	Algebra & Number Theory
	Volume 17 2023 No. 11
	e diagonal coinvariant ring of complex reflection group Stephen Griffeth



The diagonal coinvariant ring of a complex reflection group

Stephen Griffeth

For an irreducible complex reflection group W of rank n containing N reflections, we put g = 2N/nand construct a $(g + 1)^n$ -dimensional irreducible representation of the Cherednik algebra which is (as a vector space) a quotient of the diagonal coinvariant ring of W. We propose that this representation of the Cherednik algebra is the single largest representation bearing this relationship to the diagonal coinvariant ring, and that further corrections to this estimate of the dimension of the diagonal coinvariant ring by $(g + 1)^n$ should be orders of magnitude smaller. A crucial ingredient in the construction is the existence of a dot action of a certain product of symmetric groups (the Namikawa–Weyl group) acting on the parameter space of the rational Cherednik algebra and leaving invariant both the finite Hecke algebra and the spherical subalgebra; this fact is a consequence of ideas of Berest and Chalykh on the relationship between the Cherednik algebra and quasiinvariants.

1. Introduction

1A. *Coinvariant rings.* Given a finite linear group $W \subseteq GL(\mathfrak{h})$, where \mathfrak{h} is a finite-dimensional complex vector space, the group W acts by automorphisms on the ring $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$ of polynomial functions on \mathfrak{h} . It is well known that the quotient variety \mathfrak{h}/W is smooth precisely when the ring $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$ is isomorphic to a polynomial ring, which happens exactly when the group W is generated by reflections. In this case, letting J_W be the ideal of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$ generated by the positive-degree W-invariant polynomials, the *coinvariant ring* of W is the ring $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]/J_W$, which might be thought of as the ring of functions on the scheme-theoretic fiber over 0 of the quotient map $\mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{h}/W$, and is isomorphic to the regular representation of W. In fact, it is a graded W-module, and the *exponents* of a given irreducible representation E of $\mathbb{C}W$ are the degrees in which it occurs in this graded module. For reflection groups such as the symmetric group with combinatorial structure encoded via partitions and various sorts of tableaux, these exponents may be calculated via combinatorial statistics.

I thank François Bergeron and Vic Reiner for stimulating correspondences; Theo Douvropoulos, Arun Ram, and Vic Reiner for comments on a preliminary version of this article; and Carlos Ajila and Arun Ram for many interesting conversations on this topic. I am especially grateful to Gwyn Bellamy and José Simental for pointing me to relevant recent work on Namikawa–Weyl groups and the spherical subalgebra, to a referee for very helpful suggestions and corrections, and to Daniel Juteau for teaching me just enough GAP to get by. I acknowledge the financial support of Fondecyt Proyecto Regular (1190597). *MSC2020:* 05A10, 17B10, 20F55.

Keywords: Diagonal coinvariant ring, complex reflection group, rational Cherednik algebra, double affine Hecke algebra.

^{© 2023} MSP (Mathematical Sciences Publishers). Distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY). Open Access made possible by subscribing institutions via Subscribe to Open.

1B. *Diagonal coinvariant rings.* The group *W* also acts on $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^* \oplus \mathfrak{h}]$ by automorphisms, and, by analogy with the preceding one, we may consider the quotient variety $(\mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{h})/W$, which is an example of a symplectic singularity. Its ring of functions is the invariant ring $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^* \oplus \mathfrak{h}]^W$, which in the case where *W* is a reflection group, has a more interesting structure than $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$. Likewise, letting I_W be the ideal of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^* \oplus \mathfrak{h}]$ generated by the positive degree elements of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^* \oplus \mathfrak{h}]^W$, the *diagonal coinvariant ring* is the quotient

$$R_W = \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}^* \oplus \mathfrak{h}]/I_W.$$

It may be thought of as the ring of functions on the scheme-theoretic fiber over 0 of the quotient map

$$\mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{h} \to (\mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{h}) / W$$

(for this reason, and to avoid confusion with the coinvariants of a group action, perhaps the names *zero-fiber ring* and *diagonal zero-fiber ring* are more suitable). The ring R_W carries a bigrading, and in analogy with the case of the coinvariant ring, one may ask for the bigraded character of this ring. However, the answer to this question is known explicitly only for two classes of examples: the symmetric groups and the dihedral groups. For most reflection groups W, we do not even have a conjectural formula for the dimension of R_W .

However, for real reflection groups, Haiman [1994] conjectured, and Gordon [2003] proved, that there is a quotient of R_W of dimension $(h+1)^n$, where *h* is the Coxeter number of *W*. Gordon predicted that this phenomenon generalizes at least to the complex reflection groups of type $G(\ell, m, n)$, and Vale [2007a] and the author [Griffeth 2010b] proved this. Later, Gordon and the author [Gordon and Griffeth 2012] showed (assuming the freeness conjecture for Hecke algebras, which is now known) that a similar technique, based on Rouquier's theorem on the uniqueness of highest weight covers, would produce a quotient ring of R_W of dimension $(h + 1)^n$, where now we define the Coxeter number *h* of an irreducible complex reflection group by

$$h = \frac{N + N^*}{n},$$

where N is the number of reflections in W, N^* is the number of reflecting hyperplanes, and $n = \dim(\mathfrak{h})$ is the rank. Here we point out that, while these quotients are natural from the point of view of Catalan combinatorics (as predicted in [Bessis and Reiner 2011]), they should not be regarded as the best approximations available to the full diagonal coinvariant ring in the case where the group W contains reflections of order greater than 2.

1C. *Lower bounds via representation theory.* Meanwhile, together with Ajila [Ajila and Griffeth 2021], we have very recently observed that a more delicate application of the same techniques can be used to improve the lower bound $\dim(R_W) \ge (h+1)^n$ for the type B Weyl groups. However, this improvement is orders of magnitude smaller than $(h+1)^n$, which we argue should perhaps be regarded as the principal term in an approximation of $\dim(R_W)$. Thus the first question to be answered is, do we already know the analogous principal term for an irreducible complex reflection group?

Our main purpose here is to observe that for complex groups containing reflections of order greater than 2, the approximation by $(h + 1)^n$ should *not* be regarded as the principal term. Rather, we have:

Theorem 1.1. Let W be an irreducible complex reflection group of rank n containing N reflections. There is a quotient of the diagonal coinvariant ring R_W of dimension $(g + 1)^n$, where g = 2N/n.

As usual, we prove this bound by exhibiting an irreducible representation $L = L_c$ (triv) of the rational Cherednik algebra of dimension $(g + 1)^n$ in which the determinant appears exactly once (see Lemma 3.1; in [Ajila and Griffeth 2021], we have called such representations coinvariant type). We do this in three ways, one of which is conjectural: firstly, we prove it in general using the philosophy from [Gordon and Griffeth 2012]. The technical details must be modified substantially, due to the fact that g + 1 is not prime to h in general. This proof requires as input some striking coincidences from the numerology of complex reflection groups. Secondly, for the infinite family $G(\ell, m, n)$, we use the tools developed in [Griffeth 2010a; 2010b; 2018; Fishel et al. 2021]. This method gives the most information; it gives explicit bases and a practical graded character formula. For future work improving the bound in the case where $W = G(\ell, m, n)$, this construction is likely to be the most useful of the three. Finally, we sketch a construction of the required representation that depends on an elaboration of the beautiful observations of Berest and Chalykh [2011] linking the Cherednik algebra to quasiinvariants; our proof that this actually works depends, however, on calculations with Schur elements and thereby on the widely believed symmetrizing trace conjecture for the Hecke algebra, which is currently known to hold only for the infinite family, real groups, and a few of the exceptional complex reflection groups, as well as a conjecture about how Heckman–Opdam shift functors interact with standard modules. The numerological coincidences mentioned above would follow as corollaries to this method (assuming the needed conjectures are established) rather than appearing as miraculously convenient ingredients in the proof.

1D. *KZ twists and the duality in the exponents.* The parameter space \mathscr{C} for the rational Cherednik algebra consists of *W*-invariant tuples of numbers $c = (c_{H,j})_{H \in \mathscr{A}, 0 \le j \le n_H - 1}$ indexed by pairs (H, j) consisting of a reflecting hyperplane $H \in \mathscr{A}$ for *W* and an integer $0 \le j \le n_H - 1$. The corresponding finite Hecke algebra is the quotient of the group algebra of the braid group of *W* by relations of the form

$$\prod_{j=0}^{n_H-1} (T_H - e^{2\pi i (c_{H,j}+j/n_H)}) = 0,$$

where $H \in \mathcal{A}$ is a reflecting hyperplane, T_H is a generator of monodromy around H, and n_H is the order of the cyclic reflection subgroup of W fixing H pointwise. This quotient is invariant by the group $\mathscr{C}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of translations by integer parameters, as well as by the group G_W of permutations of the parameters $c_{H,j} + j/n_H$. Thus, the parameter space for the Hecke algebra is effectively the quotient $\mathscr{C}/(\mathscr{C}_{\mathbb{Z}} \rtimes G_W)$, and this together with the relationship between the Hecke algebra and the Cherednik algebra implies that the group $\mathscr{C}_{\mathbb{Z}} \rtimes G_W$ acts by permutations ($KZ \ twists$) on the set of irreducible representations of W. Let σ_0 be the longest element of the subgroup of G_W fixing the indices 0, and let τ be the translation by 1 of all $c_{H,j}$, with $j \neq 0$. The composite $\sigma = \tau \sigma_0$ thus induces a permutation κ of the irreducible representations of W (see Section 3K for the precise definition).

