

involve

a journal of mathematics

Shock profile for gas dynamics in
thermal nonequilibrium

Wang Xie



Shock profile for gas dynamics in thermal nonequilibrium

Wang Xie

(Communicated by John Baxley)

In this paper, we study the existence and the properties of a shock profile for a system of thermal nonequilibrium gas dynamics. We find a neat condition to ensure the existence of the shock profile. Moreover, we calculate the shock profile solution explicitly.

1. Introduction

The motion of a gas in local thermodynamic equilibrium is governed by the compressible Euler equations. In Lagrangian coordinates, the equations for one-dimensional flow read (see [Courant and Friedrichs 1948])

$$\begin{cases} v_t - u_x = 0, \\ u_t + p_x = 0, \\ (e + \frac{1}{2}u^2)_t + (pu)_x = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1-1)$$

where v , u , p and e are, respectively, the specific volume, velocity, pressure and internal energy of the gas. For an ideal gas,

$$e = \frac{1}{\gamma - 1}pv, \quad (1-2)$$

where $\gamma > 1$ is the adiabatic constant. During rapid changes in the flow the internal energy e may lag behind the equilibrium value corresponding to the ambient pressure and density. The translational energy adjusts quickly, but the rotational and vibrational energy may take an order of magnitude longer. If we suppose that α of the degrees of freedom adjust instantaneously but a further α_f degrees of freedom take longer to relax, we may take (see [Whitham 1974])

$$e = \frac{\alpha}{2}pv + q, \quad (1-3)$$

MSC2010: 35Q31.

Keywords: shock profile, thermal nonequilibrium.

where q is the energy in the lagging degrees of freedom. In equilibrium, q would have the value

$$q_{\text{equil}} = \frac{\alpha_f}{2} pv. \quad (1-4)$$

A simple overall equation to represent the relaxation is (in Lagrangian coordinates)

$$q_t = -\frac{1}{\tau} \left(q - \frac{\alpha_f}{2} pv \right), \quad (1-5)$$

where $\tau > 0$ is the relaxation time. Therefore, in thermal nonequilibrium, we have the following system of equations to model the gas motion:

$$\begin{cases} v_t - u_x = 0, \\ u_t + p_x = 0, \\ \left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha pv + q + \frac{1}{2} u^2 \right)_t + (pu)_x = 0, \\ q_t = -\frac{1}{\tau} \left(q - \frac{\alpha_f}{2} pv \right). \end{cases} \quad (1-6)$$

If the relaxation time τ is taken to be so short that $q = (\alpha_f/2)pv$ is an adequate approximation to the last equation in (1-6), we have the following equilibrium theory:

$$\begin{cases} v_t - u_x = 0, \\ u_t + p_x = 0, \\ \left(\frac{1}{2} (\alpha + \alpha_f) pv + \frac{1}{2} u^2 \right)_t + (pu)_x = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1-7)$$

The three characteristic speeds for (1-7) are

$$\lambda_1 = -\sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{2}{\alpha + \alpha_f}\right) \frac{p}{v}}, \quad \lambda_2 = 0, \quad \lambda_3 = \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{2}{\alpha + \alpha_f}\right) \frac{p}{v}}.$$

For the system (1-7), the setup $((v_-, u_-, p_-), (v_+, u_+, p_+), \sigma)$ with two constant states (v_-, u_-, p_-) and (v_+, u_+, p_+) and speed σ is called a shock wave (see [Courant and Friedrichs 1948]) if the Rankine–Hugoniot conditions

$$\begin{cases} -\sigma(v_+ - v_-) = (u_+ - u_-), \\ \sigma(u_+ - u_-) = (p_+ - p_-), \\ \sigma \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} (\alpha + \alpha_f) p_+ v_+ + \frac{1}{2} u_+^2 \right) - \left(\frac{1}{2} (\alpha + \alpha_f) p_- v_- + \frac{1}{2} u_-^2 \right) \right) = (p_+ u_+ - p_- u_-) \end{cases} \quad (1-8)$$

hold, and some other entropy conditions hold, where v_-, v_+, p_-, p_+ are positive constants, u_- and u_+ are constants. A shock wave is called a 1-shock wave if

$$-\sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{2}{\alpha + \alpha_f}\right) \frac{p_-}{v_-}} > \sigma > -\sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{2}{\alpha + \alpha_f}\right) \frac{p_+}{v_+}}. \quad (1-9)$$

