

involve

a journal of mathematics

$\zeta(n)$ via hyperbolic functions

Joseph D'Avanzo and Nikolai A. Krylov

 mathematical sciences publishers

$\zeta(n)$ via hyperbolic functions

Joseph D'Avanzo and Nikolai A. Krylov

(Communicated by Ken Ono)

We present an approach to compute $\zeta(2)$ by changing variables in the double integral using hyperbolic trigonometric functions. We also apply this approach to present $\zeta(n)$, when $n > 2$, as a definite improper integral of a single variable.

1. Introduction

The Riemann zeta function is defined as the series

$$\zeta(n) = \frac{1}{1^n} + \frac{1}{2^n} + \frac{1}{3^n} + \cdots + \frac{1}{k^n} + \cdots$$

for any integer $n \geq 2$. Three centuries ago Euler found that $\zeta(2) = \pi^2/6$, which is an irrational number. The exact value of $\zeta(3)$ is still unknown, though it was proved by Apéry in 1979 that $\zeta(3)$ is also irrational [van der Poorten 1979]. The values of $\zeta(n)$, when n is even, are known and can be written in terms of Bernoulli numbers. We refer the interested reader to Chapter 19 of [Aigner and Ziegler 2001] for a “perfect” proof of the formula

$$\zeta(2k) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2k}} = \frac{(-1)^{k-1} 2^{2k-1} B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \cdot \pi^{2k} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Notice that $\zeta(n)$ can be written as the following multivariable integral:

$$\zeta(n) = \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1 - x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n} dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n.$$

Indeed, each integral is improper at both ends and since the geometric series

$$\sum_{q \geq 0} x^q$$

MSC2000: primary 26B15; secondary 11M06.

Keywords: multiple integrals, Riemann's zeta function.

converges uniformly on the interval $|x| \leq R$ for all $R \in (0, 1)$, we can write

$$\frac{1}{1 - x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n} = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)^q,$$

then interchange summation with integration, and then integrate $(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)^q$ for each q . Using the identities

$$\frac{1}{1 - xy} + \frac{1}{1 + xy} = \frac{2}{1 - x^2 y^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{1 - xy} - \frac{1}{1 + xy} = \frac{2xy}{1 - x^2 y^2}$$

and a simple change of variables, one can easily see that

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1 - xy} dx dy = \frac{4}{3} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1 - x^2 y^2} dx dy.$$

By further generalizing this idea, one reaches

$$\zeta(n) = \frac{2^n}{2^n - 1} \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1 - \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^2} dx_1 \cdots dx_n.$$

Notice that $(1, 1)$ is the only point in the square $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ that makes the integrand $1/(1 - x^2 y^2)$ singular. If we take another point on the graph of $1 = x^2 y^2$, say, $(a, 1/a)$ with $a \in (0, \infty)$, it follows easily (see Lemma 1.1 below) that

$$\int_0^{1/a} \int_0^a \frac{1}{1 - x^2 y^2} dx dy = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1 - x^2 y^2} dx dy.$$

This result motivates the following definition:

Definition 1. For any point $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ such that $a_i \in (0, +\infty)$, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ we define

$$I_n(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = \int_0^{1/(a_1 \cdots a_{n-1})} \cdots \int_0^{a_2} \int_0^{a_1} \frac{1}{1 - \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^2} dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n.$$

Lemma 1.1. For any $a_i \in (0, +\infty)$, we have $I_n(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = I_n(1, 1, \dots, 1)$.

Proof. Simply observe that by using the change of variables

$$x_i = a_i u_i \quad \text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \text{ where } a_n = 1/(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1}),$$

the Jacobian equals 1, and the integrand is unchanged. □

In this article we investigate $\zeta(n)$ following Beukers, Calabi and Kolk [1993], who used the change of variables

$$x = \frac{\sin u}{\cos v} \quad \text{and} \quad y = \frac{\sin v}{\cos u} \quad \text{to evaluate} \quad \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1 - x^2 y^2} dx dy.$$

A similar proof of the identity $\zeta(2) = \pi^2/6$ may also be found in [Aigner and Ziegler 2001, Chapter 6] and [Elkies 2003; Kalman 1993]. This last reference in addition to a few other proofs of the identity, contains a history of the problem and an extensive reference list.