We should remark that the group G_W is the *Namikawa–Weyl group* of the symplectic singularity $(\mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{h})/W$ (see [Namikawa 2010; 2011; 2015] for the general theory and Lemma 4.1 of [Bellamy et al. 2018] for the agreement with G_W in our case). It is, therefore, tempting to refer to $\mathscr{C}_{\mathbb{Z}} \rtimes G_W$ as the *affine Namikawa–Weyl group of W*.

In the first construction proving Theorem 1.1 above, a certain duality for the exponents plays a key role. Since it may be of independent interest, we state the result here:

Theorem 1.2. Let κ be the permutation of the irreducible representations of W induced by σ . Then

$$e_i(\mathfrak{h}) + e_{n-i+1}(\kappa(\mathfrak{h}^*)) = g \text{ for all } 1 \le i \le n,$$

where $e_i(E)$ are the exponents of the irreducible representation E of W, which are the degrees in which it appears in the ordinary coinvariant ring. In particular, g = 2N/n is an integer.

We note that when the group is real, $\kappa(\mathfrak{h}^*) = \mathfrak{h}$, h = g, and this duality reduces to the usual one. On the other hand, when *W* is one of the groups $G(\ell, 1, n)$ or is a primitive group containing reflections of order greater than 2, we also have $\kappa(\mathfrak{h}^*) = \mathfrak{h}$, and the duality becomes

$$d_i + d_{n-i+1} = g + 2.$$

We obtain Theorem 1.2 via the technique of [Berest and Chalykh 2011, Section 8.3], as explained below.

In the conjectural construction of the principal coinvariant type representation, a version of a result of Berest and Chalykh [2011] plays a key role. Because it will be of use in future work on related problems and the study of the Cherednik algebra itself, we state it separately here (in the body of the paper, it is Theorem 3.6). We write $D(\mathfrak{h}^\circ)$ for the algebra of polynomial coefficient differential operators on the complement \mathfrak{h}° to the set of reflecting hyperplanes for W, and $D(\mathfrak{h}^\circ) \rtimes W$ for the algebra of operators generated by it and W. We recall that the Cherednik algebra H_c and its spherical subalgebra eH_ce , where e is the symmetrizing idempotent of W, are both subalgebras (the latter nonunital) of $D(\mathfrak{h}^\circ) \rtimes W$. The following theorem appears as Theorem 3.6 below, where precise definitions and conventions are specified:

Theorem 1.3. For all $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $g \in G_W$, we have an equality of (nonunital) subalgebras of the algebra $D(\mathfrak{h}^\circ) \rtimes W$:

$$eH_ce = eH_{g\cdot c}e.$$

Thus the Namikawa–Weyl group G_W preserves not only the finite Hecke algebra, but the spherical subalgebra as well. In Proposition 5.4 of [Berest and Chalykh 2011], this same equality is proved for g in a certain cyclic subgroup of G_W and is the key point in their construction of Heckman–Opdam shift functors. We emphasize that no new ideas beyond what is contained in [Berest and Chalykh 2011] are necessary for the proof of this more general theorem (just very careful bookkeeping), and that another proof using different ideas recently appeared — this is Corollary 2.22 of [Bellamy et al. 2021] (see also Theorem 3.4 of [Losev 2022], which replaces equality with isomorphism but works in more generality).

1E. *More mysterious numerology.* There is a connection here to a conjecture of Stump [2010], that the number of occurrences of the determinant representation of W in the diagonal coinvariant ring for a well-generated complex reflection group W is given by the W-Catalan number

$$\operatorname{Cat}(W) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{h+d_i}{d_i}$$

For the groups $G(\ell, 1, n)$ and the primitive groups containing reflections of order greater than 2, our results imply that the number of occurrences of the determinant in the diagonal coinvariant ring is *at least*

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{g+d_i^*+1}{d_i},$$

where d_i^* are the *codegrees* of W. But it turns out that, thanks to another instance of mysteriously favorable numerology, we actually have

$$g + d_i^* + 1 = h + d_i$$
 for all $1 \le i \le n$

for such groups. This coincidence deserves further thought and gives a bit of evidence for Stump's conjecture: for although we have improved our estimation of the diagonal coinvariant ring, the number of occurrences of the determinant representation we have discovered has not increased.

1F. An asymptotic version of the $(n + 1)^{n-1}$ conjecture. In order to make more concrete our hope that the number $(g + 1)^n$ is the principal term in an approximation to dim (R_W) , we state the result for the monomial group $W = G(\ell, m, n)$, for which

$$g = \ell(n-1) + 2\left(\frac{\ell}{m} - 1\right),$$

more explicitly:

Theorem 1.4. Let ℓ , m, and n be positive integers with m dividing ℓ , and let $W = G(\ell, m, n)$ be the group of $n \times n$ matrices with entries that are either 0 or ℓ -th roots of 1, so that each row and each column has precisely one nonzero entry, and so that the product of the nonzero entries is an (ℓ/m) -th root of 1. Then

$$\dim(R_W) \ge \left(\ell(n-1) + \frac{2\ell}{m} - 1\right)^n.$$

In fact, we will give a construction of the relevant representation $L_c(\text{triv})$ for these groups $G(\ell, m, n)$ which depends on the techniques from [Griffeth 2010b] and gives somewhat more detailed information on its graded character.

Now we can make more precise the hope that $(g + 1)^n$ is almost the dimension of R_W .

Conjecture 1.1. Suppose ℓ and m are positive integers with $\ell \geq 2$ and m dividing ℓ . Then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\dim(R_{G(\ell,m,n)})}{\left(\ell(n-1)+2\ell/m-1\right)^n}=1.$$

Admittedly, the evidence for the conjecture is rather thin: it consists solely of the fact that we have so far been unable to improve the lower bound by anything of the same order of magnitude.

There is another sort of limit one can take to obtain reasonable combinatorics: as suggested by Bergeron [2013], one might work with the analog of the diagonal coinvariant ring for the product of *m* copies of the reflection representation $\mathfrak{h}^{\times m}$ and let *m* tend to infinity. But as far as we know, there is no connection between the two.

2. Quotients of C[h] by systems of parameters

2A. *Reflection groups.* Throughout this paper, we will write $W \subseteq GL(\mathfrak{h})$ for an irreducible complex reflection group acting on an *n*-dimensional vector space \mathfrak{h} , $R \subseteq W$ for the set of reflections in W, and \mathcal{A} for the set of reflecting hyperplanes of W. Given $H \in \mathcal{A}$, we let W_H be the pointwise stabilizer of H, which is a cyclic reflection subgroup of W. We write det : $W \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ for the determinant character of W, which is the restriction of the determinant on GL(\mathfrak{h}) to W. Putting $n_H = |W_H|$, we write

$$e_{H,j} = \frac{1}{n_H} \sum_{w \in W_H} \det^{-j}(w) w$$

for the primitive idempotents of the group algebra CW_H . For a CW-module E, we put

$$E_{H,j} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(e_{H,j}E), \text{ for } H \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } 0 \le j \le n_H - 1,$$

and call the collection $E_{H,j}$ of numbers the *local data* of E. The Coxeter number h of W is

$$h = \frac{N+N^*}{n}$$
, where $N = |R|$ and $N^* = |\mathcal{A}|$,

and we also define the number g = 2N/n as in Section 1. We will see that g is, in fact, an integer (when we prove Theorem 1.2). This also follows from Corollary 6.98 of [Orlik and Terao 1992], and the same number appears in Remark 8.10 of [Chapuy and Douvropoulos 2023] in the context of reflection factorizations of Coxeter elements (see Definition 3.1 from [Chapuy and Douvropoulos 2022]); I thank Theo Douvropoulos for pointing me to these references.

2B. *W*-equivariant homogeneous systems of parameters and the Koszul complex. Suppose $E \subseteq C[\mathfrak{h}]^d$ is an *n*-dimensional *W*-submodule in the degree *d* piece $C[\mathfrak{h}]^d$ of $C[\mathfrak{h}]$ such that the quotient $C[\mathfrak{h}]/C[\mathfrak{h}]E$ by the ideal generated by *E* is finite-dimensional. That is, a basis for *E* is a homogeneous system of parameters in $C[\mathfrak{h}]$. In this case, it follows that the Koszul complex

$$0 \to \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}] \otimes \Lambda^{n} E \to \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}] \otimes \Lambda^{n-1} E \to \dots \to \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}] \otimes E \to \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}] \to \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]/\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}] E \to 0$$

is exact, where the map $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}] \otimes \Lambda^k E \to \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}] \otimes \Lambda^{k-1} E$ is given by the formula

$$f \otimes e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \dots \wedge e_k \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j-1} e_j f \otimes e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{e}_j \wedge \dots \wedge e_k \quad \text{for } f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}] \text{ and } e_1, \dots, e_k \in E,$$

in which the hat over a factor in a product indicates, as usual, that the factor is to be omitted. Evidently these are maps of graded **C***W*-modules, provided that we equip $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}] \otimes \Lambda^k E$ with the grading for which the degree of $f \otimes e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_k$ is

$$\deg(f \otimes e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_k) = \deg(f) + kd.$$

