

# involve

a journal of mathematics

More explicit formulas  
for Bernoulli and Euler numbers

Francesca Romano





# More explicit formulas for Bernoulli and Euler numbers

Francesca Romano

(Communicated by Ken Ono)

By directly considering Taylor coefficients and composite generating functions, we employ a generalized Faà di Bruno formula for higher partial derivatives using vector partitions to obtain identities that include explicit formulas for the Bernoulli and Euler numbers. The formulas we obtain are generalized analogs of the formulas obtained by D. C. Vella.

## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to extend the results of Vella [2008] using vector partitions. Recall that the sequences of Bernoulli numbers  $B_n$  and Euler numbers  $E_n$  have exponential generating functions  $x/(e^x - 1)$  and  $\operatorname{sech} x$  respectively. Vella obtained the identities

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_n &= \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_n} \frac{(-1)^m}{1+m} \binom{m}{\lambda(\pi)} \binom{n}{\pi} = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{C}_n} \frac{(-1)^m}{1+m} \binom{n}{\pi}, \\
 B_n &= \sum_{1 \leq m \leq n} \frac{(-1)^m m!}{1+m} S(n, m), \\
 E_n &= \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_n \\ \text{even parts}}} (-1)^m \binom{m}{\lambda(\pi)} \binom{n}{\pi} = \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathcal{C}_n \\ \text{even parts}}} (-1)^m \binom{n}{\pi}, \\
 E_n &= \sum_{1 \leq m \leq n} (-1)^m m! S(n, m, \text{even}), \\
 1 &= \sum_{1 \leq r \leq j} \frac{(-1)^r}{(2r)!} E_{2r} \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_{2j, 2r} \\ \text{odd parts}}} \binom{2r}{\lambda(\pi)} \binom{2j}{\pi} \prod_{s=0}^j [E_{2s}]^{\pi_{2s+1}} \quad \text{for all } j > 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

*MSC2010:* primary 11B68; secondary 05A15.

*Keywords:* Bernoulli numbers, Euler numbers, multivariable calculus.

where  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is the set of integer partitions of  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_n$  is the set of all ordered partitions (i.e., compositions) of  $n$ ,  $m$  is the length of  $\pi$ ,  $\lambda(\pi)$  is the multiset of multiplicities of  $\pi$ ,  $S(n, m)$  is the Stirling number of the second kind, that is, the number of ways of partitioning a set of  $n$  elements into exactly  $m$  nonempty subsets, and  $S(n, m, \text{even})$  is the number of ways of partitioning a set of  $n$  elements into exactly  $m$  nonempty subsets each with even cardinality.

Let

$$h_B(x_1, \dots, x_\nu) = \frac{x_1 + \dots + x_\nu}{e^{x_1 + \dots + x_\nu} - 1} \quad \text{and} \quad h_E(x_1, \dots, x_\nu) = \text{sech}(x_1 + \dots + x_\nu)$$

be functions from  $\mathbb{R}^\nu$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ , where  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ . For a multiindex  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\nu) \in \mathbb{N}_0^\nu$  we consider the generalized Bernoulli number  $B_\alpha$  to be  $|\alpha|!$  times the  $|\alpha|$ -th Taylor coefficient of  $h_B$ . We define generalized Euler numbers analogously. These generalized Bernoulli numbers and Euler numbers were recently introduced and studied in [Di Nardo and Oliva 2012] in connection with multivariable Lévy processes. Note that although it wasn't explicitly said in [Di Nardo and Oliva 2012],  $B_\alpha = B_{|\alpha|}$ , where  $|\alpha| = \sum_{k=1}^\nu \alpha_k$ , and thus  $B_\alpha$  is simply the  $|\alpha|$ -th Bernoulli number. Also notice that if  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^\nu$  and  $|\alpha| = |\beta|$  then  $B_\alpha = B_\beta$ . The same can also be said for the Euler numbers. In the present paper, we prove the precise analogues of the identities above for these Bernoulli and Euler numbers by applying the multivariable Faà di Bruno formula found in [Constantine and Savits 1996].

The point of view adopted in [Vella 2008] is that thinking explicitly about Taylor coefficients yields tools with a lot of combinatorial leverage. The results of the present paper rely even more heavily on this point of view. For example, it would be interesting to have a combinatorial interpretation for the analogue of  $S(n, m)$  that appears in our new formulas, but we obtain these formulas without such a combinatorial interpretation.

## 2. Notation and review of vector partitions

In this section, we fix notation that parallels that used in [Constantine and Savits 1996] but will in the end yield formulas looking like those in [Vella 2008]. We also restate the results from [Constantine and Savits 1996] in our notation. Below let  $\mathbb{N}$  denote the set of natural numbers,  $\mathbb{N}_0$  the set of nonnegative integers. We regard finite cartesian powers, such as  $\mathbb{N}_0^\nu$ , and  $\mathbb{N}^\nu$ , where  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ , as sitting in the natural way in the real vector space  $\mathbb{R}^\nu$  throughout.

