

ANALYSIS & PDE

Volume 4

No. 3

2011

GÁBOR SZÉKELYHIDI AND VALENTINO TOSATTI

**REGULARITY OF WEAK SOLUTIONS
OF A COMPLEX MONGE-AMPÈRE EQUATION**

REGULARITY OF WEAK SOLUTIONS OF A COMPLEX MONGE–AMPÈRE EQUATION

GÁBOR SZÉKELYHIDI AND VALENTINO TOSATTI

We prove the smoothness of weak solutions to an elliptic complex Monge–Ampère equation, using the smoothing property of the corresponding parabolic flow.

1. Introduction

Let (M, ω) be a compact Kähler manifold. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $\varphi \in PSH(M, \omega) \cap L^\infty(M)$ is a solution of the equation*

$$(\omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi)^n = e^{-F(\varphi, z)}\omega^n$$

in the sense of pluripotential theory [Bedford and Taylor 1976], where $F : \mathbb{R} \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth. Then φ is smooth.

In particular, if M is Fano, $\omega \in c_1(M)$, and h_ω satisfies $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}h_\omega = \text{Ric}(\omega) - \omega$, then we can set $F(\varphi, z) = \varphi - h_\omega$. The result then implies that Kähler–Einstein currents with bounded potentials are in fact smooth. Such weak Kähler–Einstein metrics were studied by Berman, Boucksom, Guedj, and Zeriahi in [Berman et al. 2009], as part of their variational approach to complex Monge–Ampère equations.

It follows from [Kołodziej 2008] (see also [Guedj et al. 2008]) that the solution φ in Theorem 1 is automatically C^α for some $\alpha > 0$, but it does not seem possible to use this directly to get further regularity. The difficulty is that in the equation

$$(\omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi)^n = e^f \omega^n,$$

the C^1 estimate for φ (due to Błocki [2009] and Hanani [1996]) depends on a C^1 bound for f , and in turn the Laplacian estimate for φ (due to Yau [1978] and Aubin [1976]) depends on the Laplacian of f .

To get around this difficulty we look at the corresponding parabolic flow

$$\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} = \log \frac{(\omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi)^n}{\omega^n} + F(\varphi, z).$$

Following the construction of [Song and Tian 2009] for the Kähler–Ricci flow, we show that to find a solution for a short time, it is enough to have a C^0 initial condition φ_0 for which $(\omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_0)^n$ is bounded (see also [Chen and Ding 2007; Chen and Tian 2008; Chen et al. 2011] for earlier results, as well as [Simon 2002] for a weaker statement in the Riemannian case). The solution of the flow will be smooth at any positive time. Then we need to argue that if the initial condition φ_0 is a weak solution of the elliptic problem then the flow is stationary, so in fact φ_0 is smooth.

MSC2000: primary 32Q20, 32W20, 35J60; secondary 53C44.

Keywords: complex Monge–Ampère equations, regularity of weak solutions, parabolic flows.

In Section 2 we show that the flow (with smooth initial data) exists for a short time, which only depends on a bound for $\sup |\varphi_0|$ and $\sup |\dot{\varphi}_0|$. In Section 3 we use this to construct a solution to the flow with rough initial data, and we prove Theorem 1.

2. Existence for the parabolic equation

In this section we consider the parabolic equation

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = \log \frac{(\omega + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi)^n}{\omega^n} + F(\varphi, z), \quad (1)$$

where $F : \mathbb{R} \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth and we have the smooth initial condition $\varphi|_{t=0} = \varphi_0$. We write $\dot{\varphi}_0$ for $\partial \varphi / \partial t$ at $t = 0$.

The main result of this section is the following:

Proposition 2. *There exist $T > 0$ depending only on $\sup |\varphi_0|$, $\sup |\dot{\varphi}_0|$ (and ω and F), such that there is a smooth solution $\varphi(t, z) : [0, T] \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to (1). We also have smooth functions $C_k : (0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ depending only on $\sup |\varphi_0|$, $\sup |\dot{\varphi}_0|$ such that*

$$\|\varphi(t)\|_{C^k(M)} < C_k(t) \quad (2)$$

as long as $t \leq T$. (Note that $C_k(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.)

The proof of the C^1 estimate is based on the arguments in [Błocki 2009] (see also [Hanani 1996; Phong and Sturm 2010]), whereas the C^2 estimate is based on the Aubin–Yau second order estimate [Aubin 1976; Yau 1978] (see also [Song and Tian 2009] for the parabolic version we need here). The C^3 and higher order estimates follow the standard arguments in [Yau 1978; Cao 1985; Phong et al. 2007], although there are a few new terms to control.