A shock wave is called a 3-shock wave if

$$\sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{2}{\alpha + \alpha_f}\right) \frac{p_-}{v_-}} > \sigma > \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{2}{\alpha + \alpha_f}\right) \frac{p_+}{v_+}}. \tag{1-10}$$

In this paper, we consider a 3-shock wave, because a 1-shock wave can be handled by the same method. For a 3-shock wave, it follows from (1-8) and (1-10) that

$$v_- < v_+, \quad u_- > u_+, \quad p_- > p_+. \tag{1-11}$$

A shock profile for the 3-shock wave $((v_-, u_-, p_-), (v_+, u_+, p_+), \sigma)$ is a traveling-wave solution for system (1-6) of the form $(v, u, p, q)((x - \sigma t)/\tau)$ satisfying

$$(v, u, p, q)(\pm\infty) = (v_{\pm}, u_{\pm}, p_{\pm}, (\alpha_f/2)p_{\pm}v_{\pm}). \tag{1-12}$$

So we have

$$\begin{cases} -\sigma v' - u' = 0, \\ -\sigma u' + p' = 0, \\ -\sigma\left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha p v + q + \frac{1}{2}u^2\right)' + (p u)' = 0, \\ -\sigma q' = -(q - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_f p v), \end{cases} \tag{1-13}$$

where $' = d/d\xi$ and $\xi = (x - \sigma t)/\tau$.

In this paper, we are interested in the existence and properties of the shock profile. For a general hyperbolic system with relaxation, the existence of the shock profile has been proved in [Yong and Zumbrun 2000] by using the center manifold method with the assumption that the shock strength is sufficiently small. In this paper, we find the sufficient and necessary condition, which is

$$\frac{p_-}{p_+} < 1 + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)},$$

to ensure the existence of the shock profile. Moreover, we can calculate the shock profile solution in some explicit details. This is in sharp contrast to the abstract construction in [Yong and Zumbrun 2000]. Before we state our theorem, we introduce some notation. Let

$$\begin{aligned} m &= \sigma v_- + u_- = \sigma v_+ + u_+, \\ P &= -\sigma u_- + p_- = -\sigma u_+ + p_+, \\ Q &= -\sigma\left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha + \alpha_f p_- v_- + \frac{1}{2}u_-^2\right) + p_- u_- \\ &= -\sigma\left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha + \alpha_f p_+ v_+ + \frac{1}{2}u_+^2\right) + p_+ u_+, \\ f(v) &= -\sigma^2(1 + \alpha)v + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha\right)(\sigma^2 v_- + p_-) \\ &= -\sigma^2(1 + \alpha)v + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha\right)(\sigma^2 v_+ + p_+). \end{aligned} \tag{1-14}$$

Theorem. *Suppose the two constant states (v_-, u_-, p_-) , (v_+, u_+, p_+) and the speed σ satisfy the Rankine–Hugoniot conditions (1-8) and the Lax shock condition (1-10).*

(1) *If*

$$\frac{p_-}{p_+} < 1 + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)}, \tag{1-15}$$

then there exists a solution to the problem (1-13) and (1-12).

(2) *If*

$$\frac{p_-}{p_+} \geq 1 + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)}, \tag{1-16}$$

the problem (1-13) and (1-12) does not admit a smooth solution.

