

a journal of mathematics

The *n*-diameter of planar sets of constant width Zair Ibragimov and Tuan Le





The *n*-diameter of planar sets of constant width

Zair Ibragimov and Tuan Le

(Communicated by Michael Dorff)

We study the notion of n-diameter for sets of constant width. A convex set in the plane is said to be of *constant width* if the distance between two parallel support lines is constant, independent of the direction. The Reuleaux triangles are the well-known examples of sets of constant width that are not disks. The n-diameter of a compact set E in the plane is

$$d_n(E) = \max \left(\prod_{1 < i < j < n} |z_i - z_j| \right)^{\frac{2}{n(n-1)}},$$

where the maximum is taken over all $z_k \in E$, k = 1, 2, ..., n. We prove that if n = 5, then the Reuleaux n-gons have the largest n-diameter among all sets of given constant width. The proof is based on the solution of an extremal problem for n-diameter.

1. Introduction

Sets of constant width have been an object of study by geometers for several centuries; some nontrivial examples of such sets were already known to Euler. A good summary of these studies is given in [Chakerian and Groemer 1983]; see also [Eggleston 1958]. A convex set in the plane is said to be of constant width if the distance between two parallel support lines is constant independent of the direction. Equivalently, a planar convex set W with nonempty interior is said to be of constant width if for each $\xi \in \partial W$ there exists $\eta \in \partial W$ with $|\xi - \eta| = \text{diam } W$. While the disks are easily seen to be of constant width, the Reuleaux triangles are the well-known examples of sets of constant width that are not disks. In fact, sets of constant width can be thought of as generalizations of disks in that they share many properties with disks. For example, closed disks are diametrically complete, that is, addition of any point increases their diameter. This completeness notion characterizes the sets of constant width. Namely, the family of all complete sets is precisely the family of sets of constant width [Eggleston 1958, Theorem 52]. Another common property is

MSC2010: primary 30C65; secondary 05C25.

Keywords: n-diameter, constant width sets, Pólya extremal problem.

that sets of constant width are precisely the sets of constant diameter. (A compact set E is said to be of constant diameter if $\max\{|x-y|:y\in E\}=\dim E$ for each $x \in \partial E$). Also, the definition of constant width sets using parallel support lines is also based on a property of disks, namely that the distance between any two parallel support lines of a disk is constant. Finally, one more property of sets of constant width that is common with disks is that the length of the boundary arc of a disk is equal to $\lambda \pi$, where λ is the diameter of the disk; the same is true of sets of constant width. Of course, not every property of the disks is shared by sets of constant width. For example, sets of constant width $\lambda > 0$ do not have to have the same area as disks of diameter λ or that sets of constant width do not have to have smooth boundaries. In fact, by the isoperimetric inequality disks of diameter λ have the largest area while by the Blaschke–Lebesgue theorem the Reuleaux triangles of diameter λ have the smallest area. The Reuleaux triangle (named after the nineteenth-century German engineer Franz Reuleaux) of diameter λ is constructed by connecting the vertices of an equilateral triangle of sidelength λ by arcs of circles of radius λ and centered at the vertices.

Sets of constant width arise in many areas of mathematics. For instance, every odd-term Fourier series gives rise to a planar set of constant width [Kelly 1957]. Constant width sets are used in cinematography and engineering. For example, they are used in the design of the Wankel engine [Berger 1994]. They are also aesthetically pleasing, frequently turning up in art and design contexts. For example, some Irish coins have constant width shapes because of their appealing character.

The 3-diameter of sets of constant width as well as the related notions of d_3 -complete sets and sets of constant 3-diameter were first studied in [Hästö et al. 2012]. As mentioned above the disks have the largest area and the Reuleaux triangles have the smallest area among all sets of given constant width. Surprisingly, the roles of the isoperimetric inequality and the Blaschke–Lebesgue theorem are reversed when it comes to 3-diameter. More precisely, among the planar sets of constant width λ , Reuleaux triangles have the largest 3-diameter, namely λ , and disks have the smallest 3-diameter, $\sqrt{3}\lambda/2$ [Hästö et al. 2012, Theorem 3.1]. On the other hand, the Reuleaux triangles have the largest area among all sets with both the diameter and 3-diameter equal to λ [Hästö et al. 2012, Proposition 2.2]. As in the case of ordinary diameter, disks are both of constant 3-diameter and d_3 -complete, and d_3 -complete sets are of constant 3-diameter [Hästö et al. 2012, Theorem 5.2].

In this paper we study n-diameter of sets of constant width. Our study is based on the following extremal problem: among all planar sets of cardinality n and of diameter less than or equal to 2, find one with the largest n-diameter. We conjecture that the vertices of regular n-gons have the largest n-diameter if n is odd (Conjecture 2.8) and show the conjecture is equivalent to stating that the Reuleaux n-gons have the largest n-diameter among all sets of given constant width

(Theorem 4.3). Clearly, for n = 3 the vertices of equilateral triangles provide a solution to the extremal problem. In contrast, the vertices of the regular 4-gon do not have the largest 4-diameter (Lemma 2.9). We show that Conjecture 2.8 holds for n = 5 (Theorem 3.1), and also verify the conjecture for n = 7 under some additional assumptions (Proposition 3.3).

