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#### Abstract

We consider applications to function fields of methods previously used to study divisibility of class numbers of quadratic number fields. Let $K$ be a quadratic extension of $\mathbb{F}_{q}(x)$, where $q$ is an odd prime power. We first present a function field analog to a Diophantine method of Soundararajan for finding quadratic imaginary function fields whose class groups have elements of a given order. We also show that this method does not miss many such fields. We then use a method similar to Hartung to show that there are infinitely many imaginary $K$ whose class numbers are indivisible by any odd prime distinct from the characteristic.


## 1. Introduction and statement of results

The study of the structure of class groups of imaginary quadratic number fields dates back to Gauss, who posed the problem of finding all positive square-free $d$ such that the class group of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$, which we denote by $\mathrm{Cl}(-d)$, has some fixed order $h$. Heegner [1952], Baker [1967] and Stark [1967] solved Gauss's problem in the case $h=1$, showing that there are only nine imaginary quadratic fields of class number 1. Baker [1971] and Stark [1975] later presented solutions to the case $h=2$. A famous theorem of Siegel says that for $\epsilon>0$, there exist positive constants $c_{1}(\epsilon)$ and $c_{2}(\epsilon)$ such that for each square-free $d$ we have

$$
c_{1}(\epsilon) d^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}<h(-d)<c_{2}(\epsilon) d^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}
$$

But this bound was ineffective. Goldfeld [1976] and Gross and Zagier [1983] showed that Gauss's problem is effectively computable for any $h$.

Of interest in the study of the structure of the class groups of the imaginary quadratic fields is the presence or absence of $c$-torsion for positive integers $c$. For $c=2$, the answer to this question follows from Gauss's genus theory. For odd

[^0]primes $c$, a conjecture of Cohen and Lenstra [1984] states that the "probability" that $\mathrm{Cl}(-d)$ has an element of order $c$ is
$$
1-\prod_{1 \leq k<\infty}\left(1-c^{-k}\right)
$$

With a few exceptions, little is known about divisibility of class numbers of imaginary quadratic number fields. A theorem of Davenport and Heilbronn [1971] shows that for $c=3$, the proportion of $d$ for which the order of $\mathrm{Cl}(-d)$ is prime to 3 is at least $1 / 2$. Other results do not even give positive proportions. Soundararajan [2000] used a Diophantine construction to show that the number of $d<X$ such that $\mathrm{Cl}(-d)$ has an element of even order $c$ is

$$
\gg\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
X^{1 / 2+2 / c-\epsilon}, & \text { if } c \equiv 0 & (\bmod 4)  \tag{1}\\
X^{1 / 2+3 /(c+2)-\epsilon}, & \text { if } c \equiv 2 & (\bmod 4)
\end{array}\right.
$$

This can also be used to give a bound for $c$ odd since if $\mathrm{Cl}(-d)$ has an element of order $2 c$, then it also has an element of order $c$. On the question of indivisibility of class numbers, Kohnen and Ono [1999] showed that for odd primes $c$, the number of $d<X$ such that $\mathrm{Cl}(-d)$ has no $c-$ torsion is at least

$$
\left(\frac{2(c-2)}{\sqrt{3}(c-1)}-\epsilon\right) \frac{\sqrt{X}}{\log X}
$$

for any $\epsilon>0$.
In the setting of function fields, Friedman and Washington [1989] conjectured an analog of the Cohen-Lenstra heuristics. Achter [2006] used methods of algebraic geometry to prove this conjecture in a recent paper.

In this paper, we consider divisibility of class numbers of imaginary quadratic function fields. We use several styles of arguments applied to imaginary quadratic number fields prior to the work of Achter. We let $q$ be a power of an odd prime, and define $k:=\mathbb{F}_{q}(x)$ and $A:=\mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$, the rational function field and polynomial ring over the finite field with $q$ elements. Denote by $\mathrm{Cl}(f)$ the (divisor) class group of the function field $k(\sqrt{f})$ for $f$ square-free and let $h(f)=\# \mathrm{Cl}(f)$. We look in particular at the case when $\operatorname{deg} f$ is odd. This is an analog of the case of an imaginary quadratic number field in which the prime at infinity ramifies and the unit group has rank 0 . This case also has the property that the class number of the function field $k(\sqrt{f})$ is the same as the class number of its maximal order [Rosen 2002, Chapter 14]. Soundararajan [2000, Proposition 1] used solutions to the Diophantine equation $t^{2} d=m^{c}-n^{2}$ to find $d$ such that $\mathrm{Cl}(-d)$ has an element of order $c$. The following is our analogous result for function fields.
Theorem 1.1. Let $c \geq 3$ be a positive odd integer. Let $f \in A$ be a square-free polynomial of odd degree. If there exist nonzero $m, n, t \in A$ such that $m^{c}=n^{2}-t^{2} f$
with $(m, n)=1$ and $c \operatorname{deg} m<p \operatorname{deg} f$, where $p$ is the smallest prime dividing $c$, then $\mathrm{Cl}(f)$ has an element of order $c$.

