

ANALYSIS & PDE

Volume 9

No. 6

2016

YEHUDA PINCHOVER AND GEORGIOS PSARADAKIS

**ON POSITIVE SOLUTIONS OF THE (p, A) -LAPLACIAN WITH
POTENTIAL
IN MORREY SPACE**

ON POSITIVE SOLUTIONS OF THE (p, A) -LAPLACIAN WITH POTENTIAL IN MORREY SPACE

YEHUDA PINCHOVER AND GEORGIOS PSARADAKIS

We study qualitative positivity properties of quasilinear equations of the form

$$Q'_{A,p,V}[v] := -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla v|_A^{p-2} A(x) \nabla v) + V(x)|v|^{p-2}v = 0, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

where Ω is a domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $1 < p < \infty$, $A = (a_{ij}) \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ is a symmetric and locally uniformly positive definite matrix, V is a real potential in a certain local Morrey space (depending on p), and

$$|\xi|_A^2 := A(x)\xi \cdot \xi = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Our assumptions on the coefficients of the operator for $p \geq 2$ are the minimal (in the Morrey scale) that ensure the validity of the local Harnack inequality and hence the Hölder continuity of the solutions. For some of the results of the paper we need slightly stronger assumptions when $p < 2$.

We prove an Allegretto–Piepenbrink-type theorem for the operator $Q'_{A,p,V}$, and extend criticality theory to our setting. Moreover, we establish a Liouville-type theorem and obtain some perturbation results. Also, in the case $1 < p \leq n$, we examine the behaviour of a positive solution near a nonremovable isolated singularity and characterize the existence of the positive minimal Green function for the operator $Q'_{A,p,V}[u]$ in Ω .

1. Introduction	1317
2. Preliminaries	1321
3. Principal eigenvalue and the maximum principle	1328
4. Positive global solutions	1340
5. Positive solutions of minimal growth at infinity	1349
Acknowledgments	1356
References	1356

1. Introduction

Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$. The Allegretto–Piepenbrink (AP) theorem asserts that under some regularity assumptions on a real symmetric matrix A and a real potential V , the nonnegativity of the Dirichlet energy,

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|_A^2 + V(x)|u|^2) dx \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega),$$

MSC2010: primary 35J92; secondary 35B09, 35B50, 35B53, 35J08.

Keywords: quasilinear elliptic equation, Liouville theorem, maximum principle, minimal growth, Morrey spaces, p -Laplacian, positive solutions, removable singularity.

is *equivalent* to the existence of a positive weak solution of the Schrödinger equation

$$-\operatorname{div}(A(x)\nabla v) + V(x)v = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{1-1}$$

where

$$|\xi|_A^2 := A(x)\xi \cdot \xi = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega, \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{1-2}$$

After the original results in [Allegretto 1974; Piepenbrink 1974], a sequence of papers gradually relaxed the assumptions on A and V (see [Piepenbrink 1977; Moss and Piepenbrink 1978; Allegretto 1979; 1981]). It was established by Agmon [1983] that if $A \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ is symmetric and locally uniformly positive definite in Ω , and $V \in L^q_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ with $q > \frac{1}{2}n$, then the AP theorem holds true. If A is the identity matrix, further relaxation on the regularity of V is established in [Simon 1982, §C8], albeit some global condition on V^- is required there. We refer to [Lenz et al. 2009] and references therein for an up-to-date account.

A generalization of the AP theorem to certain quasilinear equations with A being the identity matrix and $V \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ has been carried out in [Pinchover and Tintarev 2007]. This was recently extended in [Pinchover and Regev 2015] to include Agmon’s assumptions on the matrix A . More precisely, for $1 < p < \infty$, A as above, and $V \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, the nonnegativity of the energy functional,

$$Q_{A,p,V}[u] := \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|_A^p + V(x)|u|^p) \, dx \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega), \tag{1-3}$$

is proved to be equivalent to the existence of a positive weak solution to the corresponding Euler–Lagrange quasilinear equation

$$Q'_{A,p,V}[u] := -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla v|_A^{p-2} A(x)\nabla v) + V(x)|v|^{p-2}v = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \tag{1-4}$$

Clearly, the quasilinear equation (1-4) satisfies the homogeneity property of (1-1) but not the additivity (such an equation is sometimes called *half-linear*). Consequently, one expects that positive solutions of (1-4) would share some properties of positive solutions of (1-1).

An essential common implication of the various assumptions on A and V in the aforementioned results is the validity of the local Harnack inequality for positive solutions of (1-1) and (1-4). For instance, Agmon’s assumption on V is optimal in the Lebesgue class of potentials for the Harnack inequality to be true. We stress that, when the Harnack inequality fails, the AP theorem might not be valid. Indeed, denote by $p' := p/(p - 1)$ the conjugate index of p and suppose that A is the identity matrix. Let $V \in \mathcal{D}^{-1,p'}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, where $\mathcal{D}^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$ is the dual of $\mathcal{D}^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$, which is in turn defined as the closure of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ under the seminorm $\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}$. If in addition to the nonnegativity of the energy functional one has that

$$\kappa \langle V, |u|^p \rangle \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dx \quad \text{for all } u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$$

for some positive constant κ , then the equation

$$-\operatorname{div}(|\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v) + \alpha V|v|^{p-2}v = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \tag{1-5}$$

admits a positive solution (in a certain weak sense) for any $\alpha \in (0, p^\sharp)$, where $p^\sharp < 1$ is given explicitly and depends only on p (see [Jaye et al. 2013, Theorem 1.2(i)], or [Jaye et al. 2012, Theorem 1.1(i)] for $p = 2$). Moreover, this range for α is optimal, as examples involving the Hardy potential reveal (see [Jaye et al. 2013, Remark 1.3], or [Jaye et al. 2012, Example 7.3] for $p = 2$). We note that under the above assumptions the local Harnack inequality for positive solutions of (1-5) is in general not valid.

The first aim of the present paper is to extend the AP theorem for the operator $Q'_{A,p,V}$ by relaxing significantly the condition $V \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$. In particular, under Agmon’s (minimal) assumptions on the matrix A , we require V to lie in a certain local Morrey space, the largest such that the Harnack inequality for positive solutions (and hence the local Hölder continuity of solutions) holds true. This means that we assume (see for instance [Trudinger 1967, §5; Rakotoson and Ziemer 1990; Malý and Ziemer 1997] and also [Di Fazio 1988] for (1-1))

$$\sup_{\substack{y \in \omega \\ 0 < r < \text{diam}(\omega)}} \varphi_q(r) \int_{\omega \cap B_r(y)} |V| \, dx < \infty \quad \text{for all } \omega \Subset \Omega, \tag{1-6}$$

where $\varphi_q(r)$ has the following behaviour near 0:

$$\varphi_q(r) \sim_{r \rightarrow 0} \begin{cases} r^{-n(q-1)/q} & \text{with } q > n/p \text{ if } p < n, \\ \log^{q(n-1)/n}(1/r) & \text{with } q > n \text{ if } p = n, \\ 1 & \text{if } p > n. \end{cases} \tag{1-7}$$

We prove, in addition, that the assertions of the AP theorem are equivalent to the existence of a weak solution $T \in L^{p'}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ of the first-order (nonlinear) divergence-type equation

$$-\text{div}(AT) + (p - 1)|T|^{p'}_A = V.$$

We refer to [Jaye et al. 2012, Theorem 1.3] for a related result, where A is the identity matrix and $p = 2$.

Recall that in general, functions in Morrey spaces cannot be approximated by functions in $C^\infty(\Omega)$, nor even by continuous functions (see [Zorko 1986]). Therefore, we cannot use an approximation argument to extend the AP theorem to our setting. Consequently, we need to start our study from the beginning of the topic and present in detail proofs involving new ideas.

Another aim of the paper is to extend to the above class of operators several classical results and tools that hold true in *general* bounded domains (see [Allegretto and Huang 1998; García-Melián and Sabina de Lis 1998; Pinchover and Regev 2015], where stronger regularity assumptions on the coefficients and the boundary are assumed). In particular, we prove the existence of the principal eigenvalue, establish its main properties, and study the relationships between the positivity of principal eigenvalue, the weak and strong maximum principles, and the (unique) solvability of the Dirichlet problem.

We then proceed to our main goal: establishing *criticality theory* for (1-4) with A and V satisfying the above assumptions. To present the main results of the paper, let us recall that if the inequality (1-3) holds true but cannot be improved, in the sense that one cannot add to its right-hand side a term of the form $\int_\Omega W|u|^p \, dx$ with a nonnegative function $W \not\equiv 0$, then the nonnegative functional $Q_{A,p,V}$ is called *critical* in Ω . Furthermore, a sequence $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ is called a *null sequence* with respect to the nonnegative functional $Q_{A,p,V}$ in Ω if

- (a) $u_k \geq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (b) there exists a fixed open set $K \Subset \Omega$ such that $\|u_k\|_{L^p(K)} = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (c) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Q_{A,p,V}[u_k] = 0$.

A positive function $\phi \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is called a *ground state* of $Q_{A,p,V}$ in Ω if ϕ is an $L_{loc}^p(\Omega)$ limit of a null sequence. Finally, a positive solution u of the equation $Q'_{A,p,V}[u] = 0$ in Ω is a *global minimal solution* if for any smooth compact subset K of Ω , and any positive supersolution $v \in C(\Omega \setminus \text{int}K)$ of the equation $Q'_{A,p,V}[u] = 0$ in $\Omega \setminus K$, we have the implication

$$u \leq v \text{ on } \partial K \implies u \leq v \text{ in } \Omega \setminus K.$$

The central result of this paper is summarized in the following theorem.

Main Theorem. *Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{R}^n , where $n \geq 2$, and suppose that the functional $Q_{A,p,V}$ is nonnegative on $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, where A is a symmetric and locally uniformly positive definite matrix in Ω , and*

$$\begin{cases} A \in L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}) \text{ and } V \text{ satisfies (1-6) with } \varphi_q \text{ as in (1-7)} & \text{if } p \geq 2, \\ A \in C_{loc}^{0,\gamma}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}), \gamma \in (0, 1) \text{ and } V \text{ satisfies (1-6) with } \varphi_q \sim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^q, q > n & \text{if } p < 2. \end{cases}$$

Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (1) $Q_{A,p,V}$ is critical in Ω .
- (2) $Q_{A,p,V}$ admits a null sequence in Ω .
- (3) There exists a ground state ϕ which is a positive weak solution of (1-4).
- (4) There exists a unique (up to a multiplicative constant) positive supersolution v of (1-4) in Ω .
- (5) There exists a global minimal solution u of (1-4) in Ω .

In particular, $\phi = c_1 v = c_2 u$ for some positive constants c_1, c_2 .

Moreover, if $1 < p \leq n$, then the above assertions are equivalent to

- (6) *Equation (1-4) does not admit a positive minimal Green function.*

Remark 1.1. The additional regularity assumptions on A and V for the case $1 < p < 2$ in the **Main Theorem** seems to be technical, and might be nonessential. However, these assumptions guarantee the Lipschitz continuity of solutions of (1-4) (in fact they guarantee that solutions are $C^{1,\alpha}$; see [Lieberman 1993, Theorem 5.3]), a property which (as in [Pinchover and Tintarev 2007; Pinchover and Regev 2015]) is essential for the proof of the **Main Theorem** in this range of p . On the other hand, throughout the paper we do not use the boundary point lemma, which was an essential tool in [García-Melián and Sabina de Lis 1998; Pinchover and Tintarev 2007; Pinchover and Regev 2015].

The structure of the article is presented next. In **Section 2A** we define the local Morrey space of potentials V we are going to work with, and also present an uncertainty-type inequality for such potentials due to C. B. Morrey for $p = 2$, and D. R. Adams (see [Malý and Ziemer 1997, §1.3]) for $1 < p < \infty$, that holds true in this space. This is the key property that is used in [Malý and Ziemer 1997; Trudinger 1967] in order to extend Serrin’s elliptic regularity theory [1964] for such equations. In **Section 2C** we

recall several well-known local regularity and compactness properties of (sub/super)solutions of equation (1-4) found in [Malý and Ziemer 1997; Pucci and Serrin 2007].

In Section 3 we deal with bounded domains. Firstly, in Section 3A we establish some helpful lemmas, including the estimate (3-6) that extends to our case, a well-known inequality of P. Lindqvist [1990] proved for the p -Laplace equation and concerns the positivity of the corresponding I functional of Anane [1987] (see also [Díaz and Saá 1987]). We note that (3-6) replaces throughout our paper Picone's identity of Allegretto and Huang [1998]; a key tool in [Pinchover and Tintarev 2007; Pinchover and Regev 2015]. In addition, we prove in Section 3A the weak lower semicontinuity and the coercivity for two functionals related to the solvability of the Dirichlet problem in bounded domains. In Section 3B we use the results from Section 3A to prove the existence, simplicity and isolation of the principal eigenvalue λ_1 in a *general* bounded domain. Then we extend the main result in [García-Melián and Sabina de Lis 1998] concerning the equivalence of λ_1 being positive, the validity of the weak/strong maximum principle, and the existence of a unique positive solution for the Dirichlet problem

$$Q'_{A,p,V}[v] = g \text{ in } \omega, \quad v \in W_0^{1,p}(\omega), \quad \text{where } g \in L^{p'}(p; \omega) \text{ is nonnegative.}$$

In passing from local to global, the results in bounded domains of Section 3 are exploited in the last two sections. More precisely, in Section 4A we establish the AP theorem while in Section 4B we prove among other results the equivalence of the first four statements of the Main Theorem. In addition, we prove a Poincaré-type inequality for critical operators, and a Liouville comparison principle, generalizing results in [Pinchover and Tintarev 2007] and [Pinchover 2007; Pinchover et al. 2008], respectively (see also [Pinchover and Regev 2015]).

The last two statements of the Main Theorem are treated in Section 5C after establishing a suitable weak comparison principle (WCP) in Section 5A, and the behaviour of positive solutions near an isolated singularity in Section 5B.

We emphasize here, that generally speaking, we omit straightforward proofs that follow exactly the same steps as in the aforementioned papers, provided the needed tools have been obtained.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we fix our setting and notation, introduce some definitions, and review basic local regularity results of solutions of the equation (1-4).

Throughout the paper we assume that

- $1 < p < \infty$.
- Ω is a domain (an open and connected set) in \mathbb{R}^n , where $n \geq 2$.
- $A = (a_{ij}) \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ is a *symmetric* and *locally uniformly positive definite* matrix.

The assumptions on A imply in particular that

$$a_{ij}(x) = a_{ji}(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and } i, j = 1, \dots, n, \quad (\text{S})$$

$$\forall \omega \Subset \Omega \quad \exists \theta_\omega > 0 \quad \theta_\omega |\xi| \leq |\xi|_A \leq \theta_\omega^{-1} |\xi| \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \omega \text{ and all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (\text{E})$$

where we have set

$$|\xi|_A := \sqrt{A(x)\xi \cdot \xi} = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)\xi_i \xi_j} \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and } \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Moreover, we adopt the following notation:

- q' is the conjugate index of $q \in (1, \infty)$, i.e., $q' = q/(q - 1)$.
- $\omega \Subset \Omega$ means ω is a subdomain of Ω with compact closure in Ω .
- $B_r(y) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - y| < r\}$, where $r > 0$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- $\mathcal{L}^n(E)$ is the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.
- $\langle f \rangle_\omega$ is the mean value of a function f in ω .
- $\text{supp}\{f\}$ is the support of f .
- $f^+ := \max\{f, 0\}$ and $f^- := -\min\{f, 0\}$ are the positive and negative parts of f , respectively.
- γ and γ' will always stand for numbers in $(0, 1)$.
- I_n is the identity matrix of size $n \times n$.
- $C(a, b, \dots)$ is a positive constant depending only on a, b, \dots , and may be different from line to line.

2A. Local Morrey spaces. In the present subsection we introduce a certain class of Morrey spaces that depend on the index p , where $1 < p < \infty$. It is the class of spaces where the potential V of the operator $Q'_{A,p,V}$ belongs to.

Definition 2.1. Let $q \in [1, \infty]$ and $\omega \Subset \mathbb{R}^n$. For a measurable, real valued function f defined in ω , we set

$$\|f\|_{M^q(\omega)} := \sup_{\substack{y \in \omega \\ r < \text{diam}(\omega)}} \frac{1}{r^{n/q'}} \int_{\omega \cap B_r(y)} |f| \, dx.$$

We write then $f \in M^q_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ if for any $\omega \Subset \Omega$ we have $\|f\|_{M^q(\omega)} < \infty$.

Remark 2.2. Note that $M^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) \equiv L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ and $M^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) \equiv L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, but $L^q_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) \subsetneq M^q_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) \subsetneq L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ for any $q \in (1, \infty)$.

For the regularity theory of equations with coefficients in Morrey spaces we refer to the monographs [Malý and Ziemer 1997; Morrey 1966], and also to [Rakotoson 1991; Byun and Palagachev 2013] for further regularity issues. For generalizations of the Morrey spaces and other applications to analysis and systems of equations we refer to [Peetre 1969; Adams and Xiao 2012; 2013].

Next we define a special local Morrey space $M^q_{\text{loc}}(p; \Omega)$ which depends on the values of the exponent p .

Definition 2.3. For $p \neq n$, we define

$$M^q_{\text{loc}}(p; \Omega) := \begin{cases} M^q_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) & \text{with } q > n/p \text{ if } p < n, \\ L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) & \text{if } p > n, \end{cases}$$

while for $p = n$, $f \in M_{\text{loc}}^q(n; \Omega)$ means that for some $q > n$ and any $\omega \in \Omega$ we have

$$\|f\|_{M^q(n; \omega)} := \sup_{\substack{y \in \omega \\ 0 < r < \text{diam}(\omega)}} \varphi_q(r) \int_{\omega \cap B_r(y)} |f| \, dx < \infty,$$

where $\varphi_q(r) := \log(\text{diam}(\omega)/r)^{q/n'}$ and $0 < r < \text{diam}(\omega)$.

In what follows we will frequently use the following key fact (sometimes called an uncertainty-type inequality) originally due to Morrey and further generalized by Adams (see [Morrey 1966, Lemmas 5.2.1 and 5.4.2] for $p = 2$, [Trudinger 1967, Lemma 5.1] for $1 < p < n$, and [Rakotoson and Ziemer 1990; Malý and Ziemer 1997, Corollary 1.95]).

