian D. Conrad	University of Michigan, USA	Karl Rubin	University of California, Irvine, USA
Hélène Esnault	Freie Universität Berlin, Germany	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Hubert Flenner	Ruhr-Universität, Germany	Joseph H. Silverman	Brown University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	Vasudevan Srinivas	Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, India
Joseph Gubeladze	San Francisco State University, USA	J. Toby Stafford	University of Michigan, USA
Ehud Hrushovski	Hebrew University, Israel	Bernd Sturmfels	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Craig Huneke	University of Virginia, USA	Richard Taylor	Harvard University, USA
Mikhail Kapranov	Yale University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Yujiro Kawamata	University of Tokyo, Japan	Michel van den Bergh	Hasselt University, Belgium
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Marie-France Vignéras	Université Paris VII, France
Yuri Manin	Northwestern University, USA	Kei-Ichi Watanabe	Nihon University, Japan
Barry Mazur	Harvard University, USA	Efim Zelmanov	University of California, San Diego, USA
Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausan	ne	

PRODUCTION

production@msp.org Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/ant for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2013 is US \$200/year for the electronic version, and \$350/year (+\$40, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1944-7833 electronic, 1937-0652 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLow® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

mathematical sciences publishers nonprofit scientific publishing

http://msp.org/
© 2013 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 7 No. 6 2013

On the discrete logarithm problem in elliptic curves II CLAUS DIEM	1281
Identifying Frobenius elements in Galois groups TIM DOKCHITSER and VLADIMIR DOKCHITSER	1325
Weak approximation for cubic hypersurfaces of large dimension MIKE SWARBRICK JONES	1353
The Picard crossed module of a braided tensor category ALEXEI DAVYDOV and DMITRI NIKSHYCH	1365
A Gross–Zagier formula for quaternion algebras over totally real fields EYAL Z. GOREN and KRISTIN E. LAUTER	1405
Counting rational points over number fields on a singular cubic surface CHRISTOPHER FREI	1451
On the ample cone of a rational surface with an anticanonical cycle ROBERT FRIEDMAN	1481
Commuting involutions of Lie algebras, commuting varieties, and simple Jordan algebras DMITRI I. PANYUSHEV	1505