

# ANALYSIS & PDE

Volume 9

No. 6

2016

YANPING CHEN, YONG DING AND GUIXIANG HONG

**COMMUTATORS WITH FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIATION AND  
NEW CHARACTERIZATIONS OF BMO-SOBOLEV SPACES**





## COMMUTATORS WITH FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIATION AND NEW CHARACTERIZATIONS OF BMO-SOBOLEV SPACES

YANPING CHEN, YONG DING AND GUIXIANG HONG

For  $b \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , let  $D^\alpha$  be the fractional differential operator and  $T$  be the singular integral operator. We obtain a necessary and sufficient condition on the function  $b$  to guarantee that  $[b, D^\alpha T]$  is a bounded operator on a function space such as  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for any  $1 < p < \infty$ . Furthermore, we establish a necessary and sufficient condition on the function  $b$  to guarantee that  $[b, D^\alpha T]$  is a bounded operator from  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and from  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . This is a new theory. Finally, we apply our general theory to the Hilbert and Riesz transforms.

### 1. Introduction

For  $b \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , denote by  $B$  the multiplication operator defined by  $Bf(x) = b(x)f(x)$  for any measurable function  $f$ . If  $T$  is a linear operator on some measurable function space, then the commutator formed by  $B$  and  $T$  is defined by  $[b, T]f(x) := (BT - TB)f(x)$ . Let  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ . The commutators we are interested in here are of the form

$$[b, T_\alpha]f(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha}} (b(x) - b(y)) f(y) dy,$$

where  $\Omega$  is homogeneous of degree zero, integrable on  $S^{n-1}$ .

The case  $\alpha = 1$  was first investigated by Calderón [1965] and now is well known as Calderón's first-order commutator. Calderón proved that  $b \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (Lipschitz space) is a sufficient condition for the  $L^p$ -boundedness of  $[b, T_1]$  when  $\Omega$  satisfies some assumptions but may fail to have any regularity. However, this result has inspired many mathematicians to find new proofs, to make generalizations and to find further applications. We refer the reader to [Calderón 1980; Coifman and Meyer 1975; 1978; Cohen 1981; Hofmann 1994; 1998], among numerous references, for its development and applications. We would like to single out the work by Coifman and Meyer [1975], who found a new proof of Calderón's first-order commutator by reducing the commutator estimates to continuity of multilinear operators, which was used to deal with higher-order commutators in the same paper and has since been widely developed.

Let us comment on the main idea of Calderón's proof for future convenience. Firstly, the special properties such as locality of Lipschitz functions enable Calderón to use a rotation method to reduce

---

The research was supported by NSF of China (Grant: 11471033, 11371057, 11571160, 11601396), NCET of China (Grant: NCET-11-0574), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (FRF-TP-12-006B, 2014KJJC10), SRFDP of China (Grant: 20130003110003), ERC StG-256997-CZOSQP, ICMAT Severo Ochoa Grant SEV-2011-0087 (Spain) and 1000 Young Talent Researcher Program of China 429900018-101150(2016).

MSC2010: 42B20, 42B25.

Keywords: commutator, fractional differentiation, BMO-Sobolev spaces, Littlewood–Paley theory.

commutator estimates in the higher-dimensional cases to the one-dimensional case. Secondly, the one-dimension commutator is just the commutator formed by  $b$  and  $dH/dx$ , the derivative of the Hilbert transform. Then Calderón exploited the special properties of the Hilbert transform as being closely related to analytic functions and used a characterization of the Hardy space  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$  in terms of the Lusin square function to prove his theorem. It is the second part that has been reproved by Coifman and Meyer using techniques from multilinear analysis.

The case  $\alpha = 0$  was first studied by Coifman, Rochberg and Weiss [Coifman et al. 1976], who showed that  $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the bounded mean oscillation space, is a sufficient and necessary condition for the  $L^p$ -boundedness of  $[b, T_0]$  when  $\Omega \in \text{Lip}(S^{n-1})$  (see also [Janson 1978; Uchiyama 1978]). For rough  $\Omega$ , similar results have also been obtained in [Álvarez et al. 1993; Hu 2003; Chen and Ding 2015]. It is worth mentioning that the operator  $[b, T_0]$  has a different character from  $[b, T_1]$ , whose research actually was inspired by the factorization of Hardy spaces.

The case  $0 < \alpha < 1$  was first investigated by Segovia and Wheeden [1971], who obtained an analogue for differentiation of a fractional order of the one-dimensional version of Calderón’s result [1965]. Murray [1985] improved the results of [Stein and Weiss 1971], more or less along the research line initiated by Calderón, by extending the commutator with derivatives of the Hilbert transform to those with fractional derivatives of the Hilbert transform. It turns out that these commutators with fractional differentiation are closely related to BMO-Sobolev spaces. Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , and consider the fractional differentiation operators defined for  $f$  by

$$\widehat{D^\alpha f}(\xi) = |\xi|^\alpha \hat{f}(\xi).$$

The fractional Laplacian can be defined in a distributional sense for functions that are not differentiable as long as  $\hat{f}$  is not too singular at the origin or, in terms of the variable  $x$ , as long as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x)|}{(1 + |x|)^\alpha} dx < \infty.$$

For a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we consider the extension  $u : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that satisfies the equation

$$u(x, 0) = f(x), \quad \Delta_x u + \frac{1-\alpha}{y} u_y + u_{yy} = 0.$$

Caffarelli and Silvestre [2007] showed that

$$CD^\alpha f = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} -y^{1-\alpha} u_y = \frac{1}{\alpha} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x, y) - u(x, 0)}{y^\alpha}$$

for some  $C$  depending on  $n$  and  $\alpha$ .

Let  $I_\alpha$  be the Riesz potential operator of order  $\alpha$ . The Sobolev space  $I_\alpha(\text{BMO})$  is the image of  $\text{BMO}$  under  $I_\alpha$ . Equivalently, a locally integrable function  $b$  is in  $I_\alpha(\text{BMO})$  if and only if  $D^\alpha b \in \text{BMO}$ . Strichartz [1980] showed that, for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $I_\alpha(\text{BMO})$  is a space of functions modulo constants that is properly contained in  $\text{Lip}_\alpha$ , while  $\text{Lip}_1$  is properly contained in  $I_1(\text{BMO})$ .

Murray studied it only in the one-dimensional case, the commutators  $[b, T_\alpha]$  formed by  $b$  and  $D^\alpha H$  or  $D^\alpha$ , and showed that  $b \in I_\alpha(\text{BMO})(\mathbb{R})$  is equivalent to the  $L^p$ -boundedness of  $[b, T_\alpha]$ . Calderón’s

original proof did not work well in this new situation. Instead, Murray used special properties of one-dimensional commutators to represent them in a way that techniques of multilinear analysis developed in [Coifman and Meyer 1975] could come into play. In the meantime, she showed that  $b \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{R})$  is also a necessary condition for  $L^p$ -boundedness of  $[b, T_1]$ , thus providing a converse of Calderón’s results on  $\mathbb{R}$ . In the review of [Murray 1985] in Math Reviews, Y. Meyer indicates that the results there apply to functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . However, a direct perusal of [Murray 1985] reveals that the paper only tackles the case  $n = 1$ . (Meyer might have known how to treat  $n > 1$ .) Maybe, it can in particular be applied to  $[b, D^\alpha]$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for  $n > 1$ . But we think the techniques may fail for  $[b, T_\alpha]$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for  $n > 1$ . The reason is that the higher-dimensional commutators are much more complicated due to the presence of  $\Omega$ , which cannot be represented easily.

In the case of  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , by applying an off-diagonal  $T1$  theorem (see [Hofmann 1998]), Q. Chen and Z. Zhang [2004] obtained the  $(L^p, L^q)$  bounds for the operator  $[b, T_\alpha]$  with  $\Omega \in \text{Lip}(S^{n-1})$  and  $D^\alpha b \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where  $1 < r < \infty$  and  $1/p + 1/r = 1/q$ . However, they pointed out that they do not know whether the off-diagonal  $T1$  theorem is true for  $r = \infty$ , so the  $(L^p, L^p)$ -boundedness of the operator  $[b, T_\alpha]$  cannot be obtained in [Chen and Zhang 2004]. We think there are two reasons that the  $(L^p, L^p)$ -boundedness of the operator  $[b, T_\alpha]$  cannot be obtained in [Chen and Zhang 2004]. Firstly, Calderón’s rotation method is of no use, since the elements in  $I_\alpha(\text{BMO})(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are not local and do not enjoy the properties of Lipschitz functions. Secondly, the  $T1$  theorem developed by David and Journé [1984], which is a powerful tool for the commutators  $[b, dH/dx]$  and  $[b, D^\alpha]$  to give an alternate proof, does not work well in general situations, such as the cases where the operators are rough or the cases where the weak-boundedness property (WBP) is not easy to verify.

Here we use Fourier transform estimates and Littlewood–Paley theory developed in [Duoandikoetxea and Rubio de Francia 1986] to get the  $L^p$ -boundedness of  $[b, T_\alpha]$  with rough kernel for all  $1 < p < \infty$ , which can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $b \in I_\alpha(\text{BMO})$ . If  $\Omega \in L \log^+ L(S^{n-1})$  having the mean value zero property, that is,*

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(x') d\sigma(x') = 0, \tag{1-1}$$

*then there is a constant  $C$  such that, for  $1 < p < \infty$ ,*

$$\|[b, T_\alpha]f\|_{L^p} \leq C \|D^\alpha b\|_{\text{BMO}} \|f\|_{L^p}.$$

We will prove this result in Section 2.

**Remark 1.2.** Our arguments depend heavily on the Fourier transform estimates, which is not a surprise from the historical point of view of techniques in handling rough operators [Duoandikoetxea and Rubio de Francia 1986]. But, as Murray has pointed out, the cases  $0 < \alpha < 1$  are fundamentally different: the underlying details turn out to be very subtle and quite different from the cases of  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\alpha = 1$ . Furthermore, we believe some modifications of the method in the present paper should provide an alternate proof of Calderón’s first-order commutator estimate.

As applications to partial differential equations have been found in the cases  $\alpha = 0, 1$  and Murray’s one-dimensional result in the case  $0 < \alpha < 1$  (see [Calderón 1980; Chiarenza et al. 1991; Di Fazio and Ragusa 1991; 1993; Murray 1987; Lewis and Silver 1988; Lewis and Murray 1991; 1995; Taylor 1991; 1997; 2015]), we also expect applications of our results to fractional-order partial differential equations (see for instance [Silvestre 2007; Caffarelli and Silvestre 2007; Caffarelli and Stinga 2016] on fractional elliptic equations).

**Definition 1.3.** A measurable function  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , belongs to the Morrey space  $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\lambda \in [0, n)$  if the following norm is finite:

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}} = \left( \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \frac{1}{r^\lambda} \int_{Q(x,r)} |f(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p},$$

where  $Q(x, r)$  stands for any cube of radius  $r$  and centered at  $x_0$ . When  $\lambda = 0$ ,  $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  coincides with the Lebesgue space  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

It is well known that the Morrey space  $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  [1938] is connected to certain problems in elliptic PDEs. Later, the Morrey spaces were found to have many important applications to the Navier–Stokes equations, the Schrödinger equations, elliptic equations and potential analysis (see [Chiarenza and Frasca 1987; Kato 1992; Taylor 1992; Ruiz and Vega 1991; Shen 2003; Di Fazio et al. 1999; Palagachev and Softova 2004; Deng et al. 2005; Adams and Xiao 2004; 2011; 2012]).

