

## Hamiltonian labelings of graphs

Willem Renzema and Ping Zhang







### Hamiltonian labelings of graphs

Willem Renzema and Ping Zhang

(Communicated by Ron Gould)

For a connected graph *G* of order *n*, the detour distance D(u, v) between two vertices *u* and *v* in *G* is the length of a longest u - v path in *G*. A Hamiltonian labeling of *G* is a function  $c : V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|c(u) - c(v)| + D(u, v) \ge n$  for every two distinct vertices *u* and *v* of *G*. The value hn(c) of a Hamiltonian labeling *c* of *G* is the maximum label (functional value) assigned to a vertex of *G* by *c*; while the Hamiltonian labeling number hn(G) of *G* is the minimum value of Hamiltonian labelings of *G*. Hamiltonian labeling numbers of some well-known classes of graphs are determined. Sharp upper and lower bounds are established for the Hamiltonian labeling number of a connected graph. The corona cor(F) of a graph *F* is the graph obtained from *F* by adding exactly one pendant edge at each vertex of *F*. For each integer  $k \ge 3$ , let  $\mathcal{H}_k$  be the set of connected graphs *G* for which there exists a Hamiltonian graph *H* of order *k* such that  $H \subset G \subseteq cor(H)$ . It is shown that  $2k - 1 \le hn(G) \le k(2k - 1)$  for each  $G \in \mathcal{H}_k$  and that both bounds are sharp.

#### 1. Introduction

The *distance* d(u, v) between two vertices u and v in a connected graph G is the length of a shortest path between these two vertices. The *eccentricity* e(v) of a vertex v in G is the maximum distance from v to a vertex of G. The *radius* rad(G) of G is the minimum eccentricity among the vertices of G, while the *diameter* diam(G) of G is the maximum eccentricity among the vertices of G. A vertex v with e(v) = rad(G) is called a *central vertex* of G. If d(u, v) = diam(G), then u and v are *antipodal vertices* of G.

For a connected graph G with diameter d, an *antipodal coloring* of a connected graph G is defined in [Chartrand et al. 2002a] as an assignment  $c: V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$  of colors to the vertices of G such that

 $|c(u) - c(v)| + d(u, v) \ge d,$ 

MSC2000: primary 05C12, 05C45; secondary 05C78, 05C15.

Keywords: Hamiltonian labeling, detour distance.

Willem Renzema's research was supported in part by Lee Honors College Dean's Summer Research Award Program at Western Michigan University.

for every two distinct vertices u and v of G. In the case of paths of order  $n \ge 2$ , this gives

$$|c(u) - c(v)| + d(u, v) \ge n - 1.$$

Antipodal colorings of paths gave rise to the more general Hamiltonian colorings of graphs defined in terms of another distance parameter.

The *detour distance* D(u, v) between two vertices u and v in a connected graph G is the length of a longest path between these two vertices. A u - v path of length D(u, v) is a u - v detour. Thus if G is a connected graph of order n, then

$$d(u,v) \le D(u,v) \le n-1,$$

for every two vertices u and v in G, and

$$D(u,v) = n-1,$$

if and only if *G* contains a Hamiltonian u - v path. Furthermore d(u, v) = D(u, v) for every two vertices *u* and *v* in *G* if and only if *G* is a tree. As with standard distance, the detour distance is a metric on the vertex set of a connected graph.

A Hamiltonian coloring of a connected graph G of order n is a coloring

$$c: V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$$

of G such that

$$|c(u) - c(v)| + D(u, v) \ge n - 1,$$

for every two distinct vertices u and v of G. Consequently, if u and v are distinct vertices such that |c(u) - c(v)| = k for some Hamiltonian coloring c of G, then there is a u - v path in G missing at most k vertices of G. The value hc(c) of a Hamiltonian coloring c of G is the maximum color assigned to a vertex of G. The Hamiltonian chromatic number of G is the minimum value of Hamiltonian colorings of G. Hamiltonian colorings of graphs have been studied in [Chartrand et al. 2002b; 2005a; 2005b; Nebeský 2003; 2006].

For a connected graph *G* with diameter *d*, a *radio labeling* of *G* is defined in [Chartrand et al. 2001] as an assignment  $c : V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$  of labels to the vertices of *G* such that

$$|c(u) - c(v)| + d(u, v) \ge d + 1,$$

for every two distinct vertices u and v of G. Thus for a radio labeling of a graph, colors assigned to adjacent vertices of G must differ by at least d, colors assigned to two vertices at distance 2 must differ by at least d - 1, and so on, up to two vertices at distance d (that is, antipodal vertices), whose colors are only required to differ. The *value* rn(c) of a radio labeling c of G is the maximum color assigned

to a vertex of *G*. The *radio number* of *G* is the minimum value of a radio labeling of *G*. In the case of paths of order  $n \ge 2$ , this gives

$$|c(u) - c(v)| + d(u, v) \ge n.$$

In a similar manner, radio labelings of paths and detour distance in graphs give rise to a related labeling, which we introduce in this work.

A *Hamiltonian labeling* of a connected graph G of order n is an assignment  $c: V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  of labels to the vertices of G such that

$$|c(u) - c(v)| + D(u, v) \ge n,$$

for every two distinct vertices u and v of G. Therefore, in a Hamiltonian labeling of G, every two vertices are assigned distinct labels and two vertices u and v can be assigned consecutive labels in G only if G contains a Hamiltonian u - v path. We can assume that every Hamiltonian labeling of a graph uses the integer 1 as one of its labels. The *value* hn(c) of a Hamiltonian labeling c of G is the maximum label assigned to a vertex of G by c, that is, hn(c) = max{ $c(v) : v \in V(G)$ }. The *Hamiltonian labeling number* hn(G) of G is the minimum value of Hamiltonian labelings of G, that is, hn(G) = min{hn(c)}, where the minimum is taken over all Hamiltonian labeling c of G. A Hamiltonian labeling c of G with value hn(c) = hn(G) is called a *minimum Hamiltonian labeling* of G. Therefore,

$$\operatorname{hn}(G) \ge n. \tag{1}$$

for every connected graph G of order n.

To illustrate these concepts, we consider the Petersen graph *P*. It is known that  $\chi(P) = hc(P) = 3$ . In fact, it is observed in [Chartrand et al. 2005a] that every proper coloring of *P* is also a Hamiltonian coloring. On the other hand, since the order of *P* is 10, it follows that  $hn(P) \ge 10$ . Observe that D(u, v) = 8 if  $uv \in E(G)$  and D(u, v) = 9 if  $uv \notin E(G)$ . Thus if *c* is a Hamiltonian labeling of *P*, then  $|c(u) - c(v)| \ge 2$  if  $uv \in E(G)$  and  $|c(u) - c(v)| \ge 1$  if  $uv \notin E(G)$ . Therefore, the labeling shown in Figure 1 is a Hamiltonian labeling and so hn(P) = 10.

