

Generalizations of Pappus' centroid theorem via Stokes' theorem

Cole Adams, Stephen Lovett and Matthew McMillan





Generalizations of Pappus' centroid theorem via Stokes' theorem

Cole Adams, Stephen Lovett and Matthew McMillan

(Communicated by Kenneth S. Berenhaut)

This paper provides a novel proof of a generalization of Pappus' centroid theorem on *n*-dimensional tubes using Stokes' theorem on manifolds.

1. Introduction

The (second) Pappus centroid theorem or the Pappus–Guldin theorem states that the volume of a solid of revolution generated by rotating a plane region \mathcal{R} with piecewise-smooth boundary about an axis L is $2\pi r$ Area(\mathcal{R}), where r is the distance from the centroid of \mathcal{R} to L. This result generalizes considerably to the following main theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (main theorem). Let C be a simple, regular, smooth curve in \mathbb{R}^n . Let \mathcal{R} be a region in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} whose boundary is an embedding of the (n-2)-dimensional sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-2} . Let \mathcal{W} be a region in \mathbb{R}^n whose boundary is a generalized tube around C such that the cross-section normal to C of \mathcal{W} at each point P of C is the region \mathcal{R} with centroid at P. Assuming the cross-section \mathcal{R} rotates smoothly as it "travels" along C, then

$$\operatorname{Vol}_n(\mathcal{W}) = \operatorname{length}(C) \operatorname{Vol}_{n-1}(\mathcal{R}).$$

The Pappus centroid theorem follows from this main theorem by taking n = 3, C to be a circle in \mathbb{R}^3 , and \mathcal{R} to remain fixed with respect to the principal normal to C in the normal plane. This theorem recently was proved by Gray, Miquel, and Domingo-Juan in [Domingo-Juan and Miquel 2004] and [Gray and Miquel 2000] using parallel transport. However, Goodman and Goodman [1969] proved this theorem in a special case for \mathbb{R}^3 using elementary methods related to Stokes' theorem. This article proves the main theorem in full generality using Stokes' theorem on manifolds. In this

MSC2010: 53A07, 58C35.

Keywords: Stokes' theorem on manifolds, volume, manifolds, tubes.

The authors thank Wheaton College's Faculty Student Mentoring Initiative, which made possible the collaboration that led to this article.

regard, we can consider the proof elementary compared to those in [Domingo-Juan and Miquel 2004] and [Gray and Miquel 2000].

Before proving the main theorem in full generality, we sketch the proof of it in \mathbb{R}^3 found in [Goodman and Goodman 1969], leaving the reader to consult that work for details. The description of the generalized tube and the method involving the divergence theorem motivate the situation for arbitrary *n*.

2. Generalized tubes in dimension 3

Definition 2.1. Let *C* be a simple, regular, smooth space curve and let \mathcal{R} be a compact planar region with one boundary component $\partial \mathcal{R}$, a piecewise smooth simple closed curve. Select a marked point *P* in \mathcal{R} . *C* has a normal plane at each point. Let \mathcal{W} be a region in \mathbb{R}^3 such that the intersection of \mathcal{W} with the normal plane to *C* at any point is isometric to the region \mathcal{R} , with the corresponding marked point *P* lying on the curve *C*. We assume \mathcal{R} rotates smoothly in the normal plane to *C* as it travels along *C*. Such a region \mathcal{W} is called a *generalized tube* along *C* with cross-section \mathcal{R} and center *P*.

This definition allows for rotational freedom of \mathcal{R} around the marked point P in the normal planes to C. However, this rotational varies smoothly. We may also describe the generalized tube as a fiber-bundle over C with fiber \mathcal{R} , that is a subbundle of the normal bundle over C.

Figure 1 depicts two generalized tubes around a portion of a helix. More precisely, the figure depicts the tube boundary excluding the "caps", or cross-sections at the end points of C. The planar curve shows its generating region \mathcal{R} where the marked point of \mathcal{R} is the origin.

Let S be the boundary ∂W of a generalized tube excluding the caps. (If C is a closed curve, then ∂W has no caps.) Suppose that $\alpha : [0, \ell] \to \mathbb{R}^3$ gives a parametrization by arclength of C. Also suppose that $\vec{\beta} : [0, c] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is a parametrization of $\partial \mathcal{R}$ placing the marked point P at the origin. We write $\vec{\beta}(u) = (x(u), y(u))$ for the coordinate functions. A parametrization for S is

$$\vec{X}(s,u) = \vec{\alpha}(s) + \left(\cos(\theta(s))x(u) - \sin(\theta(s))y(u)\right)\vec{P}(s) + \left(\sin(\theta(s))x(u) + \cos(\theta(s))y(u)\right)\vec{B}(s)$$
(1)

for some function $\theta(s)$, where $\vec{P}(s)$ and $\vec{B}(s)$ are respectively the principal normal and binormal vector functions to $\vec{\alpha}(s)$.

Recall that $(\vec{T}(s), \vec{P}(s), \vec{B}(s))$, where \vec{T}, \vec{P} , and \vec{B} are the usual tangent, principal normal, and binormal vectors to $\vec{\alpha}(s)$, is called the Frenet frame to $\vec{\alpha}(s)$. The function $\theta(s)$ determines the rotation of the region \mathcal{R} around the origin with respect to the Frenet frame. The stipulation that \mathcal{R} rotates smoothly as it moves along C implies that $\theta(s)$ is a smooth function.



Figure 1. A generalized tube with its generating region.

