Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 10 2016 No. 1

of powers of elliptic curves Fabrizio Barroero and Laura Capuano

Linear relations in families



Linear relations in families of powers of elliptic curves

Fabrizio Barroero and Laura Capuano

Motivated by recent work of Masser and Zannier on simultaneous torsion on the Legendre elliptic curve E_{λ} of equation $Y^2 = X(X-1)(X-\lambda)$, we prove that, given n linearly independent points $P_1(\lambda), \ldots, P_n(\lambda)$ on E_{λ} with coordinates in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)}$, there are at most finitely many complex numbers λ_0 such that the points $P_1(\lambda_0), \ldots, P_n(\lambda_0)$ satisfy two independent relations on E_{λ_0} . This is a special case of conjectures about unlikely intersections on families of abelian varieties.

1. Introduction

Let $n \ge 2$ be an integer and let E_{λ} denote the elliptic curve in the Legendre form defined by

$$Y^{2} = X(X - 1)(X - \lambda). \tag{1-1}$$

Masser and Zannier [2010; see also 2008] showed that there are at most finitely many complex numbers $\lambda_0 \neq 0$, 1 such that the two points

$$(2, \sqrt{2(2-\lambda_0)}), (3, \sqrt{6(3-\lambda_0)})$$

both have finite order on the elliptic curve E_{λ_0} . Stoll [2014] recently noted that there is actually no such λ_0 . Later, Masser and Zannier [2012] proved that one can replace 2 and 3 with any two distinct complex numbers ($\neq 0$, 1) or even choose distinct *X*-coordinates ($\neq \lambda$) defined over an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{C}(\lambda)$.

In his book, Zannier [2012] asks if there are finitely many $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that two independent relations between the points $(2, \sqrt{2(2-\lambda_0)}), (3, \sqrt{6(3-\lambda_0)})$ and $(5, \sqrt{20(5-\lambda_0)})$ hold on E_{λ_0} .

In this article we prove that this question has a positive answer, as Zannier expected in view of very general conjectures. We actually prove a more general

MSC2010: primary 11G05; secondary 11G50, 11U09, 14K05.

Keywords: linear relations, elliptic curves, unlikely intersections.

The authors are supported by the European Research Council, grant number 267273.

result, analogous to the one in [Masser and Zannier 2012] but, at the moment, we are only able to replace 2, 3 and 5 with any three pairwise distinct algebraic numbers, or choose *X*-coordinates defined over an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$, with the obvious exceptions 0, 1 and λ since the corresponding points are identically 2-torsion. Moreover, our method allows us to deal with arbitrarily many points since we consider a curve $C \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{2n+1}$ with coordinate functions $(x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n, \lambda)$, where λ is nonconstant, such that, for every $j = 1, \ldots, n$, the points $P_j = (x_j, y_j)$ lie on the elliptic curve E_{λ} . As the point c varies on the curve C, the specialized points $P_j(c) = (x_j(c), y_j(c))$ will lie on the specialized elliptic curve $E_{\lambda(c)}$. We implicitly exclude the finitely many c with $\lambda(c) = 0$ or 1, since in that case $E_{\lambda(c)}$ is not an elliptic curve.

Theorem 1.1. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{2n+1}$ be an irreducible curve defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ with coordinate functions $(x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n, \lambda)$, where λ is nonconstant. Suppose that, for every $j = 1, \ldots, n$, the points $P_j = (x_j, y_j)$ lie on E_{λ} and there are no integers $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, not all zero, such that

$$a_1 P_1 + \dots + a_n P_n = O, \tag{1-2}$$

identically on C. Then there are at most finitely many $c \in C$ such that the points $P_1(c), \ldots, P_n(c)$ satisfy two independent relations on $E_{\lambda(c)}$.

Note that the case n = 2 is covered by the main proposition of [Masser and Zannier 2012] in the more general setting of a curve defined over \mathbb{C} .

Moreover, Rémond and Viada [2003] proved an analogue of Theorem 1.1 for a power of a constant elliptic curve with complex multiplication, where one must allow the coefficients a_1, \ldots, a_n in (1-2) to lie in the larger endomorphism ring. For the general case of powers of a constant elliptic curve, the result follows from works of Viada [2008] and Galateau [2010]. If n = 2 this is nothing but Raynaud's theorem [1983], also known as the Manin–Mumford conjecture.

We already mentioned the example of the three points with fixed abscissas 2, 3 and 5. It is easy to see that this will follow from Theorem 1.1 once we show that there is no identical relation between the three points on the generic curve E_{λ} . Indeed, the minimal fields of definition of these three points are disjoint quadratic extensions of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(\lambda)$, and by conjugating one can see that the points would be identically torsion on E_{λ} . This is not possible, as it can be seen in different ways (see [Zannier 2012, p. 68]). For instance, applying the Lutz–Nagell theorem [Silverman 2009, Corollary 7.2], one can show that the point of abscissa 2 is not torsion on E_{δ} .

One may ask if finiteness holds if we impose only one relation. This is not the case. Indeed, there are infinitely many λ_0 such that a point with fixed algebraic abscissa is torsion (see [Zannier 2012, Notes to Chapter 3]). On the other hand,

the values of λ such that at least one relation holds are "sparse", as follows from [Masser 1989b]. Actually, a well-known theorem of Silverman [1983] implies that the absolute Weil height of such values is bounded. A direct effective proof of this can be found in Masser's Appendix C of [Zannier 2012]. In particular, there are at most finitely many λ_0 yielding one relation in a given number field or of bounded degree over $\mathbb Q$.

Our proof follows the general strategy introduced in [Pila and Zannier 2008] and used in [Masser and Zannier 2008; 2010; 2012]. In particular, we consider the elliptic logarithms z_1, \ldots, z_n of P_1, \ldots, P_n and the equations

$$z_j = u_j f + v_j g,$$

for $j=1,\ldots,n$, where f and g are suitably chosen basis elements of the period lattice of E_{λ} . If we consider the coefficients u_j , v_j as functions of λ and restrict them to a compact set, we obtain a subanalytic surface S in \mathbb{R}^{2n} . The points of \mathcal{C} that yield two independent relations on the elliptic curve will correspond to points of S lying on linear varieties defined by equations of some special form and with integer coefficients. In the case n=2, one faces the simpler problem of counting rational points with bounded denominator in S. For this, a previous result of Pila [2004] suffices together with the fact that the surface is "sufficiently" transcendental. In the general case we adapt ideas of Pila (see [Capuano et al. 2016, Appendix]) to obtain an upper bound of order T^{ϵ} for the number of points of S lying on subspaces of the special form mentioned above and integral coefficients of absolute value at most T, provided S does not contain a semialgebraic curve segment. Under the hypothesis that no identical relation holds on C, using a result of Bertrand [1990], we are able to show that there are no such semialgebraic curve segments.

Now, we use [Masser 1988; 1989a; David 1997] and exploit the boundedness of the height to show that the number of points of S considered above is of order at least T^{δ} for some $\delta > 0$. Comparing the two estimates leads to an upper bound for T and thus for the coefficients of the two relations, concluding the proof.

With similar methods, a toric analogue of Theorem 1.1 was proved in [Capuano 2014] and [Capuano et al. 2016], giving an alternative proof of a result appearing in [Bombieri et al. 1999] and generalized in [Maurin 2008] (see also [Bombieri et al. 2008]).

We will use $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots$ to denote positive constants. The indices are reset at the end of each section.

2. The Zilber-Pink conjectures

In this section we see how our theorem relates to the so-called Zilber–Pink conjectures on unlikely intersections.

First, let us examine the objects we are investigating from the point of view of dimensions. We consider our elliptic curve E_{λ} as an elliptic scheme over $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$. Our ambient space is then the fiber power of n copies of this elliptic scheme and has dimension n+1. Now, for any choice of linearly independent vectors $(a_1, \ldots, a_n), (b_1, \ldots, b_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, imposing the two corresponding conditions yields an (n-1)-fold. Therefore, the intersection of a curve and an (n-1)-fold in a space of dimension n+1 is indeed unlikely to be nonempty and one expects finiteness for varying integer vectors.

Our result fits in the framework of very general conjectures formulated by Zilber [2002] and Bombieri, Masser and Zannier [Bombieri et al. 2007] in the toric case and by Pink [2005] in a more general setting, also known as the Zilber–Pink conjectures.