#### 2. Bounds for Hamiltonian labeling numbers of graphs

It is convenient to introduce some notation. For a Hamiltonian labeling c of a graph G, an ordering  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n$  of the vertices of G is called the *c*-ordering of G if

$$1 = c(u_1) < c(u_2) < \ldots < c(u_n) = hn(c).$$

We refer to [Chartrand and Zhang 2008] for graph theory notation and terminology not described in this paper. In order to establish a relationship between the



Figure 1. A Hamiltonian labeling of the Petersen graph.

Hamiltonian chromatic number and Hamiltonian labeling number of a connected graph, we first present a lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** Every connected graph of order  $n \ge 3$  with Hamiltonian labeling number n is 2-connected.

*Proof.* Assume, to the contrary, that there exists a connected graph G of order  $n \ge 3$  with hn(G) = n such that G is not 2-connected. Then G contains a cut-vertex v. Let c be a minimum Hamiltonian labeling of G and let  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$  be the c-ordering of the vertices of G, where then  $1 = c(v_1) < c(v_2) < \ldots < c(v_n) = n$ . Thus  $c(v_i) = i$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ . Let  $u \in V(G)$  such that u and v are consecutive in the c-ordering. Thus  $\{u, v\} = \{v_j, v_{j+1}\}$  for some integer j with  $1 \le j \le n - 1$ . Hence  $D(v_j, v_{j+1}) \le n - 2$ . However then,

$$|c(v_{i}) - c(v_{i+1})| + D(v_{i}, v_{i+1}) \le n - 1,$$

which contradicts the fact that c is a Hamiltonian labeling of G.

The corollary below now follows immediately.

**Corollary 2.2.** No connected graph of order  $n \ge 3$  with Hamiltonian labeling number n contains a bridge.

While  $hc(K_1) = hn(K_1) = 1$  and  $hc(K_2) = 1$  and  $hn(K_2) = 2$ , hc(G) and hn(G) must differ by at least 2 for every connected graph G of order 3 or more. In fact, the following result provides upper and lower bounds for the Hamiltonian labeling number of a connected graph in terms of its order and Hamiltonian chromatic number.

**Theorem 2.3.** For every connected graph G of order  $n \ge 3$ ,

$$\operatorname{hc}(G) + 2 \le \operatorname{hn}(G) \le \operatorname{hc}(G) + (n-1).$$

*Proof.* We first show that  $hn(G) \ge hc(G) + 2$ . Let *c* be a minimum Hamiltonian labeling of *G* and let  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$  be the *c*-ordering of the vertices of *G*, where then  $1 = c(v_1) < c(v_2) < \ldots < c(v_n) = hn(c)$ . Define a coloring  $c^*$  of *G* by

$$c^*(v_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = 1, \\ c(v_i) - 1 & \text{if } 2 \le i \le n - 1, \\ c(v_i) - 2 & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

We show that  $c^*$  is a Hamiltonian coloring of G. Let  $v_i, v_j \in V(G)$ , where

 $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ .

We consider two cases.

*Case* 1. i = 1. Suppose first that  $2 \le j \le n - 2$ . Then

$$|c^*(v_j) - c^*(v_1)| + D(v_j, v_1) = c(v_j) - c(v_1) - 1 + D(v_j, v_1) \ge n - 1.$$

Next suppose that j = n. Then

$$|c^*(v_n) - c^*(v_1)| + D(v_n, v_1) = c(v_n) - c(v_1) - 2 + D(v_n, v_1)$$
$$= c(v_n) - 3 + D(v_n, v_1).$$

If  $c(v_n) \ge n+1$ , then  $c(v_n) - 3 + D(v_n, v_1) \ge n-1$ . If  $c(v_n) = n$ , then  $v_1v_n$  is not a bridge by Corollary 2.2 and so  $D(v_n, v_1) \ge 2$ . Thus  $c(v_n) - 3 + D(v_n, v_1) \ge n-1$ .

Case 2.  $i \ge 2$ . In this case,

$$|c^{*}(v_{j}) - c^{*}(v_{i})| + D(v_{j}, v_{i}) = \begin{cases} c(v_{j}) - c(v_{i}) + D(v_{j}, v_{i}), & \text{if } j \le n-1, \\ c(v_{j}) - c(v_{i}) - 1 + D(v_{j}, v_{i}), & \text{if } j = n, \end{cases}$$
(2)

which is greater than or equal to  $c(v_j) - c(v_i) - 1 + D(v_j, v_i) \ge n - 1$ . Thus  $c^*$  is a Hamiltonian coloring of *G*, as claimed. Therefore,

$$hc(G) \le hc(c^*) = hn(c) - 2 = hn(G) - 2,$$

and so  $hn(G) \ge hc(G) + 2$ .

Next, we show that  $hn(G) \le hc(G) + (n-1)$ . Let c' be a Hamiltonian coloring of G such that hc(c') = hc(G). We may assume that  $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$  such that

$$1 = c'(v_1) \le c'(v_2) \le \ldots \le c'(v_n) = \operatorname{hc}(c').$$

Define a labeling c'' of G by  $c''(v_i) = c'(v_i) + (i-1)$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ . Let  $v_j$  and  $v_k$  be two distinct vertices of G. Then

$$|c''(v_j) - c''(v_k)| + D(v_j, v_k) = |c'(v_j) - c'(v_k)| + |j - k| + D(v_j, v_k)$$
  
 
$$\ge (n - 1) + |j - k| \ge n,$$

and so c'' is a Hamiltonian labeling of G. Since hn(c'') = hc(c) + (n-1), it follows that  $hn(G) \le hc(G) + (n-1)$ .

While the upper and lower bounds in Theorem 2.3 are sharp (as we will see later), both inequalities in Theorem 2.3 can be strict. For example, consider the Petersen graph *P* of order n = 10 and hn(P) = 10. Thus

$$5 = hc(P) + 2 < hn(P) < hc(P) + (n-1) = 12.$$

In fact, more can be said. The following result was established in [Chartrand et al. 2005a].

**Theorem 2.4** [Chartrand et al. 2005a]. If G is a Hamiltonian graph of order  $n \ge 3$ , then  $hc(G) \le n-2$ . Furthermore, for each pair k, n of integers with  $1 \le k \le n-2$ , there is a Hamiltonian graph of order n with Hamiltonian chromatic number k.

On the other hand, every Hamiltonian graph of order n has Hamiltonian labeling number n, as we show next.

**Proposition 2.5.** If G is a Hamiltonian graph of order  $n \ge 3$ , then hn(G) = n.

*Proof.* Let  $C: v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n+1} = v_1$  be a Hamiltonian cycle of G. Define the labeling c of G by  $c(v_i) = i$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ . Let i, j be two integers with  $1 \le i < j \le n$ . If  $j - i \le n/2$ , then  $D(v_i, v_j) \ge n - (j - i)$ ; while if j - i > n/2, then  $D(v_i, v_j) \ge j - i$ . In either case,  $|c(v_i) - c(v_j)| + D(v_i, v_j) \ge n$ . Thus c is a Hamiltonian labeling and so hn(G) = n by Equation (1).

