

The Gauss–Bonnet formula on surfaces with densities

Ivan Corwin and Frank Morgan





The Gauss–Bonnet formula on surfaces with densities

Ivan Corwin and Frank Morgan

(Communicated by Michael Dorff)

The celebrated Gauss–Bonnet formula has a nice generalization to surfaces with densities, in which both arclength and area are weighted by positive functions. Surfaces with densities, especially when arclength and area are weighted by the same factor, appear throughout mathematics, including probability theory and Perelman's recent proof of the Poincaré conjecture.

A classic, if somewhat anthropomorphic, question in mathematics is whether an ant moving on a curve embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 or in a surface can measure the curvature κ of the curve or say anything about how the curve is embedded in space. The answer, no, stems from the fact that the ant can only measure distance along the curve and has no way to determine changes in direction. Curvature is extrinsic to a curve and must be measured from outside the curve.

Following this one might then ask whether a person moving in a surface embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 has any chance of saying something about the surface's curvature in \mathbb{R}^3 . Whereas the ant could only measure distance along the curve, a person on a surface has the ability to measure both length and area on the surface. Does this change things?

The answer is yes. Gauss's Theorem Egregium declares that a certain measure of surface curvature now known as the Gauss curvature G turns out to be an intrinsic quantity, measurable from within the surface. This is not at all apparent from its definition. G is defined as the product of the principal curvatures κ_1 , κ_2 , the largest and smallest (or most positive and most negative) curvatures of one-dimensional slices by planes orthogonal to the surface. For a plane, G = 0. For a sphere of radius a, we have $G = 1/a^2$. For the hyperbolic paraboloid $\{z = \frac{1}{2}(x^2 - y^2)\}$, at the origin G equals -1: negative because the surface is curving up in one direction and

MSC2010: 53B20.

Keywords: Gauss-Bonnet, density.

The authors acknowledge partial support by the National Science Foundation (research grant and graduate research fellowship).

down in the other direction; as you move farther out in the surface, G approaches 0 as the surface flattens out.

The fact that the Gauss curvature is actually intrinsic is a consequence of the celebrated Gauss–Bonnet formula (for a general reference see [do Carmo 1976; Morgan 1998]). Gauss–Bonnet relates the integral of the Gauss curvature over a smooth topological disc D in a surface to the integral over the boundary ∂D of the curvature κ of the boundary:

$$\int_{\partial D} \kappa + \int_{D} G = 2\pi.$$

For example, for a smooth closed curve C in the plane, where G = 0,

$$\int_C \kappa = 2\pi,$$

that is, the total curvature of an embedded planar curve is 2π . For a smooth closed curve C enclosing area A on the unit sphere, where G = 1,

$$\int_C \kappa + A = 2\pi.$$

For example, the equator, with curvature $\kappa = 0$, encloses area 2π . Note that we are using the intrinsic or "geodesic" curvature κ , not the curvature of the curve in \mathbb{R}^3 if the surface is embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Gauss-Bonnet has extensive applications throughout geometry and topology. It can be used to classify two-dimensional surfaces by genus and to solve isoperimetric problems [Howards et al. 1999; Morgan 1998, Section 9.12]. The Gauss-Bonnet formula provides an intrinsic definition of the Gauss curvature G of a surface at a point p by considering ϵ -balls B_{ϵ} of area A about p and taking a limit as ϵ approaches 0:

$$G(p) = \frac{1}{A} \int_{B_c} G = \lim \frac{1}{A} \left(2\pi - \int_{\partial B_c} \kappa \right).$$

This article considers what happens to the Gauss–Bonnet formula under some simple intrinsic alterations of the surface. The most common alteration, called a conformal change of metric, scales distance by a variable factor λ , so that $ds = \lambda ds_0$ and $dA = \lambda^2 dA_0$; that is, arc length is weighted by λ and area is weighted by λ^2 . More generally, one can weight arc length and area by unrelated densities:

$$ds = \delta_1 ds_0, \quad dA = \delta_2 dA_0.$$

If the two densities are equal, $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \Psi$, the result is simply called a surface with density Ψ . Surfaces with density appear throughout mathematics, including probability theory and Perelman's recent proof of the Poincaré conjecture [Morgan

2009, Chapter 18]. Important examples include quotients of Riemannian manifolds by symmetries and Gauss space, defined as \mathbb{R}^n with Gaussian density $c \exp(-r^2)$.

