

involve

a journal of mathematics

Positive symmetric solutions of a second-order difference
equation

Jeffrey T. Neugebauer and Charley L. Seelbach



Positive symmetric solutions of a second-order difference equation

Jeffrey T. Neugebauer and Charley L. Seelbach

(Communicated by Johnny Henderson)

Using an extension of the Leggett–Williams fixed-point theorem due to Avery, Henderson, and Anderson, we prove the existence of solutions for a class of second-order difference equations with Dirichlet boundary conditions, and discuss a specific example.

1. Introduction

Many fixed-point theorems have applications in proving the existence of positive solutions of boundary value problems. One class of such theorems, originating with [Krasnoselskii 1964], involves an operator defined on a “wedge” — a portion of a Banach space bounded by level surfaces of positive functionals — and satisfying certain criteria. In Krasnoselskii’s original theorem, the functional was the norm; that is, the wedge conditions where $a \leq \|x\|$ and $\|x\| \leq b$, for $0 < a < b$. A later variant, in [Leggett and Williams 1979], replaced the lower wedge condition by $a \leq \alpha(x)$, where α is a concave positive functional with $\alpha(x) \leq \|x\|$; this allows more flexibility in the choice of the wedge in applications. The Leggett–Williams theorem was extended by Avery, Henderson, and Anderson [Avery et al. 2009] to allow flexibility also in the upper wedge condition, which gets replaced by $\beta(x) \leq b$, where β is a convex positive functional. This is the result of primary interest to this paper; other related results can be found in [Guo 1984; Avery and Henderson 2001; Anderson et al. 2010; Mavridis 2010].

Applications of such fixed-point theorems have been seen in works dealing with ordinary differential equations [Avery et al. 2000; 2010; Erbe and Wang 1994] and dynamic equations on time scales [Erbe et al. 2005; Liu et al. 2012; Prasad and Sreedhar 2011]. Most relevant to this paper, these theorems have been utilized for results that involve finite difference equations [Anderson et al. 2011; Cai and Yu 2006; Henderson et al. 2010].

MSC2010: 39A10.

Keywords: difference equation, boundary value problem, fixed-point theorem, positive symmetric solution.

Here we give an application of the fixed-point theorem of [Avery et al. 2009], stated below as Theorem 2.1, to obtain at least one positive solution of the difference equation

$$\Delta^2 u(k) + f(u(k)), \quad k \in \{0, \dots, N-2\}, \quad (1-1)$$

with boundary conditions

$$u(0) = u(N) = 0. \quad (1-2)$$

Here $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is any continuous function and Δ^2 is the second-difference operator, defined by $(\Delta^2 u)(k) = u(k) - 2u(k+1) + u(k+2)$. In fact we will obtain a *symmetric* solution, in the sense that $u(k) = u(N-k)$ for each k .

In Section 2 we present the fixed-point theorem of Avery et al. Section 3 contains preliminaries needed for our result on the difference equation (1-1), (1-2). That result is stated and proved in Section 4, and applied to a particular case in Section 5.

2. Statement of the fixed-point theorem

Let E be a real Banach space. A nonempty closed convex set $\mathcal{P} \subset E$ is called a *cone* if it contains the origin, is closed under multiplication by positive scalars, and has no overlap with its negative (apart from the origin). In symbols,

$$u \in \mathcal{P}, \lambda \geq 0 \implies \lambda u \in \mathcal{P} \quad \text{and} \quad u \in \mathcal{P}, -u \in \mathcal{P} \implies u = 0.$$

Let \mathcal{P} be a cone in E . A map $\alpha : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be a *nonnegative continuous concave functional* on \mathcal{P} if it is continuous and satisfies

$$\alpha(tu + (1-t)v) \geq t\alpha(u) + (1-t)\alpha(v),$$

for all $u, v \in \mathcal{P}$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Replacing \geq by \leq we obtain the definition of a *nonnegative continuous convex functional* on \mathcal{P} .

In the statement of the theorem, there appear two concave functionals, α and ϕ , and two convex ones, β and γ . The functionals α and β delimit the wedge where the operator is defined; the other two ensure additional flexibility in applications, in comparison with the Leggett–Williams theorem.