The converse of Proposition 2.5 is not true. For example, it is well known that the Petersen graph P is a nonHamiltonian graph of order 10 but hn(P) = 10. Whether there exists a connected graph G of order  $n \ge 3$  with hn(G) = n that is neither a Hamiltonian graph nor the Petersen graph is not known. The following realization result is a consequence of Theorem 2.4 and Proposition 2.5.

**Corollary 2.6.** For each pair k, n of integers with  $2 \le k \le n - 1$ , there exists a Hamiltonian graph G of order n such that hn(G) = hc(G) + k.

In the remainder of this section, we consider the complete bipartite graphs  $K_{r,s}$  of order  $n = r + s \ge 3$ , where  $1 \le r \le s$ . The Hamiltonian chromatic number of a complete bipartite graph has been determined in [Chartrand et al. 2005a]. For positive integers *r* and *s* with  $r \le s$  and  $r + s \ge 3$ ,

$$hc(K_{r,s}) = \begin{cases} r & \text{if } r = s, \\ (s-1)^2 + 1 & \text{if } 1 = r < s, \\ (s-1)^2 - (r-1)^2 & \text{if } 2 \le r < s. \end{cases}$$
(3)

If  $r \ge 2$ , then  $K_{r,r}$  is Hamiltonian and so  $hn(K_{r,r}) = n = 2r$  by Proposition 2.5. Thus, we may assume that r < s, beginning with r = 1. **Theorem 2.7.** For each integer  $n \ge 3$ ,

$$hn(K_{1,n-1}) = n + (n-2)^2.$$

*Proof.* Let  $G = K_{1,n-1}$  with vertex set  $\{v, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ , where v is the central vertex of G. By Equation (3) and Theorem 2.3, it suffices to show that

$$\operatorname{hn}(G) \ge n + (n-2)^2$$

Let c be a minimum Hamiltonian labeling of G. Since no two vertices of G can be labeled the same, we may assume that

$$c(v_1) < c(v_2) < \ldots < c(v_{n-1}).$$

We consider three cases.

Case 1. c(v) = 1. Since  $D(v_1, v) = 1$  and  $D(v_i, v_{i+1}) = 2$  for  $1 \le i \le n-2$ , it follows that  $c(v_1) \ge n$  and

$$c(v_{i+1}) \ge c(v_i) + (n-2) \ge c(v_1) + i(n-2) \ge n + i(n-2)$$

for all  $1 \le i \le n - 2$ . This implies that

$$c(v_{n-1}) \ge n + (n-2)(n-2) = n + (n-2)^2.$$

Therefore,  $hn(G) = hn(c) \ge n + (n-2)^2$ .

*Case* 2. c(v) = hn(c). Then  $1 = c(v_1) < c(v_2) < ... < c(v_{n-1}) < c(v)$ . For each *i* with  $2 \le i \le n - 1$ , it follows that

$$c(v_i) \ge c(v_1) + (i-1)(n-2) = 1 + (i-1)(n-2).$$

In particular,  $c(v_{n-1}) \ge 1 + (n-2)^2$ . Thus

$$c(v) \ge c(v_{n-1}) + n - 1 = n + (n-2)^2.$$

Therefore,  $hn(G) = hn(c) \ge n + (n-2)^2$ .

Case 3.  $c(v_j) < c(v) < c(v_{j+1})$  for some j with  $1 \le j \le n-2$ . Thus

$$c(v_j) \ge 1 + (j-1)(n-2),$$
  

$$c(v) \ge c(v_j) + n - 1 \ge n + (j-1)(n-2),$$
  

$$c(v_{j+1}) \ge c(v) + n - 1 \ge 2n - 1 + (j-1)(n-2).$$

This implies that

$$c(v_{n-1}) \ge (n-j-2)(n-2) + c(v_{j+1})$$
  

$$\ge (n-j-2)(n-2) + (2n-1) + (j-1)(n-2)$$
  

$$= 2n-1 + (n-3)(n-2) = n+1 + (n-2)^2 > n + (n-2)^2.$$

In each case, we have  $hn(G) \ge n + (n-2)^2$ .

We now consider  $K_{r,s}$ , where  $2 \le r < s$ , with partite sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  such that  $|V_1| = r$  and  $|V_2| = s$ . Then

$$D(u, v) = \begin{cases} 2r - 2 = n - s + r - 2 & \text{if } u, v \in V_1, \\ 2r - 1 = n - s + r - 1 & \text{if } uv \in E(K_{r,s}), \\ 2r = n - s + r & \text{if } u, v \in V_2. \end{cases}$$

Consequently, if c is a Hamiltonian labeling of  $K_{r,s}$  (r < s), then

$$|c(u) - c(v)| \ge \begin{cases} s - r + 2 & \text{if } u, v \in V_1, \\ s - r + 1 & \text{if } uv \in E(K_{r,s}), \\ s - r & \text{if } u, v \in V_2. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 2.8.** For integers r and s with  $2 \le r < s$ ,

$$hn(K_{r,s}) = (s-1)^2 - (r-1)^2 + s + r - 1.$$

*Proof.* By Equation (3) and Theorem 2.3, it suffices to show that

$$hn(K_{r,s}) \ge (s-1)^2 - (r-1)^2 + s + r - 1.$$

Let  $V_1 = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r\}$  and  $V_2 = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s\}$  be the partite sets of  $K_{r,s}$ , and let *c* be a Hamiltonian labeling of  $K_{r,s}$  and let  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{r+s}$  be the *c*-ordering of the vertices of  $K_{r,s}$ . We define a  $V_1$ -block of  $K_{r,s}$  to be a set

$$A = \{w_{\alpha}, w_{\alpha+1}, \ldots, w_{\beta}\},\$$

where  $1 \le \alpha \le \beta \le r + s$ , such that  $A \subseteq V_1$ ,  $w_{\alpha-1} \in V_2$  if  $\alpha > 1$ , and  $w_{\beta+1} \in V_2$  if  $\beta < r + s$ . A *V*<sub>2</sub>-block of *K*<sub>*r*,*s*</sub> is defined similarly. Let

$$A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_p \quad (p \ge 1)$$

be the distinct  $V_1$ -blocks of  $K_{r,s}$  such that if

$$w' \in A_i, \quad w'' \in A_j,$$

where  $1 \le i < j \le p$ , then c(w') < c(w''). If  $p \ge 2$ , then  $K_{r,s}$  contains  $V_2$ -blocks  $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_{p-1}$  such that for each integer  $i \ (1 \le i \le p-1)$  and for  $w' \in A_i$ ,  $w \in B_i, w'' \in A_{i+1}$ , it follows that

$$c(w') < c(w) < c(w'').$$

The graph  $K_{r,s}$  may contain up to two additional  $V_2$ -blocks, namely  $B_0$  and  $B_p$ such that if  $y \in B_0$  and  $y' \in A_1$ , then c(y) < c(y'); while if  $z \in A_p$  and  $z' \in B_p$ , then c(z) < c(z'). If p = 1, then at least one of  $B_0$  and  $B_1$  must exist. Hence  $K_{r,s}$ contains  $p V_1$ -blocks and  $p - 1 + t V_2$ -blocks, where  $t \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ . Consequently, there are exactly

(a) r - p distinct pairs  $\{w_i, w_{i+1}\}$  of vertices, both of which belong to  $V_1$ ;

- (b) 2p-2+t distinct pairs {w<sub>i</sub>, w<sub>i+1</sub>} of vertices, exactly one of which belongs to V<sub>1</sub>;
- (c) s (p 1 + t) distinct pairs  $\{w_i, w_{i+1}\}$  of vertices, both of which belong to  $V_2$ .

