

# ANALYSIS & PDE

Volume 8

No. 2

2015

IRENE FONSECA, NICOLA FUSCO,  
GIOVANNI LEONI AND MASSIMILIANO MORINI

**MOTION OF THREE-DIMENSIONAL ELASTIC FILMS BY  
ANISOTROPIC SURFACE DIFFUSION WITH CURVATURE  
REGULARIZATION**





## MOTION OF THREE-DIMENSIONAL ELASTIC FILMS BY ANISOTROPIC SURFACE DIFFUSION WITH CURVATURE REGULARIZATION

IRENE FONSECA, NICOLA FUSCO, GIOVANNI LEONI AND MASSIMILIANO MORINI

Short time existence for a surface diffusion evolution equation with curvature regularization is proved in the context of epitaxially strained three-dimensional films. This is achieved by implementing a minimizing movement scheme, which is hinged on the  $H^{-1}$ -gradient flow structure underpinning the evolution law. Long-time behavior and Liapunov stability in the case of initial data close to a flat configuration are also addressed.

1. Introduction	373
2. Setting of the problem	377
3. Existence of the evolution	380
4. Liapunov stability of the flat configuration	404
Appendix	417
Acknowledgements	421
References	421

### 1. Introduction

In this paper we study the morphologic evolution of anisotropic, epitaxially strained films, driven by stress and surface mass transport in three dimensions. This can be viewed as the evolutionary counterpart of the static theory developed in [Bonnetier and Chambolle 2002; Fonseca et al. 2007; 2011; Fusco and Morini 2012; Bonacini 2013a; Capriani et al. 2013] in the two-dimensional case and in [Bonacini 2013b] in three dimensions. The two-dimensional formulation of the same evolution problem has been addressed in [Fonseca et al. 2012] (see also [Piovano 2014] for the case of motion by evaporation–condensation).

The physical setting behind the evolution equation is the following. The free interface is allowed to evolve via *surface diffusion* under the influence of a chemical potential  $\mu$ . Assuming that mass transport in the bulk occurs at a much faster time scale, and thus can be neglected (see [Mullins 1963]), we have, according to the Einstein–Nernst relation, that the evolution is governed by the *volume-preserving* equation

$$V = C\Delta_{\Gamma}\mu, \tag{1-1}$$

where  $C > 0$ ,  $V$  denotes the normal velocity of the evolving interface  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Delta_{\Gamma}$  stands for the tangential laplacian, and the chemical potential  $\mu$  is given by the first variation of the underlying free energy functional.

---

MSC2010: primary 35K25, 53C44, 74K35, 35Q74; secondary 37B25.

Keywords: minimizing movements, surface diffusion, gradient flows, higher order geometric flows, elastically stressed epitaxial films, volume-preserving evolution, long-time behavior, Liapunov stability.

In our case, the free energy functional associated with the physical system is given by

$$\int_{\Omega_h} W(E(u)) dz + \int_{\Gamma_h} \psi(v) d\mathcal{H}^2, \quad (1-2)$$

where  $h$  is the function whose graph  $\Gamma_h$  describes the evolving profile of the film,  $\Omega_h$  is the region occupied by the film,  $u$  is displacement of the material, which is assumed to be in (quasistatic) elastic equilibrium at each time,  $E(u)$  is the symmetric part of  $Du$ ,  $W$  is a positive definite quadratic form, and  $\mathcal{H}^2$  denotes the two-dimensional Hausdorff measure. Finally,  $\psi$  is an anisotropic surface energy density, evaluated at the unit normal  $v$  to  $\Gamma_h$ . The first variation of (1-2) can be written as the sum of three contributions: a constant Lagrange multiplier related to mass conservation, the (anisotropic) curvature of the surface, and the elastic energy density evaluated at the displacement of the solid on the profile of the film. Hence, (1-1) takes the form (assuming  $C = 1$ )

$$V = \Delta_\Gamma [\text{Div}_\Gamma(D\psi(v)) + W(E(u))], \quad (1-3)$$

where  $\text{Div}_\Gamma$  stands for the tangential divergence along  $\Gamma_{h(\cdot, t)}$ , and  $u(\cdot, t)$  is the elastic equilibrium in  $\Omega_{h(\cdot, t)}$ , i.e., the minimizer of the elastic energy under the prescribed periodicity and boundary conditions (see (1-6) below).

In the physically relevant case of a highly anisotropic nonconvex interfacial energy, there may exist certain directions  $v$  at which the ellipticity condition

$$D^2\psi(v)[\tau, \tau] > 0 \quad \text{for all } \tau \perp v, \tau \neq 0$$

fails; see for instance [Di Carlo et al. 1992; Siegel et al. 2004]. Correspondingly, the above evolution equation becomes *backward parabolic* and thus ill-posed. To overcome this ill-posedness, and following the work of Herring [1951], an additive curvature regularization to surface energy has been proposed; see [Di Carlo et al. 1992; Gurtin and Jabbour 2002]. Here we consider the regularized surface energy

$$\int_{\Gamma_h} \left( \psi(v) + \frac{\varepsilon}{p} |H|^p \right) d\mathcal{H}^2,$$

where  $p > 2$ ,  $H$  stands for the sum  $\kappa_1 + \kappa_2$  of the principal curvatures of  $\Gamma_h$ , and  $\varepsilon$  is a (small) positive constant. The restriction on the range of exponents  $p > 2$  is of technical nature and it is motivated by the fact that, in two dimensions, the Sobolev space  $W^{2,p}$  embeds into  $C^{1,(p-2)/p}$  if  $p > 2$ . The extension of our analysis to the case  $p = 2$  seems to require different ideas.

The regularized free energy functional then reads

$$\int_{\Omega_h} W(E(u)) dz + \int_{\Gamma_h} \left( \psi(v) + \frac{\varepsilon}{p} |H|^p \right) d\mathcal{H}^2, \quad (1-4)$$

and (1-1) becomes

$$V = \Delta_\Gamma \left[ \text{Div}_\Gamma(D\psi(v)) + W(E(u)) - \varepsilon \left( \Delta_\Gamma(|H|^{p-2}H) - |H|^{p-2}H \left( \kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2 - \frac{1}{p} H^2 \right) \right) \right]. \quad (1-5)$$

Sixth-order evolution equations of this type have already been considered in [Gurtin and Jabbour 2002] for the case without elasticity. Its two-dimensional version was studied numerically in [Siegel et al. 2004]

for the evolution of voids in elastically stressed materials, and analytically in [Fonseca et al. 2012] in the context of evolving one-dimensional graphs. We also refer to [Rätz et al. 2006; Burger et al. 2007] and references therein for some numerical results in the three-dimensional case. However, to the best of our knowledge no analytical results were available in the literature prior to ours.

As in [Fonseca et al. 2012], in this paper we focus on evolving graphs, and to be precise on the case where (1-5) models the evolution toward equilibrium of epitaxially strained elastic films deposited over a rigid substrate. Given  $Q := (0, b)^2$ ,  $b > 0$ , we look for a spatially  $Q$ -periodic solution to the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{J} \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = \Delta_\Gamma [\text{Div}_\Gamma(D\psi(v)) + W(E(u)) - \varepsilon(\Delta_\Gamma(|H|^{p-2}H) - |H|^{p-2}H(\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2 - \frac{1}{p}H^2))] \\ \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T_0), \\ \text{Div } \mathbb{C}E(u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_h, \\ \mathbb{C}E(u)[v] = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_h, \quad u(x, 0, t) = (e_0^1 x_1, e_0^2 x_2, 0), \\ h(\cdot, t) \text{ and } Du(\cdot, t) \quad \text{are } Q\text{-periodic,} \\ h(\cdot, 0) = h_0, \end{cases} \tag{1-6}$$

where, we recall,  $h : \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T_0] \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$  denotes the function describing the two-dimensional profile  $\Gamma_h$  of the film;

$$J := \sqrt{1 + |D_x h|^2};$$

$W(A) := \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{C}A : A$  for all  $A \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^{2 \times 2}$  with  $\mathbb{C}$  a positive definite fourth-order tensor;  $e_0 := (e_0^1, e_0^2)$ , with  $e_0^1, e_0^2 > 0$ , is a vector that embodies the mismatch between the crystalline lattices of the film and the substrate; and  $h_0 \in H_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is a  $Q$ -periodic function. Note that, in (1-6), the sixth-order (geometric) parabolic equation for the film profile is coupled with the elliptic system of elastic equilibrium equations in the bulk.

It was observed by Cahn and Taylor [1994] that the surface diffusion equation can be regarded as a gradient flow of the free energy functional with respect to a suitable  $H^{-1}$ -Riemannian structure. To formally illustrate this point, consider the manifold of subsets of  $Q \times (0, +\infty)$  of fixed volume  $d$ , which are subgraphs of a  $Q$ -periodic function, that is,

$$\mathcal{M} := \left\{ \Omega_h : h \text{ } Q\text{-periodic, } h \in H^2(Q), \int_Q h \, dx = d \right\},$$

where  $\Omega_h := \{(x, y) : x \in Q, 0 < y < h(x)\}$ . The tangent space  $T_{\Omega_h} \mathcal{M}$  at an element  $\Omega_h$  is described by the kinematically admissible normal velocities:

$$T_{\Omega_h} \mathcal{M} := \left\{ V : \Gamma_h \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : V \text{ } Q\text{-periodic, } V \in L^2(\Gamma_h; \mathcal{H}^2), \int_{\Gamma_h} V \, d\mathcal{H}^2 = 0 \right\},$$

where  $\Gamma_h$  is the graph of  $h$  over the periodicity cell  $Q$ ; it is endowed with the  $H^{-1}$  metric tensor

$$g_{\Omega_h}(V_1, V_2) := \int_{\Gamma_h} \nabla_{\Gamma_h} w_1 \nabla_{\Gamma_h} w_2 \, d\mathcal{H}^2 \quad \text{for all } V_1, V_2 \in T_{\Omega_h} \mathcal{M},$$

where  $w_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , is the solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{\Gamma_h} w_i = V_i \text{ on } \Gamma_h, \\ w_i \text{ is } Q\text{-periodic,} \\ \int_{\Gamma_h} w_i d\mathcal{H}^2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Consider now the *reduced free energy functional*

$$G(\Omega_h) := \int_{\Omega_h} W(E(u_h)) dz + \int_{\Gamma_h} \left( \psi(v) + \frac{\varepsilon}{p} |H|^p \right) d\mathcal{H}^2,$$

where  $u_h$  is the minimizer of the elastic energy in  $\Omega_h$  under the boundary and periodicity conditions described above. Then, the evolution described by (1-6) is such that at each time the normal velocity  $V$  of the evolving profile  $h(t)$  is the element of the tangent space  $T_{\Omega_{h(t)}}\mathcal{M}$  corresponding to the steepest descent of  $G$ , i.e., (1-6) may be formally rewritten as

$$g_{\Omega_{h(t)}}(V, \tilde{V}) = -\partial G(\Omega_{h(t)})[\tilde{V}] \quad \text{for all } \tilde{V} \in T_{\Omega_{h(t)}}\mathcal{M},$$

where  $\partial G(h(t))[\tilde{V}]$  stands for the first variation of  $G$  at  $\Omega_{h(t)}$  in the direction  $\tilde{V}$ .

In order to solve (1-6), we take advantage of this gradient flow structure and we implement a *minimizing movements scheme* (see [Ambrosio 1995]), which consists in constructing discrete time evolutions by solving iteratively suitable minimum incremental problems.

It is interesting to observe that the gradient flow of the free energy functional  $G$  with respect to an  $L^2$ -Riemannian structure (instead of  $H^{-1}$ ) leads to a fourth-order evolution equation, which describes motion by evaporation–condensation (see [Cahn and Taylor 1994; Gurtin and Jabbour 2002] and [Piovano 2014], where the one-dimensional case was studied analytically).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we set up the problem and introduce the discrete time evolutions. In Section 3 we prove our main local-in-time existence result for (1-6), by showing that (up to subsequences) the discrete time evolutions converge to a weak solution of (1-6) in  $[0, T_0]$  for some  $T_0 > 0$  (see Theorem 3.16). By a *Q-periodic weak solution* we mean a function  $h \in H^1(0, T_0; H_{\#}^{-1}(Q)) \cap L^\infty(0, T_0; H_{\#}^2(Q))$  such that  $(h, u_h)$  satisfies the system (1-6) in the distributional sense (see Definition 3.1). To the best of our knowledge, Theorem 3.16 is the first (short time) existence result for a surface diffusion-type geometric evolution equation in the presence of elasticity in three dimensions. Moreover, the use of minimizing movements also appears to be new in the context of higher-order geometric flows (the only other paper we are aware of in which a similar approach is adopted, but in two dimensions, is [Fonseca et al. 2012]).

Compared to mean curvature flows, where the minimizing movements algorithm is nowadays classical after the pioneering work of [Almgren et al. 1993] (see also [Chambolle 2004; Bellettini et al. 2006; Caselles and Chambolle 2006]), a major technical difference lies in the fact that no comparison principle is available in this higher-order framework. The convergence analysis is instead based on subtle interpolation and regularity estimates. It is worth mentioning that, for geometric surface diffusion equation without

elasticity and without curvature regularization,

$$V = \Delta_\Gamma H$$

(corresponding to the case  $W = 0$ ,  $\psi = 1$ , and  $\varepsilon = 0$ ), short time existence of a smooth solution was proved in [Escher et al. 1998] using semigroup techniques. See also [Bellettini et al. 2007; Mantegazza 2002]. It is still an open question whether the solution constructed via the minimizing movement scheme is unique, and thus independent of the subsequence.

In Section 4 we address the Liapunov stability of the flat configuration, corresponding to a horizontal (flat) profile. Roughly speaking, we show that if the surface energy density is strictly convex and the second variation of the functional (1-2) at a given flat configuration is positive definite, then such a configuration is asymptotically stable, that is, for all initial data  $h_0$  sufficiently close to it the corresponding evolutions constructed via minimizing movements exist for all times, and converge asymptotically to the flat configuration as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  (see Theorem 4.8). We remark that Theorem 4.8 may be regarded as an evolutionary counterpart of the static stability analysis of the flat configuration performed in [Fusco and Morini 2012; Bonacini 2013a; 2013b]. In Theorem 4.7 we address also the case of a nonconvex anisotropy, and we show that, if the corresponding Wulff shape contains a horizontal facet, then the Asaro–Grinfeld–Tiller instability does not occur and the flat configuration is *always* Liapunov stable (see [Bonacini 2013a; 2013b] for the corresponding result in the static case). Both results are completely new even in the two-dimensional case, to which they obviously apply (see Section 4C). We remark that our treatment is purely variational and it is hinged on the fact that (1-4) is a Liapunov functional for the evolution.

Finally, in the Appendix, we collect several auxiliary results that are used throughout the paper.

## 2. Setting of the problem

Let  $Q := (0, b)^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $b > 0$ ,  $p > 2$ , and let  $h_0 \in W_\#^{2,p}(Q)$  be a positive function, describing the initial profile of the film. We recall that  $W_\#^{2,p}(Q)$  stands for the subspace of  $W^{2,p}(Q)$  of all functions whose  $Q$ -periodic extension belong to  $W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Given  $h \in W_\#^{2,p}(Q)$ , with  $h \geq 0$ , we set

$$\Omega_h := \{(x, y) \in Q \times \mathbb{R} : 0 < y < h(x)\}$$

and we denote by  $\Gamma_h$  the graph of  $h$  over  $Q$ . We will identify a function  $h \in W_\#^{2,p}(Q)$  with its periodic extension to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and denote by  $\Omega_h^\#$  and  $\Gamma_h^\#$  the open subgraph and the graph of this extension, respectively. Note that  $\Omega_h^\#$  is the periodic extension of  $\Omega_h$ . Set

$$LD_\#(\Omega_h; \mathbb{R}^3) := \{u \in L_{loc}^2(\Omega_h^\#; \mathbb{R}^3) : u(x, y) = u(x+bk, y) \text{ for } (x, y) \in \Omega_h^\# \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{Z}^2, E(u)|_{\Omega_h} \in L^2(\Omega_h; \mathbb{R}^3)\},$$

where  $E(u) := \frac{1}{2}(Du + D^T u)$ , with  $Du$  the distributional gradient of  $u$  and  $D^T u$  its transpose, is the strain of the displacement  $u$ . We prescribe the Dirichlet boundary condition  $u(x, 0) = w_0(x, 0)$  for  $x \in Q$ , with  $w_0 \in H^1(U \times (0, +\infty))$  for every bounded open subset  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and such that  $Dw_0(\cdot, y)$  is  $Q$ -periodic for a.e.  $y > 0$ . A typical choice is given by  $w_0(x, y) := (e_0^1 x_1, e_0^2 x_2, 0)$ , where the vector

$e_0 := (e_0^1, e_0^2)$ , with  $e_0^1, e_0^2 > 0$ , embodies the mismatch between the crystalline lattices of film and substrate. Define

$$X := \{(h, u) : h \in W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q), h \geq 0, u : \Omega_h^{\#} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3, u - w_0 \in LD_{\#}(\Omega_h; \mathbb{R}^3), u(x, 0) = w_0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^2\}.$$

The elastic energy density  $W : \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^{3 \times 3} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  takes the form

$$W(A) := \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{C} A : A,$$

with  $\mathbb{C}$  a positive definite fourth-order tensor, so that  $W(A) > 0$  for all  $A \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^{3 \times 3} \setminus \{0\}$ . Given  $h \in W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q)$ ,  $h \geq 0$ , we denote by  $u_h$  the corresponding elastic equilibrium in  $\Omega_h$ , i.e.,

$$u_h := \operatorname{argmin} \left\{ \int_{\Omega_h} W(E(u)) dz : u \in w_0 + LD_{\#}(\Omega_h; \mathbb{R}^3), u(x, 0) = w_0(x, 0) \right\}.$$

Let  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a positively one-homogeneous function of class  $C^2$  away from the origin. Note that, in particular,

$$\frac{1}{c} |\xi| \leq \psi(\xi) \leq c |\xi| \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \quad (2-1)$$

for some  $c > 0$ .

We now introduce the energy functional

$$F(h, u) := \int_{\Omega_h} W(E(u)) dz + \int_{\Gamma_h} \left( \psi(\nu) + \frac{\varepsilon}{p} |H|^p \right) d\mathcal{H}^2, \quad (2-2)$$

defined for all  $(h, u) \in X$ , where  $\nu$  is the outer unit normal to  $\Omega_h$ ,  $H = \operatorname{Div}_{\Gamma_h} \nu$  denotes the sum of the principal curvatures of  $\Gamma_h$ , and  $\varepsilon$  is a positive constant. In the sequel we will often use the fact that

$$-\operatorname{Div} \left( \frac{Dh}{\sqrt{1 + |Dh|^2}} \right) = H \quad \text{in } Q, \quad (2-3)$$

which, in turn, implies

$$\int_Q H dx = 0. \quad (2-4)$$

**Remark 2.1** (notation). In the sequel we denote by  $z$  a generic point in  $Q \times \mathbb{R}$  and we write  $z = (x, y)$  with  $x \in Q$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, given  $g : \Gamma_h \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\Gamma_h$  is the graph of some function  $h$  defined in  $Q$ , we denote by the same symbol  $g$  the function from  $Q$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  given by  $x \mapsto g(x, h(x))$ . Consistently,  $Dg$  will stand for the gradient of the function from  $Q$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  just defined.

**2A. The incremental minimum problem.** In this subsection we introduce the incremental minimum problems that will be used to define the discrete time evolutions. As a standing assumption throughout this paper, we start from an initial configuration  $(h_0, u_0) \in X$  such that

$$h_0 \in W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q), \quad h_0 > 0, \quad (2-5)$$

and  $u_0$  minimizes the elastic energy in  $\Omega_{h_0}$  among all  $u$  with  $(h_0, u) \in X$ .



Fix a sequence  $\tau_n \searrow 0$  representing the discrete time increments. For  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  we define inductively  $(h_{i,n}, u_{i,n})$  as a solution of the minimum problem

$$\min \left\{ F(h, u) + \frac{1}{2\tau_n} \int_{\Gamma_{i-1,n}} |D_{\Gamma_{i-1,n}} v_h|^2 d\mathcal{H}^2 : (h, u) \in X, \|Dh\|_{L^\infty(Q)} \leq \Lambda_0, \int_Q h dx = \int_Q h_0 dx \right\}, \tag{2-6}$$

where  $\Gamma_{i-1,n}$  stands for  $\Gamma_{h_{i-1,n}}$ ,  $\Lambda_0$  is a positive constant such that

$$\Lambda_0 > \|h_0\|_{C^1_\#(Q)}, \tag{2-7}$$

and  $v_h$  is the unique solution in  $H^1_\#(\Gamma_{h_{i-1,n}})$  to the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{\Gamma_{i-1,n}} v_h = \frac{(h-h_{i-1,n})}{\sqrt{1+|Dh_{i-1,n}|^2}} \circ \pi, \\ \int_{\Gamma_{h_{i-1,n}}} v_h d\mathcal{H}^2 = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2-8}$$

where  $\pi$  is the canonical projection  $\pi(x, y) = x$ . We note that the formulation of the problem in (2-6) with the upper bound  $\Lambda_0$  is usually adopted in the literature in order to ensure existence of solutions of the minimal surface equation (see Chapter 12 in [Giusti 1984]).

For  $x \in Q$  and  $(i-1)\tau_n \leq t \leq i\tau_n, i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define the linear interpolation

$$h_n(x, t) := h_{i-1,n}(x) + \frac{1}{\tau_n}(t - (i-1)\tau_n)(h_{i,n}(x) - h_{i-1,n}(x)), \tag{2-9}$$

and we let  $u_n(\cdot, t)$  be the *elastic equilibrium corresponding to  $h_n(\cdot, t)$* , i.e.,

$$F(h_n(\cdot, t), u_n(\cdot, t)) = \min_{(h_n(\cdot, t), u) \in X} F(h_n(\cdot, t), u). \tag{2-10}$$

The remainder of this subsection is devoted to the proof of the existence of a minimizer for the minimum incremental problem (2-6).

**Theorem 2.2.** *The minimum problem (2-6) admits a solution  $(h_{i,n}, u_{i,n}) \in X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{(h_k, u_k)\} \subset X$  be a minimizing sequence for (2-6). Let  $H_k$  denote the sum of principal curvatures of  $\Gamma_{h_k}$ . Since the sequence  $\{H_k\}$  is bounded in  $L^p(Q)$  and  $\|Dh_k\|_{L^\infty_\#(Q)} \leq \Lambda_0$ , it follows from (2-3) and Lemma A.3 that  $\|h_k\|_{W^{2,p}_\#(Q)} \leq C$ . Then, up to a subsequence (not relabelled), we may assume that  $h_k \rightharpoonup h$  weakly in  $W^{2,p}_\#(Q)$ , and thus strongly in  $C^{1,\alpha}_\#(Q)$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ . As a consequence,  $H_k \rightharpoonup H$  in  $L^p(Q)$ , where  $H$  is the sum of the principal curvatures of  $\Gamma_h$ . In turn, the  $L^p$ -weak convergence of  $\{H_k\}$  and the  $C^1$ -convergence of  $\{h_k\}$  imply by lower semicontinuity that

$$\int_{\Gamma_h} \left( \psi(v) + \frac{\varepsilon}{p} |H|^p \right) d\mathcal{H}^2 \leq \liminf_k \int_{\Gamma_{h_k}} \left( \psi(v) + \frac{\varepsilon}{p} |H_k|^p \right) d\mathcal{H}^2. \tag{2-11}$$

Moreover, we also have that  $v_{h_k} \rightarrow v_h$  strongly in  $H^1(\Gamma_{i-1,n})$ , and thus

$$\lim_k \frac{1}{2\tau_n} \int_{\Gamma_{i-1,n}} |D_{\Gamma_{i-1,n}} v_{h_k}|^2 d\mathcal{H}^2 = \frac{1}{2\tau_n} \int_{\Gamma_{i-1,n}} |D_{\Gamma_{i-1,n}} v_h|^2 d\mathcal{H}^2. \tag{2-12}$$

Finally, since  $\sup_k \int_{\Omega_{h_k}} |Eu_k|^2 dz < +\infty$ , reasoning as in [Fonseca et al. 2007, Proposition 2.2], from the uniform convergence of  $\{h_k\}$  to  $h$  and Korn’s inequality we conclude that there exists  $u \in H^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega_h^\#; \mathbb{R}^3)$  such that  $(h, u) \in X$  and, up to a subsequence,  $u_k \rightharpoonup u$  weakly in  $H^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega_h^\#; \mathbb{R}^3)$ . Therefore, we have that

$$\int_{\Omega_h} W(E(u)) dz \leq \liminf_k \int_{\Omega_{h_k}} W(E(u_k)) dz,$$

which, together with (2-11) and (2-12), allows us to conclude that  $(h, u)$  is a minimizer. □

### 3. Existence of the evolution

In this section we prove short time existence of a solution of the geometric evolution equation

$$V = \Delta_\Gamma \left[ \text{Div}_\Gamma(D\psi(v)) + W(E(u)) - \varepsilon \left( \Delta_\Gamma(|H|^{p-2}H) - \frac{1}{p}|H|^pH + |H|^{p-2}H|B|^2 \right) \right], \quad (3-1)$$

where  $V$  denotes the outer normal velocity of  $\Gamma_{h(\cdot, t)}$ ,  $|B|^2$  is the sum of the squares of the principal curvatures of  $\Gamma_{h(\cdot, t)}$ ,  $u(\cdot, t)$  is the elastic equilibrium in  $\Omega_{h(\cdot, t)}$ , and  $W(E(u))$  is the trace of  $W(E(u(\cdot, t)))$  on  $\Gamma_{h(\cdot, t)}$ . In the sequel, we denote by  $H_\#^{-1}(Q)$  the dual space of  $H_\#^1(Q)$ . Note that, if  $f \in H_\#^1(Q)$ , then  $\Delta f$  can be identified with the element of  $H_\#^{-1}(Q)$  defined by

$$\langle \Delta f, g \rangle := - \int_Q Df Dg dx \quad \text{for all } g \in H_\#^1(Q).$$

Moreover, a function  $f \in L^2(Q)$  can be identified with the element of  $H_\#^{-1}(Q)$  defined by

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \int_Q fg dx \quad \text{for all } g \in H_\#^1(Q).$$

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $T_0 > 0$ . We say that  $h \in L^\infty(0, T_0; W_\#^{2,p}(Q)) \cap H^1(0, T_0; H_\#^{-1}(Q))$  is a *solution of (3-1) in  $[0, T_0]$*  if:

- (i)  $\text{Div}_\Gamma(D\psi(v)) + W(E(u)) - \varepsilon \left( \Delta_\Gamma(|H|^{p-2}H) - \frac{1}{p}|H|^pH + |H|^{p-2}H|B|^2 \right) \in L^2(0, T_0; H_\#^1(Q))$ ,
- (ii) for a.e.  $t \in (0, T_0)$ , in  $H_\#^{-1}(Q)$  we have

$$\frac{1}{J} \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = \Delta_\Gamma \left[ \text{Div}_\Gamma(D\psi(v)) + W(E(u)) - \varepsilon \left( \Delta_\Gamma(|H|^{p-2}H) - \frac{1}{p}|H|^pH + |H|^{p-2}H|B|^2 \right) \right],$$

where  $J := \sqrt{1 + |Dh|^2}$ ,  $u(\cdot, t)$  is the elastic equilibrium in  $\Omega_{h(\cdot, t)}$ , and where we wrote  $\Gamma$  in place of  $\Gamma_{h(\cdot, t)}$ .