Figure 1, middle, depicts a generalized tube where the x-axis in the depiction of \mathcal{R} always lies along the principal normal vector of $\vec{\alpha}(s)$, and Figure 1, right, depicts a generalized tube with the same cross-section region but having some rotation with respect to the basis (\vec{P}, \vec{B}) in the normal plane. For brevity, we write

$$\vec{X} = \vec{\alpha} + (x\cos\theta - y\sin\theta)\vec{P} + (x\sin\theta + y\cos\theta)\vec{B},$$

where functional dependence is understood from (1).

Theorem 2.2 [Goodman and Goodman 1969, Corollary 2]. *The volume of a generalized tube as described in Definition 2.1 is* $V = \text{length}(C) \text{Area}(\mathcal{R})$.

The Goodmans' method to calculate the volume uses the fact that the position vector field $\vec{r}(x, y, z) = (x, y, z)$ has divergence equal to 3 everywhere. So, using the notation defined above, the volume of the generalized tube is

$$\operatorname{Vol}(\mathcal{W}) = \frac{1}{3} \iiint_{\mathcal{W}} 3 \, dV = \frac{1}{3} \iiint_{\mathcal{W}} \nabla \cdot \vec{r} \, dV = \frac{1}{3} \iint_{\partial \mathcal{W}} \vec{r} \cdot d\vec{A},$$

where $d\vec{A}$ is the outward pointing surface element. Note that ∂W consists of the tube's outward surface S, parametrized by \vec{X} , and the end caps (if C is not a closed curve). Over S, $d\vec{A}$ is given by $d\vec{A} = (\vec{X}_u \times \vec{X}_s) du ds$ with $(u, v) \in [0, c] \times [0, \ell]$, while on the end caps, $d\vec{A} = -\vec{T}(0) dA$ when s = 0 and $d\vec{A} = \vec{T}(\ell) dA$ when $s = \ell$. The caps, like any cross-section at s, are parametrized by

$$\vec{Y}_s(p,q) = \vec{\alpha}(s) + p\vec{P}(s) + q\vec{B}(s)$$
 for $(p,q) \in \mathcal{R}_s$,

where \mathcal{R}_s is the region \mathcal{R} rotated about the origin (the marked point P) by the angle $\theta(s)$. Thus, since $\vec{T}(s)$ is perpendicular to both $\vec{P}(s)$ and $\vec{B}(s)$, we have

$$3 \operatorname{Vol}(\mathcal{W})$$

$$= \int_{s=0}^{\ell} \int_{u=0}^{c} \vec{X} \cdot (\vec{X}_{u} \times \vec{X}_{s}) \, du \, ds + \iint_{\mathcal{R}_{\ell}} \vec{\alpha}(\ell) \cdot \vec{T}(\ell) \, dp \, dq + \iint_{\mathcal{R}_{0}} -\vec{\alpha}(0) \cdot \vec{T}(0) \, dp \, dq$$
$$= \int_{s=0}^{\ell} \int_{u=0}^{c} \vec{X} \cdot (\vec{X}_{u} \times \vec{X}_{s}) \, du \, ds + \operatorname{Area}(\mathcal{R}) \big(\vec{\alpha}(\ell) \cdot \vec{T}(\ell) - \vec{\alpha}(0) \cdot \vec{T}(0) \big). \tag{2}$$

The problem of calculating the volume of W reduces to calculating the double integral in (2).

Recall that vectors of the Frenet frame (parametrized by arclength) differentiate according to

$$\vec{T}' = \kappa \vec{P},
\vec{P}' = -\kappa \vec{T} + \tau \vec{B},
\vec{B}' = -\tau \vec{P},$$
(3)

where $\kappa(s)$ and $\tau(s)$ are the curvature and torsion functions of the space curve $\vec{\alpha}(s)$. Then the tangent vectors to \vec{X} are given (after simplification) by

_

$$\vec{X}_{u} = (x'\cos\theta - y'\sin\theta)\vec{P} + (x'\sin\theta + y'\cos\theta)\vec{B},$$

$$\vec{X}_{s} = (1 - \kappa x\cos\theta + \kappa y\sin\theta)\vec{T} - (\theta' + \tau)(x\sin\theta + y\cos\theta)\vec{P} + (\theta' + \tau)(x\cos\theta - y\sin\theta)\vec{B}.$$

So

$$\vec{X}_{u} \times \vec{X}_{s} = (\theta' + \tau)(xx' + yy')\vec{T} + (1 - \kappa x \cos \theta + \kappa y \sin \theta)(x' \sin \theta + y' \cos \theta)\vec{P} - (1 - \kappa x \cos \theta + \kappa y \sin \theta)(x' \cos \theta - y' \sin \theta)\vec{B}.$$

The dot product $\vec{X} \cdot (\vec{X}_u \times \vec{X}_s)$ involves many terms. However, all of the additive terms involved in the integrals are multiplicatively separable, which, by the usual corollary to Fubini's theorem, allows us to separate the double integral. Many of the integrals involving *u* vanish or evaluate to a simple constant, namely the area of the cross-section. Consider the following integrals. By substitution,

$$\int_{u=0}^{c} xx' \, du = x^2 \big|_{0}^{c} = 0$$

because (x(u), y(u)) with $u \in [0, c]$ parametrizes a closed curve $\partial \mathcal{R}$. By similar reasoning, the following integrals are all 0:

$$\int_{u=0}^{c} x' \, du = 0, \quad \int_{u=0}^{c} y' \, du = 0, \quad \int_{u=0}^{c} xx' \, du = 0, \quad \int_{u=0}^{c} yy' \, du = 0.$$
(4)

774

By Green's theorem for the area of the interior of a simple closed piecewise smooth curve,

$$\int_{u=0}^{c} xy' \, du = -\int_{u=0}^{c} yx' \, du = \iint_{\mathcal{R}} 1 \, dA = \operatorname{Area}(\mathcal{R}).$$
(5)