Since (1) the colors of every two vertices  $w_i$  and  $w_{i+1}$ , both of which belong to  $V_1$ , must differ by at least s - r + 2, (2) the colors of every two vertices  $w_i$  and  $w_{i+1}$ , exactly one of which belongs to  $V_1$ , must differ by at least s - r + 1, and (3) the colors of every two vertices  $w_i$  and  $w_{i+1}$ , both of which belong to  $V_2$ , must differ by at least s - r, it follows that

$$c(w_{r+s}) \ge 1 + (r-p)(s-r+2) + (2p-2+t)(s-r+1) + (s-(p-1+t))(s-r)$$
  
=  $(s-1)^2 - (r-1)^2 + s + r - 1 + t.$  (4)

Since  $hn(K_{r,s}) \le (s-1)^2 - (r-1)^2 + s + r - 1$  and  $t \ge 0$ , it follows that t = 0 and that  $hn(K_{r,s}) = (s-1)^2 - (r-1)^2 + s + r - 1$ .

Combining Proposition 2.5 and Theorems 2.7 and 2.8, we obtain the following. Corollary 2.9. For integers r and s with  $1 \le r \le s$ ,

$$\operatorname{hn}(K_{r,s}) = \begin{cases} r+s & \text{if } r = s, \\ (s-1)^2 + s + 1 & \text{if } r = 1 \text{ and } s \ge 2, \\ (s-1)^2 - (r-1)^2 + r + s - 1 & \text{if } 2 \le r < s. \end{cases}$$

# 3. Hamiltonian labeling numbers of subgraphs of coronas of Hamiltonian graphs

A common question in graph theory concerns how the value of a parameter is affected by making a small change in the graph. If G is a Hamiltonian graph and u and v are two nonadjacent vertices of G, then G + uv is also Hamiltonian and so hn(G) = hn(G + uv). On the other hand, if we add a pendant edge to a Hamiltonian graph G producing a nonHamiltonian graph H, then the Hamiltonian labeling number of H can be significantly larger than that of G, as we show in this section. We begin with those graphs obtained from a cycle or a complete graph by adding a single pendant edge.

**Theorem 3.1.** If G is the graph of order  $n \ge 5$  obtained from  $C_{n-1}$  by adding a pendant edge, then hn(G) = 2n - 2.

*Proof.* Let  $C: v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n-1}, v_1$  and let  $v_{n-1}v_n$  be the pendant edge of G. We first show that  $hn(G) \leq 2n-2$ . Define a labeling  $c_0$  of G by

$$c_0(v_i) = \begin{cases} 2i & \text{if } 1 \le i \le n-1, \\ 1 & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

We show that  $c_0$  is a Hamiltonian labeling. First let

$$v_i, v_j \in V(C),$$

where  $1 \le i < j \le n-1$ . If  $j-i \ge \frac{n-1}{2}$ , then  $D(v_i, v_j) = j-i$  and so

$$|c_0(v_i) - c_0(v_j)| + D(v_i, v_j) = |2i - 2j| + (j - i) = 3(j - i)$$
  
$$\ge 3\left(\frac{n - 1}{2}\right) = \frac{3n}{2} - \frac{3}{2} \ge n,$$

since  $n \ge 3$ . If  $j - i \le \frac{n-1}{2}$ , then  $D(v_i, v_j) = (n-1) - (j-i)$  and so

$$|c_0(v_i) - c_0(v_j)| + D(v_i, v_j) = 2(j-i) + [(n-1) - (j-i)]$$
  
= n - 1 + (j - i) \ge n.

Next, we consider each pair  $v_i$ ,  $v_n$  where  $1 \le i \le n-1$ . Since  $D(v_i, v_n) \ge n-i$ and  $|c_0(v_i) - c_0(v_n)| \ge 2i - 1$ , it follows that

$$|c_0(v_i) - c_0(v_n)| + D(v_i, v_n) \ge n + i - 1 \ge n.$$

Therefore,  $c_0$  is a Hamiltonian labeling, as claimed.

Next, we show that  $hn(G) \ge 2n - 2$ . Let *c* be a minimum Hamiltonian labeling of *G*. First, we make some observations.

- (a) For each pair *i*, *j* with  $1 \le i \ne j \le n-1$ ,  $D(v_i, v_j) \le n-2$  and so  $|c(v_i) c(v_j)| \ge 2$ .
- (b) For each *i* with  $i \in \{1, n-2\}$ ,  $D(v_n, v_i) = n 1$  and so  $|c(v_n) c(v_i)| \ge 1$ .
- (c) For each *i* with  $1 \le i \le n-1$  and  $i \notin \{1, n-2\}$ ,  $D(v_n, v_i) \le n-2$  and so  $|c(v_n) c(v_i)| \ge 2$ .

Let  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n$  be the *c*-ordering of the vertices of *G* and let

$$X = \{c(u_{i+1}) - c(u_i) : 1 \le i \le n - 1\}.$$

By observations (a)–(c), at most two terms in X are 1. If at most one term in X is 1, then  $hn(c) = c(u_n) \ge 1 + 1 + 2(n-2) = 2n-2$ . If at least one term in X is 3 or more, then  $hn(c) = c(u_n) \ge 1 + 1 + 1 + 3 + 2(n-4) = 2n-2$ . Thus we may assume that exactly two terms in X are 1 and the remaining terms in X are 2. Then  $v_n = u_i$  for some *i* with  $2 \le i \le n-1$  and  $\{v_1, v_{n-2}\} = \{u_{i-1}, u_{i+1}\}$ , where  $c(u_i) - c(u_{i-1}) = c(u_{i+1}) - c(u_i) = 1$ . This implies that  $v_{n-1} = u_j$  for some *j* with  $1 \le j \le n$  and  $j \ne i$ . If  $2 \le j \le n-1$ , then  $\{u_{j-1}, u_{j+1}\} \ne \{v_1, v_{n-2}\}$ ; if j = 1, then  $u_2 \notin \{v_1, v_{n-2}\}$ , for otherwise

$$|c(v_{n-1}) - c(v_n)| + D(v_{n-1}, v_n) \le |c(v_{n-1}) - c(u_2)| + |c(u_2) - c(v_n)| + 1$$
  