2C. *Graded traces.* Suppose $w \in W$ and we fixed eigenbases x_1, \ldots, x_n of \mathfrak{h}^* and e_1, \ldots, e_n of E for the *w*-action, with $wx_i = \zeta_i x_i$ and $we_i = \mu_i e_i$ for certain roots of unity ζ_i and μ_i . Now the expressions $x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_k} \otimes e_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_m}$ for weakly increasing $1 \le i_1 \le i_2 \le \cdots \le i_k \le n$ and strictly increasing $1 \le j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_m \le n$ are a basis of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^k \otimes \Lambda^m E$. The trace of w on $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^k \otimes \Lambda^m E$ is therefore,

$$\operatorname{tr}(w, \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^k \otimes \Lambda^m E) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le i_1 \le i_2 \le \cdots \le i_k \le n \\ 1 \le j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_m \le n}} \zeta_{i_1} \zeta_{i_2} \cdots \zeta_{i_k} \mu_{j_1} \mu_{j_2} \cdots \mu_{j_m},$$

which is the coefficient c_{km} of $t^k q^m$ in the expansion

$$\frac{\det(1+qw)}{\det(1-tw)} = \sum_{\substack{0 \le k < \infty \\ 0 \le m \le n}} c_{km} t^k q^m.$$

2D. *Reflection representations and amenable representations of* W. Let E be an irreducible CW-module of dimension m. We say that E is a *reflection representation* of W if each $r \in R$ acts as a reflection on E. For $H \in \mathcal{A}$, we put

$$C(H, E) = \sum_{j=0}^{n_H - 1} j E_{H,j}, \text{ where we recall that } E_{H,j} = \dim(e_{H,j}E).$$

Following [Lehrer and Taylor 2009, Definition 10.14 and Lemma 10.15], we say E is amenable if

 $C(H, E) \le n_H - 1$ for all $H \in \mathcal{A}$.

It is immediate (as in Corollary 10.16 of [Lehrer and Taylor 2009]) that if E is a reflection representation, then E and E^* are amenable. The important point for us is the following, which is Theorem 10.18 of [Lehrer and Taylor 2009]:

Theorem 2.1. Let *E* be an amenable **C***W*-module of dimension *m* with exponents e_1, \ldots, e_m . Then there are homogeneous elements $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_m \in (\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}] \otimes E^*)^W$ of degrees e_1, \ldots, e_m such that

$$(\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}] \otimes \Lambda^{\bullet} E^{*})^{W} = \bigoplus_{\substack{0 \le p \le m \\ 1 \le i_{1} < i_{2} < \cdots < i_{p} \le m}} \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^{W} \omega_{i_{1}} \omega_{i_{2}} \cdots \omega_{i_{p}},$$

where, as usual, a product with zero factors should be interpreted as a 1.

2E. *The determinant appears exactly once.* We suppose we have an occurrence of an *n*-dimensional representation *E* in degree g + 1 of $C[\mathfrak{h}]$, with the property that the quotient $L = C[\mathfrak{h}]/EC[\mathfrak{h}]$ of $C[\mathfrak{h}]$ by the ideal generated by *E* is finite-dimensional. We further suppose that *E* is an *n*-dimensional irreducible reflection representation of *W* satisfying

$$g+1 = d_i + e_{n-i+1}$$
 for $1 \le i \le n$, where $e_1 \le \cdots \le e_n$ are the exponents of *E*.

Then using the previous material on the Koszul resolution and arguing as in Theorem 3.2 of [Griffeth 2010b] shows that there is a single occurrence of the determinant representation of W in L, which occurs in degree $e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_n$. Later, we will use this to establish the hypotheses of Lemma 3.1 below.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1: the Cherednik algebra, the Hecke algebra, and KZ twists

3A. *Outline.* In this section, we first give the definitions of Cherednik and Hecke algebras corresponding to W, and then present what we believe to be the natural level of generality for the beautiful constructions of Berest and Chalykh [2011]. In the level of generality we need, this latter material is technically new but requires no new ideas, so we omit the proofs whenever they are completely parallel to those of Berest and Chalykh. We finish by deducing Theorem 1.1 from these ingredients.

3B. *The parameter space.* We write \mathscr{C} for the set of tuples $c = (c_{H,j})_{H \in \mathscr{A}, 0 \le j \le n_H - 1}$ of complex numbers $c_{H,j} \in \mathbb{C}$ indexed by pairs (H, j) consisting of a reflecting hyperplane H for W and an integer $0 \le j \le n_H - 1$, subject to the condition

$$c_{H,i} = c_{w(H),i}$$
 for all $w \in W$, $H \in \mathcal{A}$, and $0 \le j \le n_H - 1$.

Thus, & is a finite-dimensional C-vector space. We put

$$\mathscr{C}_{\mathbf{Z}} = \{ c \in \mathscr{C} \mid c_{H, j} \in \mathbf{Z} \text{ for all } H \in \mathscr{A} \text{ and } 0 \leq j \leq n_H - 1 \}.$$

3C. *The Dunkl operators.* Given $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $y \in \mathfrak{h}$, we define the *Dunkl operator* $y_c \in D(\mathfrak{h}^\circ) \rtimes W$ by

$$y_c(f) = \partial_y(f) - \sum_{H \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{\alpha_H(y)}{\alpha_H} \sum_{j=0}^{n_H - 1} n_H c_{H,j} e_{H,j},$$

where we have fixed $\alpha_H \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ with zero set equal to *H*. We note that since we do not require $c_{H,0} = 0$, these Dunkl operators do not necessarily preserve the space of polynomial functions. They do, however, commute with one another, and preserve the space $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^\circ]$ of polynomial functions on \mathfrak{h}° .

3D. *The rational Cherednik algebra.* Given $c \in \mathcal{C}$, the *rational Cherednik algebra* H_c is the subalgebra of $D(\mathfrak{h}^\circ) \rtimes W$ generated by $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$, the group W, and the Dunkl operators y_c for all $y \in \mathfrak{h}$. It has a triangular decomposition

$$H_c \cong \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}] \otimes \mathbf{C} W \otimes \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*],$$

where we identify $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]$ with the subalgebra of H_c generated by the Dunkl operators. Taking $\delta = \prod \alpha_r$, and adjoining the inverse of δ to H_c , gives the algebra $H_c[\delta^{-1}] = D(\mathfrak{h}^\circ) \rtimes W$, independent of $c \in \mathcal{C}$.

3E. *Category* \mathbb{O}_c . The category \mathbb{O}_c is the full subcategory of H_c -mod consisting of finitely generated H_c -modules on which each Dunkl operator y_c acts locally nilpotently. Among the objects of \mathbb{O}_c are the *standard modules* $\Delta_c(E)$, indexed by $E \in Irr(CW)$ and defined by

$$\Delta_c(E) = \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*] \rtimes W}^{H_c}(E)$$

where $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*] \rtimes W$ is the subalgebra of H_c generated by W and the Dunkl operators, which act on E by 0.

3F. *The Euler element and the c-function.* Fix dual bases x_1, \ldots, x_n and y_1, \ldots, y_n of \mathfrak{h}^* and \mathfrak{h} . A short calculation shows that the Euler vector field eu on \mathfrak{h} may be written in terms of the Dunkl operators as

$$\operatorname{eu} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \partial_{y_i} = \sum x_i (y_i)_c + \sum_{\substack{H \in \mathcal{A} \\ 0 \le j \le n_H - 1}} n_H c_{H,j} e_{H,j}.$$

In particular, its action on the standard module $\Delta_c(E)$ is easy to describe: it acts by the scalar $d + c_E$ on the polynomial degree d piece $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^d \otimes E$ of $\Delta_c(E)$, where

$$c_E = \frac{1}{\dim(E)} \sum_{\substack{H \in \mathcal{A} \\ 0 \le j \le n_H - 1}} n_H c_{H,j} E_{H,j}$$

depends on the parameter *c* and the local data $E_{H,j} = \dim(e_{H,j}E)$ of *E*.

3G. *Coinvariant type representations.* We recall from [Ajila and Griffeth 2021] that a *coinvariant type* representation of H_c is an irreducible H_c -module L such that upon restricting L to the group algebra $\mathbb{C}W$, the determinant representation of W occurs with multiplicity one in L. Each such representation carries a canonical filtration: take the filtration on H_c defined by placing \mathfrak{h}^* and \mathfrak{h} in degree 1 and W in degree 0, and define

$$L^{\leq d} = H_c^{\leq d} L^{\det},$$

where L^{det} is the isotypic component of L for the determinant representation. The following lemma is the key point relating coinvariant type representations of H_c to the diagonal coinvariant ring R_W . The proof is straightforward, but we include it because of the central role it plays in all that follows. We define a somewhat unusual bigrading on R_W as follows: take f to be homogeneous of bidegree (a, b) if it is of total degree a in the x's and y's and if its x degree minus its y degree is b (this second grading is compatible with the Euler grading on H_c and L).

Lemma 3.1. Let L be a coinvariant type representation of H_c , and let δ be a basis element of L^{det} . The map $\operatorname{gr}(H_c) \to \operatorname{gr}(L)$ defined by $f \mapsto f \cdot \delta$ induces a surjective map of bigraded CW-modules $R_W \otimes \det \to \operatorname{gr}(L)$.