2. Extremal problem for n-diameter

Definition 2.1. The *n*-diameter of a compact set E in the complex plane $\mathbb C$ is defined by

$$d_n(E) = \max\left(\prod_{1 < i < j < n} |z_i - z_j|\right)^{\frac{2}{n(n-1)}},$$

where the maximum is taken over all $z_k \in E$, k = 1, 2, ..., n.

Clearly, $d_2(E)$ is the ordinary diameter of E. That is,

$$d_2(E) = \text{diam } E = \sup\{|z - w| : z, w \in E\}.$$

The *n*-diameter is weakly decreasing in *n*, that is, $d_n(E) \ge d_{n+1}(E)$ [Ahlfors 1973, p. 23]; see also [Hayman 1966, Theorem 1]. We give the proof for completeness. We have

$$d_{n+1}(E) = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n+1} |z_i - z_j|^{\frac{2}{n(n+1)}};$$

thus

$$(d_{n+1}(E))^{n(n+1)/2} = \prod_{k=2}^{n+1} |z_1 - z_k| \prod_{\substack{2 \le i \le n+1 \\ 2 \le j \le n+1}} |z_i - z_j| \le \prod_{k=2}^{n+1} |z_1 - z_k| (d_n(E))^{n(n-1)/2}.$$

Similarly, for each l = 2, 3, ..., n + 1 we have

$$(d_{n+1}(E))^{n(n+1)/2} \le \prod_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq l}}^{n+1} |z_l - z_k| (d_n(E))^{n(n-1)/2}.$$

Multiplying these expressions we obtain

$$(d_{n+1}(E))^{n(n+1)^2/2} \le (d_{n+1}(E))^{n(n+1)} (d_n(E))^{n(n-1)(n+1)/2}$$

which yields $d_{n+1}(E) \le d_n(E)$, as required.

The *transfinite diameter* of E is defined by

$$d_{\infty}(E) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d_n(E).$$

The transfinite diameter of a line segment of length L is L/4 and the transfinite diameter of a disk of radius r is equal to r [Ahlfors 1973, p. 28; Goluzin 1969,

p. 298]. The notion of transfinite diameter is due to Fekete and plays an important role in complex analysis. It is related to the notions of logarithmic capacity and the Chebysheff constant [Ahlfors 1973; Hille 1962; Tsuji 1959]. Some extremal problems involving the transfinite diameter and *n*-diameter of planar sets were studied in [Burckel et al. 2008; Dubinin 1986; Duren and Schiffer 1991; Grandcolas 2000; Grandcolas 2002; Reich and Schiffer 1964].

The simplest examples of sets for computing the n-diameter are undoubtedly the n-tuples, that is, sets consisting of n distinct points. Let T_n denote the set of all n-tuples in \mathbb{C} of diameter less than or equal to 2.

Definition 2.2. By the extremal problem for n-diameter we mean the problem of finding

$$\sup_{E\in T_n}d_n(E).$$

According to Jung's theorem each $E \in T_n$ is contained in a disk of radius r, where $1/2 \le r \le 2/\sqrt{3}$ [Berger 1994, Theorem 11.5.8]. Also, for any $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ and for any linear transformation L(z) = az + b $(a, b \in \mathbb{C}, a \ne 0)$ we have $d_n(L(E)) = |a|d_n(E)$. Consequently,

$$\sup_{E \in T_n} d_n(E) = \sup_{E \in T'_n} d_n(E), \quad \text{where } T'_n = \{ E \in T_n : E \subset \overline{B}(0, 2/\sqrt{3}) \}.$$

Since the function $d_n: T'_n \to [0, 2]$ is continuous and since T'_n is a compact subset of the *n*-dimensional complex space \mathbb{C}^n , d_n achieves its maximum in T'_n .

Definition 2.3. An *n*-tuple $E' \in T_n$ is called extremal if

$$\sup_{E\in T_n} d_n(E) = d_n(E').$$

Let $E_n \subset T_n$ denote the set of all extremal *n*-tuples in T_n . Thus, the *n*-diameter problem is equivalent to finding a member of E_n and computing its *n*-diameter.

Lemma 2.4. Given $E \in E_n$, for each $z \in E$ there exists $w \in E$ with |z - w| = 2. In particular, the n-gon with vertices in E is convex.

Proof. Let $E = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n\} \in E_n$ and suppose that there exists k such that $|z_k - z_l| < 2$ for all $l = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then there exists a disk D centered at z_k such that $|z - z_l| < 2$ for all $z \in D$ and for all $l = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Since the function

$$P(z) = \prod_{\substack{l=1\\l\neq k}}^{n} (z - z_l)$$

is analytic in D, its modulus |P(z)| cannot achieve its maximum at z_k . Hence there exists a point $z_k' \in D$ such that the n-tuple $E' = \{z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_k', \ldots, z_n\}$ belongs to T_n and that $d_n(E') > d_n(E)$. Hence $E \notin E_n$, which is the required contradiction.