The existence of a smooth solution for $t \in [0, T')$ for some $T' > 0$ that depends on the $C^{2,\alpha}$ norm of φ_0 is standard. The aim is to obtain the estimates (2), which allow us to extend the solution up to a time T , which only depends on the initial condition in a weaker way. We will write $\varphi(t)$ for the short time solution.

Lemma 3. *There exists $T, C > 0$ depending only on $\sup |\varphi_0|$ and $\sup |\dot{\varphi}_0|$ such that*

$$|\varphi(t)|, |\dot{\varphi}(t)| < C, \quad (3)$$

as long as the solution exists and $t \leq T$. In particular,

$$\left| \log \frac{(\omega + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi)^n}{\omega^n} \right| < C \quad (4)$$

for $t \leq T$.

Proof. For all s , define

$$\bar{F}(s) = \sup_{z \in M} F(s, z),$$

which is a continuous function. At any given time t where φ exists, the maximum of $\varphi(t, \cdot)$ is achieved at some point $z \in M$, and at z we have

$$\log \frac{(\omega + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi)^n}{\omega^n} \leq 0.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{d\varphi_{\max}}{dt} \leq F(\varphi_{\max}, z) \leq \bar{F}(\varphi_{\max}),$$

where the derivative is interpreted as the limsup of the forward difference quotients at the points where it does not exist (compare [Hamilton 1986, Lemma 3.5]). Comparing with the solution of the corresponding ODE, we find that there exist $T, C > 0$ depending only on $\sup |\varphi_0|$ such that as long as our solution exists, and $t \leq T$, we have $\sup \varphi(t) < C$. In a similar way we get a lower bound on $\varphi(t, \cdot)$, so we have $|\varphi(t)| < C$ as long as the solution exists and $t \leq T$.

Differentiating the equation we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \dot{\varphi}}{\partial t} = \Delta_{\varphi} \dot{\varphi} + F'(\varphi, z) \dot{\varphi}, \tag{5}$$

where F' is the derivative of F with respect to the φ variable. Since $F'(\varphi, z)$ is bounded as long as φ is bounded, from the maximum principle we get

$$\sup |\dot{\varphi}(t)| < \sup |\dot{\varphi}(0)| e^{\kappa t}, \tag{6}$$

where κ depends on F and $\sup |\varphi(0)|$. Hence for our choice of T , we get

$$\sup |\dot{\varphi}(t)| < C,$$

for $t \leq T$, where C depends on $\sup |\varphi_0|$ and $\sup |\dot{\varphi}_0|$. □

In the lemmas below T will be the same as in the previous lemma.

Lemma 4. *There exists $C > 0$ depending on $\sup |\varphi_0|$ and $\sup |\dot{\varphi}_0|$ such that*

$$|\nabla \varphi(t)|_{\omega}^2 < e^{C/t}, \tag{7}$$

as long as the solution exists and $t \leq T$ for the T in Lemma 3.

Proof. We modify Błocki’s estimate [2009] for the complex Monge–Ampère equation (compare [Hanani 1996]). Define

$$K = t \log |\nabla \varphi|_{\omega}^2 - \gamma(\varphi),$$

where γ will be chosen later. Suppose that $\sup_{(0,t] \times M} K = K(t, z)$ is achieved. Pick normal coordinates for ω at z , such that $\varphi_{i\bar{j}}$ is diagonal at this point (here and henceforth, indices will denote covariant derivatives with respect to the metric ω). We write $\beta = |\nabla \varphi|_{\omega}^2$ and Δ_{φ} for the Laplacian of the metric $\omega + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi$. There exists $B > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\varphi} \right) K &\leq -\frac{t}{\beta} \sum_{i,p} \frac{|\varphi_{ip}|^2 + |\varphi_{i\bar{p}}|^2}{1 + \varphi_{p\bar{p}}} + (t^{-1}(\gamma')^2 + \gamma'') \sum_p \frac{|\varphi_p|^2}{1 + \varphi_{p\bar{p}}} \\ &\quad - (\gamma' - Bt) \sum_p \frac{1}{1 + \varphi_{p\bar{p}}} + \log \beta + \frac{Ct}{\beta} - \gamma' \dot{\varphi} + n\gamma' + Ct. \end{aligned}$$

The constant C depends on bounds for F and F' , and also we used that $\nabla K = 0$ at (t, z) .