Theorem 2.1 [Avery et al. 2009]. *Let \mathcal{P} be a cone in a real Banach space E . Suppose that α and ψ are nonnegative continuous concave functionals on \mathcal{P} and that β and δ are nonnegative continuous convex functionals on \mathcal{P} . For nonnegative real numbers a, b, c , and d , define*

$$A := A(\alpha, \beta, a, d) = \{x \in \mathcal{P} : a \leq \alpha(x) \text{ and } \beta(x) \leq d\}, \quad (2-1)$$

and suppose that A is a bounded subset of \mathcal{P} . Let $T : A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be a completely continuous operator (that is, it is continuous and maps bounded sets into precompact sets). Then T has a fixed point in A provided that the following conditions hold:

- A0. $\{x \in P : \alpha(x) < a \text{ and } d < \beta(x)\} = \emptyset.$
- A1. $\{x \in A : c < \psi(x) \text{ and } \delta(x) < b\} \neq \emptyset.$
- A2. $\alpha(Tx) \geq a \text{ for all } x \in A \text{ with } \delta(x) \leq b.$
- A3. $\alpha(Tx) \geq a \text{ for all } x \in A \text{ with } b < \delta(Tx).$
- A4. $\beta(Tx) \leq d \text{ for all } x \in A \text{ with } c \leq \psi(x).$
- A5. $\beta(Tx) \leq d \text{ for all } x \in A \text{ with } \psi(Tx) < c.$

3. Application of the theorem to a difference equation

In this section we return to the system (1-1), (1-2), stating in Theorem 3.2 sufficient conditions for the existence of a solution. This result is proved in the next section using Theorem 2.1. First, however, we set up some of the objects that appear in the statement of Theorem 2.1. Throughout the discussion we use the abbreviations

$$\underline{N} = \lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{N} = \lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil.$$

Define the Banach space E to be the space of functions $u : \{0, \dots, N\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the norm

$$\|u\| = \max_{k \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}} |u(k)|.$$

Within E , consider the cone \mathcal{P} consisting of all u that are nonnegative, symmetric, nondecreasing on $\{0, 1, \dots, \underline{N}\}$, and satisfy $wu(y) \geq yu(w)$ for $w \geq y$, where $y, w \in \{0, 1, \dots, \underline{N}\}$.

Set

$$H(k, l) = \frac{1}{N} \begin{cases} k(N-l), & k \in \{0, \dots, l\}, \\ l(N-k), & k \in \{l+1, \dots, N\}. \end{cases}$$

(This is the Green’s function for $-\Delta^2$ satisfying the boundary conditions (1-2).) Define the operator T by

$$(Tu)(k) := \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} H(k, l) f(u(l)).$$

By direct checking one sees that the condition $Tu = u$ is equivalent to (1-1) and (1-2). Thus any fixed point of T is a solution of our problem.

Lemma 3.1. *The operator T maps A into \mathcal{P} .*

Proof. Let $u \in A$. We first need to show that $Tu(N-k) = Tu(k)$. Notice that $H(N-k, N-l) = H(k, l)$. Now

$$Tu(N-k) = \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} H(N-k, l) f(u(l)).$$

Applying the substitution $r = N - l$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} Tu(N-k) &= \sum_{r=1}^{N-1} H(N-k, N-r) f(u(N-r)) \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{N-1} H(k, r) f(u(r)) = Tu(k). \end{aligned}$$

Next we need to show $Tu(k)$ is nonnegative and nondecreasing on $\{0, 1, \dots, \underline{N}\}$. Since $H(k, l) \geq 0$ for $k, l \in \{0, \dots, N\}$ and f only takes nonnegative values, $Tu(k)$ is nonnegative for all $k \in \{0, \dots, N\}$.

To prove that $Tu(k)$ is nondecreasing on $\{0, 1, \dots, \underline{N}\}$, we show that $\Delta Tu(k) := Tu(k-1) - Tu(k)$ is nonnegative on $\{0, 1, \dots, \underline{N}\}$. Now

$$H(k+1, l) - H(k, l) = \frac{1}{N} \times \begin{cases} N-l & \text{if } k \in \{0, \dots, l\}, \\ -l & \text{if } k \in \{l, \dots, N-1\}. \end{cases}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Tu(k) &= \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} (H(k+1, l) - H(k, l)) f(u(l)) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \frac{-l}{N} f(u(l)) + \sum_{l=k}^{N-1} \frac{N-l}{N} f(u(l)) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \frac{-l}{N} f(u(l)) + \sum_{l=k}^{N-1} \frac{N-l}{N} f(u(N-l)) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \frac{-l}{N} f(u(l)) + \sum_{r=1}^{N-k} \frac{r}{N} f(u(r)) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \frac{-l}{N} f(u(l)) + \sum_{l=1}^{N-k} \frac{l}{N} f(u(l)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, \underline{N}\}$,

$$\Delta Tu(k) = \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \frac{-l}{N} f(u(l)) + \sum_{l=1}^{N-k} \frac{l}{N} f(u(l)) = \sum_{l=k}^{N-k} \frac{l}{N} f(u(l)) \geq 0,$$

as needed.

