

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 17
2023
No. 1

Multiplicities of jumping numbers

Swaraj Pande



Multiplicities of jumping numbers

Swaraj Pande

We study multiplicities of jumping numbers of multiplier ideals in a smooth variety of arbitrary dimension. We prove that the multiplicity function is a quasipolynomial, hence proving that the Poincaré series is a rational function. We further study when the various components of the quasipolynomial have the highest possible degree and relate it to jumping numbers contributed by Rees valuations. Finally, we study the special case of monomial ideals.

1. Introduction

Let X be a smooth variety over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Associated to each closed subscheme Z of X is a family of ideals, called the *multiplier ideals* ([Definition 2.2](#)), that quantify the singularities of Z . The multiplier ideals are indexed by a positive real parameter c (and are denoted by $\mathcal{J}(c \cdot Z)$), and form a decreasing family of ideals of \mathcal{O}_X .

As c varies over the positive real numbers, the stalks $\mathcal{J}(c \cdot Z)_x$ of these ideals at any point x change exactly at a discrete set of rational numbers c_i called the *jumping numbers* of Z at x ([Definition 2.3](#)). So we get a descending chain of ideals

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \supseteq \mathcal{J}(c_1 \cdot Z)_x \supseteq \mathcal{J}(c_2 \cdot Z)_x \supseteq \cdots \quad (1-1)$$

The jumping numbers, first defined and studied in [[Ein et al. 2004](#)], are interesting invariants of the singularity of Z at x . For example, the smallest jumping number is the well-known log-canonical threshold (lct) of the subscheme. The log-canonical threshold, and more generally any jumping number in the interval $[\text{lct}, \text{lct} + 1)$, is a root of $b_Z(-s)$, where $b_Z(s)$ is the famous *Bernstein–Sato polynomial* of Z ; see [[Kollár 1997](#); [Ein et al. 2004](#); [Budur et al. 2006](#)]. Jumping numbers were connected to the Hodge spectrum of a hypersurface by Budur [[2003](#)].

Jumping numbers have been studied extensively in the case when the dimension of X is two, starting with [[Smith and Thompson 2007](#)]. For example, explicit formulas for the jumping numbers have been calculated in [[Kuwata 1999](#); [Galindo et al. 2016](#); [Järvilehto 2011](#); [Naie 2009](#); [Hyry and Järvilehto 2018](#)]. More algorithms for computing jumping numbers can be found in [[Tucker 2010](#); [Alberich-Carramiñana et al. 2016](#); [2017](#)].

This project was partially supported by NSF grants DMS 1801697 and 2101075.

MSC2020: primary 14F18; secondary 13D40.

Keywords: multiplier ideals, jumping numbers, Poincaré series, Rees valuations, monomial ideals.

The purpose of this paper is to study jumping numbers in higher dimensions. We do this by studying a natural refinement of the jumping numbers, namely *multiplicities of jumping numbers*, first introduced in [Ein et al. 2004]. More precisely, fix a closed subscheme Z of a smooth variety X , and an irreducible component Z_1 of Z . All the (stalks of) multiplier ideals of Z will have finite colength in the local ring at Z_1 . So any jumping number c at Z_1 has a natural *multiplicity*, $m(c)$, that measures the change in the multiplier ideal at c , namely

$$m(c) := \lambda(\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^{c-\varepsilon})_x / \mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^c)_x) \quad \text{for } 0 < \varepsilon \ll 1. \quad (1-2)$$

Here, $\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^c)_x$ denotes the stalk of the multiplier ideal of Z at x , the generic point of Z_1 in X , and λ denotes the length as an $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ module. If the real number c is not a jumping number, we define its multiplicity to be zero, compatibly with (1-2).

For any jumping number c , we obtain another jumping number by adding any positive integer, so it is natural to consider the sequence of multiplicities of the jumping numbers $c + n$, as n ranges through the natural numbers. Our first main theorem is:

Theorem 3.3. *Let Z be a closed subscheme of X and Z_1 an irreducible component of Z . For any positive real number c and natural number n , let $m(c + n)$ denote the multiplicity of $c + n$ of Z along Z_1 . Then the sequence of multiplicities*

$$(m(c + n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

is a polynomial function of n of degree less than the codimension of Z_1 in X .

Theorem 3.3 allows us to interpret the multiplicity function $m(c)$ as a quasipolynomial in c ; see Corollary 3.4.

Theorem 3.3 generalizes the work of Alberich-Carramiñana, Álvarez Montaner, Dachs-Cadefau and González-Alonso when X is a surface [Alberich-Carramiñana et al. 2017]. They compute the multiplicities explicitly in terms of the intersection matrix of the exceptional divisors in a log resolution. In higher dimension, we instead use the numerical intersection theory of divisors as developed by Kleiman [1966].

The polynomial of Theorem 3.3 encodes interesting information about the divisors relevant for computing jumping numbers as developed in [Smith and Thompson 2007]. For example, its degree tells us precisely whether (possibly some translate of) the jumping number is contributed by a Rees valuation:

Theorem 4.6. *For a closed subscheme Z of X and any positive real number c , consider the multiplicity polynomial $m(c + n)$ along an irreducible component Z_1 of codimension h in X . Then the degree of this polynomial is equal to $h - 1$ if and only if $c + h - 1$ is a jumping number contributed — in the sense of [Smith and Thompson 2007] — by some Rees valuation of Z centered at Z_1 .*

Theorem 4.6 motivates the term *Rees coefficient* of the jumping number c for the coefficient of n^{h-1} in the polynomial $m(c + n)$ from Theorem 3.3. The Rees coefficient is not necessarily the “leading coefficient” of the polynomial $m(c + n)$ because it can be zero; see Example 4.9.

We prove several applications of Theorem 4.6. For example, we show that certain jumping numbers of Z can be computed directly from the normalized blowup of Z without finding a full log resolution; see

[Corollary 4.11](#) for a precise statement. As another consequence, we answer a question posed by Joaquín Moraga: we prove that every divisorial valuation over X contributes a jumping number for some divisor; see [Theorem 4.15](#).

The Rees coefficient of a jumping number c is the same as the Rees coefficient of any translate of c by an integer. So we can consider the Rees coefficient of a class of jumping numbers modulo \mathbb{Z} ; there are finitely many such classes, by discreteness of jumping numbers. We prove in [Theorem 4.17](#) that the sum of the Rees coefficients for the distinct classes of jumping numbers modulo \mathbb{Z} is equal to the *Hilbert–Samuel multiplicity* of Z at Z_1 (scaled by $1/(h - 1)!$). So the Rees coefficients can be thought of as refinements of the Hilbert–Samuel multiplicity.

In [Section 5](#), we study the special case of point schemes defined by *monomial ideals*. We prove formulas for the multiplicities and for the Rees coefficients of each jumping number in this case ([Theorem 5.3](#)). In particular, we see that the Rees coefficient of every jumping number of a monomial scheme is positive ([Corollary 5.5](#)). Thus, [Theorem 4.6](#) implies that, for monomial ideals, all jumping numbers (after translation by some integer) are contributed by Rees valuations.

Finally, in [Section 6](#), we examine a generating function for multiplicities of a jumping number. For an irreducible component Z_1 of a fixed subscheme Z , we define a Poincaré series from the multiplicities $m(c)$, and prove that it is a rational function in a suitable sense; see [Theorem 6.1](#). This generalizes the previous results from [[Galindo and Monserrat 2010](#)] and [[Alberich-Carramiñana et al. 2017](#)], valid for point schemes in dimension two. [Theorem 6.1](#), which is valid in any dimension, was independently proved by Álvarez Montaner and Núñez-Betancourt [[2022](#)] using different methods.

2. Review of multiplier ideals and intersection theory

Throughout this paper, we work over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero.

2A. Multiplier ideals and jumping numbers. Let X be a smooth variety over k . Fix a coherent ideal sheaf \mathfrak{a} of \mathcal{O}_X and let Z be the subscheme defined by \mathfrak{a} . We will now recall the definition of the multiplier ideals $\mathcal{J}(c \cdot Z)$, interchangeably denoted by $\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^c)$, referring the reader to [[Lazarsfeld 2004b](#)] for details.

Definition 2.1. A *log resolution* of the ideal \mathfrak{a} is a projective, birational map $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ such that

- (a) Y is smooth,
- (b) $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(-F)$, where F is an effective divisor, and
- (c) $F + K_{Y|X}$ has simple normal crossing support, where $K_{Y|X}$ denotes the relative canonical divisor of μ .

Such log resolutions exist by Hironaka’s theorem on resolution of singularities.

Definition 2.2. For any positive real number c , the *multiplier ideal* of \mathfrak{a} at c is defined as

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^c) = \mu_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y|X} - \lfloor cF \rfloor)),$$

where $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ is any log resolution of \mathfrak{a} and F is an effective divisor with $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(-F)$. The multiplier ideal is independent of the choice of the log resolution.

As the positive real parameter c increases, the stalks of the multiplier ideal $\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^c)_x$ at any point x decrease. The numbers c at which the stalks change are called the *jumping numbers* of the ideal \mathfrak{a} (or Z) at x . More precisely:

Definition 2.3 [Ein et al. 2004]. A positive real number c is a *jumping number* of the subscheme Z at a point $x \in X$ if

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^c)_x \subsetneq \mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^{c-\varepsilon})_x \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

It follows from the definition of the multiplier ideal in terms of a log resolution that the jumping numbers of any subscheme Z are discrete and rational (see Section 2A1 below).

2A1. Candidate jumping numbers. Let $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ be any log resolution of \mathfrak{a} with $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(-F)$ for an effective divisor F . Suppose $F = \sum_i a_i D_i$ for prime divisors D_i . Then we call the numbers of the form n/a_i for natural numbers n the *candidate jumping numbers* of \mathfrak{a} . These are precisely the values of c where the divisor $K_{Y|X} - \lfloor cF \rfloor$ changes, and hence the set of jumping numbers at any point is certainly contained in the set of candidate jumping numbers. The candidate jumping numbers make it clear that the set of jumping numbers is rational and discrete. Moreover, there is a uniform ε such that $c_{i+1} - c_i > \varepsilon$ for any two consecutive jumping numbers c_i and c_{i+1} .

Note that this definition is slightly different from the candidate jumping numbers as defined in [Smith and Thompson 2007], where they were defined to be the set of rational numbers where the divisor $K_{Y|X} - \lfloor cF \rfloor$ changes and is not effective. The main reason for this deviation is that now for every candidate jumping number c , its fractional part $\{c\}$ is also a candidate jumping number. Hence, every jumping number c can be written as $\{c\} + \lfloor c \rfloor$, i.e., an integer translate of a candidate jumping number that lies in the interval $(0, 1]$.

We now recall two of the main results from the theory of multiplier ideals in the form that we will use them. The reference for these results is [Lazarsfeld 2004b, Chapter 9].

Theorem 2.4 (local vanishing theorem). *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ be an ideal, and $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ be a log resolution of \mathfrak{a} and let $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(-F)$ for an effective divisor F . Then for any $c > 0$, the following higher direct images vanish:*

$$R^j \mu_* \mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y|X} - \lfloor cF \rfloor) = 0 \quad \text{for } j > 0.$$

Theorem 2.5 (Skoda's theorem). *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ be an ideal, $c > 0$ be any real number and $m \geq d := \dim(X)$ be an integer. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^{c+m}) = \mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^{c+m-1}).$$

2B. Numerical intersection theory. Now we review Kleiman's numerical intersection theory of divisors as developed in [Kleiman 1966] and also explained in [Debarre 2001]. We only state the main facts that we need here.