Let $\mathcal{C}(E)$ be the *convex hull* of E, that is, the smallest convex set containing E. Then

$$\mathscr{C}(E) = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{3} \lambda_k \alpha_k \mid \alpha_k \in E, \ \lambda_k \ge 0, \ \sum_{k=1}^{3} \lambda_k = 1 \right\}$$

by Carathéodory's theorem [Berger 1994, 11.1.8.6]. The first part of the lemma implies that the points z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n can only lie on the corners of $\mathscr{C}(E)$. Hence $\mathscr{C}(E)$ is the *n*-gon with vertices at the points z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n .

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.4.

Corollary 2.5. Let $n \ge 3$ be an odd integer. Then for each $E \in E_n$ there exist $z, w_1, w_2 \in E$ such that $|z - w_1| = |z - w_2| = 2$.

Let $\omega = e^{2\pi i/n}$ be the *n*th root of unity and put

$$\mathscr{E}_n = \{1, \omega, \omega^2, \dots, \omega^{n-1}\}.$$

Let $\overline{\mathbb{D}} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \le 1\}$ be the closed unit disk in \mathbb{C} . The following observation is credited to Pólya [Overholt and Schober 1989, p. 279]:

Theorem 2.6 (Pólya extremal problem).

$$\max d_n(\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n\}) = d_n(\mathscr{E}_n) = n^{1/(n-1)}$$

where the maximum is taken over all points z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$.

Observe that

diam
$$\mathscr{E}_n = 2$$
 if *n* is even.

On the other hand, if n is odd, then

$$\dim \mathcal{E}_n = |1 - \omega^{(n-1)/2}| = 2\sin((n-1)\pi/2n) = 2\sin(\pi/2 - \pi/2n) = 2\cos(\pi/2n).$$

Put

$$r_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \sec(\pi/2n) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

and let

$$r_n \mathscr{E}_n = \{r_n, r_n \omega, r_n \omega^2, \dots, r_n \omega^{n-1}\}.$$

Note that

$$d_n(r_n \mathcal{E}_n) = r_n d_n(\mathcal{E}_n) = \begin{cases} n^{1/(n-1)} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \sec(\pi/2n)n^{1/(n-1)} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$
(2-7)

Since $r_n \mathscr{E}_n \in T'_n$, we have

$$\sup_{E \in T_n} d_n(E) = \sup_{E \in T'_n} d_n(E) \ge d_n(r_n \mathcal{E}_n) = \begin{cases} n^{1/(n-1)} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \sec(\pi/2n)n^{1/(n-1)} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Conjecture 2.8. If n is odd, then $d_n(E) \leq d_n(r_n \mathscr{E}_n)$ for each $E \in T_n$.

Conjecture 2.8 predicts that if n is odd, then the vertices of the regular n-gons are extremal. In contrast, the vertices of the regular 4-gon are not extremal.

Lemma 2.9. Let $E = \{r_3, r_3\omega, r_3x, r_3\omega^2\} = r_3(\mathcal{E}_3 \cup \{x\})$, where $x = 1 - \sqrt{3}$. Then $d_4(E) > d_4(\mathcal{E}_4)$.

Proof. Recall that $r_3 = 2/\sqrt{3}$ and $\mathscr{E}_3 = \{1, \omega, \omega^2\}$, where $w = e^{2\pi i/3}$ is the third root of unity. Then $|x - 1| = \sqrt{3}$ and $|x - \omega| = |x - \omega^2| = \sqrt{6 - 3\sqrt{3}}$. Clearly, $E \in T_4$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} d_4(E) &= r_3(|x-1|\,|x-\omega|\,|x-\omega^2|\,|1-\omega|\,|1-\omega^2|\,|\omega-\omega^2|)^{1/6} \\ &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}(|x-1|\,|x-\omega|\,|x-\omega^2|)^{1/6} \Big[(|1-\omega|\,|1-\omega^2|\,|\omega-\omega^2|)^{1/3} \Big]^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} (6\sqrt{3}-9)^{1/6} (d_3(\mathcal{E}_3))^{1/2} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} (6\sqrt{3}-9)^{1/6} 3^{1/4} \\ &= 2(2-\sqrt{3})^{1/6} > 4^{1/3} = d_4(\mathcal{E}_4), \end{aligned}$$

as required.

Unfortunately, this idea does not seem to extend to even integers greater than 4. More precisely, some tedious computations show that if

$$E = r_{n-1}(\mathscr{E}_{n-1} \cup \{x_n\}), \quad \text{where } x_n = 1 - 2\cos\frac{\pi}{2(n-1)},$$

then $d_n(E) < d_n(\mathcal{E}_n)$ for n = 6, 8, 10.