Here we will be changing variables too, but in the integrals $I_n(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ and using the hyperbolic trigonometric functions \sinh and \cosh instead of \sin and \cos . Such a change of variables was considered independently by [Silagadze 2010].

2. Hyperbolic change of variables

The change of variables

$$x_i = \frac{\sin u_i}{\cos u_{i+1}}, \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N} \bmod n$$

reduces the integrand in $I_n(1, \dots, 1)$ to 1 only when n is even. The region of integration $\Phi_n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : 0 < x_1, \dots, x_n < 1\}$ becomes the one-to-one image of the n -dimensional polytope

$$\Pi_n := \left\{ (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : u_i > 0, u_i + u_{i+1} < \frac{\pi}{2}, 1 \leq i \leq n \right\}$$

(note that $u_{n+1} = u_1$).

We suggest here a different change of variables that will produce an integrand of 1 for all values of n in $I_n(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$. But first we define the corresponding region.

Definition 2. For any point $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ such that $a_i \in (0, +\infty)$, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, we define

$$\Phi_n(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}) := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid 0 < x_i < a_i \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\},$$

where $a_n = 1/(a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_{n-1})$.

Lemma 2.1. *The change in variables*

$$x_i = \frac{\sinh u_i}{\cosh u_{i+1}} \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N} \bmod n$$

reduces the integrand of $I_n(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ to 1 for all values of $n \geq 2$. It also gives a one-to-one differentiable map between the region $\Phi_n(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1})$ and the set $\Gamma_n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ described by the n inequalities

$$0 < u_i < \operatorname{arcsinh}(a_i \cosh u_{i+1}), \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N} \bmod n.$$

The set Γ_2 is illustrated in Figure 1.

Proof. The inequalities for Γ_n follow trivially from the corresponding inequalities $0 < x_i < a_i$ and the facts that $\cosh x > 0$ and $\operatorname{arcsinh} x$ is increasing everywhere. Injectivity and smoothness of the map may be proven by writing down formulas, which express each u_i in terms of all x_j . For example, here are the corresponding formulas for the set Γ_3 :

$$u_i = \operatorname{arcsinh} \left(x_i \sqrt{\frac{1 + x_{i+1}^2 + x_{i-1}^2 x_{i+1}^2}{1 - x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3^2}} \right), \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \bmod 3.$$

The Jacobian is the determinant of the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\cosh u_1}{\cosh u_2} & \frac{-\sinh u_1 \sinh u_2}{\cosh^2 u_2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\cosh u_2}{\cosh u_3} & \frac{-\sinh u_2 \sinh u_3}{\cosh^2 u_3} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{-\sinh u_n \sinh u_1}{\cosh^2 u_1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{\cosh u_n}{\cosh u_1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

To compute this determinant we observe that the first column expansion reduces the computation to two determinants of the upper and lower triangular matrices. This results in the formula below, where the first term comes from the upper triangular matrix and the second from the lower triangular matrix (recall that $u_{n+1} = u_1$):

$$\det A = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\cosh u_i}{\cosh u_{i+1}} + (-1)^{n-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{-\sinh u_i \sinh u_{i+1}}{\cosh^2 u_{i+1}} = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \tanh^2 u_i.$$

When using the above change in variables, the denominator of the integrand $1 - \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^2$ becomes $1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \tanh^2 u_i$, which we just proved to be the Jacobian. □

3. Computation of $\zeta(2)$

We begin with $\zeta(2)$, which is a rational multiple of $I_2(1)$. Lemma 1.1 implies that it's enough to compute

$$I_2(a) = \int_0^{1/a} \int_0^a \frac{1}{1 - x^2 y^2} dx dy \quad \text{for arbitrary } a > 0.$$