Proof. Since dim $(L^{\text{det}}) = 1$ is equal to the multiplicity of the determinant representation in $L^{\leq 0} = \mathbb{C}\delta$, it follows that det does not occur in $L^{\leq d}/L^{\leq d-1}$ for any d > 0. Hence, if $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^* \oplus \mathfrak{h}]^W$ is homogeneous

of positive degree, then working in gr(L), we have $f \cdot \delta = 0$. Therefore, the map $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^* \oplus \mathfrak{h}] \to gr(L)$ defined by $f \mapsto f \cdot \delta$ factors through R_W . Since *L* is irreducible and $\mathbb{C}W \cdot \delta = \mathbb{C}\delta$, we have

$$L = H_c \cdot \delta = \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]\mathbf{C}W \cdot \delta = \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*] \cdot \delta,$$

which implies that the map $f \mapsto f \cdot \delta$ is surjective. Tensoring R_W by det makes it W-equivariant, and observing that δ is homogeneous (of a certain degree k) for the Euler grading on L implies that it is a bigraded map sending f of bidegree (a, b) to an element of bidegree (a, b+k).

3H. *The fiber functors and the braid group.* Given an object $M \in \mathbb{O}_c$ and a point $p \in \mathfrak{h}$, we define the *fiber* of *M* at *p* to be the vector space

$$M(p) = M/I(p)M,$$

where $I(p) \subseteq \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$ is the ideal of functions vanishing at p. In fact, M(p) is a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{C}W_p$ -module. In general the functor $M \mapsto M(p)$ is only right-exact.

Writing $\delta = \prod_{r \in R} \alpha_r$, we put

$$M^{\circ} = \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}][\delta^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]} M.$$

The functor $M \mapsto M^{\circ}$ is exact, and in fact M° is a $H_c[\delta^{-1}] = D(\mathfrak{h}^{\circ}) \rtimes W$ -module which is finitely generated as a $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^{\circ}] = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}][\delta^{-1}]$ -module. That is, M° is a *W*-equivariant vector bundle on \mathfrak{h}° equipped with a *W*-equivariant flat connection. Since $M(p) = M^{\circ}(p)$ for $p \in \mathfrak{h}^{\circ}$, it therefore follows that the fiber functor $M \mapsto M(p)$ is exact for $p \in \mathfrak{h}^{\circ}$, and the braid group $B_W = \pi_1(\mathfrak{h}^{\circ}/W, p)$ acts by automorphisms on this fiber functor.

3I. *The Hecke algebra and the KZ functor.* In fact, the braid group action factors through the Hecke algebra \mathcal{H}_c , which is the quotient of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}B_W$ by the relations

$$0 = \prod_{j=0}^{n_H - 1} (T_H - e^{2\pi i (j/n_H + c_{H,j})}) \text{ for all } H \in \mathcal{A},$$

where T_H is a generator of monodromy around H. We will write KZ(M) = M(p) for the fiber M(p) regarded as an \mathcal{H}_c module, and refer to $M \mapsto KZ(M)$ as the *Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov functor* or *KZ functor* for short. Vale [2007b] proved (see also [Berest and Chalykh 2011, Theorem 6.6]) that \mathcal{H}_c is semisimple if and only if \mathbb{O}_c is a semisimple category, which happens exactly when each standard module $\Delta_c(E)$ is irreducible.

3J. *The group* G_W . It follows from the definition of \mathcal{H}_c that if *c* is a parameter such that for each $H \in A$, the numbers $j/n_H + c_{H,j}$ are a permutation of the numbers j/n_H (for $0 \le j \le n_H - 1$) modulo **Z**, then $\mathcal{H}_c \cong \mathbf{C}W$ is isomorphic to the group algebra of *W*. More generally, two parameters *c* and *c'* give the same Hecke algebra provided the multisets

$$\{j/n_H + c_{H,j} \mod \mathbb{Z} \mid 0 \le j \le n_H - 1\}$$
 and $\{j/n_H + c'_{H,j} \mod \mathbb{Z} \mid 0 \le j \le n_H - 1\}$

are equal for all $H \in \mathcal{A}$.

This may be rephrased as follows: define $\rho \in \mathcal{C}$ by

$$\rho_{H,j} = j/n_H$$

and the group G_W by

$$G_W = \left\{ (s_H)_{H \in \mathcal{A}} \in \prod_{H \in \mathcal{A}} \operatorname{Sym}(\{0, 1, \dots, n_H - 1\}) \mid s_H = s_{w(H)} \text{ for all } H \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } w \in W \right\}.$$

Thus, an element of G_W may be regarded as a list of permutations s_H of $\{0, 1, 2, ..., n_H - 1\}$, one for each *W*-orbit on \mathcal{A} . By construction G_W acts on \mathcal{C} , but the interesting action for us is the *dot action* of G_W on \mathcal{C} , which is defined by the formula

$$s \cdot c = s(c + \rho) - \rho$$
 for $s \in G_W$ and $c \in \mathscr{C}$

Recalling the lattice $\mathscr{C}_{\mathbf{Z}}$ of integral parameters, the semidirect product group $\mathscr{C}_{\mathbf{Z}} \rtimes G_W$ acts on \mathscr{C} , and the quotients \mathscr{H}_c and $\mathscr{H}_{g(c)}$ are equal for all $c \in \mathscr{C}$ and $g \in \mathscr{C}_{\mathbf{Z}} \rtimes G_W$. As we will never again use any other action of G_W on \mathscr{C} , in all formulas below we will drop the dot.

3K. *The KZ twists.* By the preceding observations, the Hecke algebras $\mathcal{H}_{g(0)}$ for $g \in \mathscr{C}_{\mathbb{Z}} \rtimes G_W$ are all equal to CW, and the KZ functor gives an equivalence $KZ_{g(0)} : \mathbb{O}_c \to CW$ -mod for all such g. We obtain:

Lemma 3.2. Let $g \in \mathscr{C}_{\mathbb{Z}} \rtimes G_W$. Then there is a unique permutation κ_g^{-1} of Irr(CW) such that

$$\operatorname{KZ}_{g(0)}(\Delta_{g(0)}(E)) \cong \kappa_g^{-1}(E)$$
 in CW-mod for all $E \in \operatorname{Irr}(\operatorname{CW})$.

We refer to κ_g^{-1} as the *KZ twist* associated with *g*. The particular case in which $g \in \mathscr{C}_{\mathbf{Z}}$ is simply a translation by an element of the lattice $\mathscr{C}_{\mathbf{Z}}$ has been studied previously by Opdam [1998] and Berest and Chalykh [2011]. So the added generality here is allowing an additional permutation of the indices by some $\sigma \in G_W$. Just as in [Berest and Chalykh 2011, Corollary 7.12], the map $g \mapsto \kappa_g$ is a homomorphism from $\mathscr{C}_{\mathbf{Z}} \rtimes G_W$ to the group of permutations of Irr(**C***W*) (here we note that the inverse appears in κ_g^{-1} in order that this defines a homomorphism), and as in [Berest and Chalykh 2011, Theorem 7.11] (defining the set \mathscr{C}° of *regular* parameters to be those for which \mathbb{O}_c is semisimple), we have more generally:

Lemma 3.3. For $c \in \mathscr{C}^{\circ}$ regular and $g \in \mathscr{C}_{\mathbb{Z}} \rtimes G_{W}$, we have

$$\mathrm{KZ}_{g(c)}(\Delta_{g(c)}(E)) \cong \mathrm{KZ}_{c}(\Delta_{c}(\kappa_{g}^{-1}(E))).$$

3L. *KZ twists preserve local data.* Corollary 7.18 of [Berest and Chalykh 2011] shows that for $\tau \in \mathscr{C}_{\mathbf{Z}}$, the KZ twist by τ preserves local data,

$$E_{H,j} = \kappa_{\tau}(E)_{H,j}$$
 for all H, j .

Let $\sigma \in G_W$, and let $c \in \mathscr{C}^\circ$ be regular. By taking $g = \sigma$ and replacing *E* by $\kappa_{\sigma}(E)$ in Lemma 3.3, we obtain $\Delta_c(E)^\circ \cong \Delta_{\sigma(c)}(\kappa_{\sigma}(E))^\circ$, so there is a space of isotype $\kappa_{\sigma}(E)$ singular vectors for the $\sigma(c)$ -Dunkl operators in $\Delta_c(E)^\circ = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^\circ] \otimes E$. As in the proof of [Berest and Chalykh 2011, Corollary 7.18],

its homogeneous degree m does not vary with c, implying that

$$m = \sigma(c)_{\kappa_{\sigma}(E)} - c_E = \frac{1}{\dim(E)} \sum_{H,j} \left(n_H c_{H,\sigma^{-1}(j)} + \sigma^{-1}(j) - j \right) \kappa_{\sigma}(E)_{H,j} - n_H c_{H,j} E_{H,j}$$

is constant. This implies

$$\kappa_{\sigma}(E)_{H,\sigma(j)} = E_{H,j},$$

which is the sense in which κ_{σ} preserves local data.

3M. A particular KZ twist we will use. In order to apply the preceding material to the proof of Theorem 1.1, we must choose a particular element of $\mathscr{C}_{\mathbb{Z}} \rtimes G_W$. There are many possible choices that would work for us. Here we fix one.

