

involve

a journal of mathematics

Elliptic curves, eta-quotients and hypergeometric functions

David Pathakjee, Zef RosnBrick and Eugene Yoong

 mathematical sciences publishers

2012

vol. 5, no. 1



Elliptic curves, eta-quotients and hypergeometric functions

David Pathakjee, Zef RosnBrick and Eugene Yoong

(Communicated by Kenneth S. Berenhaut)

The well-known fact that all elliptic curves are modular, proven by Wiles, Taylor, Breuil, Conrad and Diamond, leaves open the question whether there exists a nice representation of the modular form associated to each elliptic curve. Here we provide explicit representations of the modular forms associated to certain Legendre form elliptic curves ${}_2E_1(\lambda)$ as linear combinations of quotients of Dedekind's eta-function. We also give congruences for some of the modular forms' coefficients in terms of Gaussian hypergeometric functions.

1. Introduction and statement of results

Wiles and Taylor [1995] proved that all semistable elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} are modular. Their result was later extended by Breuil, Conrad, Diamond and Taylor [Breuil et al. 2001] to all elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} .

This correspondence allows facts about elliptic curves to be proven using modular forms, and vice versa. (See [Koblitz 1993] for more background on the theory of elliptic curves and modular forms.)

Let E be an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} . If $q := e^{2\pi iz}$, $\text{GF}(p)$ is the finite field with p elements, and $N(p)$ is the number of points on E over $\text{GF}(p)$, then the modularity theorem implies that there exists a corresponding weight-2 newform $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)q^n$ such that if p is a prime of good reduction, then $a(p) = 1 + p - N(p)$.

For example, if $\eta(z)$ is Dedekind's eta-function,

$$\eta(z) := q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n),$$

then the elliptic curves $y^2 = x^3 + 1$ and $y^2 = x^3 - x$ have the corresponding modular forms $\eta(6z)^4$ and $\eta(4z)^2\eta(8z)^2$, respectively; see [Martin and Ono 1997].

MSC2000: primary 11F11, 11F20, 11G05; secondary 11T24, 33C99.

Keywords: number theory, elliptic curves, eta quotients, hypergeometric functions.

The authors wish to thank the NSF for supporting this research, and Ken Ono and Marie Jameson for their invaluable advice.

It is natural to ask which elliptic curves have corresponding modular forms that are quotients of eta-functions. Martin and Ono [1997] have answered this question by listing all such *eta-quotients*

$$f(z) = \prod_{\delta} \eta(\delta z)^{r_{\delta}} \quad (\delta, r_{\delta} \in \mathbb{Z})$$

which are weight-2 newforms, and they gave corresponding modular elliptic curves.

(For more on the theory of eta-quotients, see [Ono 2004, Section 1.4].)

We show, for certain values of $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, that the elliptic curves ${}_2E_1(\lambda)$ defined by

$${}_2E_1(\lambda) : y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda) \quad (1-1)$$

correspond to modular forms which are linear combinations of eta-quotients.

Remark. The proof of [Theorem 1.1](#) will make clear how one can generate many more such examples.

Let

$$f_{\lambda}(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} {}_2a_1(n; \lambda)q^n \quad (1-2)$$

be the weight-2 newform corresponding to the elliptic curve ${}_2E_1(\lambda)$. It will be convenient to express eta-quotients using the notation

$$\left[\prod_{\delta} \delta^{r_{\delta}} \right] := \prod_{\delta} \eta(\delta z)^{r_{\delta}}. \quad (1-3)$$

For example, in place of $\frac{\eta(2z)^2\eta(4z)^2\eta(5z)\eta(40z)}{\eta(z)\eta(8z)}$ we write $[1^{-1}2^24^25^18^{-1}40^1]$.

Theorem 1.1. *If $\lambda \in \left\{ \frac{27}{16}, 5, \frac{81}{49}, -\frac{7}{25} \right\}$, then ${}_2E_1(\lambda)$ corresponds to the modular forms given here:*

λ	conductor N	eta-quotient $f_{\lambda}(z)$
$\frac{27}{16}$	33	$[1^211^2] + 3 \cdot [3^233^2] + 3 \cdot [1^13^111^133^1]$
5	40	$[1^{-1}2^24^25^18^{-1}40^1] + [1^15^{-1}8^110^220^240^{-1}]$
$\frac{81}{49}$	42	$2 \cdot [1^{-1}2^23^17^214^{-1}42^1] - 3 \cdot [3^16^121^142^1]$ $+ [2^13^26^{-1}7^121^{-1}42^2] + [1^13^{-1}6^214^121^242^{-1}]$
$-\frac{7}{25}$	70	$[1^{-1}2^25^27^{-1}10^{-1}14^235^270^{-1}] - [1^22^{-1}5^{-1}7^210^214^{-1}35^{-1}70^2]$