In a series of papers by Masser and Zannier [2010; 2012; 2014; 2015], the authors proved a variant of Pink's conjecture in the case of a curve in an abelian surface scheme over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, and over \mathbb{C} in the nonsimple case. On the other hand, Pink's conjecture concerns families of semiabelian varieties. However, Bertrand [2011] found a counterexample to this, for a suitable nonsplit extension of a CM elliptic constant family $E_0 \times B$ (over a curve B) by \mathbb{G}_m . This situation is rather "special"; in fact, as it is shown in [Bertrand et al. 2016], the possible presence of the so-called "Ribet sections" is the only obstruction to the validity of the conjecture in the case of semiabelian surface schemes.

Now, let us see how our Theorem 1.1 implies a statement in the spirit of the conjectures mentioned above. In particular, we translate our result in the language of schemes, borrowing some terminology and results from a work of Habegger [2013].

Let S be an irreducible and nonsingular quasiprojective curve defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and let $\mathcal{E} \to S$ be an elliptic scheme over S, i.e., a group scheme whose fibers are elliptic curves. Let $n \geq 2$. We define \mathcal{A} to be the n-fold fibered power $\mathcal{E} \times_S \cdots \times_S \mathcal{E}$ with the structural morphism $\pi: \mathcal{A} \to S$. We suppose that \mathcal{E} is not isotrivial. In other words, $\mathcal{E} \to S$ cannot become a constant family after a finite étale base change.

A subgroup scheme G of \mathcal{A} is a closed subvariety, possibly reducible, which contains the image of $G \times_S G$ under the addition morphism and the image of the zero section $S \to \mathcal{A}$, and is mapped to itself by the inversion morphism. A subgroup scheme G is called flat if $\pi_{|_G}: G \to S$ is flat, i.e., all irreducible components of G dominate the base curve S (see [Hartshorne 1977, Chapter III, Proposition 9.7]).

Theorem 2.1. Let A be as above and let $A^{\{2\}}$ be the union of the flat subgroup schemes of A with codimension at least 2. Let C be a curve in A defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and suppose $\pi(C)$ dominates S. Then $C \cap A^{\{2\}}$ is contained in a finite union of flat subgroup schemes of positive codimension.

In order to prove that this theorem is a consequence of Theorem 1.1, we need some notation and facts from [Habegger 2013].

For every $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ we have a morphism $\mathbf{a} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{E}$ defined by

$$\boldsymbol{a}(P_1,\ldots,P_n)=a_1P_1+\cdots+a_nP_n.$$

We identify the elements of \mathbb{Z}^n with the morphisms they define. The fibered product $\alpha = a_1 \times_S \cdots \times_S a_r$, for $a_1, \ldots, a_r \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, defines a morphism $A \to B$ over S where B is the r-fold fibered power of E. The kernel of α , denoted by $\ker \alpha$, indicates the fibered product of $\alpha : A \to B$ with the zero section $S \to B$. We consider it as a closed subscheme of A.

Lemma 2.2. Let G be a codimension-r flat subgroup scheme of A with $1 \le r \le n$. Then there exist independent $a_1, \ldots, a_r \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $G \subseteq \ker(a_1 \times_S \cdots \times_S a_r)$. Moreover, $\ker(a_1 \times_S \cdots \times_S a_r)$ is a flat subgroup scheme of A of codimension r.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2.5 of [Habegger 2013] and its proof.

Consider the Legendre family defined by (1-1). This gives an example of an elliptic scheme, which we call \mathcal{E}_L , over the modular curve $Y(2) = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$. We write \mathcal{A}_L for the *n*-fold fibered power of \mathcal{E}_L .

Lemma 2.3 [Habegger 2013, Lemma 5.4]. Let A be as above. After possibly replacing S by a Zariski open, nonempty subset, there exists an irreducible, nonsingular, quasiprojective curve S' defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{A} & \stackrel{f}{\longleftarrow} & \mathcal{A}' & \stackrel{e}{\longrightarrow} & \mathcal{A}_L \\
\pi \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_L \\
S & \longleftarrow & S' & \longrightarrow & Y(2)
\end{array}$$

where l is finite, λ is quasifinite, \mathcal{A}' is the abelian scheme $\mathcal{A} \times_S S'$, f is finite and flat and e is quasifinite and flat. Moreover, the restriction of f and e to any fiber of $\mathcal{A}' \to S'$ is an isomorphism of abelian varieties.

Lemma 2.4. If G is a flat subgroup scheme of A, then $e(f^{-1}(G))$ is a flat subgroup scheme of A_L of the same dimension. Moreover, let X be a subvariety of A dominating S and not contained in a proper flat subgroup scheme of A, let X'' be an irreducible component of $f^{-1}(X)$ and let X' be the Zariski closure of e(X'') in A_L . Then X' has the same dimension as X, dominates Y(2) and is not contained in a proper flat subgroup scheme of A_L .

Proof. This follows from the proof of Lemma 5.5 of [Habegger 2013].

Proof of Theorem 2.1. First, we can assume that C is not contained in a flat subgroup scheme of A of positive codimension. Therefore, it is enough to prove that $C \cap \bigcup G$ is finite where the union is taken over all flat subgroup schemes of A of codimension at least 2.

Consider the Zariski closure C' of e(C'') for a component C'' of $f^{-1}(C)$. By Lemma 2.4, C' is a curve in A_L dominating Y(2) and not contained in a proper flat subgroup scheme.

Now, since e is quasifinite, if $e(f^{-1}(\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{A}^{\{2\}}))$ is finite then $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{A}^{\{2\}}$ is finite and by Lemma 2.4 we have

$$e(f^{-1}(\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{A}^{\{2\}})) \subseteq e(f^{-1}(\mathcal{C})) \cap \mathcal{A}_L^{\{2\}}.$$

Therefore, we can reduce to proving our claim for the Legendre family and for \mathcal{C}' . By Lemma 2.2, each flat subgroup scheme of codimension at least 2 of \mathcal{A}_L is contained in $\ker(\mathbf{a}_1 \times_{Y(2)} \mathbf{a}_2)$ for some independent $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Therefore, it is enough to show that $\mathcal{C}' \cap \bigcup \ker(\mathbf{a}_1 \times_{Y(2)} \mathbf{a}_2)$ is finite, where the union is taken over all pairs of independent $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. The claim follows by applying Theorem 1.1 since \mathcal{C}' is not contained in a proper flat subgroup scheme.

3. O-minimal structures and a result of Pila

In this section we introduce the notion of an o-minimal structure, recall some definitions and properties we will need later and state a result from [Pila 2011]. For the basic properties of o-minimal structures we refer to [van den Dries 1998] and [van den Dries and Miller 1996].

Definition 3.1. A *structure* is a sequence $S = (S_N)$, $N \ge 1$, where each S_N is a collection of subsets of \mathbb{R}^N such that, for each N, M > 1:

- (1) S_N is a boolean algebra (under the usual set-theoretic operations);
- (2) S_N contains every semialgebraic subset of \mathbb{R}^N ;
- (3) if $A \in \mathcal{S}_N$ and $B \in \mathcal{S}_M$ then $A \times B \in \mathcal{S}_{N+M}$;
- (4) if $A \in \mathcal{S}_{N+M}$ then $\pi(A) \in \mathcal{S}_N$, where $\pi : \mathbb{R}^{N+M} \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is the projection onto the first N coordinates.

If S is a structure and, in addition,

(5) S_1 consists of all finite union of open intervals and points,

then S is called an *o-minimal structure*.

Given a structure S, we say that $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ is a *definable* set if $S \in S_N$.

Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N+M}$ and let π_1 and π_2 be the projection maps on the first N and on the last M coordinates, respectively. Now, for $t_0 \in \pi_2(U)$, we define $U_{t_0} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : (x, t_0) \in U\} = \pi_1(\pi_2^{-1}(t_0))$ and call U a family of subsets of \mathbb{R}^N , while

 U_{t_0} is called the *fiber* of U above t_0 . If U is a definable set then we call it a *definable family* and one can see that the fibers U_{t_0} are definable sets too. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ and let $f: S \to \mathbb{R}^M$ be a function. We call f a *definable function* if its graph $\{(x, y) \in S \times \mathbb{R}^M : y = f(x)\}$ is a definable set. It is not hard to see that images and preimages of definable sets via definable functions are still definable.

