

# involve

a journal of mathematics

Time stopping for Tsirelson's norm

Kevin Beanland, Noah Duncan and Michael Holt



# Time stopping for Tsirelson's norm

Kevin Beanland, Noah Duncan and Michael Holt

(Communicated by Stephan Garcia)

Tsirelson's norm  $\|\cdot\|_T$  on  $c_{00}$  is defined as the limit of an increasing sequence of norms  $(\|\cdot\|_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $j(n)$  be the smallest integer satisfying  $\|x\|_{j(n)} = \|x\|_T$  for all  $x$  with  $\max \operatorname{supp} x = n$ . We show that  $j(n)$  is  $O(n^{1/2})$ . This is an improvement of the upper bound of  $O(n)$  given by P. Casazza and T. Shura in their 1989 monograph on Tsirelson's space.

In 1974 B. Tsirelson [1974] constructed a remarkable reflexive Banach space not containing an isomorphic copy of  $\ell_p$  for any  $1 < p < \infty$ . T. Figiel and W. B. Johnson [1974] gave an analytic description of the dual Tsirelson's space that was subsequently used to discover many new types of Banach spaces and was very influential in solving many old problems in the isomorphic theory of Banach spaces. A monograph of P. Casazza and T. Shura [1989] contains a detailed analysis of many structural properties of Tsirelson's space and played a critical role in the developments in the mid-1990s. In the last chapter in that book, the authors present FORTRAN code that computes the Tsirelson norm of finite length vectors. In the discussion of this code they state several problems and lines of research that to our knowledge are still open or unexplored. The authors of the current paper became interested in these questions since they relate to the well-known open problem of whether Tsirelson's space is arbitrarily distortable and the "polymath" problem [Gowers 2009], which asks whether every "explicitly defined" Banach space must contain  $\ell_p$  or  $c_0$ . Our main result is the first nontrivial step toward finding the computational time for computing the Tsirelson's norm. We should note that although Casazza and Shura's book was written almost 30 years ago, there are still many problems and constructions related to Tsirelson's space that are currently attracting attention. For example, the reader should consult the papers [Argyros et al. 2013; Argyros and Motakis 2014; 2016; Khanaki 2016; Ojeda-Aristizabal 2013; Tan 2012] and the aforementioned blog post of W. T. Gowers.

---

MSC2010: 46B03.

Keywords: Tsirelson's space, Banach space.

Duncan and Holt were undergraduate students at Washington and Lee University when the main result of this paper was proved. The main result in this paper is part of the Washington and Lee Honors thesis of Holt written under the direction of the Kevin Beanland.

The dual of Tsirelson’s space  $T$  is the completion of  $c_{00}$ , the space of all eventually zero scalar sequences, with respect to a norm  $\|\cdot\|_T$ . This norm is defined as the supremum of an increasing sequence of recursively defined norms  $(\|\cdot\|_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ . We recall all precise definitions in the next section. Casazza and Shura introduced the following *time-stopping* function.

**Definition 1.** For  $n$  a positive integer, let  $j(n)$  be the smallest nonnegative integer such that for all  $x \in c_{00}$  with  $\max \operatorname{supp} x \leq n$  we have  $\|x\|_{j(n)} = \|x\|_T$ .

In [Casazza and Shura 1989, Problem 2(a)], the authors ask for a “reasonably tight” upper bound for the quantity  $j(n)$  and offer the upper bound  $\lfloor \frac{1}{2}n \rfloor$  as a starting point. Our main theorem is the following improvement on this upper bound.

**Theorem A.** For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $j(n) \leq \lfloor 2\sqrt{n} + 4 \rfloor$ . That is,  $j(n)$  is  $O(n^{1/2})$ .

In a forthcoming paper we provide a lower bound on the order of  $\log_2(n)$ . The upper bound on  $j(n)$  determines the computation time of the vector of length  $n$ . Indeed it is shown by Casazza and Shura that the computational time it takes to go from the  $n$  norm to the  $n + 1$  norm is the same for every  $n$ . Therefore if  $t$  is that computational time, our theorem shows that the computation time required to calculate the norm of a vector of length  $n$  is bounded above by  $t\sqrt{n}$ .