We now perform the change in variables

$$x = \frac{\sinh u}{\cosh v}, \quad y = \frac{\sinh v}{\cosh u}.$$

As we proved above, our integrand reduces to 1 and all we must do is worry about the limits. If $x = 0$, then clearly $u = 0$; the same is true for y and v . If $x = a$ then $a \cosh v = \sinh u$, so $v = \operatorname{arccosh}(\sinh(u)/a)$, and if $y = 1/a$, then

$$\frac{1}{a} \cosh u = \sinh v,$$

so $v = \operatorname{arcsinh}(\cosh(u)/a)$ — thus describing our region of integration, depicted in Figure 1. We then write the integral $I_2(a)$ as

$$\int_0^{\operatorname{arcsinh} a} \operatorname{arcsinh} \frac{\cosh u}{a} du + \int_{\operatorname{arcsinh} a}^{\infty} \left(\operatorname{arcsinh} \frac{\cosh u}{a} - \operatorname{arccosh} \frac{\sinh u}{a} \right) du.$$

Lemma 3.1. $\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{\operatorname{arcsinh} a} \operatorname{arcsinh} \frac{\cosh u}{a} du = 0.$

Proof. We have $\cosh \operatorname{arcsinh} z = \sqrt{1 + z^2}$. Therefore

$$\operatorname{arcsinh} \frac{\cosh \operatorname{arcsinh} a}{a} = \operatorname{arcsinh} \sqrt{\frac{1}{a^2} + 1}.$$

Since $\operatorname{arcsinh}(\cosh(u)/a)$ is convex, we can take the area of the rectangle with vertices at $(0, 0)$, $(\operatorname{arcsinh} a, 0)$, and $(\operatorname{arcsinh} a, \operatorname{arcsinh}(\cosh(\operatorname{arcsinh}(a))/a))$ as an overestimate of the integral — that is,

$$\operatorname{arcsinh} a \operatorname{arcsinh} \sqrt{\frac{1}{a^2} + 1} \geq \int_0^{\operatorname{arcsinh} a} \operatorname{arcsinh} \frac{\cosh u}{a} du \geq 0.$$




Figure 1. The set $\Gamma_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, for all $a > 0$.

Then by applying L'Hospital's rule one can deduce

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{arcsinh} a \cdot \operatorname{arcsinh} \sqrt{\frac{1}{a^2} + 1} = 0. \quad \square$$

Now, since $I_2(a) = I_2(1)$, for all $a > 0$, we conclude that $I_2(1) = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} I_2(a)$. Therefore we have

$$I_2(1) = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \int_{\operatorname{arcsinh} a}^{\infty} \left(\operatorname{arcsinh} \frac{\cosh u}{a} - \operatorname{arccosh} \frac{\sinh u}{a} \right) du,$$

which, after taking the limit as $a \rightarrow 0$, gives

$$I_2(1) = \int_0^{\infty} \ln \frac{\cosh x}{\sinh x} dx.$$

Using integration by parts with $u = \ln \frac{\cosh x}{\sinh x}$ and $v = dx$ one obtains

$$I_2(1) = x \ln \frac{\cosh x}{\sinh x} \Big|_0^{\infty} + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{2x}{\sinh 2x} dx.$$

By examining the limits of the first half of the formula as x goes to 0 and ∞ we are left with only the integral

$$I_2(1) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{2x}{\sinh 2x} dx.$$

By applying the change in variables $u = 2x$ our formula becomes

$$I_2(1) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{u}{\sinh u} du.$$

Now we use the method of differentiation under the integral sign and consider the function

$$F(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{arctanh}(\alpha \tanh x)}{\sinh x} dx.$$

One should consider the function F at the points $\alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = 0$. $F(1)$ is clearly the integral we are trying to find and $F(0)$ is 0. Thus, by differentiating under the integral with respect to α and using some algebra, we obtain

$$F'(\alpha) = f(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\cosh x}{1 + (1 - \alpha^2) \sinh^2 x} dx.$$

Then, by performing the change of variables $u = \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2} \cdot \sinh x$, the integral becomes

$$f(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + u^2} du,$$

which is simply

$$\frac{\operatorname{arctanh} u}{2\sqrt{1-\alpha^2}} \Big|_0^\infty = \frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{1-\alpha^2}}.$$