Recently, Chen, Ding and Wang gave a criterion of the boundedness of a general linear or sublinear operator on Morrey spaces:

**Theorem A** [Chen et al. 2012]. *Let  $0 < \lambda < n$ . Suppose that  $\Omega \in L^q(S^{n-1})$  for  $q > n/(n - \lambda)$  and  $S$  is a sublinear operator satisfying  $|Sf(x)| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Omega(x - y)| |f(y)| / |x - y|^n dy$ . Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . If the operator  $S$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $S$  is bounded on  $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .*

Clearly,  $b \in I_\alpha(\text{BMO}) \subset \text{Lip}_\alpha$  for  $0 < \alpha < 1$  implies  $|[b, T_\alpha]f(x)| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Omega(x - y)| |f(y)| / |x - y|^n dy$ . Since  $\Omega \in L^q(S^{n-1}) \subset L \log^+ L(S^{n-1})$  for  $q > n/(n - \lambda)$ , applying Theorem A and Theorem 1.1, we get:

**Corollary 1.4.** *Let  $0 < \lambda < n$ . Suppose  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $b \in I_\alpha(\text{BMO})$ . If  $\Omega \in L^q(S^{n-1})$  for  $q > n/(n - \lambda)$  and satisfies (1-1), then there is a constant  $C$  such that, for  $1 < p < \infty$ ,*

$$\|[b, T_\alpha]f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}} \leq C \|D^\alpha b\|_{\text{BMO}} \|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}}.$$

Pérez [1995] gave a simple example to show that the commutator  $[b, T_0]$  is not of weak type  $(1, 1)$  when  $b \in \text{BMO}$ . However, if  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $b \in I_\alpha(\text{BMO})$  and  $\Omega \in \text{Lip}(S^{n-1})$ , it is easy to verify that  $k(x, y) = (\Omega(x - y) / |x - y|^{n+\alpha})(b(x) - b(y))$  is a standard kernel. Moreover,  $\Omega \in \text{Lip}(S^{n-1}) \subset L \log^+ L(S^{n-1})$ , we apply Theorem 1.1 (the  $L^2$ -boundedness of  $[b, T_\alpha]$ ) to see  $[b, T_\alpha]$  is a generalized Calderón–Zygmund operator. So the weak type  $(1, 1)$ -boundedness of  $[b, T_\alpha]$  is a natural consequence. Therefore, it will be interesting to give a necessary condition for the  $L^1 \rightarrow L^{1,\infty}$  bounds of  $[b, T_\alpha]$ , which is our main aim in this part. Moreover, we will also give the necessity of the  $L^{p,\lambda}$ -boundedness of the commutator  $[b, T_\alpha]$ .

The following useful characterization of  $\text{Lip}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is due to Meyers [1964]:

**Theorem B.** Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . A locally integrable function  $b$  is in  $\text{Lip}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if and only if there is a constant  $C$  such that, for any cube  $Q$ ,

$$\frac{1}{|Q|^{1+\alpha/n}} \int_Q |b(x) - b_Q| dx \leq C.$$

We first give a necessary condition for the  $L^{p,\lambda}$  bounds of  $[b, T_\alpha]$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** Suppose  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,  $b \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\Omega \in \text{Lip}(S^{n-1})$  satisfies (1-1) or

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(x') x'_j d\sigma(x') = 0, \tag{1-2}$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Assume  $\Omega$  is not identically zero. If  $[b, T_\alpha]$  is bounded on  $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for some  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $0 \leq \lambda < n$ , then  $b \in \text{Lip}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Remark 1.6.** In particular, if  $[b, T_\alpha]$  is a bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for some  $1 < p < \infty$ , then  $b \in \text{Lip}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Remark 1.7.** Since the structure of  $\Omega$  is complicated and cannot be represented easily, the idea of proving Theorem 1.5 is very different from Murray’s method [1985], where the proof depends on a special property of the Hilbert transform  $H$ .

**Theorem 1.8.** Suppose  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,  $b \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\Omega \in \text{Lip}(S^{n-1})$  satisfies (1-1) or (1-2). Assume  $\Omega$  is not identically zero. If  $[b, T_\alpha]$  is bounded from  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $b \in \text{Lip}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Remark 1.9.** As far as we know, this is the first example of a necessary condition for the  $L^1 \rightarrow L^{1,\infty}$ -boundedness of an operator.

The proof of Theorems 1.5 and 1.8 will be given in Sections 3 and 4, respectively.

Moreover, in the course of showing the main result, in conjunction with Calderón’s first-order estimates, we obtain the characterizations of  $\text{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in terms of the  $L^p$ -,  $(L^1, L^{1,\infty})$ - and  $L^{p,\lambda}$ -boundedness of commutators. If  $b \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\Omega \in \text{Lip}(S^{n-1})$ , then by Theorem 2 in [Calderón 1965] it is easy to check that  $[b, T_1]$  is a Calderón–Zygmund operator, so the weak type  $(1, 1)$ -boundedness of  $[b, T_1]$  is a natural consequence. Applying Calderón’s conclusion [1965, Theorem 2] and Theorems A, 1.5 and 1.8 for the case of  $\alpha = 1$ , we give the characterizations for the Calderón commutator  $[b, T_1]$  as follows.

**Corollary 1.10.** Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $0 < \lambda < n$ . Suppose that  $b \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\Omega \in \text{Lip}(S^{n-1})$  satisfy (1-2); then the following four statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $b \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;
- (ii)  $[b, T_1]$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;
- (iii)  $[b, T_1]$  is bounded from  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;
- (iv)  $[b, T_1]$  is bounded on  $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

For the case of  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , in conjunction with Theorems 1.1, 1.5 and 1.8, we get:

**Theorem 1.11.** Suppose  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $b \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\Omega \in \text{Lip}(S^{n-1})$  satisfy the mean value zero property. Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $0 < \lambda < n$ . Then the implications (i)  $\implies$  (ii)  $\implies$  (iii)  $\implies$  (iv) hold for the following four statements:

- (i)  $[b, T_\alpha]$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;
- (ii)  $[b, T_\alpha]$  is bounded from  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;
- (iii)  $[b, T_\alpha]$  is bounded on  $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;
- (iv)  $[b, T_\alpha]$  is bounded from  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

We will prove Theorem 1.11 in Section 5.

Let  $T_\alpha$  and  $T$  be the operators which are defined respectively by

$$T_\alpha f(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\Omega((x-y)')}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha}} f(y) dy, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1, \tag{1-3}$$

and

$$Tf(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\tilde{\Omega}((x-y)')}{|x-y|^n} f(y) dy. \tag{1-4}$$

We will give a relation between  $[b, T_\alpha]$  and  $[b, D^\alpha T]$  for the case of  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

**Proposition 1.12.** *Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . For any fixed operator  $T_\alpha$  defined by (1-3) with  $\Omega \in L^2(S^{n-1})$  satisfying (1-1), there exists a singular integral operator  $T$  defined by (1-4) with  $\tilde{\Omega} \in L^2_\alpha(S^{n-1})$  satisfying (1-1) such that  $T_\alpha = D^\alpha T$ . Conversely, for any fixed singular integral operator  $T$  with  $\tilde{\Omega} \in L^2_\alpha(S^{n-1})$  satisfying (1-1), there exists an operator  $T_\alpha$  with  $\Omega \in L^2(S^{n-1})$  satisfying (1-1) such that  $T_\alpha = D^\alpha T$ .*

We will prove Proposition 1.12 in Section 6.

In particular, for any fixed singular integral operator  $T$  with  $\tilde{\Omega} \in C^2(S^{n-1})$  satisfying (1-1), there exists an operator  $T_\alpha$  with  $\Omega \in C^1(S^{n-1})$  satisfying (1-1) such that  $T_\alpha = D^\alpha T$ . Then, applying the result of Proposition 1.12, we get:

**Corollary 1.13.** *Suppose  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $b \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\tilde{\Omega} \in C^2(S^{n-1})$  satisfy (1-1). Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $0 < \lambda < n$ . Then the implications (i)  $\implies$  (ii)  $\implies$  (iii)  $\implies$  (iv) hold for the following four statements:*

- (i)  $[b, D^\alpha T]$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;
- (ii)  $[b, D^\alpha T]$  is bounded from  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;
- (iii)  $[b, D^\alpha T]$  is bounded on  $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;
- (iv)  $[b, D^\alpha T]$  is bounded from  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Remark 1.14.** We will give an application of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.13 to Riesz transforms. In fact, for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , since  $\widehat{D^\alpha R_j f}(\xi) = -i\xi_j |\xi|^{\alpha-1} \hat{f}(\xi)$  a trivial computation gives

$$\eta(\alpha) \left( \text{p.v.} \frac{x_j}{|x|^{n+1+\alpha}} \right)^\wedge(\xi) = i\xi_j |\xi|^{\alpha-1}, \quad \text{where } \eta(\alpha) = \frac{1-n-\alpha}{2\pi} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(n+\alpha-1))}{\pi^{n/2+\alpha-1} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha))}.$$

From the above facts, we get

$$[b, D^\alpha R_j]f(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\Omega_j(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha}} (b(x) - b(y)) f(y) dy,$$



where  $\Omega_j(x') = \eta(\alpha)x_j/|x|$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Since  $\Omega_j(x')$  is in  $L \log^+ L(S^{n-1})$  and satisfies the mean value zero property, by Theorem 1.1 we get, for  $1 < p < \infty$ ,

$$\|[b, D^\alpha R_j]\|_{L^p} \leq C \|D^\alpha b\|_{\text{BMO}} \|f\|_{L^p}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Now suppose that  $[b, D^\alpha R_j]$  are bounded operators from  $L^\infty$  to BMO for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . The vanishing moment of  $\Omega_j$  gives  $[b, D^\alpha R_j](1)(x) = -D^\alpha R_j b(x) = -R_j D^\alpha(b)(x) \in \text{BMO}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Since  $R_j : \text{BMO} \rightarrow \text{BMO}$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^n R_j^2 f = -f$ , we get

$$\|D^\alpha b\|_{\text{BMO}} = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n R_j^2 D^\alpha b \right\|_{\text{BMO}} \leq C \sum_{j=1}^n \left\| (R_j D^\alpha b) \right\|_{\text{BMO}} \leq C.$$

This gives that  $D^\alpha b \in \text{BMO}$ . Then, applying Corollary 1.13, for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $0 < \lambda < n$  the following five statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $b \in I_\alpha(\text{BMO})$ ;
- (ii)  $[b, D^\alpha R_j]$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , are bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;
- (iii)  $[b, D^\alpha R_j]$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , are bounded from  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;
- (iv)  $[b, D^\alpha R_j]$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , are bounded on  $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;
- (v)  $[b, D^\alpha R_j]$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , are bounded from  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  to  $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

The following results show that if we assume some conditions on  $T$ , then we may characterize the commutator  $[b, D^\alpha T]$  directly.

**Corollary 1.15.** *Suppose  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $b \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If  $T$  is a bounded, invertible operator on BMO, then when  $\tilde{\Omega} \in C^2(S^{n-1})$  satisfies (1-1), for  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $0 < \lambda < n$  the following five statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $b \in I_\alpha(\text{BMO})$ ;
- (ii)  $[b, D^\alpha T]$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;
- (iii)  $[b, D^\alpha T]$  is bounded from  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;
- (iv)  $[b, D^\alpha T]$  is bounded on  $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;
- (v)  $[b, D^\alpha T]$  is bounded from  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii) follows from Theorem 1.1 and (ii)  $\implies$  (iii)  $\implies$  (iv)  $\implies$  (v) follows from Corollary 1.13, so it remains to prove (v)  $\implies$  (i). If  $[b, T_\alpha]$  is bounded from  $L^\infty$  to BMO, the vanishing moment of  $\Omega$  gives  $[b, D^\alpha T](1)(x) = -T D^\alpha b(x) \in \text{BMO}$ . Since  $T$  is a bounded, invertible operator on BMO, we get  $D^\alpha b \in \text{BMO}$ . □

**Remark 1.16.** Since  $H$  is a bounded, invertible operator on  $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R})$ , by Corollary 1.15 we have for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $0 < \lambda < n$  that the following five statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $b \in I_\alpha(\text{BMO})$ ;
- (ii)  $[b, D^\alpha H]$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ ;

- (iii)  $[b, D^\alpha H]$  is bounded from  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  to  $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ ;
- (iv)  $[b, D^\alpha H]$  is bounded on  $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R})$ ;
- (v)  $[b, D^\alpha H]$  is bounded from  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  to  $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R})$ .