Perelman's paper and many other applications require generalizations of curvature to general dimensional surfaces with densities. In higher dimensions, the important intrinsic curvature is the so-called Ricci curvature, for which many generalizations have been proposed, each for its own purpose, one particular choice employed by Perelman (see [Morgan 2009, Section 18.3] and references therein). Corwin et al. [2006, Section 5] proposed a generalization of Gauss curvature and the Gauss–Bonnet formula to surfaces with density Ψ . In principle, their definition generalizes to surfaces with length density δ_1 and area density δ_2 by a conformal change of metric. The following proposition gives a simple, direct presentation of that generalization. The generalized Gauss curvature G' is given by

$$G' = G - \Delta \log \delta_1.$$

An intriguing feature is that G' depends only on the length density δ_1 , not on the area density δ_2 . For a conformal change of metric ($\delta_1 = \lambda, \delta_2 = \lambda^2$), (1) below agrees with the standard Gauss–Bonnet formula (and gives an easy proof): the first integrand becomes $\kappa \lambda ds_0 = \kappa ds$ and the second integrand becomes the new Gauss curvature $G'\lambda^2 dA_0 = G'dA$ because $G' = (G - \Delta \log \lambda)/\lambda^2$ [Dubrovin et al. 1992, Theorem 13.1.3].

For a disc with density (the case $\delta_2 = \delta_1$), (1) agrees with the formula in [Corwin et al. 2006, Proposition 5.2]. For a disc with area density (the case $\delta_1 = 1$), (1) agrees with the formula in [Carroll et al. 2008, Proposition 3.3].

There are other possible generalizations of Gauss curvature to surfaces with density, for example, coming from the power series expansions for the area and perimeter of geodesic balls [Corwin et al. 2006, Propositions 5.8 and 5.9].

Proposition. Consider a smooth Riemannian disc D with Gauss curvature G, length density δ_1 , area density δ_2 , classical boundary curvature κ_0 (inward normal), and hence generalized boundary curvature

$$\kappa = (\delta_1/\delta_2)\kappa_0 - (1/\delta_2)\partial \delta_1/\partial n.$$

Then

$$\int_{\delta_D} (\delta_2/\delta_1) \kappa \, ds_0 + \int_D (G - \Delta \log \delta_1) \, dA_0 = 2\pi. \tag{1}$$

Proof. We begin by explaining the formula for κ . The geometric interpretation of curvature is minus the rate of change of length per change in enclosed area as you deform the curve normal to itself [Corwin et al. 2006, Proposition 3.2]. First of all, the densities weight this effect by δ_1/δ_2 . There is a second effect due to the rate of change $\partial \delta_1/\partial n$ of the length density in the normal direction, divided again by the area density δ_2 .

To prove (1), first consider the conformal metric $ds = \delta_1 ds_0$, with area density δ_1^2 and curvature

$$\kappa' = (1/\delta_1)\kappa_0 - (1/\delta_1^2)\partial \delta_1/\partial n.$$

Multiplying the area density by $\mu = \delta_2/\delta_1^2$ multiplies the curvature by $1/\mu = \delta_1^2/\delta_2$:

$$\kappa = (\delta_1/\delta_2)\kappa_0 - (1/\delta_2)\partial \delta_1/\partial n$$
.

Hence by substitution, by the classical Gauss–Bonnet Theorem and the divergence theorem, and by trivial algebra,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\partial D} (\delta_2/\delta_1) \kappa ds_0 &= \int_{\partial D} \kappa_0 ds_0 - \int_{\partial D} \partial \log \delta_1/\partial n ds_0 \\ &= 2\pi - \int_D G dA_0 + \int_D \Delta \log \delta_1 dA_0 \\ &= 2\pi - \int_D (G - \Delta \log \delta_1) dA_0, \end{split}$$

as desired.

