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#### Abstract

François Jaeger presented the two-variable Kauffman polynomial of an unoriented link $L$ as a weighted sum of HOMFLY-PT polynomials of oriented links associated with $L$. Murakami, Ohtsuki and Yamada (MOY) used planar graphs and a recursive evaluation of these graphs to construct a state model for the $s l(n)$ link invariant (a one-variable specialization of the HOMFLY-PT polynomial). We apply the MOY framework to Jaeger's work, and construct a state summation model for the $\mathrm{SO}(2 n)$ Kauffman polynomial.


## 1. Introduction

The $\operatorname{SO}(2 n)$ Kauffman polynomial $\llbracket L \rrbracket$ of an unoriented link $L$ is a Laurent polynomial in $q$, uniquely determined by the following axioms:
(1) $\llbracket L_{1} \rrbracket=\llbracket L_{2} \rrbracket$, whenever $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are regular isotopic links.


(4)



The diagrams in both sides of the second or fourth equations represent parts of larger link diagrams that are identical except near a point where they look as indicated. For more details about this polynomial (and its two-variable extension, namely the Dubrovnik version of the two-variable Kauffman polynomial) we refer the reader to [Kauffman 1990; 2001].

[^0]Kauffman and Vogel [1992] extended the two-variable Dubrovnik polynomial to a three-variable rational function for knotted 4-valent graphs (4-valent graphs embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ ) with rigid vertices. For the case of the $\mathrm{SO}(2 n)$ Kauffman polynomial, this extension is obtained by defining


That is, the invariant for knotted 4-valent graphs with rigid vertices is defined in terms of the $\mathrm{SO}(2 n)$ Kauffman polynomial. In [Kauffman and Vogel 1992], it was also shown that the resulting polynomial of a knotted 4 -valent graph satisfies certain graphical relations, which determine values for each unoriented planar 4-valent graph by recursive formulas defined entirely in the category of planar graphs.

The results in [Kauffman and Vogel 1992] imply that there is a state model for the Kauffman polynomial of an unoriented link via planar 4-valent graphs. This model can also be deduced from Carpentier's work [2000] on the KauffmanVogel polynomial by changing one's perspective (the focus of Carpentier's paper is on invariants for graphs rather than on the Kauffman polynomial for links). A somewhat similar approach was used in [Caprau and Tipton 2011] to construct a rational function in three variables which is an invariant of regular isotopy of unoriented links, and provides a state summation model for the Dubrovnik version of the two-variable Kauffman polynomial. The corresponding state model makes use of a special type of planar trivalent graphs.

François Jaeger found a relationship between the two-variable Kauffman polynomial and the regular isotopy version of the HOMFLY-PT polynomial. He showed that the Kauffman polynomial of an unoriented link $L$ can be obtained as a weighted sum of HOMFLY-PT polynomials of oriented links associated with $L$. For a brief description of Jaeger's construction we refer the reader to [Kauffman 2001]. Murakami, Ohtsuki and Yamada [1998] (MOY) used planar trivalent graphs to construct in a beautiful graphical calculus for the $s l(n)$-link polynomial (a onevariable specialization of the HOMFLY-PT polynomial).

The motivation for this paper has its source in the following, natural, questions: Is there a way to apply the MOY model to Jaeger's formula and derive a state summation model for the $\mathrm{SO}(2 n)$ Kauffman polynomial? And if so, how is the resulting state model for the $\mathrm{SO}(2 n)$ Kauffman polynomial related to the one implicitly given in [Kauffman and Vogel 1992]?