Let Y be a proper scheme of dimension d over a field L . Let $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_r$ be line bundles on Y and \mathcal{F} a coherent sheaf on Y . Then we have the following theorem that Kleiman [1966] attributes to Snapper:

Theorem 2.6. Consider the function $f(m_1, \dots, m_r) = \chi(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1^{m_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{L}_r^{m_r})$ for $m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{Z}$, where χ denotes the Euler characteristic (over L). Then there is a polynomial $P(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ with coefficients in \mathbb{Q} and of total degree $\leq \dim \text{Supp}(\mathcal{F})$ such that $P(m_1, \dots, m_r) = f(m_1, \dots, m_r)$ for all $m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 2.7 [Kleiman 1966, Section 2, Definition 1]. Suppose that $\dim \text{Supp}(\mathcal{F}) \leq r$, and then define the intersection number $(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{L}_1 \dots \mathcal{L}_r)$ to be the coefficient of the term $x_1 \dots x_r$ in the polynomial $P(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ as in Theorem 2.6. In particular, if $\dim \text{Supp}(\mathcal{F}) < r$, then $(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{L}_1 \dots \mathcal{L}_r) = 0$, since the degree of $P(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ is strictly less than r by Theorem 2.6.

For any coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} with $\dim(\text{Supp}(\mathcal{F})) \leq r$, this defines an integer-valued multilinear form on $\text{Pic}(Y)^r$. If a line bundle \mathcal{L} is defined by a Cartier divisor D , then we sometimes write D instead of \mathcal{L} in the intersection form. We will use the following properties of the intersection numbers:

Proposition 2.8. Let Y be a proper scheme over a field L of dimension d . Let $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_r$ be line bundles on Y , D an effective Cartier divisor and \mathcal{F} a coherent sheaf on Y . Suppose $\dim \text{Supp}(\mathcal{F}) = r$. Then:

- (1) If \mathcal{F} is a locally free sheaf (in this case $r = d$), then

$$(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{L}_1 \dots \mathcal{L}_{d-1} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y(D)) = (\mathcal{F}|_D; \mathcal{L}_1|_D \dots \mathcal{L}_{d-1}|_D).$$

- (2) If \mathcal{F}' and \mathcal{F}'' are two other coherent sheaves and there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0,$$

then $(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{L}_1 \dots \mathcal{L}_r) = (\mathcal{F}'; \mathcal{L}_1 \dots \mathcal{L}_r) + (\mathcal{F}''; \mathcal{L}_1 \dots \mathcal{L}_r)$.

- (3) If \mathcal{L} is any line bundle on Y and $P(x)$ is the polynomial such that $P(n) = \chi(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (which exists by Theorem 2.6 above), then

$$(\mathcal{F}; \underbrace{\mathcal{L} \dots \mathcal{L}}_{r \text{ times}}) = \alpha r!,$$

where α is the coefficient of x^r in $P(x)$.

- (4) Let $V = \text{Supp}(\mathcal{F})$, and let V_1, \dots, V_s be its irreducible components. Let $l_i = \text{length}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{V_i})$, where \mathcal{O}_{V_i} is the stalk of \mathcal{O}_Y at the generic point of V_i . Then, assuming $r \geq \dim(V)$, we have

$$(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{L}_1 \dots \mathcal{L}_r) = \sum_{i=1}^s l_i (\mathcal{L}_1|_{V_i} \dots \mathcal{L}_r|_{V_i}).$$

In particular, if \mathcal{F} is an invertible sheaf, then $(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{L}_1 \dots \mathcal{L}_d) = (\mathcal{L}_1 \dots \mathcal{L}_d)$.

- (5) Let $\pi : Y' \rightarrow Y$ be a map of finite type of integral projective varieties of dimension d . Then $(\pi^* \mathcal{L}_1 \dots \pi^* \mathcal{L}_d)_{Y'} = \deg(\pi) (\mathcal{L}_1 \dots \mathcal{L}_d)_Y$.

Proof. Parts (1), (2), (4) and (5) are proved in [Kleiman 1966, Section 2] as Propositions 4, 3, 5 and 6, respectively. Note that even though it is assumed that the ground field L is algebraically closed in [Kleiman 1966], the hypothesis is not required in Section 2 there. For instance, see [Debarre 2001].

(3) If $Q(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ is the polynomial such that $Q(m_1, \dots, m_r) = \chi(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{m_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{L}^{m_r})$, then $Q(x_1, \dots, x_r) = P(x_1 + \dots + x_r)$. Since $P(x)$ has a degree at most r , the coefficient of $x_1 \dots x_r$ in $P(x_1 + \dots + x_r)$ is $\alpha r!$, which by definition is the required intersection number. \square

3. The polynomial nature of multiplicities

In this section, we prove [Theorem 3.3](#) on the polynomial behavior of multiplicities of jumping numbers. We begin with some preliminary definitions.

Notation 3.1. We fix the following notation throughout this section: Let X be a smooth variety of dimension d over k . We fix a closed subscheme Z of X and an irreducible component Z_1 of Z . Let x be the generic point of Z_1 in X and (A, \mathfrak{m}, L) the local ring at x in X . The Krull dimension of A — or, equivalently, the codimension of Z_1 in X — will be denoted by h . Let $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ denote the ideal of Z , and observe that the stalk of \mathfrak{a} at x is an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of A . Abusing notation, we often write \mathfrak{a} and $\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^c)$ for the stalks of the ideal sheaf \mathfrak{a} and the multiplier ideal at x , respectively, and think of these as ideals in A .

Definition 3.2 [[Ein et al. 2004](#)]. For any real number $c > 0$ of \mathfrak{a} at x , the *multiplicity of c at x* is defined to be

$$m(c) := \lambda(\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^{c-\varepsilon})_x / \mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^c)_x), \quad (3-1)$$

for sufficiently small positive ε . Here, λ denotes the length as an A -module.

The multiplicity is well defined, because $\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^c)$ is either A or an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal for all c since \mathfrak{a} is \mathfrak{m} -primary and $\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^c) \supset \mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^{\lceil c \rceil}) \supset \mathfrak{a}^{\lceil c \rceil}$. Note that even though we define $m(c)$ for any positive real number c by expression (3-1), it will be nonzero if and only if c is a jumping number, by the definition of jumping number.

Our focus in this section is on the function $m(c+n)$, where $c > 0$ is any fixed real number and n varies over the natural numbers $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. So we define

$$f_c(n) := m(c+n).$$

The main theorem about $f_c(n)$ is the following:

Theorem 3.3. *Let Z be a closed subscheme of a smooth variety X and Z_1 be an irreducible component of Z . Then for each $c > 0$, the function $f_c(n)$ is a polynomial function of n of degree less than the codimension h of Z_1 in X .*

Recall that a function $g : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a *quasipolynomial* if g can be written as

$$g(x) = a_r(x)x^r + \dots + a_0(x),$$

where each $a_i(x)$ is a periodic function of x with integral period.

Corollary 3.4. *Let Z be a closed subscheme of a smooth variety X . The multiplicity function $m(c)$ of Z along one of its components Z_1 is a quasipolynomial in c .*

Proof. By [Theorem 3.3](#), for each c in the interval $(0, 1]$, the multiplicity $m(c+n)$ can be written as a

polynomial $P_c(c+n)$ of degree less than h , where h is the codimension of Z_1 in X . So we can write $m(c)$ as

$$m(x) = a_{h-1}(x)x^{h-1} + \cdots + a_0(x),$$

where $a_i(x)$ is the coefficient of x^i in the polynomial P_c , for c the fractional part $c = x - \lfloor x \rfloor$ of x . Note that each a_i is a periodic function with period 1. \square

Before we prove the theorem, it will be convenient to introduce some notation and the notion of *jumping divisor* of a candidate jumping number:

Definition 3.5. Let c be positive real number c and \mathfrak{a} an ideal sheaf on the smooth variety X . Fix a log resolution $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ of \mathfrak{a} , and let F be the unique effective exceptional divisor such that $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(-F)$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, the divisor $\lfloor cF \rfloor - \lfloor (c - \varepsilon)F \rfloor$ is reduced and does not change as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. The *jumping divisor* E^c of c is

$$E^c = \lfloor cF \rfloor - \lfloor (c - \varepsilon)F \rfloor, \tag{3-2}$$

where ε is a sufficiently small positive number.

The jumping divisor E^c is a reduced nonzero divisor whenever c is a candidate jumping number of \mathfrak{a} , by definition of candidate jumping number (see [Section 2A1](#)). Otherwise, E^c is zero. Further note that $E^c = E^{c+n}$ for any natural number n , as follows from formula (3-2) by properties of rounding down.

Remark 3.6. The notion of a jumping divisor was introduced as the *maximal jumping divisor* in [\[Alberich-Carramiñana et al. 2016\]](#) along with its *minimal* variant in the two-dimensional case. For simplicity, we drop the adjective “maximal” from the name and do not discuss the minimal jumping divisor here.

Remark 3.7. Let $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ be any log resolution of the closed subscheme Z defined by \mathfrak{a} . Let $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(-F)$. Since we do not assume X to be a projective variety (in fact, we will assume it to be affine), the divisor F or its components are not necessarily projective varieties. However, we can realize each prime component of the divisor F as a projective variety over a suitable field, after a suitable base change, as guaranteed by the next lemma.

Lemma 3.8. *With notation as in [Remark 3.7](#):*

- (1) *The pushforward $\mu_*\mathcal{O}_Y(-F)$ is the integral closure of the ideal \mathfrak{a} .*
- (2) *If $E \subset Y$ is an irreducible component of F , then $\mu(E)$ is contained in Z . Conversely, all prime divisors that are mapped inside Z by μ occur as irreducible components of F .*
- (3) *If Z is supported at a closed point x , then each component of F is a smooth projective variety over k and is contracted by μ to x .*
- (4) *More generally, if $E \subset Y$ is a prime component of F and $p \in Z$ is the center of E on X (i.e., generic point of $\mu(E)$), then*

$$E' = E \times_X \mathbf{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,p})$$

is a smooth projective variety over the residue field $\kappa(p)$ of $p \in X$.

- (5) *The dimension of E' is $h - 1$, where h is the dimension of $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$.*

Proof. (1) See [Lazarsfeld 2004b, Remark 9.6.4].

(2) The forward implication follows from part (1) and the converse from the fact that $\mu^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathcal{O}_Y(-F)$.

(3) This is a special case of part (4).

(4) Since we are assuming our log resolutions are projective maps, E' is projective over $\mathbf{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,p})$. Further, the fiber over p is a projective variety over $\kappa(p)$. Hence, so is every closed subvariety of the fiber. Since E' is contracted to $x \in \mathbf{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,p})$ by μ , E' is a projective variety over $\kappa(p)$. Smoothness follows from the fact that E is smooth over k .

(5) Let $\kappa(E) = \kappa(E')$ denote the function field (over k) of the variety E and $\kappa(p)$ denote the residue field of X at p . Then

$$\dim_{\kappa(p)} E' = \text{tr. deg.}_{\kappa(p)} \kappa(E') = \text{tr. deg.}_k \kappa(E') - \text{tr. deg.}_k \kappa(p) = d - 1 - \dim_k(Z_1) = h - 1,$$

where Z_1 denotes the closure of p in X and tr. deg._κ denotes the transcendence degree of a field over κ . \square

Notation 3.9. For any effective divisor $D \subset F$ and a point p in X , we denote by D_p the scheme $D \times_X \mathbf{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,p})$ over $\mathbf{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,p})$. In particular, E_x^c denotes the base change of the jumping divisor E^c to $\mathbf{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$. Note E_x^c can be empty. But in that case, it follows from (3-4) below that the multiplicity of c at x is zero, and hence c can not be a jumping number.