3. Cases n = 5 and n = 7

In this section we discuss Conjecture 2.8 for n=5 and n=7. We will make a frequent use of the well-known Ptolemy's inequality and the AM-GM inequality as well as Reinhardt's theorem. Recall that Ptolemy's inequality says that $|a-b| |c-d| \le |a-c| |b-d| + |a-d| |b-c|$ for all $a,b,c,d \in \mathbb{C}$ and that the equality occurs if and only if the points a,b,c,d lie on a circle in this order. The AM-GM inequality says that

$$\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{n} \ge (x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n)^{1/n}$$

for all nonnegative real numbers $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$, and that the equality occurs when $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_n$. Reinhardt's theorem says that if n is odd, then the regular n-gon has the largest perimeter among all convex n-gons of fixed diameter [Mossinghoff 2006].

Theorem 3.1. *Conjecture* 2.8 *is true for* n = 5.

Proof. Let $E = \{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5\}$ be any 5-tuple in T_5 . Without loss of generality we can assume that E is extremal, that is, $E \in E_5$. Let $\mathcal{P}_5(E)$ denote the 5-gon with vertices in E. Note that $\mathcal{P}_5(E)$ is convex by Lemma 2.4. Let P denote the perimeter of $\mathcal{P}_5(E)$. That is,

$$P = |z_1 - z_2| + |z_2 - z_3| + |z_3 - z_4| + |z_4 - z_5| + |z_5 - z_1|.$$

Clearly,

$$|z_1 - z_3| |z_1 - z_4| |z_2 - z_4| |z_2 - z_5| |z_3 - z_5| \le 2^5$$

and the equality holds if $\mathcal{P}_5(E)$ is regular. Using the AM-GM inequality we obtain

$$|z_1 - z_2| |z_2 - z_3| |z_3 - z_4| |z_4 - z_5| |z_5 - z_1| \le (P/5)^5$$
.

Since $\mathcal{P}_5(E)$ is convex and diam $\mathcal{P}_5(E) = 2$, by Reinhardt's theorem P is less than or equal to the perimeter of a regular 5-gon of diameter 2. Computations show that such a 5-gon has a side-length $l = \sec(\pi/5)$ and is inscribed in a circle of radius

$$r = \csc(2\pi/5) = \csc(\pi/2 - \pi/10) = \sec(\pi/10)$$
.

Hence

$$d_5(E) = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le 5} |z_i - z_j|^{1/10} \le \sqrt{2 \sec(\pi/5)}.$$

Observe that

$$\sqrt{2\sec(\pi/5)} = \sec(\pi/10)5^{1/4}$$
.

Indeed, by Theorem 2.6 we have $d_5(\mathscr{E}_5) = 5^{1/4}$ and a direct computation yields

$$d_5(\mathscr{E}_5) = \sqrt{|1 - \omega| |1 - \omega^2|} = 2\sqrt{\sin(\pi/5)\sin(2\pi/5)}.$$

Hence $2\sqrt{\sin(\pi/5)\sin(2\pi/5)} = 5^{1/4}$ and it remains to show that

$$2\sec(\pi/5) = 4\sec^2(\pi/10)\sin(\pi/5)\sin(2\pi/5).$$

Equivalently,

$$\cos^2(\pi/10) = 2\cos(\pi/5)\sin(\pi/5)\sin(2\pi/5).$$

We have

$$2\cos(\pi/5)\sin(\pi/5)\sin(2\pi/5) = \sin^2(2\pi/5) = \sin^2(\pi/2 - \pi/10) = \cos^2(\pi/10),$$

as required.

Finally, the equality holds if $\mathcal{P}_5(E)$ is regular, that is, $E = L(r_5 \mathcal{E}_5)$ for some L(z) = az + b with |a| = 1. Thus,

$$d_5(E) \le \sqrt{2\sec(\pi/5)} = \sec(\pi/10)5^{1/4} = d_n(r_5 \mathcal{E}_5),$$

completing the proof.

Next, we discuss Conjecture 2.8 for n = 7. While we cannot verify if the conjecture is true for n = 7, we provide its validity under the following additional condition on 7-tuples. Given a 7-tuple $E = \{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5, z_6, z_7\}$, suppose that the 7-gon $\mathcal{P}_7(E)$ with vertices in E is convex and that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{7} |z_{k} - z_{k+1}| |z_{k+2} - z_{k+3}|
\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{7} |z_{k} - z_{k+1}| (|z_{k+1} - z_{k+2}| + |z_{k+3} - z_{k+4}|), \quad (3-2)$$

where $z_8 = z_1$, $z_9 = z_2$, $z_{10} = z_3$, $z_{11} = z_4$. Observe that the regular 7-gons satisfy condition (3-2).