We slightly alter the MOY model for the $s l(n)$-link polynomial by working with (planar, cross-like oriented) 4-valent graphs instead of trivalent graphs. Implementing the MOY model into Jaeger's construction, we show that in order to construct a
state model for the Kauffman polynomial it is not sufficient to allow only cross-like oriented 4 -valent graphs but also alternating oriented vertices. The skein formalism that we obtain is as follows:

where

$$
[n]=\frac{q^{n}-q^{-n}}{q-q^{-1}}
$$

and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \geq 2$.
Comparing the graph skein relations above with the graphical relations derived by Kauffman and Vogel in [1992], it is not hard to see that the state model for the $\mathrm{SO}(2 n)$ Kauffman polynomial that we arrive at is essentially the same as that implied by the work in [Kauffman and Vogel 1992] (up to a negative sign for the weight received by the "flat resolution" of a crossing), and that given in [Caprau and Tipton 2011, Subsection 5.1] (up to a change of variables). We would like to point out that Hao Wu [2012] used a different approach to write the Kauffman-Vogel graph polynomial as a state sum of the MOY graph polynomial.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we provide a version of the MOY state model for the $s l(n)$-link polynomial, and in Section 3 we review Jaeger's formula for the Kauffman polynomial. The heart of the paper is Section 4, in which we derive the state model for the $\mathrm{SO}(2 n)$ Kauffman polynomial.

## 2. The MOY state model for the $\operatorname{sl}(\mathrm{n})$ polynomial

In this section, we give the [Murakami et al. 1998] state model for the regular isotopy version of the $s l(n)$ polynomial of an oriented link $L$. The $s l(n)$ polynomial is a one-variable specialization of the well-known HOMFLY-PT polynomial (see [Freyd et al. 1985; Przytycki and Traczyk 1988]). Let $D$ be a generic diagram of


Figure 1. Web skein relations.
$L$ containing $c$ crossings. We resolve each crossing of $D$ in the two ways shown below:


This process yields $2^{c}$ resolutions (states) corresponding to the link diagram $D$. A resolution $\Gamma$ of $D$ is a 4 -valent oriented planar graph in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, possibly with loops with no vertices, such that each vertex is crossing-type oriented: $X$. There is a well-defined Laurent polynomial $R(\Gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$ associated to a resolution $\Gamma$, such that it satisfies the skein relations depicted in Figure 1, where

$$
[n]=\frac{q^{n}-q^{-n}}{q-q^{-1}} \quad \text { and } \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \text { with } n \geq 2
$$

(the symbol $R$ is omitted in the graph skein relations to avoid clutter). We will refer to $R(\Gamma)$ as the MOY graph polynomial (see [Murakami et al. 1998]).

Decompose each crossing in $D$ as explained in Figure 2, and form the following linear combination of the MOY evaluations of all $2^{c}$ resolutions $\Gamma$ of $D$ :

$$
R(D)=\sum_{\Gamma} a_{\Gamma} R(\Gamma)
$$

where the coefficients $a_{\Gamma} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$ are given by the rules depicted in Figure 2.
It is an enjoyable exercise to verify that $R\left(D_{1}\right)=R\left(D_{2}\right)$, whenever diagrams $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ differ by a Reidemeister II or III move. Excluding rightmost terms from

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R(\nearrow)=q R()-R(Y) \\
& R(\nearrow)=q^{-1} R() \bigcup-R(\Varangle)
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 2. Decomposition of crossings.
the decomposition rules of crossings, we obtain Conway's skein relation:

$$
\left.R(\nearrow)-R(\nearrow)=\left(q-q^{-1}\right) R() \circlearrowright\right)
$$

We note that $R(L):=R(D)$ is the regular isotopy version of the $s l(n)$ polynomial of the link $L$, and that it satisfies the following:

$$
\left.R(\bigcirc)=q^{n} R()\right) \text { and } R\left(\bigcap^{\uparrow}\right)=q^{-n} R(\circlearrowright)
$$

## 3. Jaeger's model for the Kauffman polynomial

In the late 80s, François Jaeger found a relationship between the two-variable Kauffman polynomial and the regular isotopy version of the HOMFLY-PT polynomial. He showed that the Kauffman polynomial of an unoriented link $L$ can be obtained as a weighted sum of HOMFLY-PT polynomials of oriented links associated with L. Since this construction is only briefly described in [Kauffman 2001], we provide here a thorough exposition of it, which is necessary in order to understand our main Section 4. Moreover, we describe Jaeger's model for the SO( $2 n$ ) Kauffman polynomial by considering the $s l(n)$-link invariant instead of the HOMFLY-PT polynomial.