We can now prove [Theorem 3.3](#).

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Since the multiplicities $m(c)$ depend only on the stalk of the multiplier ideal at x , we may assume X is the local affine scheme $\mathbf{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$.

Let $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ be any log resolution of the closed subscheme Z defined by the ideal \mathfrak{a} . Let $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(-F)$. We now prove that for any $c > 0$, the multiplicity $m(c)$ can be calculated as an Euler characteristic on Y . To see this, we start with the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(-E^c) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E^c} \rightarrow 0.$$

Let \mathcal{L} be the line bundle on Y corresponding to the divisor $K_{Y|X} + E^c - \lfloor cF \rfloor = K_{Y|X} - \lfloor (c - \varepsilon)F \rfloor$. Tensoring with the invertible sheaf associated to \mathcal{L} , we get

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(\mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-E^c) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E^c}(\mathcal{L}|_{E^c}) \rightarrow 0. \quad (3-3)$$

Observing that $\mathcal{O}_Y(\mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-E^c) \cong \mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y|X} - \lfloor cF \rfloor)$, the local vanishing theorem ([Theorem 2.4](#)) tells us that the sequence remains exact after applying μ_* . Thus we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^c) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^{c-\varepsilon}) \rightarrow H^0(E^c, \mathcal{L}|_{E^c}) \rightarrow 0, \quad (3-4)$$

where the first map is just the inclusion of the ideal $\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^c)$ inside $\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^{c-\varepsilon})$.

Since X is affine, applying the local vanishing theorem ([Theorem 2.4](#)) again, we have that the first two sheaves in the short exact sequence (3-3) have vanishing higher cohomology. Using the long exact sequence for (3-3) of sheaf cohomology groups, we get

$$H^p(E^c, \mathcal{L}|_{E^c}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } p > 0. \quad (3-5)$$

Since E^c is reduced, by [Lemma 3.8](#), it is a projective variety over L (the residue field at x). Putting this together with [\(3-3\)](#) and [\(3-5\)](#), we get

$$m(c) = \lambda(\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{a}^{c-\varepsilon})/\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{a}^c)) = \lambda(H^0(E^c, \mathcal{L}|_{E^c})) = \dim_L(H^0(E^c, \mathcal{L}|_{E^c})) = \chi_L(\mathcal{L}|_{E^c}), \quad (3-6)$$

where the third equality holds because the $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ -module $H^0(E^c, \mathcal{L}|_{E^c})$ is already a vector space over L , since E^c is a projective variety over L .

By [\(3-6\)](#), we have

$$f_c(n) = \chi_L(\mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y|X} - \lfloor(c-\varepsilon)F\rfloor)|_{E^c} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-nF)|_{E^c}) \quad (3-7)$$

for all $n \geq 0$. Since we are fixing c and varying n , we may apply [Theorem 2.6](#) on the complete scheme E^c over L with $r = 1$, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y|X} - \lfloor(c-\varepsilon)F\rfloor)|_{E^c}$ and $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{O}_Y(-F)|_{E^c}$ to get a polynomial $Q_c(x)$ of degree at most the dimension of E^c such that $Q_c(n) = \chi(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1^n) = f_c(n)$ for all $n \geq 0$. The proof is now complete by noting that the dimension of E^c is equal to $h-1$ by [Lemma 3.8](#), where h is the codimension of Z_1 . \square

We can also explicitly determine the coefficient of n^{h-1} in the polynomial $m(c+n)$:

Theorem 3.10. *Fix $c > 0$. With notation as before, the coefficient ρ_c of the term n^{h-1} in the polynomial $f_c(n)$ describing the multiplicities $m(c+n)$ can be computed on the log resolution Y using the formula*

$$\rho_c = \frac{(-1)^{h-1}}{(h-1)!} \underbrace{(F|_{E_x^c} \cdots F|_{E_x^c})}_{h-1 \text{ times}}, \quad (3-8)$$

where $E_x^c = E^c \times_X \mathbf{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$ is a projective variety over L . When the subscheme Z is supported only at a closed point x in X , the formula for ρ_c may be written as follows (where d is the dimension of X):

$$\rho_c = \frac{(-1)^{d-1}}{(d-1)!} \underbrace{(F \cdots F \cdot E^c)}_{d-1 \text{ times}}. \quad (3-9)$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}_c = \mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y|X} - \lfloor(c-\varepsilon)F\rfloor)$ and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_Y(-F)$. Then $f_c(n) = \chi(\mathcal{F}_c|_{E_x^c} \otimes \mathcal{L}|_{E_x^c}^n)$ by [\(3-7\)](#). Using part [\(3\)](#) of [Proposition 2.8](#), we have $\rho_c = (\mathcal{F}_c|_{E_x^c}; \mathcal{L}|_{E_x^c} \cdots \mathcal{L}|_{E_x^c})/(h-1)!$. Since \mathcal{F}_c is a line bundle on Y and by definition $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_Y(-F)$, using part [\(4\)](#) of [Proposition 2.8](#), we have

$$\rho_c = (-1)^{h-1} \frac{(F|_{E_x^c} \cdots F|_{E_x^c})}{(h-1)!}.$$

If Z is supported only at a closed point x , then $E_x^c = E^c$ and is projective over k . By part [\(1\)](#) of [Proposition 2.8](#), we can compute ρ_c on any projective closure of Y as

$$\frac{(\mathcal{L}|_{E^c} \cdots \mathcal{L}|_{E^c})}{(d-1)!} = \frac{(\mathcal{L} \cdots \mathcal{L} \cdot E^c)}{(d-1)!} = (-1)^{d-1} \frac{(F \cdots F \cdot E^c)}{(d-1)!}. \quad \square$$

Definition 3.11. Given Z and Z_1 , we define the *Rees coefficient* of $c > 0$ (denoted by ρ_c) to be the coefficient of the term n^{h-1} in the polynomial $f_c(n)$. Equivalently, in light of [Theorem 3.3](#), ρ_c is the

unique number such that

$$m(c+n) = \rho_c n^{h-1} + o(n^{h-2}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where h is the codimension of Z_1 in X .

The Rees coefficient of a jumping number will be studied in more detail in the next section.

Remark 3.12. When $\dim(X) = 2$ and Z is a point scheme, the polynomial $f_c(n)$ has degree at most 1. In this case, we have

$$m(c+n) = m(c) + \rho_c n, \quad \text{where } \rho_c = -F \cdot E^c.$$

This recovers the *linear* formula for $m(c+n)$ proved in [Alberich-Carramiñana et al. 2017].

Remark 3.13. In higher dimensions and when Z is still a point scheme, the polynomials $f_c(n)$ have other coefficients that can be computed as follows:

If $f_c(n) = m(c) + \alpha_1^c n + \cdots + \alpha_{d-1}^c n^{d-1}$, then

$$\alpha_j^c = \frac{1}{j!} \int_{E^c} c_1(\mathcal{L}|_{E^c})^j \cap \tau_{E^c, j}(\mathcal{F}_c|_{E^c}), \quad (3-10)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_c = \mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y|X} - \lfloor (c - \varepsilon)F \rfloor)$ and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_Y(-F)$, c_1 denotes the first Chern class of a line bundle and $\tau_{E^c, j}$ denotes the degree j component of the Todd class of a sheaf. This formula comes from (3-7) and the Riemann–Roch theorem for singular varieties. See [Fulton 1998, Example 18.3.6] for the details.

The interpretation of the multiplicity $m(c)$ as the dimension of global sections as in (3-6) also implies that the function under consideration, $f_c(n)$, is a nondecreasing function, which we now note:

Proposition 3.14. *Let Z be a closed subscheme of a smooth variety X and Z_1 an irreducible component of Z with generic point x . Fix any $c > 0$. Then $m(c+1) \geq m(c)$.*

Proof. As before, we assume X is the local scheme $\mathbf{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$. It is sufficient to deal with the case when c is a jumping number since otherwise $m(c) = 0$ and $m(c+1) \geq 0$ by definition. So we assume that c is a jumping number, in which case $m(c) > 0$. By (3-6), we need to show that

$$\dim_L H^0(E^c, \mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y|X} - \lfloor (c+1 - \varepsilon)F \rfloor)|_{E^c}) \geq \dim_L H^0(E^c, \mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y|X} - \lfloor (c - \varepsilon)F \rfloor)|_{E^c}).$$

Denoting by \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{L} the invertible sheaves $\mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y|X} - \lfloor (c - \varepsilon)F \rfloor)$ and $\mathcal{O}_Y(-F)$ on Y , respectively, we need to prove that

$$\dim_L H^0(E^c, \mathcal{F}|_{E^c} \otimes \mathcal{L}|_{E^c}) \geq \dim_L H^0(E^c, \mathcal{F}|_{E^c}).$$

But, since $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(-F)$, \mathcal{L} is generated by its global sections (by the generators of \mathfrak{a}) on Y , the same is true for $\mathcal{L}|_{E^c}$ on E^c . In particular, $\mathcal{L}|_{E^c}$ has a nonzero global section. Hence, choosing a nonzero global section of $\mathcal{L}|_{E^c}$, we have an injective map

$$\mathcal{O}_{E^c} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E^c}(\mathcal{L}).$$

Tensoring with $\mathcal{F}|_{E^c}$ preserves injectivity since \mathcal{F} was invertible. Hence, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{E^c}(\mathcal{F}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E^c}(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{F}).$$

Since taking global sections also preserves injectivity, our claim is proved. \square

Remark 3.15. This proposition implies that if c is a jumping number of Z at Z_1 , then so is $c + 1$. This also follows directly from the definition of multiplier ideals and jumping numbers. Though this proposition says that if we fix a “ c ” then $m(c + n)$ is nondecreasing with n , this does not imply that the multiplicity $m(c)$ increases with c (i.e., as c varies over the jumping numbers). In fact, this is not true. As we will see in the next section, $m(c + n)$ increases at different rates for different jumping numbers c .

Theorem 3.3 can be thought of as a finiteness statement for multiplier ideals of a subscheme at its irreducible components. For example, we can use **Theorem 3.3** to deduce a well-known periodicity result for jumping numbers:

Proposition 3.16. *Let c be a positive real number, and let Z_1 be an h -dimensional component of a subscheme Z of a smooth variety X . If $c > h - 1$, then c is a jumping number of Z at Z_1 if and only if $c + 1$ is a jumping number of Z at Z_1 .*

Proof. By **Proposition 3.14**, if c is a jumping number, then $c + 1$ is also a jumping number (without any assumptions on c). So it is enough to prove that if $c + 1$ is a jumping number of Z at Z_1 then so is c . If c is not a jumping number, then by **Proposition 3.14**, $m(c - n) = 0$ for all n such that $0 \leq n \leq h - 1$. By **Theorem 3.3**, $m(c + n)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $h - 1$. Since $m(c + n)$ has h zeroes, this implies that $m(c + n)$ is identically zero. So $c + 1$ can not be a jumping number either. \square

4. Properties of the Rees coefficient

In this section, we investigate some properties of the *Rees coefficient* of a jumping number (**Definition 3.11**). In **Section 4A**, we prove some criteria for the positivity of the Rees coefficient. Next, we relate the Rees coefficients to the Hilbert–Samuel multiplicity (**Section 4B**). We begin with some preliminary observations about the Rees coefficients.