Lastly, we have $wTu(y) \geq yTu(w)$, since $H(k, l)$ satisfies $\frac{H(y, l)}{H(w, l)} \geq \frac{y}{w}$ for all l and all $w \geq y$. Thus T maps A into \mathcal{P} . \square

Theorem 3.2. Assume that $\tau, \mu, \nu \in \{1, \dots, \underline{N}\}$ are fixed with $\tau \leq \mu < \nu$, that d and m are positive real numbers with $0 < m < d\mu/\underline{N}$, and that $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function such that

- (i) $f(w) \geq \frac{2Nd}{(v - \tau)(2N - 1 - \tau - \nu)\underline{N}}$ for $w \in [\tau d/\underline{N}, \nu d/\underline{N}]$,
- (ii) $f(w)$ is decreasing for $w \in [0, m]$ and $f(m) \geq f(w)$ for $w \in [m, d]$, and
- (iii) $2 \sum_{l=1}^{\mu} \frac{l\bar{N}}{N} f\left(\frac{ml}{\mu}\right) \leq d - f(m) \frac{1}{N}(\bar{N})(\underline{N} - \mu)(\mu + 1 + \underline{N})$.

Set $a = \tau d/\underline{N}$. Then (1-1), (1-2) has at least one positive symmetric solution $u^* \in A$, where A is given by (2-1).

4. Proof of Theorem 3.2

Let $a = \tau d/\underline{N}$, $b = \nu d/\underline{N}$, $c = \mu d/\underline{N}$. By Lemma 3.1, T maps A into \mathcal{P} . Let $u \in A$. Then $\beta(u) = u(\underline{N}) \leq d$. But u achieves its maximum at \underline{N} , so A is bounded. By the Arzelà–Ascoli theorem, T is a completely continuous operator.

Now define the functionals appearing in the theorem as follows, where $u \in \mathcal{P}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(u) &= \min_{k \in \{\tau, \dots, \underline{N}\}} u(k) = u(\tau), & \psi(u) &= \min_{k \in \{\mu, \dots, \underline{N}\}} u(k) = u(\mu), \\ \delta(u) &= \max_{k \in \{0, \dots, \nu\}} u(k) = u(\nu), & \beta(u) &= \max_{k \in \{0, \dots, \underline{N}\}} u(k) = u(\underline{N}). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that α and ψ are concave and β and δ are convex.

We check conditions A0–A5 in turn. Let $u \in P$ and let $\beta(u) > d$. Then

$$\alpha(u) = u(\tau) \geq \frac{\tau}{\underline{N}} u(\underline{N}) = \frac{\tau}{\underline{N}} \beta(u) > \frac{\tau d}{\underline{N}} = a.$$

So $\{u \in P : \alpha(u) < a \text{ and } d < \beta(u)\} = \emptyset$, which is A0.

Now let $K \in \left(\frac{2d}{\underline{N}(3N - 4 - \mu)}, \frac{2d}{\underline{N}(3N - 4 - \nu)} \right)$. Define

$$u_K(k) = K \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} H(k, l) = \frac{Kk}{2} (3N - 4 - k).$$

Then

$$\alpha(u_K) = u_K(\tau) = \frac{K\tau}{2} (3N - 4 - \tau) > \frac{2d\tau(3N - 4 - \tau)}{2\underline{N}(3N - 4 - \mu)} \geq \frac{\tau d}{\underline{N}} = a$$

and

$$\beta(u_K) = u_K(\underline{N}) = \frac{K\underline{N}}{2} (3N - 4 - \underline{N}) < \frac{2\underline{N}d(3N - 4 - \underline{N})}{2\underline{N}(3N - 4 - \nu)} \leq \frac{\underline{N}d}{\underline{N}} = d.$$

So $u_k \in A$.