**Remark 3.2.** An immediate consequence of the above definition is that the evolution is *volume-preserving*, that is,  $\int_Q h(x, t) dx = \int_Q h_0(x) dx$  for all  $t \in [0, T_0]$ . Indeed, for all  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, T_0]$  and for  $\varphi \in H_\#^1(Q)$ ,

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q [h(x, t_2) - h(x, t_1)] \varphi \, dx \\ &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left\langle \frac{\partial h}{\partial t}(\cdot, t), \varphi \right\rangle dt \\ &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left\langle J\Delta_\Gamma \left[ \text{Div}_\Gamma(D\psi(v)) + W(E(u)) - \varepsilon \left( \Delta_\Gamma(|H|^{p-2}H) - \frac{1}{p}|H|^p H + |H|^{p-2}H|B|^2 \right) \right], \varphi \right\rangle dt \\ &= - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_\Gamma D_\Gamma \left[ \text{Div}_\Gamma(D\psi(v)) + W(E(u)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \varepsilon \left( \Delta_\Gamma(|H|^{p-2}H) - \frac{1}{p}|H|^p H + |H|^{p-2}H|B|^2 \right) \right] D_\Gamma(\varphi \circ \pi) \, d\mathcal{H}^2 \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing  $\varphi = 1$ , we conclude that

$$\int_Q [h(x, t_2) - h(x, t_1)] \, dx = 0.$$

**Remark 3.3.** In the sequel, we consider the following equivalent norm on  $H_\#^{-1}(Q)$ . Given  $\mu \in H_\#^{-1}(Q)$ , we set

$$\|\mu\|_{H_\#^{-1}(Q)} := \sup \left\{ \langle \mu, g \rangle : g \in H_\#^1(Q), \left| \int_Q g \, dx \right| + \|Dg\|_{L^2(Q)} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Note that, if  $f \in L^2(Q)$  with  $\int_Q f \, dx = 0$ , we have

$$\|f\|_{H_\#^{-1}(Q)} = \|Dw\|_{L^2(Q)},$$

where  $w \in H_\#^1(Q)$  is the unique periodic solution to the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta w = f & \text{in } Q, \\ \int_Q w \, dx = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3-2}$$

To see this, first observe that, since  $\int_Q f \, dx = 0$ , we have

$$\|f\|_{H_\#^{-1}(Q)} = \sup \left\{ \int_Q fg \, dx : g \in H_\#^1(Q), \int_Q g \, dx = 0 \text{ and } \|Dg\|_{L^2(Q)} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Thus, since by (3-2)

$$\int_Q fg \, dx = - \int_Q Dw Dg \, dx \leq \|Dw\|_{L^2(Q)},$$

we have  $\|f\|_{H_\#^{-1}(Q)} \leq \|Dw\|_{L^2(Q)}$ . The opposite inequality follows by taking  $g = -w/\|Dw\|_{L^2(Q)}$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *For all  $n, i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have*

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \left\| \frac{\partial h_n}{\partial t} \right\|_{H_{\#}^{-1}(Q)}^2 dt \leq CF(h_0, u_0), \tag{3-3}$$

$$F(h_{i,n}, u_{i,n}) \leq F(h_{i-1,n}, u_{i-1,n}) \leq F(h_0, u_0), \tag{3-4}$$

$$\text{and } \sup_{t \in [0, +\infty)} \|h_n(\cdot, t)\|_{W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q)} < +\infty \tag{3-5}$$

for some  $C = C(\Lambda_0) > 0$ . Moreover, up to a subsequence,

$$h_n \rightarrow h \text{ in } C^{0,\alpha}([0, T]; L^2(Q)) \text{ for all } \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{4}), \quad h_n \rightharpoonup h \text{ weakly in } H^1(0, T; H_{\#}^{-1}(Q)) \tag{3-6}$$

for all  $T > 0$  and for some function  $h$  such that  $h(\cdot, t) \in W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q)$  for every  $t \in [0, +\infty)$  and

$$F(h(\cdot, t), u_{h(\cdot, t)}) \leq F(h_0, u_0) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, +\infty). \tag{3-7}$$

*Proof.* By the minimality of  $(h_{i,n}, u_{i,n})$  (see (2-6)) we have that

$$F(h_{i,n}, u_{i,n}) + \frac{1}{2\tau_n} \int_{\Gamma_{i-1,n}} |D_{\Gamma_{i-1,n}} v_{h_{i,n}}|^2 d\mathcal{H}^2 \leq F(h_{i-1,n}, u_{i-1,n}) \tag{3-8}$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , which yields in particular (3-4). Hence,

$$\frac{1}{2\tau_n} \int_{\Gamma_{i-1,n}} |D_{\Gamma_{i-1,n}} v_{h_{i,n}}|^2 d\mathcal{H}^2 \leq F(h_{i-1,n}, u_{i-1,n}) - F(h_{i,n}, u_{i,n})$$

and, summing over  $i$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\tau_n} \int_{\Gamma_{i-1,n}} |D_{\Gamma_{i-1,n}} v_{h_{i,n}}|^2 d\mathcal{H}^2 \leq F(h_0, u_0). \tag{3-9}$$

Let  $w_{h_{i,n}} \in H_{\#}^1(Q)$  denote the unique periodic solution to the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta w_{h_{i,n}} = h_{i,n} - h_{i-1,n} & \text{in } Q, \\ \int_Q w_{h_{i,n}} dx = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q |Dw_{h_{i,n}}|^2 dx &= \int_Q \Delta w_{h_{i,n}} w_{h_{i,n}} dx = \int_{\Gamma_{i-1,n}} \frac{h_{i,n} - h_{i-1,n}}{\sqrt{1 + |Dh_{i-1,n}|^2}} \circ \pi w_{h_{i,n}} d\mathcal{H}^2 \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_{i-1,n}} \Delta_{\Gamma_{i-1,n}} v_{h_{i,n}} w_{h_{i,n}} d\mathcal{H}^2 = - \int_{\Gamma_{i-1,n}} D_{\Gamma_{i-1,n}} v_{h_{i,n}} D_{\Gamma_{i-1,n}} w_{h_{i,n}} d\mathcal{H}^2 \\ &\leq \|D_{\Gamma_{i-1,n}} v_{h_{i,n}}\|_{L^2(\Gamma_{i-1,n})} \|D_{\Gamma_{i-1,n}} w_{h_{i,n}}\|_{L^2(\Gamma_{i-1,n})} \\ &\leq C(\Lambda_0) \|D_{\Gamma_{i-1,n}} v_{h_{i,n}}\|_{L^2(\Gamma_{i-1,n})} \|Dw_{h_{i,n}}\|_{L^2(Q)}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this inequality with (3-9) and recalling (2-9) and Remark 3.3, we get (3-3).



Note from (3-4) it follows that

$$\sup_{i,n} \int_{\Gamma_{i,n}} |H|^p d\mathcal{H}^2 < +\infty.$$

Hence, (3-5) follows immediately by Lemma A.3, taking into account that  $\|Dh_{i,n}\|_{L^\infty(Q)} \leq \Lambda_0$ . Using a diagonalizing argument, it can be shown that there exist  $h$  such that  $h_n \rightharpoonup h$  weakly in  $H^1(0, T; H_{\#}^{-1}(Q))$  for all  $T > 0$ . Note also that, by (3-3) and using Hölder’s inequality, we have for  $t_2 > t_1$  that

$$\|h_n(\cdot, t_2) - h_n(\cdot, t_1)\|_{H^{-1}(Q)} \leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left\| \frac{\partial h_n(\cdot, t)}{\partial t} \right\|_{H^{-1}(Q)} dt \leq C(t_2 - t_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3-10}$$

Therefore, applying Theorem A.4 to the solution  $w \in H_{\#}^1(Q)$  of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta w = h_n(\cdot, t_2) - h_n(\cdot, t_1) & \text{in } Q, \\ \int_Q w \, dx = 0, \end{cases}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_n(\cdot, t_2) - h_n(\cdot, t_1)\|_{L^2(Q)} &= \|\Delta w\|_{L^2(Q)} \leq C \|D^3 w\|_{L^2(Q)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Dw\|_{L^2(Q)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \|Dh(\cdot, t_2) - Dh(\cdot, t_1)\|_{L^2(Q)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h(\cdot, t_2) - h(\cdot, t_1)\|_{H^{-1}(Q)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C(\Lambda_0)(t_2 - t_1)^{\frac{1}{4}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3-11}$$

where the last inequality follows from (3-10). By the Ascoli–Arzelà theorem (see, e.g., [Ambrosio et al. 2008, Proposition 3.3.1]), we get (3-6). Finally, inequality (3-7) follows from (3-4) by lower semicontinuity, using (3-6) and (3-5).  $\square$

In what follows,  $\{h_n\}$  and  $h$  are the subsequence and the function found in Theorem 3.4, respectively. The next result shows that the convergence of  $\{h_n\}$  to  $h$  can be significantly improved for short time.

**Theorem 3.5.** *There exist  $T_0 > 0$  and  $C > 0$  depending only  $(h_0, u_0)$  such that:*

- (i) 
$$h_n \rightarrow h \quad \text{in } C^{0,\beta}([0, T_0]; C_{\#}^{1,\alpha}(Q))$$
  
for every  $\alpha \in (0, p - 2/p)$  and  $\beta \in (0, (p - 2 - \alpha p)(p + 2)/(16p^2))$ ;
- (ii) 
$$\sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} \|Du_n(\cdot, t)\|_{C^{0, \frac{p-2}{p}}(\bar{\Omega}_{h_n(\cdot, t)})} \leq C;$$
- (iii) 
$$E(u_n(\cdot, h_n)) \rightarrow E(u(\cdot, h)) \quad \text{in } C^{0,\beta}([0, T_0]; C_{\#}^{0,\alpha}(Q))$$

for every  $\alpha \in (0, (p - 2)/p)$  and  $0 \leq \beta < (p - 2 - \alpha p)(p + 2)/(16p^2)$ , where  $u(\cdot, t)$  is the elastic equilibrium in  $\Omega_{h(\cdot, t)}$ .

Moreover,  $h(\cdot, t) \rightarrow h_0$  in  $C_{\#}^{1,\alpha}(Q)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ ,  $h_n, h \geq C_0 > 0$  for some positive constant  $C_0$ , and

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} \|Dh_n(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(Q)} < \Lambda_0 \tag{3-12}$$

for all  $n$ .

*Proof.* To prove assertion (i), we start by observing that, by Theorem A.6, (3-5), Theorem A.6 again, and (3-11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Dh_n(\cdot, t_2) - Dh_n(\cdot, t_1)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C \|D^2h_n(\cdot, t_2) - D^2h_n(\cdot, t_1)\|_{L^p}^{\frac{p+2}{2p}} \|h_n(\cdot, t_2) - h_n(\cdot, t_1)\|_{L^p}^{\frac{p-2}{2p}} \\ &\leq C \|h_n(\cdot, t_2) - h_n(\cdot, t_1)\|_{L^p}^{\frac{p-2}{2p}} \\ &\leq C \left( \|D^2h_n(\cdot, t_2) - D^2h_n(\cdot, t_1)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{p-2}{2p}} \|h_n(\cdot, t_2) - h_n(\cdot, t_1)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{p+2}{2p}} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2p}} \\ &\leq C |t_2 - t_1|^{\frac{p^2-4}{16p^2}} \end{aligned} \quad (3-13)$$

for all  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, T_0]$ . Notice that from (3-5) we have

$$\sup_{n, t \in [0, T_0]} \|h_n(\cdot, t)\|_{C_{\#}^{1, \frac{p-2}{p}}(Q)} < +\infty. \quad (3-14)$$

Take  $\alpha \in (0, (p-2)/p)$  and observe that

$$[Dh_n(\cdot, t_2) - Dh_n(\cdot, t_1)]_{\alpha} \leq [Dh_n(\cdot, t_2) - Dh_n(\cdot, t_1)]_{\frac{p-2}{p}}^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-2}} [\text{osc}_{[0, b]}(Dh_n(\cdot, t_2) - Dh_n(\cdot, t_1))]^{\frac{p-2-\alpha p}{p-2}},$$

where  $[\cdot]_{\beta}$  denotes the  $\beta$ -Hölder seminorm. From this inequality, (3-13), (3-14), and the Ascoli–Arzelà theorem [Ambrosio et al. 2008, Proposition 3.3.1], assertion (i) follows.

Standard elliptic estimates ensure that, if  $h_n(\cdot, t) \in C_{\#}^{1, \alpha}(Q)$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , then  $Du_n(\cdot, t)$  can be estimated in  $C^{0, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega}_{h_n(\cdot, t)})$  with a constant depending only on the  $C^{1, \alpha}$ -norm of  $h_n(\cdot, t)$ ; see for instance [Fusco and Morini 2012, Proposition 8.9], where this property is proved in two dimensions but an entirely similar argument works in all dimensions. Hence, assertion (ii) follows from (3-14). Assertion (iii) is an immediate consequence of (i) and Lemma A.1. Finally, (3-12) follows from (2-7) and (i).  $\square$

**Remark 3.6.** Note that in the previous theorem we can take

$$T_0 := \sup\{t > 0 : \|Dh_n(\cdot, s)\|_{L^\infty(Q)} < \Lambda_0 \text{ for all } s \in [0, t]\}.$$

In Theorem 3.16 we will show that  $h$  is a solution to (3-1) in  $[0, T_0]$ , in the sense of Definition 3.1.

We begin with some auxiliary results.

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $h \in W_{\#}^{3, q}(Q)$  for some  $q > 2$  and let  $\Gamma$  be its graph. Let  $\Phi : Q \times \mathbb{R} \times (-1, 1) \rightarrow Q \times \mathbb{R}$  be the flow*

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} = X(\Phi), \quad \Phi(\cdot, 0) = \text{Id},$$

where  $X$  is a smooth vector field  $Q$ -periodic in the first two variables. Set  $\Gamma_t := \Phi(\cdot, t)(\Gamma)$ , denote by  $\nu_t$  the normal to  $\Gamma_t$ , let  $H_t$  be the sum of principal curvatures of  $\Gamma_t$ , and let  $|B_t|^2$  be the sum of squares of

the principal curvatures of  $\Gamma_t$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Gamma_t} |H_t|^p d\mathcal{H}^2 \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_t} D_{\Gamma_t} (|H_t|^{p-2} H_t) D_{\Gamma_t} (X \cdot \nu_t) d\mathcal{H}^2 - \int_{\Gamma_t} |H_t|^{p-2} H_t \left( |B_t|^2 - \frac{1}{p} H_t^2 \right) (X \cdot \nu_t) d\mathcal{H}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3-15)$$

*Proof.* Set  $\Phi_t(\cdot) := \Phi(\cdot, t)$ . We can extend  $\nu_t$  to a tubular neighborhood of  $\Gamma_t$  as the gradient of the signed distance from  $\Gamma_t$ . We have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Gamma_t} |H_t|^p d\mathcal{H}^2 = \frac{d}{ds} \left( \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Gamma_{t+s}} |H_{t+s}|^p d\mathcal{H}^2 \right) \Big|_{s=0} = \frac{d}{ds} \left( \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Gamma_t} |H_{t+s} \circ \Phi_s|^p J_2 \Phi_s d\mathcal{H}^2 \right) \Big|_{s=0},$$

where  $J_2$  denotes the two-dimensional Jacobian of  $\Phi_s$  on  $\Gamma_t$ . Then we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Gamma_t} |H_t|^p d\mathcal{H}^2 = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Gamma_t} |H_t|^p \operatorname{Div}_{\Gamma_t} X d\mathcal{H}^2 + \int_{\Gamma_t} |H_t|^{p-2} H_t \frac{d}{ds} (H_{t+s} \circ \Phi_s) \Big|_{s=0} d\mathcal{H}^2.$$

Concerning the last integral, we observe that

$$\frac{d}{ds} (H_{t+s} \circ \Phi_s) \Big|_{s=0} = \frac{d}{ds} (\operatorname{Div}_{\Gamma_{t+s}} \nu_{t+s}) \Big|_{s=0} + DH_t \cdot X.$$

Set

$$\dot{\nu}_t := \frac{d}{ds} \nu_{t+s} \Big|_{s=0}.$$

By differentiating with respect to  $s$  the identity  $D\nu_{t+s}[\nu_{t+s}] = 0$ , we get

$$D\dot{\nu}_t[\nu_t] + D\nu_t[\dot{\nu}_t] = 0.$$

Multiplying this identity by  $\nu_t$  and recalling that  $D\nu$  is a symmetric matrix, we get

$$D\dot{\nu}_t[\nu_t] \cdot \nu_t = -D\nu_t[\nu_t] \cdot \dot{\nu}_t = 0.$$

This implies that  $\operatorname{Div}_{\Gamma_t} \dot{\nu}_t = \operatorname{Div} \dot{\nu}_t$ , and so

$$\frac{d}{ds} (\operatorname{Div}_{\Gamma_{t+s}} \nu_{t+s}) \Big|_{s=0} = \operatorname{Div}_{\Gamma_t} \dot{\nu}_t.$$

In turn — see [Cagnetti et al. 2008, Lemma 3.8(f)] —

$$\dot{\nu}_t = -(D_{\Gamma_t} X)^T [\nu_t] - D_{\Gamma_t} \nu_t [X] = -D_{\Gamma_t} (X \cdot \nu_t).$$

Collecting the above identities, integrating by parts, and using the identity  $\partial_{\nu_t} H_t = -\text{trace}((D\nu_t)^2) = -|B_t|^2$  proved in [Cagnetti et al. 2008, Lemma 3.8(d)], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Gamma_t} |H_t|^p d\mathcal{H}^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Gamma_t} |H_t|^p \text{Div}_{\Gamma_t} X d\mathcal{H}^2 + \int_{\Gamma_t} |H_t|^{p-2} H_t (-\Delta_{\Gamma_t}(X \cdot \nu_t) + DH_t \cdot X) d\mathcal{H}^2 \\
 &= - \int_{\Gamma_t} |H_t|^{p-2} H_t D_{\Gamma_t} H_t \cdot X d\mathcal{H}^2 + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Gamma_t} |H_t|^p H_t (X \cdot \nu_t) d\mathcal{H}^2 \\
 & \quad + \int_{\Gamma_t} |H_t|^{p-2} H_t (-\Delta_{\Gamma_t}(X \cdot \nu_t) + DH_t \cdot X) d\mathcal{H}^2 \\
 &= \int_{\Gamma_t} |H_t|^{p-2} H_t \left( -\Delta_{\Gamma_t}(X \cdot \nu_t) + \partial_{\nu_t} H_t (X \cdot \nu_t) + \frac{1}{p} H_t^2 (X \cdot \nu_t) \right) d\mathcal{H}^2 \\
 &= \int_{\Gamma_t} D_{\Gamma_t} (|H_t|^{p-2} H_t) D_{\Gamma_t} (X \cdot \nu_t) d\mathcal{H}^2 - \int_{\Gamma_t} |H_t|^{p-2} H_t \left\{ (|B_t|^2 - \frac{1}{p} H_t^2) (X \cdot \nu_t) \right\} d\mathcal{H}^2. \tag{3-16}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus (3-15) follows. □

Proposition 3.7 motivates the following definition:

**Definition 3.8.** We say that  $(h, u_h) \in X$  is a *critical pair* for the functional  $F$  defined in (2-2) if  $|H|^{p-2} H \in H^1(\Gamma_h)$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_h} D_{\Gamma_h} (|H|^{p-2} H) D_{\Gamma_h} \phi d\mathcal{H}^2 + \varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_h} \left( \frac{1}{p} |H|^p H - |H|^{p-2} H |B|^2 \right) \phi d\mathcal{H}^2 \\
 + \int_{\Gamma_h} [\text{Div}_{\Gamma_h}(D\psi(v)) + W(E(u_h))] \phi d\mathcal{H}^2 = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\phi \in H_{\#}^1(\Gamma_h)$  with  $\int_{\Gamma_h} \phi d\mathcal{H}^2 = 0$ . We will also say that  $h$  is a *critical profile* if  $(h, u_h)$  is a critical pair.

**Lemma 3.9.** Let  $h \in W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q)$  be such that  $|H|^{p-2} H \in W_{\#}^{1,q}(Q)$  for some  $q > 2$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{h_j\} \subset W_{\#}^{3,q}(Q)$  such that  $h_j \rightarrow h$  in  $W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q)$  and  $|H_j|^{p-2} H_j \rightarrow |H|^{p-2} H$  in  $W_{\#}^{1,q}(Q)$ , where  $H_j$  stands for the sum of the principal curvatures of  $\Gamma_{h_j}$ .

*Proof.* We may assume without loss of generality that  $H \neq 0$ , otherwise  $h$  would have already the required regularity (see (2-3)). By the Sobolev embedding theorem it follows that  $|H|^{p-2} H \in C_{\#}^{0,1-2/q}(Q)$  and, in turn, using the  $1/(p-1)$  Hölder continuity of the function  $t \mapsto t^{1/(p-1)}$ ,  $H \in C_{\#}^{0,\alpha}(Q)$  for  $\alpha := (q-2)/(q(p-1))$ . Standard Schauder estimates yield  $h \in C_{\#}^{2,\alpha}(Q)$ .

For  $\delta > 0$  set

$$H_{\delta} := \begin{cases} H - \delta & \text{if } H \geq \delta, \\ H + \delta' & \text{if } H \leq -\delta', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$



where  $\delta'$  is chosen in such a way that  $\int_Q H_\delta dx = 0$ . Observe that this choice of  $\delta'$  is always possible, although not necessarily unique. Indeed, by (2-4) and the fact that  $H \neq 0$ , if  $\delta$  is sufficiently small

$$\int_{\{H>\delta\}} (H - \delta) dx + \int_{\{H<0\}} H dx < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\{H>\delta\}} (H - \delta) dx > 0.$$

By continuity it is then clear that we may find  $\delta' > 0$  such that

$$\int_{\{H>\delta\}} (H - \delta) dx + \int_{\{H<-\delta'\}} (H + \delta') dx = 0. \tag{3-17}$$

We now show that, independently of the choice of  $\delta'$  satisfying (3-17),  $\delta' \rightarrow 0$  as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ . Indeed, if not, there would exist a sequence  $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$  and a corresponding sequence  $\delta'_n \rightarrow \delta' > 0$  such that (3-17) holds with  $\delta$  and  $\delta'$  replaced by  $\delta_n$  and  $\delta'_n$ , respectively. But then, passing to the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we would get

$$\int_{\{H>0\}} H dx + \int_{\{H<-\delta'\}} (H + \delta') dx = 0,$$

which contradicts (2-4).