**2. Proof of Theorem 1.1**

We first prove Theorem 1.1 by a key lemma, whose proof will be given below. Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a radial function such that  $\text{supp } \phi \subset \{\frac{1}{2} \leq |\xi| \leq 2\}$  and

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi^3(2^{-l}\xi) = 1 \quad \text{for any } |\xi| > 0.$$

Define the multiplier  $S_l$  by  $\widehat{S_l f}(\xi) = \phi(2^{-l}\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi)$  for all  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Suppose that  $\Omega(x')$  satisfies (1-1). Let*

$$K_j(x) = \frac{\Omega(x')}{|x|^{n+\alpha}} \chi_{\{2^j \leq |x| < 2^{j+1}\}}(x)$$

for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Define the multiplier  $T_j^l$  ( $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) by  $\widehat{T_j^l f}(\xi) = \phi(2^{j-l}\xi) \widehat{K}_j(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi)$ . Set

$$V_l f(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} [b, S_{l-j} T_j^l S_{l-j}](f)(x).$$

Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . For  $b \in I_\alpha(\text{BMO})(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the following conclusions hold:

- (i) If  $\Omega \in L^\infty(S^{n-1})$ , then there exists  $0 < \tau < 1$  such that

$$\|V_l f\|_{L^2} \leq C \|\Omega\|_{L^\infty} 2^{-\tau|l|} \|D^\alpha b\|_{\text{BMO}} \|f\|_{L^2} \quad \text{for } l \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2-1}$$

- (ii) If  $\Omega \in L^1(S^{n-1})$  then, for  $1 < p < \infty$ ,

$$\|V_l f\|_{L^p} \leq C \|\Omega\|_{L^1} \|D^\alpha b\|_{\text{BMO}} \|f\|_{L^p} \quad \text{for } l \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2-2}$$

The constants  $C$  in (2-1) and (2-2) are independent of  $l$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let us now finish the proof of Theorem 1.1 by using Lemma 2.1.

Let  $E_0 = \{x' \in S^{n-1} : |\Omega(x')| < 2\}$  and  $E_d = \{x' \in S^{n-1} : 2^d \leq |\Omega(x')| < 2^{d+1}\}$  for  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $d \geq 0$ , let

$$\Omega_d(y') = \Omega(y') \chi_{E_d}(y') - \frac{1}{|S^{n-1}|} \int_{E_d} \Omega(x') d\sigma(x'),$$

Then  $\Omega(y') = \sum_{d \geq 0} \Omega_d(y')$ . Since  $\Omega$  satisfies (1-1),

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega_d(y') d\sigma(y') = 0 \quad \text{for all } d \geq 0.$$

Set

$$K_{j,d}(x) = \frac{\Omega_d(x)}{|x|^{n+\alpha}} \chi_{\{2^j \leq |x| < 2^{j+1}\}}(x)$$

and define  $T_{j,d}^l$  and  $V_{l,d}$  in the same way as  $T_j^l$  and  $V_l$  are defined in Lemma 2.1, replacing  $K_j$  by  $K_{j,d}$ . With the notations above, it is easy to see that

$$[b, T_\alpha]f(x) = \sum_{d \geq 0} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} [b, S_{l-j} T_{j,d}^l S_{l-j}]f(x) = \sum_{d \geq 0} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{l,d} f(x).$$

By interpolating between (2-1) and (2-2), there exists  $0 < \theta < 1$  such that

$$\|V_{l,d} f\|_{L^p} \leq C \|\Omega_d\|_\infty 2^{-\theta|l|} \|D^\alpha b\|_{\text{BMO}} \|f\|_{L^p} \quad \text{for } l \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2-3}$$

Taking a large positive integer  $N$  such that  $N > 2\theta^{-1}$ ,

$$\|[b, T_\alpha]f\|_{L^p} \leq \sum_{d \geq 0} \sum_{Nd < |l|} \|V_{l,d} f\|_{L^p} + \sum_{d \geq 0} \sum_{0 \leq |l| \leq Nd} \|V_{l,d} f\|_{L^p} =: J_1 + J_2.$$

For  $J_1$ , using (2-3) we get

$$J_1 \leq C \|D^\alpha b\|_{\text{BMO}} \sum_{d \geq 0} 2^d \sum_{|l| > Nd} 2^{-\theta|l|} \|f\|_{L^p} \leq C \|D^\alpha b\|_{\text{BMO}} \|f\|_{L^p}.$$

Finally, by (2-2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &\leq C \|D^\alpha b\|_{\text{BMO}} \sum_{d \geq 0} \sum_{0 \leq |l| < Nd} 2^d \sigma(E_d) \|f\|_{L^p} \\ &\leq C \|D^\alpha b\|_{\text{BMO}} \sum_{d \geq 0} 2^d \sigma(E_d) \|f\|_{L^p} \\ &\leq C \|\Omega\|_{L \log^+ L} \|D^\alpha b\|_{\text{BMO}} \|f\|_{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates of  $J_1$  and  $J_2$ , we get

$$\|[b, T_\alpha]f\|_{L^p} \leq C(1 + \|\Omega\|_{L \log^+ L}) \|D^\alpha b\|_{\text{BMO}} \|f\|_{L^p},$$

which is exactly the required conclusion of Theorem 1.1. □

*Proof of Lemma 2.1.* Hence, to finish the proof of Theorem 1.1, it remains to prove Lemma 2.1. Let us begin by giving some lemmas and their proofs, which will play a key role in the proof.

**Lemma 2.2** [Christ and Journé 1987]. *Let  $\Theta_j f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi_j(x, y) f(y) dy$ , where  $\psi_j(x, y)$  satisfies the standard kernel conditions, i.e., for some  $\gamma > 0$  and  $C > 0$ ,*

$$|\psi_j(x, y)| \leq C \frac{2^{j\gamma}}{(2^{-j} + |x - y|)^{n+\gamma}} \tag{2-4}$$

and

$$|\psi_j(x, y + h) - \psi_j(x, y)| \leq C \frac{|h|^\gamma}{(2^{-j} + |x - y|)^{n+\gamma}}, \quad |h| \leq 2^j, \tag{2-5}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose that  $du(x, t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\Theta_j 1(x)|^2 dx \delta_{2^{-j}}(t)$  is a Carleson measure, where  $\delta_{2^{-j}}(t)$  is Dirac mass at the point  $t = 2^{-j}$ . Then  $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\Theta_j f\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \|f\|_{L^2}^2$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $b \in I_\alpha(\text{BMO})(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a radial function such that  $\text{supp } \phi \subset \{\frac{1}{2} \leq |\xi| \leq 2\}$ . Define the multiplier operator  $S_l$  by  $\widehat{S_l f}(\xi) = \phi(2^{-l}\xi)\hat{f}(\xi)$  for  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then for  $1 < p < \infty$  we have*

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2j\alpha} |[b, S_j]f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p} \leq C \|D^\alpha b\|_{\text{BMO}} \|f\|_{L^p}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\widehat{\Phi} = \phi$  and  $\Phi_{2^{-j}}(x) = 2^{jn}\Phi(2^jx)$ ; then  $S_j f = \Phi_{2^{-j}} * f$ . Let

$$k_j(x, y) = 2^{j\alpha}(b(x) - b(y))\Phi_{2^{-j}}(x - y);$$

then

$$2^{j\alpha}[b, S_j]f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k_j(x, y)f(y) dy.$$

It is easy to verify that  $k_j(x, y)$  satisfies (2-4) and (2-5). Since

$$2^{j\alpha}[b, S_j]1 = 2^{j\alpha}S_j b = 2^{j\alpha}(|\xi|^\alpha|\xi|^{-\alpha}\phi(2^{-j}\xi)\hat{b})^\vee = (\hat{\sigma}(2^{-j}\xi)\widehat{D^\alpha b})^\vee =: S_j^\alpha(D^\alpha b),$$

where  $\hat{\sigma}(\xi) = \phi(\xi)|\xi|^{-\alpha}$  and  $S_j^\alpha$  is a multiplier defined by  $S_j^\alpha f(x) = \sigma_{2^{-j}} * f(x)$ , by  $D^\alpha b \in \text{BMO}$  we know

$$du(x, t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |2^{j\alpha}[b, S_j]1(x)|^2 dx \delta_{2^{-j}}(t)$$

is a Carleson measure. Thus, by Lemma 2.2 we get

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|2^{j\alpha}[b, S_j]f\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \|f\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{2-6}$$

Define the operator  $\mathbb{T}$  by

$$\mathbb{T}f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{K}(x, y)f(y) dy,$$

where  $\mathbb{K} : (x, y) \mapsto \{k_j(x, y)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with  $\|\mathbb{K}(x, y)\|_{\mathbb{C} \mapsto \ell^2} := (\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |k_j(x, y)|^2)^{1/2}$ . Thus, (2-6) says that

$$\|\mathbb{T}f\|_{L^2(\ell^2)} \leq C \|D^\alpha b\|_{\text{BMO}} \|f\|_{L^2}.$$

On the other hand, for  $b \in I_\alpha(\text{BMO})$ , it is easy to verify that, for  $2|x - x_0| \leq |x - y|$ ,

$$\left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |k_j(x, y) - k_j(x_0, y)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq C \|D^\alpha b\|_{\text{BMO}} \frac{|x - x_0|^\alpha}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha}},$$

since  $I_\alpha(\text{BMO}) \subset \text{Lip}_\alpha$  for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Then, by the result in [Grafakos 2004], we prove Lemma 2.3.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $m_{\delta,j} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $0 < \delta < \infty$ , for any fixed  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $T_{\delta,j}$  be the multiplier operator defined by  $\widehat{T_{\delta,j} f}(\xi) = m_{\delta,j}(\xi)\hat{f}(\xi)$ . For  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , let  $b \in \text{Lip}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and let  $[b, T_{\delta,j}]$  be the commutator of  $T_{\delta,j}$ . If, for some constants  $A > 0$  and  $0 < \beta < 1$ ,*

$$\|m_{\delta,j}\|_{L^\infty} \leq CA2^{-j\alpha} \min\{\delta, \delta^{-\beta}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla m_{\delta,j}\|_{L^\infty} \leq CA2^j2^{-j\alpha},$$



then there exists a constant  $0 < \lambda < 1$  such that

$$\|[b, T_{\delta,j}]f\|_{L^2} \leq CA \min\{\delta^\lambda, \delta^{-\beta\lambda}\} \|b\|_{\text{Lip}_\alpha} \|f\|_{L^2},$$

where  $C$  is independent of  $\delta$  and  $j$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\|b\|_{\text{Lip}_\alpha} = 1$ . Taking a  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  radial function  $\phi$  with  $\text{supp } \phi \subset \{\frac{1}{2} \leq |x| \leq 2\}$  and  $\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(2^{-l}x) = 1$  for any  $|x| > 0$ . Let  $\phi_0(x) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^0 \phi(2^{-l}x)$  and  $\phi_l(x) = \phi(2^{-l}x)$  for positive integers  $l$ . Let  $K_{\delta,j}(x) = m_{\delta,j}^\vee(x)$ , the inverse Fourier transform of  $m_{\delta,j}$ . Split  $K_{\delta,j}$  into

$$K_{\delta,j}(x) = K_{\delta,j}(x)\phi_0(x) + \sum_{l=1}^\infty K_{\delta,j}(x)\phi_l(x) =: \sum_{l=0}^\infty K_{\delta,j}^l(x).$$