Remark 1. Cardon and Ram Murty [2001] used a similar Diophantine method to give a bound similar to Equation (1) in the function field case.

In the number field case, Soundararajan showed that any $d$ such that $\mathrm{Cl}(-d)$ has an element of order $c$ satisfies a Diophantine condition similar to that in his construction. The following is a function field analog of his result. In the theorem, the Diophantine condition from Theorem 1.1 corresponds to the case $l=1$. Like Soundararajan's result, this is proven only in the case of $c$ prime, but we expect a similar result to hold if $c$ is composite.

Theorem 1.2. Let $c \geq 3$ be prime and let $f \in A$ be a square-free polynomial of odd degree. Denote by $h_{c}(f)$ the number of elements of order $c$ in $\mathrm{Cl}(f)$. Let $C_{+}=2$ and $C_{-}=1$. If for each choice of $\epsilon$ in $\{+,-\}, D_{\epsilon}$ is the number of solutions in polynomials $l, m, n$ and $t$ with $l, m, n$ monic to

$$
\begin{equation*}
l m^{c}=n^{2} l^{2}-t^{2} f, \quad \text { where } l \mid f,(m, f n)=1 \text { and } \operatorname{deg} l m<\frac{C_{\epsilon}}{2} \operatorname{deg} f \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $D_{-} \leq h_{c}(f) \leq D_{+}$.
We also consider indivisibility of class numbers of quadratic function fields. Hartung [1974] used a famous class number relation to show that there are infinitely many imaginary quadratic number fields whose class numbers are not divisible by 3 , and his method extends to any odd prime. We prove the following analog for function fields.

Theorem 1.3. If $c=4$ or $c$ is an odd prime not dividing $q$, then there exist infinitely many quadratic imaginary function fields $K$ over $k$ with class number not divisible by c.

Theorem 1.1 will be proven in Section 2, and Theorem 1.2 will be proven in Section 3. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.3. In Section 5, we conclude with some numerical examples.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Some additional definitions and comments will be useful in proving these theorems. Given a quadratic extension $K$ of the rational function field $k$, we can define a norm map $N: K \rightarrow k$ taking $x$ to the product of its Galois conjugates (or $N(x)=x^{2}$ for $x \in k$ ). Furthermore, if $B$ is the integral closure of $A$ in $K$, then we can define the norm of an ideal $\mathfrak{I} \subset B$ as the ideal in $A$ generated by elements of the set $\{N(b): b \in \mathfrak{I}\}$. The ring $B$ is a Dedekind domain and thus has unique factorization of ideals [Rosen 2002, Chapter 7]. In the special case that $\mathfrak{I} \subset B$ is principal, say
$\mathfrak{I}=(b)$, it is clear that $N(\mathfrak{I})=(N(b))$. We also note that since $A$ is a principal ideal domain, $N(\mathfrak{I})$ is principal even if $\mathfrak{I}$ is not. We also note that if $K=k(\sqrt{f})$, then $B=A[\sqrt{f}]$. This follows immediately from the formula for the roots of a quadratic equation. In the case of quadratic number fields, we have multiple cases depending on the parity of the discriminant, but in the function field case multiple cases do not arise since 2 is a unit of $A$ so that an element of the form $(a+b \sqrt{f}) / 2$ (as would be given by the quadratic formula) can always be rewritten as $a^{\prime}+b^{\prime} \sqrt{f}$ with $a, b \in A$.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $K=k(\sqrt{f})$ and consider the factorization of ideals $(m)^{c}=(n+t \sqrt{f})(n-t \sqrt{f})$ in the integral closure $B$ of $A$ in $K$. We claim that the ideals on the right side are relatively prime. If $\mathfrak{h}$ is a common prime divisor, then $(n+t \sqrt{f})+(n-t \sqrt{f})=2 n \in \mathfrak{h}$. However, we also have $m^{c} \in \mathfrak{h}$, which implies that $m \in \mathfrak{h}$ since $\mathfrak{h}$ is prime. Then $\mathfrak{h} \mid((m, n))$, but this is a contradiction since $(m, n)=1$.