Note that  $H_\delta \rightarrow H$  in  $C_\#^{0,\beta}(Q)$  for all  $\beta < \alpha$  as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ . Moreover, we claim that  $|H_\delta|^{p-2} H_\delta \rightarrow |H|^{p-2} H$  in  $W_\#^{1,q}(Q)$ . Indeed, observe that  $H \in W^{1,q}(A_\delta)$  where  $A_\delta := \{H > \delta\} \cup \{H < -\delta'\}$  for all  $\delta > 0$ . Hence,

$$D(|H|^{p-2} H) = \begin{cases} (p-1)|H|^{p-2} DH & \text{if } H \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$D(|H_\delta|^{p-2} H_\delta) = \begin{cases} (p-1)|H_\delta|^{p-2} DH & \text{in } A_\delta, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

The claim follows by observing that  $D(|H_\delta|^{p-2} H_\delta) \rightarrow D(|H|^{p-2} H)$  a.e. and that  $|D(|H_\delta|^{p-2} H_\delta)| \leq |D(|H|^{p-2} H)|$ . Note now that  $H \in W^{1,q}(A_\delta)$  implies  $H_\delta \in W_\#^{1,q}(Q)$ . In order to conclude the proof it is enough to show that for  $\delta$  sufficiently small there exists a unique periodic solution  $h_\delta$  to the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\text{Div}(Dh_\delta/\sqrt{1+|Dh_\delta|^2}) = H_\delta \\ \int_Q h_\delta dx = \int_Q h dx. \end{cases} \tag{3-18}$$

This follows from Lemma 3.10 below. □

**Lemma 3.10.** *Let  $h \in C_\#^{2,\alpha}(Q)$  and let  $H$  be the sum of the principal curvatures of  $\Gamma_h$ . Then there exist  $\sigma, C > 0$  with the following property: for all  $K \in C_\#^{0,\alpha}(Q)$  with  $\int_Q K dx = 0$  and  $\|K - H\|_{C_\#^{0,\alpha}(Q)} \leq \sigma$ , there exists a unique periodic solution  $k \in C_\#^{2,\alpha}(Q)$  to*

$$\begin{cases} -\text{Div}(Dk/\sqrt{1+|Dk|^2}) = K \\ \int_Q k dx = \int_Q h dx, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\|k - h\|_{C_\#^{2,\alpha}(Q)} \leq C \|K - H\|_{C_\#^{0,\alpha}(Q)}. \tag{3-19}$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we may assume that  $\int_Q h dx = 0$ .

Set  $X := \{k \in C_{\#}^{2,\alpha}(Q) : \int_Q k \, dx = 0\}$  and  $Y := \{K \in C_{\#}^{0,\alpha}(Q) : \int_Q K \, dx = 0\}$ , and consider the operator  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  defined by

$$T(k) := -\operatorname{Div}\left(\frac{Dk}{\sqrt{1+|Dk|^2}}\right).$$

By assumption we have that  $T(h) = H$ . We now use the inverse function theorem (see, e.g., [Ambrosetti and Prodi 1993, Chapter 2, Theorem 1.2]) to prove that  $T$  is invertible in a  $C^{2,\alpha}$ -neighborhood of  $h$  with a  $C^1$ -inverse. To see this, note that for any  $k \in X$  we have that  $T'(k): X \rightarrow Y$  is the continuous linear operator defined by

$$T'(h)[\varphi] := -\operatorname{Div}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|Dh|^2}}\left(I - \frac{Dh \otimes Dh}{1+|Dh|^2}\right)D\varphi\right].$$

It is easily checked that  $T'$  is a continuous map from  $X$  to the space  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  of linear bounded operators from  $X$  to  $Y$ , so that  $T \in C^1(X, Y)$ . Finally, standard existence arguments for elliptic equations imply that for any  $k \in X$  the operator  $T'(k)$  is invertible. Thus we may apply the inverse function theorem to conclude that there exist  $\sigma > 0$  such that, for all  $K \in C_{\#}^{0,\alpha}(Q)$  with  $\int_Q K \, dx = 0$  and  $\|K - H\|_{C_{\#}^{0,\alpha}(Q)} \leq \sigma$ , there exists a unique periodic function  $k = T^{-1}K \in C_{\#}^{2,\alpha}(Q)$ . Moreover, the continuity of  $T^{-1}$ , together with standard Schauder estimates, implies that (3-19) holds for  $\sigma$  sufficiently small.  $\square$

In what follows,  $J_{i,n}$  stands for

$$J_{i,n} := \sqrt{1 + |Dh_{i,n}|^2},$$

$H_{i,n}$  is the sum of the principal curvatures of  $\Gamma_{i,n}$ ,  $|B_{i,n}|^2$  denotes the sum of the squares of the principal curvatures of  $\Gamma_{i,n}$ , and  $\tilde{H}_n: Q \times [0, T_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the function defined as

$$\tilde{H}_n(x, t) := H_{i,n}(x, h_{i,n}(x), t) \quad \text{if } t \in [(i-1)\tau_n, i\tau_n]. \tag{3-20}$$

**Theorem 3.11.** *Let  $T_0$  be as in Theorem 3.5 and let  $\tilde{H}_n$  be given in (3-20). Then there exists  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\int_0^{T_0} \int_Q |D^2(|\tilde{H}_n|^{p-2} \tilde{H}_n)|^2 \, dx \, dt \leq C \tag{3-21}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof. Step 1.* We claim that  $|H_{i,n}|^{p-2} H_{i,n} \in W_{\#}^{1,q}(\Gamma_{i,n})$  for all  $q \geq 1$  and that  $h_{i,n} \in C_{\#}^{2,\sigma}(Q)$  for all  $\sigma \in (0, 1/(p-1))$ .

We recall that  $h_{i,n}$  is the solution to the incremental minimum problem (2-6). We are going to show that  $h_{i,n} \in W_{\#}^{2,q}(Q)$  for all  $q \geq 2$ . Fix a function  $\varphi \in C_{\#}^2(Q)$  such that  $\int_Q \varphi \, dx = 0$ . Then, by minimality and by (3-12), we have

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left( F(h_{i,n} + s\varphi, u_{i,n}) + \frac{1}{2\tau_n} \int_{\Gamma_{i-1,n}} |D_{\Gamma_{i-1,n}} v_{h_{i,n} + s\varphi}|^2 \, d\mathcal{H}^2 \right) \Big|_{s=0} = 0,$$

where, we recall,  $v_{h_{i,n}+s\varphi}$  solves (2-8) with  $h$  replaced by  $h_{i,n} + s\varphi$ . It can be shown that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q W(E(u_{i,n}(x, h_{i,n}(x))))\varphi \, dx + \int_Q D\psi(-Dh_{i,n}, 1) \cdot (-D\varphi, 0) \, dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{p} \int_Q |H_{i,n}|^p \frac{Dh_{i,n} \cdot D\varphi}{J_{i,n}} \, dx \\ & - \varepsilon \int_Q |H_{i,n}|^{p-2} H_{i,n} \left[ \Delta\varphi - \frac{D^2\varphi[Dh_{i,n}, Dh_{i,n}]}{J_{i,n}^2} - \frac{\Delta h_{i,n} Dh_{i,n} \cdot D\varphi}{J_{i,n}^2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2 \frac{D^2 h_{i,n}[Dh_{i,n}, D\varphi]}{J_{i,n}^2} + 3 \frac{D^2 h_{i,n}[Dh_{i,n}, Dh_{i,n}] Dh_{i,n} \cdot D\varphi}{J_{i,n}^4} \right] dx \\ & - \frac{1}{\tau_n} \int_Q v_{h_{i,n}} \varphi \, dx = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3-22}$$

where the last integral is obtained by observing that  $v_{h_{i,n}+s\varphi} = v_{h_{i,n}} + sv_\varphi$ , with  $v_\varphi$  solving

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{\Gamma_{i-1,n}} v_\varphi = \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{1+|Dh_{i-1,n}|^2}} \circ \pi, \\ \int_{\Gamma_{h_{i-1,n}}} v_\varphi \, d\mathcal{H}^2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Setting  $w := |H_{i,n}|^{p-2} H_{i,n}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} A & := \varepsilon \left( I - \frac{Dh_{i,n} \otimes Dh_{i,n}}{J_{i,n}^2} \right), \\ b & := \pi(D\psi(-Dh_{i,n}, 1)) - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} |H_{i,n}|^p \frac{Dh_{i,n}}{J_{i,n}} \\ & \quad + \varepsilon w \left[ -\frac{\Delta h_{i,n} Dh_{i,n}}{J_{i,n}^2} - 2 \frac{D^2 h_{i,n}[Dh_{i,n}]}{J_{i,n}^2} + 3 \frac{D^2 h_{i,n}[Dh_{i,n}, Dh_{i,n}] Dh_{i,n}}{J_{i,n}^4} \right], \\ c & := -W(E(u(x, h_{i,n}(x)))) + \frac{1}{\tau_n} v_{h_{i,n}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3-23}$$

we have by (3-5) and Theorem 3.5 that  $A \in W_{\#}^{1,p}(Q; \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^{2 \times 2})$ ,  $b \in L^1(Q; \mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $c \in C_{\#}^{0,\alpha}(Q)$  for some  $\alpha$ , and we may rewrite (3-22) as

$$\int_Q w A D^2\varphi \, dx + \int_Q b \cdot D\varphi + \int_Q c\varphi \, dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_{\#}^{\infty}(Q) \text{ with } \int_Q \varphi \, dx = 0. \tag{3-24}$$

By Lemma A.2 we get that  $w \in L^q(Q)$  for  $q \in (p/(p-1), 2)$ . Therefore, for any such  $q$  we have  $H_{i,n} \in L^{q(p-1)}(Q)$  and thus, by Lemma A.3,  $h_{i,n} \in W_{\#}^{2,q(p-1)}(Q)$ . In turn, using Hölder’s inequality, this implies that  $b, w \operatorname{Div} A \in L^{r_0}(Q; \mathbb{R}^2)$ , where  $r_0 := q(p-1)/p$ . Observe that  $r_0 \in (1, 2)$ . By applying Lemma A.2 again, we deduce that  $w \in W_{\#}^{1,r_0}(Q)$  and thus  $w \in L^{2r_0/(2-r_0)}(Q)$ . In turn, arguing as before, this implies that  $b, w \operatorname{Div} A \in L^{r_1}(Q; \mathbb{R}^2)$ , where  $r_1 := 2r_0(p-1)/((2-r_0)p) > r_0$ . If  $r_1 \geq 2$ , then using again Lemma A.2 we conclude that  $w \in W_{\#}^{1,r_1}(Q)$ , which implies the claim, since  $D^2 h_{i,n} \in L^q(Q; \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^{2 \times 2})$  and, in turn,  $b, w \operatorname{Div} A \in L^q(Q; \mathbb{R}^2)$  for all  $q$ . Then the conclusion follows by Lemma A.2. Otherwise, we proceed by induction. Assume that  $w \in W_{\#}^{1,r_{i-1}}(Q)$ . If  $r_{i-1} \geq 2$  then the claim follows. If not, a further application of Lemma A.2 implies that  $w \in W_{\#}^{1,r_i}(Q)$  with  $r_i := 2r_{i-1}(p-1)/((2-r_{i-1})p)$ .

Since  $r_{i-1} < 2$ , we have  $r_i > r_{i-1}$ . We claim that there exists  $j$  such that  $r_j > 2$ . Indeed, if not, the increasing sequence  $\{r_i\}$  would converge to some  $\ell \in (1, 2]$  satisfying

$$\ell = \frac{2\ell(p-1)}{(2-\ell)p}.$$

However, this is impossible since the above identity is equivalent to  $\ell = 2/p < 1$ .

Finally, observe that, since  $|H_{i,n}|^{p-2}H_{i,n} \in W_{\#}^{1,q}(Q)$  for all  $q \geq 1$ , we have  $|H_{i,n}|^{p-1} \in C_{\#}^{0,\alpha}(Q)$  for every  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Hence  $H_{i,n} \in C_{\#}^{0,\sigma}(Q)$  for all  $\sigma \in (0, 1/(p-1))$  and so, by standard Schauder estimates,  $h_{i,n} \in C_{\#}^{2,\sigma}(Q)$  for all  $\sigma \in (0, 1/(p-1))$ .

**Step 2.** By Step 1 we may now write the Euler–Lagrange equation for  $h_{i,n}$  in intrinsic form. To be precise, we claim that, for all  $\varphi \in C_{\#}^2(Q)$  with  $\int_Q \varphi \, dx = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_{i,n}} D_{\Gamma_{i,n}}(|H_{i,n}|^{p-2}H_{i,n})D_{\Gamma_{i,n}}\phi \, d\mathcal{H}^2 - \varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_{i,n}} |H_{i,n}|^{p-2}H_{i,n} \left( |B_{i,n}|^2 - \frac{1}{p}H_{i,n}^2 \right) \phi \, d\mathcal{H}^2 \\ + \int_{\Gamma_{i,n}} [\text{Div}_{\Gamma_{i,n}}(D\psi(v_{i,n})) + W(E(u_{i,n}))] \phi \, d\mathcal{H}^2 - \frac{1}{\tau_n} \int_{\Gamma_{i,n}} v_{h_{i,n}} \phi \, d\mathcal{H}^2 = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3-25)$$

where  $\phi := (\varphi/J_{i,n}) \circ \pi$ . To see this, fix  $h \in W_{\#}^{3,q}(Q)$  for some  $q > 2$ , denote by  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_t$  the graphs of  $h$  and  $h + t\varphi$ , respectively, and by  $H$  and  $H_t$  the corresponding sums of the principal curvatures. Then, by Proposition 3.7 and arguing as in the proof of (3-22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma} D_{\Gamma}(|H|^{p-2}H)D_{\Gamma}\phi \, d\mathcal{H}^2 - \int_{\Gamma} |H|^{p-2}H \left( |B|^2 - \frac{1}{p}H^2 \right) \phi \, d\mathcal{H}^2 \\ = \frac{1}{p} \int_Q |H|^p \frac{Dh \cdot D\varphi}{J} \, dx \\ - \int_Q |H|^{p-2}H \left[ \Delta\varphi - \frac{D^2\varphi[Dh, Dh]}{J^2} - \frac{\Delta h Dh \cdot D\varphi}{J^2} - 2 \frac{D^2h[Dh, D\varphi]}{J^2} + 3 \frac{D^2h[Dh, Dh]Dh \cdot D\varphi}{J^4} \right] \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\phi$  stands for  $(\varphi/J) \circ \pi$  and  $J := \sqrt{1 + |Dh|^2}$ . By the approximation Lemma 3.9, this identity still holds if  $h \in C_{\#}^{2,\alpha}(Q)$  and thus (3-25) follows from (3-22), recalling that, by Step 1,  $h_{i,n} \in C_{\#}^{2,\sigma}(Q)$  for some  $\sigma > 0$ .

In order to show (3-21), observe that Lemma A.3, together with the bound  $\|Dh_{i,n}\|_{L^\infty} \leq \Lambda_0$ , implies that

$$\|D^2h_{i,n}\|_{L^q(Q)} \leq C(q, \Lambda_0)\|H_{i,n}\|_{L^q(Q)}. \quad (3-26)$$

Moreover, since  $\Gamma_{i,n}$  is of class  $C^{2,\sigma}$ , (3-25) yields that  $|H_{i,n}|^{p-2}H_{i,n} \in H^2(\Gamma_{i,n})$ , and in turn that  $|H_{i,n}|^{p-2}H_{i,n} \in H^2(Q)$  (see Remark 2.1).

As before, setting  $w := |H_{i,n}|^{p-2}H_{i,n}$ , by approximation we may rewrite (3-25) as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q A(x)DwD\left(\frac{\varphi}{J_{i,n}}\right)J_{i,n} \, dx - \varepsilon \int_Q w\varphi \left( |B_{i,n}|^2 - \frac{1}{p}H_{i,n}^2 \right) \, dx \\ + \int_Q [\text{Div}_{\Gamma_{i,n}}(D\psi(v_{i,n})) + W(E(u_{i,n}))] \varphi \, dx - \frac{1}{\tau_n} \int_Q v_{h_{i,n}} \varphi \, dx = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3-27)$$



for all  $\varphi \in H_{\#}^1(Q)$  with  $\int_Q \varphi \, dx = 0$ , where  $A$ , defined as in (3-23), is an elliptic matrix with ellipticity constants depending only on  $\Lambda_0$ . Recall that  $w \in H^2(Q)$ . We now choose  $\varphi = D_s \eta$  with  $\eta \in H_{\#}^2(Q)$ , and observe that integrating by parts twice yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q ADwD\left(\frac{D_s \eta}{J_{i,n}}\right) J_{i,n} \, dx \\ &= - \int_Q AD(D_s w)D\left(\frac{\eta}{J_{i,n}}\right) J_{i,n} \, dx - \int_Q D_s(AJ_{i,n})DwD\left(\frac{\eta}{J_{i,n}}\right) \, dx + \int_Q ADwD\left(\frac{\eta D_s J_{i,n}}{J_{i,n}^2}\right) J_{i,n} \, dx \\ &= - \int_Q AD(D_s w)D\left(\frac{\eta}{J_{i,n}}\right) J_{i,n} \, dx - \int_Q D_s(AJ_{i,n})DwD\left(\frac{\eta}{J_{i,n}}\right) \, dx \\ & \quad - \int_Q AD^2 w \frac{\eta D_s J_{i,n}}{J_{i,n}} \, dx - \int_Q D(AJ_{i,n})Dw \frac{\eta D_s J_{i,n}}{J_{i,n}^2} \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, recalling (3-27) and by density, we may conclude that, for every  $\eta \in H_{\#}^1(Q)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q AD(D_s w)D\left(\frac{\eta}{J_{i,n}}\right) J_{i,n} \, dx \\ &= - \int_Q D_s(AJ_{i,n})DwD\left(\frac{\eta}{J_{i,n}}\right) \, dx - \int_Q AD^2 w \frac{\eta D_s J_{i,n}}{J_{i,n}} \, dx \\ & \quad - \int_Q D(AJ_{i,n})Dw \frac{\eta D_s J_{i,n}}{J_{i,n}^2} \, dx - \varepsilon \int_Q w D_s \eta \left(|B_{i,n}|^2 - \frac{1}{p} H_{i,n}^2\right) \, dx \\ & \quad + \int_Q [\text{Div}_{\Gamma_{i,n}}(D\psi(v_{i,n})) + W(E(u_{i,n}))] D_s \eta \, dx - \frac{1}{\tau_n} \int_Q v_{h_{i,n}} D_s \eta \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, choosing  $\eta = D_s w J_{i,n}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q AD(D_s w)D(D_s w) J_{i,n} \, dx \\ &= - \int_Q D_s(AJ_{i,n})DwD(D_s w) \, dx - \int_Q AD^2 w D_s w D_s J_{i,n} \, dx - \int_Q D(AJ_{i,n})Dw \frac{D_s w D_s J_{i,n}}{J_{i,n}} \, dx \\ & \quad - \varepsilon \int_Q w D_s(D_s w J_{i,n}) \left(|B_{i,n}|^2 - \frac{1}{p} H_{i,n}^2\right) \, dx \\ & \quad + \int_Q [\text{Div}_{\Gamma_{i,n}}(D\psi(v_{i,n})) + W(E(u_{i,n}))] D_s(D_s w J_{i,n}) \, dx - \frac{1}{\tau_n} \int_Q v_{h_{i,n}} D_s(D_s w J_{i,n}) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Summing the resulting equations for  $s = 1, 2$ , estimating  $D(AJ_{i,n})$  by  $D^2 h_{i,n}$ , and using Young's inequality several times, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q |D^2 w|^2 \, dx \\ & \leq C \int_Q \left( |Dw|^2 |D^2 h_{i,n}|^2 \, dx + |H_{i,n}|^{2p+2} + |H_{i,n}|^{2p-2} |D^2 h_{i,n}|^4 + \frac{v_{i,n}^2}{(\tau_n)^2} + 1 \right) \, dx \quad (3-28) \end{aligned}$$

for some constant  $C$  depending only on  $\Lambda_0$ ,  $D^2\psi$ , and on the  $C^{1,\alpha}$  bounds on  $u_{i,n}$  provided by Theorem 3.5. Note that, by Young's inequality and (3-26), we have

$$\int_Q |H_{i,n}|^{2p-2} |D^2 h_{i,n}|^4 dx \leq C \int_Q (|H_{i,n}|^{2p+2} + |D^2 h_{i,n}|^{2p+2}) dx \leq C \int_Q |H_{i,n}|^{2p+2} dx.$$

Combining the last estimate with (3-28), we therefore have

$$\int_Q |D^2 w|^2 dx \leq C_0 \int_Q \left( |D^2 h_{i,n}|^2 |Dw|^2 + |w|^{\frac{2(p+1)}{p-1}} + \frac{v_{i,n}^2}{(\tau_n)^2} + 1 \right) dx. \quad (3-29)$$

To deal with the first term on the right-hand side, we use Hölder's inequality, (3-26) and Theorem A.6 twice to get

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 \int_Q |D^2 h_{i,n}|^2 |Dw|^2 dx &\leq C_0 \left( \int_Q |D^2 h|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \left( \int_Q |Dw|^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}} \\ &\leq C \|w\|_2^{\frac{2}{p-1}} \|Dw\|_2^2 \leq C \|w\|_2^{\frac{2}{p-1}} \left( \|D^2 w\|_2^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \|w\|_2^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}} \right)^2 \\ &= C \|D^2 w\|_2^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \|w\|_2^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \leq C \|D^2 w\|_2^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \left( \|D^2 w\|_2^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \|w\|_2^{\frac{p+2}{p}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\ &\leq C \|D^2 w\|_2^{\frac{3p-2}{2(p-1)}} \|w\|_2^{\frac{p+2}{p-1}} \leq \frac{1}{4} \|D^2 w\|_2^2 + C, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used the fact that  $(3p-2)/(2(p-1)) < 2$  and that  $\|w\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} = \|H_{i,n}\|_p^{p-1}$  is uniformly bounded with respect to  $i, n$ . Using Theorem A.6 again, we also have

$$C_0 \int_Q |w|^{\frac{2(p+1)}{p-1}} dx \leq C \|D^2 w\|_2^{\frac{p+2}{p}} \|w\|_2^{\frac{p^2+p+2}{p(p-1)}} \leq \frac{1}{4} \|D^2 w\|_2^2 + C,$$

where, as before, we used the fact that  $(p+2)/p < 2$  and  $\|w\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}}$  is uniformly bounded. Inserting the two estimates above in (3-29), we then get

$$\int_Q |D^2 w|^2 dx \leq C \int_Q \left( 1 + \frac{v_{i,n}^2}{(\tau_n)^2} \right) dx. \quad (3-30)$$

Integrating the last inequality with respect to time and using (3-9) we conclude the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 3.12.** The same argument used in Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 3.11 and in the proof of (3-25) shows that, if  $(h, u_h) \in X$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_Q W(E(u_h(x, h(x)))) \varphi dx + \int_Q D\psi(-Dh, 1) \cdot (-D\varphi, 0) dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{p} \int_Q |H|^p \frac{Dh \cdot D\varphi}{J} \\ &- \varepsilon \int_Q |H|^{p-2} H \left[ \Delta\varphi - \frac{D^2\varphi[Dh, Dh]}{J^2} - \frac{\Delta h Dh \cdot D\varphi}{J^2} - 2 \frac{D^2 h [Dh, D\varphi]}{J^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 3 \frac{D^2 h [Dh, Dh] Dh \cdot D\varphi}{J^4} \right] dx = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_{\#}^2(Q)$  such that  $\int_Q \varphi dx = 0$ , then  $(h, u_h)$  is a critical pair for the functional  $F$ .

**Lemma 3.13.** *With  $T_0$  and  $\tilde{H}_n$  as in Theorem 3.11,  $|\tilde{H}_n|^p$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^1(0, T_0; L^1(Q))$ . Moreover,  $|\tilde{H}_n|^{p-2}\tilde{H}_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^1(0, T_0; L^2(Q))$ .*

For the proof of the lemma we need the following inequality:

**Lemma 3.14.** *Let  $p > 1$ . There exists  $c_p > 0$  such that*

$$\frac{1}{c_p}(x^{p-1} + y^{p-1}) \leq \frac{|x^p - y^p|}{|x - y|} \leq c_p(x^{p-1} + y^{p-1}).$$

*Proof.* By homogeneity it is enough to assume  $y = 1$  and  $x > 1$  and to observe that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{x^p - 1}{(x - 1)(x^{p-1} + 1)} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{x^p - 1}{(x - 1)(x^{p-1} + 1)} = \frac{p}{2}. \quad \square$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.13.* We split the proof into two steps.

