

involve

a journal of mathematics

Congruence properties of S -partition functions

Andrew Gruet, Linzhi Wang, Katherine Yu and Jiangang Zeng

 mathematical sciences publishers

Congruence properties of S -partition functions

Andrew Gruet, Linzhi Wang, Katherine Yu and Jiangang Zeng

(Communicated by Ken Ono)

We study the function $p(S; n)$ that counts the number of partitions of n with elements in S , where S is a set of integers. Generalizing previous work of Kronholm, we find that given a positive integer m , the coefficients of the generating function of $p(S; n)$ are periodic modulo m , and we use this periodicity to obtain families of S -partition congruences. In particular, we obtain families of congruences between partition functions $p(S_1; n)$ and $p(S_2; n)$.

1. Introduction and statement of results

The *partition function* $p(n)$ is the number of nonincreasing sequences of positive integers that sum to n . Ramanujan proved the following congruences for $p(n)$:

$$p(5n + 4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5},$$

$$p(7n + 5) \equiv 0 \pmod{7},$$

$$p(11n + 6) \equiv 0 \pmod{11}.$$

Let S be a finite set of positive integers. An S -*partition* of an integer n is any nonincreasing sequence of integers in S that sums to n . The S -partition function $p(S; n)$ counts the number of S -partitions of n . The generating function for $p(S; n)$ is

$$G(S; q) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(S; n)q^n = \frac{1}{\prod_{s \in S} (1 - q^s)} \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]. \quad (1-1)$$

Kronholm [2005; 2007] found elegant ‘‘Ramanujan-type’’ congruences for the partition function

$$p(n, m) = p(\{1, \dots, m\}; n - m).$$

In this paper we reinterpret his idea of periodicity and we generalize it in the context of sets of positive integers. We first show that the coefficients of the generating function above are periodic modulo m .

MSC2010: 11P83.

Keywords: Brandt Kronholm, Ramanujan-type congruences, S -partition functions.

Theorem 1.1. *For a finite set of positive integers S and a positive integer m , there exists a positive integer $\gamma_m(S)$ such that for every integer n and all nonnegative k , we have*

$$p(S; n) \equiv p(S; n + k\gamma_m(S)) \pmod{m}. \tag{1-2}$$

Example. This theorem immediately implies many Ramanujan-type congruences. For example, if $S = \{1, 2, 3, 5\}$, one easily verifies that $\gamma_7(S) = 210$. Therefore, the fact that $p(S; 20) = 91 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ gives the Ramanujan congruence

$$p(S; 210n + 20) \equiv 0 \pmod{7}.$$

Example. This theorem is analogous to Theorem 2 of [Kronholm 2007]. As Kronholm states, let d be a multiple of $\text{lcm}\{1, \dots, t\}$ and for the odd prime m , let m^α be a primary factor of d . Kronholm shows that if we let $\gamma_m(\{1, \dots, t\}) := d$, the congruences (1-2) hold. In particular, he proves that if

$$\sum_{\delta \geq 0} m^\delta \left(\left\lfloor \frac{t}{m^\delta} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{t}{m^{\delta+1}} \right\rfloor \right) \leq m^\alpha, \tag{1-3}$$

then for $n \geq d - \sum_{j=2}^{t-1} j$, we have

$$p(\{1, \dots, t\}; n - t) \equiv p(\{1, \dots, t\}; n - t - d) \pmod{m}. \tag{1-4}$$

Example. Theorem 1.1 extends Theorem 2 of [Kronholm 2007] in that m does not have to be an odd prime. Let $S := \{2, 3, 11\}$ and let $m := 12$. Given this choice of S , it is clear that $p(S; 1) = 0$. We find that $\gamma_{12}(S) = 792$. By our theorem, for all positive k , $p(S; 1 + 792k) \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$.