We show, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, that the Fourier coefficients of all $f_{\lambda}(z)$ satisfy an interesting hypergeometric congruence. For a prime p and an integer n , define

$\text{ord}_p(n)$ to be the power of p dividing n , and if $\alpha = \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q}$, then set $\text{ord}_p(\alpha) = \text{ord}_p(a) - \text{ord}_p(b)$. We show that with this notation, the numbers ${}_2a_1(p; \lambda)$ satisfy the following congruences.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\lambda \notin \{0, 1\}$ be rational and let $p = 2f + 1$ be an odd prime such that $\text{ord}_p(\lambda(\lambda - 1)) = 0$. Then*

$${}_2a_1(p; \lambda) \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} (p-1) \sum_{k=0}^f \binom{f+k}{k} \binom{f}{k} (-\lambda)^k \pmod{p}.$$

Remarks. In light of [Theorem 1.1](#), this implies that the congruence in [Theorem 1.2](#) holds for the coefficients of the linear combinations of eta-quotients given above.

- A well-known theorem of Hasse states that for every prime p ,

$$|a(p)| < 2\sqrt{p}.$$

[Theorem 1.2](#) therefore determines ${}_2a_1(p; \lambda)$ uniquely for primes $p > 16$.

Example. Consider $\lambda = \frac{27}{16}$. Then $\lambda(\lambda - 1) = \frac{3^3 \cdot 11}{2^8}$ and so for $p \notin \{2, 3, 11\}$ prime we observe the congruence by inspecting the coefficients of ${}_2E_1(\frac{27}{16})$ for applicable primes $p < 30$, where $B(p; \lambda)$ is defined to be the right-hand side of the congruence in [Theorem 1.2](#):

p	${}_2a_1(p; \frac{27}{16})$	$B(p; \frac{27}{16})$
5	$-2 \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$	3
7	$4 \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$	4
13	$-2 \equiv 11 \pmod{13}$	11
17	$-2 \equiv 15 \pmod{17}$	15
19	$0 \equiv 0 \pmod{19}$	0
23	$8 \equiv 8 \pmod{23}$	8
29	$-6 \equiv 23 \pmod{29}$	23

2. Elliptic curves and modular forms

In this section we prove [Theorem 1.1](#). If E is an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} , then its conductor N is a product of the primes p of bad reduction for E , with exponents determined by the extent to which E is singular over $\text{GF}(p)$. (An algorithm by Tate for computing conductors is given in [[Cremona 1997](#)].) Moreover, the modularity theorem implies that the modular form $f(z)$ corresponding to E is an element of $S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$. In particular, for an elliptic curve ${}_2E_1(\lambda)$, proving the correctness of any representation of $f_\lambda(z)$ in terms of eta-quotients amounts to checking that the given eta-quotients are elements of $S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$ and checking a finite number of coefficients of their Fourier expansions against those of f_λ .

We first provide a formula for the dimension of the space of cusp forms of weight 2 and level N , $S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$. We then show that the eta-quotients making up the linear combinations are elements of $S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$ and use the dimension formula to show that equality of two elements of $S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$ always depends only on some finite set of coefficients.

The linear combinations of eta-quotients in this paper were generated by the following algorithm:

- (1) Given a rational number $\lambda \notin \{0, 1\}$, compute the conductor N of ${}_2E_1(\lambda)$. (The modular form corresponding to ${}_2E_1(\lambda)$ will be an element of $S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$.)
- (2) Compute $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$.
- (3) Generate eta-quotients which are elements of $S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$.
- (4) Attempt to construct a basis for $S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$ using these eta-quotients.

Of course, once one is armed with a basis of eta-quotients for $S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$, it is simple to express $f_\lambda(z)$ in terms of this basis.

Dimension of $S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$. It will be useful to know not only that $S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$ is finite-dimensional for every positive integer N , but also its exact dimension $d_N := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$.

The following formula for d_N is a simplification of [Ono 2004, Theorem 1.34], which gives a formula for the quantity $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi) - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M_{2-k}(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$, in the case where $k = 2$ and $\chi = \epsilon$ is the trivial character modulo N .