**Step 1.** We start by showing that  $|\tilde{H}_n|^p$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^1(0, T_0; L^1(Q))$ . Set  $k := [p]$ , where  $[\cdot]$  denotes the integer part. Note that  $k \geq 2$  since  $p > 2$ . From Lemma 3.14 we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{T_0} \int_Q \left| |\tilde{H}_n|^p - |\tilde{H}_m|^p \right| dx dt \\ &= \int_0^{T_0} \int_Q \left| |\tilde{H}_n^k|^{\frac{p}{k}} - |\tilde{H}_m^k|^{\frac{p}{k}} \right| dx dt \\ &\leq c \int_0^{T_0} \int_Q \left| |\tilde{H}_n^k| - |\tilde{H}_m^k| \right| (|\tilde{H}_n^k| + |\tilde{H}_m^k|)^{\frac{p}{k}-1} dx dt \\ &\leq c \int_0^{T_0} \left( \int_Q |\tilde{H}_n^k - \tilde{H}_m^k|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\tilde{H}_n\|_\infty + \|\tilde{H}_m\|_\infty)^{p-k} dt \\ &\leq c \int_0^{T_0} (\|\tilde{H}_n^k - \tilde{H}_m^k - M_{m,n}\|_2 + |M_{m,n}|) (\|\tilde{H}_n\|_\infty + \|\tilde{H}_m\|_\infty)^{p-k} dt, \end{aligned} \quad (3-31)$$

where  $M_{m,n} := \int_Q (\tilde{H}_n^k - \tilde{H}_m^k) dx$ . Set

$$w_n := |\tilde{H}_n|^{p-2}\tilde{H}_n \quad (3-32)$$

and observe that  $\tilde{H}_n^k = (w_n^+)^{\frac{k}{p-1}} + (-1)^k (w_n^-)^{\frac{k}{p-1}}$ . Thus,

$$|D\tilde{H}_n^k| \leq |D(w_n^+)^{\frac{k}{p-1}}| + |D(w_n^-)^{\frac{k}{p-1}}| \leq c|Dw_n||w_n|^{\frac{k}{p-1}-1} = c|Dw_n||\tilde{H}_n|^{k-p+1}. \quad (3-33)$$

From Lemma A.7 and inequalities (3-31), (3-33) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{T_0} \int_Q \left| |\tilde{H}_n|^p - |\tilde{H}_m|^p \right| dx dt \\ &\leq c \int_0^{T_0} (\|\tilde{H}_n^k - \tilde{H}_m^k - M_{m,n}\|_{H^{-1}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|D\tilde{H}_n^k - D\tilde{H}_m^k\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + |M_{m,n}|) (\|\tilde{H}_n\|_\infty + \|\tilde{H}_m\|_\infty)^{p-k} dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \leq c \int_0^{T_0} \|\tilde{H}_n^k - \tilde{H}_m^k - M_{m,n}\|_{H^{-1}}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|Dw_n\|_2 + \|Dw_m\|_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\tilde{H}_n\|_\infty + \|\tilde{H}_m\|_\infty)^{\frac{p-k+1}{2}} dt \\ + \int_0^{T_0} |M_{m,n}| (\|\tilde{H}_n\|_\infty + \|\tilde{H}_m\|_\infty)^{p-k} dt. \end{aligned} \tag{3-34}$$

Fix  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We now estimate the  $H^{-1}$ -norm of  $\tilde{H}_n^k - \tilde{H}_m^k - M_{m,n}$ . Recall that, in view of Remark 3.3,

$$\|\tilde{H}_n^k - \tilde{H}_m^k - M_{m,n}\|_{H^{-1}} = \|Du\|_2, \tag{3-35}$$

where  $u$  is the unique  $Q$ -periodic solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \tilde{H}_n^k - \tilde{H}_m^k - M_{m,n} & \text{in } Q, \\ \int_Q u \, dx = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3-36}$$

Thus,

$$\int_Q |Du|^2 \, dx = \int_Q u(\tilde{H}_n^k - \tilde{H}_m^k - M_{m,n}) \, dx = \int_Q u(\tilde{H}_n - \tilde{H}_m) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \tilde{H}_n^{k-1-i} \tilde{H}_m^i \, dx, \tag{3-37}$$

where we also used that  $\int_Q u \, dx = 0$ . Fix  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  (to be chosen) and let  $T^\delta(t) := (t \vee -\delta) \wedge \delta$ . Then

$$\tilde{H}_n = [(\tilde{H}_n - \delta)^+ + \delta] + T^\delta(\tilde{H}_n) - [(-\tilde{H}_n - \delta)^+ + \delta] \tag{3-38}$$

and (see (3-32))

$$(\tilde{H}_n - \delta)^+ + \delta = \begin{cases} w_n^{\frac{1}{p-1}} & \text{if } w_n \geq \delta^{p-1}, \\ \delta & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$|D[(\tilde{H}_n - \delta)^+ + \delta]| \leq c \frac{|Dw_n|}{\delta^{p-2}}, \tag{3-39}$$

and a similar estimate holds for  $D[(-\tilde{H}_n - \delta)^+ + \delta]$ . We now set

$$V_{n,\delta} := [(\tilde{H}_n - \delta)^+ + \delta] - [(-\tilde{H}_n - \delta)^+ + \delta]. \tag{3-40}$$

From (3-37) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q |Du|^2 \, dx &= \int_Q u(\tilde{H}_n - \tilde{H}_m) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1-i} \sum_{s=0}^i \binom{k-1-i}{r} \binom{i}{s} V_{n,\delta}^{k-1-i-r} V_{m,\delta}^{i-s} [T^\delta(\tilde{H}_n)]^r [T^\delta(\tilde{H}_m)]^s \, dx \\ &= \int_Q u(\tilde{H}_n - \tilde{H}_m) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} V_{n,\delta}^{k-1-i} V_{m,\delta}^i \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_Q u(\tilde{H}_n - \tilde{H}_m) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{(r,s) \neq (0,0)} \binom{k-1-i}{r} \binom{i}{s} V_{n,\delta}^{k-1-i-r} V_{m,\delta}^{i-s} [T^\delta(\tilde{H}_n)]^r [T^\delta(\tilde{H}_m)]^s \, dx \\ &=: L + M. \end{aligned} \tag{3-41}$$

We start by estimating the last term in the previous chain of equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
 |M| &\leq c \int_Q |u| |\tilde{H}_n - \tilde{H}_m| \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{(r,s) \neq (0,0)} \delta^{r+s} V_{n,\delta}^{k-1-i-r} V_{m,\delta}^{i-s} dx \\
 &\leq c \int_Q |u| (|\tilde{H}_n| + |\tilde{H}_m|) \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} \delta^\ell [V_{n,\delta}^{k-1-\ell} + V_{m,\delta}^{k-1-\ell}] dx \\
 &\leq c\delta \int_Q |u| (|\tilde{H}_n| + |\tilde{H}_m|) (1 + V_{n,\delta}^{k-2} + V_{m,\delta}^{k-2}) dx \\
 &\leq c\delta \left( \int_Q u^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + \|\tilde{H}_n\|_\infty + \|\tilde{H}_m\|_\infty)^{k-1} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{6} \int_Q |Du|^2 dx + c\delta^2 (1 + \|\tilde{H}_n\|_\infty + \|\tilde{H}_m\|_\infty)^{2(k-1)}, \tag{3-42}
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used (3-40) and the Poincaré and Young inequalities. To deal with  $L$ , we integrate by parts and use (2-3) and the periodicity of  $u$ ,  $\tilde{h}_n$ , and  $\tilde{h}_m$  to get

$$L = \int_Q \left( \frac{D\tilde{h}_n}{\tilde{J}_n} - \frac{D\tilde{h}_m}{\tilde{J}_m} \right) Du \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} V_{n,\delta}^{k-1-i} V_{m,\delta}^i dx + \int_Q \left( \frac{D\tilde{h}_n}{\tilde{J}_n} - \frac{D\tilde{h}_m}{\tilde{J}_m} \right) u \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} D(V_{n,\delta}^{k-1-i} V_{m,\delta}^i) dx,$$

where

$$\tilde{h}_n(x, t) := h_{i,n}(x) \quad \text{if } t \in [(i-1)\tau_n, i\tau_n) \text{ and } \tilde{J}_n(x, t) := \sqrt{1 + |D\tilde{h}_n(x, t)|^2}. \tag{3-43}$$

From the equality above, recalling (3-32), (3-39), and (3-40), and setting

$$\varepsilon_{n,m} := \sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} \left\| \frac{D\tilde{h}_n(\cdot, t)}{\tilde{J}_n} - \frac{D\tilde{h}_m(\cdot, t)}{\tilde{J}_m} \right\|_\infty,$$

we may estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 |L| &\leq c\varepsilon_{n,m} \int_Q |Du| (1 + |\tilde{H}_n|^{k-1} + |\tilde{H}_m|^{k-1}) dx \\
 &\quad + c\varepsilon_{n,m} \int_Q |u| \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} [|DV_{n,\delta}^{k-1-i}| V_{m,\delta}^i + |DV_{m,\delta}^i| V_{n,\delta}^{k-1-i}] dx \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{6} \int_Q |Du|^2 dx + c\varepsilon_{n,m}^2 (1 + \|\tilde{H}_n\|_\infty + \|\tilde{H}_m\|_\infty)^{2(k-1)} \\
 &\quad + c\varepsilon_{n,m} \int_Q |u| \frac{|Dw_n|}{\delta^{p-2}} \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} V_{n,\delta}^{k-2-i} V_{m,\delta}^i dx + c\varepsilon_{n,m} \int_Q |u| \frac{|Dw_m|}{\delta^{p-2}} \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} V_{m,\delta}^{i-1} V_{n,\delta}^{k-i-1} dx \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{6} \int_Q |Du|^2 dx + c\varepsilon_{n,m}^2 (1 + \|\tilde{H}_n\|_\infty + \|\tilde{H}_m\|_\infty)^{2(k-1)} \\
 &\quad + c \frac{\varepsilon_{n,m}}{\delta^{p-2}} \int_Q |u| (|Dw_n| + |Dw_m|) (1 + \|\tilde{H}_n\|_\infty + \|\tilde{H}_m\|_\infty)^{k-2} dx
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{3} \int_Q |Du|^2 dx + c\varepsilon_{n,m}^2 (1 + \|\tilde{H}_n\|_\infty + \|\tilde{H}_m\|_\infty)^{2(k-1)} \\ &\quad + c \frac{\varepsilon_{n,m}^2}{\delta^{2(p-2)}} \int_Q (|Dw_n| + |Dw_m|)^2 (1 + \|\tilde{H}_n\|_\infty + \|\tilde{H}_m\|_\infty)^{2(k-2)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

From this estimate, (3-35), (3-36), (3-41), and (3-42), choosing  $\delta^{2(p-2)} = \varepsilon_{n,m}$ , with  $n, m$  so large that  $\varepsilon_{n,m} < 1$  (see Theorem 3.5(i)), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{H}_n^k - \tilde{H}_m^k - M_{m,n}\|_{H^{-1}}^2 &\leq c\varepsilon_{n,m}^\alpha [(1 + \|\tilde{H}_n\|_\infty + \|\tilde{H}_m\|_\infty)^{2(k-1)} \\ &\quad + (\|Dw_n\|_2 + \|Dw_m\|_2)^2 (1 + \|\tilde{H}_n\|_\infty + \|\tilde{H}_m\|_\infty)^{2(k-2)}], \end{aligned} \quad (3-44)$$

where  $\alpha := \min\{1, 1/(p-2)\}$ .

We now estimate  $M_{m,n}$ . Since

$$M_{m,n} = \int_Q (\tilde{H}_n^k - \tilde{H}_m^k) dx = \int_Q (\tilde{H}_n - \tilde{H}_m) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \tilde{H}_n^{k-1-i} \tilde{H}_m^i dx,$$

using the same argument with  $u \equiv 1$  (see (3-44)) gives

$$|M_{m,n}| \leq c(\varepsilon_{n,m})^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} [(1 + \|\tilde{H}_n\|_\infty + \|\tilde{H}_m\|_\infty)^{k-1} + (\|Dw_n\|_2 + \|Dw_m\|_2)(1 + \|\tilde{H}_n\|_\infty + \|\tilde{H}_m\|_\infty)^{k-2}].$$

From this inequality, recalling (3-32), (3-34), and (3-44), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{T_0} \int_Q \left| |\tilde{H}_n|^p - |\tilde{H}_m|^p \right| dx dt \\ &\quad \leq c(\varepsilon_{n,m})^{\frac{\alpha}{4}} \int_0^{T_0} (\|Dw_n\|_2 + \|Dw_m\|_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + \|w_n\|_\infty + \|w_m\|_\infty)^{\frac{p}{2(p-1)}} dt \\ &\quad \quad + c(\varepsilon_{n,m})^{\frac{\alpha}{4}} \int_0^{T_0} (\|Dw_n\|_2 + \|Dw_m\|_2) (1 + \|w_n\|_\infty + \|w_m\|_\infty)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \\ &\quad \quad + c(\varepsilon_{n,m})^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \int_0^{T_0} (1 + \|w_n\|_\infty + \|w_m\|_\infty) dt \\ &\quad \quad + c(\varepsilon_{n,m})^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \int_0^{T_0} (\|Dw_n\|_2 + \|Dw_m\|_2) (\|w_n\|_\infty + \|w_m\|_\infty)^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Observe now that, by (3-5) and (3-20), there exists  $C > 0$  such that  $\int_Q |w_n| dx \leq \|\tilde{H}_n\|_{p-1}^{p-1} \leq C$  for all  $n$  and thus, using the embedding of  $H^2(Q)$  into  $C(\bar{Q})$  and Poincaré's inequality,

$$\|Dw_n\|_2 + \|w_n\|_\infty \leq C(1 + \|D^2w_n\|_2). \quad (3-45)$$

Therefore, from the above inequalities and also using the fact that  $\frac{1}{2} + p/(2(p-1)) < 2$  and that  $1 + (p-2)/(p-1) < 2$ , we conclude that

$$\int_0^{T_0} \int_Q \left| |\tilde{H}_n|^p - |\tilde{H}_m|^p \right| dx dt \leq c(\varepsilon_{n,m})^{\frac{\alpha}{4}} \int_0^{T_0} (1 + \|D^2w_n\|_2 + \|D^2w_m\|_2)^2 dt \leq c(\varepsilon_{n,m})^{\frac{\alpha}{4}},$$

where the last inequality follows from (3-21). This proves that the sequence  $|\tilde{H}_n|^p$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^1(0, T_0; L^1(Q))$ . Note also that using Lemma 3.14 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{T_0} \int_Q \left| |\tilde{H}_n| - |\tilde{H}_m| \right|^p dx dt &\leq c \int_0^{T_0} \int_Q \left| |\tilde{H}_n| - |\tilde{H}_m| \right| (|\tilde{H}_n| + |\tilde{H}_m|)^{p-1} dx dt \\ &\leq c \int_0^{T_0} \int_Q \left| |\tilde{H}_n|^p - |\tilde{H}_m|^p \right| dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3-46)$$

**Step 2.** We now conclude the proof by showing that  $w_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^1(0, T_0; L^2(Q))$ . To this purpose, we use Lemma A.7 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{T_0} \|w_n - w_m\|_2 dt &\leq \int_0^{T_0} \|w_n - w_m - N_{m,n}\|_2 dt + \int_0^{T_0} |N_{m,n}| dt \\ &\leq c \int_0^{T_0} \|w_n - w_m - N_{m,n}\|_{H^{-1}}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|D^2 w_n - D^2 w_m\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} dt + \int_0^{T_0} |N_{m,n}| dt, \end{aligned} \quad (3-47)$$

where  $N_{m,n} := \int_Q (w_n - w_m) dx$ . As observed in (3-35) and (3-36),  $\|w_n - w_m - N_{m,n}\|_{H^{-1}} = \|Dv\|_2$ , where  $v$  is the unique  $Q$ -periodic solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v = w_n - w_m - N_{m,n} & \text{in } Q, \\ \int_Q v dx = 0. \end{cases}$$

As in (3-37), using the fact that  $\int_Q v dx = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q |Dv|^2 dx &= \int_Q (w_n - w_m - N_{m,n})v = \int_Q (|\tilde{H}_n|^{p-2} \tilde{H}_n - |\tilde{H}_m|^{p-2} \tilde{H}_m)v dx \\ &= \int_Q (|\tilde{H}_n|^{p-2} - |\tilde{H}_m|^{p-2}) \tilde{H}_n v dx + \int_Q (\tilde{H}_n - \tilde{H}_m) |\tilde{H}_m|^{p-2} v dx \\ &=: \tilde{L} + \tilde{M}. \end{aligned} \quad (3-48)$$

Now, by Hölder's inequality twice and the Sobolev embedding theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{L}| &\leq \int_Q \left( (|\tilde{H}_n|^p)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} - (|\tilde{H}_m|^p)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \right) |\tilde{H}_n| |v| dx \\ &\leq \int_Q \left| |\tilde{H}_n|^p - |\tilde{H}_m|^p \right|^{\frac{p-2}{p}} |\tilde{H}_n| |v| dx \\ &\leq \|v\|_p \|\tilde{H}_n\|_\infty \left( \int_Q \left| |\tilde{H}_n|^p - |\tilde{H}_m|^p \right|^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\ &\leq c \|Dv\|_2 \|\tilde{H}_n\|_\infty \| |\tilde{H}_n|^p - |\tilde{H}_m|^p \|_1^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{6} \int_Q |Dv|^2 dx + c \|\tilde{H}_n\|_\infty^2 \| |\tilde{H}_n|^p - |\tilde{H}_m|^p \|_1^{\frac{2(p-2)}{p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3-49)$$

To estimate  $\tilde{M}$ , arguing as in the previous step (see (3-38)) and observing that  $(-|\tilde{H}_m|^{p-2} - \delta)^+ = 0$ , we write

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{M} &= \int_Q (\tilde{H}_n - \tilde{H}_m) [ (|\tilde{H}_m|^{p-2} - \delta)^+ + \delta ] v \, dx + \int_Q (\tilde{H}_n - \tilde{H}_m) [ T^\delta (|\tilde{H}_m|^{p-2}) - \delta ] v \, dx \\ &= \int_Q \left( \frac{D\tilde{h}_n}{\tilde{J}_n} - \frac{D\tilde{h}_m}{\tilde{J}_m} \right) Dv [ (|\tilde{H}_m|^{p-2} - \delta)^+ + \delta ] \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_Q \left( \frac{D\tilde{h}_n}{\tilde{J}_n} - \frac{D\tilde{h}_m}{\tilde{J}_m} \right) v D [ (|\tilde{H}_m|^{p-2} - \delta)^+ + \delta ] \, dx + \int_Q (\tilde{H}_n - \tilde{H}_m) [ T^\delta (|\tilde{H}_m|^{p-2}) - \delta ] v \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to what we proved in (3-39), we have

$$|D [ (|\tilde{H}_m|^{p-2} - \delta)^+ + \delta ]| \leq c \frac{|Dw_m|}{\delta^{\frac{1}{p-2}}}.$$

Therefore, arguing as in the previous step, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{M}| &\leq \frac{1}{6} \int_Q |Dv|^2 \, dx + c\varepsilon_{n,m}^2 (1 + \|\tilde{H}_m\|_\infty)^{2(p-2)} + c\varepsilon_{n,m} \int_Q |v| \frac{|Dw_m|}{\delta^{\frac{1}{p-2}}} \, dx \\ &\quad + c\delta \int_Q |v| (\|\tilde{H}_n\|_\infty + \|\tilde{H}_m\|_\infty) \, dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} \int_Q |Dv|^2 \, dx + c\varepsilon_{n,m}^2 (1 + \|\tilde{H}_m\|_\infty)^{2(p-2)} + c \frac{\varepsilon_{n,m}^2}{\delta^{\frac{2}{p-2}}} \|Dw_m\|_2^2 + c\delta^2 (\|\tilde{H}_n\|_\infty + \|\tilde{H}_m\|_\infty)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we used the Young and Poincaré inequalities. Choosing  $\delta$  so that  $\delta^{2/(p-2)} = \varepsilon_{n,m}$  and recalling (3-48) and (3-49), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|w_n - w_m - N_{m,n}\|_{H^{-1}} \\ &\leq c \|\tilde{H}_n\|_\infty \| |\tilde{H}_n|^p - |\tilde{H}_m|^p \|_1^{\frac{p-2}{p}} + c(\varepsilon_{n,m})^{\frac{\beta}{2}} (1 + \|\tilde{H}_n\|_\infty + \|\tilde{H}_m\|_\infty + \|\tilde{H}_m\|_\infty^{p-2} + \|Dw_m\|_2), \quad (3-50) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\beta = \min\{1, p-2\}$ .

Since, by (3-32),

$$N_{m,n} = \int_Q (w_n - w_m) \, dx = \int_Q (|\tilde{H}_n|^{p-2} - |\tilde{H}_m|^{p-2}) \tilde{H}_n \, dx + \int_Q (\tilde{H}_n - \tilde{H}_m) |\tilde{H}_m|^{p-2} \, dx,$$

the same argument used to estimate the last two integrals in (3-48) (with  $v \equiv 1$ ) gives

$$|N_{m,n}| \leq c \|\tilde{H}_n\|_\infty \| |\tilde{H}_n|^p - |\tilde{H}_m|^p \|_1^{\frac{p-2}{p}} + c(\varepsilon_{n,m})^{\frac{\beta}{2}} (\|\tilde{H}_n\|_\infty + \|\tilde{H}_m\|_\infty + \|\tilde{H}_m\|_\infty^{p-2} + \|Dw_m\|_2).$$



From this estimate, recalling (3-32), (3-47) and (3-50), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{T_0} \|w_n - w_m\|_2 dt \\ & \leq c \int_0^{T_0} \left( \| |\tilde{H}_n|^p - |\tilde{H}_m|^p \|_1^{\frac{2(p-2)}{3p}} \|w_n\|_\infty^{\frac{2}{3(p-1)}} (\|D^2 w_n\|_2 + \|D^2 w_m\|_2)^{\frac{1}{3}} \right. \\ & \quad + c(\varepsilon_{n,m})^{\frac{\beta}{3}} \int_0^{T_0} (1 + \|w_n\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + \|w_m\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + \|w_m\|_\infty^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}} + \|Dw_m\|_2)^{\frac{2}{3}} (\|D^2 w_n\|_2 + \|D^2 w_m\|_2)^{\frac{1}{3}} dt \\ & \quad + c \int_0^{T_0} \|w_n\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \| |\tilde{H}_n|^p - |\tilde{H}_m|^p \|_1^{\frac{p-2}{p}} dt \\ & \quad \left. + c(\varepsilon_{n,m})^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \int_0^{T_0} (\|w_n\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + \|w_m\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + \|w_m\|_\infty^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}} + \|Dw_m\|_2) dt \right) \end{aligned}$$

Using (3-45) and Hölder’s inequality, we can bound the right-hand side of this inequality by

$$\begin{aligned} & c \int_0^{T_0} \left( \| |\tilde{H}_n|^p - |\tilde{H}_m|^p \|_1^{\frac{2(p-2)}{3p}} (1 + \|D^2 w_n\|_2 + \|D^2 w_m\|_2)^{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3(p-1)}} \right. \\ & \quad + c(\varepsilon_{n,m})^{\frac{\beta}{3}} \int_0^{T_0} (1 + \|D^2 w_n\|_2 + \|D^2 w_m\|_2)^{\frac{2}{3}} (\|D^2 w_n\|_2 + \|D^2 w_m\|_2)^{\frac{1}{3}} dt \\ & \quad + c \int_0^{T_0} (1 + \|D^2 w_n\|_2)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \| |\tilde{H}_n|^p - |\tilde{H}_m|^p \|_1^{\frac{p-2}{p}} dt + c(\varepsilon_{n,m})^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \int_0^{T_0} (1 + \|D^2 w_n\|_2 + \|D^2 w_m\|_2) dt \\ & \quad \leq c \left( \int_0^{T_0} \int_Q | |\tilde{H}_n|^p - |\tilde{H}_m|^p | dx dt \right)^{\frac{2(p-2)}{3p}} \left[ \int_0^{T_0} (\|D^2 w_n\|_2 + \|D^2 w_m\|_2)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{(p-1)(p+4)}} dt \right]^{\frac{p+4}{3p}} \\ & \quad + c \left( \int_0^{T_0} \int_Q | |\tilde{H}_n|^p - |\tilde{H}_m|^p | dx dt \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \left[ \int_0^{T_0} (1 + \|D^2 w_n\|_2)^{\frac{p}{2(p-1)}} dt \right]^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ & \quad + c(\varepsilon_{n,m})^{\frac{\beta}{3}} \int_0^{T_0} (1 + \|D^2 w_n\|_2 + \|D^2 w_m\|_2) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p(p + 1)/((p - 1)(p + 4)) < 2$  and  $p/(2(p - 1)) < 2$ , recalling (3-21), we finally have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{T_0} \|w_n - w_m\|_2 dt \\ & \leq c \left( \int_0^{T_0} \int_Q | |\tilde{H}_n|^p - |\tilde{H}_m|^p | dx dt \right)^{\frac{2(p-2)}{3p}} + c \left( \int_0^{T_0} \int_Q | |\tilde{H}_n|^p - |\tilde{H}_m|^p | dx dt \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} + c(\varepsilon_{n,m})^{\frac{\beta}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion follows from Step 1. □

**Corollary 3.15.** *Let  $\tilde{H}_n$  be the functions defined in (3-20), let  $h$  be the limiting function provided by Theorem 3.5, and set*

$$H := -\text{Div} \left( \frac{Dh}{1 + |Dh|^2} \right).$$

Then,

$$|\tilde{H}_n|^p \rightarrow |H|^p \text{ in } L^1(0, T_0; L^1(Q)) \quad \text{and} \quad |\tilde{H}_n|^{p-2} \tilde{H}_n \rightarrow |H|^{p-2} H \text{ in } L^1(0, T_0; L^2(Q)). \quad (3-51)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{h}_n$  and  $\tilde{J}_n$  be as in the proof of Lemma 3.13. From Theorem 3.5(i) we get that, for all  $t \in (0, T_0)$  and for all  $\varphi \in C_{\#}^1(Q)$ , we have

$$\int_Q \tilde{H}_n \varphi \, dx = \int_Q \frac{D\tilde{h}_n}{\tilde{J}_n} \cdot D\varphi \, dx \rightarrow \int_Q \frac{Dh}{J} \cdot D\varphi \, dx = \int_Q \tilde{H} \varphi \, dx,$$

where  $J = \sqrt{1 + |Dh|^2}$ . Since, for every  $t$ ,  $\tilde{H}_n(\cdot, t)$  is bounded in  $L^p(Q)$ , we deduce that, for all  $t \in (0, T_0)$ ,

$$\tilde{H}_n(\cdot, t) \rightharpoonup H(\cdot, t) \quad \text{weakly in } L^p(Q). \quad (3-52)$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 3.13 we know that there exist a subsequence  $n_j$  and two functions  $z, w$  such that, for a.e.  $t$ ,

$$|\tilde{H}_{n_j}(\cdot, t)|^p \rightarrow z(\cdot, t) \quad \text{in } L^1(Q) \quad \text{and} \quad (|\tilde{H}_{n_j}|^{p-2} \tilde{H}_{n_j})(\cdot, t) \rightarrow w(\cdot, t) \quad \text{in } L^2(Q). \quad (3-53)$$

Moreover, for any such  $t$  there exists a further subsequence (depending on  $t$ ), not relabelled, such that  $|\tilde{H}_{n_j}(x, t)|^p, |\tilde{H}_{n_j}(x, t)|^{p-2} \tilde{H}_{n_j}(x, t)$ , and thus  $\tilde{H}_{n_j}(x, t)$  converge for a.e.  $x$ . Then, by (3-52),  $\tilde{H}_{n_j}(x, t) \rightarrow H(x, t)$  for a.e.  $x$ . Thus, we conclude that  $z = |H|^p$  and  $w = |H|^{p-2} H$ .  $\square$

We now prove short time existence for (3-1).

