

Boolean elements in the Bruhat order on twisted involutions

Delong Meng







### Boolean elements in the Bruhat order on twisted involutions

#### Delong Meng

(Communicated by Kenneth S. Berenhaut)

We prove that a permutation in the Bruhat order on twisted involutions is Boolean if and only if it avoids the following patterns: 4321, 3421, 4312, 4231, 32541, 52143, 351624, 456123, 426153, 321654, 561234, 345612, 3416275, 3561274, 1532746, 4517236, 34127856, 35172846, and 36712845. This result answers a question proposed by Hultman and Vorwerk. Our technique provides an application of the pictorial representation of the Bruhat order given by Incitti.

#### 1. Introduction

In this paper, we answer the following question proposed by Hultman and Vorwerk [2009, Problem 5.1].

**Problem.** A permutation  $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  is said to be a *twisted involution* if  $ww_0$  is an involution, where  $w_0 = n, n - 1, ..., 1$ . Let  $Tw(\mathfrak{S}_n)$  denote the Bruhat order on twisted involutions. With pattern avoidance, classify all twisted involutions whose principal order ideal in  $Tw(\mathfrak{S}_n)$  is Boolean.

We first define some requisite terms in the problem statement (see [Björner and Brenti 2005] for background reading).

Definition. Let

$$l(w) = |\{\{i, j\} : i < j \text{ and } w(i) > w(j)\}|$$

denote the number of inversions of w. The *Bruhat order of the symmetric group*, denoted by  $Br(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ , is a partial order on  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  defined as follows: w covers w' if and only if l(w) = l(w') + 1 and w is obtained from w' by a transposition of w'(i) and w'(j) for some  $1 \le i, j \le n$ .

MSC2010: 05E15.

Keywords: pattern avoidance, Bruhat order, twisted involutions, Boolean posets.

**Definition.** The *Bruhat order on twist involutions*, denoted by  $Tw(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ , is the poset on twisted involutions defined by  $u \leq v$  in  $Tw(\mathfrak{S}_n)$  if and only if  $u \leq v$  in  $Br(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ .

Let Q be a poset. The *principal order ideal* of  $w \in Q$ , denoted by  $P_Q(w)$  (or P(w) when the context is clear), is the subposet of Q induced by the set of elements less than or equal to w. The Boolean poset  $B_k$  is the poset on the subsets of  $\{1, 2, ..., k\}$  partially ordered by inclusion. A twisted involution w is said to be *Boolean* if its principal order ideal in  $Tw(\mathfrak{S}_n)$  is isomorphic to a Boolean poset.

**Example.** The Boolean elements of  $Tw(\mathfrak{S}_4)$  are 2341, 3412, 4123, 1324, 2143, and 1234.



We now present a brief history of this problem. Tenner [2007] brought pattern avoidance to the study of the Bruhat order. She classified Boolean elements of  $Br(\mathfrak{S}_n)$  as 321- and 3412-avoiding permutations. Hultman and Vorwerk [2009] studied an analogue of Tenner's result for involutions: 4321-, 45312-, and 456123-avoiding permutations. At the end of [Hultman and Vorwerk 2009], the authors asked whether a similar result exists for twisted involutions. We settle this question with the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** A twisted involution is Boolean if and only if it avoids all forbidden patterns. The forbidden patterns are 4321, 3421, 4312, 4231, 32541, 52143, 351624, 456123, 426153, 321654, 561234, 345612, 3416275, 3561274, 1532746, 4517236, 34127856, 35172846, and 36712845.

As a side note, the poset  $Tw(\mathfrak{S}_n)$  is isomorphic to the dual of  $I(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ , the Bruhat order on involutions. Consequently, our result also characterizes Boolean principal order filters of  $I(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ .

Previous work [Hultman and Vorwerk 2009; Tenner 2007] relied heavily on the algebraic properties of Coxeter groups. We prove Theorem 1.1 using permutation diagrams that represent the cover relations in the Bruhat order. Such diagrams

were introduced by Incitti [2003; 2004; 2005].

Let  $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ . The *permutation diagram* of w is the set of lattice points (i, w(i)), where  $1 \le i \le n$ . Permutation diagrams of twisted involutions of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  are symmetric about x + y = n + 1.

Incitti [2005] shows that w covers w' in  $Br(\mathfrak{S}_n)$  if and only if their permutation diagrams differ by the following rectangle.



White dots belong to w and black to w', and no points lie inside the rectangle. Call the above rectangle a *cover block*.