Note that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\phi}(\eta) d\eta = 0$  and

$$\widehat{K_{\delta,j}^l}(x) = 2^{ln} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} m_{\delta,j}(x-y)\widehat{\phi}(2^l y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} m_{\delta,j}(x-2^{-l}y)\widehat{\phi}(y) dy.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{K_{\delta,j}^l}\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (m_{\delta,j}(x-2^{-l}y) - m_{\delta,j}(x))\widehat{\phi}(y) dy \right\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq CA2^{-l} \|\nabla m_{\delta,j}\|_{L^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y| |\widehat{\phi}(y)| dy \\ &\leq CA2^{-l} 2^j 2^{-j\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{2-7}$$

On the other hand, by the Young inequality,

$$\|\widehat{K_{\delta,j}^l}\|_{L^\infty} = \|\widehat{K_{\delta,j}} * \widehat{\phi}_l\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|\widehat{K_{\delta,j}}\|_{L^\infty} \|\widehat{\phi}_l\|_{L^1} \leq CA2^{-j\alpha} \min\{\delta, \delta^{-\beta}\}. \tag{2-8}$$

Therefore, by (2-7) and (2-8), for each  $0 < \theta < 1$ ,

$$\|\widehat{K_{\delta,j}^l}\|_{L^\infty} \leq CA2^{-\theta l} 2^{(\theta-\alpha)j} \min\{\delta^{1-\theta}, \delta^{-(1-\theta)\beta}\}. \tag{2-9}$$

Then, from (2-8), (2-9) and the Plancherel theorem, we get

$$\|T_{\delta,j}^l f\|_{L^2} \leq CA2^{-j\alpha} \min\{\delta, \delta^{-\beta}\} \|f\|_{L^2} \tag{2-10}$$

and

$$\|T_{\delta,j}^l f\|_{L^2} \leq CA2^{-\theta l} 2^{(\theta-\alpha)j} \min\{\delta^{1-\theta}, \delta^{-(1-\theta)\beta}\} \|f\|_{L^2}. \tag{2-11}$$

Now we turn our attention to  $[b, T_{\delta,j}^l]$ , the commutator of the operator  $T_{\delta,j}^l$ . Decompose  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into a grid of nonoverlapping cubes with side length  $2^l$ . That is,  $\mathbb{R}^n = \bigcup_{d=-\infty}^\infty Q_d$ . Set  $f_d = f \chi_{Q_d}$ ; then

$$f(x) = \sum_{d=-\infty}^\infty f_d(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

It is obvious that  $\text{supp}([b, T_{\delta,j}^l]f_d) \subset 2nQ_d$  and that the supports of  $\{[b, T_{\delta,j}^l]f_d\}_{d=-\infty}^{+\infty}$  have bounded overlaps. So we have the almost orthogonality property

$$\|[b, T_{\delta,j}^l]f\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \sum_{d=-\infty}^{\infty} \|[b, T_{\delta,j}^l]f_d\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Thus, we may assume that  $\text{supp } f \subset Q$  for some cube with side length  $2^l$ . Choose  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ ,  $\text{supp } \varphi \subset 100nQ$  and  $\varphi = 1$  when  $x \in 30nQ$ . Set  $\tilde{Q} = 200nQ$  and  $\tilde{b} = (b(x) - b_{\tilde{Q}})\varphi(x)$ ; then

$$\|[b, T_{\delta,j}^l]f\|_{L^2} \leq \sum_{l \geq 0} \|[b, T_{\delta,j}^l]f\|_{L^2} \leq \sum_{l \geq 0} \|\tilde{b}T_{\delta,j}^l f\|_{L^2} + \sum_{l \geq 0} \|T_{\delta,j}^l(\tilde{b}f)\|_{L^2} =: I_1 + I_2.$$

For  $I_1$ , we have

$$I_1 \leq \sum_{l \geq 0} \|\tilde{b}\|_{L^\infty} \|T_{\delta,j}^l f\|_{L^2} \leq C \sum_{l \geq 0} 2^{l\alpha} \|b\|_{\text{Lip}_\alpha} \|T_{\delta,j}^l f\|_{L^2}.$$

Take  $\theta$  such that  $\alpha < \theta < 1$  in (2-11); then, by (2-10) and (2-11),

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq C \left( \sum_{l < j} 2^{l\alpha} \|T_{\delta,j}^l f\|_{L^2} + \sum_{l \geq j} 2^{l\alpha} \|T_{\delta,j}^l f\|_{L^2} \right) \\ &\leq CA \left( \sum_{l < j} 2^{(l-j)\alpha} \min\{\delta, \delta^{-\beta}\} + \sum_{l \geq j} 2^{(l-j)(\alpha-\theta)} \min\{\delta^{1-\theta}, \delta^{-\beta(1-\theta)}\} \right) \|f\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq CA \min\{\delta^{1-\theta}, \delta^{-\beta(1-\theta)}\} \|f\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is independent of  $\delta$ . Similarly, we can get

$$I_2 \leq CA \min\{\delta^{1-\theta}, \delta^{-\beta(1-\theta)}\} \|f\|_{L^2}.$$

Thus

$$\|[b, T_{\delta,j}^l]f\|_{L^2} \leq CA \min\{\delta^\lambda, \delta^{-\beta\lambda}\} \|f\|_{L^2}$$

with  $0 < \lambda = 1 - \theta < 1$  and  $C$  independent of  $\delta$ . □

*Proof of (2-1) in Lemma 2.1.* For  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define the operator  $T_j$  by  $T_j f = K_j * f$ , where  $K_j(x) = (\Omega(x')/|x|^{n+\alpha})\chi_{\{2^j \leq |x| < 2^{j+1}\}}(x)$ . Since  $\Omega \in L^\infty(S^{n-1})$ , for some  $0 < \beta < 1$  we have

$$|\widehat{K}_j(\xi)| \leq C \|\Omega\|_{L^\infty} 2^{-j\alpha} \min\{|2^j \xi|^{-\beta}, |2^j \xi|\}$$

(see [Duoandikoetxea and Rubio de Francia 1986, pp. 551–552]). A trivial computation shows that  $|\nabla \widehat{K}_j(\xi)| \leq C \|\Omega\|_{L^1} 2^{(1-\alpha)j}$ . Set

$$m_j(\xi) = \widehat{K}_j(\xi), \quad m_j^l(\xi) = m_j(\xi)\phi(2^{j-l}\xi).$$

Define the operator  $T_j^l$  by  $\widehat{T_j^l f}(\xi) = m_j^l(\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi)$ . Thus  $m_j^l \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with

$$\|m_j^l\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|\Omega\|_{L^\infty} 2^{-j\alpha} \min\{2^{-\beta l}, 2^l\} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla m_j^l\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|\Omega\|_{L^\infty} 2^{(1-\alpha)j}. \tag{2-12}$$

Thus Lemma 2.4 with  $\delta = 2^l$  and  $I_\alpha(\text{BMO}) \subset \text{Lip}_\alpha$  for  $0 < \alpha < 1$  says that, for some constant  $0 < \lambda < 1$ ,

$$\|[b, T_j^l]f\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\Omega\|_{L^\infty}\|D^\alpha b\|_{\text{BMO}} \min\{2^{-\beta\lambda l}, 2^{\lambda l}\}\|f\|_{L^2}, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2-13}$$

By the Plancherel theorem, we get

$$\|T_j^l f\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\Omega\|_{L^\infty} 2^{-j\alpha} \min\{2^{-\beta l}, 2^l\}\|f\|_{L^2}. \tag{2-14}$$

For any  $j, l \in \mathbb{Z}$  we may write

$$[b, S_{l-j}T_j^l S_{l-j}]f = [b, S_{l-j}](T_j^l S_{l-j}f) + S_{l-j}([b, T_j^l]S_{l-j}f) + S_{l-j}T_j^l([b, S_{l-j}]f).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_l f\|_{L^2} &\leq \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} S_{l-j}([b, T_j^l]S_{l-j}f) \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} S_{l-j}T_j^l([b, S_{l-j}]f) \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} [b, S_{l-j}](T_j^l S_{l-j}f) \right\|_{L^2} \\ &=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

Below we shall estimate  $I_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . By Littlewood–Paley theory and (2-13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|[b, T_j^l](S_{l-j}f)\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C\|\Omega\|_{L^\infty} \min\{2^{-\beta\lambda l}, 2^{\lambda l}\}\|D^\alpha b\|_{\text{BMO}} \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|S_{l-j}f\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C\|\Omega\|_{L^\infty} \min\{2^{-\beta\lambda l}, 2^{\lambda l}\}\|D^\alpha b\|_{\text{BMO}}\|f\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2-15}$$

Now we estimate  $I_2$ . By (2-14) and Lemma 2.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|T_j^l([b, S_{l-j}]f)\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C\|\Omega\|_{L^\infty} \min\{2^{-(\beta+\alpha)l}, 2^{(1-\alpha)l}\} \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2j\alpha} \|[b, S_j]f\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C\|\Omega\|_{L^\infty} \min\{2^{-(\beta+\alpha)l}, 2^{(1-\alpha)l}\}\|D^\alpha b\|_{\text{BMO}}\|f\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2-16}$$

Finally, by duality and (2-16) we get

$$I_3 \leq C\|\Omega\|_{L^\infty} \min\{2^{-(\beta+\alpha)l}, 2^{(1-\alpha)l}\}\|D^\alpha b\|_{\text{BMO}}\|f\|_{L^2}. \tag{2-17}$$

It follows from (2-15)–(2-17) that, for some constant  $0 < \tau < 1$ ,

$$\|V_l f\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\Omega\|_{L^\infty} 2^{-\tau|l|}\|D^\alpha b\|_{\text{BMO}}\|f\|_{L^2} \quad \text{for } l \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This completes the proof of (2-1). □

*Proof of (2-2) in Lemma 2.1.* Since  $T_j^l = T_j S_{l-j}$  for any  $j, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we may write

$$[b, S_{l-j} T_j^l S_{l-j}]f = S_{l-j}([b, T_j]S_{l-j}^2 f) + S_{l-j} T_j([b, S_{l-j}^2]f) + [b, S_{l-j}](T_j S_{l-j}^2 f).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_l f\|_{L^p} &\leq \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} S_{l-j}([b, T_j]S_{l-j}^2 f) \right\|_{L^p} + \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} S_{l-j} T_j([b, S_{l-j}^2]f) \right\|_{L^p} + \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} [b, S_{l-j}](T_j S_{l-j}^2 f) \right\|_{L^p} \\ &=: L_1 + L_2 + L_3. \end{aligned}$$

Below we shall estimate  $L_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ . It is well known that, for any  $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$|[b, T_j]g(x)| \leq C \|b\|_{\text{Lip}_\alpha} M_\Omega g(x),$$

where

$$M_\Omega g(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r^n} \int_{|x-y|<r} |\Omega(x-y)| |g(y)| dy.$$

From this we get, for  $1 < p < \infty$ ,

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |[b, T_j]g_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p} \leq C \|\Omega\|_{L^1} \|b\|_{\text{Lip}_\alpha} \left\| \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |g_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p}.$$

Then, by Littlewood–Paley theory and since  $I_\alpha(\text{BMO}) \subset \text{Lip}_\alpha$  for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , we have

$$L_1 \leq C \left\| \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |[b, T_j](S_{l-j}^2 f)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p} \leq C \|\Omega\|_{L^1} \|D^\alpha b\|_{\text{BMO}} \|f\|_{L^p}.$$