For convenience, for sets S we let

$$\Phi_S(q) := \prod_{s \in S} (1 - q^s). \tag{1-5}$$

Corollary 1.2. *Let S_1 and S_2 be finite sets of positive integers and let m be a positive integer. If $\Phi_{S_1}(q)$ divides $\Phi_{S_2}(q)$ in $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})[q]$, then for any nonnegative integer d , let*

$$X(q) := q^{d\gamma_m(S_1)} \frac{\Phi_{S_2}(q)}{\Phi_{S_1}(q)},$$

where

$$q^{d\gamma_m(S_1)} \frac{\Phi_{S_2}(q)}{\Phi_{S_1}(q)} := \sum_{i=0}^c a_i q^i \pmod{m}.$$

For $n \geq c$ and for any nonnegative k_1 and k_2 , we have

$$p(S_1; n + k_1\gamma_m(S_1)) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^c a_i p(S_2; n - i + k_2\gamma_m(S_2)) \pmod{m}. \tag{1-6}$$

Note that Corollary 1.2 applies for all m when $S_1 \subseteq S_2$.

Example. Let $S_1 := \{1, 17\}$ and $S_2 := \{17, 289\}$ and let $m := 17$. Let $X(q) := \Phi_{S_2}(q)/\Phi_{S_1}(q)$. Then clearly $X(q) = \sum_{i=0}^{288} q^i$. For all nonnegative k_1 and k_2 and for all $n \geq 288$,

$$p(S_1; n + 289k_1) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{288} p(S_2; n - i + 4913k_2) \pmod{17}.$$

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

For convenience, we let $S := \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_t\}$ and let $d_S = \sum_{i=1}^t s_i$. Let

$$\Phi_S(q) = \prod_{s \in S} (1 - q^s) := \sum_{n=0}^{d_S} b(S; n)q^n.$$

From the identity

$$1 = \Phi_S(q)G(S; q) = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{d_S} b(S; n)q^n \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(S; n)q^n \right),$$

we have

$$1 = \sum_{i \geq 0} b(S; 0)p(S; i)q^i + \sum_{i \geq 0} b(S; 1)p(S; i)q^{i+1} + \dots + \sum_{i \geq 0} b(S; d_S)p(S; i)q^{i+d_S}.$$

Looking at coefficients of q^N for $N \geq 1$, we observe that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{d_S} b(S; n)p(S; N - n) = 0. \tag{2-1}$$

This defines a linear recurrence relation. Noting that $b(S; 0) = 1$, we have

$$p(S; N) = - \sum_{n=1}^{d_S} b(S; n)p(S; N - n).$$

We consider consecutive d_S -tuples of consecutive partition values. Arranging these tuples in order, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(p(S; 0), p(S; 1), \dots, p(S; d_S - 1)), \\ &(p(S; d_S), p(S; d_S + 1), \dots, p(S; 2d_S - 1)), \end{aligned}$$

and so on. By reducing modulo m , we will find a first pair of d_S -tuples that agrees modulo m . Indeed, the maximal possible number of different tuples is m^{d_S} . Suppose that the first tuple of this pair starts at $p(S; n_0)$, and the second tuple starts

at $p(S; n_1)$. Since by the linear recurrence relation, each tuple determines the next tuple, we have inductively that for all nonnegative i ,

$$p(S; n_0 + i) \equiv p(S; n_1 + i) \pmod{m}.$$

We will first show that the residue classes of each tuple after the first determines the preceding tuple's residue classes. For any $a_0 = vd_S$, with $v \geq 1$, we consider the tuple