Given an unoriented link diagram $L$, splice some of the crossings of $L$ and orient the resulting link. This results in a state for the expansion $\llbracket L \rrbracket$. Each state receives a certain weight, according to the following skein relation:


$$
\begin{equation*}
=\left(q-q^{-1}\right)([\aleph]-[)(])+[>]+[\searrow]+[\nearrow]+[>] \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is important to remark that the formula $(*)$ requires states that are oriented in a globally compatible way as oriented link diagrams. Moreover, observe that the orientation and the weight of a state are determined by how the crossings are spliced. When approaching a crossing by traveling along the understrand, a splicing
is obtained by either turning right or left at that crossing. In both cases, the strands of the splicing are oriented according to the direction of the traveling. If the crossing is spliced by turning right, then it receives the weight $q-q^{-1}$, and if it is spliced by turning left, it receives the weight $-\left(q-q^{-1}\right)$. If a crossing is left unspliced, its weight (in the total weight of the state) is equal to 1 .

The weight $b_{\sigma}$ of a state $\sigma$ is obtained by taking the product of the weights $\pm\left(q-q^{-1}\right)$ or 1 according to the skein relation $(*)$. Define the evaluation of a state $\sigma$ by the formula

$$
[\sigma]=\left(q^{1-n}\right)^{\operatorname{rot}(\sigma)} R(\sigma)
$$

where $\operatorname{rot}(\sigma)$ is the rotation number of the oriented link diagram $\sigma$, and $R(\sigma)$ is the regular isotopy version of the $s l(n)$ polynomial of $\sigma$.

The rotation number (also called the Whitney degree) of an oriented link diagram is obtained by splicing every crossing according to its orientation, and then adding the rotation numbers of all of the resulting Seifert circles, where a counterclockwise oriented circle contributes $\mathrm{a}+1$, and a clockwise oriented circle contributes a -1 . It is well-known that the rotation number is a regular isotopy invariant for oriented links.

Equipped with the above definitions and conventions, we are ready to state Jaeger's theorem.

Theorem 1 (Jaeger). The Kauffman polynomial $\llbracket L \rrbracket$ of an unoriented link diagram $L$ can be obtained as follows:

$$
\llbracket L \rrbracket=\sum_{\sigma} b_{\sigma}[\sigma],
$$

where the sum is over all states $\sigma$ associated with $L$ that have globally compatible orientations.

Proof. First note that the Conway identity holds for [•]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[\searrow]-\left[\ggg \ggg \ggg \gg q^{(1-n) \operatorname{rot}(X)_{R}(>)}\right.} \\
& =q^{(1-n) \operatorname{rot}(\swarrow)}(R(\nearrow)-R(\nearrow)) \\
& \left.=\left(q-q^{-1}\right) q^{(1-n) \operatorname{rot}( }{ }^{\smile}\right)_{R}(\backsim) \\
& =\left(q-q^{-1}\right)[\mho] \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \llbracket \gg-\llbracket \backslash / \rrbracket \\
& =\left(q-q^{-1}\right)([\aleph]-[)(])+[>]+[>]+[\searrow]+[>] \\
& \left.-\left(q-q^{-1}\right)([) C]-[\backsim]\right)-[/]-[/]-[/]-[/] \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

and by the Conway identity, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \llbracket \backslash /]-\llbracket / \backslash]=\left(q-q^{-1}\right)\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
\aleph \\
\curvearrowleft
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
\aleph \\
\curvearrowleft
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
\checkmark \\
\curvearrowleft
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
\backsim \\
\curvearrowleft
\end{array}\right]\right) \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.-\left(q-q^{-1}\right)([) C]+[) C\right]+[) C\right]+[) C\right]\right) \\
& =\left(q-q^{-1}\right)(\llbracket \backsim \rrbracket-\llbracket)(\rrbracket) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.[\circlearrowleft]=q^{(1-n) \operatorname{rot}}\right)_{R}(\circlearrowleft)=q^{1-n}[n], \\
& {[\circlearrowleft]=q^{(1-n) \operatorname{rot}(\bigcirc)_{R}(\circlearrowleft)=q^{n-1}[n],}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and, therefore we have