For  $L_2$ , by a similar proof to that of [Chen and Zhang 2004, (1.13)], we get

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |T_j f_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p} \leq C \|\Omega\|_{L^1} \left\| \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |D^\alpha f_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p}.$$

Then, by Littlewood–Paley theory and the above inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} L_2 &\leq C \|\Omega\|_{L^1} \left\| \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |D^\alpha [b, S_{l-j}^2]f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p} \\ &\leq C \|\Omega\|_{L^1} \left\| \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |[b, D^\alpha]S_{l-j}^2 f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p} + C \|\Omega\|_{L^1} \left\| \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |[b, D^\alpha S_{l-j}^2]f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the kernel of  $[b, D^\alpha]$  is

$$K(x, y) = \eta(\alpha) \frac{b(x) - b(y)}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha}},$$

where  $\eta(\alpha)$  is some normalization constant (see [Stein 1970]). Since  $K(x, y)$  is antisymmetric, WBP is satisfied automatically. Also  $[b, D^\alpha]1 = D^\alpha b \in \text{BMO}$  so, by the  $T1$  theorem (see [David and Journé 1984]),  $[b, D^\alpha]$  is bounded on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . It is easy to verify that  $K(x, y)$  is a standard kernel; then, by



the Calderón–Zygmund theorem (see [Grafakos 2004]), we get that  $[b, D^\alpha]$  is bounded on  $L^p(\ell^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ . Combining this with Lemma 2.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} L_2 &\leq C\|\Omega\|_{L^1}\|D^\alpha b\|_{\text{BMO}}\left\|\left(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}|S_j f|^2\right)^{1/2}\right\|_{L^p} + C\|\Omega\|_{L^1}\left\|\left(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}|2^{j\alpha}[b, \bar{S}_j]f|^2\right)^{1/2}\right\|_{L^p} \\ &\leq C\|\Omega\|_{L^1}\|D^\alpha b\|_{\text{BMO}}\|f\|_{L^p}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{S}_j$  is the Littlewood–Paley operator given in the transform by multiplication with the function  $|2^{-j}\xi|^\alpha\phi^2(2^{-j}\xi)$ . By duality and the estimate of  $L_2$ , we get

$$L_3 \leq C2^{-l\alpha}\|\Omega\|_{L^1}\|D^\alpha b\|_{\text{BMO}}\|f\|_{L^p}.$$

Combining the estimates of  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$  and  $L_3$ , we get

$$\|V_l f\|_{L^p} \leq C\|\Omega\|_{L^1}\|D^\alpha b\|_{\text{BMO}}\|f\|_{L^p} \quad \text{for } l \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This completes the proof of (2-2). □

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.5

In the proof of Theorem 1.5, for  $j = 1, \dots, 15$ ,  $A_j$  is a positive constant depending only on  $\Omega, n, p, \alpha, \lambda$  and  $A_i, 1 \leq i < j$ . We may assume  $\|[b, T_\alpha]\|_{L^{p,\lambda} \rightarrow L^{p,\lambda}} = 1$ . We want to prove that, for any fixed  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,

$$M := \frac{1}{|B(x_0, r)|^{1+\alpha/n}} \int_{B(x_0, r)} |b(y) - a_0| dy \leq A(p, \Omega, \alpha, \lambda), \tag{3-1}$$

where  $a_0 = |B(x_0, r)|^{-1} \int_{B(x_0, r)} b(y) dy$ . Since  $[b - a_0, T_\alpha] = [b, T_\alpha]$ , we may assume  $a_0 = 0$ . Let

$$f(y) = (\text{sgn } b(y) - c_0)\chi_{B(x_0, r)}(y), \tag{3-2}$$

where  $c_0 = (1/|B(x_0, r)|) \int_{B(x_0, r)} \text{sgn } b(y) dy$ . Then  $f$  has the following properties:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) dy = 0, \tag{3-3}$$

$$f(y)b(y) > 0, \tag{3-4}$$

$$\frac{1}{|B(x_0, r)|^{1+\alpha/n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)b(y) dy = M. \tag{3-5}$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $|\Omega(x') - \Omega(y')| \leq |x' - y'|$  for all  $x', y' \in S^{n-1}$ . Since  $\Omega$  satisfies (1-1) or (1-2), there exists a positive number  $A_1 < 1$  such that

$$\sigma(\Lambda) := \sigma(\{x' \in S^{n-1} : \Omega(x') \geq 2A_1\}) > 0, \tag{3-6}$$

where  $\sigma$  is the measure on  $S^{n-1}$  which is induced from the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then, for  $x \in G = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x_0| > A_2 r = (2A_1^{-1} + 1)r \text{ and } (x - x_0)' \in \Lambda\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |[b, T_\alpha]f(x)| &\geq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Omega((x-y)') |x-y|^{-n-\alpha} b(y) f(y) dy \right| - |b(x)| \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Omega((x-y)') |x-y|^{-n-\alpha} f(y) dy \right| \\ &=: I_1(x) - I_2(x). \end{aligned}$$

For  $I_1(x)$ , noting that if  $|y - x_0| < r$ , we get  $|(x - x_0)' - (x - y)'| \leq 2|y - x_0|/|x - x_0| \leq A_1$ , then, since  $\Omega \in \text{Lip}(S^{n-1})$ , we get  $\Omega((x - y)') \geq A_1$ . Thus it follows from (3-4) and (3-5) that

$$I_1(x) \geq A_1 \int_{B(x_0, r)} b(y) f(y) |y - x|^{-n-\alpha} dy \geq A_3 r^{n+\alpha} M |x - x_0|^{-n-\alpha}.$$

Since  $\Omega \in \text{Lip}(S^{n-1})$  and by (3-3), we have

$$I_2(x) \leq |b(x)| \int_{B(x_0, r)} |f(y)| \left| \frac{\Omega((x-y)')}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha}} - \frac{\Omega((x-x_0)')}{|x-x_0|^{n+\alpha}} \right| dy \leq A_4 r^{n+1} |b(x)| |x - x_0|^{-n-\alpha-1}.$$

Let  $\theta = p/(n(p-1) + p\alpha + \lambda)$  and

$$F = \left\{ x \in G : |b(x)| > \frac{A_3 M r^{\alpha-1}}{2A_4} |x - x_0| \text{ and } |x - x_0| < M^\theta r \right\}.$$

This gives that  $I_1(x) \geq 2I_2(x)$  when  $x \in (G \setminus F) \cap \{x : |x - x_0| < M^\theta r\}$ . Then we have

$$|[b, T_\alpha]f(x)| \geq I_1(x) - I_2(x) \geq \frac{1}{2} I_1(x) \quad \text{for } x \in (G \setminus F) \cap \{x : |x - x_0| < M^\theta r\}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}}^p &\geq \|[b, T_\alpha]f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}}^p \\ &\geq \frac{1}{M^{\theta\lambda} r^\lambda} \int_{|x-x_0| < M^\theta r} |[b, T_\alpha]f(x)|^p dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{M^{\theta\lambda} r^\lambda} \int_{(G \setminus F) \cap \{|x-x_0| < M^\theta r\}} \left(\frac{1}{2} A_3 M r^{\alpha+n} |x - x_0|^{-n-\alpha}\right)^p dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{M^{\theta\lambda} r^\lambda} \int_{\{A_5(|F| + (B_2 r)^n)^{1/n} < |x-x_0| < M^\theta r\} \cap G} \left(\frac{1}{2} A_3 M r^{\alpha+n} |x - x_0|^{-n-\alpha}\right)^p dx \\ &= \frac{\sigma(\Lambda)}{M^{\theta\lambda} r^\lambda} \left(\frac{A_3 M r^{\alpha+n}}{2}\right)^p \int_{A_5(|F| + (A_2 r)^n)^{1/n}}^{M^\theta r} t^{-n(p-1)-p\alpha-1} dt \\ &= \frac{\sigma(\Lambda)}{M^{\theta\lambda} r^\lambda} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} B_3 M r^{\alpha+n}\right)^p}{(-n(p-1) - p\alpha)} \left((M^\theta r)^{-n(p-1)-p\alpha} - A_6(|F| + (A_2 r)^n)^{(-n(p-1)-p\alpha)/n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by  $\|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}} \leq C r^{(n-\lambda)/p}$  and an elementary computation, we have

$$\left(|F| + (A_2 r)^n\right)^{-(p-1)-p\alpha/n} \leq A_7 (M^{\theta(-n(p-1)-p\alpha)} + M^{\theta\lambda-p}) r^{-n(p-1)-p\alpha}.$$

Since  $\lambda = p/\theta - n(p-1) - p\alpha$ , we get

$$\left(|F| + (A_2 r)^n\right)^{-(p-1)-p\alpha/n} \leq A_8 M^{\theta(-n(p-1)-p\alpha)} r^{-n(p-1)-p\alpha}.$$

Then we have

$$|F| \geq A_9 M^{\theta n} r^n - (A_2 r)^n.$$

If  $M \leq (2A_9^{-1} A_2^n)^{1/(\theta n)}$ , then Theorem 1.5 is proved. If  $M > (2A_9^{-1} A_2^n)^{1/(\theta n)}$ , then

$$|F| \geq \frac{1}{2} A_9 M^{\theta n} r^n. \tag{3-7}$$

Now let  $g(y) = \chi_{B(x_0, r)}(y)$ . For  $x \in F$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |[b, T_\alpha]g(x)| &\geq |b(x)| \left| \int_{B(x_0, r)} \frac{\Omega((x-y)')}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha}} g(y) dy \right| - \int_{B(x_0, r)} |\Omega((x-y)'|) |x-y|^{-n-\alpha} |b(y)| dy \\ &=: K_1 - K_2. \end{aligned} \tag{3-8}$$

For  $y \in B(x_0, r)$  and  $x \in F$  we have that  $|x - x_0| \simeq |x - y|$  and  $\Omega((x - y)') \geq A_1$ . Now, regarding  $K_1$ , it follows that

$$K_1 \geq C |b(x)| \int_{B(x_0, r)} |x - y|^{-n-\alpha} dy \geq A_{10} |b(x)| |x - x_0|^{-n-\alpha} r^n. \tag{3-9}$$

For  $K_2$ , since  $\Omega \in L^\infty(S^{n-1})$ , we have

$$K_2 \leq C |x - x_0|^{-n-\alpha} \int_{B(x_0, r)} |b(y)| dy \leq A_{11} |x - x_0|^{-n-\alpha} r^{n+\alpha} M. \tag{3-10}$$

So, by (3-8)–(3-10) and since  $|b(x)| > (A_3 M r^\alpha / (2A_4)) |x - x_0| / r$  when  $x \in F$ , we get, for  $x \in F$ ,

$$|[b, T_\alpha]g(x)| \geq A_{12} |x - x_0|^{1-n-\alpha} r^{n+\alpha-1} M - A_{11} |x - x_0|^{-n-\alpha} r^{n+\alpha} M. \tag{3-11}$$

Since  $\|g\|_{L^{p, \lambda}} \leq C r^{(n-\lambda)/p}$ , by (3-11) and Hölder’s inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{13} r^{(n-\lambda)/p} &\geq \|[b, T_\alpha]g\|_{L^{p, \lambda}} \\ &\geq \left( \frac{1}{(M^\theta r)^\lambda} \int_{\{\frac{1}{4} A_9^{1/n} M^\theta r < |x-x_0| < M^\theta r\}} |[b, T_\alpha]g(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{(M^\theta r)^{\lambda/p+n/p'}} \int_{F \cap \{\frac{1}{4} A_9^{1/n} M^\theta r < |x-x_0| < M^\theta r\}} |[b, T_\alpha]g(x)| dx \\ &\geq A_{12} \frac{M r^{n+\alpha-1}}{(M^\theta r)^{\lambda/p+n/p'}} \int_{F \cap \{\frac{1}{4} A_9^{1/n} M^\theta r < |x-x_0| < M^\theta r\}} |x - x_0|^{1-n-\alpha} dx \\ &\quad - A_{11} \frac{r^{n+\alpha} M}{(M^\theta r)^{\lambda/p+n/p'}} \int_{F \cap \{\frac{1}{4} A_9^{1/n} M^\theta r < |x-x_0| < M^\theta r\}} |x - x_0|^{-n-\alpha} dx \\ &=: L_1 - L_2. \end{aligned} \tag{3-12}$$