Theorem 2.4 (Morrey–Adams theorem). *Let $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and suppose that $V \in M^q(p; \omega)$.*

(i) *There exists a constant $C(n, p, q) > 0$ such that, for any $\delta > 0$ and all $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\omega)$,*

$$\int_{\omega} |V| |u|^p \, dx \leq \delta \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}^p + \frac{C(n, p, q)}{\delta^{n/(pq-n)}} \|V\|_{M^q(p; \omega)}^{pq/(pq-n)} \|u\|_{L^p(\omega)}^p. \tag{2-1}$$

(ii) *For any $\omega' \Subset \omega$ with Lipschitz boundary there exist positive constant $C(n, p, q, \omega', \omega)$ and δ_0 such that, for any $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$ and all $u \in W^{1,p}(\omega')$,*

$$\int_{\omega'} |V| |u|^p \, dx \leq \delta \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\omega'; \mathbb{R}^n)}^p + C(n, p, q, \delta, \|V\|_{M^q(p; \omega)}) \|u\|_{L^p(\omega')}^p.$$

Proof. (i) The case where $p \leq n$ is contained in [Malý and Ziemer 1997]. In particular, for $p < n$ this follows from [Malý and Ziemer 1997, Corollary 1.95] (see also inequality (3.11) therein), while for $p = n$ one repeats that proof using Theorem 1.94 instead of Theorem 1.93 of that work. Thus, we only need to argue for $p > n$. In this case our assumption reads $V \in L^1(\omega)$. Recall also that by the Sobolev embedding theorem we have $W_0^{1,p}(\omega) \subset C(\omega)$. It follows that

$$\int_{\omega} |V| |u|^p \, dx \leq \|V\|_{L^1(\omega)} \|u\|_{L^\infty(\omega)}^p \leq C(n, p) \|V\|_{L^1(\omega)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}^n \|u\|_{L^p(\omega)}^{p-n},$$

where we have used the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality (see for example [DiBenedetto 2002, §IX, Theorem 1.1]). The result follows by applying Young’s inequality:

$$ab \leq \delta a^{p/n} + \frac{p-n}{p} \left(\frac{n}{p\delta}\right)^{n/(p-n)} b^{p/(p-n)},$$

with $a = \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\omega)}^n$, $b = C(n, p) \|V\|_{L^1(\omega)} \|u\|_{L^p(\omega)}^{p-n}$.

(ii) Let $\omega' \Subset \omega$ with $\partial\omega'$ being Lipschitz. We may then consider the extension operator (see for example [Evans and Gariepy 1992, §4.4])

$$E : W^{1,p}(\omega') \rightarrow W_0^{1,p}(\omega)$$

such that for any $u \in W^{1,p}(\omega')$ to have

$$\begin{cases} Eu = u & \text{in } \omega', \\ \|Eu\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C(n, p, \omega', \omega) \|u\|_{L^p(\omega')}, \\ \|\nabla(Eu)\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(n, p, \omega', \omega) \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\omega'; \mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{cases} \tag{2-2}$$

Thus, if $\delta > 0$ and $u \in W^{1,p}(\omega')$, it follows from (2-1) that

$$\int_{\omega} |V| |Eu|^p dx \leq \delta \|\nabla(Eu)\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}^p + \frac{C(n, p, q)}{\delta^{n/(pq-n)}} \|V\|_{M^q(p; \omega)}^{pq/(pq-n)} \|Eu\|_{L^p(\omega)}^p.$$

Applying (2-2) to the latter inequality yields (ii). □

2B. Regularity assumptions on A and V . We are now ready to introduce our regularity hypotheses on the coefficients of the operator $Q'_{A,p,V}$. Throughout the paper we assume that

$$\text{the matrix } A \text{ satisfies (S), (E), and the potential } V \text{ is in } M^q_{\text{loc}}(p; \Omega). \tag{H0}$$

In the sequel, in the case $1 < p < 2$, we sometimes make the following stronger hypothesis:

$$A \in C^{0,\gamma}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}) \text{ satisfies (S), (E), and } V \in M^q_{\text{loc}}(\Omega), \text{ where } q > n. \tag{H1}$$

2C. The (p, A) -Laplacian with a potential term in $M^q_{\text{loc}}(p; \Omega)$. For a vector field $T \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ we define

$$\text{div}_A T := \text{div}(AT),$$

where $\text{div}(AT)$ is meant in the distributional sense.

In this paper we are interested in the (p, A) -Laplacian equation plus a potential term, that is

$$Q'_{A,p,V}[v] := -\text{div}_A(|\nabla v|_A^{p-2} \nabla v) + V|v|^{p-2}v = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \tag{2-3}$$

This is the Euler–Lagrange equation associated with the functional

$$Q_{A,p,V}[u] := \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|_A^p + V|u|^p) dx, \quad u \in C^{\infty}_c(\Omega). \tag{2-4}$$

Definition 2.5. Assume that A and V satisfy (H0). A function $v \in W^{1,p}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ is a *solution* of (2-3) in Ω if

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|_A^{p-2} A \nabla v \cdot \nabla u dx + \int_{\Omega} V|v|^{p-2}vu dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in C^{\infty}_c(\Omega), \tag{2-5}$$

a *supersolution* of (2-3) in Ω if

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|_A^{p-2} A \nabla v \cdot \nabla u dx + \int_{\Omega} V|v|^{p-2}vu dx \geq 0 \quad \text{for all nonnegative } u \in C^{\infty}_c(\Omega), \tag{2-6}$$

and a *subsolution* if the reverse inequality holds. A *strict supersolution* of (2-3) in Ω is a supersolution which is not a solution.

Remark 2.6. The above definition makes sense because of condition (E), the Morrey–Adams theorem (Theorem 2.4), and Hölder’s inequality. In light of our assumptions on A and V , and by a density argument, one can replace $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ in Definition 2.5 by $W_c^{1,p}(\Omega)$, the space of all $L^p(\Omega)$ functions having compact support in Ω and first-order weak partial derivatives in $L^p(\Omega)$.

The following theorem follows from [Malý and Ziemer 1997, Theorem 3.14] for the case $p \leq n$, and from [Pucci and Serrin 2007, Theorem 7.4.1] for the case $p > n$.

Theorem 2.7 (Harnack inequality). *Under hypothesis (H0), any nonnegative solution v of (2-3) in Ω satisfies the local Harnack inequality. Namely, for any $\omega' \Subset \omega \Subset \Omega$ there holds*

$$\sup_{\omega'} v \leq C \inf_{\omega'} v, \quad (2-7)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on $n, p, q, \text{dist}(\omega', \omega), \theta_\omega$, and $\|V\|_{M^q(\omega)}$ (and not on v).

Remark 2.8 (local Hölder continuity). A standard consequence of Theorem 2.7 is the following regularity assertion, found in [Malý and Ziemer 1997, Theorem 4.11] for $p \leq n$ and in [Pucci and Serrin 2007, Theorem 7.4.1] for $p > n$:

Under hypothesis (H0), any solution v of (2-3) in Ω is locally Hölder continuous of order γ (depending on n, p, q , and θ_ω), and for any $\omega' \Subset \omega \Subset \Omega$, we have

$$[v]_{\gamma, \omega'} \leq C \sup_{\omega} |v|, \quad (2-8)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on $n, p, q, \text{dist}(\omega', \omega), \theta_\omega$, and $\|V\|_{M^q(\omega)}$. Here $[v]_{\gamma, \omega'}$ is the Hölder seminorm of v in ω' .

Remark 2.9 (local Lipschitz continuity). Later on, when proving Theorem 4.12 for $p < 2$, we will need conditions under which the local Lipschitz continuity of solutions is guaranteed. In other words, in the case $p < 2$ we will need conditions that ensure the local boundedness of the modulus of the gradient of a solution of (2-3). This and more are provided by [Lieberman 1993, Theorem 5.3]:

Under hypothesis (H1), any solution v of (2-3) in Ω is of class $C_{\text{loc}}^{1, \gamma'}(\Omega)$ for some $\gamma' \in (0, 1)$ depending only on n, p, γ, q and θ_ω .

In particular, we will use the fact that, whenever $\omega' \Subset \omega \Subset \Omega$,

$$\sup_{\omega'} |\nabla v| \leq C \sup_{\omega} |v|$$

for some positive constant C , depending only on $n, p, \gamma, q, \text{dist}(\omega', \omega), \theta_\omega, \|A\|_{C^{0, \gamma}(\omega)}$, and $\|V\|_{M^q(\omega)}$.

Remark 2.10 (weak Harnack inequality). For $p > n$, Theorem 2.7 holds true verbatim if v is merely a nonnegative supersolution of (2-3) in Ω (see [Pucci and Serrin 2007, Theorem 7.4.1]). For $p \leq n$ we only have [Malý and Ziemer 1997, Theorem 3.13]:

Let $p \leq n$ and set $s = n(p - 1)/(n - p)$. Under hypothesis (H0), any nonnegative supersolution v of (2-3) in Ω satisfies the weak Harnack inequality, namely, for any $\omega' \Subset \omega \Subset \Omega$ and $0 < t < s$,

$$\|v\|_{L^t(\omega')} \leq C \inf_{\omega'} v, \tag{2-9}$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on $n, p, t, \text{dist}(\omega', \omega), \mathcal{L}^n(\omega')$ and $\|V\|_{M^q(\omega)}$.

We conclude the section with the following important result that will be used several times throughout the paper.

Proposition 2.11 (Harnack convergence principle). *Consider a matrix $A \in L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ which satisfies conditions (S) and (E). Let $\{\omega_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of Lipschitz domains such that $\omega_i \Subset \Omega, \omega_i \Subset \omega_{i+1}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \omega_i = \Omega$, and fix a reference point $x_0 \in \omega_1$. Assume also that $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M^q(p; \omega_i)$ converges in $M^q_{\text{loc}}(p; \Omega)$ to $\mathcal{V} \in M^q_{\text{loc}}(p; \Omega)$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let v_i be a positive solution of the equation $Q'_{A,p,\mathcal{V}_i}[v] = 0$ in ω_i such that $v_i(x_0) = 1$.*

Then there exists $0 < \beta < 1$ such that, up to a subsequence, $\{v_i\}$ converges in $C^{0,\beta}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ to a positive solution v of the equation $Q'_{A,p,\mathcal{V}}[v] = 0$ in Ω .

Proof. The convergence in $C^{0,\beta}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ follows by the Arzelà–Ascoli theorem from the local Harnack inequality (2-7) and the local Hölder estimate (2-8).

Now pick an arbitrary $\omega \Subset \Omega$. We will show that a subsequence of $\{v_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly in $W^{1,p}(\omega)$ to a positive solution of $Q'_{A,p,\mathcal{V}}[u] = 0$ in Ω . Recall first that the definition of v_i being a positive weak solution to $Q'_{A,p,\mathcal{V}_i}[v] = 0$ in ω_i reads as

$$\int_{\omega_i} |\nabla v_i|_A^{p-2} A \nabla v_i \cdot \nabla u \, dx + \int_{\omega_i} \mathcal{V}_i v_i^{p-1} u \, dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in W_0^{1,p}(\omega_i). \tag{2-10}$$

By Remark 2.8, v_i is also continuous for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For $u \in C_c^\infty(\omega_k)$ we may thus pick $v_i |u|^p \in W_c^{1,p}(\omega_k), i \geq k$, as a test function in (2-10) to get

$$\| |\nabla v_i|_A u \|_{L^p(\omega_k)}^p \leq p \int_{\omega_k} |\nabla v_i|_A^{p-1} |u|^{p-1} v_i |\nabla u|_A \, dx + \int_{\omega_k} |\mathcal{V}_i| v_i^p |u|^p \, dx.$$

On the first term of the right-hand side we apply Young’s inequality: $pab \leq \varepsilon a^p + [(p - 1)/\varepsilon]^{p-1} b^p$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, with $a = |\nabla v_i|_A^{p-1} |u|^{p-1}$ and $b = v_i |\nabla u|_A$. On the second term we apply the Morrey–Adams theorem (Theorem 2.4). We arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \varepsilon) \| |\nabla v_i|_A u \|_{L^p(\omega_k)}^p \\ & \leq ((p - 1)/\varepsilon)^{p-1} \| v_i |\nabla u|_A \|_{L^p(\omega_k)}^p + \delta \| \nabla(v_i u) \|_{L^p(\omega_k; \mathbb{R}^n)}^p + C(n, p, q, \delta, \| \mathcal{V} \|_{M^q(p; \omega_{k+1})}) \| v_i u \|_{L^p(\omega_k)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

By (E) and the simple fact that

$$\| \nabla(v_i u) \|_{L^p(\omega_k; \mathbb{R}^n)}^p \leq 2^{p-1} (\| v_i \nabla u \|_{L^p(\omega_k; \mathbb{R}^n)}^p + \| u \nabla v_i \|_{L^p(\omega_k; \mathbb{R}^n)}^p),$$

we end up with the following Caccioppoli estimate valid for all $i \geq k$ and any $u \in C_c^\infty(\omega_k)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & ((1 - \varepsilon)\theta_{\omega_k}^p - 2^{p-1}\delta\theta_{\omega_k}^{-p}) \|\nabla v_i |u\|_{L^p(\omega_k)}^p \\ & \leq ((p - 1)/\varepsilon)^{p-1}\theta_{\omega_k}^{-p} + 2^{p-1}\delta \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\omega_k)}^p + C(n, p, q, \delta, \|\mathcal{V}\|_{M^q(p; \omega_{k+1})}) \|v_i u\|_{L^p(\omega_k)}^p. \end{aligned} \tag{2-11}$$

Without loss of generality we assume that ω contains x_0 . Picking $\omega' \Subset \Omega$ such that $\omega \subset \omega'$, we find $k \geq 1$ such that $\omega' \subset \omega_k$. Next we choose $\delta < (1 - \varepsilon)2^{1-p}\theta_{\omega_k}^{2p}$ and specialize $u \in C_c^\infty(\omega_k)$ such that

$$\text{supp}\{u\} \subset \omega', \quad 0 \leq u \leq 1 \text{ in } \omega', \quad u = 1 \text{ in } \omega \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla u| \leq 1/\text{dist}(\omega', \omega) \text{ in } \omega. \tag{2-12}$$

Applying this to the Caccioppoli inequality (2-11), and using the fact that $\{v_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in the $L^\infty(\omega)$ -norm uniformly in i (due to the local Harnack's inequality (2-7)), we conclude

$$\|\nabla v_i\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}^p + \|v_i\|_{L^p(\omega)}^p \leq C(n, p, q, \varepsilon, \delta, \text{dist}(\omega', \omega), \theta_{\omega_k}, \|\mathcal{V}\|_{M^q(p; \omega_{k+1})}) \quad \text{for all } i \geq k.$$

So $\{v_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\omega)$. By weak compactness of $W^{1,p}(\omega)$, there exists a subsequence, still denoted by $\{v_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, that converges weakly in $W^{1,p}(\omega)$ to a nonnegative function v with $v(x_0) = 1$.

Next we show that v is a solution of $Q'_{A,p,\mathcal{V}}[u] = 0$ in $\tilde{\omega} \Subset \omega$ such that $x_0 \in \tilde{\omega}$. First note that for a subsequence (that once more we do not rename) we have $v_i \rightarrow v$ a.e. in ω and in $L^p(\omega)$. For the potential term of the equation we note first that (up to a subsequence) $\mathcal{V}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ a.e. in ω . Thus, $\mathcal{V}_i v_i^{p-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} v^{p-1}$ a.e. in ω , while $|\mathcal{V}_i v_i^{p-1}| \leq c|\mathcal{V}|$ a.e. in ω , where c is independent of i . Since $|\mathcal{V}| \in M^q_{\text{loc}}(p; \Omega) \subset L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ we may apply the dominated convergence theorem to get

$$\int_{\omega} \mathcal{V}_i v_i^{p-1} u \, dx \rightarrow \int_{\omega} \mathcal{V} v^{p-1} u \, dx \quad \text{for all } u \in C_c^\infty(\omega). \tag{2-13}$$

It remains to prove that

$$\xi_i := |\nabla v_i|_A^{p-2} A \nabla v_i \rightharpoonup_{i \rightarrow \infty} |\nabla v|_A^{p-2} A \nabla v =: \xi \quad \text{in } L^{p'}(\tilde{\omega}; \mathbb{R}^n). \tag{2-14}$$

To this end, letting u be as in (2-12) but with ω and ω' replaced by $\tilde{\omega}$ and ω respectively, we take $u(v_i - v)$ as a test function in (2-10) to obtain

$$\int_{\omega} u \xi_i \cdot \nabla(v_i - v) \, dx = - \int_{\omega} (v_i - v) \xi_i \nabla u \, dx - \int_{\omega} \mathcal{V}_i v_i^{p-1} u (v_i - v) \, dx. \tag{2-15}$$

We claim that

$$\int_{\omega} u \xi_i \cdot \nabla(v_i - v) \, dx \rightarrow_{i \rightarrow \infty} 0. \tag{2-16}$$

Indeed, by an argument similar to the one leading to (2-13), the second integral on the right of (2-15) converges to 0 as $i \rightarrow \infty$. For the first one, apply Holder's inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| - \int_{\omega} (v_i - v) \xi_i \nabla u \, dx \right| & \leq \theta_{\omega}^{p/p'} \|(v_i - v) \nabla u\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} \|\nabla v_i\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}^{p/p'} \\ & \leq C(p, \theta_{\omega}, \text{dist}(\tilde{\omega}, \omega)) \|v_i - v\|_{L^p(\omega)} \|\nabla v_i\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}^{p/p'}, \end{aligned}$$

which also converges to 0 as $i \rightarrow \infty$ since the $\|\nabla v_i\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}$ are uniformly bounded and $v_i \rightarrow v$ in $L^p(\omega)$.

Notice that, as in the case where $A = I_n$, we have, for any $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} (|X|_A^{p-2}AX - |Y|_A^{p-2}AY) \cdot (X - Y) &= |X|_A^p - |X|_A^{p-2}AX \cdot Y + |Y|_A^p - |Y|_A^{p-2}AY \cdot X \\ &\geq |X|_A^p - |X|_A^{p-1}|Y|_A + |Y|_A^p - |Y|_A^{p-1}|X|_A \\ &= (|X|_A^{p-1} - |Y|_A^{p-1})(|X|_A - |Y|_A) \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2-17}$$

The above considerations imply that

$$0 \leq \mathcal{I}_i := \int_{\tilde{\omega}} (\xi_i - \xi) \cdot \nabla(v_i - v) \, dx \leq \int_{\omega} u(\xi_i - \xi) \cdot \nabla(v_i - v) \, dx \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

where we have used (2-16) and the weak convergence in $L^{p'}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ of ∇v_i to ∇v . Thus $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}_i = 0$ and invoking a celebrated lemma of Maz'ya [1970] (see also Lemma 3.73 of [Heinonen et al. 1993]), (2-14) follows.

Hence, using Harnack's inequality, we have that v is a positive weak solution of $Q'_{A,p,V}[u] = 0$ in $\tilde{\omega}$ with $v(x_0) = 1$. We now use a standard Harnack chain argument and a diagonalization procedure to obtain a new subsequence (once again not renamed) $\{v_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $v_i \rightharpoonup v$ in $W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ (and locally uniformly in Ω), where v is a positive weak solution of $Q'_{A,p,V}[u] = 0$ in Ω . \square

3. Principal eigenvalue and the maximum principle

Throughout the present section we fix a bounded domain ω in \mathbb{R}^n and suppose that A is a uniformly elliptic, bounded matrix in ω and $V \in M^q(p; \omega)$. We consider, in ω , the operator $Q'_{A,p,V}$ defined in (2-3) and, for $u \in C_c^\infty(\omega)$, we let

$$Q_{A,p,V}[u; \omega] := \int_{\omega} (|\nabla u|_A^p + V(x)|u|^p) \, dx.$$

Definition 3.1. We say that $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is an *eigenvalue with an eigenfunction* v of the Dirichlet eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} Q'_{A,p,V}[w] = \lambda|w|^{p-2}w & \text{in } \omega, \\ w = 0 & \text{on } \partial\omega, \end{cases} \tag{3-1}$$

if $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\omega) \setminus \{0\}$ satisfies

$$\int_{\omega} |\nabla v|_A^{p-2}A \nabla v \cdot \nabla u \, dx + \int_{\omega} V|v|^{p-2}vu \, dx = \lambda \int_{\omega} |v|^{p-2}vu \, dx \quad \text{for all } u \in C_c^\infty(\omega). \tag{3-2}$$

Definition 3.2. A *principal eigenvalue* is an eigenvalue of (3-1) with a nonnegative eigenfunction.