Also by Green's theorem,

$$\int_{u=0}^{c} \frac{1}{2} x^2 y' \, du = \int_{u=0}^{c} -xyx' \, du = \iint_{\mathcal{R}} x \, dA = 0 \tag{6}$$

because this integral is the *y*-moment of \mathcal{R}_s and by hypothesis, the centroid of \mathcal{R}_s is (0, 0) for all *s*. By the same reasoning but for the *x*-moment, we also have

$$\int_{u=0}^{c} -\frac{1}{2}y^{2}x' \, du = \int_{u=0}^{c} xyy' \, du = \iint_{\mathcal{R}} y \, dA = 0.$$
(7)

Upon applying these integrals, only a few terms remain in (2). Setting $A = Area(\mathcal{R})$, we get

$$3 \operatorname{Vol}(\mathcal{W}) = \int_{s=0}^{\ell} (-\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{T}' A + 2A) \, ds + A \big(\vec{\alpha}(\ell) \cdot \vec{T}(\ell) - \vec{\alpha}(0) \cdot \vec{T}(0) \big).$$

Using integration by parts on the dot product, we obtain

 $3 \operatorname{Vol}(\mathcal{W})$

$$= -A(\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{T}) \Big|_{0}^{\ell} + A \int_{s=0}^{\ell} \vec{\alpha}' \cdot \vec{T} \, ds + 2A\ell + A(\vec{\alpha}(\ell) \cdot \vec{T}(\ell) - \vec{\alpha}(0) \cdot \vec{T}(0)) \\= -A(\vec{\alpha}(\ell) \cdot \vec{T}(\ell) - \vec{\alpha}(0) \cdot \vec{T}(0)) \\+ A \int_{s=0}^{\ell} \vec{T} \cdot \vec{T} \, ds + 2A\ell + A(\vec{\alpha}(\ell) \cdot \vec{T}(\ell) - \vec{\alpha}(0) \cdot \vec{T}(0)) \\= A\ell + 2A\ell = 3A\ell.$$

We conclude that $Vol(W) = Area(\mathcal{R}) length(C)$.

Theorem 2.2 establishes the main theorem of the paper for generalized tubes in \mathbb{R}^3 . In order to prove the main theorem in full generality, we will need to use differential forms along with Stokes' theorem on manifolds. However, a key component to the main theorem is a set of integral formulas for the general case similar to (4), (5), (6), and (7).

3. Volumes, moments, and zero integrals for solids in \mathbb{R}^m

Recall that Stokes' theorem on manifolds states that if M is an m-dimensional, oriented manifold with boundary ∂M , and ω is a differential (m-1)-form on M, then

$$\int_{\partial M} \omega = \int_M d\omega, \tag{8}$$

where ∂M has the boundary orientation inherited from the orientation on M.

Definition 3.1. We define a *solid* in \mathbb{R}^m as a compact embedded *m*-dimensional submanifold of \mathbb{R}^m with boundary ∂M . We assume the pull-back orientation on M.

We define the (m-1)-form η^i in \mathbb{R}^m by

$$\eta^{i} = (-1)^{i+1} dy^{1} \wedge dy^{2} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dy^{i}} \wedge \dots \wedge dy^{m}$$

where $(y^1, y^2, ..., y^m)$ is a coordinate system on \mathbb{R}^m and $\widehat{}$ denotes removal of that term.

Lemma 3.2. The m-dimensional volume of a solid M is

$$\operatorname{Vol}_m(M) = \int_{\partial M} y^i \eta^i$$

for any i = 1, 2, ..., m.

Proof. The differential of $y^i \eta^i$ is

$$d(y^{i}\eta^{i}) = (-1)^{i+1} dy^{i} \wedge dy^{1} \wedge dy^{2} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dy^{i}} \wedge \dots \wedge dy^{m} = dy^{1} \wedge dy^{2} \wedge \dots \wedge dy^{m}.$$

This form is precisely the volume form on \mathbb{R}^m , and thus on the solid M as well. Hence, by Stokes' theorem,

$$\int_{\partial M} y^i \eta^i = \int_M dy^1 \wedge dy^2 \wedge \dots \wedge dy^m = \operatorname{Vol}_m(M).$$

This lemma immediately implies the following corollary:

Corollary 3.3. Let
$$v = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} y^i \eta^i$$
. The m-dimensional volume of M is
 $\operatorname{Vol}_m(M) = \int_{\partial M} v.$

In this article, if $F: M \to N$ is a differentiable map between differentiable manifolds, we will denote by [dF] the matrix of functions of the differential dF in reference to given coordinate systems on M and on N. Furthermore, when the dimension of M is one less than the dimension of N and when coordinate systems on neighborhoods of M and N are implied, we denote by $|d_j F|$ the determinant of [dF] in which the *j*-th row is removed.

Proposition 3.4. Let M be an m-dimensional solid such that the boundary ∂M is the embedding of a continuous map $H : \mathbb{S}^{m-1} \to \mathbb{R}^m$ that is smooth except on a subset of measure 0 in \mathbb{S}^{m-1} . Suppose also that H induces an orientation on ∂M that is compatible with the boundary orientation induced from M. Let v be the (m-1)-form as in Corollary 3.3 and let ω be the (m-1)-form on \mathbb{S}^{m-1}

given by $\omega = dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{m-1}$ for coordinates $(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^{m-1})$. The *m*-dimensional volume of *M* is

$$\operatorname{Vol}_{m}(M) = \int_{H(\mathbb{S}^{m-1})} \nu = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} H^{*}\nu = \frac{1}{m} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} \det(H, [dH])\omega, \quad (9)$$

where in det(H, [dH]) we write the components of H as a column vector. If H induces the opposite orientation, the second two integrals change sign.