**Example.** Left is the permutation diagram of 24153. The picture to the right shows that 4321 covers 4231 in  $Br(\mathfrak{S}_4)$ .



Incitti [2004] classifies the cover relations of  $I(\mathfrak{S}_n)$  with six types of cover blocks. Reflecting them about the line x = (n+1)/2 gives us the cover blocks of  $Tw(\mathfrak{S}_n)$  (see Section 3).

The key idea of our proof of Theorem 1.1 is to examine pairs of cover blocks on the same permutation diagram. To illustrate our technique, we start with an alternative and arguably simpler proof of Tenner [2007, Theorem 5.3] (see Section 2). In particular, we remove the need for Tenner's characterization of vexillary permutations [Tenner 2006, Theorem 3.8].

Section 3 contains the proof of Theorem 1.1. We discuss further directions in Section 4.

The Bruhat order on Coxeter groups is an extensively studied subject in combinatorics (see [Björner and Brenti 2005]). Following the work of Richardson and Springer [1990], there has been a surge of interest in the Bruhat order on twisted involutions because of its application to algebraic geometry and its resemblance to the Bruhat order on the symmetric group. For example, Hultman [2005; 2007] showed that  $Tw(\mathfrak{S}_n)$  satisfies the deletion property and the subword property known for  $Br(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ . The similarity between  $Tw(\mathfrak{S}_n)$  and  $Br(\mathfrak{S}_n)$  inspired Hultman and Vorwerk [2009] to propose this problem.

#### 2. Boolean elements of the symmetric group

In this section, we give an alternative proof of Tenner [2007, Theorem 5.3] to illustrate our approach to Theorem 1.1.

**Definition.** Elements of a poset are called *vertices* and cover relations *edges*. An edge uv is called an *upward move from u* if v covers u. Define a *downward move* similarly. Two edges are said to *commute uniquely* if they lie on a unique 4-cycle.

**Theorem** [Tenner 2007, Theorem 5.3]. A permutation  $w \in Br(\mathfrak{S}_n)$  is Boolean if and only if w is 321- and 3412-avoiding.

*Proof of necessity.* Suppose w contains a 321- or 3412-pattern. Let u denote the minimal element of P(w) that contains a 321- or 3412-pattern. Then the permutation diagram of u contains the following figure, where no other points lie inside the rectangle.



The two downward edges from u that act on this rectangle do not commute uniquely, as shown below.



Therefore, w is not Boolean.

Proof of sufficiency. We start with the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let P be a graded and connected poset. If every pair of edges that share a vertex in P commute uniquely, then P is a Boolean poset.

*Proof.* Let *M* denote the maximal element of *P* (the maximum exists because upward moves commute uniquely). Suppose *M* covers  $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_k$ . Define  $f(u) = \{i : m_i \ge u\}$ . We prove that *f* bijectively maps the *i*-th row of *P* to the *i*-th row of *B<sub>k</sub>* using strong induction on *i*. Base case is trivial.

Suppose *f* bijectively maps the first *i* rows of *P* to the first *i* rows of  $B_k$ . Let *u* be an element in the (i + 1)st row. We claim that an element *v* covers *u* if and only if  $f(v) = f(u) \setminus x$  for some  $x \in f(u)$ .

If *u* is covered with  $v_1, v_2, ..., v_j$ , then  $f(u) = \bigcup_{1 \le a \le j} f(v_a)$ . Since for all  $1 \le a, b \le j$ , the upward moves  $uv_a$  and  $uv_b$  commute uniquely, we have  $f(v_a)$  and  $f(v_b)$  differ by exactly one element. Therefore, for all  $1 \le a \le j$ , we have  $f(v_a) = f(u) \setminus x$  for some  $x \in f(u)$ . Conversely, suppose  $f(v) = f(u) \setminus x$  for some  $x \in f(u)$ . Let

$$v' := f^{-1}(f(v_j) \cap f(v)).$$

Since  $v_j v'$  and  $v_j u$  commute uniquely, there exists a v'' in the *i*-th row such that  $v'v''uv_j$  is a four cycle. Then  $f(v'') = f(u) \setminus x$ . Since the *i*-th row of *P* is isomorphic to the *i*-th row of  $B_k$ , we have v = v''.

Therefore, f maps the (i + 1)st row of P to the (i + 1)st row of  $B_k$ , and P is isomorphic to the Boolean post  $B_k$ .