The existence of a principal eigenvalue for the problem (3-1) and its variational characterization by the Rayleigh–Ritz variational formula

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(Q_{A,p,V}; \omega) := \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{Q_{A,p,V}[u; \omega]}{\|u\|_{L^p(\omega)}^p} \tag{3-3}$$

is established in Theorem 3.9 below.

Consider first the equation

$$Q'_{A,p,V}[v] = g \quad \text{in } \omega, \quad \text{where } g \in M^q(p; \omega) \text{ is nonnegative.} \tag{3-4}$$

By a solution of (3-4) we mean a function $v \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\omega)$ such that

$$\int_{\omega} |\nabla v|_A^{p-2} A \nabla v \cdot \nabla u \, dx + \int_{\omega} V |v|^{p-2} v u \, dx = \int_{\omega} g u \, dx \quad \text{for all } u \in C_c^\infty(\omega).$$

A function $v \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\omega)$ is a supersolution of (3-4) if

$$\int_{\omega} |\nabla v|_A^{p-2} A \nabla v \cdot \nabla u \, dx + \int_{\omega} V |v|^{p-2} v u \, dx \geq \int_{\omega} g u \, dx \quad \text{for all nonnegative } u \in C_c^\infty(\omega),$$

and a subsolution if the reverse inequality holds. One of our targets in the next subsection is to characterize, in terms of the strict positivity of the principal eigenvalue of problem (3-1), the following properties:

- (a) the solvability in $W_0^{1,p}(\omega)$ of (3-4);
- (b) the (generalized) weak maximum principle for (3-4);
- (c) the strong maximum principle for (3-4).

Recall at this point that the (generalized) weak maximum principle for the operator $Q'_{A,p,V}$ asserts that a solution of (3-4) which is nonnegative on $\partial\omega$ is nonnegative in ω , while the strong maximum principle asserts that, in addition to the weak maximum principle, a solution of (3-4) which is nonnegative on $\partial\omega$ is either identically zero or strictly positive in ω .

3A. Preparatory material. We start with the following technical lemma, which generalizes computations found in [Anane 1987; Díaz and Saá 1987; Lindqvist 1990], where the case $V_1 = V_2 \equiv 0$ and $A = I_n$ is considered. This useful lemma replaces Picone’s identity, which is a key tool in [Pinchover and Tintarev 2007; Pinchover and Regev 2015]. We note that in the present paper the lemma is used only for the case $V_1 = V_2$, but this assumption does not affect at all the volume of computations of the general case.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $g_i, V_i \in M^q(p; \omega)$, where $i = 1, 2$. There exists a positive constant c_p , depending only on p , such that the following assertions hold true:*

- (i) *Suppose that $w_1, w_2 \in W_0^{1,p}(\omega) \setminus \{0\}$ are nonnegative solutions of*

$$Q'_{A,p,V_1}[w; \omega] = g_1 \quad \text{and} \quad Q'_{A,p,V_2}[w; \omega] = g_2, \tag{3-5}$$

respectively, and let $w_{i,h} := w_i + h$, where h is a positive constant, and $i = 1, 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} I_h &:= \int_{\omega} \left(\frac{g_1 - V_1 w_1^{p-1}}{w_{1,h}^{p-1}} - \frac{g_2 - V_2 w_2^{p-1}}{w_{2,h}^{p-1}} \right) (w_{1,h}^p - w_{2,h}^p) \, dx \\ &\geq c_p \begin{cases} \int_{\omega} (w_{1,h}^p + w_{2,h}^p) \left| \nabla \log \frac{w_{1,h}}{w_{2,h}} \right|_A^p \, dx & \text{if } p \geq 2, \\ \int_{\omega} (w_{1,h}^p + w_{2,h}^p) \left| \nabla \log \frac{w_{1,h}}{w_{2,h}} \right|_A^2 (|\nabla \log w_{1,h}|_A + |\nabla \log w_{2,h}|_A)^{p-2} \, dx & \text{if } p < 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{3-6}$$

- (ii) *In the particular case of nonnegative eigenfunctions, i.e.,*

$$w_1 := w_\lambda, \quad w_2 := w_\mu, \quad g_1 := \lambda |w_\lambda|^{p-2} w_\lambda, \quad g_2 := \mu |w_\mu|^{p-2} w_\mu,$$

with $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\int_{\omega} ((\lambda - \mu) - (V_1 - V_2))(w_{\lambda}^p - w_{\mu}^p) \, dx$$

$$\geq c_p \begin{cases} \int_{\omega} (w_{\lambda}^p + w_{\mu}^p) \left| \nabla \log \frac{w_{\lambda}}{w_{\mu}} \right|_A^p \, dx & \text{if } p \geq 2, \\ \int_{\omega} (w_{\lambda}^p + w_{\mu}^p) \left| \nabla \log \frac{w_{\lambda}}{w_{\mu}} \right|_A^2 (|\nabla \log w_{\lambda}|_A + |\nabla \log w_{\mu}|_A)^{p-2} \, dx & \text{if } p < 2. \end{cases}$$

(iii) Suppose further that ω is Lipschitz, and let $w_1, w_2 \in W^{1,p}(\omega)$ be positive solutions of (3-5) such that $w_1 = w_2 > 0$ on $\partial\omega$, in the trace sense. Then

$$\int_{\omega} \left(\frac{g_1}{w_1^{p-1}} - \frac{g_2}{w_2^{p-1}} - (V_1 - V_2) \right) (w_1^p - w_2^p) \, dx$$

$$\geq c_p \begin{cases} \int_{\omega} (w_1^p + w_2^p) \left| \nabla \log \frac{w_1}{w_2} \right|_A^p \, dx & \text{if } p \geq 2, \\ \int_{\omega} (w_1^p + w_2^p) \left| \nabla \log \frac{w_1}{w_2} \right|_A^2 (|\nabla \log w_1|_A + |\nabla \log w_2|_A)^{p-2} \, dx & \text{if } p < 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Set $\psi_{1,h} := (w_{1,h}^p - w_{2,h}^p)w_{1,h}^{1-p}$. It is easily seen that $\psi_{1,h} \in W_0^{1,p}(\omega)$ and, using it as a test function in the definition of w_1 being a solution of the first equation of (3-5), we get

$$\int_{\omega} (w_{1,h}^p - w_{2,h}^p) |\nabla(\log w_{1,h})|_A^p \, dx - p \int_{\omega} w_{2,h}^p |\nabla(\log w_{1,h})|_A^{p-2} A \nabla(\log w_{1,h}) \cdot \nabla \left(\log \frac{w_{2,h}}{w_{1,h}} \right) \, dx$$

$$= \int_{\omega} \frac{g_1 - V_1 w_1^{p-1}}{w_{1,h}^{p-1}} (w_{1,h}^p - w_{2,h}^p) \, dx.$$

In the same fashion we set $\psi_{2,h} := (w_{2,h}^p - w_{1,h}^p)w_{2,h}^{1-p}$ and use it as a test function in the definition of w_2 being a solution of the second equation of (3-5) to obtain

$$\int_{\omega} (w_{2,h}^p - w_{1,h}^p) |\nabla(\log w_{2,h})|_A^p \, dx - p \int_{\omega} w_{1,h}^p |\nabla(\log w_{2,h})|_A^{p-2} A \nabla(\log w_{2,h}) \cdot \nabla \left(\log \frac{w_{1,h}}{w_{2,h}} \right) \, dx$$

$$= \int_{\omega} \frac{g_2 - V_2 w_2^{p-1}}{w_{2,h}^{p-1}} (w_{2,h}^p - w_{1,h}^p) \, dx.$$

Adding these we arrive at

$$\int_{\omega} w_{1,h}^p \left(|\nabla(\log w_{1,h})|_A^p - |\nabla(\log w_{2,h})|_A^p - p |\nabla(\log w_{2,h})|_A^{p-2} A \nabla(\log w_{2,h}) \cdot \nabla \left(\log \frac{w_{1,h}}{w_{2,h}} \right) \right) \, dx$$

$$+ \int_{\omega} w_{2,h}^p \left(|\nabla(\log w_{2,h})|_A^p - |\nabla(\log w_{1,h})|_A^p - p |\nabla(\log w_{1,h})|_A^{p-2} A \nabla(\log w_{1,h}) \cdot \nabla \left(\log \frac{w_{2,h}}{w_{1,h}} \right) \right) \, dx = I_h. \quad (3-7)$$

Now we use the following inequality, found in [Lindqvist 1990, Lemma 4.2] for A being the identity matrix I_n ; cf. [Pinchover et al. 2008, (2.19)] (the proof is essentially the same and we omit it):

For all vectors $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and a.e. $x \in \omega$, we have

$$|\alpha|_A^p - |\beta|_A^p - p|\beta|_A^{p-2} A(x)\beta \cdot (\alpha - \beta) \geq C(p) \begin{cases} |\alpha - \beta|_A^p & \text{if } p \geq 2, \\ |\alpha - \beta|_A^2 (|\alpha|_A + |\beta|_A)^{p-2} & \text{if } p < 2. \end{cases} \quad (3-8)$$

Applying this to both terms of the left-hand side of (3-7), we obtain the inequality of part (i).

To prove part (ii), take $g_1 = \lambda|w_1|^{p-2}w_1$ and $g_2 = \mu|w_2|^{p-2}w_2$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, and rename w_1 and w_2 to w_λ and w_μ , respectively. The integrand of I_h in this case satisfies, for all $0 < h < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left((\lambda - V_1) \left(\frac{w_\lambda}{w_{\lambda,h}} \right)^{p-1} - (\mu - V_2) \left(\frac{w_\mu}{w_{\mu,h}} \right)^{p-1} \right) (w_{\lambda,h}^p - w_{\mu,h}^p) \right| \\ & \leq (|\lambda - V_1| + |\mu - V_2|) ((w_\lambda + 1)^p + (w_\mu + 1)^p) \in L^1(\omega), \end{aligned}$$

by Theorem 2.4(i). As $h \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\left((\lambda - V_1) \left(\frac{w_\lambda}{w_{\lambda,h}} \right)^{p-1} - (\mu - V_2) \left(\frac{w_\mu}{w_{\mu,h}} \right)^{p-1} \right) (w_{\lambda,h}^p - w_{\mu,h}^p) \rightarrow (\lambda - \mu - V_1 + V_2) (w_\lambda^p - w_\mu^p)$$

a.e. in ω . By applying the dominated convergence theorem and the Fatou lemma to the inequality of part (i), we get the desired estimate. Part (iii) follows from part (i) by setting $h = 0$. \square

We modify to our case a well-known lemma on the negative part of a supersolution (see [Agmon 1983, Lemma 2.7] or [Pinchover et al. 2008, Lemma 2.4], for example).

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{V} \in M_{\text{loc}}^q(p; \Omega)$. If $v \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a supersolution of $\mathcal{Q}'_{A,p,\mathcal{V}}[u] = 0$ in Ω , then v^- is a $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ subsolution of the same equation.*

Proof. Though this argument is quite standard, we add it for completeness and since it requires the use of the Morrey–Adams theorem in the final limit argument. Following the steps of the proof in [Agmon 1983], we define

$$\varphi_\varepsilon := \frac{v_\varepsilon - v}{2v_\varepsilon} \varphi \quad \text{and} \quad v_\varepsilon := (v^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{1/2},$$

with φ being an arbitrary nonnegative function in $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. It is straightforward to see that

$$\nabla v_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \varphi \leq \nabla v \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{v}{v_\varepsilon} \varphi \right) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega,$$

and then

$$\frac{1}{2} \nabla (v_\varepsilon - v) \cdot \nabla \varphi \leq -\nabla v_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega. \quad (3-9)$$

Thus, taking $\varphi_\varepsilon \in W_c^{1,p}(\Omega)$ as a test function in the definition of $v \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ being a supersolution of $\mathcal{Q}'_{A,p,\mathcal{V}}[u] = 0$ in Ω , and then applying (3-9), we conclude that we only need to show that we can take the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the inequality

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla v|_A^{p-2} A \nabla (v_\varepsilon - v) \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx - \int_\Omega \mathcal{V} |v|^{p-2} v \varphi_\varepsilon \, dx \leq 0. \quad (3-10)$$

Note that, since $\nabla(v_\varepsilon - v)/2 \rightarrow \nabla v^-$ and $v\varphi_\varepsilon \rightarrow -v^-\varphi$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, this would readily give

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla v^-|_A^{p-2} A \nabla v^- \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx + \int_\Omega \mathcal{V} |v^-|^{p-2} v^- \varphi \, dx \leq 0 \quad \text{for all nonnegative } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega).$$

However, the justification of taking the limit inside both integrals in (3-10) is verified by the dominated convergence theorem. For the first one we use Hölder’s inequality, while for the second we apply first Hölder’s inequality and then the Morrey–Adams theorem. □

Definition 3.5. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be a Banach space. A functional $J : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ is said to be *coercive* if $J[u] \rightarrow \infty$ as $\|u\|_X \rightarrow \infty$. The functional J is said to be (sequentially) *weakly lower semicontinuous* if $J[u] \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} J[u_k]$ whenever $u_k \rightharpoonup u$.

We have:

Proposition 3.6. (a) Let $\omega \Subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathcal{V} \in M^q(p; \omega)$ and $\mathcal{G} \in L^{p'}(\omega)$. Define the functional

$$J : W_0^{1,p}(\omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}, \quad J[u] := Q_{A,p,\mathcal{V}}[u; \omega] - \int_\omega \mathcal{G}u \, dx. \tag{3-11}$$

Then J is weakly lower semicontinuous in $W_0^{1,p}(\omega)$.

(b) Let $\omega \Subset \omega' \Subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with ω Lipschitz, and let $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{V} \in M^q(p; \omega')$. Define the functional

$$\bar{J} : W^{1,p}(\omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}, \quad \bar{J}[u] := Q_{A,p,\mathcal{V}}[u; \omega] - \int_\omega \mathcal{G}|u| \, dx. \tag{3-12}$$

Then \bar{J} is weakly lower semicontinuous in $W^{1,p}(\omega)$.

Proof. We first prove statement (b). Let $u, \{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W^{1,p}(\omega)$ be such that $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ in $W^{1,p}(\omega)$. By the uniform boundedness principle, we have

$$K := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|u_k\|_{W^{1,p}(\omega)} < \infty,$$

and thus, by the compact embedding of $W^{1,p}(\omega)$ in $L^p(\omega)$, and by passing to a subsequence we may assume that $u_k \rightarrow u$ in $L^p(\omega)$ and a.e. in ω .

Let $\delta > 0$. By Minkowski’s inequality and the Morrey–Adams theorem (Theorem 2.4(ii)), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_\omega \mathcal{V}^\pm |u_k|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} - \left(\int_\omega \mathcal{V}^\pm |u|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq \left(\int_\omega \mathcal{V}^\pm |u_k - u|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq (\delta \|\nabla(u_k - u)\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}^p + C(n, p, q, \delta, \|\mathcal{V}^\pm\|_{M^q(p; \omega')}) \|u_k - u\|_{L^p(\omega)}^p)^{1/p} \\ & \leq \delta^{1/p} (K + \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}) + C(n, p, q, \delta, \|\mathcal{V}^\pm\|_{M^q(p; \omega')}) \|u_k - u\|_{L^p(\omega)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3-13}$$

This shows that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_\omega \mathcal{V}^\pm |u_k|^p \, dx \leq \int_\omega \mathcal{V}^\pm |u|^p \, dx.$$

On the other hand, by Fatou’s lemma, we have

$$\int_{\omega} \mathcal{V}^{\pm} |u|^p \, dx \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\omega} \mathcal{V}^{\pm} |u_k|^p \, dx.$$

The last two inequalities imply

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\omega} \mathcal{V} |u_k|^p \, dx = \int_{\omega} \mathcal{V} |u|^p \, dx,$$

The weak lower semicontinuity of the gradient term follows from the convexity of the Lagrangian $\zeta \mapsto |\zeta|_{A(x)}^p$. We deduce then

$$Q_{A,p,\mathcal{V}}[u] \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} Q_{A,p,\mathcal{V}}[u_k]. \tag{3-14}$$

For the last term of J , we work similarly:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\omega} \mathcal{G}^{\pm} |u_k| \, dx - \int_{\omega} \mathcal{G}^{\pm} |u| \, dx &\leq \|\mathcal{G}^{\pm}\|_{L^1(\omega)}^{1/p'} \left(\int_{\omega} \mathcal{G}^{\pm} |u_k - u|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \delta^{1/p} \|\mathcal{G}^{\pm}\|_{L^1(\omega)}^{1/p'} (K + \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}) + C(n, p, q, \delta, \|\mathcal{G}^{\pm}\|_{M^q(p; \omega')}) \|u_k - u\|_{L^p(\omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\omega} \mathcal{G}^{\pm} |u_k| \, dx \leq \int_{\omega} \mathcal{G}^{\pm} |u| \, dx.$$

On the other hand,

$$\int_{\omega} \mathcal{G}^{\pm} |u| \, dx \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\omega} \mathcal{G}^{\pm} |u_k| \, dx.$$

The last two inequalities imply

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\omega} \mathcal{G} |u_k| \, dx = \int_{\omega} \mathcal{G} |u| \, dx,$$

and thus \bar{J} is weakly lower semicontinuous in $W^{1,p}(\omega)$.

For the proof of the weak lower semicontinuity of J in $W_0^{1,p}(\omega)$, one follows the same steps, but uses [Theorem 2.4\(i\)](#) in (3-13), in order to obtain (3-14). Note that, since we require in this case that $\mathcal{G} \in L^{p'}(\omega)$, the functional $I(u) := \int_{\omega} \mathcal{G} u \, dx$ is weakly continuous since it is a bounded linear functional. \square

Proposition 3.7. (a) *Let $\omega \Subset \omega' \Subset \mathbb{R}^n$, where ω is Lipschitz, and $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{V} \in M^q(p; \omega')$. If \mathcal{V} is nonnegative, then for any $f \in W^{1,p}(\omega)$ we have that \bar{J} is coercive in*

$$\mathcal{A} := \{u \in W^{1,p}(\omega) \mid u = f \text{ on } \partial\omega\}.$$

(b) *Let $\omega \Subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathcal{V} \in M^q(p; \omega)$ and $\mathcal{G} \in L^{p'}(\omega)$. Assume that for some $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$Q_{A,p,\mathcal{V}}[u; \omega] \geq \varepsilon \|u\|_{L^p(\omega)}^p \quad \text{for all } u \in W_0^{1,p}(\omega). \tag{3-15}$$

Then J is coercive in $W_0^{1,p}(\omega)$.