Since the generalized Faà di Bruno formula found in [Constantine and Savits 1996] is expressed as a sum over the vector partitions of  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\nu) \in \mathbb{N}_0^\nu$ , we begin with a review of the vector partition notation we have adopted in this paper. A *vector partition*  $\pi = (\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_s; \mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_s)$  of  $\alpha$  is a multiset of *vector parts*  $\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_s \in \mathbb{N}_0^\nu$  and their respective *vector multiplicities*  $\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_s \in \mathbb{N}_0^\mu$  with

$\mu, s \in \mathbb{N}$ , where

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \mathbf{m}_i = \mathbf{m} = (r_1, \dots, r_\mu) \in \mathbb{N}_0^\mu, \quad |\mathbf{m}_i| = \sum_{j=1}^\mu m_{ij} > 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^s |\mathbf{m}_i| \mathbf{p}_i = \boldsymbol{\alpha}.$$

Additionally, we require that the parts are lexicographically ordered, that is,

$$\mathbf{0} < \mathbf{p}_1 < \dots < \mathbf{p}_s,$$

where  $\mathbf{p}_i < \mathbf{p}_j$  means  $\mathbf{p}_i$  and  $\mathbf{p}_j$  satisfy one of the following:

- $|\mathbf{p}_i| < |\mathbf{p}_j|$ .
- $|\mathbf{p}_i| = |\mathbf{p}_j|$  and  $p_{i1} < p_{j1}$ .
- $|\mathbf{p}_i| = |\mathbf{p}_j|$  and  $p_{i1} = p_{j1}, p_{i2} = p_{j2}, \dots, p_{ik} = p_{jk}$  and  $p_{i(k+1)} < p_{j(k+1)}$  for some  $1 \leq k < \nu$ .

One readily checks that  $<$  defines a total ordering on  $\mathbb{N}_0^\nu$ .

The set of vector partitions of  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  of size  $s$  and total multiplicity  $\mathbf{m}$  is denoted by  $p_s(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{m})$ . Note that the size  $s$  is the number of vector parts in the partition and this number differs from the total multiplicity of the partition. We let

$$p(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{m}) = \bigcup_{s=1}^{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|} p_s(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{m}) \quad \text{and} \quad p(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \bigcup_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}| \leq |\boldsymbol{\alpha}|} p(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{m}),$$

and we always set  $0^0 = 1$ . The above definitions can be clarified by working through the example below.

**Example 2.1.** Let  $\nu = 3$  and  $\mu = 2$ . Take  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (1, 2, 1)$  where  $|\boldsymbol{\alpha}| = 4$ . We will verify that

$$\pi = ((1, 1), (1, 0); (0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1)) \in p_2(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{m}),$$

where  $\mathbf{m} = (2, 1)$ . First observe that  $\sum_{i=1}^2 \mathbf{m}_i = (1, 1) + (1, 0) = (2, 1) = \mathbf{m}$  and  $|\mathbf{m}_1| = 2 > 0$  and  $|\mathbf{m}_2| = 1 > 0$ . Now observe that

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 |\mathbf{m}_i| \mathbf{p}_i = 2(0, 1, 0) + 1(1, 0, 1) = (1, 2, 1) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}.$$

Finally, our last condition is met because  $\mathbf{p}_1 < \mathbf{p}_2$  since  $|\mathbf{p}_1| = 1 < 2 = |\mathbf{p}_2|$ .

We will also make use of ordered vector partitions of  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ . The set of *ordered vector partitions* of  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  of total multiplicity  $\mathbf{m}$  is denoted by  $s^+(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{m})$ . In order to define  $s^+(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{m})$ , we must first define the following:

$$s(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{m}) = \left\{ (\mathbf{p}_1^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{r_1}^{(1)}; \dots; \mathbf{p}_1^{(\mu)}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{r_\mu}^{(\mu)}) : \mathbf{p}_j^{(i)} \in \mathbb{N}_0^\nu \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^\mu \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} \mathbf{p}_j^{(i)} = \boldsymbol{\alpha} \right\}.$$

This allows us to define our set of ordered vector partitions as follows:

$$s^+(\alpha, \mathbf{m}) = \{(\mathbf{p}_1^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{r_1}^{(1)}; \dots; \mathbf{p}_1^{(\mu)}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{r_\mu}^{(\mu)}) \in s(\alpha, \mathbf{m}) : \mathbf{p}_j^{(i)} \neq \mathbf{0}, i \in \{1, \dots, \mu\}, j \in \{1, \dots, r_i\}\}.$$

We let  $s^+(\alpha) = \bigcup_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}| \leq |\alpha|} s^+(\alpha, \mathbf{m})$ . The definition of an ordered vector partition of  $\alpha$  of total multiplicity  $\mathbf{m}$  can be clarified by working through the example below.