Suppose *w* is 321- and 3412-avoiding. It is easy to check that all  $u \le w$  are 321and 3412-avoiding. If *u* is 321- and 3412-avoiding, then all pairs of downward moves from *u* commute uniquely. An upward move from *u* commute uniquely with a downward move from *u*. Suppose two upward moves  $uv_1$  and  $uv_2$  do not commute uniquely, then these two moves must be applied to one of the following figures.



In the right diagram, the element greater than both  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  must contain a 321-pattern, so only one of  $v_1$  or  $v_2$  belongs to P(w).

In the left diagram, there exist  $v'_1$  and  $v'_2$  that cover both  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . Let v be the element that covers  $v'_1$  and  $v'_2$ . Then  $vv'_1$  and  $vv'_2$  are two downward edges that do not commute uniquely, as shown below.



Thus, one of  $v'_1$  and  $v'_2$  does not belong to P(w), and  $uv_1$  and  $uv_2$  do commute uniquely in P(w).

Therefore, all pairs of edges commute uniquely in P(w), and w is Boolean by Lemma 2.1.

**Remark.** The key idea of the proof of necessity is to identify a pair of downward moves that do not commute uniquely. The proof of sufficiency follows from Lemma 2.1.

We can also use this idea to prove Hultman and Vorwerk [2009, Theorem 1.1], with the caveat that there are six types of cover blocks in the Bruhat order on involutions.

#### 3. Proof of the main theorem

We wish to apply the same technique as the previous section, so we need to identify pairs of edges that do not commute uniquely.

We first classify the cover blocks of the Bruhat order on twisted involutions. Incitti [2004] characterizes the six types of cover blocks of the Bruhat order on involutions. Since permutation diagrams of twisted involutions are equivalent to those of involutions reflected about x = (n + 1)/2, we obtain the following characterization of cover relations of  $Tw(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ .

**Definition.** Let w and w' denote two twisted involutions. We have w covers w' if and only if their permutation diagrams differ by one of the following *cover blocks*. The white dots belong to w and black to w', and no points lie inside these shapes.



The six types of cover blocks induce fifteen types of intersecting pairs. The pairs of downward moves that do not commute uniquely define the *forbidden pairs* shown below, where no points lie inside the area enclosed by the lines.

344

#### BOOLEAN ELEMENTS IN THE BRUHAT ORDER ON TWISTED INVOLUTIONS 345



3 & 1, 3 & 4, 3 & 5 are rotations of 2 & 1, 2 & 4, 2 & 5 by 180 degrees



These forbidden pairs will give us the forbidden patterns in Theorem 1.1. Note that cover relation 6 never appears in the forbidden pairs because any forbidden pair with relation 6 induces a forbidden pattern already contained in the earlier ones.

**Example.** Two downward moves applied to the forbidden pair 1&2 do not commute uniquely. (See figure on the right.)

We now prove Theorem 1.1.



*Proof of necessity.* Suppose w contains one of the forbidden patterns. If this forbidden pattern in the permutation diagram of w is not symmetric about x + y = n + 1, then we can treat the corresponding points as the full Bruhat order. Since all forbidden patterns contain either 321 or 3412, the permutation cannot be Boolean.

We now assume that the forbidden pattern is symmetric about x + y = n + 1. Let u denote the minimal element of P(w) that contains a forbidden pattern. Then the permutation diagram of u contains a forbidden pair. (The forbidden pattern of u with the smallest area in the permutation diagram cannot contain other points. For example, if there are other points inside cover block 2, then we obtain a forbidden pattern with smaller area, as shown below.)



The two downward moves applied to this forbidden pair of u do not commute uniquely. Thus, w is not Boolean.

Proof of sufficiency. To apply Lemma 2.1, we check two things:

- 1. If w avoids all forbidden patterns, then any  $u \le w$  also avoids all forbidden patterns.
- 2. If *u* avoids all forbidden patterns, then all pairs of edges emanating from *u* commute uniquely.

Any  $u \le w$  avoids all forbidden patterns because, after every downward move, the number of cover blocks and the rank of *u* decrease by exactly one, which means no new cover block can be created.

If *u* avoids all forbidden patterns, then two downward moves from *u* commute uniquely. We can check that an upward move and a downward move always commute (this also follows from the lexicographical shellability of  $Tw(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ ). The pairs of upward moves that do not commute uniquely induce patterns 1234, 21354, and 321654.