To estimate  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , we first prove that

$$|F \cap \{\frac{1}{4} A_9^{1/n} M^\theta r < |x - x_0| < M^\theta r\}| \geq \frac{1}{4} A_9 M^{\theta n} r^n. \tag{3-13}$$

Let

$$F = (F \cap \{\frac{1}{4} A_9^{1/n} M^\theta r < |x - x_0| < M^\theta r\}) \cup (F \cap \{|x - x_0| < \frac{1}{4} A_9^{1/n} M^\theta r\}) =: E_1 \cup E_2.$$

Notice that

$$|E_2| \leq \left| \left\{ x : |x - x_0| < \frac{1}{4} A_9^{1/n} M^\theta r \right\} \right| \leq \left( \frac{1}{4} \right)^n A_9 M^{\theta n} r^n.$$

If  $|E_1| < \frac{1}{4} A_9 M^{\theta n} r^n$ , then

$$|F| = |E_1| + |E_2| < \frac{1}{4} A_9 M^{\theta n} r^n + \left( \frac{1}{4} \right)^n A_9 M^{\theta n} r^n < \frac{1}{2} A_9 M^{\theta n} r^n.$$

This contradicts  $|F| \geq \frac{1}{2} A_9 M^{\theta n} r^n$ . This proves (3-13). Now we turn to give the estimates of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ . Since  $|x - x_0| < M^\theta r$  and by (3-13),

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &\geq A_{12} \left| F \cap \left\{ \frac{1}{2} A_9^{1/n} M^\theta r < |x - x_0| < M^\theta r \right\} \right| \frac{M r^{n+\alpha-1}}{(M^\theta r)^{\lambda/p+n/p'}} (M^\theta r)^{1-n-\alpha} \\ &\geq A_{14} \frac{M^{\theta(1-\alpha)+1} r^{(n-\lambda)/p}}{M^{\theta(\lambda/p+n/p')}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3-14}$$

For  $L_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_2 &\leq A_{11} \frac{r^{n+\alpha} M}{(M^\theta r)^{\lambda/p+n/p'}} \int_{F \cap \left\{ \frac{1}{2} A_9^{1/n} M^\theta r < |x - x_0| < M^\theta r \right\}} |x - x_0|^{-n-\alpha} dx \\ &\leq A_{11} \frac{r^{n+\alpha} M}{(M^\theta r)^{\lambda/p+n/p'}} \int_{\left\{ \frac{1}{2} A_9^{1/n} M^\theta r < |x - x_0| < M^\theta r \right\}} |x - x_0|^{-n-\alpha} dx \\ &\leq A_{15} \frac{r^{(n-\lambda)/p} M^{1-\alpha\theta}}{M^{\theta(\lambda/p+n/p')}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3-15}$$

Now (3-12) and (3-14)–(3-15) show that

$$A_{13} \geq (A_{14} M^{\theta(1-\alpha)} - A_{15} M^{-\alpha\theta}) \frac{M}{M^{\theta(\lambda/p+n/p')}}.$$

Since  $\theta = p/(n(p - 1) + p\alpha + \lambda)$ ,

$$M^{\theta(\lambda/p+n/p')} = M^{1-p\alpha/(n(p-1)+p\alpha+\lambda)} = M^{1-\alpha\theta}.$$

Thus, we get

$$A_{13} \geq A_{14} M^\theta - A_{15}.$$

Therefore,  $M \leq A(p, \Omega, \alpha, \lambda)$  and we complete the proof of Theorem 1.5. □

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.8

As in the proof of Theorem 1.8, let  $A_j, j = 1, \dots, 14$ , be positive constants depending only on  $\Omega, n, \alpha$  and  $A_i, 1 \leq i < j$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\|[b, T_\alpha]\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^{1,\infty}} = 1$ . For any fixed  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , we also set  $a_0 := |B(x_0, r)|^{-1} \int_{B(x_0, r)} b(y) dy = 0$  since  $[b - a_0, T_\alpha] = [b, T_\alpha]$ . It is our aim to prove the inequality

$$M = \frac{1}{|B(x_0, r)|^{1+\alpha/n}} \int_{B(x_0, r)} |b(y)| dy \leq A(n, \Omega, \alpha).$$



Let  $f$  be as defined in (3-2) and  $\Lambda$  be as defined in (3-6). Take

$$G = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x_0| > A_2 r = (2A_1^{-1} + 1)r \text{ and } (x - x_0)' \in \Lambda\}.$$

Then for  $x \in G$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |[b, T_\alpha]f(x)| &\geq |T_\alpha(bf)(x)| - |b(x)||T_\alpha f(x)| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Omega((x-y)') |x-y|^{-n-\alpha} b(y)f(y) dy \right| - |b(x)| \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Omega((x-y)') |x-y|^{-n-\alpha} f(y) dy \right| \\ &=: I_1(x) - I_2(x). \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.8, we get

$$I_1(x) \geq A_3 r^{n+\alpha} M |x - x_0|^{-n-\alpha}$$

and

$$I_2(x) \leq A_4 r^{n+1} |b(x)| |x - x_0|^{-n-\alpha-1}.$$

Let

$$F = \left\{ x \in G : |b(x)| > \frac{A_3 M r^{\alpha-1}}{2A_4} |x - x_0| \text{ and } |x - x_0| < M^{1/(n+\alpha)} r \right\}.$$

Then we have  $|[b, T_\alpha]f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2} I_1(x)$  when  $x \in (G \setminus F) \cap \{x : |x - x_0| < M^{1/(n+\alpha)} r\}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^1} &\geq \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |[b, T_\alpha]f(x)| > 1\}} dx \\ &\geq \int_{(G \setminus F) \cap \{|x-x_0| < M^{1/(n+\alpha)} r\} \cap \{|[b, T_\alpha]f(x)| > 1\}} dx \\ &\geq \int_{(G \setminus F) \cap \{|x-x_0| < M^{1/(n+\alpha)} r\} \cap \{A_3 M r^{\alpha+n} |x-x_0|^{-n-\alpha} > 2\}} dx \\ &\geq \int_{\{A_6(|F| + (A_2 r)^n)^{1/n} < |x-x_0| < A_5 M^{1/(n+\alpha)} r\} \cap G} dx \\ &= \int_{A_6(|F| + (A_2 r)^n)^{1/n}}^{A_5 M^{1/(n+\alpha)} r} t^{n-1} dt \int_{\Lambda} d\sigma(x'). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|f\|_{L^1} \leq r^n$ , we then have

$$|F| \geq A_7 M^{n/(n+\alpha)} r^n - A_8 r^n.$$

If  $M \leq (2A_8 A_7^{-1})^{(n+\alpha)/n}$ , then Theorem 1.8 is proved. If  $M > (2A_8 A_7^{-1})^{(n+\alpha)/n}$ , then

$$|F| \geq \frac{1}{2} A_7 M^{n/(n+\alpha)} r^n. \tag{4-1}$$

Now, let  $g(y) = \chi_{B(x_0, r)}(y)$ . Similar to (3-11) in the proof of Theorem 1.5, for  $x \in F$  we have

$$|[b, T_\alpha]g(x)| \geq A_9 |x - x_0|^{1-n-\alpha} r^{n+\alpha-1} M - A_{10} |x - x_0|^{-n-\alpha} r^{n+\alpha} M.$$

Since  $\|g\|_{L^1} \leq Cr^n$ , we have

$$A_{11}r^n \geq \|g\|_{L^1} \geq \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: |[b, T_\alpha]g(x)| > 1\}} dx \geq \int_{F \cap \{x: |x-x_0| \geq (2A_{10}/A_9)r\} \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: |[b, T_\alpha]g(x)| > 1\}} dx.$$

For  $|x - x_0| \geq (2A_{10}/A_9)r$ ,

$$|[b, T_\alpha]g(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2}A_9|x - x_0|^{1-n-\alpha}r^{n+\alpha-1}M.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{11}r^n &\geq \int_{F \cap \{x: |x-x_0| \geq (2A_{10}/A_9)r\} \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: (A_9/2)|x-x_0|^{1-n-\alpha}r^{n+\alpha-1}M > 1\}} dx \\ &= \int_{F \cap \{x: |x-x_0| \geq (2A_{10}/A_9)r\} \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: |x-x_0| \leq A_{12}M^{1/(n+\alpha-1)}r\}} dx \\ &= \int_{\{x \in F: A_{13}r \leq |x-x_0| \leq A_{12}M^{1/(n+\alpha-1)}r\}} dx. \end{aligned} \tag{4-2}$$

If  $M \leq (A_{13}/A_{12})^{n+\alpha-1}$ , then we have proved Theorem 1.8. If  $M > (A_{13}/A_{12})^{n+\alpha-1}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{x \in F: A_{13}r \leq |x-x_0| \leq A_{12}M^{1/(n+\alpha-1)}r\}} dx &= \int_{\{x \in F: |x-x_0| \leq A_{12}M^{1/(n+\alpha-1)}r\}} dx - \int_{\{x \in F: |x-x_0| \leq A_{13}r\}} dx \\ &=: K_1 - K_2. \end{aligned} \tag{4-3}$$

If  $M \leq A_{12}^{-(n+\alpha)(n+\alpha-1)}$ , then Theorem 1.8 is proved. If  $M > A_{12}^{-(n+\alpha)(n+\alpha-1)}$ , we have

$$A_{12}M^{1/(n+\alpha-1)} \geq M^{1/(n+\alpha)}.$$

By (4-1), we get

$$K_1 \geq \int_{\{x \in F: |x-x_0| \leq M^{1/(n+\alpha)}r\}} dx = \int_F dx = |F| \geq \frac{1}{2}A_7M^{n/(n+\alpha)}r^n$$

and

$$K_2 \leq \int_{\{x \in F: |x-x_0| \leq A_{13}r\}} dx \leq A_{14}r^n.$$

Combining these estimates, from (4-2) and (4-3) we get

$$A_{11} \geq \frac{1}{2}A_7M^{n/(n+\alpha)} - A_{14}.$$

Then  $M \leq A(n, \Omega, \alpha)$ . □

### 5. Proof of Theorem 1.11

Let

$$k(x, y) = \frac{\Omega(x - y)}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha}}(b(x) - b(y)).$$