**Example 2.2.** Take  $v = 3$  and  $\mu = 2$ , as before, with  $\alpha = (1, 2, 1)$ . We will first verify that  $\pi = ((0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1); (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 0)) \in s(\alpha, \mathbf{m})$  where  $\mathbf{m} = (2, 1)$ . Notice that the size of this ordered partition is 4, but  $|\mathbf{m}| = 3$ . Now observe that  $\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} \mathbf{p}_j^{(i)} = (0, 1, 0) + (1, 0, 1) + (0, 1, 0) + (0, 0, 0) = (1, 2, 1) = \alpha$ . Now we can construct an element  $\pi' \in s^+(\alpha, \mathbf{m})$  by removing all elements of  $\pi$  equal to  $(0, 0, 0)$ . Thus  $\pi' = ((0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1); (0, 1, 0)) \in s^+(\alpha, \mathbf{m})$ . Notice that  $\pi$  is a different element of  $s(\alpha, \mathbf{m})$  than  $\pi'' = ((1, 0, 1), (0, 1, 0); (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 0))$  and yields an element of  $s^+(\alpha, \mathbf{m})$  not equal to  $\pi'$ .

### 3. The generalized Faà di Bruno formula

We begin this section by restating the multiindex notation found on page 504 in [Constantine and Savits 1996], which will be used in the generalized Faà di Bruno formula. In what follows, let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_v) \in \mathbb{N}_0^v$ ,  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_v) \in \mathbb{R}^v$  and

$$\alpha! = \prod_{i=1}^v (\alpha_i!), \quad \mathbf{x}^\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^v x_i^{\alpha_i},$$

$$D_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{0}} = \text{identity operator}, \quad D_{\mathbf{x}}^\alpha = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \partial x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial x_v^{\alpha_v}} \quad \text{for } |\alpha| > 0.$$

Note that for  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_v) \in \mathbb{N}_0^v$ , we write  $\mathbf{w} \leq \alpha$  if  $w_k \leq \alpha_k$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, v$ . A function  $h$  is an element of  $C_\alpha(\mathbf{x}^0)$  if  $D_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{w}}h$  exists and is continuous in a neighborhood of  $\mathbf{x}^0$  for all  $\mathbf{w} \leq \alpha$ . Additionally, a function  $h$  is an element of  $C^n(\mathbf{x}^0)$  if  $h \in C_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}^0)$  for all  $|\mathbf{w}| \leq n$ .

Now let  $g : \mathbb{R}^v \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\mu$  and  $f : \mathbb{R}^\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be functions and  $h : \mathbb{R}^v \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  their composition; that is, let

$$h(x_1, \dots, x_v) = f[g^{(1)}(x_1, \dots, x_v), \dots, g^{(\mu)}(x_1, \dots, x_v)].$$

Assume that  $\mathbf{0} \neq \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_v) \in \mathbb{N}_0^v$  and  $\mathbf{x}^0 = (x_1^0, \dots, x_v^0) \in \mathbb{R}^v$  are given,  $g^{(1)}, \dots, g^{(\mu)} \in C_\alpha(\mathbf{x}^0)$  and  $f \in C^{|\alpha|}(\mathbf{y}^0)$ , where  $\mathbf{y}^0 = (g^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}^0), \dots, g^{(\mu)}(\mathbf{x}^0))$ . Then, setting  $h_\alpha = D_{\mathbf{x}}^\alpha h(\mathbf{x}^0)$ ,  $f_{\mathbf{m}} = D_{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathbf{m}} f(\mathbf{y}^0)$ ,  $g_k^{(i)} = D_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{k}} g^{(i)}(\mathbf{x}^0)$ , and  $\mathbf{g}_k = (g_k^{(1)}, \dots, g_k^{(\mu)})$ , we can state the generalized Faà di Bruno formula that appears as the main result (Theorem 2.1) of [Constantine and Savits 1996]:

**Theorem 3.1.** 
$$h_{\alpha} = \sum_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}| \leq |\alpha|} f_{\mathbf{m}} \sum_{s=1}^{|\alpha|} \sum_{\pi \in p_s(\alpha, \mathbf{m})} (\alpha!) \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{[g_{p_j}]^{m_j}}{(m_j!)[p_j!]^{|m_j|}}.$$

The proof of the above theorem found in [Constantine and Savits 1996] takes into account issues of convergence. Now we can rigorously rewrite this generalized formula to resemble the single variable formula used in [Vella 2008]. First let

$$\binom{\alpha}{\pi} = \frac{\alpha!}{\pi!}, \quad \pi! = \prod_{j=1}^s [p_j!]^{|m_j|} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda(\pi)! = \prod_{j=1}^s (m_j!).$$