We have 321654 is a forbidden pattern. For the other two patterns, the element that covers both end points of an upward move contains a element with a forbidden

pattern. Therefore, only one of the endpoints is contained in P(w), and all pairs of upward moves do commute uniquely in P(w). Lemma 2.1 shows that w is indeed Boolean.

#### 4. Further remarks

Our result provides an application of Incitti's pictorial representation of the Bruhat order [Incitti 2004]. Incitti [2003; 2004; 2005] also classify representations of cover relations for the Bruhat order on Coxeter groups of types B and D as well as involutions in these groups.

Question. What is the analogue of our result for Coxeter groups of types B and D?

Green and Losonczy [2002] classify Boolean elements of the poset on commutation classes of reduced decompositions: 4321-, 4231-, 4312-, and 3421-avoiding permutations. The author's recent work [Meng  $\geq 2012$ ] generalizes Green and Losonczy's work to the higher Bruhat order. Using Incitti's pictures, we can show that  $Br(\mathfrak{S}_n)$  is generated by 4-cycles. Compare this with the fact that the higher Bruhat order B(n, 2) is generated by 4-cycles and 8-cycles, we believe that studying the similarity between the strong Bruhat order and the higher Bruhat order is worthwhile.

#### Acknowledgements

This research was carried out at the University of Minnesota Duluth under the supervision of Joseph Gallian, with the financial support of the National Science Foundation and the Department of Defense (grant number DMS 0754106), the National Security Agency (grant number H98230-06-1-0013), and the MIT Department of Mathematics. The author thanks Joseph Gallian for his encouragement and support, and Mike Develin, Nathan Kaplan, Nathan Pflueger, and Alex Zhai for helpful discussions.

#### References

- [Green and Losonczy 2002] R. M. Green and J. Losonczy, "Freely braided elements of Coxeter groups", *Ann. Comb.* **6**:3-4 (2002), 337–348. MR 2004d:20042 Zbl 1052.20028
- [Hultman 2005] A. Hultman, "Fixed points of involutive automorphisms of the Bruhat order", *Adv. Math.* **195**:1 (2005), 283–296. MR 2006a:06001 Zbl 1102.06002

<sup>[</sup>Björner and Brenti 2005] A. Björner and F. Brenti, *Combinatorics of Coxeter groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **231**, Springer, New York, 2005. MR 2006d:05001 Zbl 1110.05001

<sup>[</sup>Hultman 2007] A. Hultman, "The combinatorics of twisted involutions in Coxeter groups", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **359**:6 (2007), 2787–2798. MR 2007k:20082 Zbl 1166.20030

<sup>[</sup>Hultman and Vorwerk 2009] A. Hultman and K. Vorwerk, "Pattern avoidance and Boolean elements in the Bruhat order on involutions", *J. Algebraic Combin.* **30**:1 (2009), 87–102. MR 2011c:06009 Zbl 1225.06002

- [Incitti 2003] F. Incitti, "The Bruhat order on the involutions of the hyperoctahedral group", *European J. Combin.* **24**:7 (2003), 825–848. MR 2004h:05128 Zbl 1056.20027
- [Incitti 2004] F. Incitti, "The Bruhat order on the involutions of the symmetric group", J. Algebraic Combin. 20:3 (2004), 243–261. MR 2005h:06003 Zbl 1057.05079
- [Incitti 2005] F. Incitti, "Bruhat order on classical Weyl groups: minimal chains and covering relation", *European J. Combin.* **26**:5 (2005), 729–753. MR 2006b:06005 Zbl 1083.20036
- [Meng  $\geq$  2012] D. Meng, "Reduced decompositions and permutation patterns generalized to the higher Bruhat order", http://web.mit.edu/delong13/papers.html. Submitted.
- [Richardson and Springer 1990] R. W. Richardson and T. A. Springer, "The Bruhat order on symmetric varieties", *Geom. Dedicata* **35**:1-3 (1990), 389–436. MR 92e:20032 Zbl 0704.20039
- [Tenner 2006] B. E. Tenner, "Reduced decompositions and permutation patterns", J. Algebraic Combin. 24:3 (2006), 263–284. MR 2007f:05008 Zbl 1101.05003
- [Tenner 2007] B. E. Tenner, "Pattern avoidance and the Bruhat order", *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **114**:5 (2007), 888–905. MR 2008d:05164 Zbl 1146.05054

Received: 2011-09-17 Accepted: 2011-09-22

delong13@mit.edu

Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139, United States

348

## involve

msp.org/involve

#### EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR Kenneth S. Berenhaut, Wake Forest University, USA, berenhks@wfu.edu