Since we took the derivative with respect to α we must take the integral with respect to alpha, so we have

$$\int_0^1 f(\alpha) d\alpha = F(1) - F(0) = F(1) - 0 = F(1),$$

which, as stated above is our goal. So

$$I_2(1) = \int_0^1 f(\alpha) d\alpha = \frac{\pi}{4} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\alpha^2}} d\alpha = \frac{\pi}{4} \operatorname{arcsin} \alpha \Big|_0^1 = \frac{\pi^2}{8},$$

and, hence,

$$\zeta(2) = \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{\pi^2}{8} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$

4. A formula for $\zeta(n)$, $n \geq 2$

One can try to use a similar approach to compute $\zeta(n)$, for $n > 2$, however the computations become too long. Instead, we present an elementary proof of the following theorem, which generalizes our formula for $\zeta(2)$ from Section 3.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $n \geq 2$ be a natural number. Then*

$$\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1 - \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^2} dx_1 \cdots dx_n = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \cdot \int_0^\infty \ln^{n-1}(\coth x) dx.$$

We start with the following lemma, which can be easily proved by using induction on k , integration by parts and L'Hospital's rule.

Lemma 4.2. $\int_0^1 \ln^k(z) z^{2q} dz = \frac{(-1)^k k!}{(2q+1)^{k+1}}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q \geq 0$.

Proof of the theorem. Applying the substitution $z = \tanh x$ to the integral

$$\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^\infty \ln^{n-1}(\coth x) dx,$$

gives

$$\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^1 \frac{(-\ln z)^{n-1}}{1-z^2} dz = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^1 (-\ln z)^{n-1} \left(\sum_{q \geq 0} z^{2q} \right) dz.$$

Since the integral is improper at both ends and the geometric series $\sum_{q \geq 0} z^{2q}$ converges uniformly on the interval $|z| \leq R$, for all $R \in (0, 1)$, the last integral equals

$$\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \sum_{q \geq 0} (-1)^{n-1} \int_0^1 \ln^{n-1}(z) z^{2q} dz,$$

which, by Lemma 4.2, is equal to

$$\sum_{q \geq 0} \frac{1}{(2q+1)^n}.$$

Using the geometric series expansion one can easily show that we also have

$$\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1 - \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^2} dx_1 \cdots dx_n = \sum_{q \geq 0} \frac{1}{(2q+1)^n}. \quad \square$$

Corollary 4.3. *For any integer $n \geq 2$,*

$$\zeta(n) = \frac{2^n}{(2^n - 1)(n - 1)!} \int_0^\infty \ln^{n-1}(\coth x) dx.$$

Acknowledgement

The authors thank the referee, who drew our attention to [Kalman 1993] and made a few useful suggestions that improved the exposition.

References

- [Aigner and Ziegler 2001] M. Aigner and G. M. Ziegler, *Proofs from The Book*, 2nd ed., Springer, Berlin, 2001. MR 2001j:00001 Zbl 0978.00002
- [Beukers et al. 1993] F. Beukers, J. A. C. Kolk, and E. Calabi, “Sums of generalized harmonic series and volumes”, *Nieuw Arch. Wisk.* (4) **11**:3 (1993), 217–224. MR 94j:11022 Zbl 0797.40001
- [Elkies 2003] N. D. Elkies, “On the sums $\sum_{k=-\infty}^\infty (4k+1)^{-n}$ ”, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **110**:7 (2003), 561–573. MR 2004f:11152 Zbl 1083.11055
- [Kalman 1993] D. Kalman, “Six Ways to Sum a Series”, *The College Mathematics Journal* **24**:5 (1993), 402–421.
- [van der Poorten 1979] A. van der Poorten, “A proof that Euler missed. . . Apéry’s proof of the irrationality of $\zeta(3)$ ”, *Math. Intelligencer* **1**:4 (1979), 195–203. MR 80i:10054 Zbl 0409.10028
- [Silagadze 2010] Z. K. Silagadze, “Sums of generalized harmonic series for kids from five to fifteen”, preprint, 2010. arXiv 1003.3602