Proof. The equality

$$\operatorname{Vol}_m(M) = \int_{H(\mathbb{S}^{m-1})} v$$

follows immediately from Corollary 3.3. Let $(x^1, x^2, ..., x^{m-1})$ be coordinates on \mathbb{S}^{m-1} and $(y^1, y^2, ..., y^m)$ on \mathbb{R}^m . Notice that the pullback of v by H is

$$H^*\nu = \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^m H^i(-1)^{i+1}dH^1 \wedge dH^2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dH^i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dH^m.$$

Or, writing in x^i coordinates, and using the fact that

$$dH^i = \frac{\partial H^i}{\partial x^j} dx^j$$

(assuming the Einstein summation convention), we find

$$H^* \nu = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m H^i (-1)^{i+1} \left(\frac{\partial H^1}{\partial x^{j_1}} dx^{j_1} \right) \wedge \left(\frac{\partial H^2}{\partial x^{j_2}} dx^{j_2} \right) \wedge \dots \wedge \left(\frac{\partial H^m}{\partial x^{j_m}} dx^{j_m} \right).$$

By Theorem C.5.22 in [Lovett 2010], this is equivalent to

$$H^* \nu = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m H^i (-1)^{i+1} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial H^1}{\partial x^1} & \frac{\partial H^1}{\partial x^2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial H^1}{\partial x^{m-1}} \\ \frac{\partial H^2}{\partial x^1} & \frac{\partial H^2}{\partial x^2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial H^2}{\partial x^{m-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial H^i}{\partial x^1} & \frac{\partial H^i}{\partial x^2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial H^i}{\partial x^{m-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial H^m}{\partial x^1} & \frac{\partial H^m}{\partial x^2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial H^m}{\partial x^{m-1}} \end{vmatrix}$$

Taking the summation and recognizing the Laplace expansion of a determinant down the first column, we see that

$$H^* v = \frac{1}{m} \det(H, [dH]) \, dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{m-1}$$

Then (9) follows. Note that the second integral changes sign if H induces the opposite orientation on ∂M , so the third integral changes sign as well.

Lemma 3.2, Corollary 3.3, and Proposition 3.4 are generalizations to higher dimensions of Green's theorem for area. For example, suppose that S is a solid in \mathbb{R}^3 such that the boundary ∂S is parametrized by $\vec{X}(u, v) = (x(u, v), y(u, v), z(u, v))$ with $(u, v) \in D$ such that $\vec{X}_u \times \vec{X}_v$ is outward-pointing. Then by Proposition 3.4, the volume of S is

$$\operatorname{Vol}(\mathcal{S}) = \frac{1}{3} \iint_{\mathcal{D}} \begin{vmatrix} x & x_{u} & x_{v} \\ y & y_{u} & y_{v} \\ z & z_{u} & z_{v} \end{vmatrix} du \, dv.$$

Because of the flexibility in Stokes' theorem, as in Green's area theorem, this formula still applies when ∂S is piecewise smooth. In that case, we interpret the above integral as a sum of integrals taken over domains $\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_r$ such that the parametrizations for the smooth pieces of ∂S have domains \mathcal{D}_i . The same principle applies in (9).

We will encounter other integrals that cancel. We list them here.

Proposition 3.5. Let M be a solid and let $(y^1, y^2, ..., y^m)$ be a coordinate system on M. Then for i and q in $\{1, 2, ..., m\}$,

$$\int_{\partial M} y^q \eta^i = \delta_i^q \operatorname{Vol}_m(M),$$

where δ_i^q is the Dirac delta in which $\delta_i^q = 1$ if i = q and $\delta_i^q = 0$ if $i \neq q$. *Proof.* The case with i = q is Lemma 3.2. If $i \neq q$, then

$$d(y^{q}\eta^{i}) = dy^{q} \wedge dy^{1} \wedge dy^{2} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dy^{i}} \wedge \dots \wedge dy^{m} = 0$$

because one differential is repeated. Then by Stokes' theorem, we have

$$\int_{\partial M} y^q \eta^i = \int_M d(y^q \eta^i) = \int_M 0 = 0.$$

Corollary 3.6. Let M, H, and ω be as in Proposition 3.4. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} (-1)^{i+1} H^q |d_i H| \omega = \delta_i^q \operatorname{Vol}_m(M).$$

Proof. This follows immediately from the fact that

$$(-1)^{i+1}H^{q}|d_{i}H|\omega = H^{*}(y^{q}\eta^{i}).$$

Proposition 3.7. Let M, H, and ω be as in Proposition 3.4. Let $\vec{a} = (a^1, a^2, ..., a^m)$ be a constant vector, listed as a column vector. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} \det(\vec{a}, [dH])\omega = 0.$$

Proof. By the reasoning in the proof of (9), we see that

$$\det(\vec{a}, [dH])\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (-1)^{i+1} a^i dH^1 \wedge dH^2 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dH^i} \wedge \dots \wedge dH^m$$
$$= H^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} (-1)^{i+1} a^i dy^1 \wedge dy^2 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dy^i} \wedge \dots \wedge dy^m \right).$$

Hence, by a pull-back and then Stokes' theorem,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} \det(\vec{a}, [dH]) = \int_{H(\mathbb{S}^{m-1})} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (-1)^{i+1} a^i \, dy^1 \wedge dy^2 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dy^i} \wedge \dots \wedge dy^m$$
$$= \int_M d\left(\sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^{i+1} a^i \, dy^1 \wedge dy^2 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dy^i} \wedge \dots \wedge dy^m\right)$$
$$= \int_M 0 = 0.$$

In the proof of Theorem 2.2, certain integrals vanished by virtue of the crosssection always having its centroid on the curve C, and the same thing occurs in higher dimensions. The following proposition establishes the centroid generalizations needed later:

Proposition 3.8. Let M be an m-dimensional solid as given in Definition 3.1. Let (y^1, y^2, \ldots, y^m) be a coordinate system covering M. Let $(\bar{y}^1, \bar{y}^2, \ldots, \bar{y}^m)$ be the center of mass of M. Then

$$\int_{\partial M} y^p y^q \eta^i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \neq i \text{ and } q \neq i, \\ \bar{y}^p \operatorname{Vol}_m(M) & \text{if } p \neq i \text{ and } q = i, \\ 2\bar{y}^i \operatorname{Vol}_m(M) & \text{if } p = q = i. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Stokes' theorem,

$$\int_{\partial M} y^p y^q \eta^i = \int_M d(y^p y^q \eta^i).$$

However,

$$d(y^p y^q \eta^i) = (y^q dy^p + y^p dy^q) \wedge \eta^i = y^q dy^p \wedge \eta^i + y^p dy^q \wedge \eta^i.$$

If neither p = i nor q = i, then $dy^p \wedge \eta^i = 0$ and $dy^q \wedge \eta^i = 0$. If q = i and $p \neq i$, then $d(y^p y^q \eta^i) = y^p dy^1 \wedge dy^2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^m$ and

$$\int_M y^p \, dy^1 \wedge dy^2 \wedge \dots \wedge dy^m = \bar{y}^p \operatorname{Vol}_m(M)$$

by definition of the center of mass. Finally, if p = q = i, then $d(y^p y^q \eta^i) = 2y^i dy^1 \wedge dy^2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^m$ and

$$\int_{M} 2y^{i} dy^{1} \wedge dy^{2} \wedge \dots \wedge dy^{m} = 2\bar{y}^{i} \operatorname{Vol}_{m}(M).$$

4. Generalized tubes in higher dimensions

We are almost ready to prove Theorem 1.1. We must first set up a useful description of a generalized tube. Let \mathcal{W} be a generalized tube with guiding curve C and cross-section \mathcal{R} as described in the statement of the main theorem. A generalized tube is a fiber-bundle over C with fiber \mathcal{R} , that is, a subbundle of the normal bundle over C. Suppose that C is parametrized by arclength by $\alpha : [0, \ell] \to \mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose that the cross-section \mathcal{R} is a solid in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} whose boundary $\partial \mathcal{R}$ is parametrized by an orientation-preserving, differentiable map $H : \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. We also assume that \mathcal{R} rotates smoothly about the origin in the normal plane as it is transported along C. For the purpose of the theorem, we also assume that the center of mass of \mathcal{R} is the origin in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . Define $\overline{H} : \mathbb{S}^{n-2} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ by $\overline{H}(\vec{x}) = (0, H(\vec{x}))$.

The boundary ∂W of the solid generalized tube consists of the caps at $\alpha(0)$ and $\alpha(\ell)$ as well as the side surface S, which we can parametrize by

$$\alpha(t) + M(t)\overline{H}(\vec{x})$$
 for $(t, \vec{x}) \in [0, \ell] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$.

where $M : [0, \ell] \to SO(n)$ is a differentiable curve of special orthogonal (rotation) matrices in \mathbb{R}^n such that for all *t*,

$$M(t) \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\\vdots\\0 \end{pmatrix} = M(t)\vec{e}_1 = \alpha'(t).$$
(10)

Note that since M(t) is a rotation matrix and the unit vector \vec{e}_1 in the y^1 direction is perpendicular to $\{(0, y^2, \dots, y^n) \mid y^i \in \mathbb{R}\}$, then for all $t \in [0, \ell]$, the boundary of the cross-section $M(t)\overline{H}(\vec{x})$, for $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$, is in a plane perpendicular to the tangent vector $\alpha'(t)$. For simplicity later, we write $F(t, \vec{x}) = M(t)\overline{H}(\vec{x})$.

Recall that since M(t) is a special orthogonal matrix for all t, then $M(t)^{-1} = M(t)^{\top}$, det M(t) = 1, and M'(t) = M(t)A(t), where A(t) is some antisymmetric matrix for all t. Using the rotation matrix M(t) provides the following useful fact.



Figure 2. Reversed orientation on a cylinder.

Lemma 4.1. The first component of the vector $M(t)^{-1}\alpha(t)$ is equal to the dot product $\alpha(t) \cdot \alpha'(t)$.

Proof. By (10), the dot product $\alpha(t) \cdot \alpha'(t)$ is

$$\alpha(t) \cdot \alpha'(t) = \alpha(t)^{\top} M(t) \vec{e}_1.$$

Taking the transpose of the matrix expression on the right, and since the whole expression is just a real number, we get

$$\alpha(t) \cdot \alpha'(t) = \vec{e}_1^\top M(t)^\top \alpha(t) = (1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0) M(t)^{-1} \alpha(t),$$

and the lemma follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Case 1: Assume that the guiding curve *C* is not closed. Let *v* be the (n-1)-form $v = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y^{i} \eta^{i}$. By Corollary 3.3, the volume of the generalized tube is

$$\operatorname{Vol}_{n}(\mathcal{W}) = \int_{\partial \mathcal{W}} \nu = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \nu + \int_{\operatorname{cap}_{t=0}} \nu + \int_{\operatorname{cap}_{t=\ell}} \nu.$$
(11)

We parametrize S by $\alpha + F$ but we note that this parametrization is orientationreversing. This can be seen by applying our setup to the case of a circular cylinder in \mathbb{R}^3 and generalizing to higher dimensions. In Figure 2, \vec{v}_1 is $\alpha'(t)$, \vec{v}_2 is a tangent vector to the cross-section boundary in positive orientation, and \vec{v}_3 is the outward pointing normal vector to the solid M. These three vectors form a left-handed system so the orientation induced from our parametrization is reversed from the boundary orientation on ∂M induced by the standard orientation of \mathbb{R}^n on M.