For $c \in \mathcal{C}$, put

$$\sigma(c)_{H,j} = \begin{cases} c_{H,0}, & \text{if } j = 0\\ c_{H,n_H-j} + 2(n_H - j)/n_H, & \text{if } j \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

This is the product $\sigma = \tau \sigma_0$ of the longest element σ_0 of G_W with the transformation τ defined by

$$\tau(c)_{H,j} = c_{H,j-1} + \frac{n_H - 1}{n_H}$$

Alternatively, it is the product of the longest element of the subgroup of G_W fixing (H, 0) for all H with the translation

$$c_{H,j} \mapsto \begin{cases} c_{H,0}, & \text{if } j = 0, \\ c_{H,j} + 1, & \text{if } j \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus, in the case where all reflections have order 2 and $c_{H,0} = 0$, this σ is simply the translation $c \mapsto c+1$. But it is more complicated in general, and the extra complication is definitely necessary for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

3N. *Preservation of c-order.* Consider the hyperplane of parameters $c \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfying the condition

$$c_{\mathfrak{h}^*} = 1.$$

There is at least one c on this hyperplane such that, in addition, there is a positive real number c_0 with

$$c_{H,j} = 2jc_0$$
 for all $H \in \mathcal{A}$ and $0 \le j \le n_H - 1$

Fix such a choice of c and suppose E, F are irreducible W-modules with $c_E - c_F > 0$. We then have

$$0 < c_E - c_F = \frac{1}{\dim(E)} \sum n_H c_{H,j} E_{H,j} - \frac{1}{\dim(F)} \sum n_H c_{H,j} F_{H,j}$$
$$= c_0 \left(\frac{1}{\dim(E)} \sum n_H 2j E_{H,j} - \frac{1}{\dim(F)} \sum n_H 2j F_{H,j} \right).$$

Hence, by using Section 3L,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(c)_{\kappa_{\sigma}(E)} &- \sigma(c)_{\kappa_{\sigma}(F)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\dim(E)} \sum_{j \neq 0} \left(n_{H} c_{H,n_{H}-j} + 2(n_{H}-i) \right) E_{n_{H}-i} - \frac{1}{\dim(F)} \sum_{j \neq 0} \left(n_{H} c_{H,n_{H}-j} + 2(n_{H}-i) \right) F_{n_{H}-i} \\ &= c_{E} - c_{F} + \frac{1}{\dim(E)} \sum n_{H} 2j E_{H,j} - \frac{1}{\dim(F)} \sum n_{H} 2j F_{H,j} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the bijection κ_{σ} intertwines the *c*-order on Irr(**C***W*) with the $\sigma(c)$ -order. Moreover, the same is true for any parameter *c* on the hyperplane $c_{\mathfrak{h}^*} = 1$ sufficiently close to such a choice.

30. *Quasiinvariants.* A *multiplicity function* is a collection $m = (m_{H,j})_{H \in \mathcal{A}, 0 \le j \le n_H - 1}$ of integers indexed by pairs $H \in \mathcal{A}$ and $0 \le j \le n_H - 1$ with the property that $m_{H,j} = m_{w(H),j}$ for all $w \in W$, $H \in \mathcal{A}$, and $0 \le j \le n_H - 1$. Given a multiplicity function *m* and a **C***W*-module *E*, we define the space $Q_m(E)$ of *E-valued quasiinvariants* as in Berest and Chalykh [2011, (3.12)] to be the space of $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^\circ] \otimes E$ such that

$$v_H(1 \otimes e_{H,i} \cdot f) \ge m_{H,i}$$
 for all $H \in \mathcal{A}$ and $0 \le i \le n_H - 1$.

where v_H is the valuation on $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^\circ] \otimes E$ that gives the order of vanishing along H (and where, e.g., $v_H(f) \ge -2$ means f has at most a pole of order 2 along H). Given a multiplicity function m and a parameter $c \in \mathcal{C}$, we say that m and c are *compatible* if

$$n_H c_{H,i-m_{H,i}} = m_{H,i}$$
 for all $H \in \mathcal{A}$ and $0 \le i \le n_H - 1$.

This relationship may seem complicated, but we note that if $c \in \mathscr{C}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ then defining $m_{H,i} = n_H c_{H,i}$, we have *m* compatible with *c*, and if $g \in G_W$ and $c \in \mathscr{C}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ then by defining

$$m_{H,i} = n_H c_{H,i} + i - g(i),$$

we have *m* compatible with $g \cdot c$. Thus, each element of the orbit $\mathscr{C}_{\mathbf{Z}} \rtimes G_W(0)$ is compatible with a (unique) multiplicity function *m*.

Just as in [Berest and Chalykh 2011, Proposition 3.10], one checks:

Lemma 3.4. If *m* and *c* are compatible, then $Q_m(E)$ is a H_c -submodule of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^\circ] \otimes E$, where H_c acts on $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^\circ] \otimes E$ via the inclusion $H_c \subseteq D(\mathfrak{h}^\circ) \rtimes W$.

In fact, when *m* is the unique multiplicity function compatible with a parameter g(0) with $g \in \mathscr{C}_{\mathbb{Z}} \rtimes G_W$, the module $Q_m(E)$ of *E*-valued quasiinvariants is an irreducible object of category $\mathbb{O}_{g(0)}$ with localization $Q_m(E)[\delta^{-1}]$ equal to $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^\circ] \otimes E$ as $D(\mathfrak{h}^\circ) \rtimes W$ -modules. It follows that

$$\operatorname{KZ}_{g(0)}(Q_m(E)) \cong E \implies Q_m(E) \cong \Delta_{g(0)}(\kappa_g(E)).$$

We define the space of *quasiinvariants* $Q_m \subseteq \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}^\circ]$ by $f \in Q_m$ if and only if

$$v_H(e_{H,-i}f) \ge m_{H,i}$$
 for all $H \in \mathcal{A}$ and $0 \le i \le n_H - 1$.

Note that Q_m is different from Q_m (triv). As in [Berest and Chalykh 2011, Theorem 3.4], the relationship is:

Theorem 3.5. We have

$$e(Q_m \otimes 1) = eQ_m(\mathbf{C}W)$$

as subsets of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^{\circ}] \otimes \mathbb{C}W$, and hence Q_m is a eH_ce -module if c and m are compatible. Moreover, eH_ce is equal to the algebra $D(Q_m)^W e$ of W-invariant differential operators on Q_m (both regarded as subalgebras of $e(D(\mathfrak{h}^{\circ}) \rtimes W)e$).

Finally, by noting that $Q_m = Q_k$ if m and k are multiplicity functions satisfying

$$\left\lceil \frac{m_{H,i}-i}{n_H} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{k_{H,i}-i}{n_H} \right\rceil \quad \text{for all } H \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } 0 \le i \le n_H - 1, \tag{3-1}$$

one checks that for $c \in \mathscr{C}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $g \in G_W$, the multiplicity functions compatible with c and with g(c) produce the same space of quasiinvariants, implying $eH_ce = eH_{g(c)}e$. Now, applying a density argument as in [Berest and Chalykh 2011, Proposition 5.4] gives the version that we will use (as mentioned in the introduction, this is Corollary 2.22 from [Bellamy et al. 2021], who give a completely different proof):

Theorem 3.6. For $g \in G_W$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}$, we have

$$eH_ce = eH_{g(c)}e$$
 and $f(y_c)e = f(y_{g(c)})e$ for all $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^W$.

This last inequality is to be interpreted as follows: for a symmetric polynomial f, evaluating f on the Dunkl operators y_c and then multiplying by e gives the same result as evaluating f on the Dunkl operators $y_{g(c)}$ and then multiplying by e.

In fact, for multiplicity functions m and k satisfying (3-1), we have

$$eQ_m(E) = eQ_k(E)$$
 for all $E \in CW$ -mod. (3-2)

Just as in [Berest and Chalykh 2011], this produces a host of consequences for the numerology of the fake degrees of complex reflection groups, some of which are intimately related to the numerology of diagonal coinvariants we are exploring here. We record the most general version of this now.

3P. *Symmetries of the exponents.* Here we record the version of the symmetries of the exponents (referred to as symmetries of the fake degrees in [Berest and Chalykh 2011] and [Opdam 1998]) we will need.

Theorem 3.7. Let $\kappa = \kappa_{\sigma}$ be the KZ twist associated with the element $\sigma \in \mathscr{C}_{\mathbb{Z}} \rtimes G_W$ defined above and as above write $e_1(E), e_2(E), \ldots$ for the exponents of an irreducible W-module E. Then

$$e_i(\mathfrak{h}) + e_{n-i+1}(\kappa(\mathfrak{h}^*)) = g \text{ for all } 1 \le i \le n.$$

We note that for real reflection groups, we always have $g = h = d_n$, where d_n is the largest degree and $\kappa(\mathfrak{h}^*) = \mathfrak{h}^*$, so that this reduces to the classical symmetry $d_i + d_{n-i+1} = d_n + 2$.

Proof. We now prove Theorem 3.7. For each *W*-orbit $S \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ of hyperplanes, we put

$$\delta_S = \prod_{H \in S} \alpha_H$$

and we fix an integer m_S . Defining the multiplicity function m by $m_{H,i} = m_S$ for all $H \in S$, we have

$$Q_m(E) = \prod_{S \in \mathcal{A}/W} \delta_S^{m_S}(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}] \otimes E)$$

Let $c \in \mathscr{C}$ be compatible with m, let $\sigma_0 \in G_W$, and let k be the multiplicity function compatible with $\sigma_0 \cdot c$. Let $\tau \in \mathscr{C}_{\mathbb{Z}} \rtimes G_W$ be determined by $\tau(0) = \sigma_0(c)$. We note that

$$c_{H,i} = m_H/n_H$$
 for all $H \in \mathcal{A}$ and $0 \le i \le n_H - 1$.