Now observe,

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\alpha} &= \sum_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}| \leq |\alpha|} f_{\mathbf{m}} \sum_{s=1}^{|\alpha|} \sum_{\pi \in p_s(\alpha, \mathbf{m})} (\alpha!) \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{[g_{p_j}]^{m_j}}{(m_j!)[p_j!]^{|m_j|}} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}| \leq |\alpha|} (\alpha!) f_{\mathbf{m}} \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, \mathbf{m})} \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{[g_{p_j}]^{m_j}}{(m_j!)[p_j!]^{|m_j|}} \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha)} \frac{\alpha!}{\prod_{j=1}^s (m_j!)[p_j!]^{|m_j|}} f_{\mathbf{m}} \prod_{j=1}^s [g_{p_j}]^{m_j} \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha)} \frac{\binom{\alpha}{\pi}}{\lambda(\pi)!} f_{\mathbf{m}} \prod_{j=1}^s [g_{p_j}]^{m_j}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Our formula for Taylor coefficients of  $h_{\alpha}$  follows:

**Corollary 3.2.**

$$T_{\alpha}(h; \mathbf{x}^0) = \sum_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}| \leq |\alpha|} T_{\mathbf{m}}(f; \mathbf{y}^0) \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, \mathbf{m})} \binom{\mathbf{m}}{\lambda(\pi)} \prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{k=1}^{\mu} [T_{p_j}(g^{(k)}; \mathbf{x}^0)]^{(m_j)_k}. \tag{2}$$

*Proof.* This follows directly from (1), since

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\alpha}(h; \mathbf{x}^0) &= \frac{h_{\alpha}}{\alpha!} = \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha)} \frac{f_{\mathbf{m}}}{\pi! \lambda(\pi)!} \prod_{j=1}^s [g_{p_j}]^{m_j} \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha)} \frac{m! f_{\mathbf{m}}}{m! \lambda(\pi)!} \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{[g_{p_j}]^{m_j}}{[p_j!]^{|m_j|}} \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha)} \binom{\mathbf{m}}{\lambda(\pi)} \frac{f_{\mathbf{m}}}{m!} \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{\mu} [g_{p_j}^{(k)}]^{(m_j)_k}}{[p_j!]^{\sum_{k=1}^{\mu} (m_j)_k}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha)} \binom{\mathbf{m}}{\lambda(\pi)} \frac{f_{\mathbf{m}}}{\mathbf{m}!} \prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{k=1}^{\mu} \left( \frac{\mathbf{g} \mathbf{p}_j^{(k)}}{\mathbf{p}_j!} \right)^{(\mathbf{m}_j)_k} \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}| \leq |\alpha|} \frac{f_{\mathbf{m}}}{\mathbf{m}!} \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, \mathbf{m})} \binom{\mathbf{m}}{\lambda(\pi)} \prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{k=1}^{\mu} \left( \frac{\mathbf{g} \mathbf{p}_j^{(k)}}{\mathbf{p}_j!} \right)^{(\mathbf{m}_j)_k} \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}| \leq |\alpha|} T_{\mathbf{m}}(f; \mathbf{y}^0) \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, \mathbf{m})} \binom{\mathbf{m}}{\lambda(\pi)} \prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{k=1}^{\mu} [T_{\mathbf{p}_j}(\mathbf{g}^{(k)}; \mathbf{x}^0)]^{(\mathbf{m}_j)_k}. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

We will also want to make use of the generalized Faà di Bruno formula that considered ordered vector partitions. This is given by Theorem 3.4 of [Constantine and Savits 1996]:

**Theorem 3.3.** 
$$h_{\alpha} = \alpha! \sum_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}|} \frac{f_{\mathbf{m}}}{\mathbf{m}!} \sum_{\pi \in s(\alpha, \mathbf{m})} \prod_{i=1}^{\mu} \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} \frac{[g_{\mathbf{p}_j}^{(i)}]}{[\mathbf{p}_j^{(i)}!]}.$$
 (3)

**Proposition 3.4.** 
$$T_{\alpha}(h; \mathbf{x}^0) = \sum_{s^+(\alpha)} T_{\mathbf{m}}(f; \mathbf{y}^0) \prod_{i=1}^{\mu} \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} T_{\mathbf{p}_j^{(i)}}(\mathbf{g}^{(i)}; \mathbf{x}^0).$$