Proof. (a) Fix $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and suppose that $u \in \mathcal{A}$ is such that $\bar{J}[u] \leq t$. It is enough to prove that

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\omega)} := \|u\|_{L^p(\omega)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C, \tag{3-16}$$

with C independent of u . To this end, from $\bar{J}[u] \leq t$ and since $\mathcal{V} \geq 0$ a.e. in ω , we readily deduce

$$\int_{\omega} |\nabla u|_A^p dx \leq t + \int_{\omega} \mathcal{G}|u| dx \leq t + \|\mathcal{G}\|_{L^1(\omega)}^{1/p'} \left(\int_{\omega} |\mathcal{G}| |u|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \leq t + C \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\omega)} \tag{3-17}$$

for some positive constant C that depends only on $n, p, q, \omega, \|\mathcal{G}\|_{M^q(p; \omega)}$ and $\|\mathcal{G}\|_{L^1(\omega)}$, where we have used [Theorem 2.4\(ii\)](#) in the last inequality. Thus, applying also assumption [\(E\)](#), we obtain

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}^p \leq c_1 + c_2 \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\omega)}, \tag{3-18}$$

where c_1 and c_2 are positive constants independent of u . Next observe that $u - f \in W_0^{1,p}(\omega)$, so that

$$\|u\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq \|u - f\|_{L^p(\omega)} + \|f\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C_P \|\nabla(u - f)\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} + \|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}$$

for a positive constant C_P depending only on n and ω , because of the Poincaré inequality in $W_0^{1,p}(\omega)$. Using [\(E\)](#) we have, successively,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^p(\omega)} &\leq C_P (\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\nabla f\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}) + \|f\|_{L^p(\omega)} \\ &\leq \frac{C_P}{\theta_{\omega}} \left(\left(\int_{\omega} |\nabla u|_A^p dx \right)^{1/p} + \|\nabla f\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} \right) + \|f\|_{L^p(\omega)} \\ &\leq \frac{C_P}{\theta_{\omega}} \left((t + C \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\omega)})^{1/p} + \|\nabla f\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} \right) + \|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

with C as in [\(3-17\)](#). This implies the estimate

$$\|u\|_{L^p(\omega)}^p \leq c_3 + c_4 \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\omega)}, \tag{3-19}$$

where c_3 and c_4 are positive constants independent of u . Now, [\(3-18\)](#) and [\(3-19\)](#) give

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\omega)}^p \leq c_5 + c_6 \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\omega)},$$

for some positive constants c_5 and c_6 that are independent of u . This implies, in turn, $\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\omega)} \leq \max\{1, (c_5 + c_6)^{1/(p-1)}\}$, and [\(3-16\)](#) is proved.

(b) Let us prove the coercivity of J in $W_0^{1,p}(\omega)$. Assume that $J[u] \leq t$ in [\(3-15\)](#); then, by applying Hölder’s inequality, we obtain

$$\varepsilon \|u\|_{L^p(\omega)}^p \leq t + \int_{\omega} \mathcal{G}u dx \leq t + \|\mathcal{G}\|_{L^{p'}(\omega)} \|u\|_{L^p(\omega)}.$$

This implies the estimate

$$\|u\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq m := \max \left\{ 1, \left(\frac{t + \|\mathcal{G}\|_{L^{p'}(\omega)}}{\varepsilon} \right)^{1/(p-1)} \right\}. \tag{3-20}$$

From $J[u] \leq t$, applying once more Hölder’s inequality and the Morrey–Adams theorem (Theorem 2.4(i)) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\omega} |\nabla u|_A^p \, dx &\leq t + \int_{\omega} \mathcal{G}u \, dx + \int_{\omega} |\mathcal{V}| |u|^p \, dx \\ &\leq t + \|\mathcal{G}\|_{L^{p'}(\omega)} \|u\|_{L^p(\omega)} + \delta \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}^p + C' \|u\|_{L^p(\omega)}^p, \end{aligned} \tag{3-21}$$

where $C' = C_{n,p,q} \delta^{-n/(pq-n)} \|\mathcal{V}\|_{M^q(p;\omega)}^{pq/(pq-n)}$. Thus, from (3-20), (3-21) and assumption (E) we have, for $\delta < \theta_{\omega}^p$,

$$(\theta_{\omega}^p - \delta) \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}^p \leq t + \|\mathcal{G}\|_{L^{p'}(\omega)} m + C' m^p,$$

which, together with (3-20), implies $\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\omega)} \leq C$. □

Remark 3.8. Propositions 3.6 and 3.7 will be used to prove the existence of a minimizer for the Rayleigh–Ritz variational problem (3-3), and to establish the weak comparison principle using the sub/supersolution method (see Section 5A).

3B. Existence, properties and characterization of the positivity of λ_1 . The following theorem generalizes several results in the literature concerning the principal eigenvalue λ_1 (see [Allegretto and Huang 1998, Theorem 2.1; Anane 1987, Proposition 2; García-Melián and Sabina de Lis 1998, Lemma 3; Pinchover and Regev 2015, Lemma 6.4], for example). Note that our results apply to a general bounded domain, and in particular, the boundary point lemmas are not used in the proof (cf. [García-Melián and Sabina de Lis 1998, Lemma 3] and [Pinchover and Regev 2015]). In addition, we do not need any further regularity assumption on the entries of the matrix A as in the aforementioned references, while the potential V is far from being bounded.

Theorem 3.9. *Let ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n , and assume that A is a uniformly elliptic, bounded matrix in ω , and $V \in M^q(p; \omega)$. Then the operator $Q'_{A,p,V}$ in ω admits a principal eigenvalue λ_1 given by the Rayleigh–Ritz variational formula (3-3). Moreover, λ_1 is the only principal eigenvalue, it is simple and an isolated eigenvalue in \mathbb{R} .*

Proof. We define λ_1 by (3-3) and prove that it is a principal eigenvalue. Using the Morrey–Adams theorem (Theorem 2.4) with $\delta = \theta_{\omega}^p$ one sees that

$$\lambda_1 \geq -C(n, p, q) \theta_{\omega}^{-np/(pq-n)} \|V\|_{M^q(p;\omega)}^{pq/(pq-n)} > -\infty.$$

In particular, setting $\mathcal{V} := V - \lambda_1 + \varepsilon$, with $\varepsilon > 0$, we get that

$$Q_{A,p,\mathcal{V}}[u; \omega] \geq \varepsilon \|u\|_{L^p(\omega)}^p \quad \text{for all } u \in W_0^{1,p}(\omega).$$

Applying Propositions 3.6(a) and 3.7(b) with $\mathcal{G} \equiv 0$, we get that $Q_{A,p,V-\lambda_1+\varepsilon}[\cdot; \omega]$ is coercive and weakly lower semicontinuous in $W_0^{1,p}(\omega)$ and, consequently, also in $W_0^{1,p}(\omega) \cap \{\|u\|_{L^p(\omega)} = 1\}$. Hence, the infimum

$$\varepsilon = \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{Q_{A,p,V-\lambda_1+\varepsilon}[u; \omega]}{\|u\|_{L^p(\omega)}^p}$$

is attained in $W_0^{1,p}(\omega) \setminus \{0\}$ (see [Struwe 2008, Theorem 1.2], for example), and thus λ_1 is attained in $W_0^{1,p}(\omega) \setminus \{0\}$.

Let v_1 be a minimizer of (3-3). It is quite standard to see that v_1 is a solution of (3-1) with $\lambda = \lambda_1$. Since $|v_1| \in W_0^{1,p}(\omega) \setminus \{0\}$, it follows that $|\nabla(|v_1|)|_A = |\nabla v_1|_A$ a.e. in ω . This implies that $|v_1|$ is also a minimizer of (3-3) and thus a nonnegative solution of (3-1) with $\lambda = \lambda_1$. By the Harnack inequality, and the Hölder continuity of $|v_1|$, we obtain that $|v_1|$ is strictly positive in ω . In light of the homogeneity of the eigenvalue problem (3-1), we may assume that v_1 is strictly positive in ω .

To prove the simplicity of λ_1 , we assume that $v_2 \in W_0^{1,p}(\omega)$ is another eigenfunction of (3-1) with $\lambda = \lambda_1$. Hence, v_2 is a minimizer of (3-3), and thus has a definite sign. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $v_2 > 0$ in ω . Applying Lemma 3.3(ii) with $V_1 = V_2 = V$, $\lambda = \mu = \lambda_1$ and $w_\lambda = v_1$, $w_\mu = v_2$ we obtain

$$0 \geq c_p \begin{cases} \int_\omega (v_1^p + v_2^p) \left| \nabla \log \frac{v_1}{v_2} \right|_A^p dx & \text{if } p \geq 2, \\ \int_\omega (v_1^p + v_2^p) \left| \nabla \log \frac{v_1}{v_2} \right|_A^2 (|\nabla \log v_1|_A + |\nabla \log v_2|_A)^{p-2} dx & \text{if } p < 2, \end{cases}$$

from which, because of (E), we deduce $|v_2 \nabla v_1 - v_1 \nabla v_2| = 0$ a.e. in ω , which in turn implies the existence of a positive constant c such that $v_2 = cv_1$ a.e. in ω .

Next we show that λ_1 is the only eigenvalue possessing a nonnegative eigenfunction associated to it. If $\lambda > \lambda_1$ is an eigenvalue with eigenfunction $\varepsilon v_\lambda \geq 0$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is small, then, by Lemma 3.3(ii) with $V_1 = V_2 = V$, $\mu = \lambda_1$ and $w_\mu = v_1$,

$$(\lambda - \lambda_1) \int_\omega (\varepsilon v_\lambda^p - v_1^p) dx \geq 0,$$

which is a contradiction for ε small enough.

It remains thus to prove that λ_1 is an isolated eigenvalue in \mathbb{R} . Suppose that there exists a sequence of eigenvalues $\{\lambda_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lambda_k \downarrow \lambda_1$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\{v_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of the associated normalized eigenfunctions. We claim that $\{v_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\omega)$. Indeed, by the Morrey–Adams theorem, we obtain for some $0 < \delta < 1$ that

$$\int_\omega |\nabla v_k|_A^p dx \leq |\lambda_k| + \int_\omega |V| |v_k|^p dx \leq \delta \|\nabla v_k\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}^p + C, \tag{3-22}$$

which implies our claim. Therefore, up to a subsequence, v_k converges weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\omega)$ and also in $L^p(\omega)$.

Next we claim that $v_k \rightarrow w$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\omega)$. Since $v_k \rightharpoonup w$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\omega)$, it is enough to show that $\{\|\nabla v_k\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. The inequality

$$|a^p - b^p| \leq p|a - b|(a^{p-1} + b^{p-1}), \quad a, b \geq 0,$$

together with the Hölder inequality and the Morrey–Adams theorem imply, for all sufficiently large $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_{\omega} |\nabla v_k|_A^p \, dx - \int_{\omega} |\nabla v_l|_A^p \, dx \right| \\
 & \leq |\lambda_k - \lambda_l| + \int_{\omega} |V| \left| |v_k|^p - |v_l|^p \right| \, dx \\
 & \leq \varepsilon + p \int_{\omega} |V| |v_k - v_l| \left(|v_k|^{p-1} + |v_l|^{p-1} \right) \, dx. \\
 & \leq \varepsilon + C(p) \left(\int_{\omega} |V| |v_k - v_l|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{\omega} |V| |v_k|^p \, dx + \int_{\omega} |V| |v_l|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p'}. \tag{3-23}
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying first the Morrey–Adams theorem and then (3-22), we see that both integrals on the second factor of (3-23) are uniformly bounded in k and l , respectively. For the first factor we use again the Morrey–Adams theorem to arrive at

$$\left| \int_{\omega} |\nabla v_k|_A^p \, dx - \int_{\omega} |\nabla v_l|_A^p \, dx \right| \leq \varepsilon + C_1 \left(\varepsilon \int_{\omega} |\nabla(v_k - v_l)|^p \, dx + C_2 \varepsilon^{n/(n-pq)} \int_{\omega} |v_k - v_l|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p}, \tag{3-24}$$

where C_1 and C_2 are positive constants independent of k and l . The convergence in $L^p(\omega)$ of v_k to v implies that there exists $m_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\int_{\omega} |v_k - v_l|^p \, dx \leq \varepsilon^{n/(pq-n)+1} \quad \text{for all } k, l \geq m_\varepsilon.$$

Coupling this with (3-24) implies that $\{\|\nabla v_k\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

By a similar argument, one shows that

$$Q_{A,p,V}[w] = \lambda_1 \|w\|_{L^p(\omega)}^p,$$

hence, w is a minimizer of the Rayleigh–Ritz variational problem (3-3), and hence an eigenfunction of (3-1) with $\lambda = \lambda_1$. The simplicity of λ_1 implies that $w = \pm v$, where $v > 0$ is the normalized principal eigenfunction with an eigenvalue λ_1 . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $v_k \rightarrow v$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\omega)$.

Set $\omega_k^- := \{x \in \omega \mid v_k < 0\}$. By Lemma 3.4 (with $\mathcal{V} = V - \lambda_k$) we have that v_k^- is a subsolution of $Q'_{A,p,V-\lambda_k}[u] = 0$ in ω , and thus, from (3-2),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\omega} |\nabla v_k^-|_A^p \, dx & \leq \int_{\omega} |V - \lambda_k| |v_k^-|^p \, dx \\
 & \leq \delta \|\nabla v_k^-\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}^p + C(n, p, q) \delta^{-n/(pq-n)} \|V - \lambda_k\|_{M^q(p;\omega)}^{pq/(pq-n)} \|v_k^-\|_{L^p(\omega)}^p
 \end{aligned}$$

for any $\delta > 0$, where we have used Theorem 2.4. For $\delta < \theta_\omega^p$ we deduce, because of assumption (E), that

$$(\theta_\omega^p - \delta) \|\nabla v_k^-\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}^p \leq C(n, p, q) \delta^{-n/(pq-n)} \|V - \lambda_k\|_{M^q(p;\omega)}^{pq/(pq-n)} \|v_k^-\|_{L^p(\omega)}^p.$$

Since $v_k^- \equiv 0$ in $\omega \setminus \omega_k^-$, we use Poincaré’s inequality

$$\|v_k^-\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq \left(\frac{\mathcal{L}^n(\omega_k^-)}{\mathcal{L}^n(B_1)} \right)^{1/n} \|\nabla v_k^-\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{3-25}$$

to get

$$(\theta_\omega^p - \delta) \|\nabla v_k^-\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}^p \leq C(n, p, q) \delta^{-n/(pq-n)} \|V - \lambda_k\|_{M^q(p; \omega)}^{pq/(pq-n)} \left(\frac{\mathcal{L}^n(\omega_k^-)}{\mathcal{L}^n(B_1)} \right)^{p/n} \|\nabla v_k^-\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}^p.$$

Canceling $\|\nabla v_k^-\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)}^p$, rearranging and raising to the power n/p , we arrive at

$$\mathcal{L}^n(\omega_k^-) \geq C(n, p, q) \mathcal{L}^n(B_1) (\theta_\omega^p - \delta)^{n/p} \delta^{n^2/[p(pq-n)]} \|V - \lambda_k\|_{M^q(p; \omega)}^{-nq/(pq-n)}. \tag{3-26}$$

Notice that $\|V - \lambda_1\|_{M^q(p; \omega)}$ is a strictly positive number. Indeed, assume that $\|V - \lambda_1\|_{M^q(p; \omega)} = 0$. Then v_1 is a nontrivial solution of the Dirichlet problem for the (p, A) -Laplace operator which is false under our assumptions on A (see [Heinonen et al. 1993; Pucci and Serrin 2007], for example).

On the other hand, $\|V - \lambda_k\|_{M^q(p; \omega)} \rightarrow \|V - \lambda_1\|_{M^q(p; \omega)}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|V - \lambda_k\|_{M^q(p; \omega)} \geq C \|V - \lambda_1\|_{M^q(p; \omega)} \quad \text{for all } k \geq k_0. \tag{3-27}$$

Consequently, (3-27) applied to (3-26) implies that

$$\mathcal{L}^n(\omega_k^-) \geq C > 0 \quad \text{for all } k \geq k_0$$

for a positive constant C independent on k .

With this at hand, the rest of the proof follows [Anane 1987, Théorème 2]. We include it for completeness: Let $\eta > 0$. Recalling that v is continuous in ω , we may pick a compact set $\omega_\eta \Subset \omega$ and $m_\eta > 0$, such that $\mathcal{L}^n(\omega \setminus \omega_\eta) < \eta$ and $v(x) \geq m_\eta$ for every $x \in \omega_\eta$. Up to subsequence that we don't rename, v_k converges to v a.e. in ω , and thus in ω_η . By the Egoroff theorem (see [Evans and Gariepy 1992, §1.2]) we have the existence of a measurable set $\omega' \subset \omega_\eta$ with $\mathcal{L}^n(\omega') < \eta$ such that v_k converges uniformly to v on $\omega_\eta \setminus \omega'$. Since $v \geq m_\eta > 0$ in ω_η we deduce that for any k large enough we have $v_k \geq 0$ on $\omega_\eta \setminus \omega'$. Thus, $\omega_k^- \subset \omega' \cup (\omega \setminus \omega_\eta)$, which implies that $\mathcal{L}^n(\omega_k^-) \leq 2\eta$. Since $\eta > 0$ is arbitrary, for k large enough this contradicts our estimate $\mathcal{L}^n(\omega_k^-) \geq C_1$. \square

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section. Extending the corresponding results in [García-Melián and Sabina de Lis 1998; Pinchover and Regev 2015], we have:

Theorem 3.10. *Let ω be a bounded domain, and assume that A is a uniformly elliptic, bounded matrix in ω , and $V \in M^q(p; \omega)$. Consider the following assertions:*

- (α_1) $Q'_{A,p,V}$ satisfies the weak maximum principle in ω .
- (α_2) $Q'_{A,p,V}$ satisfies the strong maximum principle in ω .
- (α_3) $\lambda_1 > 0$.
- (α_4) The equation $Q'_{A,p,V}[v] = 0$ admits a positive strict supersolution in $W_0^{1,p}(\omega)$.
- (α'_4) The equation $Q'_{A,p,V}[v] = 0$ admits a positive strict supersolution in $W^{1,p}(\omega)$.
- (α_5) For $0 \leq g \in L^p(\omega)$, there exists a unique nonnegative solution in $W_0^{1,p}(\omega)$ of $Q'_{A,p,V}[v] = g$.

Then $\alpha_1 \iff \alpha_2 \iff \alpha_3 \implies \alpha_4 \implies \alpha'_4$, and $\alpha_3 \implies \alpha_5 \implies \alpha_4$.

Remark 3.11. In Corollary 4.14 we prove (imposing stronger regularity assumptions on A and V when $p < 2$) that in fact, $\alpha'_4 \implies \alpha_3$. Hence, under these additional assumptions for $p < 2$, all the above assertions are equivalent.

Proof. $\alpha_1 \implies \alpha_2$: Let $v \in W^{1,p}(\omega)$ be a solution of (3-4) and suppose $v \geq 0$ on $\partial\omega$. The nonnegativity of g and the weak maximum principle implies that v is a nonnegative supersolution of (2-3) in ω . Suppose that for some $x_0, x_1 \in \omega$ we have $v(x_0) \neq 0$ and $v(x_1) = 0$ and let $\omega' \Subset \omega$ contain both x_0 and x_1 . Recalling Remark 2.10, we apply the weak Harnack inequality if $p \leq n$, or the Harnack inequality if $p > n$, to get $v \equiv 0$ in ω' . This contradicts the assumption that $v(x_0) \neq 0$. Thus, if $v \neq 0$ we necessarily have $v > 0$ in ω .

$\alpha_2 \implies \alpha_3$: Suppose that $\lambda_1 \leq 0$ and let $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\omega)$ be the corresponding principal eigenfunction. Then $u := -v$ is a supersolution of (2-3) in ω , satisfying $u = 0$ on $\partial\omega$, and $u \neq 0$. By the strong maximum principle, u is positive, which is absurd.