(3) *In case (1), that is, if (1-15) holds, the solution of the problem (1-13) and (1-12) satisfying $v(0) = v_0$ for some constant v_0 satisfying $v_- < v_0 < v_+$ is given by*

$$2f(v_+)(\ln(v_+ - v) - \ln(v_+ - v_0)) - 2f(v_-)(\ln(v - v_-) - \ln(v_0 - v_-)) = -\sigma\xi(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)(v_+ - v_-), \tag{1-17}$$

$$u(\xi) = m - \sigma v(\xi), \quad p(\xi) = m\sigma + P - \sigma^2 v(\xi) \tag{1-18}$$

for $-\infty < \xi < +\infty$. For this solution, we have

$$v'(\xi) > 0, \quad u'(\xi) < 0, \quad p'(\xi) < 0 \tag{1-19}$$

for $-\infty < \xi < +\infty$, and

$$C_1 v'(\xi) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{1 + \alpha + \alpha_f}{2f(v_+)}\sigma(v_+ - v_-)\xi\right) \leq C_2(v_+ - v(\xi)) \tag{1-20}$$

for $\xi > 0$, and

$$C_3 v'(\xi) \leq \exp\left(\frac{1 + \alpha + \alpha_f}{2f(v_-)}\sigma(v_+ - v_-)\xi\right) \leq C_4(v(\xi) - v_-) \tag{1-21}$$

for $\xi < 0$, where C_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are some positive constants. For $u(\xi)$ and $p(\xi)$, we have similar estimates.

2. Proofs

To prove our theorem, we start by integrating (1-13) to get

$$\begin{cases} \sigma v + u = m, \\ -\sigma u + p = P, \\ -\sigma\left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha p v + q + \frac{1}{2}u^2\right) + pu = Q, \end{cases} \tag{2-1}$$

where m , P and Q are given by (1-14). By the third equation of (2-1), we have

$$q = -\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}pv + \frac{u^2}{2}\right) + \frac{pu - Q}{\sigma}. \tag{2-2}$$

Substituting (2-2) into the fourth equation of (1-13), using (2-1) and (2-2), we get

$$f(v)\frac{dv}{d\xi} = \frac{1}{\sigma}\left(\frac{\alpha + \alpha_f}{2}pv + \frac{u^2}{2} - \frac{pu - Q}{\sigma}\right), \tag{2-3}$$

where $f(v)$ is given by (1-14). So

$$f(v_-) = v_- \left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}\sigma^2 + \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\frac{p_-}{v_-}\right). \tag{2-4}$$

In view of (1-10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\alpha}{2}\sigma^2 + \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\frac{p_-}{v_-} &> -\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(1 + \frac{2}{\alpha + \alpha_f}\right)\frac{p_-}{v_-} + \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\frac{p_-}{v_-} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \alpha_f}\right)\frac{p_-}{v_-} > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2-5}$$

Therefore

$$f(v_-) > 0.$$

By (1-14), we get

$$f(v_+) = v_+ \left(-\frac{1}{2}\alpha\sigma^2 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha\right)p_+/v_+\right). \tag{2-6}$$

Let

$$\bar{v} = \frac{1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha}{1 + \alpha} \frac{\sigma^2 v_+ + p_+}{\sigma^2}. \tag{2-7}$$

Then

$$f(\bar{v}) = 0. \tag{2-8}$$

So, if

$$v_+ < \bar{v}, \tag{2-9}$$

then, because f is a decreasing function,

$$f(v_+) > 0. \tag{2-10}$$

In the next lemma, we will give a neat condition to ensure (2-10).

Lemma. (1) If $\frac{p_-}{p_+} < 1 + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)}$, then $v_+ < \bar{v}$ and thus $f(v_+) > 0$.

(2) If $\frac{p_-}{p_+} = 1 + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)}$, then $v_+ = \bar{v}$ and $f(v_+) = 0$.

(3) If $\frac{p_-}{p_+} > 1 + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)}$, then $v_+ > \bar{v}$ and $f(v_+) < 0$.

Proof. First, we use (1-8) to show that

$$\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \alpha_f)(p_+v_+ - p_-v_-) = (v_- - v_+)\frac{1}{2}(p_+ + p_-). \quad (2-11)$$

In fact, by the third equation of (1-8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \alpha_f)(p_+v_+ - p_-v_-) &= \frac{1}{\sigma}(p_+u_+ - p_-u_-) - \frac{1}{2}(u_+^2 - u_-^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma}(p_+u_+ - p_-u_-) - \frac{1}{2}(u_+ + u_-)(u_+ - u_-). \end{aligned} \quad (2-12)$$