Proposition 2.1. *If N is a fixed positive integer and $r_p := \text{ord}_p(N)$, define*

$$\lambda_p := \begin{cases} p^{\frac{r_p}{2}} + p^{\frac{r_p}{2}-1} & \text{if } r_p \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ 2p^{\frac{r_p-1}{2}} & \text{if } r_p \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

With this notation,

$$d_N = 1 + \frac{N}{12} \prod_{p|N} (1 + p^{-1}) - \frac{1}{2} \prod_{p|N} \lambda_p - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{x \pmod{N} \\ x^2+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{N}}} 1 - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{\substack{x \pmod{N} \\ x^2+x+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{N}}} 1.$$

Proof. This follows from [Ono 2004, Theorem 1.34], noting that the conductor of the trivial character is 1 and that $M_0(\Gamma_0(N), \epsilon)$ is the space of constant functions and hence has dimension 1. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let N be the conductor of $E = {}_2E_1(\lambda)$ and let $d_N = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$ as before. Conditions under which an eta-quotient is an element of $S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$ are provided in [Ono 2004, Theorems 1.64 and 1.65]: If $f(z) = \prod_{\delta|N} \eta(\delta z)^{r_\delta}$ is an eta-quotient which vanishes at each cusp of $\Gamma_0(N)$, such that the pairs (δ, r_δ) satisfy $\sum_{\delta|N} r_\delta = 4$, $\sum_{\delta|N} \delta r_\delta \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$, and $\sum_{\delta|N} \frac{N}{\delta} r_\delta \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$,

then $f(z) \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$. The order of vanishing of such an $f(z)$ at the cusp $\frac{c}{d}$ is given by [Ono 2004, Theorem 1.65] as

$$\frac{N}{24} \sum_{\delta|N} \frac{\gcd(d, \delta)^2 r_\delta}{\gcd(d, \frac{N}{d}) d \delta}. \quad (2-1)$$

It is straightforward to check that the formula above gives a positive order of vanishing for each eta-quotient at each cusp, that each eta-quotient satisfies the given congruence conditions, and that the r_δ of each eta-quotient sum to 4. These conditions guarantee that each eta-quotient appearing in the table above lies in $S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$.

The eta-quotients given for $\lambda = \frac{27}{16}$ form a basis for $S_2(\Gamma_0(33))$. Similarly, for $\lambda = 5$, the given eta-quotients along with $[2^2 10^2]$ form a basis; for $\lambda = \frac{81}{49}$ the given eta-quotients along with $[1^{-1} 2^2 3^2 6^{-1} 7^{-1} 14^2 21^2 42^{-1}]$ form a basis; and for $\lambda = -\frac{7}{25}$ a complete basis is

$$\begin{aligned} & \{[5^2 7^2], [1^{-1} 2^2 7^2 10^1 14^{-1} 35^1], [10^2 14^2], \\ & [1^2 2^{-1} 5^1 7^{-1} 14^2 70^1], [1^2 2^{-1} 5^{-1} 7^2 10^2 14^{-1} 35^{-1} 70^2], \\ & [1^1 5^1 7^1 35^1], [1^1 5^2 10^{-1} 14^1 35^{-1} 70^2], [5^1 10^1 35^1 70^1], [1^{-1} 2^2 5^1 7^1 35^{-1} 70^2]\}. \end{aligned}$$

To see this, let $g_{i,j}$ be the j -th Fourier coefficient of the i -th basis vector g_i and define $t_1 < \dots < t_{d_N}$ to be the first ascending set of indices for which the vectors $\{(g_{i,t_j})_{j=1}^{d_N}\}_{i=1}^{d_N}$ are linearly independent. One can find such a sequence by direct computation of the Fourier coefficients and inspection of the matrices $[g_{i,t_j}]_{i,j=1}^{d_N}$ for various choices of small $t_1 < \dots < t_{d_N}$.

Now let $v_i = (g_{i,t_1}, \dots, g_{i,t_{d_N}})$ and let b_1, \dots, b_{d_N} be a basis for $S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$. If we have $h_1, h_2 \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$ with equal t_i -th coefficients, then these coefficients are zero in the difference $h_1 - h_2$. But $h_1 - h_2$ can be written as a linear combination $\sum c_i b_i$ of basis elements, for constants c_i . Hence $\sum c_i v_i = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^{d_N} , so by linear independence all $c_i = 0$, and thus $h_1 - h_2 = 0$. It therefore suffices to check that the coefficients of f_λ on $q^{t_1}, \dots, q^{t_{d_N}}$ match the coefficients that result from the linear combination of eta-quotients. \square

Remark. In practice, these computations can be done using a computer algebra system such as SAGE.