$\alpha_3 \implies \alpha_1$: Let $v \in W^{1,p}(\omega)$ be a solution of (3-4) such that $v \geq 0$ on $\partial\omega$. Taking $v^- \in W_0^{1,p}(\omega)$ as a test function we see that

$$Q_{A,p,V}[v^-; \omega] = \int_{\omega^-} g v \, dx,$$

where $\omega^- := \{x \in \omega \mid v < 0\}$. The nonnegativity of g gives $Q_{A,p,V}[v^-; \omega] \leq 0$, which implies that $\lambda_1 \leq 0$. Thus, we must have $v^- = 0$ a.e. in ω , or in other words $v \geq 0$ a.e. in ω .

$\alpha_3 \implies \alpha_4$: Since $\lambda_1 > 0$, it follows that the principal eigenfunction is a positive strict supersolution of (2-3) in ω .

$\alpha_4 \implies \alpha'_4$: This is trivial.

$\alpha_3 \implies \alpha_5$: Consider the functional

$$J[u] := Q_{A,p,V}[u; \omega] - \int_{\omega} g u \, dx, \quad u \in W_0^{1,p}(\omega).$$

By Proposition 3.6(a), J is weakly lower semicontinuous in $W_0^{1,p}(\omega)$ and, by Proposition 3.7(b), J is coercive. Therefore, the corresponding Dirichlet problem admits a solution $v_1 \in W_0^{1,p}(\omega)$ (see [Struwe 2008, Theorem 1.2], for example). Since $\alpha_3 \implies \alpha_2$, this solution is either zero or strictly positive.

If $v_1 = 0$, then $g = 0$, and by the uniqueness of the principal eigenvalue, equation (2-3) in ω does not admit a positive solution in $W_0^{1,p}(\omega)$. So, we may assume that $v_1 > 0$ and let $v_2 \in W_0^{1,p}(\omega)$ be another positive solution. Applying Lemma 3.3(i) with $g_1 = g_2 = g$ and $V_1 = V_2 = V$, we obtain

$$0 \geq \int_{\omega} g \left(\frac{1}{v_{1,h}^{p-1}} - \frac{1}{v_{2,h}^{p-1}} \right) (v_{1,h}^p - v_{2,h}^p) \, dx \geq \int_{\omega} V \left(\left(\frac{v_1}{v_{1,h}} \right)^{p-1} - \left(\frac{v_2}{v_{2,h}} \right)^{p-1} \right) (v_{1,h}^p - v_{2,h}^p) \, dx.$$

The integrand of the integral on the right converges to 0 a.e. in ω , and also it satisfies the following estimate for every $h < 1$:

$$\left| V \left(\left(\frac{v_1}{v_{1,h}} \right)^{p-1} - \left(\frac{v_2}{v_{2,h}} \right)^{p-1} \right) (v_{1,h}^p - v_{2,h}^p) \right| \leq 2|V|((v_1 + 1)^p + (v_2 + 1)^p) \in L^1(\omega).$$

Thus

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{\omega} g \left(\frac{1}{v_{1,h}^{p-1}} - \frac{1}{v_{2,h}^{p-1}} \right) (v_{1,h}^p - v_{2,h}^p) \, dx = 0,$$

which, together with Fatou’s lemma, implies that the right-hand side of (3-6) equals zero. Thus, $v_2 = v_1$ a.e. in ω .

$\alpha_5 \implies \alpha_4$: Let $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\omega)$ be a positive solution of (3-4) with $g \equiv 1$. Then v is readily a positive strict supersolution of (2-3) in ω . □

4. Positive global solutions

In the present section we pass from local to global properties of positive solutions of the equation (2-3) in Ω . In Section 4A we establish the AP theorem, while in Section 4B we prove among other results the equivalence of the first four statements of the Main Theorem.

4A. The AP theorem. In this subsection we prove the AP theorem for the operator $Q'_{A,p,V}$ under hypothesis (H0). We will add a couple of equivalent assertions to this theorem, regarding the first-order equation

$$-\operatorname{div}_A T + (p - 1)|T|_A^{p'} = V \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{4-1}$$

where $\operatorname{div}_A T = \operatorname{div}(AT)$ and $T \in L^{p'}_{\operatorname{loc}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$; see [Jaye et al. 2012, Theorem 1.3] for a similar study when $A = I_n$ and $p = 2$.

Definition 4.1. Suppose that the matrix A satisfies (S) and (E) and let $V \in L^1_{\operatorname{loc}}(\Omega)$. A vector field $T \in L^{p'}_{\operatorname{loc}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a *solution* of (4-1) in Ω if

$$\int_{\Omega} AT \cdot \nabla u \, dx + (p - 1) \int_{\Omega} |T|_A^{p'} u \, dx = \int_{\Omega} V u \, dx \quad \text{for all } u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega), \tag{4-2}$$

a *subsolution* of (4-1) in Ω if

$$\int_{\Omega} AT \cdot \nabla u \, dx + (p - 1) \int_{\Omega} |T|_A^{p'} u \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega} V u \, dx \quad \text{for all nonnegative } u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega), \tag{4-3}$$

and a *supersolution* if the reverse inequality holds.

Remark 4.2. The additional assumption $V \in M^q_{\operatorname{loc}}(p; \Omega)$ allows the replacement of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ by $W_c^{1,p}(\Omega)$ in Definition 4.1.

Theorem 4.3 (the AP theorem). *Under hypothesis (H0), the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (A₁) $Q_{A,p,V}[u] \geq 0$ for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$.
- (A₂) $Q'_{A,p,V}[w] = 0$ admits a positive solution $v \in W_{\operatorname{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$.
- (A₃) $Q'_{A,p,V}[w] = 0$ admits a positive supersolution $\tilde{v} \in W_{\operatorname{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$.
- (A₄) (4-1) admits a solution $T \in L^{p'}_{\operatorname{loc}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$.
- (A₅) (4-1) admits a subsolution $\tilde{T} \in L^{p'}_{\operatorname{loc}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. We prove $\mathcal{A}_1 \implies \mathcal{A}_2 \implies \mathcal{A}_j \implies \mathcal{A}_5 \implies \mathcal{A}_1$, where $j = 3, 4$.

$\mathcal{A}_1 \implies \mathcal{A}_2$: We fix a point $x_0 \in \Omega$ and let $\{\omega_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of Lipschitz domains such that $x_0 \in \omega_1$, $\omega_i \Subset \omega_{i+1} \Subset \Omega$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \omega_i = \Omega$. For $i \geq 2$, we consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{Q}'_{A,p,V+1/i}[u] = f_i & \text{in } \omega_i, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\omega_i, \end{cases} \tag{4-4}$$

where $f_i \in C_c^\infty(\omega_i \setminus \bar{\omega}_{i-1}) \setminus \{0\}$ are nonnegative. Assertion \mathcal{A}_1 implies

$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{Q}_{A,p,V+1/i}; \omega_i) \geq \frac{1}{i} \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N},$$

so that by [Theorem 3.10](#) there exists a positive solution $v_i \in W_0^{1,p}(\omega_i)$ of (4-4). Since $\text{supp}\{f_i\} \subset \omega_i \setminus \bar{\omega}_{i-1}$, setting $\omega'_i = \omega_{i-1}$ we have

$$\int_{\omega_i} |\nabla v_i|_A^{p-2} A \nabla v_i \cdot \nabla u \, dx + \int_{\omega_i} (V + 1/i)v_i^{p-1} u \, dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in W_0^{1,p}(\omega'_i). \tag{4-5}$$

By [Theorem 2.7](#), the solutions v_i we have obtained are continuous. We may thus normalize f_i so that $v_i(x_0) = 1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. To arrive to the desired conclusion we apply the Harnack convergence principle ([Proposition 2.11](#)) with $\mathcal{V}_i := V + 1/i$.

$\mathcal{A}_2 \implies \mathcal{A}_3$: This is immediate with $\tilde{v} = v$.

$\mathcal{A}_2 \implies \mathcal{A}_4$ and $\mathcal{A}_3 \implies \mathcal{A}_5$: Let v be a positive (super)solution of (2-3). By the weak Harnack inequality ([Remark 2.10](#)) if $p \leq n$, or by the Harnack inequality if $p > n$, we have $1/v \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\Omega)$. Set

$$T := -|\nabla \log v|_A^{p-2} \nabla \log v,$$

and let $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. We may thus pick $|u|^p v^{1-p} \in W_c^{1,p}(\Omega)$ as a test function in (2-6) to get

$$(p-1) \int_{\Omega} |T|_A^{p'} |u|^p \, dx \leq p \int_{\Omega} |T|_A |u|^{p-1} |\nabla u|_A \, dx + \int_{\Omega} V |u|^p \, dx. \tag{4-6}$$

Note that from (4-6) we obtain \mathcal{A}_1 just by using Young's inequality $pab \leq (p-1)a^{p'} + b^p$ with $a = |T|_A |u|^{p-1}$ and $b = |\nabla u|_A$ in the first term of the right-hand side. Towards \mathcal{A}_3 , we use instead Young's inequality

$$pab \leq \eta a^{p'} + \left(\frac{p-1}{\eta}\right)^{p-1} b^p, \tag{4-7}$$

with $\eta \in (0, p-1)$ and the above a, b . We arrive at

$$(p-1-\eta) \int_{\Omega} |T|_A^{p'} |u|^p \, dx \leq \left(\frac{p-1}{\eta}\right)^{p-1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|_A^p \, dx + \int_{\Omega} V |u|^p \, dx.$$

This, together with (E) and [Theorem 2.4](#) imply, by specializing u , that $T \in L_{\text{loc}}^{p'}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$. Next we show that T is a (sub)solution of (4-1). To this end, for $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, or for nonnegative $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, we pick $uv^{1-p} \in W_c^{1,p}(\Omega)$ as a test function in (2-5) or (2-6), respectively, to obtain

$$- \int_{\Omega} AT \cdot \nabla u \, dx - (p-1) \int_{\Omega} |T|_A^{p'} u \, dx + \int_{\Omega} Vu \, dx = 0,$$

or \geq in the supersolution case.

$\mathcal{A}_4 \implies \mathcal{A}_5$: This is immediate with $\tilde{T} = T$.

$\mathcal{A}_5 \implies \mathcal{A}_1$: Suppose now that $T \in L^{p'}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and let $u \in C^\infty_c(\Omega)$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\Omega} AT \cdot \nabla(|u|^p) \, dx &= -p \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-1} AT \cdot \nabla|u| \, dx \\ &\leq p \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-1} |T|_A |\nabla u|_A \, dx \\ &\leq (p-1) \int_{\Omega} |u|^p |T|^{p'}_A \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|_A^p \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we have also used Young’s inequality $pab \leq (p-1)a^{p'} + b^p$, with $a = |u|^{p-1}|T|_A$ and $b = |\nabla u|_A$. This readily implies

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|_A^p \, dx \geq - \int_{\Omega} AT \cdot \nabla(|u|^p) \, dx - (p-1) \int_{\Omega} |T|^{p'}_A |u|^p \, dx \quad \text{for all } u \in C^\infty_c(\Omega). \tag{4-8}$$

If T is a subsolution of (4-1) then, testing (4-3) by $|u|^p$, one readily sees from (4-8) that $Q_{A,p,V}[u]$ is nonnegative for any $u \in C^\infty_c(\Omega)$. □

Remark 4.4. Inequality (4-8) with $A = I_n$ has been obtained in [Fleckinger et al. 1999].

4B. Criticality theory. In the present subsection we generalize several global positivity properties of the functional $Q_{A,p,V}$, where A and V satisfy (at least) our regularity assumption (H0). For the convenience of the reader, we recall the following terminology:

Definition 4.5. Assume that $Q_{A,p,V}$ is nonnegative in Ω (that is, $Q_{A,p,V}[u] \geq 0$ for all $u \in C^\infty_c(\Omega)$) with coefficients satisfying hypothesis (H0). Then $Q_{A,p,V}$ is called *subcritical* in Ω if there exists a nonnegative weight function $W \in M^q_{\text{loc}}(p; \Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$Q_{A,p,V}[u] \geq \int_{\Omega} W|u|^p \, dx \quad \text{for all } u \in C^\infty_c(\Omega). \tag{4-9}$$

If this is not the case, then $Q_{A,p,V}$ is called *critical* in Ω .

The functional $Q_{A,p,V}$ is called *supercritical* in Ω if $Q_{A,p,V}$ is not nonnegative in Ω (that is, there exists $u \in C^\infty_c(\Omega)$ such that $Q_{A,p,V}[u] < 0$).

Definition 4.6. A sequence $\{u_k\} \subset W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ is called a *null sequence* with respect to the nonnegative functional $Q_{A,p,V}$ in Ω if

- (a) $u_k \geq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (b) there exists a fixed open set $K \Subset \Omega$ such that $\|u_k\|_{L^p(K)} = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (c) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Q_{A,p,V}[u_k] = 0$.

We call a positive $\phi \in W^{1,p}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ a *ground state* of $Q_{A,p,V}$ in Ω if ϕ is an $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ limit of a null sequence.

Remark 4.7. Let $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain, and suppose that A is a uniformly elliptic and bounded matrix in ω , and $V \in M^q(p; \omega)$. Let v_1 be the principal eigenfunction with eigenvalue λ_1 . Set $C_K := \|v_1\|_{L^p(K)}$, where $K \Subset \omega$ is fixed. Then the constant sequence $\{C_K^{-1}v_1\}$ is a null sequence and $C_K^{-1}v_1$ is a ground state of $Q_{A,p,V-\lambda_1}$ in ω .

The following proposition states an elementary positivity property of the functional $Q_{A,p,V}$:

Proposition 4.8. *Suppose that $V_2 \geq V_1$ a.e. in Ω and $\mathcal{L}^n(\{V_2 > V_1\}) > 0$.*

- (a) *If Q_{A,p,V_1} is nonnegative in Ω , then Q_{A,p,V_2} is subcritical in Ω .*
- (b) *If Q_{A,p,V_2} is critical in Ω , then Q_{A,p,V_1} is supercritical in Ω .*

Proof. Part (b) follows from part (a) by contradiction, and, from the obvious relation

$$Q_{A,p,V_2}[u] = Q_{A,p,V_1}[u] + \int_{\Omega} (V_2 - V_1)|u|^p \, dx \quad \text{for all } u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega),$$

part (a) is evident. □

Note here that Definitions 4.5 and 4.6, and also Proposition 4.8 make perfect sense if V is merely in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ for all values of p .

Now we connect the (sub)criticality of the functional $Q_{A,p,V}$ in Ω with the existence of positive weak (super)solutions for equation (2-3) in Ω , through the existence of ground states. Towards this we need to give sufficient conditions on A and V , under which a null sequence with respect to the nonnegative functional $Q_{A,p,V}$ will converge in L^p_{loc} to a function in $W^{1,p}_{\text{loc}}$.

We need the following definition for the case $1 < p < 2$.

Definition 4.9. Suppose that $1 < p < 2$. A positive supersolution v of (2-3) will be called *regular* provided that v and $|\nabla v|$ are locally bounded a.e. in Ω .

Remark 4.10. Under hypothesis (H1) for $1 < p < 2$, any positive supersolution v of (2-3) satisfying $Q_{A,p,V}[v] = g \geq 0$ with $g \in L^{p'}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ is regular (see Remark 2.9).

We start with the following proposition, which gives us the intuition that any null sequence converges in some sense to *any* positive (regular if $p < 2$) (super)solution. Note that our proof for the case $p < 2$ is considerably shorter than the corresponding proof in [Pinchover and Tintarev 2007; Pinchover and Regev 2015].

Proposition 4.11. *Suppose that $\{u_k\} \subset W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ is a null sequence with respect to a nonnegative functional $Q_{A,p,V}$ in Ω with coefficients satisfying hypothesis (H0).*

Let v be a positive supersolution of the equation (2-3) in Ω . If $1 < p < 2$ we assume further that v is regular. Set $w_k := u_k/v$. Then $\{w_k\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,p}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, and $\nabla w_k \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Let $K \Subset \Omega$ be the set such that the null sequence $\{u_k\}$ satisfies $\|u_k\|_{L^p(K)} = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix a Lipschitz domain ω such that $K \Subset \omega \Subset \Omega$.

By the Minkowski and Poincaré inequalities, and the weak Harnack inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_k\|_{L^p(\omega)} &\leq \|w_k - \langle w_k \rangle_K\|_{L^p(\omega)} + \langle w_k \rangle_K (\mathcal{L}^n(\omega))^{1/p} \\ &\leq C(n, p, \omega, K) \|\nabla w_k\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} + \frac{1}{\inf_K v} \langle u_k \rangle_K (\mathcal{L}^n(\omega))^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|u_k\|_{L^p(K)} = 1$, applying Holder’s inequality we deduce

$$\|w_k\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C(n, p, \omega, K) \|\nabla w_k\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} + \frac{1}{\inf_K v} \left(\frac{\mathcal{L}^n(\omega)}{\mathcal{L}^n(K)} \right)^{1/p}. \tag{4-10}$$

Let

$$I(v, w_k) := C(p) \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} v^p |\nabla w_k|_A^p \, dx, & p \geq 2, \\ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_k|_A^2 (|\nabla(vw_k)|_A + w_k |\nabla v|_A)^{p-2} \, dx, & 1 \leq p < 2, \end{cases}$$

where $C(p)$ is the constant in (3-8). We now use (3-8) with $\alpha = \nabla(w_k v) = \nabla u_k$ and $\beta = w_k \nabla v$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I(v, w_k) &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|_A^p \, dx - \int_{\Omega} w_k^p |\nabla v|_A^p \, dx - \int_{\Omega} v |\nabla v|_A^{p-2} A \nabla v \cdot \nabla(w_k^p) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|_A^p \, dx - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|_A^{p-2} A \nabla v \cdot \nabla(w_k^p v) \, dx. \end{aligned} \tag{4-11}$$

Since v is a positive supersolution, we get

$$I(v, w_k) \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|_A^p \, dx + \int_{\Omega} V u_k^p \, dx = Q_{A,p,v}[u_k]. \tag{4-12}$$

Suppose now that $p \geq 2$. Using the definition of I , and the weak Harnack inequality, we obtain from (4-12) that

$$c \int_{\omega} |\nabla w_k|^p \, dx \leq C(p) \int_{\Omega} v^p |\nabla w_k|_A^p \, dx \leq Q_{A,p,v}[u_k] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty, \tag{4-13}$$

where $c > 0$ is a positive constant. By the weak compactness of $W^{1,p}(\omega)$, we get for $p \geq 2$ that (up to a subsequence)

$$\nabla w_k \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^p_{\text{loc}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n). \tag{4-14}$$

By (4-10) and (4-13), we have that w_k is bounded in $W^{1,p}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ for any $p \geq 2$.