Proposition 3.3. Suppose that the 7-tuple $E = \{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5, z_6, z_7\}$ is in T_7 and satisfies condition (3-2) and that $\mathcal{P}_7(E)$ is convex. Then

$$d_7(E) \le 2(2\sin(\pi/14))^{1/2}(1+2\sin(\pi/14))^{1/6}$$
.

Equality holds $\mathfrak{P}_7(E)$ is a regular 7-gon of side-length

$$l = 2 \sec(\pi/14) \sin(\pi/7) = 4 \sin(\pi/14).$$

In particular,

$$2(2\sin(\pi/14))^{1/2}(1+2\sin(\pi/14))^{1/6} = \sec(\pi/14)7^{1/6}.$$

Proof. The product $\prod_{1 \le k < l \le 7} |z_k - z_l|$ can be split into three parts:

$$|z_1 - z_2||z_2 - z_3||z_3 - z_4||z_4 - z_5||z_5 - z_6||z_6 - z_7||z_1 - z_7|,$$

$$|z_1 - z_4||z_1 - z_5||z_2 - z_5||z_2 - z_6||z_3 - z_6||z_3 - z_7||z_4 - z_7|,$$

$$|z_1 - z_3||z_2 - z_4||z_3 - z_5||z_4 - z_6||z_5 - z_7||z_1 - z_6||z_2 - z_7|.$$

It follows from the AM-GM inequality and Reinhardt's theorem that

$$|z_1 - z_2||z_2 - z_3||z_3 - z_4||z_4 - z_5||z_5 - z_6||z_6 - z_7||z_1 - z_7| \le (P/7)^7$$
,

where P is the perimeter of a regular 7-gon with side-length $4 \sin \frac{\pi}{14}$. Therefore,

$$|z_1 - z_2||z_2 - z_3||z_3 - z_4||z_4 - z_5||z_5 - z_6||z_6 - z_7||z_1 - z_7| \le [4\sin(\pi/14)]^7$$

and since $E \in T_7$, we also obtain

$$|z_1 - z_4| |z_1 - z_5| |z_2 - z_5| |z_2 - z_6| |z_3 - z_6| |z_3 - z_7| |z_4 - z_7| \le 2^7$$
.

Moreover, the equality holds for a regular 7-gon in both of these inequalities. It remains to find the maximum value of

$$|z_1-z_3||z_2-z_4||z_3-z_5||z_4-z_6||z_5-z_7||z_1-z_6||z_2-z_7|.$$

To achieve this goal we will use Ptolemy's inequality. We have

$$|z_1-z_3||z_2-z_4| \le |z_1-z_4||z_2-z_3|+|z_1-z_2||z_3-z_4| \le 2|z_2-z_3|+|z_1-z_2||z_3-z_4|$$

Hence

$$|z_1 - z_3| |z_2 - z_4| \le 2|z_2 - z_3| + |z_1 - z_2| |z_3 - z_4|.$$

In a similar fashion we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |z_2 - z_4| |z_3 - z_5| &\leq 2|z_3 - z_4| + |z_2 - z_3| |z_4 - z_5|, \\ |z_3 - z_5| |z_4 - z_6| &\leq 2|z_4 - z_5| + |z_3 - z_4| |z_5 - z_6|, \\ |z_4 - z_6| |z_5 - z_7| &\leq 2|z_5 - z_6| + |z_4 - z_5| |z_6 - z_7|, \\ |z_5 - z_7| |z_1 - z_6| &\leq 2|z_6 - z_7| + |z_5 - z_6| |z_1 - z_7|, \\ |z_1 - z_6| |z_2 - z_7| &\leq 2|z_1 - z_7| + |z_6 - z_7| |z_1 - z_2|, \\ |z_2 - z_7| |z_1 - z_3| &\leq 2|z_1 - z_2| + |z_1 - z_7| |z_2 - z_3|. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the equalities hold if $\mathcal{P}_7(E)$ is a regular 7-gon in T_7 , since the vertices of such a 7-gon lie on a circle and that $|z_k - z_{k+3}| = 2$ for each k = 1, 2, ..., 7.

Multiplying these inequalities and applying the AM-GM inequality we obtain

$$\prod_{1 \le k \le 7} |z_k - z_{k+2}|^2 = \left(|z_1 - z_3| |z_2 - z_4| |z_3 - z_5| |z_4 - z_6| |z_5 - z_7| |z_1 - z_6| |z_2 - z_7| \right)^2 \\
\leq \prod_{1 \le k \le 7} (2|z_{k+1} - z_{k+2}| + |z_k - z_{k+1}| |z_{k+2} - z_{k+3}|)) \\
\leq \frac{1}{7^7} \left(2 \sum_{k=1}^7 |z_k - z_{k+1}| + \sum_{k=1}^7 |z_k - z_{k+1}| |z_{k+2} - z_{k+3}| \right)^7.$$

Reinhardt's theorem implies

$$2\sum_{k=1}^{7} |z_k - z_{k+1}| \le 2P = 56\sin\frac{\pi}{14}$$

Once again we have the equality if $\mathcal{P}_7(E)$ is a regular 7-gon in T_7 .