There are many examples of o-minimal structures; see [van den Dries and Miller 1996]. In this article we are interested in the structure of *globally subanalytic sets*, usually denoted by \mathbb{R}_{an} . We will not dwell on details about this structure because it is enough for us to know that if $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ is a compact definable set, I is an open neighborhood of D and $f: I \to \mathbb{R}^M$ is an analytic function, then f(D) is definable in \mathbb{R}_{an} .

We now fix an o-minimal structure S. Many important properties of o-minimal structures follow from the *cell decomposition theorem* [van den Dries and Miller 1996, 4.2]. One of these is the fact that definable families have a uniform bound on the number of connected components of the fibers.

Proposition 3.2 [van den Dries and Miller 1996, 4.4]. Let U be a definable family. There exists a positive integer γ such that each fiber of U has at most γ connected components.

Now, let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ be a nonempty definable set and let e be a nonnegative integer. The set of *regular points* of dimension e, denoted by $\operatorname{reg}_e(S)$, is the set of points $x \in S$ such that there is an open neighborhood I of x for which $S \cap I$ is a C^1 (embedded) submanifold of \mathbb{R}^N of dimension e. The *dimension* of S is the maximum e such that S has a regular point of dimension e. Note that if S has dimension e then $S \setminus \operatorname{reg}_e(S)$ has dimension $e \in P(S)$.

Definition 3.3. A *definable block* of dimension e in \mathbb{R}^N is a connected definable set B of dimension e contained in some semialgebraic set A of dimension e, such that every point of B is a regular point of dimension e in B and A. Dimension zero is allowed: a point is a definable block. Moreover, a *definable block family* is a definable family whose nonempty fibers are all definable blocks.

We now need to define the height of a rational point. The height used in [Pila 2011] is not the usual projective Weil height, but a coordinatewise affine height. If a/b is a rational number written in lowest terms, then $H(a/b) = \max(|a|, |b|)$ and, for an N-tuple $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N) \in \mathbb{Q}^N$, we set $H(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N) = \max H(\alpha_i)$. For a subset Z of \mathbb{R}^N and a positive real number T we define

$$Z(\mathbb{Q}, T) = \{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N) \in Z \cap \mathbb{Q}^N : H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N) \le T\}.$$
 (3-1)

The following theorem is a special case of [Pila 2011, Theorem 3.6] (see also [Pila 2009]). Here, if f and g are real functions of T, the notation $f(T) \ll_{Z,\epsilon} g(T)$ means that there exists a constant γ , depending on Z and ϵ , such that $f(T) \leq \gamma g(T)$ for T large enough.

Theorem 3.4 [Pila 2011]. Let $Z \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^M$ be a definable family, and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exist a $J = J(Z, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ and a collection of definable block families $B^{(j)} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N \times (\mathbb{R}^M \times \mathbb{R}^{M_j})$, for j = 1, ..., J, such that

- (1) each point in each fiber of $B^{(j)}$ is regular of dimension e_i ;
- (2) for each $(t, u) \in \mathbb{R}^M \times \mathbb{R}^{M_j}$, the fiber $B_{(t, u)}^{(j)}$ is contained in Z_t ;
- (3) for every $t \in \pi_2(Z)$, the set $Z_t(\mathbb{Q}, T)$ is contained in the union of $\ll_{Z,\epsilon} T^{\epsilon}$ definable blocks, each a fiber of one of the $B^{(j)}$.

4. Points lying on rational linear varieties

Let $n \ge 2$ be an integer and let $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n, f, g$ be holomorphic functions on a connected neighborhood I of some closed disc $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that

$$\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n$$
 are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{C}(f, g)$ on D , (4-1)

and that $f(\lambda)$ and $g(\lambda)$ are \mathbb{R} -linearly independent for every $\lambda \in D$.

For some positive real T, denote by D(T) the set of $\lambda \in D$ such that

$$\begin{cases}
a_1\ell_1(\lambda) + \dots + a_n\ell_n(\lambda) = a_{n+1}f(\lambda) + a_{n+2}g(\lambda), \\
b_1\ell_1(\lambda) + \dots + b_n\ell_n(\lambda) = b_{n+1}f(\lambda) + b_{n+2}g(\lambda),
\end{cases}$$
(4-2)

for some linearly independent vectors $(a_1, \ldots, a_n), (b_1, \ldots, b_n) \in (\mathbb{Z} \cap [-T, T])^n$ and some $a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, b_{n+1}, b_{n+2} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The following proposition gives the desired upper bound mentioned in the introduction. We postpone its proof until the end of this section after developing some auxiliary tools.

Proposition 4.1. Under the above hypotheses, for every $\epsilon > 0$, we have $|D(T)| \ll_{\epsilon} T^{\epsilon}$.

Define

$$\Delta = f\bar{g} - \bar{f}g,$$

which does not vanish on D, since $f(\lambda)$ and $g(\lambda)$ are \mathbb{R} -linearly independent for every $\lambda \in D$. Moreover, let

$$u_j = \frac{\ell_j \bar{g} - \overline{\ell_j} g}{\Delta}, \quad v_j = -\frac{\ell_j \bar{f} - \overline{\ell_j} f}{\Delta}.$$

One can easily check that these are real-valued and, furthermore, that we have

$$\ell_j = u_j f + v_j g.$$

If we view D and I as a subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 , then u_j and v_j are real analytic functions on I. Define

$$\Theta: D \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \quad \lambda \mapsto (u_1(\lambda), v_1(\lambda), \dots, u_n(\lambda), v_n(\lambda)),$$

and set $S = \Theta(D)$. This is a definable set in \mathbb{R}_{an} . In what follows, $(u_1, v_1, \dots, u_n, v_n)$ will just indicate coordinates in \mathbb{R}^{2n} .

For T > 0, we call S(T) the set of points of S of coordinates $(u_1, v_1, \ldots, u_n, v_n)$ such that there exist linearly independent vectors $(a_1, \ldots, a_{n+2}), (b_1, \ldots, b_{n+2})$ in \mathbb{Q}^{n+2} of height at most T with

$$\begin{cases}
a_1u_1 + \dots + a_nu_n = a_{n+1}, \\
a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n = a_{n+2}, \\
b_1u_1 + \dots + b_nu_n = b_{n+1}, \\
b_1v_1 + \dots + b_nv_n = b_{n+2}.
\end{cases}$$
(4-3)

Lemma 4.2. For every choice of $a_1, \ldots, a_{n+2}, b_1, \ldots, b_{n+2} \in \mathbb{R}$, not all zero, the subset of S for which (4-3) holds is finite.

Proof. By contradiction suppose that the subset of S of points satisfying (4-3) for some choice of coefficients is infinite. We can suppose that at least one a_j is nonzero. This would imply that there exists an infinite set $E \subseteq D$ on which, for every $\lambda \in E$,

$$a_1\ell_1(\lambda) + \cdots + a_n\ell_n(\lambda) = a_{n+1}f(\lambda) + a_{n+2}g(\lambda).$$

Since this relation holds on a set with an accumulation point, it must hold on all of D (see [Lang 1985, Chapter III, Theorem 1.2(ii)]), contradicting hypothesis (4-1). \square

The following proposition is the main tool used to prove Proposition 4.1.

Proposition 4.3. For every $\epsilon > 0$, we have $|S(T)| \ll_{\epsilon} T^{\epsilon}$.

Proof. We are counting points of *S* that lie on linear varieties of \mathbb{R}^{2n} defined by systems of the form (4-3).

Let us consider the set $W \subset \mathbb{R}^{4n+4}$ defined as

$$W = \{(u_1, v_1, \dots, u_n, v_n, a_1, \dots, a_{n+2}, b_1, \dots, b_{n+2}) \in S \times \mathbb{R}^{2n+4} :$$

$$(4-3) \text{ holds and } (a_1, \dots, a_{n+2}), (b_1, \dots, b_{n+2}) \text{ are linearly independent} \},$$

which is a definable set. Denote by π_1 the projection on S and by π_2 the projection on the last 2n+4 coordinates. Given a point L of $\pi_2(W)$, we write $\tau(L)$ for the set of points of S that lie on the affine subspace corresponding to L, i.e., $\tau(L) = \pi_1(\pi_2^{-1}(L))$. In other words, if we consider W as a family of subsets of \mathbb{R}^{2n} , then $\tau(L)$ is just the fiber W_L . This is a definable subset of S and it must be zero-dimensional by Lemma 4.2. By Proposition 3.2, there exists a positive integer γ_1 such that $|\tau(L)| \leq \gamma_1$, for every $L \in \pi_2(W)$. If $V \subseteq \pi_2(W)$, we write $\tau(V)$ for $\pi_1(\pi_2^{-1}(V))$.