$$(p(S; a_0), \dots, p(S; a_0 + d_S - 1)).$$

By (2-1), and noting that $b(S; d_S) = (-1)^t$, we have

$$(-1)^{t+1} p(S; N - d_S) = \sum_{n=0}^{d_S-1} b(S; n) p(S; N - n).$$

It follows immediately that

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{t+1} p(S; a_0 - 1) &\equiv \sum_{i=0}^{d_S-1} b(S; i) p(S; a_0 + d_S - 1 - i) \pmod{m}, \\ (-1)^{t+1} p(S; a_0 - 2) &\equiv \left(\sum_{i=0}^{d_S-2} b(S; i) p(S; a_0 + d_S - 2 - i) \right) \\ &\quad + b(S; d_S - 1) p(S; a_0 - 1) \pmod{m}, \\ &\quad \vdots \\ (-1)^{t+1} p(S; a_0 - d_S) &\equiv b(S; 0) p(S; a_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{d_S-1} b(S; i) p(S; a_0 - i) \pmod{m}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the residue classes of $(p(S; a_0), \dots, p(S; a_0 + d_S - 1))$ reduced modulo m uniquely determine the residue classes of $(p(S; a_0 - d_S), \dots, p(S; a_0 - 1))$ reduced modulo m .

To complete the proof, we must show that $n_0 = 0$. By hypothesis,

$$(p(S; n_0), \dots, p(S; d_S - 1)) \equiv (p(S; n_1), \dots, p(S; n_1 + d_S - 1)) \pmod{m}.$$

Suppose $n_0 = vd_S$ where $v \geq 1$, (i.e., $n_0 \neq 0$). Then, by the argument above, we have

$$(p(S; n_0 - d_S), \dots, p(S; n_0 - 1)) \equiv (p(S; n_1 - d_S), \dots, p(S; n_1 - 1)) \pmod{m}.$$

This result contradicts our hypothesis that the first-repeated tuple started for the first time at $p(S; n_0)$. Therefore, we can conclude that $n_0 = 0$, and so we let $\gamma_m(S) := n_1$. In particular, for any nonnegative k , we have (1-2).

3. Proof of Corollary 1.2

By Theorem 1.1, for any nonnegative k_1 , we have

$$p(S_1; n + k_1\gamma_m(S_1)) \equiv p(S_1; n) \pmod{m}.$$

Clearly, for any nonnegative k_2 , we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^c a_i p(S_2; n - i + k_2\gamma_m(S_2)) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^c a_i p(S_2; n - i) \pmod{m}.$$

Thus, subtracting two congruences, we have (1-6):

$$\begin{aligned} p(S_1; n + k_1\gamma_m(S_1)) - \sum_{i=0}^c a_i p(S_2; n - i + k_2\gamma_m(S_2)) \\ \equiv p(S_1; n) - \sum_{i=0}^c a_i p(S_2; n - i) \pmod{m}. \end{aligned} \quad (3-1)$$

Since $\frac{\Phi_{S_2}(q)}{\Phi_{S_1}(q)} G(S_2; q) = G(S_1; q)$, we know

$$G(S_1; q) - X(q)G(S_2; q) \equiv G(S_1; q) - q^{d\gamma_m(S_1)}G(S_1; q) \pmod{m}. \quad (3-2)$$

By comparing coefficients in (3-2), we have, for $n \geq d\gamma_m(S_1)$,

$$p(S_1; n) - \sum_{i=0}^c a_i p(S_2; n - i) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}. \quad (3-3)$$

Thus by (3-1), if $n \geq c$, then for any nonnegative k_1 and k_2 , since $c \geq d\gamma_m(S_1)$, we have

$$p(S_1; n + k_1\gamma_m(S_1)) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^c a_i p(S_2; n - i + k_2\gamma_m(S_2)) \pmod{m}.$$

4. Acknowledgements

The authors thank Ken Ono, Marie Jameson and Zach Kent for running the research course at Emory University in which this paper was written.

References

- [Kronholm 2005] B. Kronholm, "On congruence properties of $p(n, m)$ ", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **133**:10 (2005), 2891–2895. MR 2006e:11161 Zbl 1065.05014
- [Kronholm 2007] B. Kronholm, "On congruence properties of consecutive values of $p(n, m)$ ", *Integers* **7**:1 (2007), #A16. MR 2008c:11138 Zbl 05139414