**Theorem 3.16.** *Let  $h_0 \in W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q)$ , let  $h$  be the function given in Theorem 3.4, and let  $T_0 > 0$  be as in Theorem 3.5. Then  $h$  is a solution of (3-1) in  $[0, T_0]$  in the sense of Definition 3.1 with initial datum  $h_0$ . Moreover, there exists a nonincreasing  $g$  such that*

$$F(h(\cdot, t), u_h(\cdot, t)) = g(t) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T_0] \setminus Z_0, \quad (3-54)$$

where  $Z_0$  is a set of zero measure, and

$$F(h(\cdot, t), u_h(\cdot, t)) \leq g(t+) \quad \text{for } t \in Z_0. \quad (3-55)$$

This result motivates the following definition:

**Definition 3.17.** We say that a solution to (3-1) is *variational* if it is the limit of a subsequence of the minimizing movements scheme as in Theorem 3.5(i).

*Proof of Theorem 3.16.* Let  $\tilde{H}_n, \tilde{h}_n, \tilde{J}_n$  be the functions given in (3-20), and (3-43). Set  $\tilde{W}_n(x, t) := W(E(u_{i,n})(x, h_{i,n}(x)))$  and  $\tilde{v}_n(x, t) := v_{h_{i,n}}(x)$  for  $t \in [(i-1)\tau_n, i\tau_n)$ . Moreover, define  $\hat{v}_n := \tilde{v}_n/\tau_n$ . Note that, for all  $t$ ,  $\hat{v}_n(\cdot, t)$  is the unique  $Q$ -periodic solution to

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{\Gamma_{\tilde{h}_n(\cdot, t-\tau_n)}} w = \frac{1}{\tilde{J}_n(\cdot, t-\tau_n)} \frac{\partial h_n(\cdot, t)}{\partial t} \\ \int_{\Gamma_{\tilde{h}_n(\cdot, t-\tau_n)}} w \, d\mathcal{H}^2 = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3-56)$$

Fix  $t \in (0, T_0)$  and a sequence  $(i_k, n_k)$  such that  $t_k := i_k \tau_{n_k} \rightarrow t$ . Summing (3-22) from  $i = 1$  to  $i = i_k$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{t_k} \int_Q \tilde{W}_{n_k} \varphi \, dx \, dt + \int_0^{t_k} \int_Q D\psi(-D\tilde{h}_{n_k}, 1) \cdot (-D\varphi, 0) \, dx \, dt + \frac{\varepsilon}{p} \int_0^{t_k} \int_Q |\tilde{H}_{n_k}|^p \frac{D\tilde{h}_{n_k} \cdot D\varphi}{\tilde{J}_{n_k}} \, dx \, dt \\ & - \varepsilon \int_0^{t_k} \int_Q |\tilde{H}_{n_k}|^{p-2} \tilde{H}_{n_k} \left[ \Delta\varphi - \frac{D^2\varphi[D\tilde{h}_{n_k}, D\tilde{h}_{n_k}]}{\tilde{J}_{n_k}^2} - \frac{\Delta\tilde{h}_{n_k} D\tilde{h}_{n_k} \cdot D\varphi}{\tilde{J}_{n_k}^2} - 2 \frac{D^2\tilde{h}_{n_k}[D\tilde{h}_{n_k}, D\varphi]}{\tilde{J}_{n_k}^2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 3 \frac{D^2\tilde{h}_{n_k}[D\tilde{h}_{n_k}, D\tilde{h}_{n_k}]D\tilde{h}_{n_k} \cdot D\varphi}{\tilde{J}_{n_k}^4} \right] \, dx \, dt \\ & - \int_0^{t_k} \int_Q \hat{v}_{n_k} \varphi \, dx \, dt = 0. \quad (3-57) \end{aligned}$$

We claim that we can pass to the limit in the above equation to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_Q W(E(u(x, h(x, s), s))) \varphi \, dx \, ds + \int_0^t \int_Q D\psi(-Dh, 1) \cdot (-D\varphi, 0) \, dx \, ds \\ & + \frac{\varepsilon}{p} \int_0^t \int_Q |H|^p \frac{Dh \cdot D\varphi}{J} \, dx \, ds \\ & - \varepsilon \int_0^t \int_Q |H|^{p-2} H \left[ \Delta\varphi - \frac{D^2\varphi[Dh, Dh]}{J^2} - \frac{\Delta h Dh \cdot D\varphi}{J^2} - 2 \frac{D^2h[Dh, D\varphi]}{J^2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 3 \frac{D^2h[Dh, Dh]Dh \cdot D\varphi}{J^4} \right] \, dx \, ds - \int_0^t \int_Q \hat{v} \varphi \, dx \, ds = 0, \quad (3-58) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{v}(\cdot, t)$  is the unique periodic solution in  $H_{\#}^1(\Gamma(t))$  to

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{\Gamma_{h(\cdot, t)}} w = \frac{1}{J(\cdot, t)} \frac{\partial h(\cdot, t)}{\partial t}, \\ \int_{\Gamma_{h(\cdot, t)}} w \, d\mathcal{H}^2 = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3-59)$$

for a.e.  $t \in (0, T_0)$ . To prove the claim, observe that the convergence of the first two integrals in (3-57) immediately follows from (i) and (iii) of Theorem 3.5. The convergence of the third integral in (3-57) follows from (3-51) and Theorem 3.5(i). Similarly, (3-51) and of Theorem 3.5(i) imply that

$$\int_0^{t_k} \int_Q |\tilde{H}_{n_k}|^{p-2} \tilde{H}_{n_k} \left[ \Delta\varphi - \frac{D^2\varphi[D\tilde{h}_{n_k}, D\tilde{h}_{n_k}]}{\tilde{J}_{n_k}^2} \right] \, dx \, dt \rightarrow \int_0^t \int_Q |H|^{p-2} H \left[ \Delta\varphi - \frac{D^2\varphi[Dh, Dh]}{J^2} \right] \, dx \, ds.$$

Next we show the convergence of

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{t_k} \int_Q |\tilde{H}_{n_k}|^{p-2} \tilde{H}_{n_k} \left[ -\frac{\Delta\tilde{h}_{n_k} D\tilde{h}_{n_k} \cdot D\varphi}{\tilde{J}_{n_k}^2} - 2 \frac{D^2\tilde{h}_{n_k}[D\tilde{h}_{n_k}, D\varphi]}{\tilde{J}_{n_k}^2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 3 \frac{D^2\tilde{h}_{n_k}[D\tilde{h}_{n_k}, D\tilde{h}_{n_k}]D\tilde{h}_{n_k} \cdot D\varphi}{\tilde{J}_{n_k}^4} \right] \, dx \, dt \end{aligned}$$

to the corresponding term in (3-58). To this purpose, we only show that

$$\int_0^{t_k} \int_Q |\tilde{H}_{n_k}|^{p-2} \tilde{H}_{n_k} \frac{\Delta \tilde{h}_{n_k} D \tilde{h}_{n_k} \cdot D \varphi}{\tilde{J}_{n_k}^2} dx dt \longrightarrow \int_0^t \int_Q |H|^{p-2} H \frac{\Delta h D h \cdot D \varphi}{J^2} dx ds, \quad (3-60)$$

since the convergence of the other terms can be shown in a similar way. To prove (3-60), we first observe that, by (3-5) and Theorem 3.5(i), we have  $\Delta \tilde{h}_{n_k}(\cdot, t) \rightharpoonup \Delta h(\cdot, t)$  in  $L^p(Q)$  for all  $t \in (0, T_0)$ . On the other hand, (3-51) yields that for a.e.  $t \in (0, T_0)$  we have  $(|\tilde{H}_{n_k}|^{p-2} \tilde{H}_{n_k})(\cdot, t) \rightharpoonup (|H|^{p-2} H)(\cdot, t)$  in  $L^2(Q)$ . Therefore, for a.e.  $t \in (0, T_0)$ ,

$$\int_Q |\tilde{H}_{n_k}|^{p-2} \tilde{H}_{n_k} \frac{\Delta \tilde{h}_{n_k} D \tilde{h}_{n_k} \cdot D \varphi}{\tilde{J}_{n_k}^2} dx \longrightarrow \int_Q |H|^{p-2} H \frac{\Delta h D h \cdot D \varphi}{J^2} dx.$$

The conclusion then follows by applying the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem after observing that, by (2-9) and (3-5),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_Q |\tilde{H}_{n_k}|^{p-2} \tilde{H}_{n_k} \frac{\Delta \tilde{h}_{n_k} D \tilde{h}_{n_k} \cdot D \varphi}{\tilde{J}_{n_k}^2} dx \right| &\leq C \|\Delta \tilde{h}_{n_k}\|_{L^2(Q)} \| |\tilde{H}_{n_k}|^{p-2} \tilde{H}_{n_k} \|_{L^2(Q)} \\ &\leq C \| |\tilde{H}_{n_k}|^{p-2} \tilde{H}_{n_k} \|_{L^2(Q)} \end{aligned}$$

and that  $\| |\tilde{H}_{n_k}|^{p-2} \tilde{H}_{n_k} \|_{L^2(Q)}$  converges in  $L^1(0, T_0)$  thanks to (3-51).

Note (3-51) implies that for a.e.  $t \in (0, T_0)$  we have  $\|\tilde{H}_{n_k}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p(Q)} \rightarrow \|H(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p(Q)}$ . Since  $\tilde{H}_{n_k}(\cdot, t) \rightharpoonup H(\cdot, t)$  in  $L^p(Q)$  (see (3-52)), we may conclude that  $\tilde{H}_{n_k}(\cdot, t) \rightarrow H(\cdot, t)$  in  $L^p(Q)$  for a.e.  $t \in (0, T_0)$ . Therefore, by (2-3) and [Acerbi et al. 2013, Lemma 7.2], we also have  $\tilde{h}_{n_k}(\cdot, t) \rightarrow h(\cdot, t)$  in  $W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q)$  for a.e.  $t \in (0, T_0)$ . Thus, by (2-9) and (3-5) and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we infer that

$$\int_0^{T_0} \int_Q |D^2 \tilde{h}_{n_k} - D^2 h|^p dx dt \longrightarrow 0. \quad (3-61)$$

This, together with the fact that  $h_n \rightharpoonup h$  weakly in  $H^1(0, T_0; H_{\#}^{-1}(Q))$  (see (3-6)), implies that

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{J}_{n_k}(\cdot, \cdot - \tau_{n_k})} \frac{\partial h_{n_k}}{\partial t} \rightharpoonup \frac{1}{J} \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T_0; H_{\#}^{-1}(Q)). \quad (3-62)$$

Indeed, for any  $\varphi \in L^2(0, T_0; H_{\#}^1(Q))$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^{T_0} \int_Q \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{J}_{n_k}(\cdot, \cdot - \tau_{n_k})} \frac{\partial h_{n_k}}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{J} \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \right) \varphi dx dt \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_0^{T_0} \int_Q \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{J}_{n_k}(\cdot, \cdot - \tau_{n_k})} - \frac{1}{J} \right) \frac{\partial h_{n_k}}{\partial t} \varphi dx dt \right| + \left| \int_0^{T_0} \int_Q \left( \frac{\partial h_{n_k}}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\varphi}{J} dx dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^{T_0} \int_Q \left\| \frac{\partial h_{n_k}}{\partial t} \right\|_{H^{-1}} \left\| \frac{\varphi}{\tilde{J}_{n_k}(\cdot, \cdot - \tau_{n_k})} - \frac{\varphi}{J} \right\|_{H^1} dx dt + \left| \int_0^{T_0} \int_Q \left( \frac{\partial h_{n_k}}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\varphi}{J} dx dt \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (3-63)$$

Since  $H_{\#}^1(Q)$  is embedded in  $L^q(Q)$  for all  $q \geq 1$ , we deduce from (3-61) that  $\varphi / \tilde{J}_{n_k}(\cdot, \cdot - \tau_{n_k}) \rightarrow \varphi / J$  in  $L^2(0, T_0; H_{\#}^1(Q))$ . This convergence together with (3-3) shows that the second-to-last integral in

(3-63) vanishes in the limit. On the other hand, the last integral in (3-63) also vanishes in the limit, since  $h_{n_k} \rightharpoonup h$  weakly in  $H^1(0, T_0; H_{\#}^{-1}(Q))$ . Thus, (3-62) follows.

Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.11 and integrating with respect to  $t$ , we have, from (3-56),

$$\int_0^t \int_Q A_{n_k} D\hat{v}_n \cdot D\varphi \, dx \, ds = \int_0^t \int_Q \frac{1}{\tilde{J}_{n_k}(\cdot, \cdot - \tau_{n_k})} \frac{\partial h_{n_k}}{\partial t} \varphi \, dx \, ds \tag{3-64}$$

for all  $\varphi \in L^2(0, T_0; H_{\#}^1(Q))$ , where

$$A_{n_k}(x, t) := \left( I - \frac{D\tilde{h}_{n_k}(\cdot, \cdot - \tau_{n_k}) \otimes D\tilde{h}_{n_k}(\cdot, \cdot - \tau_{n_k})}{\tilde{J}_{n_k}(\cdot, \cdot - \tau_{n_k})^2} \right) \tilde{J}_{n_k}(\cdot, \cdot - \tau_{n_k}).$$

Note that (3-12) implies that  $A_{n_k}(x, t)$  is an elliptic matrix with ellipticity constants depending only on  $\Lambda_0$  for all  $(x, t)$ . Therefore, (3-64) immediately implies that

$$\int_0^{T_0} \int_Q |D\hat{v}_{n_k}|^2 \, dx \, dt \leq c \int_0^{T_0} \left\| \frac{\partial h_{n_k}}{\partial t} \right\|_{H^{-1}}^2 \, dt \leq c$$

thanks to (3-3). Since  $A_{n_k} \rightarrow A := (I - (Dh \otimes Dh)/J^2)J$  in  $L^\infty(0, T_0; L^\infty(Q))$  by Theorem 3.5(i), from the estimate above and recalling (3-62) and (3-64) we conclude that

$$\hat{v}_{n_k} \rightharpoonup \hat{v} \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T_0; H_{\#}^1(Q)),$$

where  $\hat{v}$  satisfies

$$\int_0^t \int_Q AD\hat{v} \cdot D\varphi \, dx \, ds = \int_0^t \int_Q \frac{1}{J} \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \varphi \, dx \, ds$$

for all  $\varphi \in L^2(0, T_0; H_{\#}^1(Q))$  and for all  $t \in (0, T_0)$ . In turn, letting  $\varphi$  vary in a countable dense subset of  $H_{\#}^1(Q)$  and differentiating the above equation with respect to  $t$ , we conclude that, for a.e.  $t \in (0, T_0)$ ,  $\hat{v}(\cdot, t)$  is the unique solution in  $H_{\#}^1(\Gamma_{h(\cdot, t)})$  to (3-59) for a.e.  $t \in (0, T_0)$ . This shows that the last integral in (3-57) converges and thus (3-58) holds. Again letting  $\varphi$  vary in a countable dense subset of  $H_{\#}^1(Q)$  and differentiating (3-58) with respect to  $t$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q W(E(u(x, h(x, t), t)))\varphi \, dx + \int_Q D\psi(-Dh, 1) \cdot (-D\varphi, 0) \, dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{p} \int_Q |H|^p \frac{Dh \cdot D\varphi}{J} \, dx \\ & - \varepsilon \int_Q |H|^{p-2} H \left[ \Delta\varphi - \frac{D^2\varphi[Dh, Dh]}{J^2} - \frac{\Delta h Dh \cdot D\varphi}{J^2} - 2 \frac{D^2 h [Dh, D\varphi]}{J^2} + 3 \frac{D^2 h [Dh, Dh] Dh \cdot D\varphi}{J^4} \right] \, dx \\ & - \int_Q \hat{v}\varphi \, dx = 0 \tag{3-65} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\varphi \in H_{\#}^1(Q)$ . Since, by (3-21),  $|H|^{p-2} H \in L^2(0, T_0; H_{\#}^2(Q))$ , arguing as in Step 2 of the proof of Theorem 3.11 we have that the above equation is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_h} D_{\Gamma_h} (|H|^{p-2} H) D_{\Gamma_h} \phi \, d\mathcal{H}^2 - \varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_h} |H|^{p-2} H \left( |B|^2 - \frac{1}{p} H^2 \right) \phi \, d\mathcal{H}^2 \\ & + \int_{\Gamma_h} [\text{Div}_{\Gamma_h}(D\psi(v)) + W(E(u))] \phi \, d\mathcal{H}^2 - \int_{\Gamma_h} \hat{v}\phi \, d\mathcal{H}^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for a.e.  $t \in (0, T_0)$ , where  $\phi := \varphi/J$ . This equation, together with (3-59), implies that  $h$  is a solution to (3-1) in the sense of Definition 3.1.

Next, to show that the energy decreases during the evolution, we observe first that, for every  $n$ , the map  $t \mapsto F(\tilde{h}_n(\cdot, t), \tilde{u}_n(\cdot, t))$  is nonincreasing, as shown in (3-4). Note also that thanks to (3-51) we may assume up to extracting a further subsequence that, for a.e.  $t$ ,  $\tilde{H}_n \rightarrow H$  in  $L^p(Q)$ . This fact, together with (i) and (iii) of Theorem 3.5, implies that for all such  $t$ ,  $F(\tilde{h}_n(\cdot, t), \tilde{u}_n(\cdot, t)) \rightarrow F(h(\cdot, t), u(\cdot, t))$ . Thus also (3-54) follows. Let  $t \in Z_0$  and choose  $t_n \rightarrow t+$  with  $t_n \notin Z_0$  for every  $n$ . Finally, since  $h(\cdot, t_n) \rightharpoonup h(\cdot, t)$  weakly in  $W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q)$  by (3-5), by lower semicontinuity we get that

$$F(h(\cdot, t), u(\cdot, t)) \leq \liminf_n F(h(\cdot, t_n), u(\cdot, t_n)) = \lim_n g(t_n) = g(t+). \quad \square$$

#### 4. Liapunov stability of the flat configuration

In this section we are going to study the Liapunov stability of an admissible flat configuration. Take  $h(x) \equiv d > 0$  and let  $u_d$  denote the corresponding elastic equilibrium. Throughout this section we assume that the Dirichlet datum  $w_0$  is affine, i.e., of the form  $w_0(x, y) = (A[x], 0)$  for some  $A \in \mathbb{M}^{2 \times 2}$ . As already mentioned, a typical choice is given by  $w_0(x, y) := (e_0^1 x_1, e_0^2 x_2, 0)$ , where the vector  $e_0 := (e_0^1, e_0^2)$  with  $e_0^1, e_0^2 > 0$  embodies the mismatch between the crystalline lattices of film and substrate.

A detailed analysis of the so-called Asaro–Tiller–Grinfeld morphological stability/instability was undertaken in [Bonacini 2013b; Fusco and Morini 2012]. It was shown that, if  $d$  is sufficiently small, then the flat configuration  $(d, u_d)$  is a volume constrained local minimizer for the functional

$$G(h, u) := \int_{\Omega_h} W(E(u)) dz + \int_{\Gamma_h} \psi(v) d\mathcal{H}^2. \quad (4-1)$$

To be precise, it was proved that, if  $d$  is small enough, then the second variation  $\partial^2 G(d, u_d)$  is positive definite and that, in turn, this implies the local minimality property. In order to state the results of this section, we need to introduce some preliminary notation. In the following, given  $h \in C_{\#}^2(Q)$ ,  $h \geq 0$ ,  $\nu$  will denote the unit vector field coinciding with the gradient of the signed distance from  $\Omega_h^{\#}$ , which is well defined in a sufficiently small tubular neighborhood of  $\Gamma_h^{\#}$ . Moreover, for every  $x \in \Gamma_h$  we set

$$\mathbb{B}(x) := D\nu(x). \quad (4-2)$$

Note that the bilinear form associated with  $\mathbb{B}(x)$  is symmetric and, when restricted to  $T_x \Gamma_h \times T_x \Gamma_h$ , it coincides with the *second fundamental form* of  $\Gamma_h$  at  $x$ . Here  $T_x \Gamma_h$  denotes the tangent space to  $\Gamma_h$  at  $x$ . For  $x \in \Gamma_h$  we also set  $H(x) := \text{Div } \nu(x) = \text{trace } \mathbb{B}(x)$ , which is the *sum of the principal curvatures* of  $\Gamma_h$  at  $x$ . Given a (sufficiently) smooth and positively one-homogeneous function  $\omega : \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we consider the *anisotropic second fundamental form* defined as

$$\mathbb{B}^{\omega} := D(D\omega \circ \nu),$$

and we set

$$H^{\omega} := \text{trace } \mathbb{B}^{\omega} = \text{Div } (D\omega \circ \nu). \quad (4-3)$$

We also introduce the space of periodic displacements

$$A(\Omega_h) := \{u \in LD_{\#}(\Omega_h; \mathbb{R}^3) : u(x, 0) = 0\}. \tag{4-4}$$

Given a regular configuration  $(h, u_h) \in X$  with  $h \in C_{\#}^2(Q)$  and  $\varphi \in \tilde{H}_{\#}^1(Q)$ , where

$$\tilde{H}_{\#}^1(Q) := \left\{ \varphi \in H_{\#}^1(Q) : \int_Q \varphi \, dx = 0 \right\}, \tag{4-5}$$

we recall that the second variation of  $G$  at  $(h, u_h)$  with respect to the direction  $\varphi$  is

$$\left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} G(h + t\varphi, u_{h+t\varphi}) \right|_{t=0},$$

where, as usual,  $u_{h+t\varphi}$  denotes the elastic equilibrium in  $\Omega_{h+t\varphi}$ . It turns out (see [Bonacini 2013b, Theorem 4.1]) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} G(h + t\varphi, u_{h+t\varphi}) \right|_{t=0} \\ &= \partial^2 G(h, u_h)[\varphi] - \int_{\Gamma_h} (W(E(u_h)) + H^\psi) \operatorname{Div}_{\Gamma_h} \left[ \left( \frac{(Dh, |Dh|^2)}{\sqrt{1 + |Dh|^2}} \circ \pi \right) \phi^2 \right] d\mathcal{H}^2, \end{aligned} \tag{4-6}$$

where  $\partial^2 G(h, u_h)[\varphi]$  is the (nonlocal) quadratic form defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^2 G(h, u_h)[\varphi] &:= -2 \int_{\Omega_h} W(E(v_\phi)) \, dz + \int_{\Gamma_h} D^2 \psi(v) [D_{\Gamma_h} \phi, D_{\Gamma_h} \phi] \, d\mathcal{H}^2 \\ &\quad + \int_{\Gamma_h} (\partial_v [W(E(u_h))] - \operatorname{trace}(\mathbb{B}^\psi \mathbb{B})) \phi^2 \, d\mathcal{H}^2, \\ \phi &:= \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{1 + |Dh|^2}} \circ \pi, \end{aligned} \tag{4-7}$$

and  $v_\phi$  the unique solution in  $A(\Omega_h)$  to

$$\int_{\Omega_h} \mathbb{C}E(v_\phi) : E(w) \, dz = \int_{\Gamma_h} \operatorname{Div}_{\Gamma_h} (\phi \mathbb{C}E(u_h)) \cdot w \, d\mathcal{H}^2 \quad \text{for all } w \in A(\Omega_h). \tag{4-8}$$

Note that, if  $(h, u_h)$  is a critical pair of  $G$  (see Definition 3.8 with  $\varepsilon = 0$ ), then the integral in (4-6) vanishes, so that

$$\left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} G(h + t\varphi, u_{h+t\varphi}) \right|_{t=0} = \partial^2 G(h, u_h)[\varphi].$$

Throughout this section  $\alpha$  will denote a fixed number in the interval  $(0, 1 - 2/p)$ . The next result is a simple consequence of [Bonacini 2013b, Theorem 6.6].