Thus, the factorization of ideals shows that each of $(n \pm t \sqrt{f})$ is a $c$ th power. Since $\{1, \sqrt{f}\}$ is a basis for $B$ over $A$, let $\mathfrak{b}^{c}=(n+t \sqrt{f})$. We show that $\mathfrak{b}$ has order exactly $c$ in $\mathrm{Cl}(f)$. Otherwise, $\mathfrak{b}$ has order $r<c$. Then $\mathfrak{b}^{r}=(u+v \sqrt{f})$ for some $u, v \in A$. We now consider the norms of each side of the equation $(n+t \sqrt{f})=\mathfrak{b}^{c}$. On the left side, we have

$$
N(n+t \sqrt{f})=\left(n^{2}-t^{2} f\right)=(m)^{c}
$$

On the right side,

$$
N\left(\mathfrak{b}^{c}\right)=N\left(\mathfrak{b}^{r}\right)^{c / r}=\left(u^{2}-v^{2} f\right)^{c / r}
$$

Comparing degrees now gives $c \operatorname{deg} m=c / r \cdot \operatorname{deg}\left(u^{2}-v^{2} f\right)$. Since the prime at infinity ramifies in $k(\sqrt{f})$, the unit group of the integral closure of $A$ in $k(\sqrt{f})$ has rank 0 , thus it follows that $n+t \sqrt{f}=[\alpha(u+v \sqrt{f})]^{c / r}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$. Since $t \neq 0$, it is immediate that $v \neq 0$. Thus $v^{2} f \neq 0$ has odd degree, and since $u^{2}$ has even degree, $\operatorname{deg}\left(u^{2}-v^{2} f\right) \geq \operatorname{deg} f$. Then $c \operatorname{deg} m \geq c / r \cdot \operatorname{deg} f$. But $c / r \geq p$, and $c \operatorname{deg} m<p \operatorname{deg} f$ by hypothesis, so it must be that $\mathfrak{b}$ has order exactly $c$ in $\mathrm{Cl}(f)$.
Remark 2. Soundararajan's Proposition 1 in [Soundararajan 2000] also holds if $c$ is even. Indeed, in the function field case, the proof goes through without the explicit assumption that $c$ is odd, but the conditions $m^{c}=n^{2}-t^{2} f$ and $c \operatorname{deg} m<$ $p \operatorname{deg} f$ are never simultaneously satisfied for $c$ even.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We first prove the lower bound. Suppose that we have a solution in $l, m, n$ to $t$ to Equation (2). We will show that the pair of solutions
$(l, m, n, t)$ and $(l, m, n,-t)$ uniquely determines a pair of two ideals $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}$ of order $c$ in $\mathrm{Cl}(f)$ such that $N(\mathfrak{a})=N(\overline{\mathfrak{a}})$ has degree less than $\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{deg} f$.

Let $K=k(\sqrt{f})$ and, as before, denote by $B$ the integral closure of $A$ in $K$. Consider the factorization of ideals in $B$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(l)(m)^{c}=\left(l m^{c}\right)=(n l+t \sqrt{f})(n l-t \sqrt{f}) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $l \mid f, l$ is a product of primes in $K$ which are ramified over $k$, whence $(l)=\mathfrak{l}^{2}$ for some ideal $\mathfrak{l}$ of $B$. Letting $\mathfrak{h}=(n l+t \sqrt{f}, n l-t \sqrt{f})$, it follows from Equation (3) that $\mathfrak{l}^{2} \mid \mathfrak{h}^{2}$ so $\mathfrak{l | h}$. Furthermore, $\mathfrak{h}^{2}$ contains both $l m^{c}$ and $(n l)^{2}=n^{2} l^{2}$. Since $\ln \mid f n$ and $(m, f n)=1$, it follows that $\left(m^{c}, n l\right)=\left(m^{c}, n^{2} l\right)=1$. Thus $\left(l m^{c}, n^{2} l\right)=l$, so $l \in \mathfrak{h}^{2}$. This shows that $\mathfrak{h}^{2}$ divides $(l)=\mathfrak{l}^{2}$, thus $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{l}$.

We can now write $(n l+t \sqrt{f})=\mathfrak{b l}$ and $(n l-t \sqrt{f})=\overline{\mathfrak{b}}$ where $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{b}}$ are relatively prime. Since $\mathfrak{l}^{2}=(l)$, we have that $\overline{\mathfrak{b}} \mathfrak{b}=(m)^{c}$, so $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{b}}$ must both be $c$ th powers, say $\mathfrak{b}=\beta^{c}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{b}}=\bar{\beta}^{c}$ where $\beta$ is an ideal of norm $m$. Define $\mathfrak{a}=\beta \mathfrak{l}$. Clearly $\mathfrak{a} \neq \overline{\mathfrak{a}}$ since otherwise we would have $\mathfrak{b}=\overline{\mathfrak{b}}$, from which it would follow that $t=0$.