References

[do Carmo 1976] M. P. do Carmo, *Differential geometry of curves and surfaces*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1976. MR 52 #15253 Zbl 0326.53001

[Carroll et al. 2008] C. Carroll, A. Jacob, C. Quinn, and R. Walters, "The isoperimetric problem on planes with density", *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **78**:2 (2008), 177–197. MR 2009i:53051 Zbl 1161.53049

[Corwin et al. 2006] I. Corwin, N. Hoffman, S. Hurder, V. Sesum, and Y. Xu, "Differential geometry of manifolds with density", *Rose-Hulman Und. Math. J.* 7:1 (2006), article 2.

[Dubrovin et al. 1992] B. A. Dubrovin, A. T. Fomenko, and S. P. Novikov, *Modern geometry: methods and applications, I*, 2nd ed., Grad. Texts in Math. **93**, Springer, New York, 1992. MR 92h:53001 Zbl 0751.53001

[Howards et al. 1999] H. Howards, M. Hutchings, and F. Morgan, "The isoperimetric problem on surfaces", *Amer. Math. Monthly* **106**:5 (1999), 430–439. MR 2000i:52027 Zbl 1003.52011

[Morgan 1998] F. Morgan, Riemannian geometry: a beginner's guide, 2nd ed., A. K. Peters, Wellesley, MA, 1998. MR 98i:53001 Zbl 0911.53001

[Morgan 2009] F. Morgan, Geometric measure theory: a beginner's guide, 4th ed., Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2009. MR 2009i:49001 Zbl 1179.49050

Received: 2011-06-30 Revised: 2011-07-11 Accepted: 2011-07-11

ivan.corwin@gmail.com

Courant Institute of Mathematics, New York University,
251 Mercer Street, New York, NY 10012, United States

Frank.Morgan@williams.edu Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Williams College, 18 Hoxsey Street, Williamstown, MA 01267, United States



EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut, Wake Forest University, USA, berenhks@wfu.edu