$$\le 2 + 1 + 1 = 4 < n,$$

which is impossible; if j = n, then  $u_{n-1} \notin \{v_1, v_{n-2}\}$ , for otherwise

$$|c(v_{n-1}) - c(v_n)| + D(v_{n-1}, v_n) \le |c(v_{n-1}) - c(u_{n-1})| + |c(u_{n-1}) - c(v_n)| + 1$$
  
$$\le 2 + 1 + 1 = 4 < n,$$

again, which is impossible. Therefore, for each j with  $1 \le j \le n$ , there exists  $k \in \{j-1, j+1\}$  such that  $u_k \notin \{v_1, v_{n-2}\}$ . Assume, without loss of generality, that  $u_{j-1} \notin \{v_1, v_{n-2}\}$ . Since  $D(u_{j-1}, u_j) \le n-3$ , it follows that  $c(u_j) - c(u_{j-1}) \ge 3$ , which is impossible since each term in X is at most 2. Thus,  $hn(G) \ge 2n-2$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.2.** If G is the graph of order  $n \ge 4$  obtained from  $K_{n-1}$  by adding a pendant edge, then hn(G) = 2n - 3.

*Proof.* Let  $V(K_{n-1}) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$  and let G be obtained from  $K_{n-1}$  by adding the pendant edge  $v_{n-1}v_n$ . We first show that  $hn(G) \le 2n - 3$ . Define a labeling  $c_0$  of G by

$$c_0(v) = \begin{cases} 2i - 1 & \text{if } v = v_i \text{ for } 1 \le i \le n - 1, \\ 2 & \text{if } v = v_n. \end{cases}$$

For each pair *i*, *j* of integers with  $1 \le i \ne j \le n-1$ ,

 $D(v_i, v_j) = n - 2$  and  $|c_0(v_i) - c_0(v_j)| \ge 2$ .

For each *i* with  $1 \le i \le n-2$ ,

$$D(v_n, v_i) = n - 1$$
 and  $|c_0(v_n) - c_0(v_i)| \ge 1$ .

Furthermore,  $D(v_n, v_{n-1}) = 1$  and

$$|c_0(v_n) - c_0(v_{n-1})| \ge (2n-3) - 2 = 2n - 5 \ge n - 1$$

for  $n \ge 4$ . In each case,

$$D(v_i, v_j) + |c_0(v_i) - c_0(v_j)| \ge n,$$

for all *i*, *j* with  $1 \le i \ne j \le n$ . Therefore,  $c_0$  is a Hamiltonian labeling and so  $hn(G) \le hn(c_0) = c_0(v_{n-1}) = 2n - 3$ .

Next, we show that  $hn(G) \ge 2n - 3$ . Let *c* be a minimum Hamiltonian labeling of *G*. Suppose that the vertices of  $K_{n-1}$  in *G* can be ordered as  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{n-1}$  such that  $c(u_1) < c(u_2) < \ldots < c(u_{n-1})$ . Since

$$D(u_i, u_j) = n - 2$$

for  $1 \le i < j \le n - 1$ , it follows that

$$|c(u_i) - c(u_j)| = c(u_j) - c(u_i) \ge 2.$$

This implies that

$$hn(c) \ge c(u_{n-1}) \ge 1 + 2(n-2) = 2n - 3.$$

Therefore,  $hn(G) \ge 2n - 3$ .

Let G be a connected graph containing an edge e that is not a bridge. Then G-e is connected. For every two distinct vertices u and v in G-e, the length of a longest u - v path in G - e does not exceed the length of a longest u - v path in G. Thus every Hamiltonian labeling of G - e is a Hamiltonian labeling of G. This observation yields the following useful lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** If F is a connected subgraph of a connected graph G, then

$$\operatorname{hn}(G) \le \operatorname{hn}(F).$$

The following is a consequence of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 and Lemma 3.3.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let *H* be a Hamiltonian graph of order  $n - 1 \ge 3$ . If *G* is a graph obtained from *H* by adding a pendant edge, then

$$2n-3 \le \ln(G) \le 2n-2.$$

*Proof.* Let *C* be a Hamiltonian cycle in *H*. If  $H = C_{n-1}$ , then hn(G) = 2n - 2 by Theorem 3.1; while if  $H = K_{n-1}$ , then hn(G) = 2n - 3 by Theorem 3.2. Thus, we may assume that  $H \neq C_{n-1}$  and  $H \neq K_{n-1}$ . Let *F* be the graph obtained from  $K_{n-1}$  by adding a pendant edge and *F'* be the graph obtained from  $C_{n-1}$  by adding a pendant edge. Then *G* can be obtained from *F* by deleting nonbridge edges and *F'* can be obtained from *G* by deleting nonbridge edges. It then follows by Lemma 3.3 that  $hn(F) \leq hn(G) \leq hn(F')$  and so  $2n - 3 \leq hn(G) \leq 2n - 2$ .  $\Box$ 

In fact, there exists a Hamiltonian graph H of order n - 1 such that adding a pendant edge at a vertex x of H produces a graph G with hn(G) = 2n - 3but adding a pendant edge at a different vertex y of H produces a graph F with hn(F) = 2n - 2. For example, let H be the Hamiltonian graph obtained from the cycle  $C: v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n-1}, v_1$  of order  $n - 1 \ge 4$  by adding the edge  $v_1v_{n-2}$ . If Gis formed from H by adding a pendant edge at  $v_{n-1}$ , then hn(G) = 2n - 3; while if F is formed from H by adding the pendant edge  $v_1$ , then hn(F) = 2n - 2.

In order to study graphs obtained from a Hamiltonian graph by adding pendant edges, we first establish some additional definitions and notation. For a graph F, the *corona* cor(F) of F is that graph obtained from F by adding exactly one pendant edge at each vertex of F. For a connected graph G, the *core* C(G) of G is obtained from G by successively deleting vertices of degree 1 until none remain. Thus, if G is a tree, then its core is  $K_1$ ; while if G is not a tree, then the core of G is the induced subgraph F of maximum order with  $\delta(F) \ge 2$ . For each integer  $k \ge 3$ , let  $\mathcal{H}_k$  be the set of nonHamiltonian graphs that can be obtained from a

Hamiltonian graph of order k by adding pendant edges to this graph in such a way that at most one pendant edge is added to each vertex of the graph. Thus if  $G \in \mathcal{H}_k$ , then there is a Hamiltonian graph H of order k such that G is a connected subgraph of cor(H) whose core is H. We now establish lower and upper bounds for the Hamiltonian labeling number of a graph in  $\mathcal{H}_k$  in terms of the integer k and the order of the graph, beginning with a lower bound.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $G \in \mathcal{H}_k$  be a graph of order n and  $k + 1 \le n \le 2k$ . Then

$$\ln(G) \ge (n-1)(n-k) + (2k-n).$$

*Proof.* Suppose that *H* is a Hamiltonian graph of order  $k \ge 3$  and that  $H \cong C(G)$ . If  $H \ncong K_k$ , then *G* can be obtained from some graph  $F \in \mathcal{H}_k$  by deleting nonbridge edges from *F*, where  $C(F) \cong K_k$ , and  $V(G - H) = V(F - K_k)$ . That is, *G* and *F* possess the same end-vertices. It then follows by Lemma 3.3 that

$$hn(F) \le hn(G).$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that

$$\ln(F) \ge (n-1)(n-k) + (2k-n).$$

Let  $V(F) = U \cup W$ , where  $U = V(K_k)$  and W = V(F) - U. First we make some observations:

- (a) If  $x, y \in U$ , then D(x, y) = k 1.
- (b) If  $x, y \in W$ , then D(x, y) = k + 1.
- (c) If  $x \in U$  and  $y \in W$ , then D(x, y) = 1 if  $xy \in E(F)$  and D(x, y) = k otherwise.