**Theorem 4.1.** *Assume that the surface density  $\psi$  is of class  $C^3$  away from the origin, it satisfies (2-1), and the following convexity condition holds: for every  $\xi \in S^2$ ,*

$$D^2 \psi(\xi)[w, w] > 0 \quad \text{for all } w \perp \xi, w \neq 0. \tag{4-9}$$

If

$$\partial^2 G(d, u_d)[\varphi] > 0 \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \tilde{H}_\#^1(Q) \setminus \{0\}, \quad (4-10)$$

then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$G(d, u_d) < G(k, v)$$

for all  $(k, v) \in X$  with  $|\Omega_k| = |\Omega_d|$ ,  $0 < \|k - d\|_{C_\#^{1,\alpha}(Q)} \leq \delta$ .

*Proof.* By condition (4-10) and [Bonacini 2013b, Theorem 6.6] there exists  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that, if  $0 < \|k - d\|_{C_\#^1(Q)} \leq \delta_0$  and  $\|D\eta\|_\infty \leq 1 + \|Du_d\|_\infty$  with  $(k, \eta) \in X$ , then

$$G(d, u_d) < G(k, \eta). \quad (4-11)$$

Note that we may choose  $0 < \delta < \delta_0$  such that, if  $\|k - d\|_{C_\#^{1,\alpha}(Q)} \leq \delta$  and  $u_k$  is the elastic equilibrium corresponding to  $k$ , by elliptic regularity (see also Lemma A.1) we have that  $\|Du_k\|_\infty \leq 1 + \|Du_d\|_\infty$ . Therefore, using (4-11) with  $\eta := u_k$ , we may conclude that

$$G(d, u_d) < G(k, u_k) \leq G(k, v),$$

where in the last inequality we used the minimality of  $u_k$ , and the result follows.  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** It can be shown that Theorem 4.1 continues to hold if (4-9) is replaced by the weaker condition

$$D^2\psi(e_3)[w, w] > 0 \quad \text{for all } w \perp e_3, w \neq 0. \quad (4-12)$$

Indeed, (4-12) implies that (4-9) holds for all  $\xi$  belonging to a suitable neighborhood  $U \subset S^2$  of  $e_3$ . In turn, by choosing  $\delta$  sufficiently small we can ensure that the outer unit normals to  $\Gamma_k$  lie in  $U$  provided  $\|k - d\|_{C_\#^{1,\alpha}(Q)} < \delta$ . A careful inspection of the proof of [Bonacini 2013b, Theorem 6.6] shows that, under these circumstances, condition (4-9) is only required to hold at vectors  $\xi \in U$ .

**Remark 4.3.** Under assumption (4-9), it can be shown that (4-10) is equivalent to having

$$\inf\{\partial^2 G(d, u_d)[\varphi] : \varphi \in \tilde{H}_\#^1(Q), \|\varphi\|_{H_\#^1(Q)} = 1\} =: m_0 > 0 \quad (4-13)$$

(see [Bonacini 2013b, Corollary 4.8]), i.e.,

$$\partial^2 G(d, u_d)[\varphi] \geq m_0 \|\varphi\|_{H_\#^1(Q)}^2 \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \tilde{H}_\#^1(Q).$$

**Remark 4.4.** Note that, if the profile  $h \equiv d$  is flat, then the corresponding elastic equilibrium  $u_d$  is affine. It immediately follows that  $(d, u_d)$  is a critical pair in the sense of Definition 3.8.

We now consider the case of a nonconvex surface energy density  $\psi$ , and introduce the “relaxed” functional defined for all  $(h, u) \in X$  as

$$\bar{G}(h, u) := \int_{\Omega_h} W(E(u)) dz + \int_{\Gamma_h} \psi^{**}(v) d\mathcal{H}^2, \quad (4-14)$$

where  $\psi^{**}$  is the convex envelope of  $\psi$ . It turns out that, if the boundary of the Wulff shape  $W_\psi$  associated with the nonconvex density  $\psi$  contains a flat horizontal facet, then the flat configuration is always an



isolated volume-constrained local minimizer, irrespective of the value of  $d$ . We recall that the Wulff shape  $W_\psi$  is given by

$$W_\psi := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^3 : z \cdot \nu < \psi(\nu) \text{ for all } \nu \in S^2\}$$

(see [Fonseca 1991, Definition 3.1]). The following result can be easily obtained from [Bonacini 2013b, Theorem 7.5 and Remark 7.6] arguing as in the last part of the proof of Theorem 4.1.

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a Lipschitz positively one-homogeneous function satisfying (2-1), and let  $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| \leq \alpha, y = \beta\} \subset \partial W_\psi$  for some  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ . Then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that*

$$\bar{G}(d, u_d) < \bar{G}(k, v)$$

for all  $(k, v) \in X$  with  $|\Omega_k| = |\Omega_d|, 0 < \|k - d\|_{C_\#^{1,\alpha}(\mathcal{Q})} \leq \delta$ .

In the next two subsections we use the previous theorems to study the Liapunov stability of the flat configuration both in the convex and nonconvex case.

**Definition 4.6.** We say that the flat configuration  $(d, u_d)$  is *Liapunov stable* if, for every  $\sigma > 0$ , there exists  $\delta(\sigma) > 0$  such that, if  $(h_0, u_0) \in X$  with  $|\Omega_{h_0}| = |\Omega_d|$  and  $\|h_0 - d\|_{W_\#^{2,p}(\mathcal{Q})} \leq \delta(\sigma)$ , then every variational solution  $h$  to (3-1) according to Definition 3.17, with initial datum  $h_0$ , exists for all times, and  $\|h(\cdot, t) - d\|_{W_\#^{2,p}(\mathcal{Q})} \leq \sigma$  for all  $t > 0$ .

**4A. The case of a nonconvex surface density.** In this subsection will show that, if the boundary of the Wulff shape  $W_\psi$  associated with  $\psi$  contains a flat horizontal facet, then the flat configuration is always Liapunov stable.

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a positively one-homogeneous function of class  $C^2$  away from the origin such that (2-1) holds, and let  $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| \leq \alpha, y = \beta\} \subset \partial W_\psi$  for some  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ . Then for every  $d > 0$  the flat configuration  $(d, u_d)$  is Liapunov stable (according to Definition 4.6).*

*Proof.* We start by observing that, from the assumptions on  $\psi$ ,  $e_3$  is normal to boundary  $\partial W_\psi$  of the Wulff shape  $W_\psi$  associated with  $\psi$ . Thus, by [Fonseca 1991, Proposition 3.5(iv)], it follows that  $\psi(e_3) = \psi^{**}(e_3)$ . In turn, by Theorem 4.5, we may find  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$F(d, u_d) = \bar{G}(d, u_d) < \bar{G}(k, v) \leq F(k, v) \tag{4-15}$$

for all  $(k, v) \in X$  with  $|\Omega_k| = |\Omega_d|$  and  $0 < \|k - d\|_{C_\#^{1,\alpha}(\mathcal{Q})} \leq \delta$ . Fix  $\sigma > 0$  and choose  $\delta_0 \in (0, \min\{\delta, \frac{1}{2}\sigma\})$  so small that

$$\|h - d\|_{C_\#^{1,\alpha}(\mathcal{Q})} \leq \delta_0 \implies \|Dh\|_\infty < \Lambda_0, \tag{4-16}$$

where  $\Lambda_0$  is as in (2-6). For every  $\tau > 0$ , set

$$\omega(\tau) := \sup\{\|k - d\|_{C_\#^{1,\alpha}(\mathcal{Q})}\},$$

where the supremum is taken over all  $(k, v) \in X$  such that

$$|\Omega_k| = |\Omega_d|, \quad \|k - d\|_{C_\#^{1,\alpha}(\mathcal{Q})} \leq \delta, \quad \text{and} \quad F(k, v) - F(d, u_d) < \tau.$$

Clearly,  $\omega(\tau) > 0$  for  $\tau > 0$ . We claim that  $\omega(\tau) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\tau \rightarrow 0^+$ . Indeed, to see this we assume by contradiction that there exists a sequence  $(k_n, v_n) \in X$  with  $|\Omega_{k_n}| = |\Omega_d|$  such that

$$\liminf_n F(k_n, v_n) \leq F(d, u_d) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < c_0 \leq \|k_n - d\|_{C_\#^{1,\alpha}(Q)} \leq \delta \quad (4-17)$$

for some  $c_0 > 0$ . By Lemma A.3, up to a subsequence we may assume that  $k_n \rightharpoonup k$  in  $W_\#^{2,p}(Q)$  and that  $v_n \rightharpoonup v$  in  $H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega_k; \mathbb{R}^3)$  for some  $(k, v) \in X$  satisfying  $\delta \geq \|k - d\|_{C_\#^{1,\alpha}(Q)} \geq c_0$ , since  $W_\#^{2,p}(Q)$  is compactly embedded in  $C_\#^{1,\alpha}(Q)$ . By lower semicontinuity we also have that

$$F(k, v) \leq \liminf_n F(k_n, v_n) \leq F(d, u_d),$$

which contradicts (4-15).

Choose  $\delta(\sigma)$  so small that, if  $\|h_0 - d\|_{W_\#^{2,p}(Q)} \leq \delta(\sigma)$ , then

$$\|h_0 - d\|_{C_\#^{1,\alpha}(Q)} < \delta_0 \quad \text{and} \quad F(h_0, u_0) - F(d, u_d) \leq \omega^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\delta_0\right),$$

where  $\omega^{-1}$  is the generalized inverse of  $\omega$  defined as  $\omega^{-1}(s) := \sup\{\tau > 0 : \omega(\tau) \leq s\}$  for all  $s > 0$ . Note that, since  $\omega(\tau) > 0$  for  $\tau > 0$  and  $\omega(\tau) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\tau \rightarrow 0^+$ , we have that  $\omega^{-1}(s) \rightarrow 0$  as  $s \rightarrow 0^+$ . Let  $h$  be a variational solution as in Theorem 3.4 (see Definition 3.17). Let

$$T_1 := \sup\{t > 0 : \|h(\cdot, s) - d\|_{C_\#^{1,\alpha}(Q)} \leq \delta_0 \text{ for all } s \in (0, t)\}.$$

Note that, by Theorem 3.5,  $T_1 > 0$ . We claim that  $T_1 = +\infty$ . Indeed, if  $T_1$  were finite, then, recalling (3-7), we would get, for all  $s \in [0, T_1]$ ,

$$F(h(\cdot, T_1), u_{h(\cdot, T_1)}) - F(d, u_d) \leq F(h_0, u_0) - F(d, u_d) \leq \omega^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\delta_0\right), \quad (4-18)$$

which implies  $\|h(\cdot, T_1) - d\|_{C_\#^{1,\alpha}(Q)} \leq \frac{1}{2}\delta_0$  by the definition of  $\omega$ . Then, (4-16), Remark 3.6, and Theorem 3.5 would imply that there exists  $T > T_1$  such that  $\|h(\cdot, t) - d\|_{C_\#^{1,\alpha}(Q)} \leq \delta_0$  for all  $t \in (T_1, T)$ , thus giving a contradiction. We conclude that  $T_1 = +\infty$  and that  $\|h(\cdot, t) - d\|_{C_\#^{1,\alpha}(Q)} \leq \delta_0$  for all  $t > 0$ . Therefore, (4-16) implies that  $\|Dh(\cdot, t)\|_\infty < \Lambda_0$  for all times, which, together with Remark 3.6, gives that  $h$  is a solution to (3-1) for all times. Moreover, by (4-18) we have also shown that  $F(h(\cdot, t), u_{h(\cdot, t)}) - F(d, u_d) \leq \omega^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\delta_0\right)$  for all  $t > 0$ , which by (4-15) implies that

$$\varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_{h(\cdot, t)}} |H|^p d\mathcal{H}^2 \leq \omega^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\delta_0\right).$$

Using elliptic regularity (see (2-3)), this inequality and the fact that  $\|h(\cdot, t) - d\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2}\sigma$  for all  $t > 0$  imply that  $\|h(\cdot, t) - d\|_{W_\#^{2,p}(Q)} \leq \sigma$  provided that  $\delta_0$  and, in turn,  $\delta(\sigma)$  are chosen sufficiently small.  $\square$

**4B. The case of a convex surface density.** In this section we will show that, under the convexity assumption (4-9), the condition  $\partial^2 G(d, u_d) > 0$  implies that  $(d, u_d)$  is asymptotically stable for the regularized evolution equation (3-1) (see Theorem 4.14 below). We start by addressing the Liapunov stability (see Definition 4.6).

**Theorem 4.8.** *Assume that the surface density  $\psi$  satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 and that the flat configuration  $(d, u_d)$  satisfies (4-10). Then  $(d, u_d)$  is Liapunov stable.*

*Proof.* Since (4-15) still holds with  $\bar{G}$  replaced by  $G$  in view of Theorem 4.1, we can conclude as in the proof of Theorem 4.7. □

**Remark 4.9** (stability of the flat configuration for small volumes). If the surface density  $\psi$  satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 4.1, then there exists  $d_0 > 0$  (depending only on the Dirichlet boundary datum  $w_0$ ) such that (4-10) holds for all  $d \in (0, d_0)$  (see [Bonacini 2013b, Proposition 7.3]).

**Definition 4.10.** We say that a flat configuration  $(d, u_d)$  is *asymptotically stable* if there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that, if  $(h_0, u_0) \in X$  with  $|\Omega_{h_0}| = |\Omega_d|$  and  $\|h_0 - d\|_{W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q)} \leq \delta$ , then every variational solution  $h$  to (3-1) according to Definition 3.17, with initial datum  $h_0$ , exists for all times and  $\|h(\cdot, t) - d\|_{W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ .

We start by showing that, if a variational solution to (3-1) exists for all times, then there exists a sequence  $\{t_n\} \subset (0, +\infty)$ , with  $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ , such that  $h(\cdot, t_n)$  converges to a critical profile (see Definition 3.8).

**Proposition 4.11.** *Assume that for a certain initial datum  $h_0 \in W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q)$  there exists a global-in-time variational solution  $h$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{t_n\} \subset (0, +\infty) \setminus Z_0$ , where  $Z_0$  is the set in (3-54), and a critical profile  $\bar{h}$  for  $F$  such that  $t_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $h(\cdot, t_n) \rightarrow \bar{h}$  strongly in  $W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q)$ .*

*Proof.* From (3-3), by lower semicontinuity we have that

$$\int_0^\infty \left\| \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \right\|_{H^{-1}(Q)}^2 dt \leq CF(h_0, u_0).$$

Since the set  $Z_0$  has measure zero, we may find a sequence  $\{t_n\} \subset (0, +\infty) \setminus Z_0$ ,  $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ , such that  $\|\partial h(\cdot, t_n)/\partial t\|_{H^{-1}(Q)} \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $h \in L^\infty(0, \infty; W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q)) \cap H^1(0, \infty; H_{\#}^{-1}(Q))$ , setting  $h^n = h(\cdot, t_n)$  we may also assume that there exists  $\bar{h} \in W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q)$  such that  $h^n \rightharpoonup \bar{h}$  weakly in  $W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q)$ . In turn, denoting by  $u_{h^n}$  the corresponding elastic equilibria, by elliptic regularity (see also Lemma A.1 ) we have that  $u_{h^n}(\cdot, h^n(\cdot)) \rightarrow u_{\bar{h}}(\cdot, \bar{h}(\cdot))$  in  $C_{\#}^{1,\alpha}(Q; \mathbb{R}^3)$ . Let  $\hat{v}^n$  be the unique  $Q$ -periodic solution to (3-59) with  $t = t_n$  and note that  $\hat{v}^n \rightarrow 0$  in  $H_{\#}^1(Q)$ , since  $\|\partial h(\cdot, t_n)/\partial t\|_{H^{-1}(Q)} \rightarrow 0$ . Writing the equation satisfied by  $h^n$  as in (3-22), we have, for all  $\varphi \in C_{\#}^2(Q)$  with  $\int_Q \varphi dx = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q W(E(u_{h^n}(x, h^n(x)))) \varphi dx + \int_Q D\psi(-Dh^n, 1) \cdot (-D\varphi, 0) dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{p} \int_Q |H^n|^p \frac{Dh^n \cdot D\varphi}{J^n} \\ & - \varepsilon \int_Q |H^n|^{p-2} H^n \left[ \Delta\varphi - \frac{D^2\varphi[Dh^n, Dh^n]}{(J^n)^2} - \frac{\Delta h^n Dh^n \cdot D\varphi}{(J^n)^2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2 \frac{D^2 h^n [Dh^n, D\varphi]}{(J^n)^2} + 3 \frac{D^2 h^n [Dh^n, Dh^n] Dh^n \cdot D\varphi}{(J^n)^4} \right] dx \\ & - \int_Q \hat{v}^n \varphi dx = 0, \quad (4-19) \end{aligned}$$

where  $H^n$  stands for the sum of the principal curvatures of  $h^n$  and  $J^n = \sqrt{1 + |Dh^n|^2}$ . Arguing exactly as in the proof of Theorem 3.11 (see (3-30)), we deduce that

$$\int_Q |D^2(|H^n|^{p-2}H^n)|^2 dx \leq C \int_Q (1 + (\hat{v}^n)^2) dx \quad (4-20)$$

for some constant  $C$  independent of  $n$ . Thus, passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we may also assume that there exists  $w \in H_{\#}^2(Q)$  such that  $|H^n|^{p-2}H^n \rightharpoonup w$  weakly in  $H_{\#}^2(Q)$  and  $|H^n|^{p-2}H^n \rightarrow w$  strongly in  $H_{\#}^1(Q)$ . Since  $H_{\#}^1(Q)$  is continuously embedded in  $L^q(Q)$  for every  $1 \leq q < \infty$  by the Sobolev embedding theorem, there exists  $z \in L^1(Q)$  such that  $|H^n|^p \rightarrow z$  in  $L^1(Q)$ . The same argument used at the end of the proof of Corollary 3.15 shows that  $z = |\bar{H}|^p$  and  $w = |\bar{H}|^{p-2}\bar{H}$ , where  $\bar{H}$  is the sum of the principal curvatures of  $\bar{h}$ .

Using all the convergences proved above, and arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.16, we may pass to the limit in (4-19), thus getting that  $\bar{h}$  is a critical profile by Remark 3.12.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.12.** *Assume that (4-9) and (4-10) hold. Then there exist  $\sigma > 0$  and  $c_0 > 0$  such that*

$$\partial^2 G(h, u_h)[\varphi] \geq c_0 \|\varphi\|_{H_{\#}^1(Q)}^2 \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \tilde{H}_{\#}^1(Q)$$

provided  $\|h - d\|_{C_{\#}^{2,\alpha}(Q)} \leq \sigma$ , where  $\tilde{H}_{\#}^1(Q)$  is defined in (4-5).

*Proof.* Throughout this proof, with a slight abuse of notation, we denote by  $\mathbb{C}$  the tensor acting on a generic  $3 \times 3$  matrix  $M$  as  $\mathbb{C}M := \mathbb{C}(M + M^T)/2$ . Let  $m_0$  be the positive constant defined in (4-13). We claim that there exists  $\sigma > 0$  such that

$$\inf\{\partial^2 G(h, u_h)[\varphi] : \varphi \in \tilde{H}_{\#}^1(Q), \|\varphi\|_{H_{\#}^1(Q)} = 1\} \geq \frac{1}{2}m_0$$

whenever  $\|h - d\|_{C_{\#}^{2,\alpha}(Q)} \leq \sigma$ . Indeed, if not, then there exist two sequences  $\{h_n\} \subset C_{\#}^{2,\alpha}(Q)$  with  $h_n \rightarrow d$  in  $C_{\#}^{2,\alpha}(Q)$  and  $\{\varphi_n\} \subset \tilde{H}_{\#}^1(Q)$  with  $\|\varphi_n\|_{H_{\#}^1(Q)} = 1$  such that

$$\partial^2 G(h_n, u_{h_n})[\varphi_n] < \frac{1}{2}m_0. \quad (4-21)$$

Set

$$\phi_n := \frac{\varphi_n}{\sqrt{1 + |Dh_n|^2}} \circ \pi, \quad (4-22)$$

where we recall that  $\pi(x, y) = x$ . Let  $v_{\phi_n}$  be the unique solution in  $A(\Omega_{h_n})$  — see (4-4) — to

$$\int_{\Omega_{h_n}} \mathbb{C}E(v_{\phi_n}) : E(w) dz = \int_{\Gamma_{h_n}} \text{Div}_{\Gamma_{h_n}}(\phi_n \mathbb{C}E(u_{h_n})) \cdot w d\mathcal{H}^2 \quad \text{for all } w \in A(\Omega_{h_n}) \quad (4-23)$$

and let  $v_{\varphi_n}$  be the unique solution in  $A(\Omega_d)$  to

$$\int_{\Omega_d} \mathbb{C}E(v_{\varphi_n}) : E(w) dz = \int_{\Gamma_d} \text{Div}_{\Gamma_d}(\varphi_n \mathbb{C}E(u_d)) \cdot w d\mathcal{H}^2 \quad \text{for all } w \in A(\Omega_d). \quad (4-24)$$

Observe that (see, e.g., Lemma A.1)

$$\|\text{Div}_{\Gamma_{h_n}}(\phi_n \mathbb{C}E(u_{h_n}))\|_{L^2(\Gamma_{h_n})} \leq C \|\varphi_n\|_{H_{\#}^1(Q)}$$

for some constant  $C > 0$  depending only on

$$\sup_n (\|\mathbb{C}E(u_{h_n})\|_{C^1(\Gamma_{h_n})} + \|h_n\|_{C^2_\#(\mathcal{Q})})$$

and thus independent of  $n$ . Therefore, choosing  $w = v_{\phi_n}$  in (4-23), and using Korn's inequality, we deduce that

$$\sup_n \|v_{\phi_n}\|_{H^1(\Omega_{h_n})} < +\infty. \tag{4-25}$$

The same bound holds for the sequence  $\{v_{\phi_n}\}$ .

Next we show that

$$\int_{\Omega_{h_n}} W(E(v_{\phi_n})) dz - \int_{\Omega_d} W(E(v_{\phi_n})) dz \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4-26}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Consider a sequence  $\{\Phi_n\}$  of diffeomorphisms  $\Phi_n : \Omega_d \rightarrow \Omega_{h_n}$  such that  $\Phi_n - \text{Id}$  is  $\mathcal{Q}$ -periodic with respect to  $x$ ,  $\Phi_n(x, y) = (x, y + d - h_n(x))$  in a neighborhood of  $\Gamma_d$ , and  $\|\Phi_n - \text{Id}\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}_d; \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|h_n - d\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\mathcal{Q})} \rightarrow 0$ . Set  $w_n := v_{\phi_n} \circ \Phi_n$ . Changing variables, we get that  $w_n \in A(\Omega_d)$  satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega_d} A_n D w_n : D w dz = \int_{\Gamma_d} (\text{Div}_{\Gamma_{h_n}}(\phi_n \mathbb{C}E(u_{h_n})) \circ \Phi_n) \cdot w J_{\Phi_n} d\mathcal{H}^2 \tag{4-27}$$

for every  $w \in A(\Omega_d)$ , where  $J_{\Phi_n}$  stands for the  $(N-1)$ -Jacobian of  $\Phi_n$  and the fourth-order tensor-valued functions  $A_n$  satisfy  $A_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  in  $C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}_d)$ . We claim that

$$\int_{\Omega_d} W(E(w_n - v_{\phi_n})) dz \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4-28}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Note that this would immediately imply  $\int_{\Omega_d} W(E(w_n)) dz - \int_{\Omega_d} W(E(v_{\phi_n})) dz \rightarrow 0$  and, in turn, taking also into account that  $A_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  uniformly and that  $\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_d} A_n D w_n : D w_n dz = \int_{\Omega_{h_n}} W(E(v_{\phi_n})) dz$ , claim (4-26) would follow. In order to prove (4-28), we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_d} \mathbb{C} D(v_{\phi_n} - w_n) : D(v_{\phi_n} - w_n) dz \\ &= \int_{\Omega_d} \mathbb{C} D v_{\phi_n} : D(v_{\phi_n} - w_n) dz - \int_{\Omega_d} (\mathbb{C} - A_n) D w_n : D(v_{\phi_n} - w_n) dz - \int_{\Omega_d} A_n D w_n : D(v_{\phi_n} - w_n) dz \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_d} \text{Div}_{\Gamma_d}(\phi_n \mathbb{C}E(u_d)) \cdot (v_{\phi_n} - w_n) d\mathcal{H}^2 - \int_{\Omega_d} (\mathbb{C} - A_n) D w_n : D(v_{\phi_n} - w_n) dz \\ & \quad - \int_{\Gamma_d} (\text{Div}_{\Gamma_{h_n}}(\phi_n \mathbb{C}E(u_{h_n})) \circ \Phi_n) \cdot (v_{\phi_n} - w_n) J_{\Phi_n} d\mathcal{H}^2 \\ &=: I_1 - I_2 - I_3, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (4-24) and (4-27). From (4-25), the analogous bound for the sequence  $\{v_{\phi_n}\}$ , and the uniform convergence of  $A_n$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  we deduce that  $I_2$  tends to 0.