We can parametrize the caps by G_0 and G_ℓ where, for each $t \in [0, \ell]$, we define $G_t : \mathcal{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with

$$G_t(\vec{z}) = \alpha(t) + M(t) \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ \vec{z} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now G_0 induces an orientation that is opposite the boundary orientation on ∂W , while G_t gives a compatible orientation. Hence, (11) becomes

$$\operatorname{Vol}_{n}(\mathcal{W}) = -\int_{I \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}} (\alpha + F)^{*} \nu - \int_{\mathcal{R}} G_{0}^{*} \nu + \int_{\mathcal{R}} G_{\ell}^{*} \nu.$$
(12)

We calculate the integrals on the caps first. By the same reasoning as in Proposition 3.4, for each $t \in [0, \ell]$,

$$G_t^* \nu = \frac{1}{n} \det(G_t, [d(G_t)]) dz^1 \wedge dz^2 \wedge \dots \wedge dz^{n-1}.$$

Now

$$det(G_t, [d(G_t)]) = det\left(\alpha(t) + M(t) \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ \vec{z} \end{pmatrix}, M(t) \begin{pmatrix} \vec{0}^\top\\ I_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}\right)$$
$$= det(M(t)) det\left(M(t)^{-1}\alpha(t) + \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ \vec{z} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \vec{0}^\top\\ I_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}\right)$$
$$= det\left(M(t)^{-1}\alpha(t), \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ \vec{z} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \vec{0}^\top\\ I_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) = \alpha(t) \cdot \alpha'(t),$$

where $\vec{0}^{\top} = (0, ..., 0)$, I_{n-1} is the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ identity matrix and the last equality holds by Lemma 4.1. Consequently,

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}} G_{\ell}^* \nu - \int_{\mathcal{R}} G_0^* \nu = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Vol}_{n-1}(\mathcal{R}) \big(\alpha(\ell) \cdot \alpha'(\ell) - \alpha(0) \cdot \alpha'(0) \big).$$
(13)

Now we must calculate $\int_{I \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}} (\alpha + F)^* \nu$. Applying Proposition 3.4, over a coordinate patch of \mathbb{S}^{n-2} with coordinate system $(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^{n-2})$, we have

$$(\alpha+F)^* v = \frac{1}{n} \det(\alpha(t)+F(\vec{x}), \alpha'(t)+F_t(t, \vec{x}), M(t)[d\overline{H}]) dt \wedge dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{n-2},$$

where here $F_t = \partial F / \partial t$. This can be broken down by multilinearity of the determinant as follows:

$$(\alpha + F)^* v = \frac{1}{n} \Big(\det(\alpha(t), \alpha'(t), M(t)[d\overline{H}]) \\ + \det(F(\vec{x}), F_t(t, \vec{x}), M(t)[d\overline{H}]) + \det(F(\vec{x}), \alpha'(t), M(t)[d\overline{H}]) \\ + \det(\alpha(t), F_t(t, \vec{x}), M(t)[d\overline{H}]) \Big) dt \wedge dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{n-2}.$$
(14)

We now consider the integration over $[0, \ell] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ of the four forms in (14).

For the first determinant in (14),

$$det(\alpha(t), \alpha'(t), M(t)[d\overline{H}]) = det(\alpha(t), M(t)\vec{e}_1, M(t)[d\overline{H}])$$

= det(M(t)) det(M(t)^{-1}\alpha(t), \vec{e}_1, [d\overline{H}])
= - det(\vec{e}_1, M(t)^{-1}\alpha(t), [d\overline{H}]).

782

Doing Laplace expansion down the first column, we obtain an integral of the form in Proposition 3.7, with a vector \vec{a} that depends on *t*. Hence, by Proposition 3.7,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} \int_{t=0}^{\ell} \det(\alpha(t), \alpha'(t), M(t)[d\overline{H}]) dt \wedge dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{n-2}$$

= $(-1)^{n-2} \int_{t=0}^{\ell} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} \det(\alpha(t), \alpha'(t), M(t)[d\overline{H}]) dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{n-2} \wedge dt = 0.$

For the second determinant in (14),

$$det(F(t,\vec{x}), F_t(t,\vec{x}), M(t)[d\overline{H}]) = det(M(t)\overline{H}(\vec{x}), M(t)A(t)\overline{H}(\vec{x}), M(t)[d\overline{H}])$$
$$= det(M(t)) det(\overline{H}(\vec{x}), A(t)\overline{H}(\vec{x}), [d\overline{H}])$$
$$= det(\overline{H}(\vec{x}), A(t)\overline{H}(\vec{x}), [d\overline{H}]).$$

Performing a Laplace expansion of the determinant using the first two columns of this last determinant produces terms similar to the forms described in Proposition 3.8. Since the centroid of \mathcal{R} is assumed to be at the origin, then for all *t*, integrating all these terms over \mathbb{S}^{n-2} gives 0.