*Proof.* This follows directly from (3) by substituting formulas 3.3 and 3.8 of [Constantine and Savits 1996] as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\alpha}(h; \mathbf{x}^0) &= \frac{h_{\alpha}}{\alpha!} = \sum_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}|} \frac{f_{\mathbf{m}}}{\mathbf{m}!} \sum_{\pi \in s(\alpha, \mathbf{m})} \prod_{i=1}^{\mu} \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} \frac{[g_{\mathbf{p}_j}^{(i)}]}{[\mathbf{p}_j^{(i)}!]} \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}| \leq |\alpha|} f_{\mathbf{m}} \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, \mathbf{m})} \prod_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \frac{[\mathbf{g} \mathbf{p}_j]^{m_j}}{(m_j!)[\mathbf{p}_j!]^{m_j}} \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}| \leq |\alpha|} \frac{m! f_{\mathbf{m}}}{m!} \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, \mathbf{m})} \prod_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \frac{[\mathbf{g} \mathbf{p}_j]^{m_j}}{(m_j!)[\mathbf{p}_j!]^{m_j}} \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}| \leq |\alpha|} \frac{f_{\mathbf{m}}}{\mathbf{m}!} \sum_{\pi \in s^+(\alpha, \mathbf{m})} \prod_{i=1}^{\mu} \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} \frac{[g_{\mathbf{p}_j}^{(i)}]}{[\mathbf{p}_j^{(i)}!]} \\
&= \sum_{\pi \in s^+(\alpha)} \frac{f_{\mathbf{m}}}{\mathbf{m}!} \prod_{i=1}^{\mu} \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} \frac{[g_{\mathbf{p}_j}^{(i)}]}{[\mathbf{p}_j^{(i)}!]}
\end{aligned}$$

We used formula 3.3 in going from the first line to the second, and formula 3.8 in going from the third to the fourth.  $\square$



### 4. More Bernoulli and Euler number identities

Recall from Section 1 that if

$$h_B(x_1, \dots, x_\nu) = \frac{x_1 + \dots + x_\nu}{e^{x_1 + \dots + x_\nu} - 1} \quad \text{and} \quad h_E(x_1, \dots, x_\nu) = \operatorname{sech}(x_1 + \dots + x_\nu),$$

then the  $\alpha$ -th generalized Bernoulli and Euler numbers are  $B_\alpha = \alpha! T_\alpha(h_B; \mathbf{0})$  and  $E_\alpha = \alpha! T_\alpha(h_E; \mathbf{0})$  respectively. In [Vella 2008], the Bernoulli and Euler number identities are expressed in terms of Stirling numbers of the second kind. In this section, we will derive more Bernoulli and Euler number identities using the multivariable analog of these Stirling numbers.

Recall the *multivariable Stirling number of the second kind*,

$$S(\alpha, \mathbf{m}) = \sum_{p(\alpha, \mathbf{m})} \alpha! \prod_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \frac{1}{\mathbf{m}_j! (p_j!)^{|\mathbf{m}_j|}} = \sum_{p(\alpha, \mathbf{m})} \frac{\alpha!}{\lambda(\pi)! \pi!}, \tag{4}$$

introduced on page 516 of [Constantine and Savits 1996]. Additionally, we define

$$p(\alpha, \mathbf{m}, \text{even}) = \{(\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_s; \mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_s) \in p(\alpha, \mathbf{m}) : |\mathbf{p}_j| \text{ even}, \\ \text{for all } j \in \{1, \dots, s\}\},$$

$$s^+(\alpha, \mathbf{m}, \text{even}) = \{(\mathbf{p}_1^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{r_1}^{(1)}; \dots; \mathbf{p}_1^{(\mu)}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{r_\mu}^{(\mu)}) \in s^+(\alpha, \mathbf{m}) : |\mathbf{p}_j^{(i)}| \text{ even}, \\ \text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, \mu\}, \text{ for all } j \in \{1, \dots, r_\mu\}\}.$$

We analogously define  $p(\alpha, \mathbf{m}, \text{odd})$  and  $s^+(\alpha, \mathbf{m}, \text{odd})$ . Let

$$p(\alpha, \text{even}) = \bigcup_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}| \leq |\alpha|} p(\alpha, \mathbf{m}, \text{even}), \\ s^+(\alpha, \text{even}) = \bigcup_{1 \leq |\mathbf{m}| \leq |\alpha|} s^+(\alpha, \mathbf{m}, \text{even}),$$

and similarly define  $p(\alpha, \text{odd})$  and  $s^+(\alpha, \text{odd})$ . We call the  $\mathbf{p}_i$  appearing in elements of  $p(\alpha, \text{even})$  and  $p(\alpha, \mathbf{m}, \text{even})$  *even parts* of  $\alpha$ , and we define *odd parts* of  $\alpha$  in the same manner. Furthermore, let

$$S(\alpha, \mathbf{m}, \text{even}) = \sum_{p(\alpha, \mathbf{m}, \text{even})} \alpha! \prod_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \frac{1}{\mathbf{m}_j! (p_j!)^{|\mathbf{m}_j|}} = \sum_{p(\alpha, \mathbf{m}, \text{even})} \frac{\alpha!}{\lambda(\pi)! \pi!}, \tag{5}$$

and similarly define  $S(\alpha, \mathbf{m}, \text{odd})$ .

Our next theorem gives more explicit identities for calculating Bernoulli numbers.