$$
\llbracket \backsim[\circlearrowleft]+[\circlearrowleft]=\left(q^{1-n}+q^{n-1}\right)[n]=\frac{q^{2 n-1}-q^{1-2 n}}{q-q^{-1}}+1 .
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[\curvearrowright]=q^{(1-n) \operatorname{rot}(\ell)_{R}(\bigcirc)=q^{(1-n)(1+\operatorname{rot}(\curvearrowright))} q^{n} R(\curvearrowright)}} \\
& =q[\curvearrowright], \\
& {[\Omega]=q^{(1-n) \operatorname{rot}(\Omega)_{R}(\bigcirc)=q^{(1-n)(-1+\operatorname{rot}(\curvearrowleft))} q^{n} R(\curvearrowleft)}} \\
& =q^{2 n-1}[\curvearrowleft] \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

Similarly, one can show that

$$
\llbracket \oslash \rrbracket=q^{1-2 n} \llbracket
$$

It remains to show that $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$ is a regular isotopy invariant for unoriented links.


$$
+[\Omega]+[()]+[C]+[()]
$$

$$
=\left(q-q^{-1}\right)\left([\nearrow /]-q[\backsim]+q^{-1}[\backsim]-[\searrow / \downarrow]\right)
$$

$$
\left.\left.+[\zeta C]+\left[\sum C\right]+[) C\right]+[ \rangle C\right]
$$

$$
\left.=\left(q-q^{-1}\right)\left([\nearrow \nearrow]-[\nearrow /]-q[\circlearrowleft]+q^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\circlearrowleft \\
\nearrow
\end{array}\right]\right)+\llbracket\right)(]
$$

$$
=\llbracket)(\rrbracket
$$

by the Conway identity for [•].
The invariance of $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$ under the Reidemeister III move is verified in a similar fashion, and we leave the details to the reader.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \| \bigcirc]=\left(q-q^{-1}\right)([\bigcirc]-[\wp])+[\bigcirc]+[\bigcirc] \\
& =\left(q-q^{-1}\right)\left(q^{n-1}[n][\curvearrowright]-[\curvearrowright]\right) \\
& +q[\curvearrowright]+q^{2 n-1}[\curvearrowleft] \\
& \left.=q^{2 n-1}[\curvearrowright]+q^{2 n-1}[\curvearrowleft]=q^{2 n-1} \llbracket \curvearrowright\right] \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. The $S O$ (2n) Kauffman polynomial via planar 4-valent graphs

We seek to construct a state summation model for the $\mathrm{SO}(2 n)$ Kauffman polynomial, that works in much the same way as the MOY model works for the $\operatorname{sl}(n)$ polynomial. Moreover, we want to derive such a state model by implementing the MOY construction into Jaeger's theorem. Therefore, the states corresponding to an unoriented link diagram $L$ will be unoriented 4 -valent graphs obtained by resolving a crossing of $L$ in one of the following ways:

and we want to find some $A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$, such that

The state model that we wish to construct requires a consistent method to evaluate closed, unoriented 4 -valent graphs (the states associated with $L$ ).

To this end, we note that implementing the MOY state summation into Jaeger's model requires the bracket evaluation $[\Gamma]$, where $\Gamma$ is an oriented 4 -valent planar graph whose vertices are crossing-type oriented. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\Gamma]:=\left(q^{1-n}\right)^{\mathrm{rot}(\Gamma)} R(\Gamma) \tag{4-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{rot}(\Gamma)$, the rotation number of such a graph $\Gamma$, is the sum of the rotation numbers of the disjoint oriented circles obtained by splicing each vertex of $\Gamma$ according to the orientation of its edges:


We will regard the Equation (4-2) as a skein relation, as explained below:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
X \tag{4-3}
\end{array}\right]=\left(q^{1-n}\right)^{\operatorname{rot}(X)_{R}(\not \subset)}=\left(q^{1-n}\right)^{\operatorname{rot}()()_{R}(\not \subset)}
$$