Now we apply Błocki’s trick to get rid of the term containing $(\gamma')^2$. At (t, z) we have

$$t\beta_p = \gamma' \beta \varphi_p,$$

where

$$\beta_p = \varphi_p \varphi_{p\bar{p}} + \sum_j \varphi_{jp} \varphi_{\bar{j}},$$

remembering that $\varphi_{j\bar{p}}$ is diagonal. It follows that

$$\sum_j \varphi_{jp} \varphi_{\bar{j}} = (t^{-1} \gamma' \beta - \varphi_{p\bar{p}}) \varphi_p,$$

and so

$$\frac{t}{\beta} \sum_{j,p} \frac{|\varphi_{jp}|^2}{1 + \varphi_{p\bar{p}}} \geq \frac{t}{\beta^2} \sum_p \frac{|\sum_j \varphi_{jp} \varphi_{\bar{j}}|^2}{1 + \varphi_{p\bar{p}}} = \frac{t}{\beta^2} \sum_p \frac{|t^{-1} \gamma' \beta - \varphi_{p\bar{p}}|^2 |\varphi_p|^2}{1 + \varphi_{p\bar{p}}} \geq t^{-1} (\gamma')^2 \sum_p \frac{|\varphi_p|^2}{1 + \varphi_{p\bar{p}}} - 2\gamma',$$

where we assume that $\gamma' > 0$. Also from Lemma 3 we know that $\dot{\varphi}$ is bounded. Combining these estimates we obtain

$$0 \leq \gamma'' \sum_p \frac{|\varphi_p|^2}{1 + \varphi_{p\bar{p}}} - (\gamma' - Bt) \sum_p \frac{1}{1 + \varphi_{p\bar{p}}} + \log \beta + \frac{Ct}{\beta} + C\gamma' + Ct.$$

We now choose $\gamma(s) = As - \frac{1}{A}s^2$. We can assume that $\log \beta > 1$ at (t, z) , so in particular $\frac{t}{\beta}$ is bounded above as long as $t < T$. Then if A is chosen sufficiently large, we get a constant $C' > 0$ such that

$$\sum_p \frac{1}{1 + \varphi_{p\bar{p}}} + \sum_p \frac{|\varphi_p|^2}{1 + \varphi_{p\bar{p}}} \leq C' \log \beta, \tag{8}$$

so in particular $(1 + \varphi_{p\bar{p}})^{-1} \leq C' \log \beta$ for each p . From (4) we know that

$$\prod_p (1 + \varphi_{p\bar{p}}) < C,$$

so

$$1 + \varphi_{p\bar{p}} \leq C(C' \log \beta)^{n-1},$$

and using (8) we get

$$\beta = \sum_p |\varphi_p|^2 \leq C(C' \log \beta)^n.$$

This shows that $\beta < C$ and in turn $K < C$ for some constant C . So either K achieves a maximum for some $t > 0$ in which case we have just bounded it, or it achieves its maximum for $t = 0$, which is bounded in terms of $\sup |\varphi_0|$. □

From now on, we write g for the metric ω and g_φ for the metric $\omega + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi$.

Lemma 5. *There exists $C > 0$ depending on $\sup |\varphi_0|$ and $\sup |\dot{\varphi}_0|$ such that*

$$0 < \text{tr}_g(g_\varphi) = n + \Delta_g \varphi(t) < e^{Ce^{C/t}}, \tag{9}$$

as long as the solution exists and $t \leq T$, where T is as in Lemma 3.

Proof. We let

$$H = e^{-\alpha/t} \log \text{tr}_g(g_\varphi) - A\varphi,$$

where $\alpha = C$ from Lemma 4 and A is chosen later. In particular we will use that $e^{-\alpha/t} |\nabla\varphi|_g^2 < 1$. Standard calculations (from [Aubin 1976; Yau 1978]) show that there exist $B > 0$ such that

$$\Delta_\varphi \log \operatorname{tr}_g(g_\varphi) \geq -B \operatorname{tr}_{g_\varphi} g - \frac{\operatorname{tr}_g \operatorname{Ric}(g_\varphi)}{\operatorname{tr}_g(g_\varphi)}.$$

Using this we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_\varphi \right) H \\ & \leq \frac{\alpha e^{-\alpha/t}}{t^2} \log \operatorname{tr}_g(g_\varphi) + \frac{C e^{-\alpha/t}}{\operatorname{tr}_g(g_\varphi)} + \frac{e^{-\alpha/t} \Delta_g F(\varphi, z)}{\operatorname{tr}_g(g_\varphi)} + B e^{-\alpha/t} \operatorname{tr}_{g_\varphi} g - A \dot{\varphi} + A n - A \operatorname{tr}_{g_\varphi} g. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Here

$$\Delta_g F(\varphi, z) = \Delta_g F + 2 \operatorname{Re}(g^{i\bar{j}} F'_i \varphi_{\bar{j}}) + F' \Delta_g \varphi + F'' |\nabla\varphi|_g^2,$$

where F' is the derivative in the φ variable, and $\Delta_g F$ is the Laplacian of $F(\varphi, z)$ in the z variable. So we have constants C_1, C_2, C_3 such that

$$\Delta_g F(\varphi, z) \leq C_1 + C_2 |\nabla\varphi|_g^2 + C_3 \operatorname{tr}_g(g_\varphi).$$