By the second equation of (1-8), we have $(u_+ - u_-) = (1/\sigma)(p_+ - p_-)$. This, together with (2-12), implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \alpha_f)(p_+v_+ - p_-v_-) &= \frac{1}{\sigma}(p_+u_+ - p_-u_- - \frac{1}{2}(p_+ - p_-)(u_+ + u_-)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma}(\frac{1}{2}p_+u_+ - \frac{1}{2}p_-u_- - \frac{1}{2}p_+u_- + \frac{1}{2}p_-u_+) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma}(u_+ - u_-)(p_+ + p_-). \end{aligned} \quad (2-13)$$

This proves (2-11). Dividing by p_-v_+ both sides of (2-11), we get

$$\frac{\alpha + \alpha_f}{2} \left(\frac{p_+}{p_-} - \frac{v_-}{v_+} \right) = \left(\frac{v_-}{v_+} - 1 \right) \frac{(p_+/p_-) + 1}{2}.$$

We solve for v_-/v_+ from this to get

$$\frac{v_-}{v_+} = \frac{(\alpha + \alpha_f)(p_+/p_-) + (p_+/p_-) + 1}{(\alpha + \alpha_f) + (p_+/p_-) + 1}. \quad (2-14)$$

It is easy to verify that $v_+ < \bar{v}$ is equivalent to

$$\sigma^2 < \left(1 + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right) \frac{p_+}{v_+}. \quad (2-15)$$

From the first and second equations of (1-8), we know that

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{p_- - p_+}{v_+ - v_-}. \quad (2-16)$$

So $v_+ < \bar{v}$ is equivalent to

$$\frac{p_- - p_+}{v_+ - v_-} < \left(1 + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right) \frac{p_+}{v_+}. \quad (2-17)$$

Now we use (2-14) to show (2-17) if (1-15) is true.

By (2-14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{p_- - p_+}{v_+ - v_-} &= \frac{(p_-/p_+) - 1}{1 - (v_-/v_+)} (p_+/v_+) \\
 &= \frac{(p_-/p_+) - 1}{1 - \frac{(\alpha + \alpha_f)(p_+/p_-) + (p_+/p_-) + 1}{(\alpha + \alpha_f) + (p_+/p_-) + 1}} \left(\frac{p_+}{v_+} \right) \\
 &= \frac{(p_-/p_+) - 1}{(\alpha + \alpha_f)(1 - (p_+/p_-))} [(\alpha + \alpha_f) + (p_+/p_-) + 1] \left(\frac{p_+}{v_+} \right) \\
 &= \frac{(p_-/p_+) - 1}{1 - (p_+/p_-)} \left(1 + \frac{(p_+/p_-) + 1}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \right) \left(\frac{p_+}{v_+} \right) \\
 &= \frac{(p_-/p_+)(p_-/p_+ - 1)}{(p_-/p_+) - 1} \left(1 + \frac{(p_+/p_-) + 1}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \right) \left(\frac{p_+}{v_+} \right) \\
 &= \left(\frac{p_-}{p_+} \right) \left(1 + \frac{(p_+/p_-) + 1}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \right) \left(\frac{p_+}{v_+} \right) \\
 &= \left(\frac{p_-}{p_+} + \frac{1}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \left(1 + \frac{p_-}{p_+} \right) \right) \left(\frac{p_+}{v_+} \right) \\
 &= \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \right) \left(\frac{p_-}{p_+} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \right) \left(\frac{p_+}{v_+} \right). \tag{2-18}
 \end{aligned}$$

So, if (1-15) holds, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \right) \frac{p_-}{p_+} + \frac{1}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \\
 &< \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \right) \left(1 + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \\
 &= 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \left(2 + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)} \right) + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)} \\
 &= 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \left(\frac{2\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f) + 2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)} \right) + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)} \\
 &= 1 + \frac{2}{\alpha + \alpha_f} \frac{(\alpha + \alpha_f)(1 + \alpha)}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)} + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)} \\
 &= 1 + \frac{2(1 + \alpha)}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)} + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)} \\
 &= 1 + \frac{2}{\alpha}. \tag{2-19}
 \end{aligned}$$