Example. We show that the modular form corresponding to ${}_2E_1(\frac{27}{16})$ is

$$g(z) := [1^2 11^2] + 3 \cdot [3^2 33^2] + 3 \cdot [1^1 3^1 11^1 33^1].$$

For convenience, let $G = \{[1^2 11^2], [3^2 33^2], [1^1 3^1 11^1 33^1]\}$ be the set of eta-quotients making up the linear combination $g(z)$. The conductor of ${}_2E_1(\frac{27}{16})$ is 33 and so the corresponding modular form $f_{\frac{27}{16}}(z)$ is an element of $S_2(\Gamma_0(33))$.

To show that $g(z)$ is also an element of $S_2(\Gamma_0(33))$, it suffices to show that $G \subset S_2(\Gamma_0(33))$. Take $g_i(z) \in G$. By [Ono 2004, Theorem 1.64], $g_i(z)$ is a modular form of weight 2 for $\Gamma_0(33)$. By [Ono 2004, Theorem 1.65], $g_i(z)$ vanishes at all cusps of $\Gamma_0(33)$, and thus $g_i(z) \in S_2(\Gamma_0(33))$.

Since $\text{ord}_3(33) = \text{ord}_{11}(33) = 1$, we have $\lambda_3 = \lambda_{11} = 2$ and evaluation of the dimension formula in Proposition 2.1 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} S_2(\Gamma_0(33)) &= 1 + \frac{33}{12} \prod_{p|33} (1 + p^{-1}) - \frac{1}{2} \prod_{p|33} \lambda_p - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{x \pmod{33} \\ x^2+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{33}}} 1 - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{\substack{x \pmod{33} \\ x^2+x+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{33}}} 1 \\ &= 1 + \frac{33}{12} \left(1 + \frac{1}{3}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{11}\right) - \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_3)(\lambda_{11}) - \frac{1}{4}(0) - \frac{1}{3}(0) \\ &= 3. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that G is a basis for $S_2(\Gamma_0(33))$. Any dependence relation satisfied by the elements of G would imply a dependence relation among their coefficients. It thus suffices to find a set of indices $t_1 < t_2 < t_3$ such that the 3×3 matrix formed by the t_i -th coefficients of these eta-quotients is nonsingular. For this particular λ , the first three coefficients suffice.

This implies that any two elements of $S_2(\Gamma_0(33))$ which agree on the first three coefficients are equal. In fact, we observe that the first three coefficients of the modular form corresponding to ${}_2E_1\left(\frac{27}{16}\right)$ are the same as the first three coefficients of $g(z)$. That is, the coefficients of $g(z) = q + q^2 - q^3 - q^4 + \dots$ agree with the coefficients of $f_{\frac{27}{16}}(z)$.

3. Gaussian hypergeometric functions and proof of Theorem 1.2

We recall some facts about Gaussian hypergeometric functions over finite fields of prime order and use the Gaussian hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1\left(\phi, \phi \mid \lambda\right)$ to prove Theorem 1.2.

Gaussian hypergeometric functions. Greene [1987] defined *Gaussian hypergeometric functions* over arbitrary finite fields and showed that they have properties analogous to those of classical hypergeometric functions. We recall some definitions and notation from [Ono 1998] in the case of fields of prime order.

Definition 3.1. If p is an odd prime, $\text{GF}(p)$ is the field with p elements, and A and B are characters of $\text{GF}(p)$, define

$$\binom{A}{B} := \frac{B(-1)}{p} J(A, \bar{B}) = \frac{B(-1)}{p} \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(p)} A(x) \bar{B}(1-x).$$

Furthermore, if A_0, \dots, A_n and B_1, \dots, B_n are characters of $\text{GF}(p)$, define the Gaussian hypergeometric series ${}_{n+1}F_n \left(\begin{smallmatrix} A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n \\ B_1, \dots, B_n \end{smallmatrix} \mid x \right)$ by the following sum over all characters χ of $\text{GF}(p)$:

$${}_{n+1}F_n \left(\begin{smallmatrix} A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n \\ B_1, \dots, B_n \end{smallmatrix} \mid x \right) := \frac{p}{p-1} \sum_{\chi} \binom{A_0\chi}{\chi} \binom{A_1\chi}{B_1\chi} \cdots \binom{A_n\chi}{B_n\chi} \chi(x)$$

In particular, we are concerned with the Gaussian hypergeometric series ${}_2F_1(\lambda)$ defined by

$${}_2F_1(\lambda) := {}_2F_1 \left(\phi, \phi_{\epsilon} \mid \lambda \right) = \frac{p}{p-1} \sum_{\chi} \binom{\phi\chi}{\chi}^2 \chi(\lambda)$$

where ϕ is the quadratic character of $\text{GF}(p)$. It is shown in [Ono 1998] that if $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, then

$${}_2F_1(\lambda) = -\frac{\phi(-1) {}_2a_1(p; \lambda)}{p} \tag{3-1}$$

for every odd prime p such that $\text{ord}_p(\lambda(\lambda - 1)) = 0$.