Now, let us set $\widehat{W} = \pi_2(W) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2n+4}$. Recall the definition in (3-1) and note that $S(T) \subseteq \tau(\widehat{W}(\mathbb{Q}, T))$. By Theorem 3.4, there is a finite number of definable

block families such that, for every ϵ_1 , the set $\widehat{W}(\mathbb{Q}, T)$ is contained in the union of $\ll_{W,\epsilon_1} T^{\epsilon_1}$ definable blocks, each a fiber of one of these families. We have that $S(T) \subseteq \bigcup_B \tau(B)$, where the union is taken over the $\ll_{W,\epsilon_1} T^{\epsilon_1}$ definable blocks mentioned above.

Let us fix a definable block family U with fibers $U_t \subseteq \widehat{W}$. We claim that, for every ϵ_2 , each fiber U_t of U gives rise to $\ll_{U,\epsilon_2} T^{\epsilon_2}$ points on S(T), i.e., that $|\tau(U_t) \cap S(T)| \ll_{U,\epsilon_2} T^{\epsilon_2}$ for every fiber U_t . Once we prove this, the claim of the proposition follows easily after fixing ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 with $\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 = \epsilon$, e.g., $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = \sqrt{\epsilon}$.

We proceed by induction on the dimension e of the fibers of U. By Lemma 4.2, the claim is true for e = 0.

Suppose now e > 0. We denote by $B_{\eta}(L)$ the Euclidean ball centered in L of radius η and define, for $m = 1, \ldots, \gamma_1$,

$$V^{(m)} = \{(L, t) \in U : \text{there exist an } \eta > 0 \text{ and } A_1, \dots, A_m \in S \text{ such that,}$$
 for all $L' \in B_{\eta}(L) \cap U_t$, we have $\tau(L') = \{A_1, \dots, A_m\} \}.$

These are definable families and so is $V := \bigcup_{m=1}^{\gamma_1} V^{(m)}$, as it is a finite union of definable sets. Hence, by Proposition 3.2, there exists a γ_2 such that all fibers V_t have at most γ_2 connected components. It is clear that, for each L in the same connected component, $\tau(L)$ consists of the same set of not more than γ_1 points; therefore, each fiber V_t of V gives rise to at most $\gamma_1 \gamma_2$ points of S(T), i.e., $|S(T) \cap \tau(V_t)| \le \gamma_1 \gamma_2$.

Now we want to prove that all the fibers of $Z = U \setminus V$ have dimension < e. Suppose not and let L be an e-regular point of a fiber Z_t . We fix a ball $B_\eta(L)$ such that $B_\eta(L) \cap U_t$ is connected and contained in Z_t . We set $\{A_1, \ldots, A_m\}$ equal to $\bigcap_{L' \in B_\eta(L) \cap Z_t} \tau(L')$, i.e., the set of points of S that lie on all subspaces in $B_\eta(L) \cap Z_t$. By definition of Z, we know $\tau^{-1}(\{A_1, \ldots, A_m\}) \cap B_\eta(L) \cap Z_t$ must be of dimension < e; therefore, there exist an $L_0 \in B_\eta(L) \cap Z_t$ and an η_0 such that, for every $L' \in B_{\eta_0}(L_0) \cap Z_t$, we have $\tau(L') \supseteq \{A_1, \ldots, A_m\}$. Thus, we can define a function $f: B_{\eta_0}(L_0) \cap Z_t \to S$ that associates to L' a point in $\tau(L')$ different from A_1, \ldots, A_m . This is a definable function and, taking η_0 small enough (and possibly choosing a different L_0), we can also suppose that it is differentiable [van den Dries and Miller 1996, C.2 Lemma].

Now, assume the derivative of f is zero in all directions. Then f is constant and there exists a point $A_{m+1} \in \tau(L')$ for all $L' \in B_{\eta_0}(L_0) \cap Z_t$. We repeat this procedure of finding a point, a ball and a function like above and continue until this function has nonzero derivative in some direction. This procedure must stop because otherwise we would have a point L' with $|\tau(L')| > \gamma_1$, contradicting the above considerations.

We can therefore suppose that there are an $L_0 \in B_\eta(L) \cap Z_t$ and an η_0 such that f is differentiable on $B_{\eta_0}(L_0) \cap Z_t$ and has nonzero derivative in some direction. Now, recall that L_0 is an e-regular point of U_t and, by definition of definable block,

of a semialgebraic set that contains it. Therefore, $B_{\eta_0}(L_0) \cap U_t = B_{\eta_0}(L_0) \cap Z_t$ is semialgebraic. Thus, if we intersect it with a suitable linear variety, we get an algebraic curve segment C in $B_{\eta_0}(L_0) \cap Z_t$, passing through L_0 in the direction for which the derivative of f is nonzero. The function f is nonconstant on C. Consider $C' = f(C) \times C$. By definition of f, we know C' is a real-analytic curve segment in C. We know C' is an infinite subset of C.

Now, on D' the coordinate functions $a_1, \ldots, a_{n+2}, b_1, \ldots, b_{n+2}$ satisfy 2n+3 independent algebraic relations with coefficients in $\mathbb C$ and, combining the relations of (4-3), we have also

$$\begin{cases} a_1 \ell_1 + \dots + a_n \ell_n = a_{n+1} f + a_{n+2} g, \\ b_1 \ell_1 + \dots + b_n \ell_n = b_{n+1} f + b_{n+2} g. \end{cases}$$

Each of these two relations is independent of the previous 2n + 3 relations, and they are independent of each other because (a_1, \ldots, a_{n+2}) and (b_1, \ldots, b_{n+2}) are required to be linearly independent. Therefore, as the 3n + 4 functions a_1, \ldots, a_{n+2} , $b_1, \ldots, b_{n+2}, \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n$ satisfy 2n + 5 independent algebraic relations with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}[f, g]$ on the infinite set D', they continue to do so on I. Therefore, if $F := \mathbb{C}(f, g)$,

$$\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{deg}_F F(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n) < n.$$

This contradicts hypothesis (4-1).

We have just proved that there cannot be any e-regular point on any fiber of Z. We apply Pila's result (Theorem 3.4) again on Z. There is a finite number of definable block families such that, for each ϵ_3 and for each fiber Z_t , the set $Z_t(\mathbb{Q},T)$ is contained in the union of $\ll_{Z,\epsilon_3} T^{\epsilon_3}$ definable blocks, each a fiber of one of these families. The fibers of these families must have dimension < e; therefore, our inductive hypothesis implies that if U' is one of them, then, for every $\epsilon_4 > 0$, we have $|\tau(U'_{t'}) \cap S(T)| \ll_{U',\epsilon_4} T^{\epsilon_4}$ for every fiber $U'_{t'}$ of U'. This means that, after choosing $\epsilon_3 = \epsilon_4 = \sqrt{\epsilon_2}$, for each fiber Z_t , we have $|\tau(Z_t) \cap S(T)| \ll_{Z,\epsilon_2} T^{\epsilon_2}$. Now recall that we have $U_t = V_t \cup Z_t$ and that V_t gives rise to at most $\gamma_1 \gamma_2$ points of S(T). This proves our claim and the proposition.

Remark. We would like to point out that this last proposition can be deduced from recent work of Habegger and Pila [2014, Corollary 7.2].

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Since f and g are linearly independent, if $\lambda \in D$ satisfies (4-2) then (4-3) holds for $\Theta(\lambda)$. Now, since D is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^2 , each $\ell_j(D)$ is bounded and, therefore, if $\ell_1(\lambda), \ldots, \ell_n(\lambda), f(\lambda), g(\lambda)$ satisfy (4-2), then $|a_{n+1}|, |a_{n+2}|, |b_{n+1}|, |b_{n+2}|$ are bounded in terms of $|a_1|, \ldots, |a_n|, |b_1|, \ldots, |b_n|$ and thus of T. Therefore, $\Theta(\lambda) \in S(\gamma_3 T)$ for some γ_3 independent of T. Now, using Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 4.2, we see that there exists a γ_4 such that, for

any choice of $a_1, \ldots, a_{n+2}, b_1, \ldots, b_{n+2}$, there are at most γ_4 elements λ in D such that $\ell_1(\lambda), \ldots, \ell_n(\lambda), f(\lambda), g(\lambda)$ satisfy (4-2). Thus $|D(T)| \ll |S(\gamma_3 T)|$ and the claim follows from Proposition 4.3.