From (4) we have bounds on above and below on $\frac{\det g_\varphi}{\det g}$, so for some constant C we have $\operatorname{tr}_g(g_\varphi) > C^{-1}$ and also $\operatorname{tr}_g(g_\varphi) \leq C(\operatorname{tr}_{g_\varphi} g)^{n-1}$. Using these in (10) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_\varphi \right) H & \leq -(A - B e^{-\alpha/t}) \operatorname{tr}_{g_\varphi} g + C \log \operatorname{tr}_{g_\varphi} g + C \\ & \leq -(A - C - B e^{-\alpha/t}) \operatorname{tr}_{g_\varphi} g + C', \end{aligned}$$

as long as $t \leq T$. Choosing A large enough, we can use the maximum principle to bound H in terms of its value for $t = 0$, which is bounded by $\sup |\varphi_0|$. □

We note here that if one is interested in the special case of weak Kähler–Einstein currents (i.e., $F = \varphi - h_\omega$), then the gradient estimate in Lemma 4 is not needed. We now describe how to get the higher order estimates, as long as the solution exists and $t \leq T$, for the T from Lemma 3. As in [Yau 1978], we let $\varphi_{i\bar{j}k}$ be the third covariant derivative of φ with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of ω , and we define

$$S = g_\varphi^{i\bar{p}} g_\varphi^{q\bar{j}} g_\varphi^{k\bar{r}} \varphi_{i\bar{j}k} \varphi_{\bar{p}q\bar{r}}.$$

From now on, we will denote by $C(t)$ a smooth real function defined on $(0, T]$, which is allowed to blow up when t approaches zero, which depends only on $\sup |\varphi_0|, \sup |\dot{\varphi}_0|$ and which may vary from line to line. These functions $C(t)$ can be made completely explicit. Using (9) it is clear that an estimate of the form $S \leq C(t)$ implies an estimate of the form $\|\varphi(t)\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(g)} \leq C(t)$, for any $0 < \alpha < 1$. To estimate S we first compute its evolution. It is convenient to use the general computation by Phong, Šešum, and Sturm [Phong et al. 2007], which uses the following notation. We denote by $h^i_j = g^{i\bar{k}}(g_{j\bar{k}} + \varphi_{j\bar{k}})$, which is an endomorphism of the tangent bundle. Then S can be written in terms of the connection $\nabla h h^{-1}$ as

$$S = g_\varphi^{p\bar{q}} g_\varphi^{i\bar{j}} g_\varphi^{k\bar{\ell}} (\nabla_p h h^{-1})^i_k (\nabla_q h h^{-1})^j_\ell = |\nabla h h^{-1}|_{g_\varphi}^2,$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of ω_φ . Then the computations in [Phong et al. 2007] yield

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_\varphi\right)S &= -|\nabla(\nabla hh^{-1})|_{g_\varphi}^2 - |\bar{\nabla}(\nabla hh^{-1})|_{g_\varphi}^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle (\nabla T - \nabla R, \nabla hh^{-1}) \rangle_{g_\varphi} \\ &\quad + (\nabla_p hh^{-1})^i_k \overline{(\nabla_q hh^{-1})^j_\ell} (T^{p\bar{q}} g_{\varphi, i\bar{j}} g_\varphi^{k\bar{\ell}} - g_\varphi^{p\bar{q}} T_{i\bar{j}} g_\varphi^{k\bar{\ell}} + g_\varphi^{p\bar{q}} g_{\varphi, i\bar{j}} T^{k\bar{\ell}}), \end{aligned}$$

where $T_{i\bar{j}} = -(\partial g_\varphi / \partial t + \operatorname{Ric}(g_\varphi))_{i\bar{j}}$, $(\nabla T)_{qr}^p = g_\varphi^{p\bar{s}} \nabla_q T_{r\bar{s}}$, $(\nabla R)_{qr}^p = g_\varphi^{s\bar{i}} \nabla_s R_{r\bar{q}\bar{i}}^p$ and $R_{r\bar{q}\bar{i}}^p$ is the curvature of the fixed metric g . Along the standard Kähler–Ricci flow the tensor T vanishes, while in our case differentiating (1) we get

$$-T_{i\bar{j}} = \operatorname{Ric}(g)_{i\bar{j}} + F'' \varphi_i \varphi_{\bar{j}} + F' \varphi_{i\bar{j}} + F_{i\bar{j}} + 2 \operatorname{Re}(F'_i \varphi_{\bar{j}}). \tag{11}$$