Recall that the Rees coefficient ρ_c of c is defined to be the coefficient of n^{h-1} in the polynomial $m(c + n)$, where $m(c)$ denotes the multiplicity of c of a closed subscheme Z along one of its irreducible components Z_1 and h denotes the codimension of Z_1 in X .

Proposition 4.1. *Let X be a smooth variety and fix a closed subscheme Z of X and an irreducible component Z_1 of Z . Fix a positive real number c . Then the Rees coefficient satisfies the following:*

- (1) $\rho_c \geq 0$ for all $c > 0$.
- (2) $\rho_c \in (1/(h - 1)!) \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$.
- (3) $\rho_c = \rho_{c+n}$ for any positive integer n .
- (4) $\rho_c = 0$ if c is not a candidate jumping number of \mathfrak{a} (see **Section 2A1**).
- (5) ρ_c is positive implies that $c + n$ is a jumping number at Z_1 for some n .

Proof. By [Theorem 3.3](#), $m(c+n)$ is a polynomial in n of degree at most $h-1$. Since ρ_c is the coefficient of n^{h-1} , parts (1), (2) and (3) follow from the fact that $m(c+n)$ is a nonnegative integer for all n . Parts (4) and (5) follow from the fact that $m(c+n)$ is positive exactly when $c+n$ is a jumping number. In particular, if $m(c+n) > 0$ for some n , then c (equivalently $c+n$) must be a candidate jumping number. \square

Since ρ_c is the same as ρ_{c+n} for any natural number n , we think of ρ_c as a \mathbb{Q} -valued function on \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} . This function is an invariant of the subscheme Z along a component Z_1 and describes interesting properties of jumping numbers at Z_1 (or rather their classes in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}). We turn to explaining these properties.

4A. Criteria for positivity. We first discuss the issue of when the Rees coefficient ρ_c is positive. More precisely, for any closed subscheme Z and an irreducible component Z_1 , we ask for which classes in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} of jumping numbers c is the Rees coefficient ρ_c positive? Equivalently, for which jumping numbers c at Z_1 does the multiplicity $m(c+n)$ along Z_1 grow like n^{h-1} as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (where $h = \text{codim}_X Z_1$)? In this subsection, we prove several criteria for when the Rees coefficient is positive. [Theorem 4.2](#) is a summary of the results of this section:

Theorem 4.2. *Let Z be a closed subscheme of X (with ideal \mathfrak{a}) and Z_1 be an irreducible component of Z with generic point x in X . Let h be the codimension of Z_1 in X and fix any $c > 0$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *The Rees coefficient ρ_c is positive.*
- (ii) *The polynomial $m(c+n)$ has degree $h-1$ in n .*
- (iii) *The jumping divisor E^c ([Definition 3.5](#)) contains a **Rees valuation** of \mathfrak{a} centered at x on some (equivalently any) log resolution of \mathfrak{a} .*
- (iv) *$c+h-1$ is a jumping number **contributed by some Rees valuation** of \mathfrak{a} centered at x .*
- (v) *The class of c in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} is **contributed by some Rees valuation** of \mathfrak{a} centered at x .*

We explain the statement of the theorem and the various terms appearing in it before giving the proof.

Jumping numbers contributed by divisors. The notion of a jumping number *contributed by a divisor* on a log resolution was defined by Smith and Thompson [[2007](#)]. This notion was studied further in [[Tucker 2010](#)] and [[Baumers et al. 2018](#)]. This definition naturally generalizes to classes of jumping numbers in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} . In this terminology, [Theorem 4.2](#) characterizes the classes *contributed* by the Rees valuations on any log resolution as exactly the classes whose Rees coefficient is positive (hence the choice of name). We now review the definition of contribution by a divisor here. Recall that E_x^c is the base change of the jumping divisor E^c ([Definition 3.5](#)), defined as $E_x^c = (\lfloor cF \rfloor - \lfloor (c-\varepsilon)F \rfloor) \times_X \mathbf{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$.

Definition 4.3. Let $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ be a log resolution of an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ with $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(-F)$, and let $E \subset F$ be a prime divisor. Then, for any point x of X , a jumping number c of \mathfrak{a} at x is said to be *contributed by E* if

- (1) E_x is nonempty,

- (2) $E_x \subset E_x^c$ and
- (3) $\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^c)_x = \mu_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y|X} - \lfloor cF \rfloor))_x \subsetneq \mu_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y|X} - \lfloor cF \rfloor + E))_x$.

We say that a class $[c] \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is *contributed by* E if $c+n$ is contributed by E for some natural number n .

The condition that E_x is nonempty is saying that E is centered on $\mathbf{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$ and $E_x \subset E_x^c$ is just saying that c is a candidate jumping number for E . We also note that contribution by a prime divisor depends only on the valuation defined by E and not on the chosen log resolution. So we say that a jumping number is “contributed by a valuation ν ” if it is contributed by a divisor on a log resolution whose associated valuation is ν .

Rees valuations. Let $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ be an ideal. If $\nu : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ denotes the normalization of the blowup of X along \mathfrak{a} , then $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-E)$, for an effective divisor E on \tilde{X} . Then the valuations corresponding to the prime components of E are called the *Rees valuations* of \mathfrak{a} .

Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and \mathfrak{a} be an ideal. The set of Rees valuations of \mathfrak{a} is a minimal set of discrete, rank-one valuations ν_1, \dots, ν_r of A satisfying the property that:

$$\text{For all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ the integral closure } \overline{\mathfrak{a}^n} \text{ equals } \bigcap_{i=1}^r (\mathfrak{a}^n V_i \cap A), \text{ where } V_i \text{ is the valuation ring of } \nu_i.$$

In other words, the Rees valuations are a minimal set of valuations that determine the integral closure of all the powers of the ideal \mathfrak{a} . We refer to [Huneke and Swanson 2006, Chapter 10] for details about Rees valuations. This is also explained in [Lazarsfeld 2004b, Section 9.6].

Given the normalized blowup \tilde{X} , or more generally any normal variety Y mapping properly and birationally to X , we abuse terminology by saying “ E is a Rees valuation of \mathfrak{a} ” to refer to a prime divisor E on Y corresponding to a Rees valuation of \mathfrak{a} .

We now turn to the proof of [Theorem 4.2](#).

Proof of Theorem 4.2. We first note the following easy implications in the theorem: The equivalence between (i) and (ii) is clear from [Definition 3.11](#) of the Rees coefficient. That (iv) implies (iii) follows from [Definition 4.3](#) of jumping numbers contributed by divisors (so does (v) implies (iii)). We have that (iv) implies (v) immediately from [Definition 4.3](#) of classes of jumping numbers contributed by a divisor. So to complete the proof, we will prove that (i) is equivalent to (iii) and (iii) implies (iv). These will be proved as the two main theorems of this subsection.

We first prove part (i) is equivalent to part (iii) of [Theorem 4.2](#):

Theorem 4.4. *Let Z be a closed subscheme of X and Z_1 an irreducible component of Z with generic point x in X . Fix any $c > 0$. Then, the Rees coefficient ρ_c at x is positive if and only if on some (equivalently every) log resolution, the jumping divisor E^c ([Definition 3.5](#)) contains a Rees valuation of Z centered at x .*

Let $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ be a log resolution of the ideal \mathfrak{a} with $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(-F)$. When X is a surface and \mathfrak{a} is supported at a closed point, it follows from the results of Lipman [1969] that, for any irreducible exceptional curve $E \subset Y$, $(-F \cdot E)$ is nonnegative and is positive exactly when E corresponds to a Rees valuation of \mathfrak{a} . It follows from the formula (3-8) that the Rees coefficient ρ_c is positive if and only if a

Rees valuation appears in the jumping divisor E^c . [Theorem 4.4](#) can be thought of as a generalization of Lipman's result in this context to higher dimensions. To prove this theorem, we need a key lemma which is a generalization to higher dimensions of a version of [\[Lipman 1969, Lemma 21.2\]](#).

Lemma 4.5. *If $E \subset Y$ is an irreducible component of F (and x is the generic point of Z_1 , an irreducible component of Z), then*

$$\underbrace{(-F|_{E_x} \cdots \cdots -F|_{E_x})}_{h-1 \text{ times}} \geq 0,$$

where $E_x = E \times_X \mathbf{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$ and h is the codimension of Z_1 in X . Moreover, the intersection number $(-F|_{E_x} \cdots \cdots -F|_{E_x})$ is positive if and only if the divisor E is a Rees valuation of \mathfrak{a} centered at x .

Proof. Since the relevant intersection numbers depend only on the local ring at x in X , we assume X is the local scheme $\mathbf{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$. Recall that by [Lemma 3.8](#), E_x (henceforth denoted just by E) is a smooth projective variety over L (the residue field of X at x) of dimension $h - 1$. So the intersection numbers make sense.

The setup of the proof is as follows: Let $\tilde{\mu} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the normalized blowup of \mathfrak{a} , the ideal of Z in X . Since Y is normal (it is smooth) and $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y$ is locally principal, the universal property of normalization and of blowing-up provides a factorization

$$\mu : Y \xrightarrow{\pi} \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}} X,$$

i.e., $\mu = \tilde{\mu} \circ \pi$. Let \mathcal{L} denote the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_Y(-F) = \mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y$ on Y and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ be the invertible sheaf $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ on \tilde{X} , and we have $\mathcal{L} = \pi^*(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$. Then, since $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is relatively ample for $\tilde{\mu}$, if $D \subset \tilde{X}$ is any effective divisor, then $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}|_D$ is ample.

Let $B = \{G_j\}$ denote the finite set of prime divisors on \tilde{X} in the support of $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ and $C = \{E_i\}$ denote the finite set of irreducible components of F on Y . Then, a divisor E_i from the set C corresponds to a Rees valuation of \mathfrak{a} if and only if π maps E_i birationally onto some divisor G_j from B . And if E_i does not correspond to a Rees valuation, then π maps E_i onto a proper subset of G_j from some j . In any case, for each E_i in B , we have a G_j in C such that π restricts to a map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_i & \xrightarrow{\pi|_{E_i}} & G_j \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \tilde{X} \end{array}$$

with π birational exactly when E_i corresponds to a Rees valuation of \mathfrak{a} . Now, we want to understand the intersection number $(\mathcal{L}|_{E_i} \cdots \cdots \mathcal{L}|_{E_i})$. By [Proposition 2.8\(5\)](#), we have

$$\underbrace{(\mathcal{L}|_{E_i} \cdots \cdots \mathcal{L}|_{E_i})}_{h-1 \text{ times}} = \deg(\pi|_{E_i}) \times \underbrace{(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}|_{G_j} \cdots \cdots \tilde{\mathcal{L}}|_{G_j})}_{h-1 \text{ times}}. \quad (4-1)$$

If E_i is not centered at x then E_i does not appear on Y since we are over $\mathbf{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$, and if E_i does not correspond to a Rees valuation then $\deg(\pi|_{E_i})$ is zero. Therefore, in both cases, the intersection

number $(\mathcal{L}|_{E_1} \cdots \mathcal{L}|_{E_i})$ is zero. The only case when $(\mathcal{L}|_{E_1} \cdots \mathcal{L}|_{E_i})$ can be nonzero is when E_i is a Rees valuation centered at x . But in that case, $\pi|_{E_i}$ is birational onto G_j and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is ample on G_j . Hence, by (4-1), we get that $(\mathcal{L}|_{E_1} \cdots \mathcal{L}|_{E_i})$ is positive. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.4. The theorem now follows from Lemma 4.5 and Theorem 3.10:

$$\rho_c = (-1)^{h-1} \frac{(F|_{E_x^c} \cdots F|_{E_x^c})}{(h-1)!} = \sum_{E \subset E^c} (-1)^{h-1} \frac{(F|_{E_x} \cdots F|_{E_x})}{(h-1)!},$$

where the second equality holds because of Proposition 2.8(4). Lemma 4.5 tells us that each intersection number on the right-hand side is nonnegative and is positive exactly when E_x corresponds to a Rees valuation of \mathfrak{a} centered at x . \square

Finally, we conclude the proof of Theorem 4.2, by proving that part (iii) implies (iv).