**Theorem 4.1.** *If  $B_\alpha$  is the  $|\alpha|$ -th Bernoulli number, then*

$$(a) \quad B_\alpha = \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha)} \frac{(-1)^m}{1+m} \binom{m}{\lambda(\pi)} (\alpha)_{(\pi)} = \sum_{\pi \in s^+(\alpha)} \frac{(-1)^m}{1+m} (\alpha)_{(\pi)},$$

$$(b) \quad B_{\alpha} = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq |\alpha|} \frac{(-1)^m m!}{1+m} S(\alpha, m).$$

*Proof.* Let  $g(x_1, \dots, x_\nu) = e^{x_1 + \dots + x_\nu} - 1$  and  $f(y) = \ln(1+y)/y$ . Let  $\mathbf{x}^0 = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$ . Then  $T_{\mathbf{p}_j}(g; \mathbf{0}) = 1/\mathbf{p}_j!$  if  $\mathbf{p}_j > \mathbf{0}$ , while  $T_m(f; \mathbf{y}^0) = T_m(f; \mathbf{0}) = (-1)^m/(1+m)$ . By Corollary 3.2,

$$T_{\alpha}(h; \mathbf{0}) = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq |\alpha|} \frac{(-1)^m}{1+m} \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, m)} \binom{m}{\lambda(\pi)} \prod_{j=1}^s \left[ \frac{1}{\mathbf{p}_j!} \right]^{m_j}.$$

Since  $B_{\alpha} = \alpha! T_{\alpha}(f \circ g; \mathbf{0})$ , this yields part (a) because

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\alpha} &= \alpha! T_{\alpha}(h; \mathbf{0}) = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq |\alpha|} \frac{(-1)^m}{1+m} \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, m)} \binom{m}{\lambda(\pi)} \frac{\alpha!}{\pi!} \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha)} \frac{(-1)^m}{1+m} \binom{m}{\lambda(\pi)} \binom{\alpha}{\pi} = \sum_{\pi \in s^+(\alpha)} \frac{(-1)^m}{1+m} \binom{\alpha}{\pi} \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 3.4. Part (b) follows from part (a) because

$$m! S(\alpha, m) = \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, m)} \binom{m}{\lambda(\pi)} \binom{\alpha}{\pi}$$

by (4). Collecting together partitions of a fixed total multiplicity yields:

$$B_{\alpha} = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq |\alpha|} \frac{(-1)^m m!}{1+m} S(\alpha, m). \quad \square$$

Our next theorem gives more explicit identities for calculating Euler numbers.

**Theorem 4.2.** *If  $E_{\alpha}$  is the  $|\alpha|$ -th Euler number, then*

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad E_{\alpha} &= \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, \text{even})} (-1)^m \binom{m}{\lambda(\pi)} \binom{\alpha}{\pi} = \sum_{\pi \in s^+(\alpha, \text{even})} (-1)^m \binom{\alpha}{\pi}, \\ (b) \quad E_{\alpha} &= \sum_{1 \leq m \leq |\alpha|} (-1)^m m! S(\alpha, m, \text{even}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $g(x_1, \dots, x_\nu) = \cosh(x_1, \dots, x_\nu)$  and  $f(y) = 1/y$ . Let  $\mathbf{x}^0 = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$ . Then  $T_{\mathbf{p}_j}(g; \mathbf{0}) = 1/\mathbf{p}_j!$  for even parts and  $T_{\mathbf{p}_j}(g; \mathbf{0}) = 0$  for odd parts, while  $T_m(f; \mathbf{y}^0) = T_m(f; 1) = (-1)^m$ . From Corollary 3.2, we have

$$T_{\alpha}(h; \mathbf{0}) = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq |\alpha|} (-1)^m \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, m)} \binom{m}{\lambda(\pi)} \prod_{j=1}^s [T_{\mathbf{p}_j}(g; \mathbf{0})]^{m_j},$$

but if any of the parts of  $\pi$  are odd, the product vanishes. Thus, the sum becomes over partitions of only even parts, and

$$T_{\alpha}(h; \mathbf{0}) = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq |\alpha|} (-1)^m \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, m, \text{even})} \binom{m}{\lambda(\pi)} \prod_{j=1}^s \left[ \frac{1}{p_j!} \right]^{m_j}.$$

Since  $E_{\alpha} = \alpha! T_{\alpha}(h; \mathbf{0})$ , this yields part (a) because

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\alpha} &= \alpha! T_{\alpha}(h; \mathbf{0}) = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq |\alpha|} (-1)^m \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, m, \text{even})} \binom{m}{\lambda(\pi)} \frac{\alpha!}{\pi!} \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, \text{even})} (-1)^m \binom{m}{\lambda(\pi)} \binom{\alpha}{\pi} = \sum_{\pi \in s^+(\alpha, \text{even})} (-1)^m \binom{\alpha}{\pi} \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 3.4. Part (b) follows from part (a) because

$$m! S(\alpha, m) = \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, m)} \binom{m}{\lambda(\pi)} \binom{\alpha}{\pi}$$

by (5). Collecting together partitions of a fixed total multiplicity yields

$$E_{\alpha} = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq |\alpha|} (-1)^m m! S(\alpha, m, \text{even}). \quad \square$$