Inequality (2-17) follows from (2-18) and (2-19). This proves item (1) of the Lemma. Items (2) and (3) follow from the same arguments. \square

Proof of the Theorem. Let

$$G(v, u, p) = (\alpha + \alpha_f)pv + u^2 - \frac{2(pu - Q)}{\sigma}. \quad (2-20)$$

It follows from (2-1) and (2-20) that

$$G(v, u, p) = (\alpha + \alpha_f)(m\sigma + P - \sigma^2v)v + (m - \sigma v)^2 - \frac{2}{\sigma}((m - \sigma v)(m\sigma + P - \sigma^2v) - Q), \quad (2-21)$$

where m, P, Q are given in (1-14). Therefore, $G(v, u, p)$ is a function of the single variable v , and we simply write it $G(v)$ from now on. It is a quadratic function. Moreover, by (1-14), we have

$$G(v_+) = G(v_-) = 0. \quad (2-22)$$

Therefore,

$$G(v) = -\beta(v - v_-)(v - v_+) \quad (2-23)$$

for some constant β . By comparing (2-23) with (2-21), we get $\beta = \sigma^2(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)$. Hence,

$$G(v) = -\sigma^2(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)(v - v_-)(v - v_+). \quad (2-24)$$

So

$$G(v) > 0 \quad (2-25)$$

as $v_- < v < v_+$.

In case (1) of the Theorem, we choose a constant v_0 satisfying $v_- < v_0 < v_+$ and set $v(0) = v_0$. Then we have from (2-3) that

$$\int_{v_0}^v \frac{2\sigma f(v)}{G(v)} dv = \xi, \quad (2-26)$$

and consider the expression

$$\int_{v_0}^v \frac{2\sigma f(s)}{G(s)} dv = F(v),$$

where $F(v) = \xi$.

Also, by the Lemma, and (2-24), we have, if

$$\frac{p_-}{p_+} < 1 + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)},$$

then $f(v)/G(v) > 0$ for $v_- < v < v_+$, and

$$\int_{v_0}^{v_+} \frac{2\sigma f(v)}{G(v)} dv = +\infty, \tag{2-27}$$

$$\int_{v_0}^{v_-} \frac{2\sigma f(v)}{G(v)} dv = -\infty. \tag{2-28}$$

Therefore, $\xi = F(v)$ is an increasing mapping of (v_-, v_+) onto $(-\infty, +\infty)$, which clearly maps v_0 to 0. Thus the inverse mapping $\xi \rightarrow v(\xi)$ is a differentiable function (with a positive derivative) and is one-to-one and onto from $(-\infty, +\infty)$ to (v_-, v_+) with $v(0) = v_0$. Moreover, it follows from (2-26) and (2-27) that

$$v(-\infty) = v_-, \quad v(+\infty) = v_+.$$

Therefore the substitution $s = v(t)$ gives

$$\xi = \int_0^\xi \frac{2\sigma f(v(t))}{G(v(t))} v'(t) dt$$

and differentiation gives

$$1 = \frac{2\sigma f(v(\xi))}{G(v(\xi))} v'(\xi),$$

and so we have a solution v of (2-3), which proves part (1) of the Theorem.

We prove part (2) as follows. If

$$\frac{p_-}{p_+} \geq 1 + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)}, \tag{2-29}$$

by the Lemma, we know that $v_- < \bar{v} \leq v_+$. In this case, we use the proof by contradiction to prove (2) as follows. Suppose that the problem (1-12) and (1-13) has a solution $v(\xi)$. Since, in this case, $v_- < \bar{v} \leq v_+$, and $f'(v) < 0$, we have $f(v_-) > 0 \geq f(v_+)$. We may write (2-3) as

$$2\sigma f(v) \frac{dv}{d\xi} = G(v). \tag{2-30}$$

Since $v(-\infty) = v_-$ and $f(v) > 0$ for $v_- < v < \bar{v}$ and $G(v) > 0$ for $v_- < v < v_+$, we have $dv/d\xi > 0$ when $v_- < v < \bar{v} \leq v_+$. For a constant v_1 satisfying $v_- < v_1 < \bar{v}$, there exists $\xi_1 \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ such that $v(\xi_1) = v_1$. It follows from (2-30) that