Using (7) and (9) we can then estimate

$$\left| (\nabla_p hh^{-1})^i_k \overline{(\nabla_q hh^{-1})^j_\ell} (T^{p\bar{q}} g_{\varphi, i\bar{j}} g_\varphi^{k\bar{\ell}} - g_\varphi^{p\bar{q}} T_{i\bar{j}} g_\varphi^{k\bar{\ell}} + g_\varphi^{p\bar{q}} g_{\varphi, i\bar{j}} T^{k\bar{\ell}}) \right| \leq C(t)S.$$

The term $2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \nabla R, \nabla hh^{-1} \rangle_{g_\varphi}$ is comparable to S , but bounding $2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \nabla T, \nabla hh^{-1} \rangle_{g_\varphi}$ requires a bit more work. Differentiating (11) and using (3), (7) and (9) we see that all the terms in $2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \nabla T, \nabla hh^{-1} \rangle_{g_\varphi}$ are comparable to $C(t)S$ except for two terms of the form

$$\langle \varphi_{ij} g_\varphi^{k\bar{\ell}} \varphi_{\bar{\ell}}, (\nabla_i hh^{-1})^k_j \rangle_{g_\varphi}.$$

We bound these by $|\varphi_{ij}|_{g_\varphi}^2 + C(t)S$, so overall we get

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_\varphi\right)S \leq C(t)S + |\varphi_{ij}|_{g_\varphi}^2 + C.$$

The term $C(t)S$ can be controlled by using $\operatorname{tr}_g(g_\varphi)$ in the usual way [Phong et al. 2007]. For the term $|\varphi_{ij}|_{g_\varphi}^2$ we note that using (3), (7) and (9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_\varphi\right)|\nabla\varphi|_g^2 &\leq -\sum_{i,p} \frac{|\varphi_{ip}|^2 + |\varphi_{i\bar{p}}|^2}{1 + \varphi_{p\bar{p}}} + 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \nabla\varphi, F' \nabla\varphi + \nabla F \rangle_g + C \operatorname{tr}_{g_\varphi} g |\nabla\varphi|_g^2 \\ &\leq -\frac{|\varphi_{ij}|_{g_\varphi}^2}{C(t)} + C(t). \end{aligned}$$

We can then apply the maximum principle to the quantity

$$G = \frac{S}{C_1(t)} + \frac{\operatorname{tr}_g(g_\varphi)}{C_2(t)} + \frac{|\nabla\varphi|_g^2}{C_3(t)},$$

for suitable functions $C_i(t)$ that depend only on the given data, and get $G \leq C$, which implies the desired estimate for S . This means that as long as the solution exists and $0 < t \leq T$ we have a bound on $\|\varphi(t)\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)}$. Since by standard parabolic theory one can start the flow with initial data in $C^{2+\alpha}$, this shows that the flow has a $C^{2+\alpha}$ solution defined on $[0, T]$.

The next step is to estimate $\sup |\ddot{\varphi}(t)|$ and $\sup |\partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} \dot{\varphi}(t)|$. It is easy to see that both of these quantities are bounded if we bound $|\operatorname{Ric}(g_\varphi)|_{g_\varphi}$. Following the computation in [Phong et al. 2011, p. 107] one can derive the following estimate (there are essentially no new bad terms in this case)

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_\varphi\right)|\operatorname{Ric}(g_\varphi)|_{g_\varphi} \leq C(t)|\operatorname{Rm}(g_\varphi)|^2 + C(t).$$

From one of the two good positive terms in the evolution of S we get

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_\varphi\right)S \leq -\frac{|\text{Rm}(g_\varphi)|^2}{C(t)} + C(t)$$

and so the maximum principle applied to the quantity

$$\frac{|\text{Ric}(g_\varphi)|_{g_\varphi}}{C_1(t)} + \frac{S}{C_2(t)}$$

gives the desired bound $|\text{Ric}(g_\varphi)|_{g_\varphi} \leq C(t)$.