Then

$$eQ_m(E) = eQ_k(E).$$

We compute the graded character of the space in two ways by means of this equality: with $M = \deg(\prod \delta_S^{m_S})$, the graded character of $eQ_m(E)$ is

$$\operatorname{ch}(eQ_m(E)) = \operatorname{ch}\left(\prod_{S \in \mathcal{A}/W} \delta_S^{m_S}(\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}] \otimes E)\right)^W = t^M \sum_{i=1}^{\dim(E)} t^{e_i} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - t^{d_i}}$$

where $e_1 \le e_2 \le \cdots$ are the exponents of the representation $(\chi \otimes E)^*$, with χ the linear character of *W* afforded by $\prod_{S \in \mathcal{A}/W} \delta_S^{m_S}$.

On the other hand, we have $eQ_k(E) \cong (\Delta_{\tau(0)}(\kappa_{\tau}(E)))^W$. This has graded character

$$t^{\tau(0)_{\kappa_{\tau}(E)}} \operatorname{ch}\left((\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}] \otimes \kappa_{\tau}(E))^{W}\right) = t^{\tau(0)_{\kappa_{\tau}(E)}} \sum_{i=1}^{\dim(E)} t^{e'_{i}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1 - t^{d_{i}}},$$

where $e'_1 \le e'_2 \le \cdots$ are the exponents of $\kappa_{\tau}(E)^*$. We conclude

$$\tau(0)_{\kappa_{\tau}(E)} + e'_i = M + e_i \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le \dim(E).$$
(3-3)

The special case in which $m_S = -(n_H - 1)$ for $H \in S$ is especially interesting: here -M = N is the number of reflections in W, χ is the inverse determinant representation of W, and the exponents of $\chi \otimes E$ may be related to those of E^* as follows: there is a C-linear isomorphism $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]_W$ onto $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]_W$ given by

$$f \mapsto f(\partial) \cdot \delta.$$

Moreover, there is a W-equivariant nondegenerate pairing of $C[\mathfrak{h}^*]_W$ with $C[\mathfrak{h}]_W$ given by

$$(f,g) = f(\partial)(g)(0),$$

which implies that the occurrences of F in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]_W$ are in the same degrees as the occurrences of F^* in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]_W$. Putting this together implies that $E^* \otimes \det$ occurs in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]_W$ in a degree d each time E^* occurs in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]_W$ in degree N - d, which happens when E occurs in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]_W$ in degree N - d. So the occurrences of E in degree N - d are in bijection with the occurrences of $E^* \otimes \det$ in degree d, and the exponents e_i above are given by

$$e_i = N - e_{\dim(E) - i + 1}(E).$$

Thus, (3-3) becomes

$$e_i(\kappa_{\tau}(E)^*) + e_{\dim(E) - i + 1}(E) = -\tau(0)_{\kappa_{\tau}^{-1}(E)} \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le \dim(E).$$
(3-4)

We take $E = \kappa_{\tau}^{-1}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ here to obtain

$$e_i(\mathfrak{h}) + e_{n-i+1}(\kappa_{\tau}^{-1}(\mathfrak{h}^*)) = -\tau(0)_{\mathfrak{h}^*}.$$
(3-5)

Finally, observing that taking the longest element in G_W produces $\tau = \sigma^{-1}$, a calculation then shows that the right-hand side is g.

3Q. *Rouquier's theorem and the BMR freeness conjecture.* In the proof of Theorem 1.1, we will apply [Rouquier 2008, Theorem 4.49] to produce an equivalence $\mathbb{O}_c \to \mathbb{O}_{\sigma(c)}$. To be able to apply this theorem to \mathbb{O}_c regarded as a highest weight cover of \mathcal{H}_c -mod, we must use the fact that \mathcal{H}_c is of dimension |W|, which appeared as a hypothesis in [Gordon and Griffeth 2012], but which is now known in general (see [Etingof 2017] for an overview).

3R. *Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Finally we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. By Lemma 3.1, it suffices to construct a coinvariant type representation L of dimension $(g + 1)^n$. We choose a parameter c as in Section 3N, and let σ be as defined in Section 3M; Proposition 4.1 of [Etingof and Stoica 2009] shows that with this choice of c, we have a map $\Delta_c(\mathfrak{h}^*) \rightarrow \Delta_c(\text{triv})$ with cokernel $L_c(\text{triv})$ of dimension 1. Using Section 3N, together with Rouquier's theorem 4.49 [2008] as in the proof of Theorem 2.7 from [Gordon and Griffeth 2012], shows that there is an equivalence of highest weight categories $\mathbb{O}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{O}_{\sigma(c)}$ sending $\Delta_c(E)$ to $\Delta_{\sigma(c)}(\kappa_{\sigma}(E))$ for all $E \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{C}W)$ (here, we note first that we may choose c as above so that, in addition, the rank one Hecke subalgebras are semisimple; we note second that there are regular parameters c' arbitrarily close to c, so that we may apply Lemma 3.3 to see that κ_{σ} is the correct bijection). By using Section 3L, it follows that this particular choice of σ has $\kappa_{\sigma}(\text{triv}) = \text{triv}$. We obtain a short exact sequence

$$\Delta_{\sigma(c)}(\kappa_{\sigma}(\mathfrak{h}^*)) \to \Delta_{\sigma(c)}(\operatorname{triv}) \to L_{\sigma(c)}(\operatorname{triv}) \to 0.$$

By [Ginzburg et al. 2003, Corollary 4.14], the module $L_{\sigma(c)}(\text{triv})$ is finite-dimensional (this corollary implies that finite-dimensionality is invariant by highest-weight equivalences between different categories \mathbb{O}).

Now a calculation using Section 3L gives $\sigma(c)_{\kappa_{\sigma}(\mathfrak{h}^*)} = g + 1$, so that the image of $\kappa_{\sigma}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}] = \Delta_{\sigma(c)}(\text{triv})$ is a homogeneous sequence of parameters in degree g+1. The symmetry of the exponents from Theorem 3.7, together with Section 2E, implies that the determinant appears exactly once in $L_{\sigma(c)}(\text{triv})$, which is of dimension $(g+1)^n$ as required. This proves Theorem 1.1.

4. Two more constructions: Heckman–Opdam shift functors and representation-valued Jack polynomials

4A. Outline. In this section, we give two more constructions of the coinvariant-type representation L of dimension $(g + 1)^n$. First, as in [Gordon 2003] for the case of a real group W and [Vale 2007a] for the

groups $W = G(\ell, m, n)$, we construct it as a tensor product

$$L = H_{\sigma(c)} f \otimes_{eH_c e} \mathbf{C},$$

where $\mathbf{C} = eL_c(\text{triv})$ is a one-dimensional representation of eH_ce and f is the determinant idempotent of W. We note that Theorem 4.1 below allows us to regard $H_{\sigma(c)}f$ as an eH_ce -module. Then we give a construction similar to that of [Griffeth 2010b], based on the techniques from [Griffeth 2010a; 2010b; 2018; Fishel et al. 2021].

4B. Heckman–Opdam shift functors.

Theorem 4.1. Let $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and recall that $\delta = \prod_{r \in \mathbb{R}} \alpha_r$. As (nonunital) subalgebras of $D(\mathfrak{h}^\circ) \rtimes W$, we have

$$\delta e H_c e \delta^{-1} = f H_{\sigma(c)} f,$$

where, as in Section 3M, the shifted parameter $\sigma(c)$ is defined by $\sigma(c)_{H,0} = 0$ and

$$\sigma(c)_{H,i} = c_{H,n_H-i} + \frac{2(n_H-i)}{n_H} \quad \text{for } i \neq 0.$$

Proof. This is obtained from Theorem 3.6 by taking $g_0 \in G_W$ to be the longest element.

This equality allows us to define a functor F from H_c -mod to $H_{\sigma(c)}$ -mod by

$$F(M) = H_{\sigma(c)} f \otimes_{eH_c e} eM.$$
(4-1)

Following the terminology from [Berest and Chalykh 2011], we refer to *F* as the *Heckman–Opdam shift functor*. In fact, *F* preserves category \mathbb{O} 's, and therefore induces a functor, which we also denote by *F*, from \mathbb{O}_c to $\mathbb{O}_{\sigma(c)}$. The functor *F* is similar to the Heckman–Opdam shift functor employed by Gordon for real reflection groups, but in the generality in which we require it, belongs purely to the world of complex reflection groups and has no direct real analog.

4C. *The symmetrizing trace conjecture.* Below we will use the Schur elements as stored by the computer algebra package GAP. In order to justify the conclusions we draw from this, we need to know that the symmetrizing trace conjecture holds for the Hecke algebra \mathcal{H}_c . For very recent work in this direction and further references, see [Boura et al. 2020a; 2020b].

4D. *Equivalences.* The following theorem of Etingof (obtained by twisting [Etingof 2012, Theorem 5.5] by a linear character) makes our lives easier:

Theorem 4.2. Let $e \in \mathbb{C}W$ be the idempotent for a linear character of W. The functor $M \mapsto eM$ from H_c -mod to eH_ce -mod is an equivalence if and only if eH_ce is of finite global dimension.

When *e* is the trivial idempotent for *W*, we call *c* aspherical if the functor $M \mapsto eM$ is not an equivalence and spherical if it is. Combining this theorem with Theorem 3.6 shows that the set of aspherical (respectively, spherical) parameters *c* is stable by the dot action of G_W on \mathcal{C} .