$$\int_{v_1}^v \frac{2\sigma f(w)}{G(w)} dw = \xi - \xi_1. \tag{2-31}$$

By (1-14), we know that $f(v)$ is a linear function of v in the form

$$f(v) = -k(v - \bar{v}), \tag{2-32}$$

where $k = \sigma^2(1 + \alpha)$. It follows from (2-23), and the fact that $v_- < v < \bar{v} \leq v_+$ (when (2-29) holds), that

$$\int_{v_1}^{\bar{v}} \frac{2\sigma f(w)}{G(w)} dw < +\infty. \quad (2-33)$$

We let

$$\bar{\xi} = \xi_1 + \int_{v_1}^{\bar{v}} \frac{2\sigma f(w)}{G(w)} dw.$$

By (2-33),

$$-\infty < \bar{\xi} < \infty. \quad (2-34)$$

It follows from (2-31) that, as

$$v(\xi) \rightarrow \bar{v}, \quad \xi \rightarrow \bar{\xi}. \quad (2-35)$$

This will lead to a contradiction by the following argument. By (2-30), (2-32) and (2-22), we have

$$\frac{dv}{d\xi} = \frac{G(v)}{2\sigma f(v)} = \frac{\beta}{2\sigma} \frac{(v - v_-)(v - v_+)}{v - \bar{v}}. \quad (2-36)$$

Therefore, if

$$\frac{p_-}{p_+} > 1 + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)},$$

then $\bar{v} < v_+$, and then by (2-35) and (2-36), we have $dv(\xi)/d\xi \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\xi \rightarrow \bar{\xi}$. This is a contradiction due to (2-34) because the solution $v(\xi)$ is smooth, so its derivative cannot tend to $+\infty$ for finite ξ .

If

$$\frac{p_-}{p_+} = 1 + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)},$$

then $\bar{v} = v_+$, and then (2-36) reduces to

$$\frac{dv}{d\xi} = \frac{\beta}{2\sigma}(v - v_-). \quad (2-37)$$

So $dv/(v - v_-) = (\beta/2\sigma) d\xi$. In this case, (2-31) becomes

$$\int_{v_1}^v \frac{dw}{w - v_-} dw = \frac{\beta}{2\sigma}(\xi - \xi_1). \quad (2-38)$$

This implies that

$$\ln(v - v_-) - \ln(v_1 - v_-) = (\beta/2\sigma)(\xi - \xi_1).$$

Solving this for v , we obtain

$$v(\xi) = v_- + (v_1 - v_-)e^{(\beta/2\sigma)(\xi - \xi_1)}.$$

Hence, $v(\xi) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, it is impossible that $v(+\infty) = v_+$. This is a contradiction. Thus, part (2) of the Theorem is proved by the above argument.

We can prove part (3) as follows. We have already proved that, if

$$\frac{p_-}{p_+} < 1 + \frac{2\alpha_f}{\alpha(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)},$$

the problem (1-13) and (1-12) has a solution. In this case, $v'(\xi) > 0$ is an easy consequence of the above argument in (i). So $v_- < v(\xi) < v_+$ for $-\infty < \xi < +\infty$. Next, we prove (1-17). We may write (2-26) as, in view of (2-26) and (2-24),

$$\int_{v_0}^v \frac{2f(w)}{(w - v_-)(w - v_+)} dw = -\sigma(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)\xi. \tag{2-39}$$

It is easy to verify that, by noting that $f(w) = (1 + \alpha/2)(\sigma m + P) - \sigma^2(1 + \alpha)w$ (see (1-14)),

$$\frac{2f(w)}{(w - v_-)(w - v_+)} = \frac{-2f(v_-)}{(w - v_-)} \frac{1}{(v_+ - v_-)} + \frac{2f(v_+)}{(w - v_+)} \frac{1}{(v_+ - v_-)}. \tag{2-40}$$