Theorem 4.6. *Let Z be a closed subscheme of X (with ideal \mathfrak{a}) and Z_1 an irreducible component of Z with generic point x in X . Fix a positive real number c . Suppose on some log resolution $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ of \mathfrak{a} , the jumping divisor E^c (Definition 3.5) contains a Rees valuation E of \mathfrak{a} centered at x . Then $c + h - 1$ is a jumping number at x contributed by E (where $h = \text{codim}_X Z_1$).*

The proof of this theorem has two main steps:

- First, we reinterpret the notion of a jumping number *contributed by a divisor D* in terms of nonvanishing of the space of global sections of a certain sheaf on the divisor D .
- Next, we prove the nonvanishing of the required space of global sections by pushing the sheaf forward to the normalized blowup of \mathfrak{a} and using a theorem of Mumford.

Both steps crucially rely on vanishing theorems, namely the Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem and the local vanishing theorem (Theorem 2.4). We now recall the main theorems we need for the proof in the form that we will use them.

Theorem 4.7 (Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem [Lazarsfeld 2004b, Theorem 9.1.18]). *Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n and let N be an integral divisor on X . Assume that*

$$N \equiv_{\text{num}} B + \Delta,$$

where B is a big and nef \mathbb{Q} -divisor and $\Delta = \sum a_i \Delta_i$ is a \mathbb{Q} -divisor with simple normal crossings support and such that $0 \leq a_i < 1$ for each i . Then

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + N)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i > 0.$$

Theorem 4.8 (Mumford’s theorem [Lazarsfeld 2004a, Theorem 1.8.5]). *Let X be a projective variety and \mathcal{L} a globally generated ample line bundle on X . Suppose a coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X is m -regular with respect to \mathcal{L} , i.e.,*

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{m-i}) = 0 \quad \text{for } i > 0.$$

Then, $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^m$ is generated by its global sections.

Proof of Theorem 4.6. Contribution by divisors at x depends only on the local ring at x (see Definition 4.3).

So we may replace X by the affine local scheme $\mathbf{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$. Further, since by assumption $E \subset E^c$ and E is centered at x , E_x^c contains E_x (henceforth denoted by E), so E_x^c is nonempty and c is a candidate jumping number (defined in [Section 2A1](#)).

Step 1: Let $q > 0$ be a candidate jumping number and G be a prime divisor on Y with $G \subset E^q$. Then we claim that q is a jumping number contributed by G if and only if $H^0(G, \mathcal{O}_G(K_G - \lfloor qF \rfloor|_G)) \neq 0$. To see this, consider the following exact sequence on Y :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(-G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G \rightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring with $\mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y|X} - \lfloor qF \rfloor + G)$, we get

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y|X} - \lfloor qF \rfloor) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y|X} - \lfloor qF \rfloor + G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G((K_{Y|X} - \lfloor qF \rfloor + G)|_G) \rightarrow 0.$$

Applying μ_* , using the local vanishing theorem ([Theorem 2.4](#)) and the fact that X is affine by assumption, we see that

$$\mu_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y|X} - \lfloor qF \rfloor + G))/\mu_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y|X} - \lfloor qF \rfloor)) \cong H^0(G, \mathcal{O}_G(K_{Y|X} - \lfloor qF \rfloor + G)|_G). \quad (4-2)$$

Further, since G is a smooth divisor, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_G(K_{Y|X} - \lfloor qF \rfloor + G)|_G \cong \mathcal{O}_G(K_G - \mu^*K_X|_G - \lfloor qF \rfloor|_G), \quad (4-3)$$

where we have used the adjunction formula for $G \subset Y$. Using (4-2) and (4-3), we obtain that q is a jumping number at x contributed by G ([Definition 4.3](#)) if and only if

$$H^0(G, \mathcal{O}_G(K_G - \lfloor qF \rfloor|_G)) \neq 0. \quad (4-4)$$

Here we are using the following observation: since G maps to x (see [Lemma 3.8](#)), the canonical bundle ω_X pulls back to the trivial bundle on G . Note also that since G is a smooth projective variety over L (the residue field of x), K_G denotes the canonical divisor of G over L . This is justified by the following argument:

Let φ denote the structure map $G \rightarrow \mathbf{Spec}(L)$. We have the following exact sequence coming from the first exact sequence for differentials [[Hartshorne 1977](#), Chapter II, 8.11]:

$$0 \rightarrow \varphi^*\Omega_{K|k} \rightarrow \Omega_{G|k} \rightarrow \Omega_{G|L} \rightarrow 0.$$

This sequence is exact on the left because $\varphi^*\Omega_{L|k}$ is a free sheaf on G of rank equal to the transcendence degree of L over k ($= d - h$) and the sequence is exact at the generic point of G . Since G is smooth over L , the other two sheaves are locally free of ranks $d - 1$ and $h - 1$. Taking top exterior powers and using the freeness of the first sheaf, we get the required isomorphism:

$$\omega_{G|k} \cong \omega_{G|L}.$$

This completes Step 1 of the proof, where we have proved that the statement that $q + n$ is a jumping number contributed by G for a natural number n is equivalent to the statement

$$\text{the invertible sheaf } \mathcal{O}_G(K_G - \lfloor qF \rfloor|_G) \otimes \mathcal{O}_G(-nF|_G) \text{ has a nonzero global section.} \quad (4-5)$$

Step 2: Now, we use the hypothesis that the divisor $E \subset E^c$ is a Rees valuation centered at x to conclude that the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_E(K_E - \lfloor cF \rfloor|_E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(-(h-1)F|_E)$ has a nonzero global section.

To do this, like in the proof of [Lemma 4.5](#), we consider the normalized blowup \tilde{X} of the ideal \mathfrak{a} and by the universal property of blowing-up and normalization, the map μ factors through \tilde{X} . The Rees valuation E on Y is the strict transform of a prime divisor \tilde{E} on \tilde{X} and E maps birationally onto \tilde{E} (since \tilde{X} is normal and \tilde{E} is a divisor). Note that \tilde{E} is also a projective variety over L . This is summarized in this picture:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E & \xrightarrow{f|_E} & \tilde{E} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Spec}(L) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \xrightarrow{f} & \tilde{X} & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_Y(-F) = \mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is very ample on \tilde{X} and $\mathcal{L} = f^*(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$. So, using the projection formula along $f|_E$, we have

$$H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E(K_E - \lfloor cF \rfloor|_E) \otimes \mathcal{L}^n) \cong H^0(\tilde{E}, f|_{E*}(\mathcal{O}_E(K_E - \lfloor cF \rfloor|_E)) \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^n).$$

So it is enough to show that $H^0(\tilde{E}, f|_{E*}(\mathcal{O}_E(K_E - \lfloor cF \rfloor|_E)) \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{h-1})$ is nonzero. We do this by using Mumford's theorem ([Theorem 4.8](#)). And to check the required cohomology vanishing conditions, we use the Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem ([Theorem 4.7](#)).

Note that \mathcal{L} is a globally generated line bundle on Y . Hence, by Bertini's theorem [[Hartshorne 1977](#), Chapter III, Corollary 10.9], we may choose a smooth divisor (possibly disconnected) D linearly equivalent to $-F$. Further, we may also assume that D intersects E transversally (in particular, no component of D is E). Having chosen such a D , we note that $-\lfloor cF \rfloor = \lceil -cF \rceil \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} cD + \sum a_i E_i$ as \mathbb{Q} -divisors, with $0 \leq a_i < 1$ and where the E_i are the irreducible components of F in some order. Let $\Delta = \sum a_i E_i$. Then, since $E \subset E^c$, the coefficient of E in cF is already an integer, and hence the coefficient of E in Δ is 0. So we have $-\lfloor cF \rfloor|_E \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} cD|_E + \Delta|_E$. Since the support of Δ is the union of divisors in the support of F and does not contain E , it follows that $\Delta|_E$ has simple normal crossings support (since F was snc). Further, all the coefficients of $\Delta|_E$ are in the interval $[0, 1)$. Next, $\mathcal{L}|_E$ is the pullback of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}|_{\tilde{E}}$ along $f|_E$; since $f|_E: E \rightarrow \tilde{E}$ is a projective birational map, and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is very ample on \tilde{E} , $\mathcal{L}|_E$ is big and nef on E . So $cD|_E$ is a big and nef \mathbb{Q} -divisor. Since E is smooth and projective over L and all the relevant hypotheses remain true after base-changing to an algebraic closure of L , we can apply the Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem ([Theorem 4.7](#)) on E with $N = -\lfloor cF \rfloor|_E \equiv_{\text{num}} cD|_E + \Delta|_E$ to conclude that

$$H^i(E, \mathcal{O}_E(K_E - \lfloor cF \rfloor|_E)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i > 0.$$

By the same argument, for all natural numbers $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$, we have

$$H^i(E, \mathcal{O}_E(K_E - \lfloor (c+n)F \rfloor|_E)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i > 0 \text{ and all } n \geq 0. \quad (4-6)$$

Now, [[Lazarsfeld 2004a](#), Lemma 4.3.10] implies that $R^j f|_{E*} \mathcal{O}_E(K_E - \lfloor (c+n)F \rfloor|_E) = 0$ for all $j > 0$ and all $n \geq 0$. By the Leray spectral sequence, we then have isomorphisms

$$H^i(E, \mathcal{O}_E(K_E - \lfloor (c+n)F \rfloor|_E)) \cong H^i(\tilde{E}, f|_{E*} \mathcal{O}_E(K_E - \lfloor (c+n)F \rfloor|_E)) \quad (4-7)$$

for all $i \geq 0$ and all $n \geq 0$. So if \mathcal{F} denotes the (coherent, since $f|_E$ is proper) sheaf $f|_{E*}\mathcal{O}_E(K_E - [cF]|_E)$ on \tilde{E} , then, putting together (4-6) and (4-7), we get

$$H^i(\tilde{E}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^n|_{\tilde{E}}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i > 0 \text{ and } n \geq 0. \quad (4-8)$$

Finally, (4-8) implies that $\mathcal{F} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{h-1}|_{\tilde{E}}$ is 0-regular with respect to the very ample line bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}|_{\tilde{E}}$, i.e.,

$$H^i(\tilde{E}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{h-1}|_{\tilde{E}} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{-i}|_{\tilde{E}}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i > 0.$$

This is because since the dimension of \tilde{E} is $h-1$, we only need to check the vanishing of cohomology groups till $i = h-1$. But if $i \leq h-1$, then (4-8) gives the required vanishing. So, by Mumford's theorem (Theorem 4.8), we get that $\mathcal{F} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{h-1}|_{\tilde{E}}$ is globally generated and, in particular,

$$H^0(\tilde{E}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{h-1}|_E) \neq 0.$$

So, using (4-5), we are done. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.6 and hence of Theorem 4.2. \square

Example 4.9. The Rees coefficient ρ_c (Definition 3.11) of a jumping number c is not always positive. Indeed, consider the ideal $\mathfrak{a} = (x^5 + y^3, y^4)$ in the polynomial ring $k[x, y]$ defining a point scheme supported at the origin in $X = \mathbb{A}^2$. This ideal has Rees coefficient zero for all of its jumping numbers less than one.