Let *c* be a minimum Hamiltonian labeling of *F* and let  $v_1, v_2, ..., v_n$  be the *c*-ordering of the vertices of *F*. We define the four subsets  $S_u, S_w, S_{u,w}$ , and  $S_{w,u}$  of V(F) as follows:

$$S_{u} = \{v_{i} : v_{i-1}, v_{i} \in U \text{ for } 2 \le i \le n\},\$$

$$S_{w} = \{v_{i} : v_{i-1}, v_{i} \in W \text{ for } 2 \le i \le n\},\$$

$$S_{u,w} = \{v_{i} : v_{i-1} \in U \text{ and } v_{i} \in W \text{ for } 2 \le i \le n\},\$$

$$S_{w,u} = \{v_{i} : v_{i-1} \in W \text{ and } v_{i} \in U \text{ for } 2 \le i \le n\}.$$

Let  $|S_u| = n_u$ ,  $|S_w| = n_w$ ,  $|S_{u,w}| = n_{u,w}$ ,  $|S_{w,u}| = n_{w,u}$ . Since

$$S_u \cup S_w \cup S_{u,w} \cup S_{w,u} = V(F) - \{v_1\},\$$

it follows that

$$n_u + n_w + n_{u,w} + n_{w,u} = n - 1.$$
(5)

For each integer *i* with  $2 \le i \le n$ ,

- (A) if  $v_i \in S_u$ , then  $c(v_i) c(v_{i-1}) \ge n k + 1$  by (a);
- (B) if  $v_i \in S_w$ , then  $c(v_i) c(v_{i-1}) \ge n k 1$  by (b);
- (C) if  $v_i \in S_u \cup S_w$ , then either  $c(v_i) c(v_{i-1}) \ge n-1$  or  $c(v_i) c(v_{i-1}) \ge n-k$ by (iii), and so  $c(v_i) - c(v_{i-1}) \ge n-k$  in this case.

It then follows by (A)-(C) and (5) that

$$hn(c) = c(v_n) \ge 1 + n_u(n - k + 1) + n_w(n - k - 1) + (n_{u,w} + n_{w,u})(n - k)$$
  
= 1 + (n\_u + n\_w + n\_{u,w} + n\_{w,u})(n - k) + (n\_u - n\_w)  
= 1 + (n - 1)(n - k) + (n\_u - n\_w).

We claim that  $n_u - n_w \ge 2k - n - 1$ . Since

$$S_u \cup S_{u,w} = \{v_i : v_{i-1} \in U \text{ for } 2 \le i \le n\},\$$

it follows that

$$|S_u \cup S_{u,w}| = \begin{cases} |U| - 1 & \text{if } v_n \in U, \\ |U| & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

and so

$$n_u + n_{u,w} = k \text{ or } n_u + n_{u,w} = k - 1.$$
 (6)

Since

$$S_{w} \cup S_{u,w} = \{v_{i} : v_{i} \in W \text{ for } 2 \le i \le n\}$$
$$= \begin{cases} W - \{v_{1}\} & \text{if } v_{1} \in W, \\ W & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

it follows that

$$n_w + n_{u,w} = n - k \text{ or } n_w + n_{u,w} = n - k - 1.$$
 (7)

By Equations (6) and (7), we obtain

$$n_u - n_w = (n_u + n_{u,w}) - (n_w + n_{u,w}) \ge (k-1) - (n-k) = 2k - n - 1,$$

as claimed. Therefore,

$$hn(G) = hn(c) \ge 1 + (n-1)(n-k) + (n_u - n_w) \ge (n-1)(n-k) + (2k-n).$$

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $G \in \mathcal{H}_k$  be a graph of order n and  $k + 2 \le n \le 2k$ . Then

hn (G) 
$$\leq 1 + n + (n - k - 1)^2 + (k - 2)(n - k + 1).$$

*Proof.* Suppose that *H* is a Hamiltonian graph of order  $k \ge 3$  and that  $H \cong C(G)$ . If  $H \ncong C_k$ , then  $C_k$  can be obtained from *H* by deleting edges. Thus there exists  $F \in \mathcal{H}_k$  such that  $C(F) \cong C_k$  and *F* can be obtained from *G* by deleting edges that are not bridges. It then follows by Lemma 3.3 that

$$hn(G) \le hn(F).$$

Therefore, we may assume that  $H \cong C_k : x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k, x_1$ . Now let

$$X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$$
 and  $Y = V(G) - X = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-k}\}$ 

such that  $y_i$  is adjacent to  $x_{j_i}$ , for  $1 \le i \le n-k$ , and  $1 = j_1 < j_2 < \ldots < j_{n-k} \le k$ . For each *i* with  $1 \le i \le n-k$ , let

$$g_i = j_{i+1} - j_i - 1, (8)$$

where  $j_{n-k+1} = j_1$ ; that is,  $g_i$  is the number of vertices of degree 2 between  $x_{j_i}$  and  $x_{j_{i+1}}$  on  $C_k$ . Thus if  $x_{j_i}y_i \in E(G)$ , then  $x_{j_i+g_i+1}y_{i+1} \in E(G)$ , for  $1 \le i \le n-k$ , and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} g_i = 2k - n$$

Now define the labeling c of G by

$$c(v) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v = x_k, \\ 1+n-k & \text{if } v = y_1, \\ c(y_{i-1}) + (n-k-1) + g_{i-1} & \text{if } v = y_i \text{ and } 2 \le i \le n-k, \\ c(y_{n-k}) + n-k + g_{n-k} & \text{if } v = x_1, \\ c(x_{j-1}) + (n-k+1) & \text{if } v = x_j \text{ and } 2 \le j \le k-1. \end{cases}$$
(9)

Thus the *c*-ordering of the vertices of *G* is

$$x_k, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{n-k}, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{k-1},$$

and by Equation (9)

$$c(x_k) = 1,$$
  

$$c(y_i) = 1 + n - k + (i - 1)(n - k - 1) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{i-1} g_\ell \text{ for } 1 \le i \le n - k,$$
  

$$c(x_1) = 1 + n + (n - k - 1)^2,$$
  

$$c(x_j) = 1 + n + (n - k - 1)^2 + (j - 1)(n - k + 1) \text{ for } 2 \le j \le k - 1.$$
  
(10)

Therefore, the value of c is

$$hn(c) = c(x_{k-1}) = 1 + n + (n - k - 1)^2 + (k - 2)(n - k + 1).$$

Thus it remains to show that *c* is a Hamiltonian labeling of *G*. First, we make some observations. Let  $u, v \in V(G)$ , where  $u \neq v$ .