5. Periods and elliptic logarithms

In this section we introduce the functions to which we will apply Proposition 4.1. We follow [Masser and Zannier 2012].

It is well-known that there is an analytic isomorphism between $E_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C})$ and \mathbb{C}/L_{λ} , where L_{λ} is a rank-2 lattice in \mathbb{C} . Consider the hypergeometric function

$$F(t) = F(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1; t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2m)!^2}{2^{4m} m!^4} t^m,$$

and let

$$f(t) = \pi F(t)$$
 and $g(t) = \pi i F(1-t)$. (5-1)

Define

$$\Lambda = \{ t \in \mathbb{C} : |t| < 1, \ |1 - t| < 1 \}.$$

The functions f and g are well-defined and analytic in Λ , as functions of t. Moreover, they are well-defined as functions of c in $\lambda^{-1}(\Lambda) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C})$.

By [Husemöller 1987, Chapter 9, (6.1) Theorem, p. 179], $f(\lambda)$ and $g(\lambda)$ are basis elements of the period lattice L_{λ} of E_{λ} with respect to dX/(2Y). Therefore, if \exp_{λ} is the associated exponential map from \mathbb{C} to $E_{\lambda}(\mathbb{C})$, we have

$$\exp_{\lambda}(f(\lambda)) = \exp_{\lambda}(g(\lambda)) = O,$$

where O denotes the origin in E_{λ} . Let $P_j = (x_j, y_j)$, where x_j, y_j are coordinate functions in $\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{C})$. We can suppose, for every j, that $x_j \neq 0, 1, \lambda$ identically; otherwise the corresponding P_j would be identically 2-torsion, contradicting the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1.

Now, we want to define suitable functions $z_j(c)$ such that $\exp_{\lambda(c)}(z_j(c)) = P_j(c)$; in other words, we want z_j to be the elliptic logarithm of P_j .

Let \widehat{C} be the subset of points $c \in C$ such that $\lambda(c)$, $x_j(c) \neq 0$, $1, \infty$ and $x_j(c) \neq \lambda(c)$ for every j = 1, ..., n, and such that c is not a singular point or a point on which the differential of λ vanishes.

Note that, in this way, we exclude finitely many $c \in C$, and these are algebraic points of C. Moreover, on \widehat{C} , the coordinate function λ has everywhere a local inverse.

We now follow the construction of [Masser and Zannier 2012, p. 459]. Fix a point $c_* \in \widehat{C}$ and choose a path in the x_j -plane from $x_j(c_*)$ to ∞ and not passing through 0, 1 and $\lambda(c_*)$. We also fix a determination of $Y = \sqrt{X(X-1)(X-\lambda(c_*))}$ that is equal to $y_j(c_*)$ at $X = x_j(c_*)$. Therefore, the path corresponds to a path on

the elliptic curve $E_{\lambda(c_*)}$ from the point $P_j(c_*)$ to the origin O. Hence we can define $z_j(c_*)$ as the integral

$$z_j(\mathbf{c}_*) = \int_{x_j(\mathbf{c}_*)}^{\infty} \frac{dX}{2\sqrt{X(X-1)(X-\lambda(\mathbf{c}_*))}}.$$

We can extend it to a c close to c_* by

$$z_{j}(\mathbf{c}) = \int_{x_{j}(\mathbf{c}_{*})}^{\infty} \frac{dX}{2\sqrt{X(X-1)(X-\lambda(\mathbf{c}))}} + \int_{x_{j}(\mathbf{c}_{*})}^{x_{j}(\mathbf{c})} \frac{dX}{2\sqrt{X(X-1)(X-\lambda(\mathbf{c}))}}.$$

In fact, in the first integral on the right we use the same path fixed before and the integrand is determined by continuity from the previously chosen determination of Y. Hence, this term is an analytic function in $\lambda(c)$. For the second term, we can take any local path from $x_j(c_*)$ to $x_j(c)$. We can extend the integrand as a double power series in $\lambda(c) - \lambda(c_*)$ and in $X - x_j(c_*)$; the result will be a double power series in $\lambda(c) - \lambda(c_*)$ and $x_j(c) - x_j(c_*)$. Notice that we have, at any rate, $\exp_{\lambda(c)}(z_j(c)) = P_j(c)$ for every $j = 1, \ldots, n$.

In this way, fixing a $c_* \in \lambda^{-1}(\Lambda) \cap \widehat{C}$, the functions z_1, \ldots, z_n are well-defined on a small neighborhood N_* of c_* on C. Moreover, if we take N_* small enough, we can see them as analytic functions of λ on $\lambda^{-1}(N_*)$.

We will need the following transcendence result.

Lemma 5.1. The functions z_1, \ldots, z_n are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{C}(f, g)$ on N_* .

Proof. The functions z_1, \ldots, z_n , f, g are analytic functions of λ , linearly independent over \mathbb{Z} . Indeed, a relation $a_1z_1 + \cdots + a_nz_n = a_{n+1}f + a_{n+2}g$, with integer coefficients, would map via \exp_{λ} to a relation of the form (1-2) on N_* , and therefore on all of \mathcal{C} , which cannot hold by the hypothesis of the theorem. Moreover, if \wp_{λ} is the Weierstrass \wp -function associated to L_{λ} , the $\wp_{\lambda}(z_i)$ are algebraic functions of λ because $\wp_{\lambda}(z_j) = x_j - \frac{1}{3}(\lambda + 1)$ (see [Masser and Zannier 2010, (3.8), p. 1683]). Therefore, the hypotheses of [Bertrand 1990, Théorème 5, p. 136] are satisfied and we can apply it to get the claim.

We would like now to extend our functions f, g, z_1 , ..., z_n on $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$.

If $c \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$, one can continue f and g to a neighborhood N_c of c. In fact, if we choose $c \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ and a path from c_* to c lying in $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$, we can easily continue f and g along the path using (5-1).

To continue z_j from a point c_* to a c in \widehat{C} , it is sufficient to verify that if N_1 and N_2 are two open small subsets in \widehat{C} , with $N_1 \cap N_2$ connected, and if z_j has analytic definitions z_j' on N_1 and z_j'' on N_2 , then z_j has an analytic definition on the union $N_1 \cup N_2$. But we saw that $\exp_{\lambda}(z_j) = P_j$ for every $j = 1, \ldots, n$; hence on $N_1 \cap N_2$ we have $\exp_{\lambda}(z_j') = \exp_{\lambda}(z_j'')$. This means that there exist rational integers u, v

with $z''_j = z'_j + uf + vg$ on this intersection, and they must be constant there. Hence it is enough to change z''_i to $z''_i - uf - vg$ on N_2 .

Using the same path, it is clear that we can continue the function (f, g, z_1, \ldots, z_n) from a small neighborhood of c_* to a small neighborhood $N_c \subseteq \widehat{C}$ of c, and that the obtained function $(f^c, g^c, z_1^c, \ldots, z_n^c)$ is analytic on N_c . Moreover, the functions preserve algebraic independence, as the following lemma shows.

Lemma 5.2. The functions z_1^c, \ldots, z_n^c are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{C}(f^c, g^c)$ on N_c .

Proof. Any algebraic relation can be continued to a neighborhood N_* of some $c_* \in \lambda^{-1}(\Lambda)$, contradicting Lemma 5.1.

Furthermore, the lattice L_{λ} is still generated by f^{c} and g^{c} on N_{c} ; see Lemma 6.1 of [Masser and Zannier 2012] or Lemma 4.1 of [Masser and Zannier 2010].

Now fix $c \in C$ and $N_c \subseteq \widehat{C}$. Since we are avoiding singular points and points on which the differential of λ vanishes, λ gives an analytic isomorphism $\lambda : N_c \to \lambda(N_c)$. Therefore, we can view $z_1^c, \ldots, z_n^c, f^c, g^c$ as analytic functions on $\lambda(N_c)$.