### 1. Main result

Let  $(e_i)$  and  $(e_i^*)$  both denote the standard unit vectors in  $c_{00}$ . For  $E \subset \mathbb{N}$  and  $x = \sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i e_i \in c_{00}$  let  $Ex = \sum_{i \in E} a_i e_i$ . If  $E, F$  are subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  we write  $E < F$  if  $\max E < \min F$ . A set  $E \subset \mathbb{N}$  is in  $\mathcal{S}_1$  if  $\min E \geq |E|$  (the cardinality of  $E$ ). If  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i e_i \in c_{00}$  then  $\operatorname{supp} x = \{i : a_i \neq 0\}$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we say that a sequence  $(E_i)_{i=1}^n$  of subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  is called admissible if  $E_1 < E_2 < \dots < E_n$  and  $(\min E_i)_{i=1}^n \in \mathcal{S}_1$ . We define the norm of Tsirelson’s space by defining a certain subset of  $c_{00}$  to be the norming functionals for the space. The set  $W_T$  is the union of the following subsets of  $c_{00}$ . A sequence  $(f_i)_{i=1}^d \subset c_{00}$  is called admissible if  $(\operatorname{supp} f_i)_{i=1}^d$  is admissible. Let  $W_0 = \{\pm e_i^* : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and for  $k \geq 0$  let

$$W_{k+1} = W_k \cup \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d E f_i : d \in \mathbb{N}, (f_i)_{i=1}^d \subset W_k \text{ is admissible, } E \subset \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Then  $W_T = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty W_k$ .

The intermediate norms are defined by  $\|x\|_n = \sup\{f(x) : f \in W_n\}$ . Here  $f(x)$  is the usual inner product of  $f$  with  $x$ . Tsirelson’s norm is defined by

$$\|x\| = \max_n \|x\|_n = \sup\{f(x) : x \in W_T\}.$$

Tsirelson's space is the completion of  $c_{00}$  with respect to the above norm, which satisfies the following implicit equation for  $x \in c_{00}$ :

$$\|x\| = \|x\|_\infty \vee \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \|E_i x\| : n \in \mathbb{N}, (E_i)_{i=1}^n \text{ is admissible} \right\}. \quad (1)$$

The following remarks follow from the definition of  $W_T$ .

**Remark 1.1.** Let  $f \in W_T$ . Then  $f \in W_T \setminus W_n$  if and only if there is a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $0 < |f(e_k)| \leq 1/2^{n+1}$ .

**Remark 1.2.** If  $f \in W_T$  then either  $f = \pm e_i^*$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  or  $f \in W_n \setminus W_0$  and there is an admissible sequence  $(f_i)_{i=1}^d \subset W_{n-1}$  with  $f = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d f_i$ . In particular, if  $f \in W_T \setminus W_0$  then  $|f(e_k)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Based on the above remark it is easy to see that each functional has a decomposition into a "tree" of functionals. The functionals in the tree are naturally enumerated by tuples in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{N}^n \cup \{\emptyset\}$ . For  $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$ , if  $\sigma = (\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(k))$ , we set  $|\sigma| = k$ .

**Definition 2** (tree index set and decomposition). For each  $f \in W_T$  there is a set  $\mathcal{T}_f \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}} \cup \{\emptyset\}$  called the *tree index set* and a collection of functionals  $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_f} \subset W_T$  called a *tree decomposition* of  $f$  satisfying:

- (1)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}_f$  and  $f_\emptyset = f$ .
- (2)  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_f$  is called a terminal node if  $\sigma \frown 1 \notin \mathcal{T}_f$ . A node  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$  is a terminal if and only if  $f_\sigma = \pm e_i^*$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (3) If  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_f$  is not a terminal node, then

$$f_\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{k: \sigma \frown k \in \mathcal{T}_f\}} f_{\sigma \frown k},$$

where  $\{k: \sigma \frown k \in \mathcal{T}_f\} = \{1, \dots, d_\sigma\}$  for some  $d_\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover  $(f_{\sigma \frown k})_{k=1}^{d_\sigma}$  is admissible.