**Theorem 4.3.** *If  $E_{\alpha}$  is the  $|\alpha|$ -th Euler number, then*

$$1 = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq |\alpha|} \frac{(-1)^r}{(2r)!} E_{2r} \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, 2r, \text{odd})} \binom{2r}{\lambda(\pi)} \binom{\alpha}{\pi} \prod_{j=1}^s [E_{p_j}]^{m_j}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $g(x_1, \dots, x_v) = 2 \tan^{-1}(e^{x_1 + \dots + x_v}) - \pi/2$  be the multivariable analogue of the gudermannian function and set  $f(y) = \sec y$ . Let  $\mathbf{x}^0 = \mathbf{0}$ . Notice that  $h(x_1, \dots, x_v) = \sec(g(x_1, \dots, x_v)) = \cosh(x_1 + \dots + x_v)$ . Then  $T_{\alpha}(h; \mathbf{x}^0) = T_{\alpha}(h; \mathbf{0}) = 1/\alpha!$  when  $|\alpha|$  is even and  $T_{\alpha}(h; \mathbf{0}) = 0$  otherwise, while

$$T_m(f; \mathbf{y}^0) = T_m(f; \mathbf{0}) = \frac{(-1)^{m/2}}{m!} E_m$$

when  $m$  is even and  $T_m(f; \mathbf{0}) = 0$  when  $m$  is odd. Letting  $m = 2r$ , we substitute

$$T_{2r}(f; \mathbf{0}) = \frac{(-1)^r}{(2r)!} E_{2r}$$

into (2) of Corollary 3.2 to yield

$$\frac{1}{\alpha!} = \sum_{1 \leq 2r \leq |\alpha|} \frac{(-1)^r}{(2r)!} E_{2r} \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, 2r)} \binom{2r}{\lambda(\pi)} \prod_{j=1}^s [T_{p_j}(g; \mathbf{x}^0)]^{m_j}.$$

From the basic properties of the gudermannian function,

$$\begin{aligned} g(x_1, \dots, x_\nu) &= \int_0^{x_i} \operatorname{sech}(x_1 + \dots + x_\nu) dx_i \\ &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_{(j_1, \dots, j_\nu)}}{j_1! \dots j_\nu!} \int_0^{x_i} x_1^{j_1} \dots x_\nu^{j_\nu} dx_i \\ &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_{(j_1, \dots, j_\nu)}}{j_1! \dots (j_i + 1)! \dots j_\nu!} x_1^{j_1} \dots x_i^{j_i+1} \dots x_\nu^{j_\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$T_{(j_1, \dots, j_i+1, \dots, j_\nu)}(g; \mathbf{x}^0) = T_{(j_1, \dots, j_i+1, \dots, j_\nu)}(g; \mathbf{0}) = \frac{E_{(j_1, \dots, j_\nu)}}{j_1! \dots (j_i + 1)! \dots j_\nu!}.$$

It follows that  $T_{(j_1, \dots, j_i+1, \dots, j_\nu)}(g; \mathbf{x}^0) = 0$  unless  $|(j_1, \dots, j_i + 1, \dots, j_\nu)|$  is odd because formula (a) of Theorem 4.2 implies that either  $E_{(j_1, \dots, j_\nu)} = 0$  or it is possible to write  $(j_1, \dots, j_\nu)$  as the sum of only even parts. It follows that

$$1 = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq |\alpha|} \frac{(-1)^r}{(2r)!} E_{2r} \sum_{\pi \in p(\alpha, 2r, \text{odd})} \binom{2r}{\lambda(\pi)} \binom{\alpha}{\pi} \prod_{j=1}^s [E_{p_j}]^{m_j}. \quad \square$$

### Acknowledgements

The author thanks David Vella for visiting Siena College to speak about the results of his work [2008], which inspired the current paper. The author also thanks Jon P. Bannon of Siena College for serving as a mentor to this research project and thanks Siena College for funding this project.