- (a) If  $u = x_i$  and  $v = x_j$  where  $1 \le i \ne j \le k$ , then  $D(u, v) = \max\{|i-j|, k-|i-j|\}$ .
- ( $\beta$ ) If  $u = y_i$  and  $v = y_j$  where  $1 \le i < j \le n k$ , then

$$D(u,v) = 2 + \max\left\{j - i + \sum_{\ell=i}^{j-1} g_{\ell}, k - \left(j - i + \sum_{\ell=i}^{j-1} g_{\ell}\right)\right\}.$$

( $\gamma$ ) If  $u = x_i$ ,  $v \in Y$ , and  $vx_j \in E(G)$  where  $1 \le i, j \le k$  (possibly i = j), then D(u, v) = 1 if i = j and  $D(u, v) = 1 + \max\{|i - j|, k - |i - j|\}$  if  $i \ne j$ .

We show that

$$D(u, v) + |c(u) - c(v)| \ge n,$$
(11)

for every pair u, v of distinct vertices of G. We consider three cases.

*Case* 1.  $u, v \in X$ . Let  $u = x_i$  and  $v = x_j$ , where  $1 \le i, j \le k$ . We may assume, without loss of generality, that i < j. If j = k, then

$$|c(x_i) - c(x_j)| = c(x_i) - c(x_k)$$
  
=  $[1 + n + (n - k - 1)^2 + (i - 1)(n - k + 1)] - 1 \ge n$ ,

and so condition (11) is satisfied. Thus we may assume that  $j \neq k$ .

If j - i = 1, then  $D(x_i, x_j) = k - 1$  and  $|c(x_i) - c(x_j)| = n - k + 1$ . Thus (11) holds in this case. If  $j - i \ge \frac{k}{2}$ , then

$$D(x_i, x_j) + |c(x_i) - c(x_j)| = c(x_j) - c(x_i) + D(x_i, x_j)$$
  
=  $(j - i)(n - k + 1) + (j - i) = (j - i)(n - k + 2)$   
 $\ge \frac{k}{2}(n - k + 2) = k\left(\frac{n - k}{2} + 1\right) \ge 2k \ge n.$ 

If  $2 \le j - i \le \frac{k}{2}$ , then

$$D(x_i, x_j) + |c(x_i) - c(x_j)| = c(x_j) - c(x_i) + D(x_i, x_j)$$
  
=  $(j - i)(n - k + 1) + (k - (j - i))$   
=  $(j - i)(n - k) + k$   
 $\ge 2(n - k) + k = 2n - k \ge n.$ 

*Case 2.*  $u, v \in Y$ . Let  $u = y_i$  and  $v = y_j$ , where  $1 \le i, j \le n - k$ . We may assume, without loss of generality, that i < j. Then

$$|c(y_i) - c(y_j)| = c(y_j) - c(y_i) = (j - i)(n - k - 1) + \sum_{\ell=i}^{j-1} g_\ell.$$

If  $j - i + \sum_{\ell=i}^{j-1} g_\ell \ge \frac{k}{2}$ , then

$$D(y_i, y_j) = 2 + j - i + \sum_{\ell=i}^{j-1} g_\ell$$

by  $(\beta)$ , and so

$$D(y_i, y_j) + |c(y_i) - c(y_j)| = (j - i)(n - k - 1) + \left(\sum_{\ell=i}^{j-1} g_\ell\right) + 2 + j - i + \left(\sum_{\ell=i}^{j-1} g_\ell\right)$$
  

$$\ge (j - i)(n - k - 1) + 2 + (j - i) + [k - 2(j - i)]$$
  

$$= (j - i)(n - k - 2) + k + 2 \ge n.$$

If  $1 \le j - i + \sum_{\ell=i}^{j-1} g_{\ell} \le \frac{k}{2}$ , then

$$D(y_i, y_j) = 2 + k - \left(j - i + \sum_{\ell=i}^{j-1} g_\ell\right)$$

by  $(\beta)$ , and so

$$D(y_i, y_j) + |c(y_i) - c(y_j)| = (j - i)(n - k - 1) + \left(\sum_{\ell=i}^{j-1} g_\ell\right) + 2 + k - \left(j - i + \sum_{\ell=i}^{j-1} g_\ell\right)$$
$$= (j - i)(n - k - 2) + k + 2$$
$$\ge n - k - 2 + k + 2 = n.$$

*Case* 3. One of u and v is in X and the other is in Y, say  $u \in X$  and  $v \in Y$ . Let  $u = x_i$  and  $v = y_j$ , where  $1 \le i \le k$  and  $1 \le j \le n - k$ . We consider two subcases, according to whether  $x_i y_j \in E(G)$  or  $x_i y_j \notin E(G)$ .

Subcase 3.1.  $x_i y_j \in E(G)$ . We proceed by induction to show that

$$c(x_i) - c(y_j) \ge n - 1$$

when  $x_i y_j \in E(G)$ . For i = j = 1,

$$|c(x_1) - c(y_1)| = c(x_1) - c(y_1) = [1 + n + (n - k - 1)^2] - (1 + n - k)$$
  
=  $(n - k - 1)^2 + k \ge n - 1$  for  $n \ge k + 2$ .

Assume that  $c(x_i) - c(y_j) \ge n - 1$ . Since  $x_{i+1+g_j}y_{j+1} \in E(G)$  by (8), we show that  $c(x_{i+1+g_j}) - c(y_{j+1}) \ge n - 1$ . Observe that

$$c(x_{i+1+g_j}) = c(x_i) + (g_j+1)(n-k+1)$$
 and  $c(y_{j+1}) = c(y_j) + (n-k-1) + g_j$ .

It then follows by the induction hypothesis that

$$c(x_{i+1+g_j}) - c(y_{j+1}) \ge n - 1 + (g_j + 1)(n - k + 1) - (n - k - 1) - g_j$$
  
=  $n + 1 + g_j(n - k) \ge n - 1.$ 

Therefore if  $x_i y_j \in E(G)$ , then  $|c(x_i) - c(y_j)| + D(x_i, y_j) \ge n - 1 + 1 = n$ . Thus condition (11) is satisfied.