If  $\sigma = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) \in \mathcal{T}_f$  then  $\beta = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{k-1})$  is the immediate predecessor of  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma$  is an immediate successor of  $\beta$ . To set notation let  $E_\sigma = \text{supp } f_\sigma$  for each  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_f$ .

The fact that each  $f \in W_T$  has a (not necessarily unique) tree index set  $\mathcal{T}_f$  and decomposition follows from the definition of an arbitrary  $f \in W_T$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** Let  $f \in W_T$ . Then  $f \in W_n$  if and only if there is a tree decomposition  $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_f} \subset W_T$  of  $f$  such that  $|\sigma| \leq n$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_f$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in W_n$ . If  $f \in W_0$  then any index set contains only the empty set. Thus we assume  $f = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d f_i$ , where  $(f_i)_{i=1}^d$  is an admissible block sequence in  $W_{n-1}$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_{f_i}$  be the tree index set of  $f_i$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  such that  $|\sigma| \leq n - 1$  for each  $\sigma \in \bigcup_{i=1}^d \mathcal{T}_{f_i}$ . The tree index set of  $f$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{T}_f = \left\{ i \frown \sigma : i \in \{1, \dots, d\}, \sigma \in \bigcup_{i=1}^d \mathcal{T}_{f_i} \right\} \cup \{\emptyset\}.$$

Alternatively, we proceed by induction. The base case is trivial and so assume the claim for some  $n - 1 \geq 0$ . We will establish the claim for  $n$ . Suppose there is a tree decomposition  $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_f} \subset W_T$  of some  $f \in W_T$  so that  $\sigma \leq n$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_f$ . Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $\{k : (k) \in \mathcal{T}_f\} = \{1, \dots, d\}$ . For each  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , set  $\mathcal{T}_{f(i)} = \{\sigma : i \frown \sigma \in \mathcal{T}_f\}$  and let  $\{g_\sigma = f_{i \frown \sigma} : \sigma \in \mathcal{T}_{f(i)}\}$  be a tree decomposition for  $f(i)$ . Then for each  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_{f(i)}$  with  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  we have  $|\sigma| \leq n - 1$ . Thus,  $f(i) \in W_{n-1}$  and  $f \in W_n$  as desired.  $\square$

The following is a simple but critical definition for our purposes. For a given  $x \in c_{00}$  there may be many functionals in  $W_T$  that norm  $x$ . The support of some of these functionals may not even be a subset of the support of  $x$ , while other norming functionals may have supports disjoint from one another. Our goal is to prove an upper bound on  $j(n)$  by minimizing the maximum node length of a tree decomposition for a functional that norms an arbitrary  $x$  with  $\max \text{supp } x \leq n$ . In order to minimize this quantity, we discard the parts of a functional that are not required to norm a given vector. To this end, we define for each  $x \in c_{00}$  a minimal set for  $x$  and a functional that *minimally norms*  $x$ . We can then restrict our attention to counting the maximum node length of a tree decomposition for a minimally norming functional for a given  $x$ .

**Definition 3.** Let  $x \in c_{00}$ . Then a set  $E \subset \mathbb{N}$  is minimal for  $x$  if  $\|E x\| = \|x\|$  and for each  $E' \subsetneq E$ , we have  $\|E' x\| < \|x\|$ .

Let us note that minimal sets need not be unique.

**Lemma 1.4.** *Suppose  $f \in W_T$  norms  $x \in c_{00}$  and  $\text{supp } f \subset \text{supp } x$ . Then for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_f$ , we have  $f_\alpha$  norms  $E_\alpha x$ .*