Jaeger's theorem implies that

$$
\llbracket \backsim]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\aleph \\
\curvearrowleft
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
\aleph \\
\curvearrowleft
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
\aleph \\
\curvearrowleft
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
\aleph \\
\curvearrowleft
\end{array}\right]
$$

and to have a consistent construction, the evaluation

$$
\llbracket>\rrbracket
$$

will contain the bracket evaluations

for all such orientations of the vertex.
To determine what the coefficients $A, B$, and $C$ must be, we compute

via Jaeger's model, and throughout the process, we evaluate the resulting oriented link diagrams using the MOY construction for the $\operatorname{sl}(n)$ polynomial, $R$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left(q-q^{-1}\right)([\curvearrowright]-[)(]) \\
& +\left(q^{1-n}\right)^{\operatorname{rot}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)} R\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)+\left(q^{1-n}\right)^{\operatorname{rot}(\lambda)_{R}\left(\nearrow^{\prime}\right)} \\
& +\left(q^{1-n}\right)^{\operatorname{rot}(X)_{R}(\nearrow<)+\left(q^{1-n}\right)^{\operatorname{rot}(X)} R(\nearrow \nearrow) . ~}
\end{aligned}
$$

Employing the skein relations in Figure 2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \llbracket / \downarrow=\left(q-q^{-1}\right)([\curvearrowright]-[)(]) \\
& +\left(q^{1-n}\right)^{\operatorname{rot}(\backsim)}(q R(\backsim)-R(\searrow)) \\
& +\left(q^{1-n}\right)^{\operatorname{rot}()()}\left(q^{-1} R()()-R(\searrow)\right) \\
& +\left(q^{1-n}\right)^{\operatorname{rot}(\backsim)}(q R(\backsim)-R(\nearrow)) \\
& +\left(q^{1-n}\right)^{\operatorname{rot}()()}\left(q^{-1} R()()-R(\searrow)\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Making use of the skein relation (4-3), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \llbracket \backslash /]=\left(q-q^{-1}\right)([\curvearrowright]-[)(])+q[\backsim]-[\searrow]+q^{-1}[)(]-[\searrow] \\
& +q[\curvearrowleft]-[\aleph]+q^{-1}[)(]-[X] \\
& =q([\backsim]+[\backsim]+[\backsim]+[\backsim]) \\
& \left.\left.+q^{-1}([)(]+[) C]+[)(]+[) C\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -q[\curvearrowleft]-q\left[\wp(]-q^{-1}[) C\right]-q^{-1}[\curvearrowleft] \\
& -([\gg]+[\searrow]+[\searrow]+[\Sigma>) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\llbracket \backslash \backslash=q \llbracket \backsim \downarrow+q^{-1} \llbracket\right)(\rrbracket-q[\curvearrowleft]-q[)(] \\
& -q^{-1}[\supset C]-q^{-1}[\aleph]-([\searrow]+[\searrow]+[\searrow]+[\searrow]) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing the last equality with (4-1), we see that in order to work with a certain evaluation

for an unoriented vertex, we must also take in consideration alternating orientations for edges meeting at a vertex, and define the bracket of an alternating oriented vertex as follows:

$$
\left.\left[\begin{array}{l}
\nless  \tag{4-4}\\
X
\end{array}\right]:=q[) C\right]+q^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\aleph \\
\curvearrowright
\end{array}\right.
$$

The above computations also imply the need of the following definition:

$$
\llbracket X]:=\left[\begin{array}{l}
X
\end{array}\right]+[X]+[X]+[Y]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
X \\
X
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
X \\
X
\end{array}\right]
$$

Implementing the above definitions into our previous computations, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\llbracket \backslash \backslash=q \llbracket \backsim \rrbracket+q^{-1} \llbracket\right)(\rrbracket-\llbracket \gg . \tag{4-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $A=q, B=q^{-1}$, and $C=-1$.
We have seen that the implementation of the MOY state model into Jaeger's state summation requires balanced oriented 4 -valent graphs (in the sense that the total degree of a vertex is zero), with vertices being either crossing-type oriented or alternating oriented.