BOARD OF EDITORS

| John V. Baxley | Wake Forest University, NC, USA baxley@wfu.edu | Chi-Kwong Li | College of William and Mary, USA ckli@math.wm.edu |
|----------------------|---|------------------------|--|
| Arthur T. Benjamin | Harvey Mudd College, USA benjamin@hmc.edu | Robert B. Lund | Clemson University, USA lund@clemson.edu |
| Martin Bohner | Missouri U of Science and Technology, US. bohner@mst.edu | A Gaven J. Martin | Massey University, New Zealand g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz |
| Nigel Boston | University of Wisconsin, USA boston@math.wisc.edu | Mary Meyer | Colorado State University, USA meyer@stat.colostate.edu |
| Amarjit S. Budhiraja | U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA budhiraj@email.unc.edu | Emil Minchev | Ruse, Bulgaria eminchev@hotmail.com |
| Pietro Cerone | Victoria University, Australia pietro.cerone@vu.edu.au | Frank Morgan | Williams College, USA frank.morgan@williams.edu |
| Scott Chapman | Sam Houston State University, USA scott.chapman@shsu.edu | Mohammad Sal Moslehian | Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir |
| Jem N. Corcoran | University of Colorado, USA corcoran@colorado.edu | Zuhair Nashed | University of Central Florida, USA znashed@mail.ucf.edu |
| Michael Dorff | Brigham Young University, USA mdorff@math.byu.edu | Ken Ono | University of Wisconsin, USA ono@math.wisc.edu |
| Sever S. Dragomir | Victoria University, Australia sever@matilda.vu.edu.au | Joseph O'Rourke | Smith College, USA orourke@cs.smith.edu |
| Behrouz Emamizadeh | The Petroleum Institute, UAE bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae | Yuval Peres | Microsoft Research, USA peres@microsoft.com |
| Errin W. Fulp | Wake Forest University, USA fulp@wfu.edu | YF. S. Pétermann | Université de Genève, Switzerland petermann@math.unige.ch |
| Andrew Granville | Université Montréal, Canada andrew@dms.umontreal.ca | Robert J. Plemmons | Wake Forest University, USA plemmons@wfu.edu |
| Jerrold Griggs | University of South Carolina, USA griggs@math.sc.edu | Carl B. Pomerance | Dartmouth College, USA carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu |
| Ron Gould | Emory University, USA rg@mathcs.emory.edu | Bjorn Poonen | UC Berkeley, USA poonen@math.berkeley.edu |
| Sat Gupta | U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA sngupta@uncg.edu | James Propp | U Mass Lowell, USA jpropp@cs.uml.edu |
| Jim Haglund | University of Pennsylvania, USA jhaglund@math.upenn.edu | Józeph H. Przytycki | George Washington University, USA przytyck@gwu.edu |
| Johnny Henderson | Baylor University, USA johnny_henderson@baylor.edu | Richard Rebarber | University of Nebraska, USA rrebarbe@math.unl.edu |
| Natalia Hritonenko | Prairie View A&M University, USA nahritonenko@pvamu.edu | Robert W. Robinson | University of Georgia, USA rwr@cs.uga.edu |
| Charles R. Johnson | College of William and Mary, USA crjohnso@math.wm.edu | Filip Saidak | U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA f_saidak@uncg.edu |
| Karen Kafadar | University of Colorado, USA karen.kafadar@cudenver.edu | Andrew J. Sterge | Honorary Editor andy@ajsterge.com |
| K. B. Kulasekera | Clemson University, USA kk@ces.clemson.edu | Ann Trenk | Wellesley College, USA atrenk@wellesley.edu |
| Gerry Ladas | University of Rhode Island, USA gladas@math.uri.edu | Ravi Vakil | Stanford University, USA vakil@math.stanford.edu |
| David Larson | Texas A&M University, USA larson@math.tamu.edu | Ram U. Verma | University of Toledo, USA verma99@msn.com |
| Suzanne Lenhart | University of Tennessee, USA lenhart@math.utk.edu | John C. Wierman | Johns Hopkins University, USA wierman@jhu.edu |

PRODUCTION Sheila Newbery, Senior Production Editor

Cover design: ©2008 Alex Scorpan

See inside back cover or http://pjm.math.berkeley.edu/involve for submission instructions.

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

The subscription price for 2011 is US \$100/year for the electronic version, and \$130/year (+\$35 shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94704-3840, USA.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOWTM from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.



A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in LATEX

Copyright ©2011 by Mathematical Sciences Publishers

| The visual boundary of \mathbb{Z}^2 | 103 |
|--|-----|
| KYLE KITZMILLER AND MATT RATHBUN | |
| An observation on generating functions with an application to a sum of secant powers | 117 |
| JEFFREY MUDROCK | |
| Clique-relaxed graph coloring Charles Lundon, Jennifer Firkins Nordstrom, Cassandra Naymie, Erin Pitney, William Sehorn and Charlie Suer | 127 |
| Cost-conscious voters in referendum elections KYLE GOLENBIEWSKI, JONATHAN K. HODGE AND LISA MOATS | 139 |
| On the size of the resonant set for the products of 2 × 2 matrices JEFFREY ALLEN, BENJAMIN SEEGER AND DEBORAH UNGER | 157 |
| Continuous <i>p</i> -Bessel mappings and continuous <i>p</i> -frames in Banach spaces | 167 |
| Mohammad Hasan Faroughi and Elnaz Osgooei | |
| The multidimensional Frobenius problem JEFFREY AMOS, IULIANA PASCU, VADIM PONOMARENKO, ENRIQUE TREVIÑO AND YAN ZHANG | 187 |
| The Gauss–Bonnet formula on surfaces with densities IVAN CORWIN AND FRANK MORGAN | 199 |