On the other hand, if $p < 2$, then by the definition of I and (4-12), we get

$$C(p) \int_{\Omega} \frac{v^2 |\nabla w_k|_A^2}{(|\nabla(vw_k)|_A + w_k |\nabla v|_A)^{2-p}} \, dx \leq Q_{A,p,v}[u_k] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

For convenience we set $q_k = Q_{A,p,V}[u_k]$. By Hölder’s inequality with conjugate exponents $2/p$ and $2/(2 - p)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\omega} v^p |\nabla w_k|_A^p \, dx &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{v^2 |\nabla w_k|_A^2}{(|\nabla(vw_k)|_A + w_k |\nabla v|_A)^{2-p}} \, dx \right)^{p/2} \left(\int_{\omega} (|\nabla(vw_k)|_A + w_k |\nabla v|_A)^p \, dx \right)^{1-p/2} \\ &\leq C(p)^{-1} q_k^{p/2} \left(\int_{\omega} v^p |\nabla w_k|_A^p \, dx + \int_{\omega} w_k^p |\nabla v|_A^p \, dx \right)^{1-p/2} \\ &\leq C(p)^{-1} q_k^{p/2} \left(\int_{\omega} v^p |\nabla w_k|_A^p \, dx + \int_{\omega} w_k^p |\nabla v|_A^p \, dx + 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since v is locally bounded and locally bounded away from zero, $|\nabla v|$ is locally bounded, and A is uniformly elliptic and bounded in ω , we get using (4-10) that, for some positive constants c_j , $1 \leq j \leq 4$, that are independent of k ,

$$c_1 \int_{\omega} |\nabla w_k|^p \, dx \leq c_2 q_k^{p/2} \left(\int_{\omega} |\nabla w_k|^p \, dx + \int_{\omega} w_k^p \, dx + 1 \right) \leq c_2 q_k^{p/2} \left(c_3 \int_{\omega} |\nabla w_k|^p \, dx + c_4 \right).$$

Since $q_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that also in the case $p < 2$ we have

$$\nabla w_k \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^p_{\text{loc}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n),$$

and thus by (4-10) we have that w_k is bounded in $W^{1,p}_{\text{loc}}(\omega)$ for any $p < 2$. □

Several consequences follow. In the following statement, uniqueness is meant up to a positive multiplicative constant.

Theorem 4.12. *Suppose that $Q_{A,p,V}$ is nonnegative in Ω with A and V satisfying hypothesis (H0) if $p \geq 2$, or (H1) if $1 < p < 2$. Then any null sequence with respect to $Q_{A,p,V}$ converges, in L^p_{loc} and a.e. in Ω , to a unique positive (regular if $p < 2$) supersolution of (2-3) in Ω . In particular, a ground state is the unique positive solution and the unique positive (regular if $p < 2$) supersolution of (2-3) in Ω , and so the ground state is C^γ if $p \geq 2$, or $C^{1,\gamma}$ if $1 < p < 2$.*

Remark 4.13. At this point we need to add the stronger assumption (H1) on A and V in the case $1 < p < 2$, since in this case we assume the existence of a positive regular (super)solution. In fact, the proof presented here for $p < 2$ applies under the least assumptions on A and V that ensure the Lipschitz continuity of positive solutions. This fails if we just keep the assumption (E) on the matrix A , even for $V \equiv 0$ (see [Jin et al. 2009]). To our knowledge, the least known assumptions on A and V ensuring the Lipschitz continuity of solutions are due to Lieberman [1993] (see our Remark 2.9).

Proof of Theorem 4.12. From the AP theorem we may fix a positive (regular if $p < 2$) supersolution $v \in W^{1,p}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ and a positive (regular if $p < 2$) solution $\tilde{v} \in W^{1,p}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ of (2-3). Setting $w_k = u_k/v$, we have, by Proposition 4.11, that $\nabla w_k \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$. The Rellich–Kondrachov theorem implies (see the proof of [Lieb and Loss 2001, Theorem 8.11]) that, up to a subsequence, $w_k \rightarrow c$ for some $c \geq 0$ in $W^{1,p}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$. This implies in turn that, up to a further subsequence, $u_k \rightarrow cv$ a.e. in Ω and also in $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$. Consequently, $c = 1/\|v\|_{L^p(K)} > 0$. It follows that any null sequence $\{u_k\}$ converges (up to a positive

multiplicative constant) to the same positive (regular if $p < 2$) supersolution v . Since the solution \tilde{v} is a (regular if $p < 2$) supersolution, we see that $v = C\tilde{v}$ for some $C > 0$, and therefore it is also the unique positive solution of (2-3) in Ω . \square

We can now close the chain of implications between the assertions of [Theorem 3.10](#) (see [Remark 3.11](#)).

Corollary 4.14. *Let $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and suppose that A is uniformly elliptic and bounded matrix in ω , and $V \in M^q(p; \omega)$. If $1 < p < 2$, we suppose in addition that A and V satisfy hypothesis (H1).*

If the equation $Q'_{A,p,V}[v] = 0$ admits a positive, regular, strict supersolution in $W^{1,p}(\omega)$, then the principal eigenvalue is strictly positive.

Hence, all assertions of [Theorem 3.10](#) are equivalent (if by a supersolution we mean, when $p < 2$, a regular one).

Proof. $\alpha'_4 \implies \alpha_3$: From the AP theorem we get $Q_{A,p,V}[u; \omega] \geq 0$ for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\omega)$, which implies that $\lambda_1 \geq 0$. Suppose that $\lambda_1 = 0$. Then, by [Remark 4.7](#) and [Theorem 4.12](#), the principal eigenfunction, which is a positive (regular if $p < 2$) solution of (2-3) in ω is the unique (regular if $p < 2$) positive supersolution of that equation. This shows that this equation cannot have a positive strict (regular if $p < 2$) supersolution. \square

In the next theorem we state characterizations of criticality, subcriticality and existence of a null sequence. We also state a useful Poincaré inequality in the case where $Q_{A,p,V}$ is critical. It generalizes the corresponding results in [[Pinchover and Tintarev 2006; 2007; 2008](#); [Pinchover and Regev 2015](#); [Takáč and Tintarev 2008](#)].

Theorem 4.15. *Suppose that $Q_{A,p,V}$ is nonnegative on $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ with A and V satisfying hypothesis (H0) if $p \geq 2$, or (H1) if $1 < p < 2$. Then*

- (i) $Q_{A,p,V}$ is critical in Ω if and only if $Q_{A,p,V}$ admits a null sequence.
- (ii) $Q_{A,p,V}$ admits a null sequence if and only if (2-3) admits a unique positive (regular if $p < 2$) supersolution.
- (iii) $Q_{A,p,V}$ is subcritical in Ω if and only if there exists a strictly positive weight function $W \in C^0(\Omega)$ such that (4-9) holds true.
- (iv) If $Q_{A,p,V}$ admits a ground state ϕ , then there exists a strictly positive weight function $W \in C^0(\Omega)$ such that, for every $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ with $\int_\Omega \phi \psi \, dx \neq 0$, the following Poincaré-type inequality holds:

$$Q_{A,p,V}[u] + C \left| \int_\Omega u \psi \, dx \right|^p \geq \frac{1}{C} \int_\Omega W |u|^p \, dx \quad \text{for all } u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$$

and some positive constant $C > 0$.

Remark 4.16. In the sequel ([Lemma 4.22](#)) we add the following accompaniment to (i): if $Q_{A,p,V}$ is critical in Ω , then there exists a null sequence that converges locally uniformly in Ω to the ground state.

Proof of Theorem 4.15. (i) If $Q_{A,p,V}$ is critical in Ω , we claim that, for any $\emptyset \neq K \Subset \Omega$,

$$c_K := \inf_{\substack{0 \leq u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega) \\ \|u\|_{L^p(K)} = 1}} Q_{A,p,V}[u] = 0. \tag{4-15}$$

To see this, pick $W \in C_c^\infty(K) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $0 \leq W \leq 1$. Then

$$c_K \int_{\Omega} W|u|^p \, dx \leq c_K \leq Q_{A,p,V}[u] \quad \text{for all } u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega) \text{ with } \|u\|_{L^p(K)} = 1,$$

a contradiction to the criticality of $Q_{A,p,V}$ in case $c_K > 0$. Picking one such K , (4-15) implies the existence of a null sequence with respect to $Q_{A,p,V}$.

If $Q_{A,p,V}$ admits a null sequence then, by Theorem 4.12, (2-3) admits a unique positive solution v , which is also its unique (regular if $p < 2$) positive supersolution. Suppose now, to the contrary, that $Q_{A,p,V}$ is subcritical in Ω with a nonzero nonnegative weight W . By the AP theorem we obtain a positive solution w of the equation $Q'_{A,p,V-W}[u] = 0$, which is readily another positive supersolution of (2-3). This contradicts the uniqueness of v , and thus $Q_{A,p,V}$ has to be critical in Ω .

(ii) The sufficiency is captured by Theorem 4.12. To prove the necessity, let v be the unique positive (super)solution of $Q'_{A,p,V}$ in Ω . By part (i) we have that the nonexistence of null sequences with respect to $Q_{A,p,V}$ implies that $Q_{A,p,V}$ is subcritical in Ω . Now the same argument as in the proof of the necessity of the first statement of part (i) implies that v is not unique, a contradiction.

(iii) The necessity follows by the definition of subcriticality. On the other hand, the proof of the sufficiency of the first statement of (i) implies that $c_K > 0$ for any domain $K \Subset \Omega$. Using a standard partition of unity argument we arrive at a strictly positive W that satisfies (4-9) (see [Pinchover and Tintarev 2007, Lemma 3.1]).

(iv) The proof is identical to [Pinchover and Tintarev 2007, Theorem 1.6(4)] (and also [Pinchover and Regev 2015]). □

Corollary 4.17. *Suppose that for $i = 0, 1$ the functional Q_{A,p,V_i} is nonnegative in Ω with A and V_i satisfying hypothesis (H0) if $p \geq 2$, or (H1) if $1 < p < 2$. For $t \in (0, 1)$ set*

$$V_t := (1 - t)V_0 + tV_1.$$

Then Q_{A,p,V_t} is nonnegative in Ω for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{L}^n(\{V_0 \neq V_1\}) > 0$, then Q_{A,p,V_t} is subcritical in Ω for any $t \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. The nonnegativity of Q_{A,p,V_t} for $t \in (0, 1)$ follows from the obvious relation

$$Q_{A,p,V_t}[u] = (1 - t)Q_{A,p,V_0}[u] + tQ_{A,p,V_1}[u]. \tag{4-16}$$

Suppose now that $\{u_k\} \subset C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ is a null sequence with respect to Q_{A,p,V_t} in Ω for some $t \in (0, 1)$ such that $u_k \rightarrow \phi$ in $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$. It follows from (4-16) that $\{u_k\}$ is also a null sequence for Q_{A,p,V_0} and Q_{A,p,V_1} in Ω . By Theorem 4.12, ϕ is a solution of $Q'_{A,p,V_i}[u] = 0$ in Ω , for both values of i , which is impossible since $\mathcal{L}^n(\{V_0 \neq V_1\}) > 0$. □

Finally, we state generalizations of the corresponding results in [Pinchover and Tintarev 2007; Pinchover and Regev 2015]. We skip their proofs since they are essentially the same.

Proposition 4.18. *Suppose $\Omega' \subsetneq \Omega$ is a domain. Let A and V satisfy hypothesis (H0) if $p \geq 2$, or (H1) if $1 < p < 2$.*

- (a) *If $Q_{A,p,V}$ is nonnegative in Ω , then $Q_{A,p,V}$ is subcritical in Ω' .*
- (b) *If $Q_{A,p,V}$ is critical in Ω' , then $Q_{A,p,V}$ is supercritical in Ω .*

Proposition 4.19. *Suppose that $Q_{A,p,V}$ is subcritical in Ω with A and V satisfying hypothesis (H0) if $p \geq 2$, or (H1) if $1 < p < 2$. Let $U \in L^\infty(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ be such that $U \not\equiv 0$ and $\text{supp}\{U\} \Subset \Omega$. Then there exist $\tau_+ > 0$ and $\tau_- \in [-\infty, 0)$ such that $Q_{A,p,V+tU}$ is subcritical in Ω if and only if $t \in (\tau_-, \tau_+)$ and $Q_{A,p,V+\tau_+U}$ is critical in Ω .*

Proposition 4.20. *Suppose that $Q_{A,p,V}$ is critical in Ω with A and V satisfying hypothesis (H0) if $p \geq 2$, or (H1) if $1 < p < 2$. Denote by ϕ the corresponding ground state. Consider $U \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\text{supp}\{U\} \Subset \Omega$. Then there exists $0 < \tau_+ \leq \infty$ such that $Q_{A,p,V+tU}$ is subcritical in Ω for $t \in (0, \tau_+)$ if and only if $\int_\Omega U|\phi|^p dx > 0$.*

The following theorem extends the corresponding theorems in [Pinchover 2007; Pinchover and Regev 2015; Pinchover et al. 2008]; see some applications therein.

Theorem 4.21 (Liouville comparison theorem). *Suppose that for $i = 1, 2$ the functional Q_{A_i,p,V_i} is nonnegative in Ω with A_i and V_i satisfying hypothesis (H0) if $p \geq 2$, or (H1) if $1 < p < 2$. Suppose in addition that:*

- (1) Q_{A_2,p,V_2} admits a ground state ϕ in Ω .
- (2) The equation $Q'_{A_1,p,V_1}[u] = 0$ in Ω admits a weak subsolution ψ with $\psi^+ \neq 0$.
- (3) There exists $M > 0$ such that the matrix $(M\phi(x))^2 A_2(x) - (\psi^+(x))^2 A_1(x)$ is nonnegative-definite in \mathbb{R}^n for almost every $x \in \Omega$.
- (4) There exists $N > 0$ such that $|\nabla\psi|_{A_1(x)}^{p-2} \leq N^{p-2} |\nabla\phi|_{A_2(x)}^{p-2}$ for almost every x in $\Omega \cap \{\psi > 0\}$.

Then the functional Q_{A_1,p,V_1} is critical in Ω , and ψ is the unique positive supersolution of $Q'_{A_1,p,V_1}[u] = 0$ in Ω .

We close this section by showing that the ground state is a locally uniform limit of a null sequence. This is a generalization of the second statement of [Pinchover and Regev 2015, Theorem 6.1(2)]. We give a detailed proof, as it utilizes many of the results presented above.

Lemma 4.22. *Suppose $Q_{A,p,V}$ is critical in Ω with A and V satisfying hypothesis (H0) if $p \geq 2$, or (H1) if $1 < p < 2$. Then $Q_{A,p,V}$ admits a null sequence that converges locally uniformly to the ground state.*

Proof. Let $\{\omega_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of Lipschitz domains such that $\omega_i \Subset \Omega$, $\omega_i \Subset \omega_{i+1}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \omega_i = \Omega$. We fix $x_0 \in \omega_1$ and a nonnegative $U \in C_c^\infty(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ with $\text{supp}\{U\} \subset \omega_1$. By Proposition 4.19, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $t_i > 0$ such that the functional $Q_{A,p,V-t_i U}$ is critical in ω_i . For $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $\phi_i \in W^{1,p}(\omega_i)$ the corresponding ground states, normalized by $\phi_i(x_0) = 1$. The sequence of the t_i is

strictly decreasing with i . Indeed, we have by Proposition 4.18 that $Q_{A,p,V-t_i U}$ has to be supercritical in ω_{i+1} . There thus exists $u \in C_c^\infty(\omega_{i+1})$ such that $Q_{A,p,V-t_i U}[u; \omega_{i+1}] < 0$. This in turn implies that

$$Q_{A,p,V-t_{i+1} U}[u; \omega_{i+1}] < (t_i - t_{i+1}) \int_{\omega_{i+1}} U|u|^p dx.$$

The criticality of $Q_{A,p,V-t_{i+1} U}$ in ω_{i+1} implies by definition that $Q_{A,p,V-t_{i+1} U}$ is nonnegative in ω_{i+1} and thus $t_i > t_{i+1}$. Setting $t_\infty := \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} t_i$, by Harnack’s convergence principle (Proposition 2.11), up to a subsequence, $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges locally uniformly to a positive solution v of the equation $Q'_{A,p,V-t_\infty U}[u] = 0$ in Ω . The AP theorem (Theorem 4.3) implies that $Q_{A,p,V-t_\infty U}$ is nonnegative in Ω . Clearly, $t_\infty \geq 0$. Let us show that in fact $t_\infty = 0$. If not then $V - t_\infty U \leq V$ a.e. in Ω and, since by our assumptions $Q_{A,p,V}$ is critical in Ω , Proposition 4.8(b) gives that $Q_{A,p,V-t_\infty U}$ is supercritical, contradicting its nonnegativity.

Summarizing, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have obtained a ground state $\phi_i \in W^{1,p}(\omega_i)$ of $Q_{A,p,V-t_i U}$ in ω_i , and the sequence $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges locally uniformly to a positive solution v of the equation (2-3) in Ω . To conclude, we will show that $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is in fact a null sequence. Consider the principal eigenvalue $\lambda_1(Q_{A,p,V-t_i U}; \omega_i)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, which is nonnegative. Suppose that for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we had $\lambda_1(Q_{A,p,V-t_i U}; \omega_i) > 0$. Then the principal eigenfunction $v_1^{\omega_i} \in W_0^{1,p}(\omega_i)$ would be a positive, strict supersolution of the equation $Q'_{A,p,V-t_i U}[v; \omega_i] = 0$, which contradicts the fact that ϕ_i is the unique positive supersolution and also a solution of $Q'_{A,p,V-t_i U}[v; \omega_i] = 0$ (see Theorem 4.12). Thus, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda_1(Q_{A,p,V-t_i U}; \omega_i) = 0$ and, since ϕ_i is also the unique positive solution of $Q'_{A,p,V-t_i U}[v; \omega_i] = 0$ (see Theorem 4.12 again), we conclude $\phi_i = v_1^{\omega_i} \in W_0^{1,p}(\omega_i)$. Consequently,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} Q_{A,p,V}[\phi_i] = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} t_i \int_{\Omega_1} U \phi_i^p dx = 0.$$

After a further normalization, we may assume that for some $\emptyset \neq K \Subset \Omega$, there also holds $\|\phi_i\|_{L^p(K)} = 1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. □

5. Positive solutions of minimal growth at infinity

The present section is devoted to the existence of positive solutions of the equation $Q'_{A,p,V}[v] = 0$ in $\Omega \setminus \{x_0\}$ that have minimal growth at infinity in Ω , and their role in criticality theory. For this purpose we extend in the following subsection the *weak comparison principle* (WCP) (cf. [García-Melián and Sabina de Lis 1998; Pinchover and Regev 2015]). Section 5B is devoted to the study of the behaviour of positive solutions near an isolated singularity. Finally, in Section 5C we study positive solutions of minimal growth at infinity in Ω , and prove the last two parts of the Main Theorem.

5A. Weak comparison principle (WCP). We prove first a simple version of the WCP that holds true for the p -Laplacian operator with a nonnegative potential (see [Pucci and Serrin 2007, Theorem 2.4.1], for instance).

Lemma 5.1. *Let ω be a Lipschitz domain in \mathbb{R}^n . Suppose that A is a uniformly elliptic and bounded matrix in ω , and $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{V} \in M^q(p; \omega)$ with $\mathcal{V} \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω . Suppose that v_1 (respectively v_2) is a subsolution*

(respectively supersolution) of the equation

$$Q'_{A,p,\mathcal{V}}[v] = \mathcal{G} \quad \text{in } \omega. \tag{5-1}$$

If $v_1 \leq v_2$ a.e. on $\partial\omega$ in the trace sense, then $v_1 \leq v_2$ a.e. in ω .