Proposition 1. The following identity holds:

$$
\llbracket \backsim \rrbracket=[2 n-1]+1
$$

Proof. This identity holds by Jaeger's theorem.

Proposition 2. The following graph skein relation holds:

$$
\llbracket \bigotimes \rrbracket=([2 n-2]+[2]) \llbracket
$$

Proof.

$$
\llbracket Q \|=[Q]+[Q]+[Q]+[Q]
$$

Now, for the first oriented diagram, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\bigotimes] } & =q^{(1-n) \operatorname{rot}(\ell)} R(Q)=q^{(1-n) \operatorname{rot}(\gtrless)}[n-1] R(\curvearrowright) \\
& =q^{1-n}[n-1] q^{(1-n) \operatorname{rot}(\curvearrowright)} R(\curvearrowright)=q^{1-n}[n-1][\curvearrowright]
\end{aligned}
$$

and for the third oriented diagram, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\Omega} & =q[\square]+q^{-1}[\curvearrowleft] \\
& =q \cdot q^{(1-n) \operatorname{rot}(Q)} R(\square)+q^{-1}[\square] \\
& =q \cdot q^{1-n} \cdot q^{(1-n) \operatorname{rot}(\curvearrowleft)}[n] R(\square)+q^{-1}[\square \\
& =q^{2-n}[n][\square]+q^{-1}[\square]
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$
[母]=q^{n-1}[n-1][\curvearrowleft] \text { and }[\mathscr{X}]=\left(q^{n-2}[n]+q\right)[\curvearrowright]
$$

Using these evaluations for each of the oriented states, we arrive at

$$
\llbracket X \rrbracket=([2 n-2]+[2])([\curvearrowleft]+[\sim])=([2 n-2]+[2]) \llbracket
$$

Proposition 3. The following skein relation holds:

$$
\llbracket \ell \rrbracket=([2 n-3]+1) \llbracket \backsim \rrbracket+[2] \llbracket>\rrbracket
$$

Proof. We know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\gamma\|=[\gamma]+[\gamma]+[\gamma] & +[\gamma]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
\gamma \\
\ell
\end{array}\right] \\
& +\left[\begin{array}{l}
\gamma \\
\gamma
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
\gamma \\
\ell
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
\gamma \\
\ell
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
\gamma \\
\ell
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now,

$$
[\gamma]=\left(q^{1-n}\right)^{\left.\operatorname{rot}(\ell)^{( }\right)}(\gamma)=\left(q^{1-n}\right)^{\mathrm{rot}()()_{[2] R}(\nearrow)=[2][X], ~}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\gamma] } & \left.=\left(q^{1-n}\right)^{\operatorname{rot}\left(\ell^{`}\right)} R(\gamma)=\left(q^{1-n}\right)^{\operatorname{rot}() C}(R() C)+[n-2] R(\swarrow)\right) \\
& =[\supset C]+q^{n-1}[n-2][\backsim],
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the fact that