To check this, observe that since dimension of X is two, ρ_c can be computed on any log resolution $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ of \mathfrak{a} , with $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(-F)$, as

$$\rho_c = -F \cdot E^c,$$

where E^c is the jumping divisor of c as defined in Definition 3.5. This follows by specializing Theorem 3.10 to the surface case, although it is also proved in [Alberich-Carramiñana et al. 2017, Theorem 4.1].

A log resolution Y of \mathfrak{a} can be computed by hand and requires 9 successive blowups. Let E_1, \dots, E_9 denote the exceptional divisors obtained in the order they are labeled. Then, the intersection matrix for the resolution is

$$\begin{pmatrix} -3 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The relative canonical divisor is

$$K_{Y|X} = E_1 + 2E_2 + 4E_3 + 7E_4 + 8E_5 + 9E_6 + 10E_7 + 11E_8 + 12E_9.$$

If $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(-F)$, then

$$F = 3E_1 + 5E_2 + 9E_3 + 15E_4 + 16E_5 + 17E_6 + 18E_7 + 19E_8 + 20E_9.$$

Using this information, we compute that the jumping numbers of \mathfrak{a} less than one are $\frac{8}{15}$, $\frac{11}{15}$, $\frac{13}{15}$ and $\frac{14}{15}$, for example, by hand using the formula from [Alberich-Carramiñana et al. 2017] or by using the Macaulay2 package [MultiplierIdealsDim2]. We can then compute that the jumping divisors for each of these jumping numbers turn out to be the same, namely E_4 . Therefore, using the intersection matrix above, we can compute that $-F \cdot E_4$ is zero, and conclude that the Rees coefficient for each of the jumping numbers $\frac{8}{15}$, $\frac{11}{15}$, $\frac{13}{15}$ and $\frac{14}{15}$ is zero in this case. \square

Theorem 4.2 and Example 4.9 suggest that the classes of jumping numbers in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} naturally come in various types depending on the type of growth of the multiplicities of its translates. The type of jumping numbers for which the multiplicity grows fastest (i.e., for which the Rees coefficient is positive) is described by Theorem 4.2. The next corollary states that the class of *integer* jumping numbers always has maximal growth of its multiplicities — that is, $\rho_1 > 0$:

Corollary 4.10. *Let Z be a closed subscheme of the smooth variety X , with irreducible component Z_1 of codimension h . Then we have:*

- (1) *The Rees coefficient ρ_1 of the real number 1 along Z_1 is always positive.*
- (2) *There are at most $(h - 1)! \rho_1$ Rees valuations of Z centered at Z_1 .*
- (3) *The codimension h is always a jumping number of \mathfrak{a} contributed by each of the Rees valuations of \mathfrak{a} centered at the generic point of Z_1 .*

Part (3) of Corollary 4.10 recovers the fact that, for a regular local ring (A, \mathfrak{m}) of dimension h essentially of finite type over k , h is always a jumping number of each \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal \mathfrak{a} .

Proof. Consider a log resolution $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ of Z with $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(-F)$.

(1) Since the jumping divisor E^1 (Definition 3.5) is the same as F_{red} , it contains all the exceptional divisors corresponding to the Rees valuations. So E_x^1 contains all the Rees valuations of \mathfrak{a} centered at x . So ρ_1 must be positive by Theorem 4.4.

(2) By Theorem 3.10, we have

$$\rho_c = (-1)^{h-1} \frac{(F|_{E_x^c} \cdots F|_{E_x^c})}{(h-1)!} = \sum_{E \subset E^c} (-1)^{h-1} \frac{(F|_E \cdots F|_E)}{(h-1)!},$$

where the second equality holds by Proposition 2.8(4). Since the intersection numbers $(-F|_{E_x} \cdots -F|_{E_x})$ are nonnegative integers (by Lemma 4.5) and are positive exactly for the Rees valuations at x , each such valuation adds at least $1/(h-1)!$ to ρ_1 . Hence the claim.

(3) The last statement follows immediately from Theorem 4.6 since E^1 contains each of the Rees valuations at x . \square

For a closed subscheme Z of X with an irreducible component Z_1 , Theorem 4.2 and the polynomial nature of the multiplicities $m(c+n)$ guarantee more jumping numbers of Z along Z_1 in the interval $(h-1, h]$ (where h is the dimension of the local ring at Z_1). The following observations generalize similar ones made in [Alberich-Carramiñana et al. 2017] when $\dim X = 2$.

Corollary 4.11. Fix an irreducible component Z_1 of a closed subscheme Z of the smooth variety X . Let v_1, \dots, v_r be all the Rees valuations of Z (see page 95) centered at the generic point x of Z_1 . Set a_i to be the order of vanishing of Z along the valuation v_i , that is, set

$$a_i = \min\{v_i(f) \mid f \in \mathfrak{a}\},$$

where \mathfrak{a} is the ideal of Z . Then we have:

- (1) Each rational number ℓ/a_i (where $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$) in the interval $(h - 1, h]$ is a jumping number at x contributed by v_i (in the sense of Definition 4.3).
- (2) If c is a jumping number at x in the interval $(h - 1, h]$ such that c is not an integer translate of a smaller jumping number at x , then c is a rational number of the form in part (1).
- (3) More generally, if $c < h$ is a jumping number such that c is not an integer translate of a smaller jumping number at x , then $m(c + n)$ is polynomial (in n) of degree at least $\lfloor c \rfloor$.

Proof. Clearly (3) implies (2). So we just prove (1) and (3):

- (1) Let $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ be a log resolution of Z and let E_1, \dots, E_r denote the exceptional divisors on Y corresponding to v_1, \dots, v_r respectively. We see that for any number of the form ℓ/a_i , E_i is contained in the corresponding jumping divisor (Definition 3.5) and hence the Rees coefficient ρ_{ℓ/a_i} is positive by Theorem 4.4. The claim now follows immediately from Theorem 4.6.
- (3) If c is a jumping number such that $c - n$ is not a jumping number for any n , then $m(\{c\} + n)$ is a polynomial (Theorem 3.3) which is zero for $0 \leq n \leq \lfloor c \rfloor - 1$. Here $\{c\}$ ($= c - \lfloor c \rfloor$) denotes the fractional part of the real number c . This means the degree must be at least $\lfloor c \rfloor$. \square

Remark 4.12. Corollary 4.11 is interesting because it ensures that many jumping numbers in the interval $(h - 1, h]$ can be computed easily from the normalized blowup of a closed subscheme Z (without computing a full log resolution of Z). Those that cannot are integer translates of a smaller jumping number.

In this context, we recall the result of Budur [2003] mentioned in the introduction which implies that when Z is a point scheme, the jumping numbers (along with their multiplicity) of Z in the interval $(0, 1)$ can be interpreted as coming from the cohomology of the Milnor fiber of a general element of \mathfrak{a} (the ideal of Z). Putting this result together with Corollary 4.11 gives us an interpretation of essentially all jumping numbers of a point scheme in a smooth surface. In higher dimension, this only gives us an understanding of jumping numbers in the intervals $(0, 1)$ and $(d - 1, d]$. We raise the following two questions towards understanding all the other jumping numbers.

Question 4.13. For each integer j in the interval $[0, h - 2]$, how do we characterize the classes of jumping numbers $\lfloor c \rfloor$ such that $m(c + n)$ grows like n^j ? In particular, can we characterize such classes of jumping numbers in terms of contribution by divisors?

Question 4.14. Given a class of jumping numbers $\lfloor c \rfloor \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ contributed by a Rees valuation, how do we find the smallest jumping number in $\lfloor c \rfloor$? More generally, how do we find the smallest jumping number

in any given class of jumping numbers in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} ? Equivalently, how do we characterize the jumping numbers $c \leq h - 1$ of \mathfrak{a} such that c is not a translate of a smaller jumping number of \mathfrak{a} ?

On the valuations contributing jumping numbers. As another consequence of [Theorem 4.6](#), we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 4.15. *Let X be a smooth variety over k . Let ν be a divisorial valuation over X , i.e., a divisorial valuation centered at a (not necessarily closed) point x in X . Then there is an effective integral divisor D on X and a jumping number c of D at x such that c is **contributed by** ν .*

Proof. Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) denote the local ring at x , and let h be the Krull dimension of A . First, suppose $h = 1$, i.e., ν comes from a divisor E on X . Then taking $D = E$ and $c = 1$ works. So we assume $h > 1$.

Next, we claim that there is an ideal \mathfrak{a} in A such that \mathfrak{a} is \mathfrak{m} -primary and ν is a Rees valuation of \mathfrak{a} (defined on page 95). This follows immediately from [[Huneke and Swanson 2006](#), Proposition 10.4.4]. So we may choose an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ such that x corresponds to a minimal component of \mathfrak{a} and the stalk of \mathfrak{a} at x has ν as a Rees valuation. Then, by [Corollary 4.10](#), we know that h is a jumping number of \mathfrak{a} at x contributed by ν . Now, choose a log resolution $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ of \mathfrak{a} and let $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(-F)$, where $F = \sum a_i E_i$ for prime components E_i . We may assume ν corresponds to E_1 on Y . Now, the fact that h is contributed by ν means (by definition) that

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^h)_x = \mu_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y|X} - hF))_x \subsetneq \mu_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y|X} - hF + E_1))_x. \tag{4-9}$$

Now, if we choose D to be a general member of the ideal \mathfrak{a}^n (i.e., a general k -linear combination of its generators) for any $n > h$, then a general enough D will be reduced (since $h > 1$), and further, it will satisfy $\mu^*D = \tilde{D} + nF$, where \tilde{D} is the strict transform of D . Now, we claim that h/n is a jumping number of D at x contributed by E_1 . To verify this, we need to check that

$$\mathcal{J}\left(\frac{h}{n}D\right)_x = \mu_*\left(\mathcal{O}_Y\left(K_{Y|X} - \left\lfloor \frac{h}{n}(nF + \tilde{D}) \right\rfloor\right)\right)_x \subsetneq \mu_*\left(\mathcal{O}_Y\left(K_{Y|X} - \left\lfloor \frac{h}{n}(nF + \tilde{D}) \right\rfloor + E_1\right)\right)_x,$$

which holds if and only if

$$\mu_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y|X} - hF))_x \subsetneq \mu_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y|X} - hF + E_1))_x,$$

which holds because h/n is less than one and \tilde{D} is reduced. So we are done by (4-9). □

Remark 4.16. [Theorem 4.15](#) shows that the set of valuations that contribute some jumping number of some divisor in X includes all divisorial valuations over X , in contrast to the valuations computing only the log-canonical threshold of divisors, which are known to satisfy many special properties (see [[Blum 2021](#)]). This negatively answers a question raised by Joaquín Moraga, asking whether any valuation contributing a jumping number also computes a log-canonical threshold. We thank him for asking this question and useful related conversations.

4B. Relation to Hilbert–Samuel multiplicity. In this section, we relate the Hilbert–Samuel multiplicity of the subscheme Z at an irreducible component Z_1 to the Rees coefficients ρ_c associated to the jumping numbers of \mathfrak{a} . We first recall the relevant definitions.

Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be the local ring of X at the generic point of Z_1 and \mathfrak{a} be the ideal of Z in A . Let h denote the Krull dimension of A . The Hilbert–Samuel multiplicity of (A, \mathfrak{m}) with respect to the \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal \mathfrak{a} , denoted by $e_{\mathfrak{a}}(A)$, is defined to be the number

$$e_{\mathfrak{a}}(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h! \lambda(A/\mathfrak{a}^n)}{n^h},$$

where λ denotes the length as an A -module. The number $e_{\mathfrak{a}}(A)$ is always a positive integer.

Recall that for any real number $c > 0$, the Rees coefficient ρ_c of Z along Z_1 was defined in [Definition 3.11](#) so that $m(c+n) = \rho_c n^{h-1} + o(n^{h-2})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Here $m(c)$ denotes the multiplicity of the number c ([Definition 3.2](#)).

Theorem 4.17. *We have*

$$\sum_{c \in (0,1]} \rho_c = \frac{e_{\mathfrak{a}}(A)}{(h-1)!}.$$

The main idea relating these two numbers is that the Hilbert–Samuel multiplicity can be computed using multiplier ideals, which is a consequence of Skoda’s theorem:

Lemma 4.18. *We have*

$$e_{\mathfrak{a}}(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h! \lambda(A/\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^n))}{n^h}.$$

Proof. If d is the dimension of the ambient variety X , for $n \geq d$, we have

$$\mathfrak{a}^n \subset \mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^n) \subset \mathfrak{a}^{n-d+1},$$

where the second containment holds because of Skoda’s theorem ([Theorem 2.5](#)). This gives us

$$\lambda(A/\mathfrak{a}^{n-d+1}) \leq \lambda(A/(\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^n))) \leq \lambda(A/\mathfrak{a}^n).$$

When we divide by n^h and take limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, since both the first and third terms approach $e_{\mathfrak{a}}(A)/h!$, so does the middle term. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.17. We first note that ρ_c can be nonzero only for the candidate jumping numbers (defined in [Section 2A1](#)). Hence, the sum is finite. Now to prove the proposition, we use [Lemma 4.18](#) to compute $e_{\mathfrak{a}}(A)$ as follows:

$$\frac{e_{\mathfrak{a}}(A)}{h!} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda(A/\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^n))}{n^h} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{c \leq n} m(c)}{n^h} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{c \in (0,1]} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} m(c+j)}{n^h}.$$

Since $m(c+j) = \rho_c j^{h-1} + o(j^{h-1})$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, by using the fact that $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} j^i = n^{i+1}/(i+1) + o(n^i)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} m(c+j) = (\rho_c/h)n^h + o(n^{h-1})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then we have

$$\frac{e_{\mathfrak{a}}(A)}{h!} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{c \in (0,1]} (\rho_c/h)n^h + o(n^{h-1})}{n^h} = \frac{\sum_{c \in (0,1]} \rho_c}{h},$$

which concludes the proof. \square

5. Special case: monomial ideals

In this section, we derive an explicit formula for the multiplicities of jumping numbers and Rees coefficients (Theorem 5.3) of an arbitrary cofinite monomial ideal (see Definitions 3.2 and 3.11). In particular, we see that the Rees coefficient is positive for the class of any jumping number in this case (Corollary 5.5).

We introduce some notation. Let $X = \mathbb{A}^d$ and $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$, and let \mathfrak{a} be an \mathfrak{m} -primary monomial ideal, where $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$. Fix the lattice $M = \mathbb{N}^d$ inside $M_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}^d$. For any $v = (v_1, \dots, v_d) \in M$, we write $x^v = x_1^{v_1} \cdots x_d^{v_d}$ for the corresponding monomial. For any ideal generated by monomials \mathfrak{a} in R , the *Newton polyhedron* $P(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of \mathfrak{a} is the convex hull of all vectors $v \in M$ such that x^v belongs to \mathfrak{a} . Note that $P(\mathfrak{a})$ is an unbounded polyhedral (i.e., bounded by polygonal faces) region in the first orthant of \mathbb{R}^d . For any positive real number c , let $P(c \cdot \mathfrak{a})$ denote the polyhedron obtained by scaling the vectors in $P(\mathfrak{a})$ by a factor of c . A theorem of Howald [2001] provides a formula for the multiplier ideals of \mathfrak{a} :

Theorem 5.1 [Lazarsfeld 2004b, Theorem 9.3.27]. *Fix a monomial ideal \mathfrak{a} in R and a positive real number c . Then the multiplier ideal $\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^c)$ is the monomial ideal generated by all monomials x^v such that*

$$v + \mathbf{1} \in \text{Int}(P(c \cdot \mathfrak{a})),$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ is the vector $(1, \dots, 1)$ and $\text{Int}(P(c \cdot \mathfrak{a}))$ is the interior of the scaled Newton polyhedron $P(c \cdot \mathfrak{a})$.

We can now state our formula for the multiplicity and Rees coefficient of a jumping number.

Notation 5.2. We will use the following notation throughout this section.

- For any set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $\#(S)$ denotes the number of lattice points in S .
- If P is a face of a d -dimensional polyhedron in \mathbb{R}^d , then $\text{vol}(P)$ denotes the $(d-1)$ -volume of P .

Theorem 5.3. *Fix an \mathfrak{m} -primary monomial ideal \mathfrak{a} and a positive real number c . Then the multiplicity of c is given by*

$$m(c) = \# \left\{ \bigcup_i c P_i^\circ \cap M \right\}, \tag{5-1}$$

where the P_i are all the bounded faces of the Newton polyhedron $P(\mathfrak{a})$ and

$$c P_i^\circ := c P_i - \left(\bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq d} j\text{-th coordinate hyperplane} \right) = c P_i \cap (\mathbf{1} + M).$$

Moreover, the Rees coefficient ρ_c is given by

$$\rho_c = \sum_{c \mathcal{H}_i \cap M \neq \emptyset} \text{vol}(P_i),$$

where \mathcal{H}_i is the unique hyperplane containing the face P_i .

To prove Theorem 5.3, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 5.4. *For each jumping number c of the monomial ideal \mathfrak{a} , the k -vector space $\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^{c-\epsilon}) / \mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^c)$ is isomorphic to the vector space generated by the monomials in $\partial P(c \cdot \mathfrak{a}) \cap (\mathbf{1} + M)$, where $\partial P(c \cdot \mathfrak{a})$ is the boundary of the dilated (by c) Newton polyhedron $P(c \cdot \mathfrak{a})$.*

Proof. Howald's theorem implies that a real number $c > 0$ is a jumping number of \mathfrak{a} if and only if $cP_i^\circ \cap M$ is nonempty for some i . Moreover, the monomials that are contained in $\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^{c-\epsilon})$ but not in $\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^c)$ are exactly the monomials x^v such that $v + \mathbf{1}$ is on the boundary of $P(c \cdot \mathfrak{a})$. Therefore, the k -vector space $\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^{c-\epsilon})/\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^c)$ is isomorphic to the vector space generated by the monomials in $\partial P(c \cdot \mathfrak{a}) \cap (\mathbf{1} + M)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.3. The condition that \mathfrak{a} is an \mathfrak{m} -primary monomial ideal is equivalent to the condition that the region $P(\mathfrak{a})$ intersects each coordinate axis. In this case, the boundary of $P(\mathfrak{a})$ is the union of the bounded faces P_1, \dots, P_r and the unbounded faces exactly along the coordinate hyperplanes. The faces P_1, \dots, P_r are polytopes of dimension $d - 1$ with vertices in M . Each polytope P_i is contained in a unique hyperplane $\mathcal{H}_i \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.

For the first claim, we note that addition by $\mathbf{1}$ gives a one-to-one correspondence between vectors in M and the vectors in M that do not lie on any of the coordinate hyperplanes. Hence, the vectors v in M such that $v + \mathbf{1} \in \partial P(c \cdot \mathfrak{a})$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the vectors in $\partial P(c \cdot \mathfrak{a})$ that do not lie on any of the coordinate hyperplanes. But, since the components of the boundary that do not lie on the coordinate hyperplanes are exactly the bounded components P_1, \dots, P_r , this proves the first claim. So the formula for the multiplicity follows from this and Lemma 5.4 above.

For $c > 0$, let cP_i denote the polytope obtained by scaling each vector in P_i by c and let $c\mathcal{H}_i$ be the corresponding scaled hyperplane.

For the last claim, we use the following fact: Let Q be a convex polyhedron of dimension ℓ in \mathbb{R}^ℓ . Then

$$\text{vol}(Q) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#(tQ \cap \mathbb{Z}^\ell)}{t^\ell}.$$

We actually need a slightly more general version of this formula: Suppose $\{Q_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence of polyhedra of dimension ℓ in \mathbb{R}^ℓ such that Q_n is some (possibly noninteger) translate of $c_n Q_1$, where $\{c_n\}$ is a sequence of real numbers such that $c_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$\text{vol}(Q_1) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#(Q_n \cap M)}{c_n^\ell}.$$

This statement actually follows immediately from the previous statement with the additional observation that when we translate a polyhedron by any vector, the difference in the number of lattice points is bounded above by a fixed multiple of volume of the boundary of the polyhedron. Hence, the difference does not really matter for the limit.

Suppose $c\mathcal{H}_i \cap M \neq \emptyset$. Then the number points of $(c+n)\mathcal{H}_i \cap M$ in $P((c+n) \cdot \mathfrak{a})$ is the same as the number of lattice points in a translate of $((c+n)/c)(cP_i)$ in $c\mathcal{H}_i$. This is because any lattice point on P_i gives us a natural bijection between the lattice points in $c\mathcal{H}_i$ and $(c+n)\mathcal{H}_i$ (by translating). Under this translation, we can identify $(c+n)P_i$ in $(c+n)\mathcal{H}_i$ with a translate of $((c+n)/c)(cP_i)$ in $c\mathcal{H}_i$. Hence, using the formula above with $\ell = d - 1$, $\mathbb{R}^{d-1} = c\mathcal{H}_i$, $Q_n = (c+n)P_i$ and $c_n = (c+n)/c$, we have

$$\text{vol}(P_i) = \frac{1}{c^{d-1}} \text{vol}(cP_i) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#(((c+n)/c)P_i \cap M)}{((c+n)/c)^{d-1}}.$$

Since the number of lattice points in the intersection of $(c + n)P_i$ and the coordinate hyperplanes or the intersection of $(c + n)P_i$ and $(c + n)P_j$ for $j \neq i$ grows at a rate of at most n^{d-2} , the formula for ρ_c follows. \square

Corollary 5.5. *If c is a jumping number of an m -primary monomial ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$, then the Rees coefficient ρ_c is positive.*

6. Poincaré series

Let X be a smooth variety. For any closed subscheme Z of X and an irreducible component Z_1 of Z , we can assemble the multiplicities of jumping numbers (Definition 3.2) into a generating function called the *Poincaré series* of Z at Z_1 . More precisely, this is the generating function defined by

$$\phi_{Z, Z_1}(T) = \sum_{c \in (0, \infty)} m(c)T^c = \sum_{c \in (0, 1]} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m(c+n)T^{c+n}.$$

This series is clearly only a countable sum, because the jumping numbers of Z are a discrete subset of rational numbers. Moreover, there is an $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every jumping number has a denominator a factor of ℓ , i.e., every jumping number is of the form n/ℓ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, having chosen such an ℓ and setting $z = T^{1/\ell}$, we see that $\phi_{Z, Z_1}(T)$ is actually a power series in z . We use the polynomial nature of $m(c+n)$ proved in Theorem 3.3 to prove that this power series is actually a rational function:

Theorem 6.1. *$\phi_{Z, Z_1}(z)$ is a rational function of z , where $z = T^{1/\ell}$ and ℓ is as above. In fact, we have the formula*

$$\phi_{Z, Z_1}(T) = \sum_{c \in (0, 1]} \left(\frac{m(c)}{(1-T)} + \frac{\gamma_{c,1}T}{(1-T)^2} + \dots + \frac{\gamma_{c,h-2}T}{(1-T)^{h-1}} + \frac{\rho_c(h-1)!T}{(1-T)^h} \right) T^c \tag{6-1}$$

for some rational numbers $\gamma_{c,i}$, where h denotes the codimension of Z_1 in X .