We now show that $\mathfrak{a}$ has order exactly $c$. Since

$$
\mathfrak{a}^{c}=\beta^{c} \mathfrak{l}^{c}=\mathfrak{b l}(l)^{(c-1) / 2}=(n l+t \sqrt{f})(l)^{(c-1) / 2}
$$

is principal, $\mathfrak{a}$ has order dividing $c$. Suppose $\mathfrak{a}$ is principal and write $\mathfrak{a}=(a+b \sqrt{f})$ with $a, b \in A$ and $b$ nonzero (if $b$ is 0 it follows from $\mathfrak{a}^{c}=(n l+t \sqrt{f})(l)^{(c-1) / 2}$ that $t=0)$. Then $N(\mathfrak{a})=N((a+b \sqrt{f}))=\left(a^{2}-b^{2} f\right)$. Since $a^{2}$ has even degree and $b^{2} f$ has odd degree, the degree of this must be at least $\operatorname{deg} f$. However, this implies that $\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{deg} f>\operatorname{deg} l m=\operatorname{deg} N(\mathfrak{a}) \geq \operatorname{deg} f$, a contradiction. Thus $\mathfrak{a}$ is not principal and must have order $c$.

We now consider the degree of a generating element of $N(\mathfrak{a})$. We have
$N(\mathfrak{a})^{c}=(n l+t \sqrt{f})(l)^{(c-1) / 2} \cdot(n l-t \sqrt{f})(l)^{(c-1) / 2}=\left(l m^{c}\right)(l)^{c-1}=\left((l m)^{c}\right)$.
Thus $N(\mathfrak{a})^{c}$ is generated by $(l m)^{c}$ whence $N(\mathfrak{a})=(l m)$ which has degree deg $l m<$ $\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{deg} f$.

We now show that different solutions to Equation (2) with $C_{\epsilon}=1$ correspond to distinct pairs of ideals of order $c$ in $\mathrm{Cl}(f)$. Consider two distinct solutions $\left(l_{1}, m_{1}, n_{1}, t_{1}\right)$ and $\left(l_{2}, m_{2}, n_{2}, t_{2}\right)$ with $\operatorname{deg} l_{i} m_{i}<\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{deg} f$. Let $\mathfrak{a}_{i}$ denote the corresponding ideals having order $c$ in $\mathrm{Cl}(f)$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{a}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_{2}$ are in the same class in $\mathrm{Cl}(f)$. Then $\mathfrak{a}_{1} \overline{\mathfrak{a}}_{2}$ is principal, so let $\mathfrak{a}_{1} \overline{\mathfrak{a}}_{2}=(a+b \sqrt{f})$. Then

$$
\left(a^{2}-b^{2} f\right)=N\left(\mathfrak{a}_{1} \overline{\mathfrak{a}}_{2}\right)=\left(l_{1} m_{1} l_{2} m_{2}\right)
$$

Considering degrees in this equality, we see that

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(a^{2}-b^{2} f\right)=\operatorname{deg} l_{1} m_{1}+\operatorname{deg} l_{2} m_{2}<\operatorname{deg} f
$$

so $b=0$ and $\mathfrak{a}_{1} \overline{\mathfrak{a}}_{2}=(a)$. Thus

$$
(a)^{c}=\mathfrak{a}_{1}^{c} \overline{\mathfrak{a}}_{2}^{c}=\left(n_{1} l_{1}+t_{1} \sqrt{f}\right)\left(n_{2} l_{2}-t_{2} \sqrt{f}\right)\left(l_{1} l_{2}\right)^{(c-1) / 2}
$$

Since the $\sqrt{f}$ term on the right side must be zero, we have $n_{1} l_{1} t_{2}=n_{2} l_{2} t_{1}$. From the equation in Equation (2), it is clear that $\left(n_{i} l_{i}, t_{i}\right)^{2} \mid l_{i} m_{i}^{c}$. Since $l_{i}$ is square-free, this implies that $\left(n_{i} l_{i}, t_{i}\right) \mid m_{i}^{c}$. Since also $\left(n_{i} l_{i}, t_{i}\right) \mid f n_{i}$ and $\left(m_{i}^{c}, f n_{i}\right)=\left(m_{i}, f n_{i}\right)=1$, it follows that $\left(n_{i} l_{i}, t_{i}\right)=1$. Using the fact that $l_{i}$ and $n_{i}$ are monic, we have that $t_{1}=t_{2}$ and $n_{1} l_{1}=n_{2} l_{2}$. Substituting into the Equation (2) gives $l_{1} m_{1}^{c}=l_{2} m_{2}^{c}$. Since $l_{i}$ divides $f$ and $m_{i}$ is prime to $f$, it follows that $m_{1}=m_{2}$ and $l_{1}=l_{2}$, so the solutions are not distinct. A similar argument shows that $\mathfrak{a}_{1}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}_{2}$ are in different classes unless $\left(l_{2}, m_{2}, n_{2}, t_{2}\right)=\left(l_{1}, m_{1}, n_{1},-t_{1}\right)$. Thus each pair of solutions of the form $\left(l_{1}, m_{1}, n_{1}, t_{1}\right),\left(l_{1}, m_{1}, n_{1},-t_{1}\right)$ yields a unique pair of elements of order $c$. This completes the proof of the lower bound $D_{-} \leq h_{c}(f)$.