6. Linear relations on a fixed curve

In this section we prove a general fact about linear relations on elliptic curves. For a point $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^N$, the absolute logarithmic Weil height is defined by

$$h(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_N) = \frac{1}{[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_N):\mathbb{Q}]} \sum_{v} \log \max\{1, |\alpha_1|_v,\ldots,|\alpha_N|_v\},\,$$

where v runs over a suitably normalized set of valuations of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N)$.

Let α be an algebraic number and consider the Legendre curve $E = E_{\alpha}$ defined by the equation $Y^2 = X(X-1)(X-\alpha)$. Let P_1, \ldots, P_n be linearly dependent points on E, defined over some finite extension K of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ of degree $\kappa = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$. Suppose that P_1, \ldots, P_n have Néron-Tate height \hat{h} at most q (for the definition of Néron-Tate height, see for example [Masser 1988, p. 255]). In case the P_1, \ldots, P_n are all torsion, i.e., $\hat{h}(P_i) = 0$ for all j, we set q = 1. We define

$$L(P_1, \ldots, P_n) = \{(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n : a_1 P_1 + \cdots + a_n P_n = 0\},\$$

a sublattice of \mathbb{Z}^n of some positive rank r. We want to show that $L(P_1, \ldots, P_n)$ has a set of generators with small max norm $|a| = \max\{|a_1|, \ldots, |a_n|\}$.

Lemma 6.1. Under the above hypotheses, there are generators a_1, \ldots, a_r of $L(P_1, \ldots, P_n)$ with

$$|a_i| \le \gamma_1 \kappa^{\gamma_2} (h(\alpha) + 1)^{2n} q^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1)},$$

for some positive constants γ_1 , γ_2 depending only on n.

Proof. The Weierstrass form $\widetilde{E} = \widetilde{E}_{\alpha}$ of $E = E_{\alpha}$ has equation

$$\widetilde{Y}^2 = 4\widetilde{X}^3 - g_2\widetilde{X} - g_3,$$

where $g_2 = \frac{4}{3}(\alpha^2 - \alpha + 1)$ and $g_3 = \frac{4}{27}(\alpha - 2)(\alpha + 1)(2\alpha - 1)$ (see [Masser and Zannier 2010, (3.7), p. 1683]). The isomorphism ϕ from E to \widetilde{E} is given by

$$\widetilde{X} = X - \frac{1}{3}(\alpha + 1), \quad \widetilde{Y} = 2Y.$$

Now, \widetilde{E} is clearly defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ and any linear relation $a_1P_1+\cdots+a_nP_n=O$ on E carries on to \widetilde{E} and vice versa. Moreover, the $Q_i=\phi(P_i)$ will have coordinates in K and the same Néron–Tate height of the P_i , and hence $\hat{h}(Q_i) \leq q$.

First, suppose that at least one of the points has infinite order. By Theorem E of [Masser 1988], if Q_1, \ldots, Q_n are linearly dependent points on $\widetilde{E}(K)$ of Néron–Tate height at most $q \geq \eta$, then $L(Q_1, \ldots, Q_n)$ is generated by vectors with max norm at most

$$n^{n-1}\omega\left(\frac{q}{\eta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1)}$$
,

where $\omega = |\widetilde{E}_{tors}(K)|$ and $\eta = \inf \hat{h}(P)$, for $P \in \widetilde{E}(K) \setminus \widetilde{E}_{tors}(K)$. We need to bound ω and η . The constants $\gamma_3, \ldots, \gamma_9$ are absolute constants.

For the first we use Théorème 1.2(i) of [David 1997]: choosing any archimedean v and noting that, by David's definition, $h_v(\widetilde{E}) \ge \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$, one has

$$\omega \le \gamma_3(\kappa h + \kappa \log \kappa),$$

where $h = \max\{1, h(j_{\widetilde{E}})\}$. Now, $j_{\widetilde{E}} = 2^8(\alpha^2 - \alpha + 1)^3/(\alpha^2(\alpha - 1)^2)$ (see for instance [Husemöller 1987], p. 83). Therefore, $h \le \gamma_4(h(\alpha) + 1)$ and

$$\omega \le \gamma_5(h(\alpha) + 1)\kappa^2. \tag{6-1}$$

For the lower bound on η , we use a result of Masser [1989a, Corollary 1]. In Masser's bound a constant depending on κ appears in the denominator. However, going through the proof one can see that this constant is polynomial in κ , as noted on [David 1997, p. 109]. Therefore,

$$\eta \ge \frac{\gamma_6}{w\kappa^{\gamma_7+3}(w+\log\kappa)^2} \ge \gamma_8\kappa^{-(\gamma_7+5)}w^{-3},$$

where $w = \max\{1, h(g_2), h(g_3)\}$. As g_2 and g_3 are polynomials in α , we have $w \le \gamma_9(h(\alpha) + 1)$. Consequently, $L(Q_1, \ldots, Q_n)$ will have generators of norms at most

$$\gamma_1 \kappa^{\gamma_2} (h(\alpha) + 1)^{2n} q^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1)},$$

with γ_1 , γ_2 depending only on n.

If all the points are torsion points, it is clear that one can take $|a_i| \le \omega$ and use (6-1).

7. Bounded height

In this section we see that the height of the points on the curve C for which there is at least one dependence relation is bounded and a few consequences of this fact.

Let k be a number field over which $\mathcal C$ is defined. Suppose also that the finitely many points we excluded from $\mathcal C$ to get $\widehat{\mathcal C}$, which are algebraic, are defined over k. Clearly, there are $f_1,\ldots,f_n\in k[T]$ such that $f_j(x_j,\lambda)=0$ for every j, identically on the curve.

Let \mathcal{C}' be the set of points of $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ such that P_1, \ldots, P_n satisfy two independent relations on the specialized curve and let $c_0 \in \mathcal{C}'$. Since \mathcal{C} is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, the $x_j(c_0)$ and $\lambda(c_0)$ must be algebraic, unless the P_j are identically linearly dependent, which we excluded by hypothesis. Then by Silverman's specialization theorem [1983] (see also of [Zannier 2012, Appendix C]) there exists a $\gamma_1 > 0$ such that

$$h(\lambda(\mathbf{c}_0)) \le \gamma_1. \tag{7-1}$$

We see now a few consequences of this bound. If $\delta > 0$ is a small real number, let us set

$$\Lambda_{\delta} = \{ t \in \mathbb{C} : |t| \le 1/\delta, |t - \lambda(c)| \ge \delta \text{ for all } c \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \widehat{\mathcal{C}} \}.$$

Lemma 7.1. There is a positive δ such that there are at least $\frac{1}{2}[k(\lambda(\mathbf{c}_0)):k]$ different k-embeddings σ of $k(\lambda(\mathbf{c}_0))$ in \mathbb{C} such that $\sigma(\lambda(\mathbf{c}_0))$ lies in Λ_{δ} for all $\mathbf{c}_0 \in \mathcal{C}'$.

Remark. We would like to point out that, as suggested by the referee, it might be possible to avoid the restriction to a compact domain and the use of the previous lemma by exploiting the work of Peterzil and Starchenko [2004], who proved that it is possible to define the Weierstrass \wp function globally in the structure $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$.

Lemma 7.2. There exist positive constants γ_2 , γ_3 such that, for every $c_0 \in C'$ and every j = 1, ..., n, we have

$$\hat{h}(P_j(\boldsymbol{c}_0)) \leq \gamma_2,$$

and the $P_i(c_0)$ are defined over some number field $K \supseteq k(\lambda(c_0))$ with

$$[K:\mathbb{Q}] \leq \gamma_3[k(\lambda(\mathbf{c}_0)):k].$$

Proof. Recall that each $x_j(c_0)$ is a root of $f_j(X, \lambda(c_0))$. This already implies the second statement. Now, we have $h(P_j(c_0)) \le \gamma_4(h(\lambda(c_0)) + 1)$ and, using the work of Zimmer [1976], we have $\hat{h}(P_j(c_0)) \le h(P_j(c_0)) + \gamma_5(h(\lambda(c_0)) + 1)$. The first claim now follows from (7-1).

8. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We want to show that there are at most finitely many c on the curve such that $P_1(c), \ldots, P_n(c)$ satisfy two linearly independent relations on $E_{\lambda(c)}$. By Northcott's theorem [1949] and (7-1), we only need to bound the degree d of $\lambda(c)$ over k.