Furthermore, by Theorem 4.1 from [Bezrukavnikov and Etingof 2009], whether or not $M \mapsto eM$ is an equivalence can be checked on the category \mathbb{O}_c :

Theorem 4.3. For an idempotent $e \in \mathbb{C}W$ of a linear character of W, the functor $M \mapsto eM$ is not an equivalence if and only if there exists $L \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathbb{O}_c)$ with eL = 0.

The next lemma is a key technical point, and the only place we will appeal to the classification of irreducible complex reflection groups and the hypothesis that the Hecke algebra is symmetric.

Lemma 4.4. For a parameter $c \in \mathcal{C}$ subject to $c_{\mathfrak{h}^*} = 1$ but otherwise generic, the functor $M \mapsto eM$ is an equivalence from H_c -mod to eH_ce -mod.

Proof. For the groups in the infinite family $G(\ell, m, n)$, this follows from the main theorem of [Dunkl and Griffeth 2010], upon observing that the equation $c_{\mathfrak{h}^*} = 1$ is

$$d_0 - d_{\ell-1} + \ell(n-1)c_0 = 1$$

in the coordinates for \mathscr{C} used there. For the exceptional groups, one checks using GAP that the Schur elements for the exterior powers of \mathfrak{h}^* are the only ones which are zero when the parameters c are chosen with $c_{H,0} = 0$ and $c_{H,i} = 1/h$ for $i \neq 0$, and moreover, that in this case, the Schur elements for the exterior powers vanish to order one. This implies that we are in the block of defect one case studied by Rouquier, and hence that every irreducible object of \mathbb{O}_c other than $L_c(\text{triv})$ is fully supported. Thus, c is a spherical parameter, and one checks that it belongs to the hyperplane $c_{\mathfrak{h}^*} = 1$.

Fixing a parameter $c \in \mathscr{C}$ subject to $c_{\mathfrak{h}^*} = 1$ but otherwise generic, we define the Heckman–Opdam equivalence $F : \mathbb{O}_c \to \mathbb{O}_{\sigma(c)}$ as above by

$$F(M) = H_{\sigma(c)} f \otimes_{eH_c e} eM,$$

where we view $H_{\sigma(c)}f$ as a right eH_ce -module via the isomorphism $eH_ce \cong fH_{\sigma(c)}f$ from Theorem 4.1, sending $ehe \in eH_ce$ to $\delta ehe\delta^{-1} \in fH_{\sigma(c)}f$.

4E. *Shifting and KZ.* The results just summarized imply that if *c* is a spherical value, then *F* defines an equivalence from \mathbb{O}_c to $\mathbb{O}_{\sigma(c)}$; and if regular, then so is $\sigma(c)$.

Lemma 4.5. If *F* is an equivalence, the functor *F* commutes with the KZ functor: there is an isomorphism $KZ_{\sigma(c)} \circ F \cong KZ_c$ for all spherical parameters $c \in \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. We first observe that the dimension of the generic fiber of F(M) is equal to the dimension of the generic fiber of M. This follows from the fact that for $p \in \mathfrak{h}^\circ$, we have

$$\dim(M(p)) = \operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]}(M) = \operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W}(eM) = \operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W}(fF(M)) = \operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]}F(M) = \dim(F(M)(p)),$$

since $f F(M) \cong eM$ as $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$ -modules and $\operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W} f F(M) = \operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]}(F(M))$. Now since *F* is an equivalence, it takes the indecomposable projective objects of \mathbb{O}_c to the indecomposable projective objects of $\mathbb{O}_{\sigma(c)}$. The KZ functor is represented by the projective object

$$P_{\text{KZ},c} = \bigoplus P_c(E)^{\bigoplus d_E}$$
, where $d_E = \dim(L_c(E)(p))$,

and hence,

$$F(P_{\mathrm{KZ},c}) \cong \bigoplus F(P_c(E))^{\oplus d_E}$$

where d_E is the dimension of $L_c(E)(p)$, which is, by the preceding argument, the dimension of $F(L_c(E))(p) = \dim(\operatorname{top}(F(P_c(E)))(p))$. It follows that $F(P_{\mathrm{KZ},c}) \cong P_{\mathrm{KZ},\sigma(c)}$, and the lemma follows from this.

This lemma should also follow from the ideas in [Simental 2017] (see, especially, the proof of Lemma 4.9). The following conjecture is then the final ingredient for this approach:

Conjecture 4.1. If *F* is an equivalence, then it is an equivalence of highest weight categories with $F(\Delta_c(E)) \cong \Delta_{s(c)}(\kappa_{\sigma}(E))$.

Given the conjecture, we have a short exact sequence

$$\Delta_{\sigma(c)}(\kappa_{\sigma}(\mathfrak{h}^*)) \to \Delta_{\sigma(c)}(\operatorname{triv}) \to L_{\sigma(c)}(\operatorname{triv}) = F(\mathbf{C}) \to 0,$$

where $\mathbf{C} = L_c$ (triv) is actually one-dimensional thanks to our condition $c_{\mathfrak{h}^*} = 1$, and the determinant appears exactly once in $F(\mathbf{C}) = L_{\sigma(c)}$ (triv) by construction. The calculation of the *c*-function implies that the image of $\kappa_{\sigma}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ lies in degree g + 1, which implies that the dimension of $F(\mathbf{C})$ is $(g+1)^n$, just as before. José Simental has pointed out that the conjecture will follow if one checks that *F* and its inverse preserve the class of standardly filtered modules, which can also be characterized as those objects of \mathbb{O}_c that are free as modules over the polynomial ring $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$. It seems likely to me that this observation can be turned into a proof.

4F. *The classical groups.* Finally, we present our third construction of the module approximating R_W in the case where $W = G(\ell, m, n)$. For these groups, we will use the coordinates $(d_0, d_1, \ldots, d_{\ell-1}, c_0)$ on \mathcal{C} , as in [Griffeth 2010a]. We define the set Γ (triv) as in [Griffeth 2018]: it consists of pairs (P, Q), where P is a bijection from the boxes of the trivial partition (n) to the integers $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, Q is a function from the boxes of (n) to the nonnegative integers which is weakly increasing from left to right, and whenever b_1 and b_2 are boxes with b_1 appearing to the left of b_2 and $Q(b_1) = Q(b_2)$, then we have $P(b_1) > P(b_2)$ (thus, for instance, if Q is the zero function then P is strictly decreasing from left to right).

4G. The principal coinvariant type representation. We take parameters $c = (c_0, d_0, d_1, \dots, d_{\ell-1})$ generic, subject only to the condition

$$d_0 - d_{1-2\ell/m} + \ell(n-1)c_0 = \ell(n-1) + \frac{2\ell}{m} - 1$$

Then by [Griffeth 2018, Theorem 1.1], the module $L = L_c(\text{triv})$ has basis $f_{P,Q}$ indexed by those pairs $(P, Q) \in \Gamma(\text{triv})$, with

$$Q(b) \le \ell(n-1) + \frac{2\ell}{m} - 2$$
 for all $b \in \text{triv}$.

Here, as explained in [Griffeth 2018, Section 2.13], instead of using $\Gamma(\text{triv})$, the basis elements $f_{P,Q}$ may alternatively be indexed by $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and the condition is simply

$$\mu_i \le \ell(n-1) + \frac{2\ell}{m} - 2$$
 for all $1 \le i \le n$.

Hence, L has basis

$$L = \mathbf{C} \Big\{ f_{\mu} \mid \mu_i \le \ell(n-1) + \frac{2\ell}{m} - 2, \ \forall 1 \le i \le n \Big\},\$$

where f_{μ} are the nonsymmetric Jack polynomials of type $G(\ell, 1, n)$. In particular, the dimension of L is

$$\dim(L) = \left(\ell(n-1) + \frac{2\ell}{m} - 1\right)^n$$

By using the machinery from [Fishel et al. 2021], we can compute its graded *W*-character; for the moment, we will just note that, as in [Ajila and Griffeth 2021], copies of the determinant representation in *L* are in bijection with the set of *Q*'s appearing in some pair (*P*, *Q*) as above, with *Q* strictly increasing from left to right, $Q(b) = Q(b') \mod \ell$ for all *b*, *b'*, and with $Q(b) = \ell/m - 1 \mod \ell/m$.

4H. *Proof that L is of* $G(\ell, m, n)$ *-coinvariant type.* For the unique *Q* with these properties (in [Ajila and Griffeth 2021], we use the notation $Q \in \text{Tab}_c(\text{triv})$), which produces a copy of the determinant representation of $G(\ell, m, n)$ given by the *Q* with sequence

$$\frac{\ell}{m} - 1, \quad \ell + \frac{\ell}{m} - 1, \quad 2\ell + \frac{\ell}{m} - 1, \dots, (n-1)\ell + \frac{\ell}{m} - 1.$$

Thus, using the character formula from [Fishel et al. 2021], as in [Ajila and Griffeth 2021], shows that the determinant appears exactly once in L.