$$
\operatorname{rot}()()=\operatorname{rot}(\curvearrowleft)-1
$$

We also have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =q[\zeta]+q^{-1} \dot{q}^{n-1}\left(q^{1-n}\right)^{\operatorname{rot}(\backsim)}[n-1] R(\backsim) \\
& =q[\searrow]+q^{n-2}[n-1][\backsim] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, for the bigon with alternating oriented vertices, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
6 \\
8
\end{array}\right]=q^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
2
\end{array}\right]} \\
& =q^{-1}\left(q[\aleph]+q^{-1}[ふ]\right)+q\left(q[)(]+q^{-1}[\aleph]\right) \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{l}
\aleph \\
\curvearrowright
\end{array}+q^{-2} \cdot q^{n-1}[n][\aleph]+q^{2}[)(]+[\circlearrowright]\right. \\
& =q^{2}[)(]+\left(q^{n-3}[n]+2\right)[\curvearrowright] \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The remaining diagrams can be evaluated similarly. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\gamma\|=[\gamma]+[\gamma]+[\gamma] & +[\gamma]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
\gamma \\
\ell
\end{array}\right] \\
& +\left[\begin{array}{l}
\gamma \\
\gamma
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
\gamma \\
\gamma
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
\gamma \\
\gamma
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
\gamma \\
\gamma
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and using the above computations yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\llbracket \ell \rrbracket=[2][\chi]+([) C]+q^{n-1}[n-2][\backsim]\right) \\
& +[2][\searrow]+\left([)(]+q^{1-n}[n-2][\circlearrowright]\right) \\
& +\left(q[\searrow]+q^{n-2}[n-1][\mho]\right)+\left(q[\searrow]+q^{n-2}[n-1][\backsim]\right) \\
& +\left(q^{-1}[\searrow]+q^{2-n}[n-1][\backsim]\right)+\left(q^{-1}[\searrow]+q^{2-n}[n-1][\backsim]\right) \\
& +\left(q^{-2}[)(]+\left(q^{3-n}[n]+2\right)[\backsim]\right)+\left(q^{2}[)(]+\left(q^{n-3}[n]+2\right)[\backsim]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining like terms, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \llbracket \ell \|=\left(q+q^{-1}\right)\left[\begin{array}{l}
\Varangle \\
\neq\left(q+q^{-1}\right)[\nless
\end{array}\right]+\left(q+q^{-1}\right)[\searrow]+\left(q+q^{-1}\right)[X] \\
& +[2][\searrow]+[2][\searrow]+([2 n-3]+1)[\backsim]+([2 n-3]+1)[\backsim] \\
& +([2 n-3]+1)[\backsim]+([2 n-3]+1)[\backsim] \\
& =[2] \llbracket>\downarrow+([2 n-3]+1) \llbracket \backsim \rrbracket \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.
Proposition 4. The following graph skein relation holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \llbracket X \|+\llbracket X(\|-\llbracket \not \backsim \backsim-\llbracket Y \backsim-[2 n-4] \llbracket) \backsim \rrbracket=
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. To prove the statement, one can use the same approach as in the previous propositions, namely evaluating

by summing over all bracket evaluations for all the associated oriented diagrams. To avoid cumbersome computations, we use instead the fact that $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$ is invariant under the Reidemeister III move. That is,

$$
\llbracket</ \pi=\llbracket y
$$

Using the skein relation (4-5), we have

Since $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$ is invariant under the Reidemeister II move, we have
and we obtain that

Using again the skein relation (4-5), we have

Applying Proposition 2 and canceling terms, we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\llbracket>\lll \lll \ll \\
& \left.=q^{2} \llbracket</\right]+([2 n-2]+[2]) \llbracket(\|-([2 n-2]+[2]) \llbracket) \backsim \rrbracket
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, from Proposition 3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \llbracket<\|=[2] \llbracket \sim+([2 n-3]+1) \llbracket \backsim(\rrbracket, \\
& \llbracket \cap \rrbracket=[2] \| \backsim \llbracket+([2 n-3]+1) \llbracket \backsim(\rrbracket \text {, } \\
& \llbracket \nprec \rrbracket=[2] \llbracket \cup \rrbracket+([2 n-3]+1) \llbracket)^{\backsim} \rrbracket \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

Making the above replacements and combining like terms gives us

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\left(q^{2}-q[2]\right) \llbracket / \rrbracket+\left([2 n-2]+[2]-q[2 n-3]-q-q^{-1}[2 n-3]-q^{-1}\right) \llbracket\left({ }^{\sim}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\llbracket X\left(\|+\left(q^{-1}[2 n-3]+q^{-1}+q[2 n-3]+q-[2 n-2]-[2]\right) \llbracket\right) \backsim \\
& +\left(q^{-1}[2]-q^{-2}\right) \llbracket \not \backsim-\llbracket>\rrbracket
\end{aligned}
$$

and the statement follows.
Propositions 1-4 provide consistent and sufficient skein relations to evaluate any planar unoriented 4 -valent graph. In addition, the skein relation (4-5) together with these propositions yield a state summation model for the $\mathrm{SO}(2 n)$ Kauffman polynomial.
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