Let $c_0 \in \mathcal{C}'$, $\lambda_0 = \lambda(c_0)$ and $d_0 = [k(\lambda(c_0)) : k]$. First, by Lemma 7.1, we can choose δ , independent of c_0 , such that λ_0 has at least $d_0/2$ conjugates in Λ_δ . Now, since Λ_δ is compact, it can be covered by γ_2 closed discs $D_{c_1}, \ldots, D_{c_{\gamma_2}} \subseteq \lambda(\widehat{\mathcal{C}})$, where D_{c_i} is centered in $\lambda(c_i)$, for some $c_i \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$.

We can suppose that the closed disc D_{c_1} contains at least $d_0/(2\gamma_2)$ conjugates λ_0^{σ} . Now, each such conjugate comes from a $c_0^{\sigma} \in N_{c_1}$ and the corresponding points $P_1(c_0^{\sigma}), \ldots, P_n(c_0^{\sigma})$ satisfy the same linear relations. So there are linearly independent $(a_1, \ldots, a_n), (b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ such that

$$a_1 P_1(\mathbf{c}_0^{\sigma}) + \dots + a_n P_n(\mathbf{c}_0^{\sigma}) = b_1 P_1(\mathbf{c}_0^{\sigma}) + \dots + b_n P_n(\mathbf{c}_0^{\sigma}) = 0$$
 (8-1)

on $E_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{c}_{0}^{\sigma})$.

By Lemma 7.2, $\hat{h}(P_j(c_0^{\sigma}))$ is at most γ_3 and the points are defined over some finite extension of $k(\lambda(c_0^{\sigma}))$ of degree at most $\gamma_4 d_0$. Therefore, applying Lemma 6.1 and recalling (7-1), we can suppose that the a_j and b_j are in absolute value less than or equal to $\gamma_5 d_0^{\gamma_6}$.

Now, recall that, in Section 5, on $\lambda(N_{c_1}) \supseteq D_{c_1}$, we defined f^{c_1} , g^{c_1} to be generators of the period lattice L_{λ} and the elliptic logarithms $z_1^{c_1}, \ldots, z_n^{c_1}$ such that

$$\exp_{\lambda}(z_j^{c_1}(\lambda)) = P_j(\lambda) \tag{8-2}$$

on $\lambda(N_{c_1})$. We know that $z_1^{c_1}, \ldots, z_n^{c_1}, f^{c_1}, g^{c_1}$ are holomorphic functions on a neighborhood of D_{c_1} , with $f^{c_1}(\lambda)$ and $g^{c_1}(\lambda)$ linearly independent over \mathbb{R} for every $\lambda \in D_{c_1}$, and, by Lemma 5.2, that $z_1^{c_1}, \ldots, z_n^{c_1}$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{C}(f^{c_1}, g^{c_1})$ on D_{c_1} . Therefore, the hypotheses of Proposition 4.1 are satisfied.

By (8-1) and (8-2), we have

$$a_1 z_1^{c_1}(\lambda_0^{\sigma}) + \dots + a_n z_n^{c_1}(\lambda_0^{\sigma}) \equiv b_1 z_1^{c_1}(\lambda_0^{\sigma}) + \dots + b_n z_n^{c_1}(\lambda_0^{\sigma}) \equiv 0 \pmod{L_{\lambda_0^{\sigma}}}.$$

Therefore, there are a_{n+1} , a_{n+2} , b_{n+1} , $b_{n+2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} a_1 z_1^{c_1}(\lambda_0^{\sigma}) + \dots + a_n z_n^{c_1}(\lambda_0^{\sigma}) = a_{n+1} f^{c_1}(\lambda_0^{\sigma}) + a_{n+2} g^{c_1}(\lambda_0^{\sigma}), \\ b_1 z_1^{c_1}(\lambda_0^{\sigma}) + \dots + b_n z_n^{c_1}(\lambda_0^{\sigma}) = b_{n+1} f^{c_1}(\lambda_0^{\sigma}) + b_{n+2} g^{c_1}(\lambda_0^{\sigma}). \end{cases}$$

Thus all $\lambda_0^{\sigma} \in D_{c_1}$ are in $D_{c_1}(\gamma_5 d_0^{\gamma_6})$ (recall the definition of D(T) just above Proposition 4.1).

By Proposition 4.1, we have $|D_{c_1}(\gamma_5 d_0^{\gamma_6})| \ll_{\epsilon} d_0^{\gamma_6 \epsilon}$. But by our choice of D_{c_1} we have at least $d_0/(2\gamma_2)$ points in $D_{c_1}(\gamma_5 d_0^{\gamma_6})$. Therefore, if we choose $\epsilon < 1/\gamma_6$ we have a contradiction when d_0 is large enough.

We just deduced that d_0 is bounded and, by (7-1) and Northcott's theorem, we have finiteness of the possible values of $\lambda(c_0)$, which proves Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Umberto Zannier for his support, Daniel Bertrand, Philipp Habegger, Lars Kühne, Vincenzo Mantova and Harry Schmidt for useful discussions and the referee for very helpful comments that improved this article.

References

[Bertrand 1990] D. Bertrand, "Extensions de *D*-modules et groupes de Galois différentiels", pp. 125–141 in *p-adic analysis* (Trento, 1989), edited by F. Baldassarri et al., Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1454**, Springer, Berlin, 1990. MR 92c:12006 Zbl 0732.13008

[Bertrand 2011] D. Bertrand, "Special points and Poincaré bi-extensions, with an appendix by Bas Edixhoven", preprint, 2011. arXiv 1104.5178v1

[Bertrand et al. 2016] D. Bertrand, D. W. Masser, A. Pillay, and U. Zannier, "Relative Manin–Mumford for semi-abelian surfaces", *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* (online publication January 2016), 1–39.

[Bombieri et al. 1999] E. Bombieri, D. W. Masser, and U. Zannier, "Intersecting a curve with algebraic subgroups of multiplicative groups", *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **1999**:20 (1999), 1119–1140. MR 2001c:11081 Zbl 0938.11031

[Bombieri et al. 2007] E. Bombieri, D. W. Masser, and U. Zannier, "Anomalous subvarieties: structure theorems and applications", *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2007**:19 (2007), Art. ID rnm057. MR 2008k:11060 Zbl 1145.11049

[Bombieri et al. 2008] E. Bombieri, D. W. Masser, and U. Zannier, "Intersecting a plane with algebraic subgroups of multiplicative groups", *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.* (5) **7**:1 (2008), 51–80. MR 2009b:11109 Zbl 1150.11022

[Capuano 2014] L. Capuano, *Unlikely intersections and applications to Diophantine geometry*, thesis, Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 2014.

[Capuano et al. 2016] L. Capuano, D. W. Masser, J. Pila, and U. Zannier, "Rational points on Grassmannians and unlikely intersections in tori", *Bull. London. Math. Soc.* 48:1 (2016), 141–154.

[David 1997] S. David, "Points de petite hauteur sur les courbes elliptiques", *J. Number Theory* **64**:1 (1997), 104–129. MR 98k:11067 Zbl 0873.11035

[van den Dries 1998] L. van den Dries, *Tame topology and o-minimal structures*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **248**, Cambridge University Press, 1998. MR 99j:03001 Zbl 0953.03045

[van den Dries and Miller 1996] L. van den Dries and C. Miller, "Geometric categories and o-minimal structures", *Duke Math. J.* **84**:2 (1996), 497–540. MR 97i:32008 Zbl 0889.03025

[Galateau 2010] A. Galateau, "Une minoration du minimum essentiel sur les variétés abéliennes", *Comment. Math. Helv.* **85**:4 (2010), 775–812. MR 2011i:11110 Zbl 1250.11071

[Habegger 2013] P. Habegger, "Special points on fibered powers of elliptic surfaces", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **685** (2013), 143–179. MR 3181568 Zbl 1318.14023

[Habegger and Pila 2014] P. Habegger and J. Pila, "O-minimality and certain atypical intersections", preprint, 2014. To appear in *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* arXiv 1409.0771