*Proof of (i)  $\implies$  (ii).* Suppose that, for some  $1 < p < \infty$ ,

$$\|[b, T_\alpha]f\|_{L^p} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p}; \tag{5-1}$$

then, by Theorem 1.5 for  $\lambda = 0$ , we must have  $b \in \text{Lip}_\alpha$ . If  $\Omega \in \text{Lip}(S^{n-1})$  and  $b \in \text{Lip}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that, for all  $x, x_0, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $2|x - x_0| \leq |y - x|$ , the kernel  $k(x, y)$  satisfies the inequality

$$|k(x, y) - k(x_0, y)| \leq C|x - x_0|^\alpha |y - x|^{-n-\alpha}. \tag{5-2}$$

Applying (5-1) and (5-2), by using a Calderón–Zygmund decomposition and a trivial computation, we get

$$\|[b, T_\alpha]f\|_{L^{1,\infty}} \leq C\|f\|_{L^1}. \quad \square$$

*Proof of (ii)  $\implies$  (iii).* Suppose that  $[b, T_\alpha]$  is bounded from  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ; then by Theorem 1.8 we must have  $b \in \text{Lip}_\alpha$ . So  $k(x, y)$  satisfies (5-2). For fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , pick a cube  $Q = Q(x_0, r)$  that contains  $x$ . Let  $f = f_1 + f_2$ , with  $f_1 = f_{\chi_{2Q}}$  and  $f_2 = f_{\chi_{(2Q)^c}}$ . We select  $a = [b, T_\alpha]f(x_0)$  and let  $0 < \delta < 1$ ; then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |[b, T_\alpha]f(y)|^\delta - |a|^\delta dy\right)^{1/\delta} &\leq \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |[b, T_\alpha]f(y) - a|^\delta dy\right)^{1/\delta} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |[b, T_\alpha]f_1(y)|^\delta dy\right)^{1/\delta} + \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |[b, T_\alpha]f_2(y) - a| dy. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $[b, T_\alpha]: L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $0 < \delta < 1$ , Kolmogorov’s inequality [García-Cuerva and Rubio de Francia 1985, p. 485] yields

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |[b, T_\alpha]f_1(y)|^\delta dy\right)^{1/\delta} \leq \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_1(y)| dy \leq CMf(x).$$

By (5-2), it is easy to get

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |[b, T_\alpha]f_2(y) - a| dy \leq CMf(x).$$

Combining these estimates, we get, for any fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$(M^\sharp(|[b, T_\alpha]f|^\delta))^{1/\delta}(x) \leq CMf(x).$$

Applying this inequality we get, for  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $0 < \lambda < n$ ,

$$\|(M^\sharp(|[b, T_\alpha]f|^\delta))\|_{L^{p/\delta,\lambda}}^{1/\delta} = \|(M^\sharp(|[b, T_\alpha]f|^\delta))^{1/\delta}\|_{L^{p,\lambda}} \leq C\|Mf\|_{L^{p,\lambda}} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}}.$$

(see [Chiarenza and Frasca 1987]). On the other hand,

$$\|[b, T_\alpha]f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}} = \| |[b, T_\alpha]f|^\delta \|_{L^{p/\delta,\lambda}}^{1/\delta} \leq \|M^\sharp(|[b, T_\alpha]f|^\delta)\|_{L^{p/\delta,\lambda}}^{1/\delta}.$$

Combining these estimates, we get

$$\|[b, T_\alpha]f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}}. \quad \square$$

*Proof of (iii)  $\implies$  (iv).* Suppose that  $[b, T_\alpha]$  is bounded on  $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for some  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $0 < \lambda < n$ ; then, by Theorem 1.5, we must have  $b \in \text{Lip}_\alpha$ . So  $k(x, y)$  satisfies (5-2). Let  $f = f_1 + f_2$ , with  $f_1 = f_{\chi_{2Q}}$

and  $f_2 = f_{\chi_{2Q}^c}$ . For any cube  $Q = Q(x_0, r)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |[b, T_\alpha]f(y) - [b, T_\alpha]f(x_0)| dy \\ = \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |[b, T_\alpha]f_1(y)| dy + \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |[b, T_\alpha]f_2(y) - [b, T_\alpha]f(x_0)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder’s inequality and since  $[b, T_\alpha]$  is bounded on  $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |[b, T_\alpha]f_1(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p} &\leq \frac{1}{r^{(n-\lambda)/p}} \sup_{t>0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{1}{t^\lambda} \int_{Q(x,t) \cap 2Q(x_0,r)} |f(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{r^{(n-\lambda)/p}} r^{(n-\lambda)/p} \|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

By (5-2), it is easy to get

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |[b, T_\alpha]f_2(y) - [b, T_\alpha]f_2(x_0)| dy \leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Combining these estimates, we get

$$\|[b, T_\alpha]f\|_{\text{BMO}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty}. \quad \square$$

### 6. Proof of Proposition 1.12

Denote by  $\mathcal{H}_m$  the spaces of spherical harmonics of degree  $m$  and let  $d_m = \dim \mathcal{H}_m$ . If  $\Omega \in L^2(S^{n-1})$  satisfies (1-1), then we can write

$$\Omega(x') = \sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} a_{m,j} Y_{m,j}(x'),$$

where  $\{Y_{m,j}\}_{j=1}^{d_m}$  denotes the normalized orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}_m$  (see [Calderón and Zygmund 1978] or [Stein and Weiss 1971]). Then

$$\sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} a_{m,j}^2 < \infty.$$

By [Chen et al. 2003, p. 528], we have

$$(Y_{m,j}(x')|x|^{-n-\alpha})^\wedge(\xi) \simeq m^{-n/2-\alpha} |\xi|^\alpha Y_{m,j}(\xi').$$

Then we get

$$\widehat{T_\alpha f}(\xi) \simeq |\xi|^\alpha \sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} m^{-n/2-\alpha} a_{m,j} Y_{m,j}(\xi') \widehat{f}(\xi).$$

Using this, we get

$$\widehat{I_\alpha T_\alpha f}(\xi) \simeq \sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} m^{-n/2-\alpha} a_{m,j} Y_{m,j}(\xi') \widehat{f}(\xi).$$

Let

$$\Omega_0(\xi') = \sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} m^{-n/2-\alpha} a_{m,j} Y_{m,j}(\xi').$$

It is easy to verify that  $\Omega_0$  satisfies (1-1) and

$$\sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} m^n \|m^{-n/2-\alpha} a_{m,j} Y_{m,j}\|_{L^2(S^{n-1})}^2 < \infty.$$

Then by [Stein and Weiss 1971, Theorem 4.7, p. 165] there exists a function  $K(x) = \tilde{\Omega}(x')/|x|^n$  such that  $\widehat{K}(\xi) = \Omega_0(\xi')$  in the sense of principal value, where  $\tilde{\Omega}$  satisfies (1-1). Therefore, we get that

$$Tf(x) = I_\alpha T_\alpha f(x) = \text{p.v.}(K * f(x))$$

is a singular integral operator. In fact,

$$\tilde{\Omega}(x') \simeq \sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} m^{-\alpha} a_{m,j} Y_{m,j}(x'),$$

and

$$\|\tilde{\Omega}\|_{L^2_\alpha(S^{n-1})}^2 = \sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} m^{2\alpha} (m^{-\alpha} a_{m,j})^2 < \infty.$$

This says that, for  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and any operator  $T_\alpha$  defined by (1-3) with  $\Omega \in L^2(S^{n-1})$  satisfying (1-1), there exists a singular integral operator  $T$  defined by (1-4) with  $\tilde{\Omega} \in L^2_\alpha(S^{n-1})$  satisfying (1-1) such that  $T_\alpha = D^\alpha T$ . Conversely, for any fixed singular integral operator  $T$  with  $\tilde{\Omega} \in L^2_\alpha(S^{n-1})$  satisfying (1-1), there exists an operator  $T_\alpha$  with  $\Omega \in L^2(S^{n-1})$  satisfying (1-1) such that  $T_\alpha = D^\alpha T$ .  $\square$

### References

[Adams and Xiao 2004] D. R. Adams and J. Xiao, “Nonlinear potential analysis on Morrey spaces and their capacities”, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **53**:6 (2004), 1629–1663. MR 2106339 Zbl 1100.31009

[Adams and Xiao 2011] D. R. Adams and J. Xiao, “Morrey potentials and harmonic maps”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **308**:2 (2011), 439–456. MR 2851148 Zbl 1229.31006

[Adams and Xiao 2012] D. R. Adams and J. Xiao, “Regularity of Morrey commutators”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **364**:9 (2012), 4801–4818. MR 2922610 Zbl 1293.42012

[Álvarez et al. 1993] J. Álvarez, R. J. Bagby, D. S. Kurtz, and C. Pérez, “Weighted estimates for commutators of linear operators”, *Studia Math.* **104**:2 (1993), 195–209. MR 1211818 Zbl 0809.42006

[Caffarelli and Silvestre 2007] L. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre, “An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian”, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **32**:7-9 (2007), 1245–1260. MR 2354493 Zbl 1143.26002

[Caffarelli and Stinga 2016] L. A. Caffarelli and P. R. Stinga, “Fractional elliptic equations, Caccioppoli estimates and regularity”, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **33**:3 (2016), 767–807. MR 3489634 Zbl 06572957

[Calderón 1965] A.-P. Calderón, “Commutators of singular integral operators”, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **53** (1965), 1092–1099. MR 0177312 Zbl 0151.16901

[Calderón 1980] A.-P. Calderón, “Commutators, singular integrals on Lipschitz curves and applications”, pp. 85–96 in *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians* (Helsinki, 1978), edited by O. Lehto, Acad. Sci. Fennica, Helsinki, 1980. MR 562599 Zbl 0429.35077

- [Calderón and Zygmund 1978] A.-P. Calderón and A. Zygmund, “On singular integrals with variable kernels”, *Applicable Anal.* **7**:3 (1978), 221–238. MR 0511145 Zbl 0451.42012
- [Chen and Ding 2015] Y. Chen and Y. Ding, “ $L^p$  bounds for the commutators of singular integrals and maximal singular integrals with rough kernels”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **367**:3 (2015), 1585–1608. MR 3286493 Zbl 1322.42018
- [Chen and Zhang 2004] Q. Chen and Z. Zhang, “Boundedness of a class of super singular integral operators and the associated commutators”, *Sci. China Ser. A* **47**:6 (2004), 842–853. MR 2127212 Zbl 1080.42015
- [Chen et al. 2003] J. Chen, D. Fan, and Y. Ying, “Certain operators with rough singular kernels”, *Canad. J. Math.* **55**:3 (2003), 504–532. MR 1980612 Zbl 1042.42008
- [Chen et al. 2012] Y. Chen, Y. Ding, and X. Wang, “Compactness of commutators for singular integrals on Morrey spaces”, *Canad. J. Math.* **64**:2 (2012), 257–281. MR 2953200 Zbl 1242.42009
- [Chiarenza and Frasca 1987] F. Chiarenza and M. Frasca, “Morrey spaces and Hardy–Littlewood maximal function”, *Rend. Mat. Appl. (7)* **7**:3-4 (1987), 273–279. MR 985999 Zbl 0717.42023
- [Chiarenza et al. 1991] F. Chiarenza, M. Frasca, and P. Longo, “Interior  $W^{2,p}$  estimates for nondivergence elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients”, *Ricerche Mat.* **40**:1 (1991), 149–168. MR 1191890 Zbl 0772.35017
- [Christ and Journé 1987] M. Christ and J.-L. Journé, “Polynomial growth estimates for multilinear singular integral operators”, *Acta Math.* **159**:1-2 (1987), 51–80. MR 906525 Zbl 0645.42017
- [Cohen 1981] J. Cohen, “A sharp estimate for a multilinear singular integral in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ ”, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **30**:5 (1981), 693–702. MR 625598 Zbl 0596.42004
- [Coifman and Meyer 1975] R. R. Coifman and Y. Meyer, “On commutators of singular integrals and bilinear singular integrals”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **212** (1975), 315–331. MR 0380244 Zbl 0324.44005
- [Coifman and Meyer 1978] R. R. Coifman and Y. Meyer, *Au delà des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels*, Astérisque **57**, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1978. MR 518170 Zbl 0483.35082
- [Coifman et al. 1976] R. R. Coifman, R. Rochberg, and G. Weiss, “Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **103**:3 (1976), 611–635. MR 0412721 Zbl 0326.32011
- [David and Journé 1984] G. David and J.-L. Journé, “A boundedness criterion for generalized Calderón–Zygmund operators”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **120**:2 (1984), 371–397. MR 763911 Zbl 0567.47025
- [Deng et al. 2005] D. Deng, X. T. Duong, and L. Yan, “A characterization of the Morrey–Campanato spaces”, *Math. Z.* **250**:3 (2005), 641–655. MR 2179615 Zbl 1080.42021
- [Di Fazio and Ragusa 1991] G. Di Fazio and M. A. Ragusa, “Commutators and Morrey spaces”, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A (7)* **5**:3 (1991), 323–332. MR 1138545 Zbl 0761.42009
- [Di Fazio and Ragusa 1993] G. Di Fazio and M. A. Ragusa, “Interior estimates in Morrey spaces for strong solutions to nondivergence form equations with discontinuous coefficients”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **112**:2 (1993), 241–256. MR 1213138 Zbl 0822.35036
- [Di Fazio et al. 1999] G. Di Fazio, D. K. Palagachev, and M. A. Ragusa, “Global Morrey regularity of strong solutions to the Dirichlet problem for elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **166**:2 (1999), 179–196. MR 1707751 Zbl 0942.35059
- [Duoandikoetxea and Rubio de Francia 1986] J. Duoandikoetxea and J. L. Rubio de Francia, “Maximal and singular integral operators via Fourier transform estimates”, *Invent. Math.* **84**:3 (1986), 541–561. MR 837527 Zbl 0568.42012
- [García-Cuerva and Rubio de Francia 1985] J. García-Cuerva and J. L. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted norm inequalities and related topics*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies **116**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985. MR 807149 Zbl 0578.46046
- [Grafakos 2004] L. Grafakos, *Classical and modern Fourier analysis*, Pearson Education, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2004. MR 2449250 Zbl 1148.42001
- [Hofmann 1994] S. Hofmann, “On singular integrals of Calderón-type in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , and BMO”, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **10**:3 (1994), 467–505. MR 1308701 Zbl 0874.42011
- [Hofmann 1998] S. Hofmann, “An off-diagonal  $T1$  theorem and applications”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **160**:2 (1998), 581–622. MR 1665299 Zbl 0919.42012