Received: 2011-04-28 Accepted: 2011-06-17

agruet@emory.edu

PO Box 2054, Acton, MA 01720, United States

lwang75@emory.edu

*No. 6 Building 14, Fuhehuayuan, Shuliang County,
Chihingdu, Sichuan, China*

yu.katherin@gmail.com

51 Clearfield Dr., San Francisco, CA 94132, United States

jzeng6@emory.edu

1503 North Decatur Rd, No. 4, Atlanta 30327, United States

involve

msp.berkeley.edu/involve

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut, Wake Forest University, USA, berenhks@wfu.edu

BOARD OF EDITORS

John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA baxley@wfu.edu	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA ckli@math.wm.edu
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA benjamin@hmc.edu	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA lund@clemson.edu
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA bohner@mst.edu	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA boston@math.wisc.edu	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA meyer@stat.colostate.edu
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA budhiraj@email.unc.edu	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria eminchev@hotmail.com
Pietro Cerone	Victoria University, Australia pietro.cerone@vu.edu.au	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA frank.morgan@williams.edu
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA scott.chapman@shsu.edu	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA corcoran@colorado.edu	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA znashed@mail.ucf.edu
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA tdiagana@howard.edu	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA ono@mathcs.emory.edu
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA mdorff@math.byu.edu	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA tobrie1@luc.edu
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia sever@matilda.vu.edu.au	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA orourke@cs.smith.edu
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA peres@microsoft.com
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA fulp@wfu.edu	Y.-F. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland petermann@math.unige.ch
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA jgallian@d.umn.edu	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA rplemmons@wfu.edu
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA stephan.garcia@pomona.edu	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA rg@mathcs.emory.edu	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA vadim@sciences.sdsu.edu
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada andrew@dms.umontreal.ca	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA poonen@math.berkeley.edu
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA griggs@math.sc.edu	James Propp	U Mass Lowell, USA jpropp@cs.uml.edu
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA rg@mathcs.emory.edu	József H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA przytyck@gwu.edu
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA sngupta@uncg.edu	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA rrebarbe@math.unl.edu
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA jhaglund@math.upenn.edu	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA rwr@cs.uga.edu
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA johnny_henderson@baylor.edu	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA f_saidak@uncg.edu
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA nahritonenko@pvamu.edu	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA sellersj@math.psu.edu
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA crjohnso@math.wm.edu	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor andy@ajsterge.com
Karen Kafadar	University of Colorado, USA karen.kafadar@cudenver.edu	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA atrenk@wellesley.edu
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA kk@ces.clemson.edu	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA vakil@math.stanford.edu
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA gladas@math.uri.edu	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA verma99@msn.com
David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA larson@math.tamu.edu	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA wierman@jhu.edu
Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA lenhart@math.utk.edu	Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA zieve@umich.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Sheila Newbery, Senior Production Editor

Cover design: ©2008 Alex Scorpan

See inside back cover or <http://msp.berkeley.edu/involve> for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2011 is US \$100/year for the electronic version, and \$130/year (+\$35 shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94704-3840, USA.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW™ from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY



mathematical sciences publishers

<http://msp.org/>

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L^AT_EX

Copyright ©2011 by Mathematical Sciences Publishers

involve

2011

vol. 4

no. 4

Maximality of the Bernstein polynomials	307
CHRISTOPHER FRAYER AND CHRISTOPHER SHAFHAUSER	
The family of ternary cyclotomic polynomials with one free prime	317
YVES GALLOT, PIETER MOREE AND ROBERT WILMS	
Preimages of quadratic dynamical systems	343
BENJAMIN HUTZ, TREVOR HYDE AND BENJAMIN KRAUSE	
The Steiner problem on the regular tetrahedron	365
KYRA MOON, GINA SHERO AND DENISE HALVERSON	
Constructions of potentially eventually positive sign patterns with reducible positive part	405
MARIE ARCHER, MINERVA CATRAL, CRAIG ERICKSON, RANA HABER, LESLIE HOGBEN, XAVIER MARTINEZ-RIVERA AND ANTONIO OCHOA	
Congruence properties of S -partition functions	411
ANDREW GRUET, LINZHI WANG, KATHERINE YU AND JIANGANG ZENG	



1944-4176(2011)4:4;1-B