Received: 2009-11-13 Accepted: 2010-06-29

jt17dava@siena.edu

*Siena College, Department of Mathematics,
515 Loudon Road, Loudonville, NY 12211, United States*

nkrylov@siena.edu

*Siena College, Department of Mathematics,
515 Loudon Road, Loudonville, NY 12211, United States*

involve

pjm.math.berkeley.edu/involve

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut, Wake Forest University, USA, berenhs@wfu.edu

BOARD OF EDITORS

John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA baxley@wfu.edu	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA ckli@math.wm.edu
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA benjamin@hmc.edu	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA lund@clemson.edu
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA bohner@mst.edu	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA boston@math.wisc.edu	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA meyer@stat.colostate.edu
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA budhiraj@email.unc.edu	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria eminchev@hotmail.com
Pietro Cerone	Victoria University, Australia pietro.cerone@vu.edu.au	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA frank.morgan@williams.edu
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA scott.chapman@shsu.edu	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA corcoran@colorado.edu	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA znashed@mail.ucf.edu
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA mdorff@math.byu.edu	Ken Ono	University of Wisconsin, USA ono@math.wisc.edu
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia sever@matilda.vu.edu.au	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA orourke@cs.smith.edu
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA peres@microsoft.com
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA fulp@wfu.edu	Y.-F. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland petermann@math.unige.ch
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada andrew@dms.umontreal.ca	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA plemmons@wfu.edu
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA griggs@math.sc.edu	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA rg@mathcs.emory.edu	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA poonen@math.berkeley.edu
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA sgupta@uncg.edu	James Propp	U Mass Lowell, USA jpropp@cs.uml.edu
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA jhaglund@math.upenn.edu	József H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA przytyck@gwu.edu
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA johnny_henderson@baylor.edu	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA rrebarbe@math.unl.edu
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA nahritonenko@pvamu.edu	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA rwr@cs.uga.edu
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA crjohnso@math.wm.edu	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA f.saidak@uncg.edu
Karen Kafadar	University of Colorado, USA karen.kafadar@cudenver.edu	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor andy@ajsterge.com
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA kk@ces.clemson.edu	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA atrenk@wellesley.edu
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA gladas@math.uri.edu	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA vakil@math.stanford.edu
David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA larson@math.tamu.edu	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA verma99@msn.com
Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA lenhart@math.utk.edu	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA wierman@jhu.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Sheila Newbery, Senior Production Editor

Cover design: ©2008 Alex Scorpan

See inside back cover or <http://pjm.math.berkeley.edu/involve> for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2010 is US \$100/year for the electronic version, and \$120/year (+\$20 shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94704-3840, USA.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW™ from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY
 **mathematical sciences publishers**
<http://www.mathscipub.org>

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L^AT_EX

Copyright ©2010 by Mathematical Sciences Publishers

involve

2010

vol. 3

no. 3

Gracefulness of families of spiders	241
PATRICK BAHLS, SARA LAKE AND ANDREW WERTHEIM	
Rational residuacity of primes	249
MARK BUDDEN, ALEX COLLINS, KRISTIN ELLIS LEA AND STEPHEN SAVIOLI	
Coexistence of stable ECM solutions in the Lang–Kobayashi system	259
ERICKA MOCHAN, C. DAVIS BUENGER AND TAMAS WIANDT	
A complex finite calculus	273
JOSEPH SEABORN AND PHILIP MUMMERT	
$\zeta(n)$ via hyperbolic functions	289
JOSEPH D'AVANZO AND NIKOLAI A. KRYLOV	
Infinite family of elliptic curves of rank at least 4	297
BARTOSZ NASKRĘCKI	
Curvature measures for nonlinear regression models using continuous designs with applications to optimal experimental design	317
TIMOTHY O'BRIEN, SOMSRI JAMROENPINYO AND CHINNAPHONG BUMRUNGSUP	
Numerical semigroups from open intervals	333
VADIM PONOMARENKO AND RYAN ROSENBAUM	
Distinct solution to a linear congruence	341
DONALD ADAMS AND VADIM PONOMARENKO	
A note on nonresidually solvable hyperlinear one-relator groups	345
JON P. BANNON AND NICOLAS NOBLETT	



1944-4176(2010)3:3;1-E