Equation (1-17) then follows from (2-39) and (2-40). From (1-17), we can easily get the bounds for $v_+ - v(\xi)$ in (1-20). Similarly, we can get the bounds for $v(\xi) - v_-$ in (1-21). By (1-17), we have

$$\left(\frac{2f(v_+)}{v_+ - v} + \frac{2f(v_-)}{v - v_-} \right) v'(\xi) = -\sigma(1 + \alpha + \alpha_f)(v_+ - v_-).$$

Therefore, the bounds for $v'(\xi)$ in (1-20) and (1-21) can be derived from the bounds of $v_+ - v(\xi)$ and $v(\xi) - v_-$ which we have just proved. This finishes the proof of the Theorem. □

Acknowledgement

This work was done under the supervision of Professor Tao Luo. The author would like to thank the referee for the suggestions which have helped to improve the presentation of the paper greatly.

References

[Courant and Friedrichs 1948] R. Courant and K. O. Friedrichs, *Supersonic flow and shock waves*, Pure and Applied Mathematics **1**, Interscience, New York, 1948. MR 10,637c Zbl 0041.11302
 [Whitham 1974] G. B. Whitham, *Linear and nonlinear waves*, Pure and Applied Mathematics **42**, Wiley, New York, 1974. MR 58 #3905 Zbl 0373.76001

[Yong and Zumbrun 2000] W.-A. Yong and K. Zumbrun, "Existence of relaxation shock profiles for hyperbolic conservation laws", *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **60**:5 (2000), 1565–1575. MR 2001b:35208 Zbl 0959.35116

Received: 2012-05-24 Revised: 2012-10-05 Accepted: 2012-10-10

xwang1994@gmail.com

*Sidwell Friends Upper School, 3825 Wisconsin Avenue,
Washington, DC 20016, United States*

involve

msp.org/involve

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut, Wake Forest University, USA, berenhks@wfu.edu