By our assumption we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{7} |z_k - z_{k+1}| |z_{k+2} - z_{k+3}| \le \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{7} |z_k - z_{k+1}| (|z_{k+1} - z_{k+2}| + |z_{k+3} - z_{k+4}|)$$

with equality for a regular 7-gon. Applying the AM-GM inequality for each pair of $|z_k - z_{k+1}|^2 + |z_l - z_{l+1}|^2$ ($1 \le k < l \le 7$) and Reinhardt's theorem we have

$$28^{2} \sin^{2} \frac{\pi}{14} \ge \left(\sum_{k=1}^{7} |z_{k} - z_{k+1}| \right)^{2}$$

$$\ge \frac{7}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{7} |z_{k} - z_{k+1}| \left(|z_{k+2} - z_{k+3}| + |z_{k+1} - z_{k+2}| + |z_{k+3} - z_{k+4}| \right)$$

$$\ge 7 \sum_{k=1}^{7} |z_{k} - z_{k+1}| |z_{k+2} - z_{k+3}|$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{7} |z_k - z_{k+1}| |z_{k+2} - z_{k+3}| \le 112 \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{14},$$

which means that

$$\prod_{k=1}^{7} |z_k - z_{k+2}| \le \left(8 \sin \frac{\pi}{14} + 16 \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{14}\right)^{7/2}.$$

This completes our proof in this case. The equality indeed occurs when z_k 's are vertices of the regular 7-gon whose side-length $l = 4 \sin \pi / 14$.

4. The *n*-diameter of sets of constant width

Let n be odd and consider the n-tuple $r_n \mathcal{E}_n$. Recall that $r_n = \sec(\pi/2n)$ and $\mathcal{E}_n = \{1, \omega, \omega^2, \dots, \omega^{n-1}\}$. Put $z_k = r_n \omega^k$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Connect the consecutive points z_k and z_{k+1} by an arc of a circle whose center is the unique point z_l in the set $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n\}$ which is equidistant from the points z_k and z_{k+1} . The radii of all such circles are the same and is equal to 2. For example, the circle centered at z_n and radius λ joins the points $z_{(n-1)/2}$ and $z_{(n+1)/2}$. The resulting set, denoted by \mathcal{R}_n , is of constant width 2. It is called a *Reuleaux n-gon* and the points $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n\}$ are called *the vertices* of \mathcal{R}_n [Chakerian and Groemer 1983, p. 59]. It follows from the construction of \mathcal{R}_n that if W is any set of constant width 2 containing $r_n \mathcal{E}_n$, then $W \subset \mathcal{R}_n$. A Reuleaux n-gon of width $\lambda > 0$ is constructed in a similar fashion.

A *Reuleaux polygon* of width λ is a set of constant width λ whose boundary consists of a finitely many (necessarily odd) circular arcs of radius λ [Eggleston 1958, p. 128]. Let \mathcal{R} be a Reuleaux polygon and let D be a unique disk of smallest radius containing \mathcal{R} . If all the corners of \mathcal{R} (i.e., the intersection points of the boundary arcs of \mathcal{R}) are contained on ∂D , then \mathcal{R} is a Reuleaux n-gon, where n is the number of corners.

Lemma 4.1. If n is odd, then $d_n(\Re_n) = d_n(r_n \mathscr{E}_n)$.

Proof. Let $D = \overline{B}(0, r_n)$. Since $\Re_n \subset D$, we have $d_n(\Re_n) \leq d_n(D)$. Since the corners $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n\}$ of \Re_n are equally spaced on ∂D , we have

$$d_n(\mathcal{R}_n) \ge \prod_{1 \le k < l \le n} |z_k - z_l|^{\frac{2}{n(n-1)}} = d_n(D).$$

Thus,
$$d_n(\Re_n) = d_n(D) = r_n d_n(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) = \sec(\pi/2n) n^{1/(n-1)} = d_n(r_n \mathscr{E}_n)$$
.

Conjecture 4.2. If n is odd, the Reuleaux n-gons have the largest n-diameter among all sets of the same constant width.

Theorem 4.3. Conjecture 4.2 is equivalent to Conjecture 2.8.

Proof. Suppose that Conjecture 2.8 is true and let W be a set of constant width λ . Let $\Re_n(\lambda)$ be a Reuleaux n-gon of width λ . Note that if L(z) = az + b with $a \neq 0$, then the set L(W) is of constant width $|a|\lambda$. Let E be an n-tuple of points in ∂W with $d_n(W) = d_n(E)$. Since $(2/\lambda)E \in T_n$, using Conjecture 2.8 and Lemma 4.1 we obtain

$$d_n(W) = d_n(E) = \frac{\lambda}{2} d_n((2/\lambda)E) \le \frac{\lambda}{2} d_n(r_n \mathcal{E}_n) = \frac{\lambda}{2} d_n(\mathcal{R}_n) = d_n(\mathcal{R}_n(\lambda)).$$

Conversely, suppose that Conjecture 4.2 is true and let E be any n-tuple in T_n . Then E is contained in a set W of constant width 2 [Eggleston 1958, Theorem 54]. Using Conjecture 4.2 and Lemma 4.1 we obtain

$$d_n(E) < d_n(W) < d_n(\Re_n) = d_n(r_n \mathscr{E}_n).$$

Conjecture 4.2, if true, would imply the following corollary (see also [Hille 1962]).