In addition, define the generalized Apéry number $D(n; m, l, r)$ for every $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ and every pair of nonnegative integers m and l by

$$D(n; m, l, r) := \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+k}{k}^m \binom{n}{k}^l r^{lk}.$$

Ono also shows (ibid.) that if $p = 2f + 1$ is an odd prime and $w = l + m$, then

$$D(f; m, l, r) \equiv \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^{w-1} {}_wF_{w-1} \left(\phi, \phi_{\epsilon}, \dots, \phi_{\epsilon} \mid (-r)^l \right) \pmod{p}. \tag{3-2}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By (3-1) and the fact that $\phi(-1) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$, we have that

$$\frac{p}{p-1} {}_2F_1(\lambda) = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} {}_2a_1(p; \lambda)}{p-1}.$$

By (3-2), letting $l = m = 1$ (and thus $w = 2$) and $r = -\lambda$, we have

$$\frac{p}{p-1} {}_2F_1(\lambda) \equiv D(f; 1, 1, -\lambda) \pmod{p}.$$

Combining these two equations and rearranging, we get

$${}_2a_1(p; \lambda) \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} (p-1) D(f; 1, 1, -\lambda) \pmod{p}.$$

Since

$$D(f; 1, 1, -\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{f+k}{k} \binom{f}{k} (-\lambda)^k,$$

we have

$${}_2a_1(p; \lambda) \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} (p-1) \sum_{k=0}^f \binom{f+k}{k} \binom{f}{k} (-\lambda)^k \pmod{p}. \quad \square$$

Remark. The binomial product $\binom{f+k}{k} \binom{f}{k}$ can be combined into the multinomial coefficient $\binom{f+k}{k, k, f-k}$ and so the congruence in [Theorem 1.2](#) can also be written as

$${}_2a_1(p; \lambda) \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} (p-1) \sum_{k=0}^f \binom{f+k}{k, k, f-k} (-\lambda)^k \pmod{p}.$$

References

- [Breuil et al. 2001] C. Breuil, B. Conrad, F. Diamond, and R. Taylor, “On the modularity of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} : wild 3-adic exercises”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **14**:4 (2001), 843–939. [MR 2002d:11058](#) [Zbl 0982.11033](#)
- [Cremona 1997] J. E. Cremona, *Algorithms for modular elliptic curves*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. [MR 99e:11068](#) [Zbl 0872.14041](#)
- [Greene 1987] J. Greene, “Hypergeometric functions over finite fields”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **301**:1 (1987), 77–101. [MR 88e:11122](#) [Zbl 0629.12017](#)
- [Koblitz 1993] N. Koblitz, *Introduction to elliptic curves and modular forms*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics **97**, Springer, New York, 1993. [MR 94a:11078](#) [Zbl 0804.11039](#)
- [Martin and Ono 1997] Y. Martin and K. Ono, “Eta-quotients and elliptic curves”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **125**:11 (1997), 3169–3176. [MR 97m:11057](#) [Zbl 0894.11020](#)
- [Ono 1998] K. Ono, “Values of Gaussian hypergeometric series”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **350**:3 (1998), 1205–1223. [MR 98e:11141](#) [Zbl 0910.11054](#)
- [Ono 2004] K. Ono, *The web of modularity: arithmetic of the coefficients of modular forms and q -series*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **102**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004. [MR 2005c:11053](#) [Zbl 1119.11026](#)
- [Taylor and Wiles 1995] R. Taylor and A. Wiles, “Ring-theoretic properties of certain Hecke algebras”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **141**:3 (1995), 553–572. [MR 96d:11072](#) [Zbl 0823.11030](#)