It now follows from the parabolic Schauder estimates applied to (5) that we have bounds for φ in the parabolic Hölder space $C^{2+\alpha, 1+\alpha/2}(M \times [\varepsilon, T])$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$, with the bounds only depending on ε , $\sup|\varphi_0|$ and $\sup|\dot{\varphi}_0|$. By the parabolic Schauder estimates we then also get bounds on all higher order derivatives for φ , and letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we get the required bounds on $\varphi(t)$ that blow up as t goes to zero. In particular, we get a smooth solution $\varphi(t)$ that exists on $[0, T]$, with bounds as in (2). This completes the proof of Proposition 2.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Suppose that φ is a bounded ω -plurisubharmonic solution of the equation

$$(\omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi)^n = e^{-F(\varphi, z)}\omega^n, \tag{12}$$

where F is a smooth function. First of all we want to prove existence of the flow (1) with rough initial data φ . For this, we follow the proof in [Song and Tian 2009] in the case of Kähler–Ricci flow.

It follows from [Kołodziej 1998] that in this case φ is continuous (in fact it is even C^α ; see [Guedj et al. 2008; Kołodziej 2008]). Let us approximate φ with a sequence of smooth functions u_k , such that

$$\sup_M |\varphi - u_k| \rightarrow 0, \tag{13}$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By the theorem in [Yau 1978] there are smooth functions ψ_k such that

$$(\omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\psi_k)^n = c_k e^{-F(u_k, z)}\omega^n, \tag{14}$$

where the positive constants c_k are chosen so that the integrals of both sides of (14) match. When k is large we see that c_k approaches 1. Moreover, we can normalize the solution ψ_k so that

$$\sup_M (\psi_k - \varphi) = \sup_M (\varphi - \psi_k).$$

Using (13) together with Kołodziej’s stability result [2003] we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi_k - \varphi\|_{L^\infty} = 0. \tag{15}$$

Using Proposition 2 we can solve the equation

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial t} = \log \frac{(\omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_k)^n}{\omega^n} + F(\varphi_k, z) - \log c_k, \tag{16}$$

with initial condition $\varphi_k|_{t=0} = \psi_k$ for a short time $t \in [0, T]$ independent of k , since by (13), (14) and (15) we have uniform bounds on the initial data $\sup|\psi_k|$ and $\sup|\dot{\varphi}_k(0)|$. As in [Song and Tian 2009] we have:

Lemma 6. *The sequence φ_k is a Cauchy sequence in $C^0([0, T] \times M)$, ie.*

$$\lim_{j,k \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_j - \varphi_k\|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times M)} = 0.$$

Proof. Fix j, k and let $\mu = \varphi_j - \varphi_k$. Then

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t} = \log \frac{(\omega + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi_k + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \mu)^n}{(\omega + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi_k)^n} + F(\varphi_j, z) - F(\varphi_k, z) + \log \frac{c_k}{c_j},$$

and $\mu|_{t=0} = \psi_j - \psi_k$. At any time given time t , the maximum of μ is achieved at some point $z \in M$, and at z we have

$$\frac{d\mu_{\max}}{dt} \leq F(\varphi_j(t, z), z) - F(\varphi_k(t, z), z) + \log \frac{c_k}{c_j} \leq \kappa |\mu(z)| + \log \frac{c_k}{c_j},$$

where κ is independent of j, k . Here and henceforth the derivative is interpreted as the limsup of the forward difference quotients at the points where it does not exist [Hamilton 1986, Lemma 3.5]. Similarly, at the point z' where the minimum of μ is achieved, we have

$$\frac{d\mu_{\min}}{dt} \geq -\kappa |\mu(z')| + \log \frac{c_k}{c_j}.$$

Putting these together we see that

$$\frac{d|\mu|_{\max}}{dt} \leq \kappa |\mu|_{\max} + \left| \log \frac{c_k}{c_j} \right|.$$

It follows that

$$\sup_{[0,T] \times M} |\varphi_j - \varphi_k| \leq e^{\kappa T} \left(\|\psi_j - \psi_k\|_{L^\infty(M)} + \frac{1}{\kappa} \left| \log \frac{c_k}{c_j} \right| \right) - \frac{1}{\kappa} \left| \log \frac{c_k}{c_j} \right|.$$

Now (15) and the fact that c_k converges to 1 imply the result. \square

Using this lemma we can define

$$\Phi = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_j,$$

which is in $C^0([0, T] \times M)$. Moreover from Proposition 2 for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have uniform bounds on all derivatives of the φ_j for $t \in [\varepsilon, T]$, so in fact for all k we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi - \varphi_j\|_{C^k(M \times [\varepsilon, T])} = 0.$$

From (6) we get

$$\sup_M |\dot{\varphi}_k(t)| < C \sup_M |\dot{\varphi}_k(0)|$$

for $t \in [0, T]$, but from (16) we have

$$\dot{\varphi}_k(0) = \log \frac{(\omega + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_k)^n}{\omega^n} + F(\psi_k, z) - \log c_k = F(\psi_k, z) - F(\varphi_k, z) - \log c_k,$$

which converges to zero when k goes to infinity. It follows that for any $t > 0$ we have

$$\dot{\Phi}(t) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \dot{\varphi}_j(t) = 0.$$

Hence Φ is constant on $(0, T]$, but since it is continuous on $[0, T]$ it follows that $\Phi(t) = \Phi(0)$ for all $t \leq T$. But $\Phi(0)$ is our solution φ of (12), whereas $\Phi(t)$ is smooth for $t > 0$. Hence φ is smooth.