Corollary 4.4. *Let* $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ *be any set with* diam $A = \lambda > 0$ *and let* D *be a disk with* diam $A = \lambda$. *Then*

$$d_{\infty}(A) \le d_{\infty}(D) = \frac{\lambda}{2}.$$

Proof. Clearly, $d_{\infty}(D) = \lambda/2$. The set A is contained in a set W of constant width λ . If n is odd, then using (2-7), Lemma 4.1 and Conjecture 4.2 we obtain

$$d_n(A) \le d_n(W) \le \frac{\lambda}{2} d_n(\Re_n(\lambda)) = \frac{\lambda}{2} \sec(\pi/2n) n^{1/(n-1)}.$$

By letting *n* tend to infinity we obtain $d_{\infty}(A) \leq \lambda/2$.

References

[Ahlfors 1973] L. V. Ahlfors, *Conformal invariants: topics in geometric function theory*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1973. MR 50 #10211 Zbl 0272.30012

[Berger 1994] M. Berger, Geometry, I, II, Springer, Berlin, 1994. MR 95g:51001 Zbl 0606.51001

[Burckel et al. 2008] R. B. Burckel, D. E. Marshall, D. Minda, P. Poggi-Corradini, and T. J. Ransford, "Area, capacity and diameter versions of Schwarz's lemma", *Conform. Geom. Dyn.* **12** (2008), 133–152. MR 2010j:30050 Zbl 1233.30016

[Chakerian and Groemer 1983] G. D. Chakerian and H. Groemer, "Convex bodies of constant width", pp. 49–96 in *Convexity and its applications*, edited by P. M. Gruber and J. M. Wills, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1983. MR 85f:52001 Zbl 0518.52002

[Dubinin 1986] V. N. Dubinin, "A symmetrization method and transfinite diameter", *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.* 27:2 (1986), 39–46. In Russian; translated in *Sib. Math. J.* 27 (1986), 174–180. MR 88j:30053 Zbl 0595.30031

[Duren and Schiffer 1991] P. L. Duren and M. M. Schiffer, "Univalent functions which map onto regions of given transfinite diameter", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 323:1 (1991), 413–428. MR 92d:30013 Zbl 0724.30018

[Eggleston 1958] H. G. Eggleston, *Convexity*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics **47**, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1958. MR 23 #A2123 Zbl 0086.15302

[Goluzin 1969] G. M. Goluzin, *Geometric theory of functions of a complex variable*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs **26**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1969. MR 40 #308 Zbl 0183.07502

[Grandcolas 2000] M. Grandcolas, "Regular polygons and transfinite diameter", *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **62**:1 (2000), 67–74. MR 2001k:52005 Zbl 0976.52004

[Grandcolas 2002] M. Grandcolas, *Problems of diameters in the plane, the Cauchy problem for a derivor*, thesis, Université de Rouen, Mont-Saint Aignan, 2002.

[Hästö et al. 2012] P. Hästö, Z. Ibragimov, and D. Minda, "Convex sets of constant width and 3-diameter", *Houston J. Math* **38**:2 (2012), 421–443.

[Hayman 1966] W. K. Hayman, *Transfinite diameter and its applications*, Matscience Report **45**, Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Madras, 1966. MR 52 #14272

[Hille 1962] E. Hille, *Analytic function theory*, vol. 2, Ginn, Boston, 1962. MR 34 #1490 Zbl 0102.

[Kelly 1957] P. J. Kelly, "Curves with a kind of constant width", *Amer. Math. Monthly* **64** (1957), 333–336. MR 19,1073b Zbl 0080,15602

[Mossinghoff 2006] M. J. Mossinghoff, "A \$1 problem", Amer. Math. Monthly 113:5 (2006), 385–402.
MR 2006m:51021 Zbl 1170.51007

[Overholt and Schober 1989] M. Overholt and G. Schober, "Transfinite extent", Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. 14:2 (1989), 277–290. MR 90m:30028 Zbl 0699.30009

[Reich and Schiffer 1964] E. Reich and M. Schiffer, "Estimates for the transfinite diameter of a continuum", *Math. Z.* **85** (1964), 91–106. MR 30 #4921 Zbl 0129.29304

[Tsuji 1959] M. Tsuji, *Potential theory in modern function theory*, Maruzen, Tokyo, 1959. MR 22 #5712 Zbl 0087,28401