BOARD OF EDITORS

	BUARD O	F EDITORS	
Colin Adams	Williams College, USA colin.c.adams@williams.edu	David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA larson@math.tamu.edu
John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA baxley@wfu.edu	Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA lenhart@math.utk.edu
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA benjamin@hmc.edu	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA ckli@math.wm.edu
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA bohner@mst.edu	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA lund@clemson.edu
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA boston@math.wisc.edu	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA budhiraj@email.unc.edu	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA meyer@stat.colostate.edu
Pietro Cerone	Victoria University, Australia pietro.cerone@vu.edu.au	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria eminchev@hotmail.com
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA scott.chapman@shsu.edu	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA frank.morgan@williams.edu
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA cooper@math.sc.edu	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA corcoran@colorado.edu	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA znashed@mail.ucf.edu
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA tdiagana@howard.edu	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA ono@mathcs.emory.edu
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA mdorff@math.byu.edu	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA tobriel@luc.edu
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia sever@matilda.vu.edu.au	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA orourke@cs.smith.edu
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA peres@microsoft.com
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam foisyjs@potsdam.edu	YF. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland petermann@math.unige.ch
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA fulp@wfu.edu	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA plemmons@wfu.edu
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA jgallian@d.umn.edu	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA stephan.garcia@pomona.edu	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA vadim@sciences.sdsu.edu
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA godbole@etsu.edu	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA poonen@math.berkeley.edu
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA rg@mathcs.emory.edu	James Propp	U Mass Lowell, USA jpropp@cs.uml.edu
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada andrew@dms.umontreal.ca	Józeph H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA przytyck@gwu.edu
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA griggs@math.sc.edu	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA rrebarbe@math.unl.edu
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA sngupta@uncg.edu	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA rwr@cs.uga.edu
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA jhaglund@math.upenn.edu	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA f_saidak@uncg.edu
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA johnny_henderson@baylor.edu	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA sellersj@math.psu.edu
Jim Hoste	Pitzer College jhoste@pitzer.edu	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor andy@ajsterge.com
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA nahritonenko@pvamu.edu	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA atrenk@wellesley.edu
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Arizona State University,USA hurlbert@asu.edu	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA vakil@math.stanford.edu
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA crjohnso@math.wm.edu	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy antonia.vecchio@cnr.it
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA kk@ces.clemson.edu	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA verma99@msn.com
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA gladas@math.uri.edu	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA wierman@jhu.edu
		Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA zieve@umich.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2012 is US \$105/year for the electronic version, and \$145/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY
mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing

http://msp.org/

© 2012 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# 2012 vol. 5 no. 3

Analysis of the steady states of a mathematical model for Chagas disease MARY CLAUSON, ALBERT HARRISON, LAURA SHUMAN, MEIR SHILLOR AND ANNA MARIA SPAGNUOLO	237
Bounds on the artificial phase transition for perfect simulation of hard core Gibbs processes MARK L. HUBER, ELISE VILLELLA, DANIEL ROZENFELD AND JASON XU	247
A nonextendable Diophantine quadruple arising from a triple of Lucas numbers A. M. S. RAMASAMY AND D. SARASWATHY	257
Alhazen's hyperbolic billiard problem Nathan Poirier and Michael McDaniel	273
Bochner ( <i>p</i> , <i>Y</i> )-operator frames MOHAMMAD HASAN FAROUGHI, REZA AHMADI AND MORTEZA RAHMANI	283
<i>k</i> -furcus semigroups NICHOLAS R. BAETH AND KAITLYN CASSITY	295
Studying the impacts of changing climate on the Finger Lakes wine industry BRIAN MCGAUVRAN AND THOMAS J. PFAFF	303
A graph-theoretical approach to solving Scramble Squares puzzles SARAH MASON AND MALI ZHANG	313
The <i>n</i> -diameter of planar sets of constant width ZAIR IBRAGIMOV AND TUAN LE	327
Boolean elements in the Bruhat order on twisted involutions DELONG MENG	339
Statistical analysis of diagnostic accuracy with applications to cricket Lauren Mondin, Courtney Weber, Scott Clark, Jessica Winborn, Melinda M. Holt and Ananda B. W. Manage	349
Vertex polygons CANDICE NIELSEN	361
Optimal trees for functions of internal distance ALEX COLLINS, FEDELIS MUTISO AND HUA WANG	371