References

- [Ajila and Griffeth 2021] C. Ajila and S. Griffeth, "Representation theory and the diagonal coinvariant ring of the type B Weyl group", preprint, 2021. arXiv 2110.03077
- [Bellamy et al. 2018] G. Bellamy, T. Schedler, and U. Thiel, "Hyperplane arrangements associated to symplectic quotient singularities", pp. 25–45 in *Phenomenological approach to algebraic geometry*, edited by J. Buczyński et al., Banach Center Publ. **116**, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2018. MR Zbl
- [Bellamy et al. 2021] G. Bellamy, T. Levasseur, T. Nevins, and J. T. Stafford, "Quantum Hamiltonian reduction for polar representations", preprint, 2021. arXiv 2109.11467
- [Berest and Chalykh 2011] Y. Berest and O. Chalykh, "Quasi-invariants of complex reflection groups", *Compos. Math.* **147**:3 (2011), 965–1002. MR Zbl
- [Bergeron 2013] F. Bergeron, "Multivariate diagonal coinvariant spaces for complex reflection groups", *Adv. Math.* **239** (2013), 97–108. MR Zbl
- [Bessis and Reiner 2011] D. Bessis and V. Reiner, "Cyclic sieving of noncrossing partitions for complex reflection groups", *Ann. Comb.* **15**:2 (2011), 197–222. MR Zbl
- [Bezrukavnikov and Etingof 2009] R. Bezrukavnikov and P. Etingof, "Parabolic induction and restriction functors for rational Cherednik algebras", *Selecta Math.* (*N.S.*) 14:3-4 (2009), 397–425. MR Zbl
- [Boura et al. 2020a] C. Boura, E. Chavli, and M. Chlouveraki, "The BMM symmetrising trace conjecture for the exceptional 2-reflection groups of rank 2", *J. Algebra* **558** (2020), 176–198. MR Zbl
- [Boura et al. 2020b] C. Boura, E. Chavli, M. Chlouveraki, and K. Karvounis, "The BMM symmetrising trace conjecture for groups G_4 , G_5 , G_6 , G_7 , G_8 ", J. Symbolic Comput. **96** (2020), 62–84. MR Zbl
- [Chapuy and Douvropoulos 2022] G. Chapuy and T. Douvropoulos, "Counting chains in the noncrossing partition lattice via the *W*-Laplacian", *J. Algebra* **602** (2022), 381–404. MR Zbl
- [Chapuy and Douvropoulos 2023] G. Chapuy and T. Douvropoulos, "Coxeter factorizations with generalized Jucys–Murphy weights and matrix-tree theorems for reflection groups", *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) **126**:1 (2023), 129–191. MR Zbl

- [Dunkl and Griffeth 2010] C. Dunkl and S. Griffeth, "Generalized Jack polynomials and the representation theory of rational Cherednik algebras", *Selecta Math.* (*N.S.*) **16**:4 (2010), 791–818. MR Zbl
- [Etingof 2012] P. Etingof, "Symplectic reflection algebras and affine Lie algebras", *Mosc. Math. J.* **12**:3 (2012), 543–565. MR Zbl
- [Etingof 2017] P. Etingof, "Proof of the Broué–Malle–Rouquier conjecture in characteristic zero (after I. Losev and I. Marin– G. Pfeiffer)", *Arnold Math. J.* **3**:3 (2017), 445–449. MR Zbl
- [Etingof and Stoica 2009] P. Etingof and E. Stoica, "Unitary representations of rational Cherednik algebras", *Represent. Theory* **13** (2009), 349–370. MR Zbl
- [Fishel et al. 2021] S. Fishel, S. Griffeth, and E. Manosalva, "Unitary representations of the Cherednik algebra: V*-homology", *Math. Z.* 299:3-4 (2021), 2215–2255. MR Zbl
- [Ginzburg et al. 2003] V. Ginzburg, N. Guay, E. Opdam, and R. Rouquier, "On the category © for rational Cherednik algebras", *Invent. Math.* **154**:3 (2003), 617–651. MR
- [Gordon 2003] I. Gordon, "On the quotient ring by diagonal invariants", Invent. Math. 153:3 (2003), 503–518. MR Zbl
- [Gordon and Griffeth 2012] I. G. Gordon and S. Griffeth, "Catalan numbers for complex reflection groups", *Amer. J. Math.* **134**:6 (2012), 1491–1502. MR Zbl
- [Griffeth 2010a] S. Griffeth, "Orthogonal functions generalizing Jack polynomials", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **362**:11 (2010), 6131–6157. MR Zbl
- [Griffeth 2010b] S. Griffeth, "Towards a combinatorial representation theory for the rational Cherednik algebra of type G(r, p, n)", *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* (2) **53**:2 (2010), 419–445. MR Zbl
- [Griffeth 2018] S. Griffeth, "Unitary representations of cyclotomic rational Cherednik algebras", *J. Algebra* **512** (2018), 310–356. MR Zbl
- [Haiman 1994] M. D. Haiman, "Conjectures on the quotient ring by diagonal invariants", *J. Algebraic Combin.* **3**:1 (1994), 17–76. MR Zbl
- [Lehrer and Taylor 2009] G. I. Lehrer and D. E. Taylor, *Unitary reflection groups*, Australian Mathematical Society Lecture Series **20**, Cambridge University Press, 2009. MR Zbl
- [Losev 2022] I. Losev, "Deformations of symplectic singularities and orbit method for semisimple Lie algebras", *Selecta Math.* (*N.S.*) **28**:2 (2022), art. id. 30. MR Zbl
- [Namikawa 2010] Y. Namikawa, "Poisson deformations of affine symplectic varieties, II", *Kyoto J. Math.* **50**:4 (2010), 727–752. MR Zbl
- [Namikawa 2011] Y. Namikawa, "Poisson deformations of affine symplectic varieties", *Duke Math. J.* **156**:1 (2011), 51–85. MR Zbl
- [Namikawa 2015] Y. Namikawa, "Poisson deformations and birational geometry", J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 22:1 (2015), 339–359. MR Zbl
- [Opdam 1998] E. Opdam, "Complex reflection groups and fake degrees", preprint, 1998. arXiv 9808026
- [Orlik and Terao 1992] P. Orlik and H. Terao, *Arrangements of hyperplanes*, Grundl. Math. Wissen. **300**, Springer, Berlin, 1992. MR Zbl
- [Rouquier 2008] R. Rouquier, "q-Schur algebras and complex reflection groups", Mosc. Math. J. 8:1 (2008), 119–158. MR Zbl
- [Simental 2017] J. Simental, "Harish-Chandra bimodules over rational Cherednik algebras", *Adv. Math.* **317** (2017), 299–349. MR Zbl
- [Stump 2010] C. Stump, "q, t-Fuß–Catalan numbers for finite reflection groups", J. Algebraic Combin. **32**:1 (2010), 67–97. MR Zbl
- [Vale 2007a] R. Vale, "Rational Cherednik algebras and diagonal coinvariants of G(m, p, n)", J. Algebra **311**:1 (2007), 231–250. MR Zbl
- [Vale 2007b] R. T. R. Vale, On category O for the rational Cherednik algebra of the complex reflection group $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) \wr S_n$, Ph.D. thesis, University of Glasgow, 2007, available at https://www.proquest.com/docview/2023369593. MR

Communicated by Victor Reiner Received 2022-10-24 Revised 2022-11-23 Accepted 2023-01-03

stephenpgriffeth@gmail.com

om Instituto de Mathemática y Física, Universidad de Talca, Chile

mathematical sciences publishers



Algebra & Number Theory

msp.org/ant

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR Antoine Chambert-Loir Université Paris-Diderot France EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR David Eisenbud University of California Berkeley, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Jason P. Bell	University of Waterloo, Canada	Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne
Bhargav Bhatt	University of Michigan, USA	Martin Olsson	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Frank Calegari	University of Chicago, USA	Irena Peeva	Cornell University, USA
J-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, France	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
Brian D. Conrad	Stanford University, USA	Anand Pillay	University of Notre Dame, USA
Samit Dasgupta	Duke University, USA	Bjorn Poonen	Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA
Hélène Esnault	Freie Universität Berlin, Germany	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
Gavril Farkas	Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Germany	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Sergey Fomin	University of Michigan, USA	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Vasudevan Srinivas	Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, India
Wee Teck Gan	National University of Singapore	Shunsuke Takagi	University of Tokyo, Japan
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	Pham Huu Tiep	Rutgers University, USA
Ben J. Green	University of Oxford, UK	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Christopher Hacon	University of Utah, USA	Akshay Venkatesh	Institute for Advanced Study, USA
Roger Heath-Brown	Oxford University, UK	Melanie Matchett Wood	Harvard University, USA
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Shou-Wu Zhang	Princeton University, USA
Michael J. Larsen	Indiana University Bloomington, USA		

PRODUCTION

production@msp.org Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/ant for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2023 is US \$485/year for the electronic version, and \$705/year (+\$65, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1944-7833 electronic, 1937-0652 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online.

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing http://msp.org/ © 2023 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 17 No. 11 2023

On self-correspondences on curves JOËL BELLAÏCHE	1867
Fitting ideals of class groups for CM abelian extensions MAHIRO ATSUTA and TAKENORI KATAOKA	1901
The behavior of essential dimension under specialization, II ZINOVY REICHSTEIN and FEDERICO SCAVIA	1925
Differential operators, retracts, and toric face rings CHRISTINE BERKESCH, C-Y. JEAN CHAN, PATRICIA KLEIN, LAURA FELICIA MATUSEVICH, JANET PAGE and JANET VASSILEV	1959
Bézoutians and the A ¹ -degree THOMAS BRAZELTON, STEPHEN MCKEAN and SABRINA PAULI	1985
Axiomatizing the existential theory of $\mathbb{F}_q((t))$ SYLVY ANSCOMBE, PHILIP DITTMANN and ARNO FEHM	2013
The diagonal coinvariant ring of a complex reflection group STEPHEN GRIFFETH	2033