Fix  $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3) \in C^1_\#(\Gamma_d; \mathbb{R}^3) \simeq C^1_\#(Q; \mathbb{R}^3)$ . Using the fact that  $\Phi_n^{-1}(x, y) = (x, y - h_n(x) + d)$  in a neighborhood of  $\Gamma_{h_n}$ , we have

$$D_{\Gamma_{h_n}}(\eta_j \circ \Phi_n^{-1}) = (I - v_{h_n} \otimes v_{h_n})D_{\Gamma_d}\eta_j \circ \Phi_n^{-1},$$

where we set  $v_{h_n} := (-Dh_n, 1)/\sqrt{1 + |Dh_n|^2}$ . Using this fact, we then have, by repeated integrations by parts and changes of variables,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma_d} (\text{Div}_{\Gamma_{h_n}}(\phi_n \mathbb{C}E(u_{h_n})) \circ \Phi_n) \cdot \eta J_{\Phi_n} d\mathcal{H}^2 &= \int_{\Gamma_{h_n}} \text{Div}_{\Gamma_{h_n}}(\phi_n \mathbb{C}E(u_{h_n})) \cdot \eta \circ \Phi_n^{-1} d\mathcal{H}^2 \\ &= - \int_{\Gamma_{h_n}} \phi_n \mathbb{C}E(u_{h_n}) : D_{\Gamma_{h_n}}(\eta \circ \Phi_n^{-1}) d\mathcal{H}^2 \\ &= - \int_{\Gamma_{h_n}} (I - v_{h_n} \otimes v_{h_n})\phi_n \mathbb{C}E(u_{h_n}) : D_{\Gamma_d}\eta \circ \Phi_n^{-1} d\mathcal{H}^2 \\ &= - \int_{\Gamma_d} [(I - v_{h_n} \otimes v_{h_n})\phi_n \mathbb{C}E(u_{h_n})] \circ \Phi_n : D_{\Gamma_d}\eta J_{\Phi_n} d\mathcal{H}^2 \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_d} \text{Div}_{\Gamma_d} [(I - v_{h_n} \otimes v_{h_n})\phi_n \mathbb{C}E(u_{h_n})] \circ \Phi_n J_{\Phi_n} \cdot \eta d\mathcal{H}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we may rewrite

$$I_1 - I_3 = \int_{\Gamma_d} \text{Div}_{\Gamma_d} g_n \cdot (v_{\phi_n} - w_n) d\mathcal{H}^2, \quad (4-29)$$

where, by (4-22),

$$\begin{aligned} g_n &:= \varphi_n \mathbb{C}E(u_d) - [(I - v_{h_n} \otimes v_{h_n})\phi_n \mathbb{C}E(u_{h_n})] \circ \Phi_n J_{\Phi_n} \\ &= \varphi_n \left[ \mathbb{C}E(u_d) - [(I - v_{h_n} \otimes v_{h_n})\mathbb{C}E(u_{h_n})] \circ \Phi_n \frac{J_{\Phi_n}}{\sqrt{1 + |Dh_n|^2}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $h_n \rightarrow d$  in  $C^{2,\alpha}_\#(Q)$ , by standard Schauder estimates for the elastic displacements  $u_{h_n}$  we get

$$\mathbb{C}E(u_d) - [(I - v_{h_n} \otimes v_{h_n})\mathbb{C}E(u_{h_n})] \circ \Phi_n \frac{J_{\Phi_n}}{\sqrt{1 + |Dh_n|^2}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } C^{1,\alpha}(\Gamma_d).$$

Therefore, by (4-29) and the equiboundedness of  $\{v_{\phi_n}\}$  and  $\{w_n\}$ , we have that  $I_1 - I_3 \rightarrow 0$ . This concludes the proof of (4-28) and, in turn, of (4-26).

Finally, again from the  $C^{2,\alpha}$ -convergence of  $\{h_n\}$  to  $d$  and the fact that

$$\partial_v [W(E(u_{h_n}))] \circ \Phi_n \rightarrow \partial_v [W(E(u_d))] \quad \text{in } C^{0,\alpha}_\#(\Gamma_d)$$

by standard Schauder elliptic estimates, recalling (4-7) we easily infer that

$$\left( \partial^2 G(h_n, u_{h_n})[\varphi_n] + 2 \int_{\Omega_{h_n}} W(E(v_{\phi_n})) dz \right) - \left( \partial^2 G(d, u_d)[\varphi_n] + 2 \int_{\Omega_d} W(E(v_{\phi_n})) dz \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad (4-30)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, recalling (4-26), we also have

$$\partial^2 G(h_n, u_{h_n})[\varphi_n] - \partial^2 G(d, u_d)[\varphi_n] \rightarrow 0$$

and, in turn, by (4-21)

$$\limsup \partial^2 G(d, u_d)[\varphi_n] \leq \frac{1}{2}m_0,$$

which is a contradiction to (4-13). This concludes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

Next we prove that  $(d, u_d)$  is an isolated critical pair.

**Proposition 4.13.** *Assume that (4-9) and (4-10) hold. Then there exists  $\sigma > 0$  such that, if  $(h, u_h) \in X$  with  $|\Omega_h| = |\Omega_d|$  and  $0 < \|h - d\|_{W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q)} \leq \sigma$ , then  $(h, u_h)$  is not a critical pair.*

*Proof.* Assume by contradiction that there exists a sequence  $h_n \rightarrow d$  in  $W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q)$  with  $h_n \neq d$  and  $|\Omega_{h_n}| = |\Omega_d|$  such that  $(h_n, u_{h_n})$  is a critical pair. Using the Euler–Lagrange equation and arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.11, one can show that

$$\int_Q |D^2(|H_n|^{p-2}H_n)|^2 dx \leq C \int_Q (|D^2h_n|^2 |D(|H_n|^{p-2}H_n)|^2 + |H_n|^{2(p+1)} + 1) dx.$$

Indeed, this can be obtained in the same way as (3-29), taking into account that there is no contribution from the time derivative. From this inequality, arguing exactly as in the final part of the proof of Theorem 3.11 we deduce that

$$\int_Q |D^2(|H_n|^{p-2}H_n)|^2 dx \leq C$$

for some  $C$  independent of  $n$ . In particular, by the Sobolev embedding theorem,  $\{|H_n|^{p-2}H_n\}$  is bounded in  $C_{\#}^{0,\beta}(Q)$  for every  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ . Hence,  $\{H_n\}$  is bounded in  $C_{\#}^{0,\beta}(Q)$  for all  $\beta \in (0, 1/(p-1))$ . In turn, by (2-3) and standard elliptic regularity this implies that  $\{h_n\}$  is bounded in  $C_{\#}^{2,\beta}(Q)$  for all  $\beta \in (0, 1/(p-1))$  and thus  $h_n \rightarrow d$  in  $C^{2,\beta}(Q)$  for all such  $\beta$ . Since  $(d, u_d)$  is a critical pair (see Remark 4.4),

$$\frac{d}{ds} F(d + s(h_n - d), u_{d+s(h_n-d)}) \Big|_{s=0} = 0,$$

and so by (4-6) to reach a contradiction it is enough to show that, for  $n$  large,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d^2}{ds^2} F(d + s(h_n - d), u_{d+s(h_n-d)}) \Big|_{s=t} \\ &= \partial^2 G(h_{n,t}, u_{h_{n,t}})[h_n - d] \\ & \quad - \int_{\Gamma_{h_{n,t}}} (W(E(u_{h_{n,t}})) + H_{h_{n,t}}^{\psi}) \operatorname{Div}_{\Gamma_{h_{n,t}}} \left( \frac{(Dh_{n,t}, |Dh_{n,t}|^2)(h_{n,t} - d)^2}{(1 + |Dh_{n,t}|)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \circ \pi \right) d\mathcal{H}^2 \\ & \quad + \varepsilon \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \mathcal{W}_p(d + s(h_n - d)) \Big|_{s=t} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in (0, 1)$ , where  $h_{n,t} := d + t(h_n - d)$ ,  $H_{h_{n,t}}^{\psi}$  is defined as in (4-3) with  $h$  replaced by  $h_{n,t}$ , and

$$\mathcal{W}_p(h) := \int_{\Gamma_h} |H|^p d\mathcal{H}^2.$$

To this purpose note that, since  $h_n \rightarrow d$  in  $C^{2,\beta}$ , by Lemma A.1 we have

$$\sup_{t \in (0,1)} \|W(E(u_{h_{n,t}})) + H_{h_{n,t}}^\psi - W_d\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_{h_{n,t}})} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $W_d$  is the constant value of  $W(E(u_d))$  on  $\Gamma_d$  (see Remark 4.4). Therefore, also by Lemma 4.12, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^2 G(h_{n,t}, u_{h_{n,t}})[h_n - d] &- \int_{\Gamma_{h_{n,t}}} (W(E(u_{h_{n,t}})) + H_{h_{n,t}}^\psi) \operatorname{Div}_{\Gamma_{h_{n,t}}} \left( \frac{(Dh_{n,t}, |Dh_{n,t}|^2)(h_{n,t} - d)^2}{(1 + |Dh_{n,t}|)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \circ \pi \right) d\mathcal{H}^2 \\ &= \partial^2 G(h_{n,t}, u_{h_{n,t}})[h_n - d] \\ &\quad - \int_{\Gamma_{h_{n,t}}} (W(E(u_{h_{n,t}})) + H_{h_{n,t}}^\psi - W_d) \operatorname{Div}_{\Gamma_{h_{n,t}}} \left( \frac{(Dh_{n,t}, |Dh_{n,t}|^2)(h_{n,t} - d)^2}{(1 + |Dh_{n,t}|)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \circ \pi \right) d\mathcal{H}^2 \\ &\geq c_0 \|h_n - d\|_{H_\#^1(Q)}^2 - C \|W(E(u_{h_{n,t}})) + H_{h_{n,t}}^\psi - W_d\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_{h_{n,t}})} \|h_n - d\|_{H_\#^1(Q)}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} c_0 \|h_n - d\|_{H_\#^1(Q)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for  $n$  large and for some constant  $c_0 > 0$  independent of  $n$ , where we used the facts that

$$\int_{\Gamma_{h_{n,t}}} \left\| \operatorname{Div}_{\Gamma_{h_{n,t}}} \left( \frac{(Dh_{n,t}, |Dh_{n,t}|^2)(h_{n,t} - d)^2}{(1 + |Dh_{n,t}|)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \circ \pi \right) \right\| d\mathcal{H}^2 \leq C \|h_n\|_{C_\#^2(Q)} \|h_n - d\|_{H_\#^1(Q)}^2$$

and that  $h_n \rightarrow d$  in  $C^{2,\beta}(Q)$ .

Since

$$\mathcal{W}_p(d + t(h_n - d)) = t^p \int_Q \left| \operatorname{Div} \frac{Dh_n}{\sqrt{1 + t^2 |Dh_n|^2}} \right|^p dx =: f_n(t),$$

in order to conclude it is enough to show that  $f_n''(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in (0, 1)$ . Set

$$g_n(x, t) := \left| \operatorname{Div} \frac{Dh_n(x)}{\sqrt{1 + t^2 |Dh_n(x)|^2}} \right|^2$$

so that

$$f_n'' = \int_Q \left[ p(p-1)t^{p-2} g_n^{\frac{p}{2}} + p^2 t^{p-1} g_n^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \partial_t g_n + \frac{p}{2} t^p \left( \left( \frac{p}{2} - 1 \right) g_n^{\frac{p-4}{2}} (\partial_t g_n)^2 + g_n^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \partial_{tt} g_n \right) \right] dx. \quad (4-31)$$

On the other hand, observe that

$$g_n = \frac{|\Delta h_n|^2}{1 + t^2 |Dh_n|^2} + t^4 \frac{|D^2 h_n [Dh_n, Dh_n]|^2}{(1 + t^2 |Dh_n|^2)^3} - 2t^2 \frac{D^2 h_n [Dh_n, Dh_n] \Delta h_n}{(1 + t^2 |Dh_n|^2)^2}$$

so that, for  $n$  large,

$$g_n \geq \frac{1}{2} |\Delta h_n|^2 - C |D^2 h_n|^2 |Dh_n|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad |\partial_t g_n| + |\partial_{tt} g_n| \leq C |D^2 h_n|^2 |Dh_n|^2.$$

We then deduce from (4-31) that there exist  $C_0, C_1 > 0$  independent of  $n$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$f_n''(t) \geq C_0 \int_Q |\Delta h_n|^p dx - C_1 \|Dh_n\|_\infty^p \int_Q |D^2 h_n|^p dx.$$



Since  $\|Dh_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ , by Lemma A.3 we conclude that the right-hand side in the above inequality is nonnegative for  $n$  large, thus concluding the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

Finally, we prove the main result of this section, namely, the asymptotic stability of the flat configuration (see Definition 4.10).

**Theorem 4.14.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.8,  $(d, u_d)$  is asymptotically stable.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.13 there exists  $\sigma > 0$  such that, if  $h$  is a critical profile with  $|\Omega_h| = |\Omega_d|$  and  $\|h - d\|_{W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q)} \leq \sigma$ , then  $h = d$ . In view of Theorem 4.1 we may take  $\sigma$  so small that

$$F(d, u_d) < F(k, u_k) \quad \text{for all } (k, u_k) \in X \text{ with } 0 < \|k - d\|_{W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q)} \leq \sigma. \tag{4-32}$$

Since  $(d, u_d)$  is Liapunov stable by Theorem 4.8, for every fixed  $(h_0, u_0) \in X$  with  $|\Omega_{h_0}| = |\Omega_d|$  and  $\|h_0 - d\|_{W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q)} \leq \delta(\sigma)$ , we have

$$\|h(\cdot, t) - d\|_{W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q)} \leq \sigma \quad \text{for all } t > 0. \tag{4-33}$$

Here  $\delta(\sigma)$  is the number given in Definition 4.6. We claim that

$$F(h(\cdot, t), u_h(\cdot, t)) \longrightarrow F(d, u_d) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty. \tag{4-34}$$

By Proposition 4.11 there exists a sequence  $\{t_n\} \subset (0, +\infty) \setminus Z_0$  such that  $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $\{h(\cdot, t_n)\}$  converges to a critical profile in  $W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q)$ , where  $Z_0$  is the set in (3-54). In view of the choice of  $\sigma$  and by (4-33), we conclude that  $h(\cdot, t_n) \rightarrow d$  in  $W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q)$ .

In particular,  $F(h(\cdot, t_n), u_h(\cdot, t_n)) \rightarrow F(d, u_d)$ . Then, by (3-54),  $F(h(\cdot, t), u_h(\cdot, t)) \rightarrow F(d, u_d)$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty, t \notin Z_0$ . On the other hand, for  $t \in Z_0$  we have that  $F(h(\cdot, t), u_h(\cdot, t)) \leq F(h(\cdot, \tau), u_h(\cdot, \tau))$  for all  $\tau < t, \tau \notin Z_0$ , by (3-55). Therefore,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty, t \in Z_0} F(h(\cdot, t), u_h(\cdot, t)) \leq F(d, u_d).$$

Recalling (4-32), we finally obtain (4-34). In turn, reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 4.7 (see (4-17)), it follows from (4-32) and (4-33) that, for every sequence  $\{s_n\} \subset (0, +\infty)$  with  $s_n \rightarrow +\infty$ , there exists a subsequence such that  $\{h(\cdot, s_n)\}$  converges to  $d$  in  $W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q)$ . This implies that  $h(\cdot, t) \rightarrow d$  in  $W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q)$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  and concludes the proof.  $\square$

**4C. The two-dimensional case.** As remarked in the introduction, the arguments presented in the previous subsections apply to the two-dimensional version of (3-1), with  $p = 2$ , studied in [Fonseca et al. 2012], with

$$V = ((g_{\theta\theta} + g)k + W(E(u)) - \varepsilon(k_{\sigma\sigma} + \frac{1}{2}k^3))_{\sigma\sigma}. \tag{4-35}$$

Here  $V$  denotes the outer normal velocity of  $\Gamma_{h(\cdot, t)}$ ,  $k$  is its curvature,  $W(E(u))$  is the trace of  $W(E(u(\cdot, t)))$  on  $\Gamma_{h(\cdot, t)}$ , with  $u(\cdot, t)$  the elastic equilibrium in  $\Omega_{h(\cdot, t)}$  under the conditions that  $Du(\cdot, y)$  is  $b$ -periodic and  $u(x, 0) = e_0(x, 0)$  for some  $e_0 > 0$ ; and  $(\cdot)_{\sigma}$  stands for tangential differentiation along  $\Gamma_{h(\cdot, t)}$ . The constant  $e_0 > 0$  measures the lattice mismatch between the elastic film and the

(rigid) substrate. Moreover,  $g : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$  is defined as

$$g(\theta) = \psi(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) \quad (4-36)$$

and is evaluated at  $\arg(\nu(\cdot, t))$ , where  $\nu(\cdot, t)$  is the outer normal to  $\Gamma_{h(\cdot, t)}$ . The underlying energy functional is then given by

$$F(h, u) := \int_{\Omega_h} W(E(u)) dz + \int_{\Gamma_h} (\psi(\nu) + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon k^2) d\mathcal{H}^1.$$

In the two-dimensional framework, given  $b > 0$  we search for  $b$ -periodic solutions to (4-35). A local-in-time  $b$ -periodic weak solution to (4-35) is a function  $h \in H^1(0, T_0; H_{\#}^{-1}(0, b)) \cap L^\infty(0, T_0; H_{\#}^2(0, b))$  such that:

- (i)  $(g_{\theta\theta} + g)k + W(E(u)) - \varepsilon(k_{\sigma\sigma} + \frac{1}{2}k^3) \in L^2(0, T_0; H_{\#}^1(0, b))$ ,
- (ii) for almost every  $t \in [0, T_0]$ ,

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = J((g_{\theta\theta} + g)k + Q(E(u)) - \varepsilon(k_{\sigma\sigma} + \frac{1}{2}k^3))_{\sigma\sigma} \quad \text{in } H_{\#}^{-1}(0, b).$$

Given  $(h_0, u_0)$  with  $h_0 \in H_{\#}^2(0, b)$ ,  $h_0 > 0$ , and  $u_0$  the corresponding elastic equilibrium, local-in-time existence of a *unique* weak solution with initial datum  $(h_0, u_0)$  has been established in [Fonseca et al. 2012]. The Liapunov and asymptotic stability analysis of the flat configuration established in Sections 4A and 4B extends to the two-dimensional case, where, in addition, the range of those  $d$  under which (4-10) holds can be analytically determined for isotropic elastic energies of the form

$$W(\xi) := \mu|\xi|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda(\text{trace } \xi)^2.$$

In the above formula, the *Lamé coefficients*  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  are chosen to satisfy the ellipticity conditions  $\mu > 0$  and  $\mu + \lambda > 0$ ; see [Fusco and Morini 2012; Bonacini 2013a]. The stability range of the flat configuration depends on  $\mu$ ,  $\lambda$ , and the mismatch constant  $e_0$  appearing in the Dirichlet condition  $u(x, 0) = e_0(x, 0)$ . For the reader's convenience, we recall the results. Consider the *Grinfeld function*  $K$  defined by

$$K(y) := \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n} J(ny), \quad y \geq 0, \quad (4-37)$$

where

$$J(y) := \frac{y + (3 - 4\nu_p) \sinh y \cosh y}{4(1 - \nu_p)^2 + y^2 + (3 - 4\nu_p) \sinh^2 y},$$

and  $\nu_p$  is the *Poisson modulus* of the elastic material, i.e.,

$$\nu_p := \frac{\lambda}{2(\lambda + \mu)}. \quad (4-38)$$

It turns out that  $K$  is strictly increasing and continuous,  $K(y) \leq Cy$ , and  $\lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} K(y) = 1$  for some positive constant  $C$ . We also set, as in the previous subsections,

$$G(h, u) := \int_{\Omega_h} W(E(u)) dz + \int_{\Gamma_h} \psi(\nu) d\mathcal{H}^1.$$

Combining [Fusco and Morini 2012, Theorem 2.9] and [Bonacini 2013a, Theorem 2.8] with the results of the previous subsection, we obtain the two-dimensional asymptotic stability of the flat configuration.

**Theorem 4.15.** *Assume  $\partial_{11}^2 \psi(0, 1) > 0$  and define*

$$B = \frac{\pi (2\mu + \lambda) \partial_{11}^2 \psi(0, 1)}{4 e_0^2 \mu (\mu + \lambda)}.$$

Let  $d_{\text{loc}} : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty]$  be defined as  $d_{\text{loc}}(b) := +\infty$  if  $0 < b \leq B$ , and as the solution to

$$K\left(\frac{2\pi d_{\text{loc}}(b)}{b}\right) = \frac{B}{b} \quad (4-39)$$

otherwise. Then the second variation of  $G$  at  $(d, u_d)$  is positive definite, i.e.,

$$\partial^2 G(d, u_d)[\varphi] > 0 \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in H_{\#}^1(0, b) \setminus \{0\} \text{ with } \int_0^b \varphi \, dx = 0,$$

if and only if  $0 < d < d_{\text{loc}}(b)$ . In particular, for all  $d \in (0, d_{\text{loc}}(b))$  the flat configuration  $(d, u_d)$  is asymptotically stable.

## Appendix

**A1. Regularity results.** In this subsection we collect a few regularity results that have been used in the previous sections. We start with the following elliptic estimate, whose proof is essentially contained in [Fonseca et al. 2012, Lemma 6.10].

**Lemma A.1.** *Let  $M > 0$ ,  $c_0 > 0$ . Let  $h_1, h_2 \in C_{\#}^{1,\alpha}(Q)$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , with  $\|h_i\|_{C_{\#}^{1,\alpha}(Q)} \leq M$  and  $h_i \geq c_0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and let  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  be the corresponding elastic equilibria in  $\Omega_{h_1}$  and  $\Omega_{h_2}$ , respectively. Then,*

$$\|E(u_1(\cdot, h_1(\cdot))) - E(u_2(\cdot, h_2(\cdot)))\|_{C_{\#}^{1,\alpha}(Q)} \leq C \|h_1 - h_2\|_{C_{\#}^{1,\alpha}(Q)} \quad (\text{A-1})$$

for some constant  $C > 0$  depending only on  $M$ ,  $c_0$ , and  $\alpha$ .

The following lemma is probably well known to the experts, however for the reader's convenience we provide a proof.

**Lemma A.2.** *Let  $p > 2$ ,  $u \in L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(Q)$  such that*

$$\int_Q u A D^2 \varphi \, dx + \int_Q b \cdot D\varphi + \int_Q c \varphi \, dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_{\#}^{\infty}(Q) \text{ with } \int_Q \varphi \, dx = 0,$$

where  $A \in W_{\#}^{1,p}(Q; \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^{2 \times 2})$  satisfies standard uniform ellipticity conditions (see (A-6)),  $b \in L^1(Q; \mathbb{R}^2)$ , and  $c \in L^1(Q)$ . Then  $u \in L^q(Q)$  for all  $q \in (1, 2)$ . Moreover, if  $b, u \text{ Div } A \in L^r(Q; \mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $c \in L^r(Q)$  for some  $r > 1$ , then  $u \in W_{\#}^{1,r}(Q)$ .