BOARD OF EDITORS

| | | | |
|----------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| Colin Adams | Williams College, USA colin.c.adams@williams.edu | David Larson | Texas A&M University, USA larson@math.tamu.edu |
| John V. Baxley | Wake Forest University, NC, USA baxley@wfu.edu | Suzanne Lenhart | University of Tennessee, USA lenhart@math.utk.edu |
| Arthur T. Benjamin | Harvey Mudd College, USA benjamin@hmc.edu | Chi-Kwong Li | College of William and Mary, USA ckli@math.wm.edu |
| Martin Bohner | Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA bohner@mst.edu | Robert B. Lund | Clemson University, USA lund@clemson.edu |
| Nigel Boston | University of Wisconsin, USA boston@math.wisc.edu | Gaven J. Martin | Massey University, New Zealand g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz |
| Amarjit S. Budhiraja | U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA budhiraj@email.unc.edu | Mary Meyer | Colorado State University, USA meyer@stat.colostate.edu |
| Pietro Cerone | Victoria University, Australia pietro.cerone@vu.edu.au | Emil Minchev | Ruse, Bulgaria eminchev@hotmail.com |
| Scott Chapman | Sam Houston State University, USA scott.chapman@shsu.edu | Frank Morgan | Williams College, USA frank.morgan@williams.edu |
| Joshua N. Cooper | University of South Carolina, USA cooper@math.sc.edu | Mohammad Sal Moselehian | Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir |
| Jem N. Corcoran | University of Colorado, USA corcoran@colorado.edu | Zuhair Nashed | University of Central Florida, USA znashed@mail.ucf.edu |
| Toka Diagana | Howard University, USA tdiagana@howard.edu | Ken Ono | Emory University, USA ono@mathcs.emory.edu |
| Michael Dorff | Brigham Young University, USA mdorff@math.byu.edu | Timothy E. O'Brien | Loyola University Chicago, USA tobrie1@luc.edu |
| Sever S. Dragomir | Victoria University, Australia sever@matilda.vu.edu.au | Joseph O'Rourke | Smith College, USA orourke@cs.smith.edu |
| Behrouz Emamizadeh | The Petroleum Institute, UAE bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae | Yuval Peres | Microsoft Research, USA peres@microsoft.com |
| Joel Foisy | SUNY Potsdam foisyjs@potsdam.edu | Y.-F. S. Pétermann | Université de Genève, Switzerland petermann@math.unige.ch |
| Errin W. Fulp | Wake Forest University, USA fulp@wfu.edu | Robert J. Plemmons | Wake Forest University, USA rplemmons@wfu.edu |
| Joseph Gallian | University of Minnesota Duluth, USA jgallian@d.umn.edu | Carl B. Pomerance | Dartmouth College, USA carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu |
| Stephan R. Garcia | Pomona College, USA stephan.garcia@pomona.edu | Vadim Ponomarenko | San Diego State University, USA vadim@sciences.sdsu.edu |
| Anant Godbole | East Tennessee State University, USA godbole@etsu.edu | Bjorn Poonen | UC Berkeley, USA poonen@math.berkeley.edu |
| Ron Gould | Emory University, USA rg@mathcs.emory.edu | James Propp | U Mass Lowell, USA jpropp@cs.uml.edu |
| Andrew Granville | Université Montréal, Canada andrew@dms.umontreal.ca | József H. Przytycki | George Washington University, USA przytyck@gwu.edu |
| Jerrold Griggs | University of South Carolina, USA griggs@math.sc.edu | Richard Rebarber | University of Nebraska, USA rrebarbe@math.unl.edu |
| Sat Gupta | U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA sngupta@uncg.edu | Robert W. Robinson | University of Georgia, USA rwr@cs.uga.edu |
| Jim Haglund | University of Pennsylvania, USA jhaglund@math.upenn.edu | Filip Saidak | U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA f_saidak@uncg.edu |
| Johnny Henderson | Baylor University, USA johnny_henderson@baylor.edu | James A. Sellers | Penn State University, USA sellersj@math.psu.edu |
| Jim Hoste | Pitzer College jhoste@pitzer.edu | Andrew J. Sterge | Honorary Editor andy@ajsterge.com |
| Natalia Hritonenko | Prairie View A&M University, USA nahritonenko@pvamu.edu | Ann Trenk | Wellesley College, USA atrenk@wellesley.edu |
| Glenn H. Hurlbert | Arizona State University, USA hurlbert@asu.edu | Ravi Vakil | Stanford University, USA vakil@math.stanford.edu |
| Charles R. Johnson | College of William and Mary, USA crjohnso@math.wm.edu | Antonia Vecchio | Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy antonia.vecchio@cnr.it |
| K. B. Kulasekera | Clemson University, USA kk@ces.clemson.edu | Ram U. Verma | University of Toledo, USA verma99@msn.com |
| Gerry Ladas | University of Rhode Island, USA gladas@math.uri.edu | John C. Wierman | Johns Hopkins University, USA wierman@jhu.edu |
| | | Michael E. Zieve | University of Michigan, USA zieve@umich.edu |

PRODUCTION


Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2013 is US \$105/year for the electronic version, and \$145/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2013 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

involve

2013

vol. 6

no. 3

| | |
|---|-----|
| Potentially eventually exponentially positive sign patterns MARIE ARCHER, MINERVA CATRAL, CRAIG ERICKSON, RANA HABER, LESLIE HOGBEN, XAVIER MARTINEZ-RIVERA AND ANTONIO OCHOA | 261 |
| The surgery unknotting number of Legendrian links BIANCA BORANDA, LISA TRAYNOR AND SHUNING YAN | 273 |
| On duals of p -frames ALI AKBAR AREFIJAMAAL AND LEILI MOHAMMADKHANI | 301 |
| Shock profile for gas dynamics in thermal nonequilibrium WANG XIE | 311 |
| Expected conflicts in pairs of rooted binary trees TIMOTHY CHU AND SEAN CLEARY | 323 |
| Hyperbolic construction of Cantor sets ZAIR IBRAGIMOV AND JOHN SIMANYI | 333 |
| Extensions of the Euler–Satake characteristic for nonorientable 3-orbifolds and indistinguishable examples RYAN CARROLL AND CHRISTOPHER SEATON | 345 |
| Rank numbers of graphs that are combinations of paths and cycles BRIANNA BLAKE, ELIZABETH FIELD AND JOBBY JACOB | 369 |



1944-4176(2013)6:3;1-8