For the third determinant in (14), we have

$$det(F(t, \vec{x}), \alpha'(t), M(t)[d\overline{H}]) = det(M(t)\overline{H}(\vec{x}), M(t)\vec{e}_1, M(t)[d\overline{H}])$$
$$= det(M(t)) det(\overline{H}(\vec{x}), \vec{e}_1, [d\overline{H}])$$
$$= -det(\vec{e}_1, \overline{H}(\vec{x}), [d\overline{H}])$$
$$= -det(H(\vec{x}), [dH]),$$

where the last equality follows by Laplace expansion of the determinant on the first row $(1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0)$. By Proposition 3.4,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} \int_{t=0}^{\ell} \det(F(\vec{x}), \alpha'(t), [d(F)]) dt \wedge dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{n-2}$$

= $-\ell \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} \det(H(\vec{x}), [d(H)]) dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{n-2}$
= $-(n-1)\ell \operatorname{Vol}_{n-1}(\mathcal{R}).$

As with previous determinants, the fourth determinant becomes

$$\det(\alpha(t), F_t(t, \vec{x}), M(t)[d\overline{H}]) = \det(M(t)^{-1}\alpha(t), A(t)\overline{H}(\vec{x}), [d\overline{H}]).$$

Since there are zeros in the first rows of \overline{H} and $d(\overline{H})$, and because $M^{-1} = M^{\top}$, another Laplace expansion gives

$$\det(M^{-1}\alpha, A\overline{H}, [d\overline{H}])$$

$$= \alpha_i M_1^i \sum_{j=2}^n (-1)^j A_q^j \overline{H}^q |d_j \overline{H}| - \alpha_i \sum_{j=2}^n (-1)^j M_j^i A_q^1 \overline{H}^q |d_j \overline{H}|$$

$$= \sum_{j=2}^n \alpha_i \overline{H}^q |d_j \overline{H}| (-1)^j (M_1^i A_q^j - M_j^i A_q^1),$$

where we use the Einstein summation convention over the repeated indices appearing in superscript and subscript, namely *i* and *q*. By Proposition 3.5, after integration on \mathbb{S}^{n-2} , all terms will reduce to 0 except those for which q = j, which will give the volume of the cross-section, $\operatorname{Vol}_{n-1}(\mathcal{R})$. Set $I = [0, \ell]$. So,

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} \int_{I} \det \left(M^{-1} \alpha, A \overline{H}, [d(\overline{H})] \right) dt \wedge dx^{1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{n-2} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} \int_{I} \sum_{j=2}^{n} \alpha_{i} \overline{H}^{q} |d_{j} \overline{H}| (-1)^{j} (M_{1}^{i} A_{q}^{j} - M_{j}^{i} A_{q}^{1}) dt \wedge dx^{1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{n-2} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} \int_{I} \sum_{j=2}^{n} \alpha_{i} \overline{H}^{j} |d_{j} \overline{H}| (-1)^{j} (M_{1}^{i} A_{j}^{j} - M_{j}^{i} A_{j}^{1}) dt \wedge dx^{1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{n-2} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} \int_{I} \sum_{j=2}^{n} \alpha_{i} H^{j-1} |d_{j} \overline{H}| (-1)^{j} M_{j}^{i} A_{1}^{j} dt \wedge dx^{1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{n-2} \\ &= \int_{I} \alpha_{i} \sum_{j=2}^{n} M_{j}^{i} A_{1}^{j} dt \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} (-1)^{j} H^{j-1} |d_{j-1} H| dx^{1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{n-2}. \end{split}$$

But $\alpha'(t) = M(t)\vec{e}_1$, so $\alpha''(t) = M'(t)\vec{e}_1 = M(t)A(t)\vec{e}_1$. Hence $M_j^i A_1^j$ are the components of the covariant vector $\alpha''(t)^{\top}$. Note that since A(t) is an antisymmetric matrix, $A_1^1 = 0$. Thus $\alpha_i \sum_{j=2}^n M_j^i A_1^j = \alpha(t) \cdot \alpha''(t)$. Hence, we get

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} \int_{I} \det(M^{-1}\alpha, A\overline{H}, [d(\overline{H})]) \, dt \wedge dx^{1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{n-2} \\ &= \operatorname{Vol}_{n-1}(\mathcal{R}) \int_{I} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{i}^{\prime \prime} \, dt \\ &= \operatorname{Vol}_{n-1}(\mathcal{R}) \Big(\alpha(t) \cdot \alpha^{\prime}(t) \big|_{0}^{\ell} - \int_{I} \alpha^{\prime} \cdot \alpha^{\prime} \, dt \Big) \\ &= \operatorname{Vol}_{n-1}(\mathcal{R}) \big(\alpha(t) \cdot \alpha^{\prime}(t) \big|_{0}^{\ell} - \ell \big). \end{split}$$

Now putting into (12) the integrals of the four determinants in (14) and the integrals for the caps (13), we get

$$n \operatorname{Vol}_{n}(\mathcal{W}) = (n-1) \operatorname{Vol}_{n-1}(\mathcal{R})\ell - \operatorname{Vol}_{n-1}(\mathcal{R})\big(\alpha(t) \cdot \alpha'(t)|_{0}^{\ell} - \ell\big) + \operatorname{Vol}_{n-1}(\mathcal{R})\big(\alpha(t) \cdot \alpha'(t)|_{0}^{\ell}\big).$$
(15)

Hence

$$\operatorname{Vol}_{n}(\mathcal{W}) = \operatorname{Vol}_{n-1}(\mathcal{R})\ell,$$

which establishes the main theorem when the guiding curve of the generalized tube is not closed.

Case 2: If C is a closed curve, then in (12) we do not have integrals for the caps. Then (15) becomes

$$n \operatorname{Vol}_{n}(\mathcal{W}) = (n-1) \operatorname{Vol}_{n-1}(\mathcal{R})\ell - \operatorname{Vol}_{n-1}(\mathcal{R})(\alpha(t) \cdot \alpha'(t)|_{0}^{\ell} - \ell),$$

and since $\alpha(0) \cdot \alpha'(0) = \alpha(\ell) \cdot \alpha'(\ell)$, the result of the main theorem follows for this case as well.