It remains to show the upper bound. Define $s=h_{c}(f) / 2$ for simplicity of notation. We note that $s$ is an integer since if $\mathscr{C} \in \mathrm{Cl}(f)$ has order $c$, then so does $\mathscr{C}^{-1}$ (note also that since $c>2$ these elements must be distinct). Let $\mathscr{C}_{1}, \overline{\mathscr{C}}_{1}, \ldots, \mathscr{C}_{s}, \overline{\mathscr{C}}_{s}$ be the classes of order $c$ in $\mathrm{Cl}(f)$. By Theorem 4.4 in [Hayes 1999], we can choose integral ideals $\mathfrak{a}_{i} \in \mathscr{C}_{i}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}_{i} \in \overline{\mathscr{C}}_{i}$ of minimal degree less than $(\operatorname{deg} f-1) / 2$. Furthermore, it is clear that ideals $\mathfrak{a}_{i}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}_{i}$ chosen to be minimal in this way are not divisible by any principal ideals.

Starting with a minimal pair of ideals $\mathfrak{a}_{i}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}_{i}$ we construct a solution to Equation (2) with $C_{\epsilon}=2$. Write $\mathfrak{a}_{i}=\mathfrak{b}_{i} \mathfrak{l}_{i}$ where $\mathfrak{l}_{i}$ is either the unit ideal or has order 2 in $\mathrm{Cl}(f)$ and $\mathfrak{b}_{i}$ is not divisible by any ideals of order 2. Similarly, write $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}_{i}=\overline{\mathfrak{b}}_{i} \overline{\mathfrak{l}}_{i}$ (in fact, $\left.\mathfrak{l}_{i}=\overline{\mathfrak{l}}_{i}\right)$. Then denote the unique monic generator of $N\left(\mathfrak{l}_{i}\right)$ by $l_{i}$. Note that each prime dividing $l_{i}$ also divides $f$ since any prime dividing $\mathfrak{l}_{i}$ is ramified over $k$. Since $\mathfrak{l}_{i}$ is not divisible by any principal ideal, $l_{i}$ is not divisible by the square of any prime, so in particular $l_{i} \mid f$. Define $m_{i}$ to be the monic generator for $N\left(\mathfrak{b}_{i}\right)$. Then

$$
\operatorname{deg} l_{i} m_{i}=2 \operatorname{deg} \mathfrak{b}_{i} \mathfrak{l}_{i}=2 \operatorname{deg} \mathfrak{a}_{i} \leq \operatorname{deg} f-1<\frac{C_{+}}{2} \operatorname{deg} f
$$

Since $\mathfrak{a}_{i}$ has order $c$, we can write $\mathfrak{a}_{i}^{c}=\left(a_{i}+b_{i} \sqrt{f}\right)$ for some polynomials $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$, and we can assume that $a_{i}$ is monic. Then $\left(l_{i}\right)^{(c-1) / 2}=\mathfrak{r}_{i}^{c-1}$ divides $\mathfrak{a}_{i}^{c}$, so $l_{i}^{(c-1) / 2}$ divides both $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$, so we may write $a_{i}=w_{i} l_{i}^{(c-1) / 2}$ and $b_{i}=t_{i} l_{i}^{(c-1) / 2}$. Since also $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}_{i}^{c}=\left(a_{i}-b_{i} \sqrt{f}\right)$, we have that $\left(l_{i} m_{i}\right)^{c}=l_{i}^{c-1} w_{i}^{2}-l_{i}^{c-1} t_{i}^{2} f$, and so $l_{i} m_{i}^{c}=w_{i}^{2}-t_{i}^{2} f$. From the assumption that $l_{i} \mid f$ it follows that $l_{i} \mid w_{i}^{2}$, and since $l_{i}$ is square-free this implies that $l_{i} \mid w_{i}$. Write $w_{i}=n_{i} l_{i}$. Since $a_{i}=w_{i} l_{i}^{(c-1) / 2}$ and $l_{i}$ are both monic, $n_{i}$ is also monic. Thus, we have a solution to $l_{i} m_{i}^{c}=n_{i}^{2} l_{i}^{2}-t_{i}^{2} f$ with $l_{i} \mid f$ and $\operatorname{deg} l_{i} m_{i}<\frac{C_{+}}{2} \operatorname{deg} f$. Since $t_{i}$ is not restricted to being monic, we note that substituting $-t$ for $t$ gives another solution.