Since

$$\psi(u_k) = u_k(\mu) = \frac{K\mu}{2}(3N-4-\mu) > \frac{2d\mu(3N-4-\mu)}{2\underline{N}(3N-4-\mu)} = \frac{\mu d}{\underline{N}} = c$$

and

$$\delta(u_k) = u_k(v) = \frac{Kv}{2}(3N-4-v) < \frac{2dv(3N-4-v)}{2\underline{N}(3N-4-v)} = \frac{vd}{\underline{N}} = b,$$

we have $\{u \in A : c < \psi(u) \text{ and } \delta(u) < b\} \neq \emptyset$. Therefore A1 holds.

To show that A2 holds, take $u \in A$ with $\delta(u) < b$. By (i),

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(Tu) &= \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} H(\tau, l) f(u(l)) \geq \sum_{l=\tau+1}^v H(\tau, l) f(u(l)) \\ &\geq \frac{2Nd}{(v-\tau)(2N-1-\tau-v)\underline{N}} \cdot \frac{\tau(v-\tau)(2N-1-\tau-v)}{2N} \geq \frac{\tau d}{\underline{N}} = a. \end{aligned}$$

To show that A3 holds, let $u \in A$ with $\delta(Tu) > b$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(Tu) = Tu(\tau) &= \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} H(\tau, l) f(u(l)) \geq \frac{\tau}{v} \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} H(v, l) f(u(l)) \\ &= \frac{\tau}{v} \delta(Tu) > \frac{\tau}{v} b = \frac{d\tau}{\underline{N}} = a. \end{aligned}$$

Now we show that A4 holds. Let $u \in A$ satisfy $c \leq \phi(x)$. By the concavity of u and since $c = \mu d / \underline{N}$, for all $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, \mu\}$, we have

$$u(k) \geq \frac{ck}{\mu} \geq \frac{mk}{\mu}.$$

So, by (ii) and (iii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(Tu) &= \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} H(\underline{N}, l) f(u(l)) \leq 2 \sum_{l=1}^{\underline{N}} \frac{l(N-\underline{N})}{N} f(u(l)) \\ &= 2 \sum_{l=1}^{\mu} \frac{l(\overline{N})}{N} f(u(l)) + 2 \sum_{l=\mu+1}^{\underline{N}} \frac{l(\overline{N})}{N} f(u(l)) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{l=1}^{\mu} \frac{l(\overline{N})}{N} f(u(ml/\mu)) + 2 \sum_{l=\mu+1}^{\underline{N}} \frac{l(\overline{N})}{N} f(m) \\ &\leq d - f(m) \frac{\overline{N}}{N} (\underline{N} - \mu)(\mu + 1 + \underline{N}) + f(m) \frac{\overline{N}}{N} (\underline{N} - \mu)(\mu + 1 + \underline{N}) = d. \end{aligned}$$

Thus A4 is satisfied.

Last, we show that A5 is satisfied. Let $u \in A$ with $\psi(Tu) < c$. Then

$$\beta(Tu) = \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} H(\underline{N}, l)f(u(l)) \leq \frac{N}{\mu} \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} H(\mu, l)f(u(l)) \leq \frac{N}{\mu} \psi(Tu) < \frac{cN}{\mu} = d.$$

Therefore T has a fixed point and (1-1), (1-2) has at least one positive symmetric solution $u^* \in A$.

5. Example

Example 1. Let $N = 20$, $\tau = 1$, $\mu = 9$, $\nu = 10$, $d = 5$, and $m = 4.4$. Notice that $0 < \tau \leq \mu < \nu \leq 10 = \underline{N}$, and $0 < m = 4.4 \leq 4.5 = d\mu/\underline{N}$. Define a continuous function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$f(w) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{500}(45 - w) & \text{if } 0 \leq w \leq 40, \\ \frac{1}{100} & \text{if } w \geq 40. \end{cases}$$

Then,

- (i) for $w \in [\frac{1}{2}, 5]$, $f(w) \geq f(5) = \frac{2}{25} > \frac{5}{63} = \frac{2 \cdot 20 \cdot 5}{(10 - 1) \cdot (3 + 2 \cdot 18 - 1 - 10)(10)}$,
- (ii) $f(w)$ is decreasing for $w \in [0, 4.4]$ and $f(m) \geq f(w)$ for $w \in [4.4, 5]$, and
- (iii) $2 \sum_{l=1}^9 \frac{10l}{20} f\left(\frac{4.4l}{9}\right) = \frac{5657}{1500} < \frac{1047}{250} = 5 - f(4.4)\left(\frac{1}{20}\right)(10)(10 - 9)(9 + 1 + 10)$.