References

[Domingo-Juan and Miquel 2004] M. C. Domingo-Juan and V. Miquel, "Pappus type theorems for motions along a submanifold", *Differential Geom. Appl.* **21**:2 (2004), 229–251. MR 2005e:53041 Zbl 1061.53038

[Goodman and Goodman 1969] A. W. Goodman and G. Goodman, "Generalizations of the theorems of Pappus", *Amer. Math. Monthly* **76** (1969), 355–366. MR 39 #2047 Zbl 0172.45902

[Gray and Miquel 2000] A. Gray and V. Miquel, "On Pappus-type theorems on the volume in space forms", *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **18**:3-4 (2000), 241–254. MR 2001i:53046 Zbl 1009.53027

[Lovett 2010] S. Lovett, *Differential geometry of manifolds*, A K Peters, Natick, MA, 2010. MR 2011k: 53001 Zbl 1205.53001

Received: 2014-03-18 Accep	oted: 2014-11-22
zerg164@gmail.com	School of Engineering, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37235-1826, United States
stephen.lovett@wheaton.edu	Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Wheaton College, 501 College Avenue, Wheaton, IL 60187, United States
mcmillan.matthew.i@gmail.com	
	St Catherine's College, Oxford OX13UJ, United Kingdom

involve msp.org/involve

MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut, Wake Forest University, USA, berenhks@wfu.edu

BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA colin.c.adams@williams.edu	David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA larson@math.tamu.edu
John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA baxley@wfu.edu	Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA lenhart@math.utk.edu
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA benjamin@hmc.edu	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA ckli@math.wm.edu
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA bohner@mst.edu	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA lund@clemson.edu
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA boston@math.wisc.edu	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA budhiraj@email.unc.edu	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA meyer@stat.colostate.edu
Pietro Cerone	La Trobe University, Australia P.Cerone@latrobe.edu.au	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria eminchev@hotmail.com
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA scott.chapman@shsu.edu	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA frank.morgan@williams.edu
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA cooper@math.sc.edu	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA corcoran@colorado.edu	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA znashed@mail.ucf.edu
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA tdiagana@howard.edu	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA ono@mathcs.emory.edu
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA mdorff@math.byu.edu	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA tobrie1@luc.edu
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia sever@matilda.vu.edu.au	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA orourke@cs.smith.edu
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA peres@microsoft.com
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam foisyjs@potsdam.edu	YF. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland petermann@math.unige.ch
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA fulp@wfu.edu	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA plemmons@wfu.edu
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA jgallian@d.umn.edu	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA stephan.garcia@pomona.edu	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA vadim@sciences.sdsu.edu
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA godbole@etsu.edu	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA poonen@math.berkeley.edu
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA rg@mathcs.emory.edu	James Propp	U Mass Lowell, USA jpropp@cs.uml.edu
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada andrew@dms.umontreal.ca	Józeph H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA przytyck@gwu.edu
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA griggs@math.sc.edu	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA rrebarbe@math.unl.edu
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA sngupta@uncg.edu	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA rwr@cs.uga.edu
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA jhaglund@math.upenn.edu	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA f_saidak@uncg.edu
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA johnny_henderson@baylor.edu	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA sellersj@math.psu.edu
Jim Hoste	Pitzer College jhoste@pitzer.edu	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor andy@ajsterge.com
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA nahritonenko@pvamu.edu	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA atrenk@wellesley.edu
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Arizona State University,USA hurlbert@asu.edu	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA vakil@math.stanford.edu
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA crjohnso@math.wm.edu	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy antonia.vecchio@cnr.it
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA kk@ces.clemson.edu	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA verma99@msn.com
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA gladas@math.uri.edu	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA wierman@jhu.edu
		Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA zieve@umich.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Cover: Alex Scorpan

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2015 is US \$140/year for the electronic version, and \$190/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY



nonprofit scientific publishing http://msp.org/

© 2015 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

2015 vol. 8 no. 5

A simplification of grid equivalence NANCY SCHERICH	721
A permutation test for three-dimensional rotation data DANIEL BERO AND MELISSA BINGHAM	735
Power values of the product of the Euler function and the sum of divisors function LUIS ELESBAN SANTOS CRUZ AND FLORIAN LUCA	745
On the cardinality of infinite symmetric groups MATT GETZEN	749
Adjacency matrices of zero-divisor graphs of integers modulo <i>n</i> MATTHEW YOUNG	753
Expected maximum vertex valence in pairs of polygonal triangulations TIMOTHY CHU AND SEAN CLEARY	763
Generalizations of Pappus' centroid theorem via Stokes' theorem COLE ADAMS, STEPHEN LOVETT AND MATTHEW MCMILLAN	771
A numerical investigation of level sets of extremal Sobolev functions STEFAN JUHNKE AND JESSE RATZKIN	787
Coalitions and cliques in the school choice problem SINAN AKSOY, ADAM AZZAM, CHAYA COPPERSMITH, JULIE GLASS, GIZEM KARAALI, XUEYING ZHAO AND XINJING ZHU	801
The chromatic polynomials of signed Petersen graphs MATTHIAS BECK, ERIKA MEZA, BRYAN NEVAREZ, ALANA SHINE AND MICHAEL YOUNG	825
Domino tilings of Aztec diamonds, Baxter permutations, and snow leopard permutations BENJAMIN CAFFREY, ERIC S. EGGE, GREGORY MICHEL, KAILEE RUBIN AND JONATHAN VER STEEGH	833
The Weibull distribution and Benford's law VICTORIA CUFF, ALLISON LEWIS AND STEVEN J. MILLER	859
Differentiation properties of the perimeter-to-area ratio for finitely many overlapped unit squares PAUL D. HUMKE, CAMERON MARCOTT, BJORN MELLEM AND COLE	875
On the Levi graph of point-line configurations JESSICA HAUSCHILD, JAZMIN ORTIZ AND OSCAR VEGA	893