We now show that for the solutions constructed, $\left(m_{i}, n_{i} f\right)=1$. Since $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{b}}$ are not divisible by any ideals of order 2 , it follows that $m_{i}$, the monic generator for $N\left(\mathfrak{b}_{i}\right)$, is coprime to $f$. Since $\left(m_{i}, n_{i}\right)^{2}$ divides $m_{i}^{c}$ and $n_{i}^{2}$ it also divides $t_{i}^{2} f$, but since $n_{i}$ is coprime to $f$, it follows that $\left(m_{i}, n_{i}\right)^{2} \mid t_{i}^{2}$, so $\left(m_{i}, n_{i}\right) \mid t_{i}$. Since $n_{i} \mid a_{i}$, it follows that $\left(m_{i}, n_{i}\right) \mid\left(a_{i}+b_{i} \sqrt{f}\right)=\mathfrak{a}_{i}^{c}$. In particular, this means that each prime of $A$ dividing $\left(m_{i}, n_{i}\right)$ also divides $\mathfrak{a}_{i}$. However $A$ is a principal ideal domain, but $\mathfrak{a}_{i}$ was taken not to be divisible by any principal ideal, so $\left(m_{i}, n_{i}\right)=1$ and since also $\left(m_{i}, f\right)=1$, we have $\left(m_{i}, n_{i} f\right)=1$ as desired.

Finally, we must show that different pairs of ideals $\mathfrak{a}_{i}, \overline{\mathfrak{a}}_{i}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_{j}, \overline{\mathfrak{a}}_{j}$ give rise to distinct pairs of solutions as constructed above. If not, then it would follow that $a_{i}=a_{j}$ and $b_{i}= \pm b_{j}$. Then $\mathfrak{a}_{i}^{c}=\mathfrak{a}_{j}^{c}$, so $\mathfrak{a}_{i}=\mathfrak{a}_{j}$. Thus, we have shown that a pair of inverse elements of $\mathrm{Cl}(f)$ having order $c$ gives a unique pair of solutions to Equation (2), concluding the proof of the upper bound $h_{c}(f) \leq D_{+}$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

4.1. Background. We will use a class number relation over function fields proven by Yu. Before stating the proposition, we introduce some additional notation. If $m \in A$ is of odd degree but is not necessarily square-free, we define $h(m)$ to be the class number of the order $A[\sqrt{m}]$. This notation is consistent with our previous definition of $h(n)$ for $n$ square-free because the class number of the maximal order $A[\sqrt{m}]$ is equal to the class number of the field $k(\sqrt{m})$ when $m$ has odd degree and is square-free [Rosen 2002, Chapter 14]. We define $w(m):=\# A[\sqrt{m}]^{\times} /(q-1)$ and $h^{\prime}(m):=h(m) / w(m)$. This allows us define the Hurwitz class number

$$
H(m):=\sum_{n^{2} \mid m} h^{\prime}\left(m / n^{2}\right)
$$

We now have defined all of the notation that we will need for the following class number relation, Proposition 7 of Yu [1995].

Proposition 4.1. If $m \in A$ is monic, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{t \in A \\ \mu \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times} / \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times 2}}} H\left(t^{2}-\mu m\right)=\sum_{d \mid m} \max (|d|,|m / d|)-\sum_{\substack{d \mid m \\ \operatorname{deg} d=1 / 2 \operatorname{deg} m}}|m|^{-1 / 2} \frac{|m|-\left|m-d^{2}\right|}{q-1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sums on the right are over monic divisors and the sum on the left is over pairs $(t, \mu)$ such that $t^{2}-\mu m$ is an imaginary discriminant. This is equivalent to the condition that either $t^{2}-\mu m$ has odd degree or $t^{2}-\mu m$ has a leading coefficient that is not a square in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ [Rosen 2002, Chapter14].

We need one additional lemma regarding class numbers. Define the Kronecker symbol $\chi_{f}$ on the monic irreducible elements of $A$ by

$$
\chi_{f}(P)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } P \text { splits in } k(\sqrt{f}) \\ 0 & \text { if } P \text { ramifies in } k(\sqrt{f}) \\ -1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and extend $\chi_{f}$ to all monic polynomials in $A$ by $\chi_{f}\left(\prod P_{i}^{e_{i}}\right)=\prod \chi_{f}\left(P_{i}\right)^{e_{i}}$. The following is Lemma 3 in [Yu 1995].

Lemma 1. For any square-free $f \in A$ and any $b \in A$,

$$
\frac{h^{\prime}\left(f b^{2}\right)}{h^{\prime}(f)}=|f| \prod_{P \mid f}\left(1-\frac{\chi_{f}(P)}{|P|}\right)
$$

Corollary 1. Under the hypotheses of the lemma, $h^{\prime}\left(f b^{2}\right) \mid h^{\prime}(f)$.
We also prove a general proposition about polynomials.
Proposition 4.2. Let $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n} \in A$ be monic polynomials of odd degree. There exists a monic irreducible polynomial $m \in A$ of odd degree such that each $f_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ is a quadratic nonresidue modulo $m$.