### References

- [Constantine and Savits 1996] G. M. Constantine and T. H. Savits, "A multivariate Faà di Bruno formula with applications", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **348**:2 (1996), 503–520. MR 96g:05008 Zbl 0846.05003
- [Di Nardo and Oliva 2012] E. Di Nardo and I. Oliva, "Multivariate Bernoulli and Euler polynomials via Lévy processes", *Appl. Math. Lett.* **25**:9 (2012), 1179–1184. MR 2930742 Zbl 1250.65015
- [Vella 2008] D. C. Vella, "Explicit formulas for Bernoulli and Euler numbers", *Integers* **8** (2008), A01, 7. MR 2008j:11015 Zbl 1195.11033

Received: 2013-06-03

Revised: 2013-08-04

Accepted: 2013-09-24

fm20roma@siena.edu

*Department of Mathematics, Siena College,  
Loudonville, NY 12211, United States*

# involve

msp.org/involve

## EDITORS

### MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut, Wake Forest University, USA, berenhks@wfu.edu

### BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA colin.c.adams@williams.edu	David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA larson@math.tamu.edu
John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA baxley@wfu.edu	Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA lenhart@math.utk.edu
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA benjamin@hmc.edu	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA ckli@math.wm.edu
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA bohner@mst.edu	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA lund@clemson.edu
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA boston@math.wisc.edu	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA budhiraj@email.unc.edu	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA meyer@stat.colostate.edu
Pietro Cerone	La Trobe University, Australia P.Cerone@latrobe.edu.au	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria eminchev@hotmail.com
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA scott.chapman@shsu.edu	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA frank.morgan@williams.edu
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA cooper@math.sc.edu	Mohammad Sal Moselehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA corcoran@colorado.edu	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA znashed@mail.ucf.edu
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA tdiagana@howard.edu	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA ono@mathcs.emory.edu
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA mdorff@math.byu.edu	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA tobrie1@luc.edu
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia sever@matilda.vu.edu.au	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA orourke@cs.smith.edu
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA peres@microsoft.com
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam foisyjs@potsgdam.edu	Y.-F. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland petermann@math.unige.ch
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA fulp@wfu.edu	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA rplemmons@wfu.edu
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA jgallian@d.umn.edu	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA stephan.garcia@pomona.edu	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA vadim@sciences.sdsu.edu
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA godbole@etsu.edu	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA poonen@math.berkeley.edu
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA rg@mathcs.emory.edu	James Propp	U Mass Lowell, USA jpropp@cs.uml.edu
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada andrew@dms.umontreal.ca	József H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA przytyck@gwu.edu
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA griggs@math.sc.edu	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA rrebarbe@math.unl.edu
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA sngupta@uncg.edu	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA rwr@cs.uga.edu
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA jhaglund@math.upenn.edu	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA f_saidak@uncg.edu
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA johnny_henderson@baylor.edu	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA sellersj@math.psu.edu
Jim Hoste	Pitzer College jhoste@pitzer.edu	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor andy@ajsterge.com
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA nahritonenko@pvamu.edu	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA atrenk@wellesley.edu
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Arizona State University, USA hurlbert@asu.edu	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA vakil@math.stanford.edu
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA crjohnso@math.wm.edu	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy antonia.vecchio@cnr.it
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA kk@ces.clemson.edu	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA verma99@msn.com
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA gladas@math.uri.edu	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA wierman@jhu.edu
		Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA zieve@umich.edu

## PRODUCTION


Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2015 is US \$140/year for the electronic version, and \$190/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW<sup>®</sup> from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2015 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# involve

2015

vol. 8

no. 2

Enhancing multiple testing: two applications of the probability of correct selection statistic	181
ERIN IRWIN AND JASON WILSON	
On attractors and their basins	195
ALEXANDER ARBIETO AND DAVI OBATA	
Convergence of the maximum zeros of a class of Fibonacci-type polynomials	211
REBECCA GRIDER AND KRISTI KARBER	
Iteration digraphs of a linear function	221
HANNAH ROBERTS	
Numerical integration of rational bubble functions with multiple singularities	233
MICHAEL SCHNEIER	
Finite groups with some weakly $s$ -permutably embedded and weakly $s$ -supplemented subgroups	253
GUO ZHONG, XUANLONG MA, SHIXUN LIN, JIAYI XIA AND JIANXING JIN	
Ordering graphs in a normalized singular value measure	263
CHARLES R. JOHNSON, BRIAN LINS, VICTOR LUO AND SEAN MEEHAN	
More explicit formulas for Bernoulli and Euler numbers	275
FRANCESCA ROMANO	
Crossings of complex line segments	285
SAMULI LEPPÄNEN	
On the $\varepsilon$ -ascent chromatic index of complete graphs	295
JEAN A. BREYTENBACH AND C. M. (KIEKA) MYNHARDT	
Bisection envelopes	307
NOAH FECHTOR-PRADINES	
Degree 14 2-adic fields	329
CHAD AWTRY, NICOLE MILES, JONATHAN MILSTEAD, CHRISTOPHER SHILL AND ERIN STROSNIDER	
Counting set classes with Burnside's lemma	337
JOSHUA CASE, LORI KOBAN AND JORDAN LEGRAND	
Border rank of ternary trilinear forms and the $j$ -invariant	345
DEREK ALLUMS AND JOSEPH M. LANDSBERG	
On the least prime congruent to 1 modulo $n$	357
JACKSON S. MORROW	



1944-4176(2015)8:2;1-5