- [Hartshorne 1977] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **52**, Springer, New York, 1977. MR 57 #3116 Zbl 0367.14001
- [Husemöller 1987] D. Husemöller, *Elliptic curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **111**, Springer, New York, 1987. MR 88h:11039 Zbl 0605.14032
- [Lang 1985] S. Lang, *Complex analysis*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics **103**, Springer, New York, 1985. MR 86j:30001 Zbl 0562.30001
- [Masser 1988] D. W. Masser, "Linear relations on algebraic groups", pp. 248–262 in *New advances in transcendence theory* (Durham, 1986), edited by A. Baker, Cambridge University Press, 1988. MR 89j:11065 Zbl 0656.10031
- [Masser 1989a] D. W. Masser, "Counting points of small height on elliptic curves", *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **117**:2 (1989), 247–265. MR 90k:11068 Zbl 0723.14026
- [Masser 1989b] D. W. Masser, "Specializations of finitely generated subgroups of abelian varieties", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **311**:1 (1989), 413–424. MR 90d:11073 Zbl 0673.14016
- [Masser and Zannier 2008] D. W. Masser and U. Zannier, "Torsion anomalous points and families of elliptic curves", *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **346**:9-10 (2008), 491–494. MR 2009j:11089 Zbl 1197.11066
- [Masser and Zannier 2010] D. W. Masser and U. Zannier, "Torsion anomalous points and families of elliptic curves", *Amer. J. Math.* **132**:6 (2010), 1677–1691. MR 2012d:11133 Zbl 1225.11078
- [Masser and Zannier 2012] D. W. Masser and U. Zannier, "Torsion points on families of squares of elliptic curves", *Math. Ann.* **352**:2 (2012), 453–484. MR 2012k:11076 Zbl 1306.11047
- [Masser and Zannier 2014] D. W. Masser and U. Zannier, "Torsion points on families of products of elliptic curves", *Adv. Math.* **259** (2014), 116–133. MR 3197654 Zbl 1318.11075
- [Masser and Zannier 2015] D. W. Masser and U. Zannier, "Torsion points on families of simple abelian surfaces and Pell's equation over polynomial rings (with an appendix by E. V. Flynn)", *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* 17:9 (2015), 2379–2416. MR 3420511 Zbl 06495638
- [Maurin 2008] G. Maurin, "Courbes algébriques et équations multiplicatives", *Math. Ann.* **341**:4 (2008), 789–824. MR 2009g:14026 Zbl 1154.14017
- [Northcott 1949] D. G. Northcott, "An inequality in the theory of arithmetic on algebraic varieties", *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **45** (1949), 502–509. MR 11,390a Zbl 0035.30701
- [Peterzil and Starchenko 2004] Y. Peterzil and S. Starchenko, "Uniform definability of the Weierstrass & functions and generalized tori of dimension one", *Selecta Math.* (N.S.) **10**:4 (2004), 525–550. MR 2006d:03063 Zbl 1071.03022
- [Pila 2004] J. Pila, "Integer points on the dilation of a subanalytic surface", Q. J. Math. 55:2 (2004), 207–223. MR 2005h:32015 Zbl 1111.32004
- [Pila 2009] J. Pila, "On the algebraic points of a definable set", *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **15**:1 (2009), 151–170. MR 2010h:11109 Zbl 1218.11068
- [Pila 2011] J. Pila, "O-minimality and the André–Oort conjecture for \mathbb{C}^n ", *Ann. of Math.* (2) **173**:3 (2011), 1779–1840. MR 2012j:11129 Zbl 1243.14022
- [Pila and Zannier 2008] J. Pila and U. Zannier, "Rational points in periodic analytic sets and the Manin–Mumford conjecture", *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei* (9) *Mat. Appl.* **19**:2 (2008), 149–162. MR 2009d:11110 Zbl 1164.11029
- [Pink 2005] R. Pink, "A common generalization of the conjectures of André-Oort, Manin-Mumford and Mordell-Lang", preprint, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule, Zürich, April 17, 2005, available at https://people.math.ethz.ch/pink/ftp/AOMMML.pdf.

[Raynaud 1983] M. Raynaud, "Courbes sur une variété abélienne et points de torsion", *Invent. Math.* **71**:1 (1983), 207–233. MR 84c:14021 Zbl 0564.14020

[Rémond and Viada 2003] G. Rémond and E. Viada, "Problème de Mordell-Lang modulo certaines sous-variétés abéliennes", *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2003**:35 (2003), 1915–1931. MR 2004h:11054 Zbl 1072.11038

[Silverman 1983] J. H. Silverman, "Heights and the specialization map for families of abelian varieties", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **342** (1983), 197–211. MR 84k:14033 Zbl 0505.14035

[Silverman 2009] J. H. Silverman, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics **106**, Springer, Dordrecht, 2009. MR 2010i:11005 Zbl 1194.11005

[Stoll 2014] M. Stoll, "Simultaneous torsion in the Legendre family", preprint, 2014. arXiv 1410. 7070v1

[Viada 2008] E. Viada, "The intersection of a curve with a union of translated codimension-two subgroups in a power of an elliptic curve", *Algebra Number Theory* **2**:3 (2008), 249–298. MR 2009f:11079 Zbl 1168.11024

[Zannier 2012] U. Zannier, Some problems of unlikely intersections in arithmetic and geometry, Annals of Mathematics Studies 181, Princeton University Press, 2012. MR 2918151 Zbl 1246.14003

[Zilber 2002] B. Zilber, "Exponential sums equations and the Schanuel conjecture", *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **65**:1 (2002), 27–44. MR 2002m:11104 Zbl 1030.11073

[Zimmer 1976] H. G. Zimmer, "On the difference of the Weil height and the Néron-Tate height", *Math. Z.* **147**:1 (1976), 35–51. MR 54 #7476 Zbl 0303.14003

Communicated by Jonathan Pila

Received 2015-01-29 Revised 2015-10-01 Accepted 2015-11-27

fbarroero@gmail.com Classe di Scienze, Scuola Normale Superiore,

Piazza dei Cavalieri 7, I-56126 Pisa, Italy

laura.capuano1987@gmail.com Classe di Scienze, Scuola Normale Superiore,

Piazza dei Cavalieri 7, I-56126 Pisa, Italy

Algebra & Number Theory

msp.org/ant

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Bjorn Poonen

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Cambridge, USA

EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR

David Eisenbud

University of California

Berkeley, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Georgia Benkart	University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA	Susan Montgomery	University of Southern California, USA
Dave Benson	University of Aberdeen, Scotland	Shigefumi Mori	RIMS, Kyoto University, Japan
Richard E. Borcherds	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Raman Parimala	Emory University, USA
John H. Coates	University of Cambridge, UK	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
J-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Sud, France	Anand Pillay	University of Notre Dame, USA
Brian D. Conrad	Stanford University, USA	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
Hélène Esnault	Freie Universität Berlin, Germany	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Hubert Flenner	Ruhr-Universität, Germany	Joseph H. Silverman	Brown University, USA
Sergey Fomin	University of Michigan, USA	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Vasudevan Srinivas	Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, India
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	J. Toby Stafford	University of Michigan, USA
Joseph Gubeladze	San Francisco State University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Roger Heath-Brown	Oxford University, UK	Michel van den Bergh	Hasselt University, Belgium
Craig Huneke	University of Virginia, USA	Marie-France Vignéras	Université Paris VII, France
Kiran S. Kedlaya	Univ. of California, San Diego, USA	Kei-Ichi Watanabe	Nihon University, Japan
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Efim Zelmanov	University of California, San Diego, USA
Yuri Manin	Northwestern University, USA	Shou-Wu Zhang	Princeton University, USA
Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausan	ne	

PRODUCTION

production@msp.org

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/ant for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2016 is US \$290/year for the electronic version, and \$485/year (+\$55, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1944-7833 electronic, 1937-0652 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing

http://msp.org/

© 2016 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 10 No. 1 2016

Stable sets of primes in number fields ALEXANDER IVANOV	1
Hopf–Galois structures arising from groups with unique subgroup of order <i>p</i> Тімотну КонL	37
On tensor factorizations of Hopf algebras MARC KEILBERG and PETER SCHAUENBURG	61
Extension theorems for reductive group schemes ADRIAN VASIU	89
Actions of some pointed Hopf algebras on path algebras of quivers RYAN KINSER and CHELSEA WALTON	117
On the image of the Galois representation associated to a non-CM Hida family JACLYN LANG	155
Linear relations in families of powers of elliptic curves FABRIZIO BARROERO and LAURA CAPUANO	195