Proof. Let $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}$ be the monic irreducible polynomials of odd degree dividing any of the $f_{i}$, and let $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{s}$ be the monic irreducibles of even degree dividing any of the $f_{i}$. By the multiplicativity of the Legendre symbol, it suffices to find a monic polynomial $m$ such that $\left(p_{u} / m\right)=-1$ for each $u$ and $\left(l_{v} / m\right)=1$ for each $v$.

For each $u$ with $1 \leq u \leq r$, let $\pi_{u}$ be an irreducible polynomial such that $\left(\pi_{u} / p_{u}\right)=(-1)^{(q+1) / 2}$. For $1 \leq v \leq s$, choose $v_{v}$ to be a monic irreducible such that $\left(v_{v} / l_{v}\right)=1$. Such $\pi_{u}$ and $v_{v}$ exist by Dirichlet's theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions. Applying this theorem again, choose $m$ to be a monic irreducible of odd degree such that $m \equiv \pi_{u}\left(\bmod p_{u}\right)$ and $m \equiv v_{v}\left(\bmod l_{v}\right)$ for each choice of $u$ and $v$.

We show that $m$ satisfies the conclusion of the proposition. Applying quadratic reciprocity for function fields [Rosen 2002, Chapter 3], for each $p_{u}$ we have

$$
\left(\frac{p_{u}}{m}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2} \cdot \operatorname{deg} m \cdot \operatorname{deg} p_{u}} \cdot\left(\frac{m}{p_{u}}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \cdot\left(\frac{\pi_{u}}{p_{u}}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \cdot(-1)^{\frac{q+1}{2}}=-1 .
$$

Similarly, for the $l_{v}$, we have

$$
\left(\frac{l_{v}}{m}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2} \cdot \operatorname{deg} m \cdot \operatorname{deg} l_{v}} \cdot\left(\frac{m}{l_{v}}\right)=1 \cdot\left(\frac{v_{v}}{l_{v}}\right)=1
$$

Thus, $m$ satisfies the conditions stated at the beginning of the proof and thus also the conclusion of the proposition.
4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3. Suppose that $S$ is any finite set (possibly empty) of monic polynomials $f \in A$ of odd degree such that $c \nmid h(f)$. By Proposition 4.2, take $m$ to be an irreducible monic polynomial of odd degree such that each $f \in S$ is a quadratic nonresidue modulo $m$ (if $S=\varnothing$, take $m$ to be any monic irreducible of odd degree). The class number relation Equation (4) gives us

$$
\sum_{\substack{t \in A \\ \mu \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times} / \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times 2}}} H\left(t^{2}-\mu m\right)=\sum_{d \mid m} \max (|d|,|m / d|)=2 q^{\operatorname{deg} m}
$$

Since $c \nmid 2 q^{\operatorname{deg} m}$, at least one of the terms on the left side of the equation is not divisible by $c$, we can take $\mu$ and $t$ so that $H\left(t^{2}-\mu m\right)$ is not divisible by $c$. From the definition of the Hurwitz class number,

$$
H\left(t^{2}-\mu m\right)=\sum_{n^{2} \mid m} h^{\prime}\left(\frac{t^{2}-\mu m}{n^{2}}\right)
$$

Since the left side of the equation is indivisible by $c$, we can choose $n$ such that $h^{\prime}\left(\frac{t^{2}-\mu m}{n^{2}}\right)$ is indivisible by $c$. We now write

$$
\frac{t^{2}-\mu m}{n^{2}}=f b^{2}
$$

where $f$ is square-free. From Corollary 1 , we have that $h^{\prime}(f) \mid h^{\prime}\left(f b^{2}\right)$. In particular, $c \nmid h^{\prime}(f)$. Furthermore, we have $h^{\prime}(f)=h(f) / w(f)$. Since the prime at infinity is totally ramified in $k(\sqrt{f})$, the group of units of $A[\sqrt{f}]$ has rank 0 and thus is just $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$. This means that

$$
w(f)=\frac{\# A[\sqrt{f}]^{\times}}{q-1}=1
$$

So $h(f)=w(f) h^{\prime}(f)=h^{\prime}(f)$, whence $c \nmid h(f)$. This gives us an element $f \in A$ such that $h(f)$ is indivisible by $c$.