Proof. For any $c \in (0, 1]$, by Theorem 3.3 we have

$$m(c+n) = \alpha_{0,c} + \alpha_{1,c}n + \dots + \alpha_{h-1,c}n^{h-1}.$$

(Of course, $\alpha_{h-1,c} = \rho_c$ and $\alpha_{0,c} = m(c)$.) Now, the list of polynomials

$$p_0(n) = 1, \quad p_1(n) = n, \quad p_2(n) = \binom{n+1}{2}, \quad \dots, \quad p_{h-1}(n) = \binom{n+h-2}{h-1}$$

has exactly one polynomial of each degree between 0 and $h-1$. So these polynomials form a basis over \mathbb{Q} of the space of rational polynomials of degree less than h . Thus, we can write the polynomial $m(c+n)$ in n in terms of the $p(i)$'s as follows:

$$m(c+n) = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \gamma_{c,i} p_i(n) \quad \text{for some rational numbers } \gamma_{c,i}. \tag{6-2}$$

Inspecting the constant and the leading coefficient, we get $\gamma_{c,0} = m(c)$ and $\gamma_{c,h-1} = (h-1)! \times \rho_c$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{Z,Z_1}(T) &= \sum_{c \in (0, \infty)} m(c)T^c = \sum_{c \in (0,1]} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m(c+n)T^{c+n} \\ &= \sum_{c \in (0,1]} T^c \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\gamma_{c,0}p_0(n) + \gamma_{c,1}p_1(n) + \cdots + \gamma_{c,h-1}p_{h-1}(n))T^n \\ &= \sum_{c \in (0,1]} T^c \left(\gamma_{c,0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_0(n)T^n + \gamma_{c,1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_1(n)T^n + \cdots + \gamma_{c,h-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{h-1}(n)T^n \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now the theorem follows from the following elementary observation:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_i(n)T^n = \begin{cases} 1/(1-T) & \text{if } i = 0, \\ T/(1-T)^{i+1} & \text{if } i \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

When $i = 0$ the formula is clear. For $i \geq 1$, the formula is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{(1-T)^{i+1}} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{j+i}{i} T^j,$$

which is a well-known combinatorial identity counting degree j monomials in $i+1$ variables. \square

Remark 6.2. [Theorem 6.1](#) was proved independently by Àlvarez Montaner and Núñez-Betancourt [2022] using different methods. They also prove an analogous theorem for test ideals, which are positive-characteristic counterparts of multiplier ideals. We are grateful to them for conveying the explicit form for the Poincaré series as in (6-1).

Remark 6.3. The case of [Theorem 6.1](#) when Z is a point scheme and X is a surface was proved by Alberich-Carramiñana et al. [2017], generalizing the work of Galindo and Monserrat [2010] in the case of simple complete ideals.

Acknowledgements. I would first like to thank my PhD thesis advisor Prof. Karen Smith for generously sharing her time and ideas, and closely guiding me throughout this project. I thank Josep Àlvarez Montaner and Luis Núñez-Betancourt for communicating their results and kindly sharing their preprint [2022] with me. I also thank them for suggesting a useful modification to the statement of [Theorem 6.1](#). I thank the referee for many useful suggestions for improving the paper. I thank Joaquín Moraga for raising questions that led to [Theorem 4.15](#). I thank Prof. Suresh Nayak for comments on an earlier draft. Finally I would like to thank Shelby Cox, Andrew Gordon, Sayantan Khan, Devlin Mallory, Malavika Mukundan, Sridhar Venkatesh and Yinan Nancy Wang for helpful conversations.

References

[Alberich-Carramiñana et al. 2016] M. Alberich-Carramiñana, J. Àlvarez Montaner, and F. Dachs-Cadefau, “Multiplier ideals in two-dimensional local rings with rational singularities”, *Michigan Math. J.* **65**:2 (2016), 287–320. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [Alberich-Carramiñana et al. 2017] M. Alberich-Carramiñana, J. Àlvarez Montaner, F. Dachs-Cadefau, and V. González-Alonso, “Poincaré series of multiplier ideals in two-dimensional local rings with rational singularities”, *Adv. Math.* **304** (2017), 769–792. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Àlvarez Montaner and Núñez Betancourt 2022] J. Àlvarez Montaner and L. Núñez Betancourt, “Poincaré series of multiplier and test ideals”, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **38**:6 (2022), 1993–2009. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Baumers et al. 2018] H. Baumers, W. Veys, K. E. Smith, and K. Tucker, “Contribution of jumping numbers by exceptional divisors”, *J. Algebra* **496** (2018), 24–47. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Blum 2021] H. Blum, “On divisors computing mld’s and lct’s”, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **58**:1 (2021), 113–132. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Budur 2003] N. Budur, “On Hodge spectrum and multiplier ideals”, *Math. Ann.* **327**:2 (2003), 257–270. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Budur et al. 2006] N. Budur, M. Mustață, and M. Saito, “Bernstein–Sato polynomials of arbitrary varieties”, *Compos. Math.* **142**:3 (2006), 779–797. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Debarre 2001] O. Debarre, *Higher-dimensional algebraic geometry*, Springer, 2001. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Ein et al. 2004] L. Ein, R. Lazarsfeld, K. E. Smith, and D. Varolin, “Jumping coefficients of multiplier ideals”, *Duke Math. J.* **123**:3 (2004), 469–506. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Fulton 1998] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, 2nd ed., Ergebnisse der Math. (3) **2**, Springer, 1998. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Galindo and Monserrat 2010] C. Galindo and F. Monserrat, “The Poincaré series of multiplier ideals of a simple complete ideal in a local ring of a smooth surface”, *Adv. Math.* **225**:2 (2010), 1046–1068. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Galindo et al. 2016] C. Galindo, F. Hernando, and F. Monserrat, “The log-canonical threshold of a plane curve”, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **160**:3 (2016), 513–535. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hartshorne 1977] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **52**, Springer, 1977. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Howald 2001] J. A. Howald, “Multiplier ideals of monomial ideals”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **353**:7 (2001), 2665–2671. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Huneke and Swanson 2006] C. Huneke and I. Swanson, *Integral closure of ideals, rings, and modules*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **336**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2006. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hyry and Järvilehto 2018] E. Hyry and T. Järvilehto, “A formula for jumping numbers in a two-dimensional regular local ring”, *J. Algebra* **516** (2018), 437–470. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Järvilehto 2011] T. Järvilehto, *Jumping numbers of a simple complete ideal in a two-dimensional regular local ring*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **1009**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kleiman 1966] S. L. Kleiman, “Toward a numerical theory of ampleness”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **84** (1966), 293–344. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kollár 1997] J. Kollár, “Singularities of pairs”, pp. 221–287 in *Algebraic geometry* (Santa Cruz, 1995), edited by J. Kollár et al., Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **62**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kuwata 1999] T. Kuwata, “On log canonical thresholds of reducible plane curves”, *Amer. J. Math.* **121**:4 (1999), 701–721. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Lazarsfeld 2004a] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry, I: Classical setting: line bundles and linear series*, Ergebnisse der Math. (3) **48**, Springer, 2004. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Lazarsfeld 2004b] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry, II: Positivity for vector bundles, and multiplier ideals*, Ergebnisse der Math. (3) **49**, Springer, 2004. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Lipman 1969] J. Lipman, “Rational singularities, with applications to algebraic surfaces and unique factorization”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **36** (1969), 195–279. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [MultiplierIdealsDim2] F. Dachs-Cadefau, “MultiplierIdealsDim2: multiplier ideals in 2-dimensional rings”, available at <https://github.com/Macaulay2/M2/blob/master/M2/Macaulay2/packages/MultiplierIdealsDim2.m2>. Macaulay2 package.
- [Naie 2009] D. Naie, “Jumping numbers of a unibranch curve on a smooth surface”, *Manuscripta Math.* **128**:1 (2009), 33–49. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Smith and Thompson 2007] K. E. Smith and H. M. Thompson, “Irrelevant exceptional divisors for curves on a smooth surface”, pp. 245–254 in *Algebra, geometry and their interactions*, edited by A. Corso et al., Contemp. Math. **448**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Tucker 2010] K. Tucker, “Jumping numbers on algebraic surfaces with rational singularities”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **362**:6 (2010), 3223–3241. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Communicated by Shunsuke Takagi

Received 2021-03-12 Revised 2021-11-15 Accepted 2022-03-04

swarajsp@umich.edu

*Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI,
United States*

Algebra & Number Theory

msp.org/ant

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Antoine Chambert-Loir
Université Paris-Diderot
France

EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR

David Eisenbud
University of California
Berkeley, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Jason P. Bell	University of Waterloo, Canada	Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne
Bhargav Bhatt	University of Michigan, USA	Martin Olsson	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Frank Calegari	University of Chicago, USA	Irena Peeva	Cornell University, USA
J-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, France	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
Brian D. Conrad	Stanford University, USA	Anand Pillay	University of Notre Dame, USA
Samit Dasgupta	Duke University, USA	Bjorn Poonen	Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA
Hélène Esnault	Freie Universität Berlin, Germany	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
Gavril Farkas	Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Germany	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Sergey Fomin	University of Michigan, USA	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Vasudevan Srinivas	Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, India
Wee Teck Gan	National University of Singapore	Shunsuke Takagi	University of Tokyo, Japan
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	Pham Huu Tiep	Rutgers University, USA
Ben J. Green	University of Oxford, UK	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Christopher Hacon	University of Utah, USA	Akshay Venkatesh	Institute for Advanced Study, USA
Roger Heath-Brown	Oxford University, UK	Melanie Matchett Wood	Harvard University, USA
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Shou-Wu Zhang	Princeton University, USA
Michael J. Larsen	Indiana University Bloomington, USA		

PRODUCTION

production@msp.org

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor


See inside back cover or msp.org/ant for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2023 is US \$485/year for the electronic version, and \$705/year (+\$65, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1944-7833 electronic, 1937-0652 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online.

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2023 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 17 No. 1 2023

Cohomologie analytique des arrangements d'hyperplans DAMIEN JUNGER	1
Distinction inside L-packets of $SL(n)$ U. K. ANANDAVARDHANAN and NADIR MATRINGE	45
Multiplicities of jumping numbers SWARAJ PANDE	83
A classification of the weak Lefschetz property for almost complete intersections generated by uniform powers of general linear forms MATS BOIJ and SAMUEL LUNDQVIST	111
A classification of modular compactifications of the space of pointed elliptic curves by Gorenstein curves SEBASTIAN BOZLEE, BOB KUO and ADRIAN NEFF	127
On unipotent radicals of motivic Galois groups PAYMAN ESKANDARI and V. KUMAR MURTY	165
Support theory for Drinfeld doubles of some infinitesimal group schemes ERIC M. FRIEDLANDER and CRIS NEGRON	217
Correction à l'article Sous-groupe de Brauer invariant et obstruction de descente itérée YANG CAO	261