Acknowledgements

We thank D. H. Phong for support and encouragement as well as J. Song and J. Sturm for very valuable help and B. Weinkove for useful comments. Tosatti is also grateful to S.-T. Yau for his support.

The question answered in Theorem 1 arose after R. Berman’s talk at the workshop “Complex Monge–Ampère Equation” at Banff in October 2009, and we would like to thank him as well as the participants and organizers of that workshop for providing a stimulating working environment.

Székelyhidi was supported in part by National Science Foundation grant DMS-0904223.

References

- [Aubin 1976] T. Aubin, “Équations du type Monge–Ampère sur les variétés kähleriennes compactes”, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* **283**:3 (1976), A119–A121. MR 55 #6496
- [Bedford and Taylor 1976] E. Bedford and B. A. Taylor, “The Dirichlet problem for a complex Monge–Ampère equation”, *Invent. Math.* **37**:1 (1976), 1–44. MR 56 #3351 Zbl 0315.31007
- [Berman et al. 2009] R. Berman, S. Boucksom, V. Guedj, and A. Zeriahi, “A variational approach to complex Monge–Ampère equations”, preprint, 2009. arXiv 0907.4490
- [Blocki 2009] Z. Blocki, “A gradient estimate in the Calabi–Yau theorem”, *Math. Ann.* **344**:2 (2009), 317–327. MR 2010d:32046 Zbl 1167.32023
- [Cao 1985] H. D. Cao, “Deformation of Kähler metrics to Kähler–Einstein metrics on compact Kähler manifolds”, *Invent. Math.* **81**:2 (1985), 359–372. MR 87d:58051
- [Chen and Ding 2007] X. X. Chen and W. Ding, “Ricci flow on surfaces with degenerate initial metrics”, *J. Partial Differential Equations* **20**:3 (2007), 193–202. MR 2008g:53081 Zbl 1174.53025
- [Chen and Tian 2008] X. X. Chen and G. Tian, “Geometry of Kähler metrics and foliations by holomorphic discs”, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **107** (2008), 1–107. MR 2009g:32048
- [Chen et al. 2011] X. X. Chen, G. Tian, and Z. Zhang, “On the weak Kähler–Ricci flow”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **363**:6 (2011), 2849–2863. MR 2775789
- [Guedj et al. 2008] V. Guedj, S. Kolodziej, and A. Zeriahi, “Hölder continuous solutions to Monge–Ampère equations”, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **40**:6 (2008), 1070–1080. MR 2010a:32066
- [Hamilton 1986] R. S. Hamilton, “Four-manifolds with positive curvature operator”, *J. Differential Geom.* **24**:2 (1986), 153–179. MR 87m:53055 Zbl 0628.53042
- [Hanani 1996] A. Hanani, “Équations du type de Monge–Ampère sur les variétés hermitiennes compactes”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **137**:1 (1996), 49–75. MR 97c:32018 Zbl 0847.53045
- [Kołodziej 1998] S. Kołodziej, “The complex Monge–Ampère equation”, *Acta Math.* **180**:1 (1998), 69–117. MR 99h:32017 Zbl 0913.35043
- [Kołodziej 2003] S. Kołodziej, “The Monge–Ampère equation on compact Kähler manifolds”, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **52**:3 (2003), 667–686. MR 2004i:32062
- [Kołodziej 2008] S. Kołodziej, “Hölder continuity of solutions to the complex Monge–Ampère equation with the right-hand side in L^p : the case of compact Kähler manifolds”, *Math. Ann.* **342**:2 (2008), 379–386. MR 2009g:32079
- [Phong and Sturm 2010] D. H. Phong and J. Sturm, “The Dirichlet problem for degenerate complex Monge–Ampère equations”, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **18**:1 (2010), 145–170. MR 2011i:32039 Zbl 1222.32044
- [Phong et al. 2007] D. H. Phong, N. Sesum, and J. Sturm, “Multiplier ideal sheaves and the Kähler–Ricci flow”, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **15**:3 (2007), 613–632. MR 2009a:32037
- [Phong et al. 2011] D. H. Phong, J. Song, J. Sturm, and B. Weinkove, “On the convergence of the modified Kähler–Ricci flow and solitons”, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **86**:1 (2011), 91–112. MR 2745277
- [Simon 2002] M. Simon, “Deformation of C^0 Riemannian metrics in the direction of their Ricci curvature”, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **10**:5 (2002), 1033–1074. MR 2003j:53107 Zbl 1034.58008