Received: 2011-09-12 Revised: 2011-12-14 Accepted: 2011-12-16

zibragimov@fullerton.edu Department of Mathematics, California State University, Fuller-

ton, McCarthy Hall 154, Fullerton, CA 92831, United States

tuanl@wpi.edu Department of Mathematical Sciences,

Worcester Polytechnic Institute, 100 Institute Road,

Worcester, MA 01609, United States





EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut, Wake Forest University, USA, berenhks@wfu.edu

		f Editors	
Colin Adams	Williams College, USA colin.c.adams@williams.edu	David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA larson@math.tamu.edu
John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA baxley@wfu.edu	Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA lenhart@math.utk.edu
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA benjamin@hmc.edu	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA ckli@math.wm.edu
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA bohner@mst.edu	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA lund@clemson.edu
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA boston@math.wisc.edu	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA budhiraj@email.unc.edu	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA meyer@stat.colostate.edu
Pietro Cerone	Victoria University, Australia pietro.cerone@vu.edu.au	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria eminchev@hotmail.com
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA scott.chapman@shsu.edu	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA frank.morgan@williams.edu
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA cooper@math.sc.edu	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA corcoran@colorado.edu	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA znashed@mail.ucf.edu
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA tdiagana@howard.edu	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA ono@mathcs.emory.edu
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA mdorff@math.byu.edu	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA tobriel @luc.edu
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia sever@matilda.vu.edu.au	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA orourke@cs.smith.edu
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA peres@microsoft.com
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam foisyjs@potsdam.edu	YF. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland petermann@math.unige.ch
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA fulp@wfu.edu	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA plemmons@wfu.edu
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA jgallian@d.umn.edu	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA stephan.garcia@pomona.edu	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA vadim@sciences.sdsu.edu
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA godbole@etsu.edu	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA poonen@math.berkeley.edu
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA rg@mathcs.emory.edu	James Propp	U Mass Lowell, USA jpropp@cs.uml.edu
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada andrew@dms.umontreal.ca	Józeph H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA przytyck@gwu.edu
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA griggs@math.sc.edu	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA rrebarbe@math.unl.edu
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA sngupta@uncg.edu	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA rwr@cs.uga.edu
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA jhaglund@math.upenn.edu	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA f_saidak@uncg.edu
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA johnny_henderson@baylor.edu	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA sellersj@math.psu.edu
Jim Hoste	Pitzer College jhoste@pitzer.edu	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor andy@ajsterge.com
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA nahritonenko@pvamu.edu	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA atrenk@wellesley.edu
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Arizona State University,USA hurlbert@asu.edu	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA vakil@math.stanford.edu
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA crjohnso@math.wm.edu	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy antonia.vecchio@cnr.it
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA kk@ces.clemson.edu	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA verma99@msn.com
C I - 1	Hairranita of Dhada Island HCA	1.1 C W	T. I. TT. 1: TT.: 1/ TICA

PRODUCTION

John C. Wierman

Michael E. Zieve

Johns Hopkins University, USA

University of Michigan, USA zieve@umich.edu

wierman@jhu.edu

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

gladas@math.uri.edu

University of Rhode Island, USA

Gerry Ladas

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2012 is US \$105/year for the electronic version, and \$145/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLow® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.



mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing

http://msp.org/

Analysis of the steady states of a mathematical model for Chagas disease MARY CLAUSON, ALBERT HARRISON, LAURA SHUMAN, MEIR SHILLOR AND ANNA MARIA SPAGNUOLO	237
Bounds on the artificial phase transition for perfect simulation of hard core Gibbs processes MARK L. HUBER, ELISE VILLELLA, DANIEL ROZENFELD AND JASON XU	247
A nonextendable Diophantine quadruple arising from a triple of Lucas numbers A. M. S. RAMASAMY AND D. SARASWATHY	257
Alhazen's hyperbolic billiard problem NATHAN POIRIER AND MICHAEL MCDANIEL	273
Bochner (p, Y) -operator frames Mohammad Hasan Faroughi, Reza Ahmadi and Morteza Rahmani	283
<i>k</i> -furcus semigroups NICHOLAS R. BAETH AND KAITLYN CASSITY	295
Studying the impacts of changing climate on the Finger Lakes wine industry BRIAN MCGAUVRAN AND THOMAS J. PFAFF	303
A graph-theoretical approach to solving Scramble Squares puzzles SARAH MASON AND MALI ZHANG	313
The <i>n</i> -diameter of planar sets of constant width ZAIR IBRAGIMOV AND TUAN LE	327
Boolean elements in the Bruhat order on twisted involutions DELONG MENG	339
Statistical analysis of diagnostic accuracy with applications to cricket LAUREN MONDIN, COURTNEY WEBER, SCOTT CLARK, JESSICA WINBORN, MELINDA M. HOLT AND ANANDA B. W. MANAGE	349
Vertex polygons CANDICE NIELSEN	361
Optimal trees for functions of internal distance ALEX COLLINS FEDELIS MUTISO AND HUA WANG	371