So the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied. Therefore, the difference equation

$$\Delta^2 u(k) + f(u(k)), \quad k \in \{0, 1, \dots, 18\},$$

with boundary conditions

$$u(0) = u(20) = 0,$$

has a positive symmetric solution u^* with $u(1) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $u(10) \leq 5$.

References

[Anderson et al. 2010] D. R. Anderson, R. I. Avery, and J. Henderson, "Functional expansion: compression fixed point theorem of Leggett–Williams type", *Electron. J. Differ. Equations* **2010** (2010), Article ID #63. MR 2011f:47091 Zbl 1226.47054

[Anderson et al. 2011] D. R. Anderson, R. I. Avery, J. Henderson, X. Liu, and J. W. Lyons, "Existence of a positive solution for a right focal discrete boundary value problem", *J. Differ. Equ. Appl.* **17**:11 (2011), 1635–1642. MR 2012h:39003 Zbl 1234.39002

[Avery and Henderson 2001] R. I. Avery and J. Henderson, "Two positive fixed points of non-linear operators on ordered Banach spaces", *Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.* **8**:1 (2001), 27–36. MR 2001k:47079 Zbl 1014.47025

- [Avery et al. 2000] R. I. Avery, J. M. Davis, and J. Henderson, “Three symmetric positive solutions for Lidstone problems by a generalization of the Leggett–Williams theorem”, *Electron. J. Differ. Equations* **2000** (2000), Article ID #40. MR 2001c:34048 Zbl 0958.34020
- [Avery et al. 2009] R. I. Avery, J. Henderson, and D. R. Anderson, “A topological proof and extension of the Leggett–Williams fixed point theorem”, *Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.* **16**:4 (2009), 39–44. MR 2011a:47115 Zbl 1184.47024
- [Avery et al. 2010] R. I. Avery, J. Henderson, and D. R. Anderson, “Existence of a positive solution to a right focal boundary value problem”, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* **2010** (2010), Article ID #5. MR 2011a:34051 Zbl 1202.34054
- [Cai and Yu 2006] X. Cai and J. Yu, “Existence theorems for second-order discrete boundary value problems”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **320**:2 (2006), 649–661. MR 2007b:39013 Zbl 1113.39019
- [Erbe and Wang 1994] L. H. Erbe and H. Wang, “On the existence of positive solutions of ordinary differential equations”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **120**:3 (1994), 743–748. MR 94e:34025 Zbl 0802.34018
- [Erbe et al. 2005] L. Erbe, A. Peterson, and C. Tisdell, “Existence of solutions to second-order BVPs on time scales”, *Appl. Anal.* **84**:10 (2005), 1069–1078. MR 2007e:39002 Zbl 1088.39014
- [Guo 1984] D. J. Guo, “Some fixed point theorems on cone maps”, *Kexue Tongbao (English Ed.)* **29**:5 (1984), 575–578. MR 87f:47088 Zbl 0553.47022
- [Henderson et al. 2010] J. Henderson, X. Liu, J. W. Lyons, and J. T. Neugebauer, “Right focal boundary value problems for difference equations”, *Opuscula Math.* **30**:4 (2010), 447–456. MR 2012a:39003 Zbl 1227.39004
- [Krasnoselskii 1964] M. A. Krasnoselskii, *Positive solutions of operator equations*, P. Noordhoff, Groningen, 1964. MR 31 #6107 Zbl 0121.10603
- [Leggett and Williams 1979] R. W. Leggett and L. R. Williams, “Multiple positive fixed points of nonlinear operators on ordered Banach spaces”, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **28**:4 (1979), 673–688. MR 80i:47073 Zbl 0421.47033
- [Liu et al. 2012] X. Liu, J. T. Neugebauer, and S. Sutherland, “Application of a functional type compression expansion fixed point theorem for a right focal boundary value problem on a time scale”, *Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.* **19**:2 (2012), 25–39. MR 2953282 Zbl 06077348
- [Mavridis 2010] K. G. Mavridis, “Two modifications of the Leggett–Williams fixed point theorem and their applications”, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* (2010), No. 53, 11. MR 2011a:47130 Zbl 1226.47060
- [Prasad and Sreedhar 2011] K. R. Prasad and N. Sreedhar, “Even number of positive solutions for 3rd order three-point boundary value problems on time scales”, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* **2011** (2011), Article ID #98. MR 2012m:34168