[Song and Tian 2009] J. Song and G. Tian, “The Kähler–Ricci flow through singularities”, preprint, 2009. arXiv 0909.4898

[Yau 1978] S. T. Yau, “On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge–Ampère equation, I”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **31**:3 (1978), 339–411. MR 81d:53045

Received 11 Dec 2009. Accepted 13 Jul 2010.

GÁBOR SZÉKELYHIDI: gszekely@nd.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556, United States

<http://www.nd.edu/~gszekely>

VALENTINO TOSATTI: tosatti@math.columbia.edu

Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, 2990 Broadway, New York, NY 10027, United States

<http://www.math.columbia.edu/~tosatti>

Analysis & PDE

pjm.math.berkeley.edu/apde

EDITORS

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Maciej Zworski
University of California
Berkeley, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Michael Aizenman	Princeton University, USA aizenman@math.princeton.edu	Nicolas Burq	Université Paris-Sud 11, France nicolas.burq@math.u-psud.fr
Luis A. Caffarelli	University of Texas, USA caffarel@math.utexas.edu	Sun-Yung Alice Chang	Princeton University, USA chang@math.princeton.edu
Michael Christ	University of California, Berkeley, USA mchrist@math.berkeley.edu	Charles Fefferman	Princeton University, USA cf@math.princeton.edu
Ursula Hamenstaedt	Universität Bonn, Germany ursula@math.uni-bonn.de	Nigel Higson	Pennsylvania State University, USA higson@math.psu.edu
Vaughan Jones	University of California, Berkeley, USA vfr@math.berkeley.edu	Herbert Koch	Universität Bonn, Germany koch@math.uni-bonn.de
Izabella Laba	University of British Columbia, Canada ilaba@math.ubc.ca	Gilles Lebeau	Université de Nice Sophia Antipolis, France lebeau@unice.fr
László Lempert	Purdue University, USA lempert@math.purdue.edu	Richard B. Melrose	Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA rbm@math.mit.edu
Frank Merle	Université de Cergy-Pontoise, France Frank.Merle@u-cergy.fr	William Minicozzi II	Johns Hopkins University, USA minicozz@math.jhu.edu
Werner Müller	Universität Bonn, Germany mueller@math.uni-bonn.de	Yuval Peres	University of California, Berkeley, USA peres@stat.berkeley.edu
Gilles Pisier	Texas A&M University, and Paris 6 pisier@math.tamu.edu	Tristan Rivière	ETH, Switzerland riviere@math.ethz.ch
Igor Rodnianski	Princeton University, USA irod@math.princeton.edu	Wilhelm Schlag	University of Chicago, USA schlag@math.uchicago.edu
Sylvia Serfaty	New York University, USA serfaty@cims.nyu.edu	Yum-Tong Siu	Harvard University, USA siu@math.harvard.edu
Terence Tao	University of California, Los Angeles, USA tao@math.ucla.edu	Michael E. Taylor	Univ. of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA met@math.unc.edu
Gunther Uhlmann	University of Washington, USA gunther@math.washington.edu	András Vasy	Stanford University, USA andras@math.stanford.edu
Dan Virgil Voiculescu	University of California, Berkeley, USA dvv@math.berkeley.edu	Steven Zelditch	Northwestern University, USA zelditch@math.northwestern.edu

PRODUCTION

contact@msp.org

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Sheila Newbery, Senior Production Editor


See inside back cover or pjm.math.berkeley.edu/apde for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2011 is US \$120/year for the electronic version, and \$180/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, USA.

Analysis & PDE, at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

APDE peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW™ from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
<http://msp.org/>

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L^AT_EX

Copyright ©2011 by Mathematical Sciences Publishers

ANALYSIS & PDE

Volume 4 No. 3 2011

Regularity of weak solutions of a complex Monge–Ampère equation GÁBOR SZÉKELYHIDI and VALENTINO TOSATTI	369
Traveling waves for the cubic Szegő equation on the real line OANA POCOVCU	379
Scattering threshold for the focusing nonlinear Klein–Gordon equation SLIM IBRAHIM, NADER MASMOUDI and KENJI NAKANISHI	405
Rayleigh-type surface quasimodes in general linear elasticity SÖNKE HANSEN	463



2157-5045(2011)4:3;1-J