Proof. Our assumption that $v_1 \leq v_2$ a.e. on $\partial\omega$ implies $(v_2 - v_1)^- \in W_0^{1,p}(\omega)$. Using this as a test function in the definitions of v_1 and v_2 being, respectively, sub- and supersolutions of (5-1), and subtracting the two resulting inequalities, we obtain

$$\int_{\omega} (|\nabla v_1|_A^{p-2} A \nabla v_1 - |\nabla v_2|_A^{p-2} A \nabla v_2) \cdot \nabla (v_2 - v_1)^- \, dx + \int_{\omega} \mathcal{V}(|v_1|^{p-2} v_1 - |v_2|^{p-2} v_2)(v_2 - v_1)^- \, dx \leq 0.$$

In other words,

$$\int_{\{v_2 < v_1\}} (|\nabla v_1|_A^{p-2} A \nabla v_1 - |\nabla v_2|_A^{p-2} A \nabla v_2) \cdot (\nabla v_1 - \nabla v_2) \, dx + \mathcal{V}(|v_1|^{p-2} v_1 - |v_2|^{p-2} v_2)(v_1 - v_2) \, dx \leq 0.$$

By (2-17) we have that each term of the sum of the integrand is nonnegative, with equality if and only if $\nabla v_1 = \nabla v_2$ a.e. in the set $\{v_2 < v_1\}$, or equivalently if $(v_2 - v_1)^- = c \geq 0$ a.e. in ω . Since $(v_2 - v_1)^- = 0$ a.e. on $\partial\omega$ in the trace sense, we conclude $v_1 \leq v_2$ a.e. in ω . □

The following proposition deals with the sub/supersolution technique:

Proposition 5.2. *Let ω be a Lipschitz domain in \mathbb{R}^n . Assume that A is a uniformly elliptic and bounded matrix in ω , and $g, V \in M^q(p; \omega)$, where $g \geq 0$ a.e. in ω . Let $f, \varphi, \psi \in W^{1,p}(\omega) \cap C(\bar{\omega})$, where $f \geq 0$ a.e. in ω , and*

$$\begin{cases} Q'_{A,p,\mathcal{V}}[\psi] \leq g \leq Q'_{A,p,\mathcal{V}}[\varphi] & \text{in } \omega, \text{ in the weak sense,} \\ \psi \leq f \leq \varphi & \text{on } \partial\omega, \\ 0 \leq \psi \leq \varphi & \text{in } \omega. \end{cases}$$

Then there exists a nonnegative solution $u \in W^{1,p}(\omega) \cap C(\bar{\omega})$ of

$$\begin{cases} Q'_{A,p,\mathcal{V}}[u] = g & \text{in } \omega, \\ u = f & \text{on } \partial\omega, \end{cases} \tag{5-2}$$

such that $\psi \leq u \leq \varphi$ in ω .

Moreover, if $f > 0$ a.e. in $\partial\omega$, then the solution u is the unique solution of (5-2).

Proof. Consider the set

$$\mathcal{K} := \{v \in W^{1,p}(\omega) \cap C(\bar{\omega}) \mid 0 \leq \psi \leq v \leq \varphi \text{ in } \omega\}.$$

For any $x \in \omega$ and $v \in \mathcal{K}$ we define

$$G(x, v) := g(x) + 2V^-(x)(v(x))^{p-1}.$$

Note that $G \in M^q(p; \omega)$ and $G \geq 0$ a.e. in ω . The map $T : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow W^{1,p}(\omega)$ defined by $T(v) = u$, where u is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} Q'_{A,p,|\mathcal{V}|}[u] = G(x, v) & \text{in } \omega, \\ u = f & \text{in the trace sense on } \partial\omega, \end{cases} \tag{5-3}$$

is well-defined by Propositions 3.6 and 3.7. Indeed, consider the functionals

$$J, \bar{J} : W^{1,p}(\omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$$

defined in (3-12) and (3-11), respectively, with $\mathcal{V} = |V|$ and $\mathcal{G} = G(x, v)$. Let

$$\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A} := \{u \in W^{1,p}(\omega) \mid u = f \text{ on } \partial\omega\}$$

be such that

$$J[u_k] \downarrow m := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{A}} J[u].$$

Since $f \geq 0$, we have that $\{|u_k|\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}$ as well, which implies $m \leq J[|u_k|] = \bar{J}[u_k] \leq J[u_k]$, the latter inequality holds since $\mathcal{G} \geq 0$ a.e. in ω . In particular, it follows that $\inf_{u \in \mathcal{A}} \bar{J}[u] = m$. Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we deduce

$$\bar{J}[u_k] \rightarrow m.$$

But, by Proposition 3.6(b), we have that \bar{J} is weakly lower semicontinuous and, by Proposition 3.7(a), it is also coercive. Since \mathcal{A} is weakly closed, it follows (see [Struwe 2008, Theorem 1.2], for example) that m is achieved by a nonnegative function $u \in \mathcal{A}$ that satisfies $\bar{J}(u) = m$. Moreover, $J(u) = \bar{J}(u) = m$. So u is a minimizer of J on \mathcal{A} and hence a solution of (5-3).

Observe that the map T is monotone. Indeed, let $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{K}$ be such that $v_1 \leq v_2$. Then, since $G(x, v)$ is increasing in v , we have

$$Q'_{A,p,|V|}[T(v_1); \omega] = g(x, v_1) \leq g(x, v_2) = Q'_{A,p,|V|}[T(v_2); \omega]$$

and, since $T(v_1) = f = T(v_2)$ on $\partial\omega$, we get from Lemma 5.1 with $\mathcal{V} = |V|$ and $\mathcal{G} = g(x, v_1)$ that $T(v_1) \leq T(v_2)$ in ω .

Let $v \in W^{1,p}(\omega) \cap C(\bar{\omega})$ be a subsolution of (5-2). Then $Q'_{A,p,|V|}[v] = Q'_{A,p,V}[v] + G(x, v) - g(x) \leq G(x, v)$ in ω , in the weak sense, and thus v is a subsolution of (5-3). On the other hand, $T(v)$ is a solution of (5-3). Lemma 5.1 with $\mathcal{V} = |V|$ and $\mathcal{G} = G(x, v)$ gives $v \leq T(v)$ a.e. in ω . This implies in turn that

$$Q'_{A,p,V}[T(v)] = g + 2V^-(|v|^{p-2}v - |T(v)|^{p-2}T(v)) \leq g \text{ in } \omega,$$

in the weak sense.

Summarizing, if v is a subsolution of (5-2), then $T(v)$ is a subsolution of (5-2) such that $v \leq T(v)$ a.e. in ω . In the same fashion, we can show that if $v \in W^{1,p}(\omega) \cap C(\bar{\omega})$ is a supersolution of (5-2) then $T(v)$ is a supersolution of (5-2) such that $v \geq T(v)$ a.e. in ω .

Defining the sequences

$$\underline{u}_0 := \psi, \quad \underline{u}_n := T(\underline{u}_{n-1}) = T^{(n)}(\psi) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{u}_0 := \varphi, \quad \bar{u}_n := T(\bar{u}_{n-1}) = T^{(n)}(\varphi), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

we get from the above considerations that $\{\underline{u}_n\}$ and $\{\bar{u}_n\}$ increases and decreases, respectively, to functions \underline{u} and \bar{u} for every $x \in \omega$. Moreover, the convergence is clearly also in $L^p(\omega)$ (by Theorem 1.9 in [Lieb and Loss 2001]). Then, using an argument similar to the proof of Proposition 2.11, it follows that \underline{u} and \bar{u} are fixed points of T , and both solve (5-2) and satisfy $\psi \leq \underline{u} \leq \bar{u} \leq \varphi$ in ω .

The uniqueness claim follows from Lemma 3.3(iii). □

Finally, we extend the WCP (cf. [García-Melián and Sabina de Lis 1998; Pinchover and Regev 2015; Pucci and Serrin 2007]):

Theorem 5.3 (weak comparison principle). *Let $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain. Suppose that A is a uniformly elliptic and bounded matrix in ω , and $g, V \in M^q(p; \omega)$ with $g \geq 0$ a.e. in ω . Assume that $\lambda_1 > 0$, where λ_1 is the principal eigenvalue of the operator $Q'_{A,p,V}$ defined by (3-3). Let $u_2 \in W^{1,p}(\omega) \cap C(\bar{\omega})$ be a solution of*

$$\begin{cases} Q'_{A,p,V}[u_2] = g & \text{in } \omega, \\ u_2 > 0 & \text{on } \partial\omega. \end{cases}$$

If $u_1 \in W^{1,p}(\omega) \cap C(\bar{\omega})$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} Q'_{A,p,V}[u_1] \leq Q'_{A,p,V}[u_2] & \text{in } \omega, \\ u_1 \leq u_2 & \text{on } \partial\omega, \end{cases}$$

then $u_1 \leq u_2$ in ω .

Proof. Since u_2 is a supersolution of (2-3) in ω that is positive on $\partial\omega$, the strong maximum principle implies $u_2 > 0$ in $\bar{\omega}$. Let $c := \max\{1, \max_{\bar{\omega}} u_1 / \min_{\bar{\omega}} u_2\}$, then $u_1 \leq cu_2$ in $\bar{\omega}$. Consider now the problem

$$\begin{cases} Q'_{A,p,V}[v] = g & \text{in } \omega, \\ v = u_2 & \text{on } \partial\omega. \end{cases} \tag{5-4}$$

By the choice of c and our assumption we have that cu_2 is a supersolution of (5-4) such that $u_1 \leq u_2 \leq cu_2$ on $\partial\omega$, while u_1 is a subsolution of (5-4). Applying Proposition 5.2 with $\psi = u_1$ and $\varphi = cu_2$, we get a unique solution v of (5-4) such that $u_1 \leq v \leq cu_2$ in ω and $v = u_2$ on $\partial\omega$, in the trace sense. Clearly, v is a supersolution of (2-3) in ω that is positive on $\partial\omega$. Again, by the strong maximum principle, we get $v > 0$ in $\bar{\omega}$. By the uniqueness of the boundary problem (5-4) (Proposition 5.2), we have $v = u_2$. Hence, $u_1 \leq u_2$ in ω . □

5B. Behaviour of positive solutions near an isolated singularity. Using the weak comparison principle of Theorem 5.3 we study the behaviour of positive solutions near an isolated singular point. We have:

Theorem 5.4. *Let $p \leq n$ and $x_0 \in \Omega$. Suppose A and V satisfy hypothesis (H0) in Ω , and let u be a nonnegative solution of the equation $Q'_{A,p,V}[v] = 0$ in $\Omega \setminus \{x_0\}$.*

- (1) *If u is bounded near x_0 , then u can be extended to a nonnegative solution in Ω .*
- (2) *If u is unbounded near x_0 , then $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} u(x) = \infty$.*

Proof. (1) This is a special case of [Malý and Ziemer 1997, Theorem 3.16], which is in turn an extension to $V \in M^q_{loc}(p; \Omega)$ of [Serrin 1964, Theorem 10], where V is assumed to be in $L^q_{loc}(\Omega)$ for some $q > n/p$. In particular, this part of the theorem holds true for solutions of arbitrary sign in $\Omega \setminus o$, where o is a set having zero p -capacity.

(2) We follow the argument in [Fraas and Pinchover 2011] (for a slightly different argument see [Serrin 1964, p. 278]). Without loss of generality, we assume that $x_0 = 0$ and $B_1(0) \Subset \Omega$. For $r > 0$, we write the ball as $B_r := B_r(0)$, and the corresponding sphere as $S_r := \partial B_r$.

Since $\limsup_{x \rightarrow 0} u(x) = \infty$, there exists a sequence $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Omega$ converging to 0 such that $u(x_k) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Let $r_k = |x_k|$, where $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and consider the annular domains $\mathbb{A}_k := B_{3r_k/2} \setminus \bar{B}_{r_k/2}$. For each k we scale \mathbb{A}_k to the fixed annulus $\mathbb{A}' := B_{3/2}(0) \setminus \bar{B}_{1/2}(0)$. Note next that if u is a solution of the equation $\mathcal{Q}'_{A,p,V}[v] = 0$ in $\Omega \setminus \{0\}$ then, for any positive R , the function $u_R(x) := u(Rx)$ satisfies the equation

$$\mathcal{Q}'_{A_R,p,V_R}[u_R] := -\operatorname{div}_{A_R}(|\nabla u_R|_{A_R}^{p-2} A_R(x) \nabla u_R) + V_R(x)|u_R|^{p-2} u_R = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_R, \tag{5-5}$$

where $A_R(x) := A(Rx)$, $V_R(x) := R^p V(Rx)$ and $\Omega_R := \{x/R \mid x \in \Omega \setminus \{0\}\}$. Applying thus the Harnack inequality in \mathbb{A}' , we have, for k sufficiently large,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{A}_k} u(x) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{A}'} u_{r_k}(x) \leq C \inf_{x \in \mathbb{A}'} u_{r_k}(x) = C \inf_{x \in \mathbb{A}_k} u(x), \tag{5-6}$$

where the positive constant C is independent of r_k . To see this, for example in the case $p < n$, observe that $\|V_R\|_{M^q(\mathbb{A}')} = R^{p-n/q} \|V\|_{M^q(\mathbb{A}_R)}$ and, by our assumptions on q , we have that the exponent on R is nonnegative (it is in fact positive). Now from (5-6) we may readily deduce

$$\min_{S_{r_k}} u(x) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \tag{5-7}$$

Let v be a fixed positive solution of the equation $\mathcal{Q}'_{A,p,V}[w] = 0$ in B_1 and set, for $0 < r < 1$,

$$m_r := \min_{S_r} \frac{u(x)}{v(x)}.$$

Then, as in [Fraas and Pinchover 2011, Lemma 4.2], the WCP implies that the function m_r is monotone as $r \rightarrow 0$. This, together with (5-7), implies that m_r is monotone nonincreasing near 0. Therefore, $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} m_r = \infty$ and, thus, $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} u(x) = \infty$. □

Remark 5.5. The asymptotic behaviour of positive solutions of the equation $\mathcal{Q}'_{A,p,V}[v] = 0$ near an isolated singular point remains open for further studies (see [Fraas and Pinchover 2011; 2013; Pinchover and Tintarev 2008]) and the references therein for partial results).

5C. Positive solutions of minimal growth and Green’s function. The following notion was introduced by Agmon [1983] in the linear case and was extended to p -Laplacian-type equations of the form (1-4) in [Pinchover and Tintarev 2007; Pinchover and Regev 2015].

Definition 5.6. Let K_0 be a compact subset of Ω . A positive solution u of (2-3) in $\Omega \setminus K_0$ is said to be a *positive solution of minimal growth in a neighbourhood of infinity in Ω* , and denoted by $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\Omega;K_0}$ if, for any smooth compact subset of Ω with $K_0 \Subset \operatorname{int} K$ and any positive supersolution $v \in C(\Omega \setminus \operatorname{int} K)$ of (2-3) in $\Omega \setminus K$, we have

$$u \leq v \quad \text{on } \partial K \quad \implies \quad u \leq v \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus K.$$

If $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\Omega;\emptyset}$, then u is called a *global minimal solution of (2-3) in Ω* .

We first prove that if $\mathcal{Q}_{A,p,V}$ is nonnegative in Ω then $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega;\{x_0\}} \neq \emptyset$ for any $x_0 \in \Omega$. This result extends the corresponding results in [Pinchover and Tintarev 2007; 2008; Pinchover and Regev 2015].

Theorem 5.7. *Suppose that $Q_{A,p,V}$ is nonnegative in Ω , where A and V satisfy hypothesis (H0). Then, for any $x_0 \in \Omega$, the equation $Q'_{A,p,V}[v] = 0$ admits a solution $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\Omega; \{x_0\}}$.*

Proof. We fix a point $x_0 \in \Omega$ and let $\{\omega_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of Lipschitz domains such that $x_0 \in \omega_1$, $\omega_i \Subset \omega_{i+1} \Subset \Omega$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \omega_i = \Omega$. Setting $r_1 := \sup_{x \in \omega_1} \text{dist}(x; \partial\omega_1)$ (the inradius of ω_1), we define the open sets

$$U_i := \omega_i \setminus \bar{B}_{r_1/(i+1)}(x_0).$$

Pick a fixed reference point $x_1 \in U_1$ and note that $U_i \Subset U_{i+1}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and also $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_i = \Omega \setminus \{x_0\}$. Also let $f_i \in C_c^\infty(B_{r_1/i}(x_0) \setminus \bar{B}_{r_1/(i+1)}(x_0)) \setminus \{0\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative functions. The nonnegativity of $Q_{A,p,V}$ implies $\lambda_1(Q_{A,p,V+1/i}; U_i) > 0$, and thus, by Theorem 3.10, we obtain for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ a positive solution v_i of

$$\begin{cases} Q'_{A,p,V+1/i}[v] = f_i & \text{in } U_i, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial U_i. \end{cases}$$

Normalizing by $u_i(x) := v_i(x)/v_i(x_1)$, the Harnack convergence principle (Proposition 2.11) implies that $\{u_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ admits a subsequence converging uniformly in compact subsets of $\Omega \setminus \{x_0\}$ to a positive solution u of the equation $Q'_{A,p,V}[w] = 0$ in $\Omega \setminus \{x_0\}$.

We claim that $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\Omega; \{x_0\}}$. To this end, let K be a compact smooth subset of Ω such that $x_0 \in \text{int}K$, and let $v \in C(\Omega \setminus \text{int}K)$ be a positive supersolution of (2-3) in $\Omega \setminus K$ with $u \leq v$ on ∂K . Let $\delta > 0$. There then exists $i_K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{supp}\{f_i\} \Subset K$ for all $i \geq i_K$ and, in addition, $u_i \leq (1 + \delta)v$ on $\partial(\omega_i \setminus K)$. The WCP (Theorem 5.3) implies $u_i \leq (1 + \delta)v$ in $\omega_i \setminus K$ and letting $i \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain $u \leq (1 + \delta)v$ in $\Omega \setminus K$. Since $\delta > 0$ is arbitrary, we conclude $u \leq v$ in $\Omega \setminus K$. □

Definition 5.8. A function $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\Omega; \{x_0\}}$ having a nonremovable singularity at x_0 is called a *minimal positive Green function of $Q'_{A,V}$ in Ω with a pole at x_0* . We denote such a function by $G_{A,V}^\Omega(x, x_0)$.

The following theorem states that criticality is equivalent to the existence of a global minimal solution, that is, (1) \iff (5) in the Main Theorem presented in the introduction. It extends [Pinchover and Regev 2015, Theorem 9.6] and also [Pinchover and Tintarev 2007, Theorem 5.5; 2008, Theorem 5.8].

Theorem 5.9. *Suppose that $Q_{A,p,V}$ is nonnegative in Ω with A and V satisfying hypothesis (H0) if $p \geq 2$, or (H1) if $1 < p < 2$. Then $Q_{A,p,V}$ is subcritical in Ω if and only if (2-3) does not admit a global minimal solution in Ω . In particular, ϕ is a ground state of (2-3) in Ω if and only if ϕ is a global minimal solution of (2-3) in Ω .*

Proof. To prove necessity, let $Q_{A,p,V}$ be subcritical in Ω . Clearly (by the AP theorem) there exists a continuous positive strict supersolution v of (2-3) in Ω . We proceed by contradiction. Suppose there exists a global minimal solution u of (2-3) in Ω and fix K to be a compact smooth subset of Ω . Let $\varepsilon_{\partial K} := \min_{\partial K} v / \max_{\partial K} u$. Then $\varepsilon_{\partial K} u \leq v$, and $\varepsilon_{\partial K}^{-1} v$ is also a positive continuous supersolution of (2-3) in Ω . Using it as a comparison function in the definition of $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\Omega; \emptyset}$, we get $\varepsilon_{\partial K} u \leq v$ in $\Omega \setminus K$. Letting also $\varepsilon_K := \min_K v / \max_K u$, we readily have $\varepsilon_K u \leq v$ in K . Consequently, by setting $\varepsilon := \min\{\varepsilon_{\partial K}, \varepsilon_K\}$ we have

$$\varepsilon u \leq v \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Now we define

$$\varepsilon_0 := \max\{\varepsilon > 0 \mid \varepsilon u \leq v \text{ in } \Omega\}$$

and note that, since $\varepsilon_0 u$ and v are, respectively, a continuous solution and a continuous strict supersolution of (2-3) in Ω , we have $\varepsilon_0 u \neq v$. There thus exist $x_1 \in \Omega$ and $\delta, r > 0$ such that $B_r(x_1) \subset \Omega$ and

$$(1 + \delta)\varepsilon_0 u(x) \leq v(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \bar{B}_r(x_1).$$

But, since $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\Omega; \emptyset}$, it follows that

$$(1 + \delta)\varepsilon_0 u(x) \leq v(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega \setminus \bar{B}_r(x_1).$$

Consequently, $(1 + \delta)\varepsilon_0 u(x) \leq v(x)$ in Ω , which contradicts the definition of ε_0 . We note that in the proof of this part we did not use the further regularity assumption (H1).