Received: 2013-02-05 Revised: 2013-02-19 Accepted: 2013-02-20

jeffrey.neugebauer@eku.edu *Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
Eastern Kentucky University, 521 Lancaster Avenue,
313 Wallace Building, Richmond, KY 40475, United States*

charley_seelbach@mymail.eku.edu *Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
Eastern Kentucky University, 521 Lancaster Avenue,
313 Wallace Building, Richmond, KY 40475, United States*

involve

msp.org/involve

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut, Wake Forest University, USA, berenhks@wfu.edu

BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA colin.c.adams@williams.edu	David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA larson@math.tamu.edu
John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA baxley@wfu.edu	Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA lenhart@math.utk.edu
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA benjamin@hmc.edu	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA ckli@math.wm.edu
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA bohner@mst.edu	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA lund@clemson.edu
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA boston@math.wisc.edu	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA budhiraj@email.unc.edu	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA meyer@stat.colostate.edu
Pietro Cerone	Victoria University, Australia pietro.cerone@vu.edu.au	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria eminchev@hotmail.com
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA scott.chapman@shsu.edu	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA frank.morgan@williams.edu
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA cooper@math.sc.edu	Mohammad Sal Moselehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA corcoran@colorado.edu	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA znashed@mail.ucf.edu
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA tdiagana@howard.edu	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA ono@mathcs.emory.edu
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA mdorff@math.byu.edu	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA tobrie1@luc.edu
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia sever@matilda.vu.edu.au	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA orourke@cs.smith.edu
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA peres@microsoft.com
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam foisyjs@potsteam.edu	Y.-F. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland petermann@math.unige.ch
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA fulp@wfu.edu	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA rplemmons@wfu.edu
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA jgallian@d.umn.edu	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA stephan.garcia@pomona.edu	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA vadim@sciences.sdsu.edu
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA godbole@etsu.edu	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA poonen@math.berkeley.edu
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA rg@mathcs.emory.edu	James Propp	U Mass Lowell, USA jpropp@cs.uml.edu
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada andrew@dms.umontreal.ca	József H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA przytyck@gwu.edu
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA griggs@math.sc.edu	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA rrebarbe@math.unl.edu
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA sngupta@uncg.edu	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA rwr@cs.uga.edu
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA jhaglund@math.upenn.edu	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA f_saidak@uncg.edu
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA johnny_henderson@baylor.edu	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA sellersj@math.psu.edu
Jim Hoste	Pitzer College jhoste@pitzer.edu	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor andy@ajsterge.com
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA nahritonenko@pvamu.edu	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA atrenk@wellesley.edu
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Arizona State University, USA hurlbert@asu.edu	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA vakil@math.stanford.edu
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA crjohnso@math.wm.edu	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy antonia.vecchio@cnr.it
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA kk@ces.clemson.edu	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA verma99@msn.com
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA gladas@math.uri.edu	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA wierman@jhu.edu
		Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA zieve@umich.edu

PRODUCTION


Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2012 is US \$105/year for the electronic version, and \$145/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2012 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

involve

2012

vol. 5

no. 4

Theoretical properties of the length-biased inverse Weibull distribution JING KERSEY AND BRODERICK O. OLUYEDE	379
The firefighter problem for regular infinite directed grids DANIEL P. BIEBIGHAUSER, LISE E. HOLTE AND RYAN M. WAGNER	393
Induced trees, minimum semidefinite rank, and zero forcing RACHEL CRANFILL, LON H. MITCHELL, SIVARAM K. NARAYAN AND TAIJI TSUTSUI	411
A new series for π via polynomial approximations to arctangent COLLEEN M. BOUEY, HERBERT A. MEDINA AND ERIKA MEZA	421
A mathematical model of biocontrol of invasive aquatic weeds JOHN ALFORD, CURTIS BALUSEK, KRISTEN M. BOWERS AND CASEY HARTNETT	431
Irreducible divisor graphs for numerical monoids DALE BACHMAN, NICHOLAS BAETH AND CRAIG EDWARDS	449
An application of Google's PageRank to NFL rankings LAURIE ZACK, RON LAMB AND SARAH BALL	463
Fool's solitaire on graphs ROBERT A. BEELER AND TONY K. RODRIGUEZ	473
Newly reducible iterates in families of quadratic polynomials KATHARINE CHAMBERLIN, EMMA COLBERT, SHARON FRECHETTE, PATRICK HEFFERMAN, RAFE JONES AND SARAH ORCHARD	481
Positive symmetric solutions of a second-order difference equation JEFFREY T. NEUGEBAUER AND CHARLEY L. SEELBACH	497



1944-4176(2012)5:4;1-9