*Proof.* We only prove the first assertion, since the other one can be proven using similar arguments. Denote by  $A_\varepsilon$ ,  $u_\varepsilon$ ,  $b_\varepsilon$ , and  $c_\varepsilon$  the standard mollifications of  $A$ ,  $u$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$ , and let  $v_\varepsilon \in C_\#^\infty(Q)$  be the unique solution to the problem

$$\begin{cases} \int_Q (A_\varepsilon Dv_\varepsilon + u_\varepsilon \operatorname{Div} A_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon) \cdot D\varphi \, dx - \int_Q c_\varepsilon \varphi \, dx = 0 & \text{for all } \varphi \in C_\#^1(Q), \int_Q \varphi \, dx = 0, \\ \int_Q v_\varepsilon \, dx = \int_Q u \, dx. \end{cases}$$

Denoting by  $G_\varepsilon$  the Green's function associated with the elliptic operator

$$-\operatorname{Div}(A_\varepsilon Du)$$

it is known [Dong and Kim 2009, Equation (3.66); Grüter and Widman 1982, Equation (1.6)] that for all  $q \in [1, 2)$  and for all  $x \in Q$  we have

$$\|D_y G_\varepsilon(x, \cdot)\|_{L^q(Q)} \leq C,$$

with  $C$  depending only on the ellipticity constants and  $q$  and not on  $\varepsilon$ . Since

$$v_\varepsilon(x) = \int_Q G_\varepsilon(x, y) [-\operatorname{Div}(u_\varepsilon \operatorname{Div} A_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon) + c_\varepsilon] \, dy = \int_Q [(u_\varepsilon \operatorname{Div} A_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon) \cdot D_y G_\varepsilon(x, y) + G_\varepsilon(x, y) c_\varepsilon] \, dy,$$

it follows by standard properties of convolution that for all  $q \in (1, 2)$  there exists  $C > 0$ , depending only on  $q$  and the  $L^1$ -norms of  $u_\varepsilon \operatorname{Div} A_\varepsilon$ ,  $b_\varepsilon$ ,  $c_\varepsilon$ , and hence on the  $L^1$ -norms of  $b$ ,  $c$ , the  $L^{p/(p-1)}$  norm of  $u$ , and the  $W^{1,p}$  norm of  $A$ , such that  $\|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^q(Q)} \leq C$  for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small. Thus, we may assume (up to subsequences) that  $v_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup v$  weakly in  $L^q(Q)$ , where  $v$  solves

$$\int_Q v A D^2 \varphi \, dx + \int_Q (v \operatorname{Div} A - u \operatorname{Div} A + b) \cdot D\varphi \, dx + \int_Q c \varphi \, dx = 0 \quad (\text{A-2})$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_\#^2(Q)$  with  $\int_Q \varphi \, dx = 0$ , and satisfies

$$\int_Q v \, dx = \int_Q u \, dx. \quad (\text{A-3})$$

Since by assumption  $u$  solves the problem (A-2)–(A-3), it is enough to show that the problem admits a unique solution. Let  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  be two solutions and set  $w := v_2 - v_1$ . Then, we have

$$\int_Q w A D^2 \varphi \, dx + \int_Q w \operatorname{Div} A \cdot D\varphi \, dx = 0 \quad (\text{A-4})$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_\#^2(Q)$  with  $\int_Q \varphi \, dx = 0$ . Let  $g \in C_\#^1(Q)$  with  $\int_Q g \, dx = 0$  and denote by  $\varphi_g$  the unique solution in  $W_\#^{1,2}(Q)$  to the equation  $\operatorname{Div}(A[D\varphi_g]) = g$  such that  $\int_Q \varphi_g \, dx = 0$ . By a standard elliptic regularity argument and using the fact that  $A \in W_\#^{1,p}(Q; \mathbb{M}_{\operatorname{sym}}^{2 \times 2})$  for  $p > 2$  it follows that  $\varphi_g \in W_\#^{2,2}(Q)$ . Therefore, setting  $f := g - \operatorname{Div} A \cdot D\varphi_g$ , we have that  $A D^2 \varphi_g = f$  and that  $f \in L^s(Q)$  for all  $s \in (1, p)$ . Thus, we may apply Lemma A.3 to get that  $\varphi_g \in W_\#^{2,s}(Q)$  for all  $s \in (1, p)$ . In turn, this implies that  $f \in L^p(Q)$  and Lemma A.3 again yields that  $\varphi_g \in W_\#^{2,p}(Q)$ . Therefore  $\varphi_g$  is an admissible test function for equation (A-4) and thus we deduce that  $\int_Q w g \, dx = 0$  for all  $g \in C_\#^1(Q)$  with  $\int_Q g \, dx = 0$ . This implies that  $w$  is constant and, in turn,  $w \equiv 0$  since  $\int_Q w \, dx = 0$ .  $\square$

In the next lemma we denote by  $Lu$  an elliptic operator of the form

$$Lu := \sum_{ij} a_{ij}(x)D_{ij}u + \sum_i b_i(x)D_iu, \tag{A-5}$$

where all the coefficients are  $Q$ -periodic functions, the  $a_{ij}$  are continuous, and the  $b_i$  are bounded. Moreover, there exist  $\lambda, \Lambda > 0$  such that

$$\Lambda|\xi|^2 \geq \sum_{ij} a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \geq \lambda|\xi|^2 \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \sum_i |b_i| \leq \Lambda. \tag{A-6}$$

**Lemma A.3.** *Let  $p \geq 2$ . Then, there exists  $C > 0$  such that for all  $u \in W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q)$  we have*

$$\|D^2u\|_{L^p(Q)} \leq C\|Lu\|_{L^p(Q)},$$

where  $L$  is the differential operator defined in (A-5). The constant  $C$  depends only on  $p, \lambda, \Lambda$  and the moduli of continuity of the coefficients  $a_{ij}$ .

*Proof.* We argue by contradiction, assuming that there exists a sequence  $\{u_h\} \subset W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q)$ , a modulus of continuity  $\omega$ , and a sequence of operators  $\{L_h\}$  as in (A-5), with periodic coefficients  $a_{ij}^h, b_i^h$  satisfying (A-6) and

$$|a_{ij}^h(x_1) - a_{ij}^h(x_2)| \leq \omega(|x_1 - x_2|)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in Q$ , such that

$$\|D^2u_h\|_{L^p(Q)} \geq h\|L_hu_h\|_{L^p(Q)}.$$

By homogeneity we may assume that

$$\|D^2u_h\|_{L^p(Q)} = 1 \quad \text{for all } h \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{A-7}$$

Recall that, by periodicity,

$$\int_Q Du_h dx = 0.$$

Moreover, by adding a constant if needed, we may also assume that  $\int_Q u_h dx = 0$ . Therefore, by Poincaré’s inequality and up to a subsequence,  $u_h \rightharpoonup u$  weakly in  $W_{\#}^{2,p}(Q)$ . Moreover, we may also assume that there exist  $a_{ij}$  and  $b_i$  satisfying (A-6) such that

$$a_{ij}^h \rightarrow a_{ij} \quad \text{uniformly in } Q \quad \text{and} \quad b_i^h \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} b_i \quad \text{weakly* in } L^\infty(Q).$$

Since  $\|L_hu_h\|_{L^p(Q)} \rightarrow 0$ , we have that  $u$  is a periodic function satisfying  $Lu = 0$ , where  $L$  is the operator associated with the coefficients  $a_{ij}$  and  $b_i$ . Thus, by the maximum principle [Gilbarg and Trudinger 1983, Theorem 9.6]  $u$  is constant, and thus  $u = 0$ . On the other hand, by elliptic regularity (see [ibid., Theorem 9.11]) there exists a constant  $C > 0$  depending on  $p, \lambda, \Lambda$ , and  $\omega$  such that

$$\|D^2u_h\|_{L^p(Q)} \leq C(\|u_h\|_{W^{1,p}(Q)} + \|L_hu_h\|_{L^p(Q)}).$$

Since the right-hand side vanishes, we reach a contradiction to (A-7). □

## A2. Interpolation results.

**Theorem A.4.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded open set satisfying the cone condition. Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $j, m$  be two integers such that  $0 \leq j \leq m$  and  $m \geq 1$ . Then there exists  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\|D^j f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C(\|D^m f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{\frac{j}{m}} \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{\frac{m-j}{m}} + \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}) \quad (\text{A-8})$$

for all  $f \in W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ . Moreover, if  $\Omega$  is a cube,  $f \in W_{\#}^{m,p}(\Omega)$  and, if either  $f$  vanishes at the boundary or  $\int_{\Omega} f \, dx = 0$ , then (A-8) holds in the stronger form

$$\|D^j f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C \|D^m f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{\frac{j}{m}} \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{\frac{m-j}{m}}. \quad (\text{A-9})$$

*Proof.* Inequality (A-8) follows by combining inequalities (1) and (3) in [Adams and Fournier 2003, Theorem 5.2]. If  $\Omega$  is a cube,  $f$  is periodic and, if either  $f$  vanishes at the boundary or  $\int_{\Omega} f \, dx = 0$ , then inequality (A-9) follows by observing that

$$\|f\|_{W^{m,p}(\Omega)} \leq C \|D^m f\|_{L^p(\Omega)},$$

as a straightforward application of the Poincaré inequality.  $\square$

The next interpolation result is obtained by combining [Adams and Fournier 2003, Theorem 5.8] with (A-8).

**Theorem A.5.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded open set satisfying the cone condition. If  $mp > n$ , let  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ ; if  $mp = n$ , let  $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$ ; if  $mp < n$ , let  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq np/(n - mp)$ . Then there exists  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\|f\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq C(\|D^m f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{\theta} \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{1-\theta} + \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}) \quad (\text{A-10})$$

for all  $f \in W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ , where  $\theta := n/(mp) - n/(mq)$ . Moreover, if  $\Omega$  is a cube,  $f \in W_{\#}^{m,p}(\Omega)$  and, if either  $f$  vanishes at the boundary or  $\int_{\Omega} f \, dx = 0$ , then (A-10) holds in the stronger form

$$\|f\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq C \|D^m f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{\theta} \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{1-\theta}. \quad (\text{A-11})$$

Combining Theorems A.4 and A.5, and arguing as in the proof of [Fonseca et al. 2012, Theorem 6.4], we have the following theorem:

**Theorem A.6.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded open set satisfying the cone condition. Let  $s, j$ , and  $m$  be integers such that  $0 \leq s \leq j \leq m$ . Let  $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$  if  $(m - j)p \geq n$ , and let  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$  if  $(m - j)p > n$ . Then, there exists  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\|D^j f\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq C(\|D^m f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{\theta} \|D^s f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{1-\theta} + \|D^s f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}) \quad (\text{A-12})$$

for all  $f \in W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ , where

$$\theta := \frac{1}{m-s} \left( \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{q} + j - s \right).$$

Moreover, if  $\Omega$  is a cube,  $f \in W_{\#}^{m,p}(\Omega)$  and, if either  $f$  vanishes at the boundary or  $\int_{\Omega} f \, dx = 0$ , then (A-12) holds in the stronger form

$$\|D^j f\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq C \|D^m f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{\theta} \|D^s f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{1-\theta}. \quad (\text{A-13})$$

Finally, we conclude with an interpolation estimate involving the  $H^{-1}$ -norm; see Remark 3.3.

**Lemma A.7.** *There exists  $C > 0$  such that, for all  $f \in H_{\#}^1(Q)$  with  $\int_Q f \, dx = 0$ , we have*

$$\|f\|_{L^2(Q)} \leq C \|Df\|_{L^2(Q)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{H_{\#}^{-1}(Q)}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Similarly, there exists  $C > 0$  such that, for all  $f \in H_{\#}^2(Q)$  with  $\int_Q f \, dx = 0$ , we have

$$\|f\|_{L^2(Q)} \leq C \|D^2 f\|_{L^2(Q)}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|f\|_{H_{\#}^{-1}(Q)}^{\frac{2}{3}}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $w$  be the unique  $Q$ -periodic solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta w = f & \text{in } Q, \\ \int_Q w \, dx = 0. \end{cases}$$

Combining Lemma A.3 with (A-9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^2(Q)} &= \|\Delta w\|_{L^2(Q)} \leq C \|D^2 w\|_{L^2(Q)} \leq C \|D^3 w\|_{L^2(Q)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Dw\|_{L^2(Q)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \|\Delta(Dw)\|_{L^2(Q)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Dw\|_{L^2(Q)}^{\frac{1}{2}} = C \|Df\|_{L^2(Q)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{H_{\#}^{-1}(Q)}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The second inequality of the statement is proven similarly.  $\square$

### Acknowledgements

The authors warmly thank the Center for Nonlinear Analysis (NSF Grants No. DMS-0405343 and DMS-0635983), where part of this research was carried out. The research of I. Fonseca was partially funded by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-0905778 and that of G. Leoni under Grant No. DMS-1007989. Fonseca and Leoni also acknowledge support of the National Science Foundation under the PIRE Grant No. OISE-0967140. The work of N. Fusco was supported by ERC under FP7 Advanced Grant No. 226234 and was partially carried on at the University of Jyväskylä under the FiDiPro No. 2100002028.

### References

- [Acerbi et al. 2013] E. Acerbi, N. Fusco, and M. Morini, “Minimality via second variation for a nonlocal isoperimetric problem”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **322**:2 (2013), 515–557. MR 3077924 Zbl 1270.49043
- [Adams and Fournier 2003] R. A. Adams and J. J. F. Fournier, *Sobolev spaces*, 2nd ed., Pure and Applied Mathematics (Amsterdam) **140**, Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2003. MR 2009e:46025 Zbl 1098.46001
- [Almgren et al. 1993] F. Almgren, J. E. Taylor, and L. Wang, “Curvature-driven flows: a variational approach”, *SIAM J. Control Optim.* **31**:2 (1993), 387–438. MR 94h:58067 Zbl 0783.35002

- [Ambrosetti and Prodi 1993] A. Ambrosetti and G. Prodi, *A primer of nonlinear analysis*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **34**, Cambridge University Press, 1993. MR 94f:58016 Zbl 0781.47046
- [Ambrosio 1995] L. Ambrosio, “Minimizing movements”, *Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci. XL Mem. Mat. Appl. (5)* **19** (1995), 191–246. MR 97c:49044 Zbl 0957.49029
- [Ambrosio et al. 2008] L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, and G. Savaré, *Gradient flows in metric spaces and in the space of probability measures*, 2nd ed., Birkhäuser, Basel, 2008. MR 2009h:49002 Zbl 1145.35001
- [Bellettini et al. 2006] G. Bellettini, V. Caselles, A. Chambolle, and M. Novaga, “Crystalline mean curvature flow of convex sets”, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **179**:1 (2006), 109–152. MR 2007a:53126 Zbl 1148.53049
- [Bellettini et al. 2007] G. Bellettini, C. Mantegazza, and M. Novaga, “Singular perturbations of mean curvature flow”, *J. Differential Geom.* **75**:3 (2007), 403–431. MR 2008b:53087 Zbl 1119.53043
- [Bonacini 2013a] M. Bonacini, “Epitaxially strained elastic films: the case of anisotropic surface energies”, *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.* **19**:1 (2013), 167–189. MR 3023065 Zbl 06144571
- [Bonacini 2013b] M. Bonacini, “Stability of equilibrium configurations for elastic films in two and three dimensions”, preprint, 2013. arXiv 1308.1537
- [Bonnetier and Chambolle 2002] E. Bonnetier and A. Chambolle, “Computing the equilibrium configuration of epitaxially strained crystalline films”, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **62**:4 (2002), 1093–1121. MR 2003c:74070 Zbl 1001.49017
- [Burger et al. 2007] M. Burger, F. Hauber, C. Stöcker, and A. Voigt, “A level set approach to anisotropic flows with curvature regularization”, *J. Comput. Phys.* **225**:1 (2007), 183–205. MR 2008h:82098 Zbl 1201.82049
- [Cagnetti et al. 2008] F. Cagnetti, M. G. Mora, and M. Morini, “A second order minimality condition for the Mumford–Shah functional”, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **33**:1 (2008), 37–74. MR 2010b:49074 Zbl 1191.49018
- [Cahn and Taylor 1994] J. W. Cahn and J. E. Taylor, “Overview no. 113: Surface motion by surface diffusion”, *Acta Metallurgica et Materialia* **42**:4 (1994), 1045–1063.
- [Capriani et al. 2013] G. M. Capriani, V. Julin, and G. Pisante, “A quantitative second order minimality criterion for cavities in elastic bodies”, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **45**:3 (2013), 1952–1991. MR 3070533 Zbl 06200955
- [Caselles and Chambolle 2006] V. Caselles and A. Chambolle, “Anisotropic curvature-driven flow of convex sets”, *Nonlinear Anal.* **65**:8 (2006), 1547–1577. MR 2007d:35143 Zbl 1107.35069
- [Chambolle 2004] A. Chambolle, “An algorithm for mean curvature motion”, *Interfaces Free Bound.* **6**:2 (2004), 195–218. MR 2005f:53106 Zbl 1061.35147
- [Di Carlo et al. 1992] A. Di Carlo, M. E. Gurtin, and P. Podio-Guidugli, “A regularized equation for anisotropic motion-by-curvature”, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **52**:4 (1992), 1111–1119. MR 93g:73005 Zbl 0800.73021
- [Dong and Kim 2009] H. Dong and S. Kim, “Green’s matrices of second order elliptic systems with measurable coefficients in two dimensional domains”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **361**:6 (2009), 3303–3323. MR 2010h:35005 Zbl 1173.35050
- [Escher et al. 1998] J. Escher, U. F. Mayer, and G. Simonett, “The surface diffusion flow for immersed hypersurfaces”, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **29**:6 (1998), 1419–1433. MR 99f:58042 Zbl 0912.35161
- [Fonseca 1991] I. Fonseca, “The Wulff theorem revisited”, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* **432**:1884 (1991), 125–145. MR 92e:49053 Zbl 0725.49017
- [Fonseca et al. 2007] I. Fonseca, N. Fusco, G. Leoni, and M. Morini, “Equilibrium configurations of epitaxially strained crystalline films: existence and regularity results”, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **186**:3 (2007), 477–537. MR 2009a:74088 Zbl 1126.74029
- [Fonseca et al. 2011] I. Fonseca, N. Fusco, G. Leoni, and V. Millot, “Material voids in elastic solids with anisotropic surface energies”, *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* **96**:6 (2011), 591–639. MR 2851683 Zbl 1285.74003
- [Fonseca et al. 2012] I. Fonseca, N. Fusco, G. Leoni, and M. Morini, “Motion of elastic thin films by anisotropic surface diffusion with curvature regularization”, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **205**:2 (2012), 425–466. MR 2947537 Zbl 1270.74127
- [Fusco and Morini 2012] N. Fusco and M. Morini, “Equilibrium configurations of epitaxially strained elastic films: second order minimality conditions and qualitative properties of solutions”, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **203**:1 (2012), 247–327. MR 2864412 Zbl 1281.74024



- [Gilbarg and Trudinger 1983] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, 2nd ed., Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften **224**, Springer, Berlin, 1983. MR 86c:35035 Zbl 0562.35001
- [Giusti 1984] E. Giusti, *Minimal surfaces and functions of bounded variation*, Monographs in Mathematics **80**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1984. MR 87a:58041 Zbl 0545.49018
- [Grüter and Widman 1982] M. Grüter and K.-O. Widman, “The Green function for uniformly elliptic equations”, *Manuscripta Math.* **37**:3 (1982), 303–342. MR 83h:35033 Zbl 0485.35031
- [Gurtin and Jabbour 2002] M. E. Gurtin and M. E. Jabbour, “Interface evolution in three dimensions with curvature-dependent energy and surface diffusion: interface-controlled evolution, phase transitions, epitaxial growth of elastic films”, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **163**:3 (2002), 171–208. MR 2003d:74073 Zbl 1053.74005
- [Herring 1951] C. Herring, “Some theorems on the free energies of crystal surfaces”, *Phys. Rev.* **82** (1951), 87–93. Zbl 0042.23201
- [Mantegazza 2002] C. Mantegazza, “Smooth geometric evolutions of hypersurfaces”, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **12**:1 (2002), 138–182. MR 2003f:53118 Zbl 1011.58010
- [Mullins 1963] W. W. Mullins, “Solid surface morphologies governed by capillarity”, in *Metal surfaces: structure, energetics and kinetics*, American Society for Metals, Metals Park, OH, 1963.
- [Piovano 2014] P. Piovano, “Evolution of elastic thin films with curvature regularization via minimizing movements”, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **49**:1–2 (2014), 337–367. MR 3148120 Zbl 1288.35282
- [Rätz et al. 2006] A. Rätz, A. Ribalta, and A. Voigt, “Surface evolution of elastically stressed films under deposition by a diffuse interface model”, *J. Comput. Phys.* **214**:1 (2006), 187–208. MR 2006k:74084 Zbl 1088.74035
- [Siegel et al. 2004] M. Siegel, M. J. Miksis, and P. W. Voorhees, “Evolution of material voids for highly anisotropic surface energy”, *J. Mech. Phys. Solids* **52**:6 (2004), 1319–1353. MR 2004m:74013 Zbl 1079.74513

Received 9 May 2014. Accepted 22 Jan 2015.

IRENE FONSECA: [fonseca@andrew.cmu.edu](mailto:fonseca@andrew.cmu.edu)

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, United States*

NICOLA FUSCO: [n.fusco@unina.it](mailto:n.fusco@unina.it)

*Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni “R. Caccioppoli”, Università degli Studi di Napoli “Federico II”, I-80126 Napoli, Italy*

GIOVANNI LEONI: [giovanni@andrew.cmu.edu](mailto:giovanni@andrew.cmu.edu)

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, United States*

MASSIMILIANO MORINI: [massimiliano.morini@unipr.it](mailto:massimiliano.morini@unipr.it)

*Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi di Parma, I-43121 Parma, Italy*



# Analysis & PDE

[msp.org/apde](http://msp.org/apde)

## EDITORS

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Maciej Zworski  
[zworski@math.berkeley.edu](mailto:zworski@math.berkeley.edu)  
University of California  
Berkeley, USA

## BOARD OF EDITORS

Nicolas Burq	Université Paris-Sud 11, France <a href="mailto:nicolas.burq@math.u-psud.fr">nicolas.burq@math.u-psud.fr</a>	Yuval Peres	University of California, Berkeley, USA <a href="mailto:peres@stat.berkeley.edu">peres@stat.berkeley.edu</a>
Sun-Yung Alice Chang	Princeton University, USA <a href="mailto:chang@math.princeton.edu">chang@math.princeton.edu</a>	Gilles Pisier	Texas A&M University, and Paris 6 <a href="mailto:pisier@math.tamu.edu">pisier@math.tamu.edu</a>
Michael Christ	University of California, Berkeley, USA <a href="mailto:mchrist@math.berkeley.edu">mchrist@math.berkeley.edu</a>	Tristan Rivière	ETH, Switzerland <a href="mailto:riviere@math.ethz.ch">riviere@math.ethz.ch</a>
Charles Fefferman	Princeton University, USA <a href="mailto:cf@math.princeton.edu">cf@math.princeton.edu</a>	Igor Rodnianski	Princeton University, USA <a href="mailto:irod@math.princeton.edu">irod@math.princeton.edu</a>
Ursula Hamenstaedt	Universität Bonn, Germany <a href="mailto:ursula@math.uni-bonn.de">ursula@math.uni-bonn.de</a>	Wilhelm Schlag	University of Chicago, USA <a href="mailto:schlag@math.uchicago.edu">schlag@math.uchicago.edu</a>
Vaughan Jones	U.C. Berkeley & Vanderbilt University <a href="mailto:vaughan.f.jones@vanderbilt.edu">vaughan.f.jones@vanderbilt.edu</a>	Sylvia Serfaty	New York University, USA <a href="mailto:serfaty@cims.nyu.edu">serfaty@cims.nyu.edu</a>
Herbert Koch	Universität Bonn, Germany <a href="mailto:koch@math.uni-bonn.de">koch@math.uni-bonn.de</a>	Yum-Tong Siu	Harvard University, USA <a href="mailto:siu@math.harvard.edu">siu@math.harvard.edu</a>
Izabella Laba	University of British Columbia, Canada <a href="mailto:ilaba@math.ubc.ca">ilaba@math.ubc.ca</a>	Terence Tao	University of California, Los Angeles, USA <a href="mailto:tao@math.ucla.edu">tao@math.ucla.edu</a>
Gilles Lebeau	Université de Nice Sophia Antipolis, France <a href="mailto:lebeau@unice.fr">lebeau@unice.fr</a>	Michael E. Taylor	Univ. of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA <a href="mailto:met@math.unc.edu">met@math.unc.edu</a>
László Lempert	Purdue University, USA <a href="mailto:lempert@math.purdue.edu">lempert@math.purdue.edu</a>	Gunther Uhlmann	University of Washington, USA <a href="mailto:gunther@math.washington.edu">gunther@math.washington.edu</a>
Richard B. Melrose	Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA <a href="mailto:rbm@math.mit.edu">rbm@math.mit.edu</a>	András Vasy	Stanford University, USA <a href="mailto:andras@math.stanford.edu">andras@math.stanford.edu</a>
Frank Merle	Université de Cergy-Pontoise, France <a href="mailto:Frank.Merle@u-cergy.fr">Frank.Merle@u-cergy.fr</a>	Dan Virgil Voiculescu	University of California, Berkeley, USA <a href="mailto:dvv@math.berkeley.edu">dvv@math.berkeley.edu</a>
William Minicozzi II	Johns Hopkins University, USA <a href="mailto:minicozz@math.jhu.edu">minicozz@math.jhu.edu</a>	Steven Zelditch	Northwestern University, USA <a href="mailto:zelditch@math.northwestern.edu">zelditch@math.northwestern.edu</a>
Werner Müller	Universität Bonn, Germany <a href="mailto:mueller@math.uni-bonn.de">mueller@math.uni-bonn.de</a>		

## PRODUCTION

[production@msp.org](mailto:production@msp.org)  
Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

---

See inside back cover or [msp.org/apde](http://msp.org/apde) for submission instructions.

---

The subscription price for 2015 is US \$205/year for the electronic version, and \$390/year (+\$55, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.

---

Analysis & PDE (ISSN 1948-206X electronic, 2157-5045 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

---

APDE peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW<sup>®</sup> from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2015 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# ANALYSIS & PDE

Volume 8 No. 2 2015

---

Smooth parametric dependence of asymptotics of the semiclassical focusing NLS SERGEY BELOV and STEPHANOS VENAKIDES	257
Tunnel effect for semiclassical random walks JEAN-FRANÇOIS BONY, FRÉDÉRIC HÉRAU and LAURENT MICHEL	289
Traveling wave solutions in a half-space for boundary reactions XAVIER CABRÉ, NEUS CÓNSUL and JOSÉ V. MANDÉ	333
Locally conformally flat ancient Ricci flows GIOVANNI CATINO, CARLO MANTEGAZZA and LORENZO MAZZIERI	365
Motion of three-dimensional elastic films by anisotropic surface diffusion with curvature regularization IRENE FONSECA, NICOLA FUSCO, GIOVANNI LEONI and MASSIMILIANO MORINI	373
Exponential convergence to equilibrium in a coupled gradient flow system modeling chemotaxis JONATHAN ZINSL and DANIEL MATTHES	425
Scattering for the radial 3D cubic wave equation BENJAMIN DODSON and ANDREW LAWRIE	467
Counterexamples to the well posedness of the Cauchy problem for hyperbolic systems FERRUCCIO COLOMBINI and GUY MÉTIVIER	499



2157-5045(2015)8:2;1-C