Received: 2010-05-03

Revised: 2011-04-22

Accepted: 2011-09-14

pathakjee@wisc.edu

Department of Mathematics,
University of Wisconsin - Madison, 480 Lincoln Drive,
Madison, WI 53706-1388, United States

rosnbrick@wisc.edu

Department of Mathematics,
University of Wisconsin - Madison, 480 Lincoln Drive,
Madison, WI 53706-1388, United States

eyoong@uwaterloo.ca

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Waterloo,
200 University Avenue West, Waterloo, ON, N2L 3G1,
Canada

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut, Wake Forest University, USA, berenhks@wfu.edu

BOARD OF EDITORS

John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA baxley@wfu.edu	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA ckli@math.wm.edu
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA benjamin@hmc.edu	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA lund@clemson.edu
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA bohner@mst.edu	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA boston@math.wisc.edu	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA meyer@stat.colostate.edu
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA budhiraj@email.unc.edu	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria eminchev@hotmail.com
Pietro Cerone	Victoria University, Australia pietro.cerone@vu.edu.au	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA frank.morgan@williams.edu
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA scott.chapman@shsu.edu	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA corcoran@colorado.edu	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA znashed@mail.ucf.edu
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA tdiagana@howard.edu	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA ono@mathcs.emory.edu
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA mdorff@math.byu.edu	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA tobrie1@luc.edu
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia sever@matilda.vu.edu.au	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA orourke@cs.smith.edu
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA peres@microsoft.com
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA fulp@wfu.edu	Y.-F. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland petermann@math.unige.ch
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA kgallian@d.umn.edu	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA rjplemmons@wfu.edu
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA stephan.garcia@pomona.edu	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA rg@mathcs.emory.edu	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA vadim@sciences.sdsu.edu
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada andrew@dms.umontreal.ca	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA poonen@math.berkeley.edu
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA griggs@math.sc.edu	James Propp	U Mass Lowell, USA jpropp@cs.uml.edu
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA rg@mathcs.emory.edu	József H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA przytyck@gwu.edu
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA sgupta@uncg.edu	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA rrebarbe@math.unl.edu
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA jhaglund@math.upenn.edu	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA rwr@cs.uga.edu
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA johnny_henderson@baylor.edu	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA f_saidak@uncg.edu
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA nahritonenko@pvamu.edu	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA sellersj@math.psu.edu
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA crjohnso@math.wm.edu	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor andy@ajsterge.com
Karen Kafadar	University of Colorado, USA karen.kafadar@cudenver.edu	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA atrenk@wellesley.edu
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA kk@ces.clemson.edu	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA vakil@math.stanford.edu
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA gladas@math.uri.edu	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA verma99@msn.com
David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA larson@math.tamu.edu	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA wierman@jhu.edu
Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA lenhart@math.utk.edu	Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA zieve@umich.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Sheila Newbery, Senior Production Editor

Cover design: ©2008 Alex Scorpan

See inside back cover or <http://msp.berkeley.edu/involve> for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2012 is US \$105/year for the electronic version, and \$145/year (+\$35 shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94704-3840, USA.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW™ from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.



PUBLISHED BY
mathematical sciences publishers
<http://msp.org/>

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L^AT_EX

Copyright ©2012 by Mathematical Sciences Publishers

involve

2012

vol. 5

no. 1

Elliptic curves, eta-quotients and hypergeometric functions	1
DAVID PATHAKJEE, ZEF ROSNBRICK AND EUGENE YOONG	
Trapping light rays aperiodically with mirrors	9
ZACHARY MITCHELL, GREGORY SIMON AND XUEYING ZHAO	
A generalization of modular forms	15
ADAM HAQUE	
Induced subgraphs of Johnson graphs	25
RAMIN NAIMI AND JEFFREY SHAW	
Multiscale adaptively weighted least squares finite element methods for convection-dominated PDEs	39
BRIDGET KRAYNIK, YIFEI SUN AND CHAD R. WESTPHAL	
Diameter, girth and cut vertices of the graph of equivalence classes of zero-divisors	51
BLAKE ALLEN, ERIN MARTIN, ERIC NEW AND DANE SKABELUND	
Total positivity of a shuffle matrix	61
AUDRA MCMILLAN	
Betti numbers of order-preserving graph homomorphisms	67
LAUREN GUERRA AND STEVEN KLEE	
Permutation notations for the exceptional Weyl group F_4	81
PATRICIA CAHN, RUTH HAAS, ALOYSIUS G. HELMINCK, JUAN LI AND JEREMY SCHWARTZ	
Progress towards counting D_5 quintic fields	91
ERIC LARSON AND LARRY ROLEN	
On supersingular elliptic curves and hypergeometric functions	99
KEENAN MONKS	