We show that $f \notin S$. We have that $f \cdot(b n)^{2}=t^{2}-\mu m$. Reducing modulo $m$, we have $f \equiv(t / b n)^{2}(\bmod m)$, so $f$ is a quadratic residue modulo $m$. In particular, $f \notin S$. Thus, there are infinitely many quadratic imaginary discriminants $f$ of odd degree such that $c$ does not divide the class number of $K=k(\sqrt{f})$.

## 5. Examples

We consider first an example constructed by Theorem 1.1. Let $q=3$ and $c=17$, so that we aim to construct a quadratic imaginary discriminant $f \in \mathbb{F}_{3}[x]$ such that
$h(f)$ is divisible by 17. Take

$$
\begin{aligned}
f & =2 x^{5}+2 x^{4}+1, \\
n & =x^{7}+2 x^{6}+x^{5}+2 x^{4}+x^{3}+2, \\
t & =x^{6}+x^{5}+2 x^{3}+1, \\
m & =x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Our choice of $f$ is square-free (and, in fact, irreducible). The condition $c \operatorname{deg} m<$ $p \operatorname{deg} f$ is clearly satisfied (note that since $c$ is prime, we have $c=p$ ). We also have $(m, n)=1$ and $m^{17}=n^{2}-t^{2} f$, so Theorem 1.1 says that $\mathrm{Cl}(f)$ has an element of order 17. Indeed, $h(f)=17$. In fact, computation of a finite number of class numbers shows that there is no choice of $f$ of smaller degree such that $17 \mid h(f)$.

We now provide an example of the method of the proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $q=3$, and define $k=\mathbb{F}_{q}(x)$. Begin with the polynomials

$$
f_{1}=x+2 \quad \text { and } \quad f_{2}=x^{3}+x^{2}+2 x=x\left(x^{2}+x+2\right)
$$

It can be computed that $h\left(f_{1}\right)=1$ and $h\left(f_{2}\right)=6$. We will use the method of the proof of Theorem 1.3 to find a third quadratic imaginary discriminant $f_{3}$ such that $h\left(f_{3}\right)$ is relatively prime to $c=5$. Using the same notation as the proof of Proposition 4.2, we have $p_{1}=x$ and $l_{1}=x^{2}+x+2$. The method of the proof now calls for us to find irreducible polynomials $\pi_{1}$ and $\nu_{1}$ such that

$$
\left(\frac{\pi_{1}}{p_{1}}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{q+1}{2}}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\frac{\nu_{1}}{l_{1}}\right)=1 .
$$

It will thus suffice to take $\pi_{1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p_{1}\right)$, and $\nu_{1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod l_{1}\right)$. Because the next step in the proof is to apply the Chinese Remainder Theorem, it is unnecessary (although a trivial exercise) to actually compute irreducible polynomials $\pi_{1}$ and $\nu_{1}$. In the proof we use the existence of irreducible polynomials to apply quadratic reciprocity, but for the purpose of construction we need only find appropriate residue classes to apply the Chinese Remainder Theorem. We now need a monic irreducible polynomial $m$ of odd degree such that

$$
m \equiv \begin{cases}1 & \left(\bmod p_{1}\right) \\ 1 & \left(\bmod l_{1}\right)\end{cases}
$$

One such polynomial is $m=p_{1} \cdot l_{1}+1=x^{3}+x^{2}+2 x+1$. By the class number relation Equation (4), we have

$$
\sum_{\substack{t \in A \\ \mu \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times} / \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times 2}}} H\left(t^{2}-\mu m\right)=\sum_{d \mid m} \max (|d|,|m / d|)=54
$$

where the sum on the left is over all $(\mu, t)$ such that $\mu$ is either 1 or 2 and $t$ has degree 0 or 1 . Expanding the sum, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(-m)+H(-2 m)+2 H(1-m)+2 H(1-2 m)+2 H\left(x^{2}-m\right) \\
& +2 H\left(x^{2}-2 m\right)+2 H\left((x+1)^{2}-m\right)+2 H\left((x+1)^{2}-2 m\right) \\
& \quad+2 H\left((x+2)^{2}-m\right)+2 H\left((x+2)^{2}-2 m\right)=54 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $5 \nmid 54$, at least one of the Hurwitz class numbers on the left side of this equation is indivisible by 5 . Although the first term, $H(-m)$ is 5 , we find that the second term, $H(-2 m)=H(m)$ is 3 . Furthermore, since $m$ is irreducible, we have by the definition of the Hurwitz class number that

$$
H(-2 m)=H(m)=\sum_{n^{2} \mid m} h^{\prime}\left(m / n^{2}\right)=h^{\prime}(m)
$$

As discussed in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we have that $h(m)=h^{\prime}(m)$, so $h(m)=3$. Thus choosing $f_{3}=m=x^{3}+x^{2}+2 x+1$ gives a third polynomial $f_{3}$ with $5 \nmid h\left(f_{3}\right)$.
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