To prove sufficiency, assume that $Q_{A,p,V}$ is critical in Ω with ground state ϕ satisfying $\phi(x_1) = 1$ for some $x_1 \in \Omega$. We will prove that $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_{\Omega; \emptyset}$. To this end, consider an exhaustion $\{\omega_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Ω such that $x_0 \in \omega_1$ and $x_1 \in \Omega \setminus \omega_1$. Fix $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $f_j \in C_c^\infty(B_{r_1/j}(x_0)) \setminus \{0\}$ satisfy $0 \leq f_j(x) \leq 1$, where, as in the previous proof, we write r_1 for the inradius of ω_1 . Let $v_{i,j}$ be a positive solution of

$$\begin{cases} Q'_{A,p,V}[v] = f_j & \text{in } \omega_i, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\omega_i. \end{cases}$$

The WCP (Theorem 5.3) ensures that the sequence $\{v_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is nondecreasing. If $\{v_{i,j}(x_1)\}$ is bounded, then the sequence converges to v_j , where v_j is such that $Q'_{A,p,V}[v_j] = f_j$ in Ω . Thus v_j would be a strict supersolution of (2-3), which contradicts Theorem 4.15, since the ground state ϕ is the only positive supersolution of $Q'_{A,p,V}[w] = 0$ in Ω . Therefore, $v_{i,j}(x_1) \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Defining thus the normalized sequence $u_{i,j}(x) := v_{i,j}(x)/v_{i,j}(x_1)$, by the Harnack convergence principle (Proposition 2.11) we may extract a subsequence of $\{u_{i,j}\}$ that converges as $i \rightarrow \infty$ to a positive solution u_j of the equation (2-3) in Ω . Once again, by the uniqueness of the ground state, we have $u_j = \phi$.

Now let K be a smooth compact set of Ω and assume that $x_0 \in \text{int}(K)$. Let $v \in C(\Omega \setminus \text{int}K)$ be a positive supersolution of (2-3) in $\Omega \setminus K$ such that $\phi \leq v$ on ∂K . Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$ be large enough that $\text{supp}\{f_j\} \Subset K$. For any $\delta > 0$ there exists $i_\delta \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for $i \geq i_\delta$,

$$\begin{cases} 0 = Q'_{A,p,V}[u_{i,j}] \leq Q'_{A,p,V}[v] & \text{in } \omega_i \setminus K, \\ Q'_{A,p,V}[v] \geq 0 & \text{in } \omega_i \setminus K, \\ 0 \leq u_{i,j} \leq (1 + \delta)v & \text{on } \partial(\omega_i \setminus K), \end{cases}$$

which implies that $\phi = u_j \leq (1 + \delta)v$ in $\Omega \setminus K$. Letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $\phi \leq v$ in $\Omega \setminus K$. □

To conclude the paper, it remains to establish the equivalence between (1) and (6) of the Main Theorem.

Theorem 5.10. *Suppose that $Q_{A,p,V}$ is nonnegative in Ω with A and V satisfying hypothesis (H0) if $p \geq 2$, or (H1) if $1 < p < 2$. Let $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\Omega, \{x_0\}}$ for some $x_0 \in \Omega$.*

(a) *If u has a removable singularity at x_0 , then $Q_{A,p,V}$ is critical in Ω .*

- (b) Let $1 < p \leq n$ and suppose that u has a nonremovable singularity at x_0 ; then $Q_{A,p,V}$ is subcritical in Ω .
- (c) Let $p > n$ and suppose that u has a nonremovable singularity at x_0 . Assume also that $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} u(x) = c$, where c is a positive constant. Then $Q_{A,p,V}$ is subcritical in Ω .

Proof. (a) If u has a removable singularity at x_0 , its continuous extension is a global minimal solution in Ω , and [Theorem 5.9](#) assures that $Q_{A,p,V}$ is critical in Ω .

(b) Assume that u has a nonremovable singularity at x_0 and suppose for the sake of contradiction that $Q_{A,p,V}$ is critical in Ω . [Theorem 5.9](#) implies the existence of a global minimal solution v of (2-3) in Ω . By [Theorem 5.4](#) we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} u(x) = \infty$ and thus, by comparison, $v \leq \varepsilon u$ in Ω , where ε is an arbitrary positive constant. This implies that $v = 0$, a contradiction.

(c) Suppose that $Q_{A,p,V}$ is critical in Ω and let $v > 0$ be the corresponding global minimal solution. We may assume that $v(x_0) = c$. Since both u and v are continuous at x_0 , it follows that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that, for all $0 < \delta < \delta_\varepsilon$,

$$(1 - \varepsilon)u(x) \leq v(x) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)u(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \partial B_\delta(x_0).$$

Since u and v are positive solutions (in $\Omega \setminus \{x_0\}$ and Ω , respectively) of minimal growth at infinity in Ω , the above inequality implies that

$$(1 - \varepsilon)u(x) \leq v(x) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)u(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega \setminus \{x_0\}.$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get $u = v$ in Ω , which contradicts our assumption that u has a nonremovable singularity at x_0 . □

Remark 5.11. For sufficient conditions ensuring that in the subcritical case with $p > n$ the limit of the Green function $G_{A,V}^\Omega(x, x_0)$ as $x \rightarrow x_0$ always exists and is positive, see [[Fraas and Pinchover 2013](#)].

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Professor Jan Malý for explaining that (2-1) holds in extension domains. We also thank Mr. Amir Kassis for providing us with a simpler proof of [[Pinchover and Tintarev 2007](#), Proposition 4.3], which in turn we have followed to prove [Corollary 4.17](#). The authors acknowledge the support of the Israel Science Foundation (grants No. 970/15) founded by the Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities. Psaradakis is supported in part at the Technion by a Fine Scholarship.

References

- [Adams and Xiao 2012] D. R. Adams and J. Xiao, “Morrey spaces in harmonic analysis”, *Ark. Mat.* **50**:2 (2012), 201–230. [MR Zbl](#)
- [Adams and Xiao 2013] D. R. Adams and J. Xiao, “Singularities of nonlinear elliptic systems”, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **38**:7 (2013), 1256–1273. [MR Zbl](#)
- [Agmon 1983] S. Agmon, “On positivity and decay of solutions of second order elliptic equations on Riemannian manifolds”, pp. 19–52 in *Methods of functional analysis and theory of elliptic equations* (Naples, 1982), edited by D. Greco, Liguori, Naples, 1983. [MR Zbl](#)

- [Allegretto 1974] W. Allegretto, “On the equivalence of two types of oscillation for elliptic operators”, *Pacific J. Math.* **55** (1974), 319–328. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Allegretto 1979] W. Allegretto, “Spectral estimates and oscillations of singular differential operators”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **73**:1 (1979), 51–56. [MR](#)
- [Allegretto 1981] W. Allegretto, “Positive solutions and spectral properties of second order elliptic operators”, *Pacific J. Math.* **92**:1 (1981), 15–25. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Allegretto and Huang 1998] W. Allegretto and Y. X. Huang, “A Picone’s identity for the p -Laplacian and applications”, *Nonlinear Anal.* **32**:7 (1998), 819–830. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Anane 1987] A. Anane, “Simplicité et isolation de la première valeur propre du p -laplacien avec poids”, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **305**:16 (1987), 725–728. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Byun and Palagachev 2013] S.-S. Byun and D. K. Palagachev, “Boundedness of the weak solutions to quasilinear elliptic equations with Morrey data”, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **62**:5 (2013), 1565–1585. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Di Fazio 1988] G. Di Fazio, “Hölder-continuity of solutions for some Schrödinger equations”, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* **79** (1988), 173–183. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Díaz and Saá 1987] J. I. Díaz and J. E. Saá, “Existence et unicité de solutions positives pour certaines équations elliptiques quasilineaires”, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **305**:12 (1987), 521–524. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [DiBenedetto 2002] E. DiBenedetto, *Real analysis*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2002. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Evans and Gariepy 1992] L. C. Evans and R. F. Gariepy, *Measure theory and fine properties of functions*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1992. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Fleckinger et al. 1999] J. Fleckinger, E. M. Harrell, II, and F. de Thélin, “Boundary behavior and estimates for solutions of equations containing the p -Laplacian”, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* **1999** (1999), No. 38. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Fraas and Pinchover 2011] M. Fraas and Y. Pinchover, “Positive Liouville theorems and asymptotic behavior for p -Laplacian type elliptic equations with a Fuchsian potential”, *Confluentes Math.* **3**:2 (2011), 291–323. [MR](#)
- [Fraas and Pinchover 2013] M. Fraas and Y. Pinchover, “Isolated singularities of positive solutions of p -Laplacian type equations in \mathbb{R}^d ”, *J. Differential Equations* **254**:3 (2013), 1097–1119. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [García-Melián and Sabina de Lis 1998] J. García-Melián and J. Sabina de Lis, “Maximum and comparison principles for operators involving the p -Laplacian”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **218**:1 (1998), 49–65. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Heinonen et al. 1993] J. Heinonen, T. Kilpeläinen, and O. Martio, *Nonlinear potential theory of degenerate elliptic equations*, Clarendon, New York, 1993. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Jaye et al. 2012] B. J. Jaye, V. G. Maz’ya, and I. E. Verbitsky, “Existence and regularity of positive solutions of elliptic equations of Schrödinger type”, *J. Anal. Math.* **118**:2 (2012), 577–621. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Jaye et al. 2013] B. J. Jaye, V. G. Maz’ya, and I. E. Verbitsky, “Quasilinear elliptic equations and weighted Sobolev–Poincaré inequalities with distributional weights”, *Adv. Math.* **232** (2013), 513–542. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Jin et al. 2009] T. Jin, V. Maz’ya, and J. Van Schaftingen, “Pathological solutions to elliptic problems in divergence form with continuous coefficients”, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **347**:13-14 (2009), 773–778. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Lenz et al. 2009] D. Lenz, P. Stollmann, and I. Veselić, “The Allegretto–Piepenbrink theorem for strongly local Dirichlet forms”, *Doc. Math.* **14** (2009), 167–189. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Lieb and Loss 2001] E. H. Lieb and M. Loss, *Analysis*, 2nd ed., Graduate Studies in Mathematics **14**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Lieberman 1993] G. M. Lieberman, “Sharp forms of estimates for subsolutions and supersolutions of quasilinear elliptic equations involving measures”, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **18**:7-8 (1993), 1191–1212. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Lindqvist 1990] P. Lindqvist, “On the equation $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) + \lambda|u|^{p-2}u = 0$ ”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **109**:1 (1990), 157–164. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Malý and Ziemer 1997] J. Malý and W. P. Ziemer, *Fine regularity of solutions of elliptic partial differential equations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **51**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Maz’ya 1970] V. G. Maz’ja, “The continuity at a boundary point of the solutions of quasi-linear elliptic equations”, *Vestnik Leningrad. Univ.* **25**:13 (1970), 42–55. In Russian; translated in *Vestnik Leningrad Univ. Math.* **3** (1970), 225–242. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [Morrey 1966] C. B. Morrey, Jr., *Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations*, Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften **130**, Springer, New York, 1966. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Moss and Piepenbrink 1978] W. F. Moss and J. Piepenbrink, “Positive solutions of elliptic equations”, *Pacific J. Math.* **75**:1 (1978), 219–226. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Peetre 1969] J. Peetre, “On the theory of $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}$ spaces”, *J. Functional Analysis* **4** (1969), 71–87. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Piepenbrink 1974] J. Piepenbrink, “Nonoscillatory elliptic equations”, *J. Differential Equations* **15** (1974), 541–550. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Piepenbrink 1977] J. Piepenbrink, “A conjecture of Glazman”, *J. Differential Equations* **24**:2 (1977), 173–177. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Pinchover 2007] Y. Pinchover, “A Liouville-type theorem for Schrödinger operators”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **272**:1 (2007), 75–84. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Pinchover and Regev 2015] Y. Pinchover and N. Regev, “Criticality theory of half-linear equations with the (p, A) -Laplacian”, *Nonlinear Anal.* **119** (2015), 295–314. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Pinchover and Tintarev 2006] Y. Pinchover and K. Tintarev, “A ground state alternative for singular Schrödinger operators”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **230**:1 (2006), 65–77. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Pinchover and Tintarev 2007] Y. Pinchover and K. Tintarev, “Ground state alternative for p -Laplacian with potential term”, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **28**:2 (2007), 179–201. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Pinchover and Tintarev 2008] Y. Pinchover and K. Tintarev, “On positive solutions of minimal growth for singular p -Laplacian with potential term”, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* **8**:2 (2008), 213–234. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Pinchover et al. 2008] Y. Pinchover, A. Tertikas, and K. Tintarev, “A Liouville-type theorem for the p -Laplacian with potential term”, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **25**:2 (2008), 357–368. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Pucci and Serrin 2007] P. Pucci and J. Serrin, *The maximum principle*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications **73**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2007. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Rakotoson 1991] J.-M. Rakotoson, “Quasilinear equations and spaces of Campanato–Morrey type”, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **16**:6-7 (1991), 1155–1182. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Rakotoson and Ziemer 1990] J.-M. Rakotoson and W. P. Ziemer, “Local behavior of solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations with general structure”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **319**:2 (1990), 747–764. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Serrin 1964] J. Serrin, “Local behavior of solutions of quasi-linear equations”, *Acta Math.* **111** (1964), 247–302. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Simon 1982] B. Simon, “Schrödinger semigroups”, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **7**:3 (1982), 447–526. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Struwe 2008] M. Struwe, *Variational methods: applications to nonlinear partial differential equations and Hamiltonian systems*, 4th ed., *Ergebnisse der Mathematik (3)* **34**, Springer, Berlin, 2008. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Takáč and Tintarev 2008] P. Takáč and K. Tintarev, “Generalized minimizer solutions for equations with the p -Laplacian and a potential term”, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **138**:1 (2008), 201–221. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Trudinger 1967] N. S. Trudinger, “On Harnack type inequalities and their application to quasilinear elliptic equations”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **20** (1967), 721–747. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Zorko 1986] C. T. Zorko, “Morrey space”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **98**:4 (1986), 586–592. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Received 2 Sep 2015. Accepted 28 May 2016.

YEHUDA PINCHOVER: pincho@techunix.technion.ac.il

Department of Mathematics, Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, 32000 Haifa, Israel

GEORGIOS PSARADAKIS: psaradakis@tem.uoc.gr

Department of Mathematics & Applied Mathematics, University of Crete, Voutes Campus, 70013 Heraklion, Greece

Analysis & PDE

msp.org/apde

EDITORS

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Patrick Gérard

patrick.gerard@math.u-psud.fr

Université Paris Sud XI

Orsay, France

BOARD OF EDITORS

Nicolas Burq	Université Paris-Sud 11, France nicolas.burq@math.u-psud.fr	Werner Müller	Universität Bonn, Germany mueller@math.uni-bonn.de
Massimiliano Berti	Scuola Intern. Sup. di Studi Avanzati, Italy berti@sissa.it	Yuval Peres	University of California, Berkeley, USA peres@stat.berkeley.edu
Sun-Yung Alice Chang	Princeton University, USA chang@math.princeton.edu	Gilles Pisier	Texas A&M University, and Paris 6 pisier@math.tamu.edu
Michael Christ	University of California, Berkeley, USA mchrist@math.berkeley.edu	Tristan Rivière	ETH, Switzerland riviere@math.ethz.ch
Charles Fefferman	Princeton University, USA cf@math.princeton.edu	Igor Rodnianski	Princeton University, USA irod@math.princeton.edu
Ursula Hamenstaedt	Universität Bonn, Germany ursula@math.uni-bonn.de	Wilhelm Schlag	University of Chicago, USA schlag@math.uchicago.edu
Vaughan Jones	U.C. Berkeley & Vanderbilt University vaughan.f.jones@vanderbilt.edu	Sylvia Serfaty	New York University, USA serfaty@cims.nyu.edu
Vadim Kaloshin	University of Maryland, USA vadim.kaloshin@gmail.com	Yum-Tong Siu	Harvard University, USA siu@math.harvard.edu
Herbert Koch	Universität Bonn, Germany koch@math.uni-bonn.de	Terence Tao	University of California, Los Angeles, USA tao@math.ucla.edu
Izabella Laba	University of British Columbia, Canada ilaba@math.ubc.ca	Michael E. Taylor	Univ. of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA met@math.unc.edu
Gilles Lebeau	Université de Nice Sophia Antipolis, France lebeau@unice.fr	Gunther Uhlmann	University of Washington, USA gunther@math.washington.edu
Richard B. Melrose	Massachusetts Inst. of Tech., USA rbb@math.mit.edu	András Vasy	Stanford University, USA andras@math.stanford.edu
Frank Merle	Université de Cergy-Pontoise, France Frank.Merle@u-cergy.fr	Dan Virgil Voiculescu	University of California, Berkeley, USA dvv@math.berkeley.edu
William Minicozzi II	Johns Hopkins University, USA minicozz@math.jhu.edu	Steven Zelditch	Northwestern University, USA zelditch@math.northwestern.edu
Clément Mouhot	Cambridge University, UK c.mouhot@dpms.cam.ac.uk	Maciej Zworski	University of California, Berkeley, USA zworski@math.berkeley.edu

PRODUCTION

production@msp.org

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor


See inside back cover or msp.org/apde for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2016 is US \$235/year for the electronic version, and \$430/year (+\$55, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.

Analysis & PDE (ISSN 1948-206X electronic, 2157-5045 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

APDE peer review and production are managed by EditFlow[®] from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2016 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

ANALYSIS & PDE

Volume 9 No. 6 2016

A complete study of the lack of compactness and existence results of a fractional Nirenberg equation via a flatness hypothesis, I	1285
Wael Abdelhedi, Hichem Chtioui and Hichem Hajaiej	
On positive solutions of the (p, A) -Laplacian with potential in Morrey space	1317
Yehuda Pinchover and Georgios Psaradakis	
Geometric optics expansions for hyperbolic corner problems, I: Self-interaction phenomenon	1359
Antoine Benoit	
The interior C^2 estimate for the Monge–Ampère equation in dimension $n = 2$	1419
Chuanqiang Chen, Fei Han and Qianzhong Ou	
Bounded solutions to the Allen–Cahn equation with level sets of any compact topology	1433
Alberto Enciso and Daniel Peralta-Salas	
Hölder estimates and large time behavior for a nonlocal doubly nonlinear evolution	1447
Ryan Hynd and Erik Lindgren	
Boundary $C^{1,\alpha}$ regularity of potential functions in optimal transportation with quadratic cost	1483
Elina Andriyanova and Shibing Chen	
Commutators with fractional differentiation and new characterizations of BMO-Sobolev spaces	1497
Yanping Chen, Yong Ding and Guixiang Hong	