Subcase 3.2.  $x_i y_j \notin E(G)$ . Then  $i \neq j$ . By (8), if  $y_j x_m \in E(G)$ , then

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} g_\ell = m-j,$$

and

$$D(x_i, y_j) + |c(x_i) - c(y_j)| = c(x_i) - c(y_j) + D(x_i, x_m) + 1$$
(12)  
=  $(n - k - 1)^2 + (i - j)(n - k) + i + j - (m - j) + k - 1 + D(x_i, x_m)$   
=  $[(n - k - 1)^2 + (i - j)(n - k) + k + 2j - 1] + (i - m) + D(x_i, x_m).$ 

Now observe, if i > j, then  $(i - j)(n - k) + k \ge n$ ; whereas if  $1 \le i < j \le n - k$ , then

$$\begin{split} (n-k-1)^2 + (i-j)(n-k) + k + 2j - 1 \\ &= [(n-k)^2 - 2(n-k)] + i(n-k) - j(n-k-2) + k \\ &\geq [(n-k)^2 - 2(n-k)] + i(n-k) - [(n-k)^2 - 2(n-k)] + k \geq n. \end{split}$$

Therefore, by Equation (12)

$$D(x_i, y_j) + |c(x_i) - c(y_j)| \ge n + (i - m) + D(x_i, x_m).$$
(13)

We then have three possible situations. If i > m, then i - m > 0 and so by condition (13), (11) is satisfied. If m > i and  $m - i \ge k/2$ , then  $D(x_i, x_m) = m - i$  and so by (13)

$$D(x_i, y_i) + |c(x_i) - c(y_i)| \ge n + (i - m) + (m - i) = n.$$

Finally, if m > i and  $m - i \le k/2$ , then  $D(x_i, x_m) = k - (m - i)$  and so from (13)

$$D(x_i, y_j) + |c(x_i) - c(y_j)| \ge n + (i - m) + [k - (m - i)]$$
  
=  $n + k - 2(m - i) \ge n + k - k = n.$ 

For each situation, condition (11) is satisfied. Therefore c is a Hamiltonian labeling of G.

We now present two corollaries of Theorems 3.5 and 3.6.

**Corollary 3.7.** If G is a graph of order n that is the corona of a Hamiltonian graph, then

$$\operatorname{hn}(G) = \binom{n}{2}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that *H* is a Hamiltonian graph of order  $k \ge 3$  and that G = cor(H). Then the order of *G* is n = 2k. We show that

$$\operatorname{hn}(G) = \binom{n}{2} = k(2k-1).$$

If  $H \neq C_k$  and  $H \neq K_k$ , then *G* can be obtained from  $cor(K_k)$  by deleting nonbridge edges and  $cor(C_k)$  can be obtained from *G* by deleting edges that are not bridges. It then follows by Lemma 3.3 that

$$\operatorname{hn}(\operatorname{cor}(K_k)) \le \operatorname{hn}(G) \le \operatorname{hn}(\operatorname{cor}(C_k)).$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that

$$k(2k-1) \le \ln(\operatorname{cor}(K_k))$$
 and  $\ln(\operatorname{cor}(C_k)) \le k(2k-1)$ .

From Theorems 3.5 and 3.6, we find that

$$hn(cor(K_k)) \ge (2k-1)(2k-k) + (2k-2k) = k(2k-1)$$

and

$$\ln(\operatorname{cor}(C_k)) \le 1 + 2k + (2k - k - 1)^2 + (k - 2)(2k - k + 1)$$
$$= 1 + 2k + k^2 - 2k + 1 + k^2 - k - 2 = k(2k - 1).$$

Therefore, hn(G) = k(2k - 1).

**Corollary 3.8.** For each graph  $G \in \mathcal{H}_k$ ,

$$2k-1 \le \ln\left(G\right) \le k(2k-1).$$

Proof. Let

$$f(x) = (x - 1)(x - k) + (2k - x),$$

for  $k + 1 \le x \le 2k$  and let

$$g(x) = 1 + x + (x - k - 1)^{2} + (k - 2)(x - k + 1),$$

for  $k + 2 \le x \le 2k$ . Let  $G \in \mathcal{H}_k$  be a graph of order *n* where  $k + 1 \le n \le 2k$ . Then by Corollary 3.4 and Theorems 3.5 and 3.6,

$$f(n) \le \ln(G) \le g(n).$$

 $\square$ 

Since each f(x) and g(x) is an increasing function in its domain, it follows that  $f(x) \ge f(k+1) = 2k - 1$  and  $g(x) \le g(2k) = k(2k - 1)$ , implying the desired result.

Both lower and upper bound in Corollary 3.8 are sharp. For example, if  $G' \in \mathcal{H}_k$  is a graph of order k + 1 whose core is  $K_k$ , then hn(G') = 2n - 3 = 2k - 1 by Theorem 3.2; while if  $G'' \in \mathcal{H}_k$  is a graph of order 2k whose core is  $K_k$ , then

$$\operatorname{hn}\left(G''\right) = \binom{n}{2} = k(2k-1)$$

by Corollary 3.7.

#### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the referee whose valuable suggestions resulted in an improved paper.

#### References

- [Chartrand and Zhang 2008] G. Chartrand and P. Zhang, *Chromatic graph theory*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2008.
- [Chartrand et al. 2001] G. Chartrand, D. Erwin, P. Zhang, and F. Harary, "Radio labelings of graphs", *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.* **33** (2001), 77–85. MR 2003d:05185 Zbl 0989.05102
- [Chartrand et al. 2002a] G. Chartrand, D. Erwin, and P. Zhang, "Radio antipodal colorings of graphs", *Math. Bohem.* **127**:1 (2002), 57–69. MR 2003b:05065 Zbl 0995.05056
- [Chartrand et al. 2002b] G. Chartrand, L. Nebeský, and P. Zhang, "Bounds for the Hamiltonian chromatic number of a graph", pp. 113–125 in *Proceedings of the Thirty-third Southeastern International Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing (Boca Raton, FL, 2002)*, vol. 157, 2002. MR 2004e:05062 Zbl 1029.05059
- [Chartrand et al. 2005a] G. Chartrand, L. Nebeský, and P. Zhang, "Hamiltonian colorings of graphs", *Discrete Appl. Math.* **146**:3 (2005), 257–272. MR 2005i:05061 Zbl 1056.05054
- [Chartrand et al. 2005b] G. Chartrand, L. Nebesky, and P. Zhang, "On Hamiltonian colorings of graphs", *Discrete Math.* **290**:2-3 (2005), 133–143. MR 2006a:05058 Zbl 1059.05046
- [Nebeský 2003] L. Nebeský, "Hamiltonian colorings of graphs with long cycles", *Math. Bohem.* **128**:3 (2003), 263–275. MR 2004h:05051 Zbl 1050.05055
- [Nebeský 2006] L. Nebeský, "The Hamiltonian chromatic number of a connected graph without large Hamiltonian-connected subgraphs", *Czech. Math. J.* **56**:2 (2006), 317–338. MR 2007k:05125

Received: 2008-08-21 R	evised:	Accepted: 2008-11-15
Wille.a.renzema@wmich.edu	Depar Kalam	tment of Mathematics, Western Michigan University, nazoo, MI 49008, United States
Ping.zhang@wmich.edu	Depar Kalam	tment of Mathematics, Western Michigan University, nazoo, MI 49008, United States