- [Hu 2003] G. Hu, " $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  boundedness for the commutator of a homogeneous singular integral operator", *Studia Math.* **154**:1 (2003), 13–27. MR 1949046 Zbl 1011.42009
- [Janson 1978] S. Janson, "Mean oscillation and commutators of singular integral operators", *Ark. Mat.* **16**:2 (1978), 263–270. MR 524754 Zbl 0404.42013
- [Kato 1992] T. Kato, "Strong solutions of the Navier–Stokes equation in Morrey spaces", *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.)* **22**:2 (1992), 127–155. MR 1179482 Zbl 0781.35052
- [Lewis and Murray 1991] J. L. Lewis and M. A. M. Murray, "Regularity properties of commutators and layer potentials associated to the heat equation", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **328**:2 (1991), 815–842. MR 1020043 Zbl 0780.35049
- [Lewis and Murray 1995] J. L. Lewis and M. A. M. Murray, *The method of layer potentials for the heat equation in time-varying domains*, vol. 114, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **545**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1995. MR 1323804 Zbl 0826.35041
- [Lewis and Silver 1988] J. L. Lewis and J. Silver, "Parabolic measure and the Dirichlet problem for the heat equation in two dimensions", *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **37**:4 (1988), 801–839. MR 982831 Zbl 0698.35068
- [Meyers 1964] N. G. Meyers, "Mean oscillation over cubes and Hölder continuity", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **15** (1964), 717–721. MR 0168712 Zbl 0129.04002
- [Morrey 1938] C. B. Morrey, Jr., "On the solutions of quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equations", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **43**:1 (1938), 126–166. MR 1501936 Zbl 0018.40501
- [Murray 1985] M. A. M. Murray, "Commutators with fractional differentiation and BMO Sobolev spaces", *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **34**:1 (1985), 205–215. MR 773402 Zbl 0537.46035
- [Murray 1987] M. A. M. Murray, "Multilinear singular integrals involving a derivative of fractional order", *Studia Math.* **87**:2 (1987), 139–165. MR 928573 Zbl 0634.42013
- [Palagachev and Softova 2004] D. K. Palagachev and L. G. Softova, "Singular integral operators, Morrey spaces and fine regularity of solutions to PDE's", *Potential Anal.* **20**:3 (2004), 237–263. MR 2032497 Zbl 1036.35045
- [Pérez 1995] C. Pérez, "Endpoint estimates for commutators of singular integral operators", *J. Funct. Anal.* **128**:1 (1995), 163–185. MR 1317714 Zbl 0831.42010
- [Ruiz and Vega 1991] A. Ruiz and L. Vega, "Unique continuation for Schrödinger operators with potential in Morrey spaces", *Publ. Mat.* **35**:1 (1991), 291–298. MR 1103622 Zbl 0809.47046
- [Segovia and Wheeden 1971] C. Segovia and R. L. Wheeden, "Fractional differentiation of the commutator of the Hilbert transform", *J. Functional Analysis* **8** (1971), 341–359. MR 0293461 Zbl 0234.44007
- [Shen 2003] Z. Shen, "Boundary value problems in Morrey spaces for elliptic systems on Lipschitz domains", *Amer. J. Math.* **125**:5 (2003), 1079–1115. MR 2004429 Zbl 1046.35029
- [Silvestre 2007] L. Silvestre, "Regularity of the obstacle problem for a fractional power of the Laplace operator", *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **60**:1 (2007), 67–112. MR 2270163 Zbl 1141.49035
- [Stein 1970] E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Mathematical Series **30**, Princeton University Press, 1970. MR 0290095 Zbl 0207.13501
- [Stein and Weiss 1971] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces*, Princeton Mathematical Series **32**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1971. MR 0304972 Zbl 0232.42007
- [Strichartz 1980] R. S. Strichartz, "Bounded mean oscillation and Sobolev spaces", *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **29**:4 (1980), 539–558. MR 578205 Zbl 0437.46028
- [Taylor 1991] M. E. Taylor, *Pseudodifferential operators and nonlinear PDE*, Progress in Mathematics **100**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1991. MR 1121019 Zbl 0746.35062
- [Taylor 1992] M. E. Taylor, "Analysis on Morrey spaces and applications to Navier–Stokes and other evolution equations", *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **17**:9-10 (1992), 1407–1456. MR 1187618 Zbl 0771.35047
- [Taylor 1997] M. E. Taylor, "Microlocal analysis on Morrey spaces", pp. 97–135 in *Singularities and oscillations* (Minneapolis, MN, 1994/1995), edited by J. Rauch and M. Taylor, IMA Vol. Math. Appl. **91**, Springer, New York, 1997. MR 1601206 Zbl 0904.58012

[Taylor 2015] M. E. Taylor, “Commutator estimates for Hölder continuous and BMO-Sobolev multipliers”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **143**:12 (2015), 5265–5274. MR 3411144 Zbl 1334.42034

[Uchiyama 1978] A. Uchiyama, “On the compactness of operators of Hankel type”, *Tôhoku Math. J. (2)* **30**:1 (1978), 163–171. MR 0467384 Zbl 0384.47023

Received 6 Apr 2016. Accepted 12 May 2016.

YANPING CHEN: yanpingch@126.com

*Department of Applied Mathematics, School of Mathematics and Physics, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Beijing, 100083, China*

YONG DING: dingy@bnu.edu.cn

*School of Mathematical Sciences, Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, 100875, China*

GUIXIANG HONG: guixiang.hong@whu.edu.cn

*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan, 430072, China*

# Analysis & PDE

msp.org/apde

## EDITORS

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Patrick Gérard  
patrick.gerard@math.u-psud.fr  
Université Paris Sud XI  
Orsay, France

## BOARD OF EDITORS

Nicolas Burq	Université Paris-Sud 11, France nicolas.burq@math.u-psud.fr	Werner Müller	Universität Bonn, Germany mueller@math.uni-bonn.de
Massimiliano Berti	Scuola Intern. Sup. di Studi Avanzati, Italy berti@sissa.it	Yuval Peres	University of California, Berkeley, USA peres@stat.berkeley.edu
Sun-Yung Alice Chang	Princeton University, USA chang@math.princeton.edu	Gilles Pisier	Texas A&M University, and Paris 6 pisier@math.tamu.edu
Michael Christ	University of California, Berkeley, USA mchrist@math.berkeley.edu	Tristan Rivière	ETH, Switzerland riviere@math.ethz.ch
Charles Fefferman	Princeton University, USA cf@math.princeton.edu	Igor Rodnianski	Princeton University, USA irod@math.princeton.edu
Ursula Hamenstaedt	Universität Bonn, Germany ursula@math.uni-bonn.de	Wilhelm Schlag	University of Chicago, USA schlag@math.uchicago.edu
Vaughan Jones	U.C. Berkeley & Vanderbilt University vaughan.f.jones@vanderbilt.edu	Sylvia Serfaty	New York University, USA serfaty@cims.nyu.edu
Vadim Kaloshin	University of Maryland, USA vadim.kaloshin@gmail.com	Yum-Tong Siu	Harvard University, USA siu@math.harvard.edu
Herbert Koch	Universität Bonn, Germany koch@math.uni-bonn.de	Terence Tao	University of California, Los Angeles, USA tao@math.ucla.edu
Izabella Laba	University of British Columbia, Canada ilaba@math.ubc.ca	Michael E. Taylor	Univ. of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA met@math.unc.edu
Gilles Lebeau	Université de Nice Sophia Antipolis, France lebeau@unice.fr	Gunther Uhlmann	University of Washington, USA gunther@math.washington.edu
Richard B. Melrose	Massachusetts Inst. of Tech., USA rbm@math.mit.edu	András Vasy	Stanford University, USA andras@math.stanford.edu
Frank Merle	Université de Cergy-Pontoise, France Frank.Merle@u-cergy.fr	Dan Virgil Voiculescu	University of California, Berkeley, USA dvv@math.berkeley.edu
William Minicozzi II	Johns Hopkins University, USA minicozz@math.jhu.edu	Steven Zelditch	Northwestern University, USA zelditch@math.northwestern.edu
Clément Mouhot	Cambridge University, UK c.mouhot@dpms.cam.ac.uk	Maciej Zworski	University of California, Berkeley, USA zworski@math.berkeley.edu

## PRODUCTION

production@msp.org  
Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

---

See inside back cover or [msp.org/apde](http://msp.org/apde) for submission instructions.

---

The subscription price for 2016 is US \$235/year for the electronic version, and \$430/year (+\$55, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.


---

Analysis & PDE (ISSN 1948-206X electronic, 2157-5045 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

---

APDE peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2016 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# ANALYSIS & PDE

Volume 9 No. 6 2016

---

A complete study of the lack of compactness and existence results of a fractional Nirenberg equation via a flatness hypothesis, I	1285
Wael Abdelhedi, Hichem Chtioui and Hichem Hajaiej	
On positive solutions of the $(p, A)$ -Laplacian with potential in Morrey space	1317
Yehuda Pinchover and Georgios Psaradakis	
Geometric optics expansions for hyperbolic corner problems, I: Self-interaction phenomenon	1359
Antoine Benoit	
The interior $C^2$ estimate for the Monge–Ampère equation in dimension $n = 2$	1419
Chuanqiang Chen, Fei Han and Qianzhong Ou	
Bounded solutions to the Allen–Cahn equation with level sets of any compact topology	1433
Alberto Enciso and Daniel Peralta-Salas	
Hölder estimates and large time behavior for a nonlocal doubly nonlinear evolution	1447
Ryan Hynd and Erik Lindgren	
Boundary $C^{1,\alpha}$ regularity of potential functions in optimal transportation with quadratic cost	1483
Elina Andriyanova and Shibing Chen	
Commutators with fractional differentiation and new characterizations of BMO-Sobolev spaces	1497
Yanping Chen, Yong Ding and Guixiang Hong	



2157-5045(2016)9:6;1-6