*Proof.* Assume, via contradiction, we can find a minimal length node  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_f$  so that  $f_\sigma(E_\sigma x) < \|E_\sigma x\|$ . By assumption  $\sigma \neq \emptyset$  (recall that  $E_\emptyset = \text{supp } f$ ). Find the unique predecessor  $\beta \in \mathcal{T}_f$  of  $\sigma$ . Let  $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, d_\beta\}$  so that  $\sigma = \beta \frown i_0$ . Then  $f_{\beta \frown i}(E_{\beta \frown i} x) \leq \|E_{\beta \frown i} x\|$  for  $i \neq i_0$  and  $f_{\beta \frown i_0}(E_{\beta \frown i_0} x) < \|E_{\beta \frown i_0} x\|$ ; however,  $f_\beta(E_\beta x) = \|E_\beta x\|$  by the minimality of  $\sigma$ . This leads to the following contradiction:

$$\|E_\beta x\| = f_\beta(E_\beta x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d_\beta} f_{\beta \frown i}(E_{\beta \frown i} x) < \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d_\beta} \|E_{\beta \frown i} x\| \leq \|E_\beta x\|.$$

The last inequality follows from the implicit equation (1) for the norm, noting that  $(E_{\beta \frown i})_{i=1}^{d_\beta}$  is admissible.  $\square$

**Definition 4.** Let  $x \in c_{00}$ . We say that  $f \in W_T$  *minimally norms*  $x$  if  $\text{supp } f = E$  is minimal for  $x$  and  $f(x) = \|x\|$ .

Note that if  $f$  minimally norms  $x$  then  $\text{supp } f \subset \text{supp } x$ . Also, if  $E$  is a minimal set for  $x$  there is a  $f \in W_T$  that minimally norms  $x$  with  $\text{supp } f = E$ .

**Lemma 1.5.** *Let  $x \in c_{00}$  and suppose that  $f$  minimally norms  $x$ . Then for each  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_f$ , we have  $E_\sigma$  is a minimal set for  $E_\sigma x$  and  $f_\sigma$  minimally norms  $E_\sigma x$ .*

*Proof.* Using Lemma 1.4 we know that  $f_\sigma(E_\sigma x) = \|E_\sigma x\|$  for each  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_f$ . Find a minimal length node  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_f$  so that  $E_\sigma$  is not a minimal set for  $E_\sigma f$ . Again it follows from the hypothesis that  $\sigma \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\beta$  be the immediate predecessor of  $\sigma$  and  $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, d_\beta\}$  with  $\sigma = \beta \frown i_0$ . Using our assumption, we can find a  $E'_{\beta \frown i_0} \subsetneq E_{\beta \frown i_0}$  with  $\|E'_{\beta \frown i_0} x\| = \|E_{\beta \frown i_0} x\|$ . Let

$$E'_\beta = \left( \bigcup_{i=1, i \neq i_0}^{d_\beta} E_{\beta \frown i} \right) \cup E'_{\beta \frown i_0} \subsetneq E_\beta.$$

We can now show that  $\|E_\beta x\| \leq \|E'_\beta x\|$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_\beta x\| &= f_\beta(E_\beta x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d_\beta} f_{\beta \frown i}(E_{\beta \frown i} x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d_\beta} \|E_{\beta \frown i} x\| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{i_0-1} \|E_{\beta \frown i} x\| + \|E'_{\beta \frown i_0} x\| + \sum_{i=i_0+1}^{d_\beta} \|E_{\beta \frown i} x\| \right) \\ &\leq \|E'_\beta x\|. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The last inequality uses that

$$(E_{\beta \frown 1}, E_{\beta \frown 2}, \dots, E_{\beta \frown (i_0-1)}, E'_{\beta \frown i_0}, E_{\beta \frown (i_0+1)}, \dots, E_{\beta \frown d_\beta})$$

is admissible. This contradicts the minimality of  $\sigma$ .

Therefore for each  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_f$ , we have  $E_\sigma$  is a minimal set for  $E_\sigma x$ . The fact that  $f_\sigma$  minimally norms  $E_\sigma x$  follows from Lemma 1.4.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.6.** *Let  $x \in c_{00}$  and suppose  $f \in W_T$  minimally norms  $x$  and  $\text{supp } f \in \mathcal{S}_1$ . Then  $f \in W_1$ .*

*Proof.* If  $f \in W_T \setminus W_1$  then there is a  $k \in \text{supp } f$  with  $0 < |f(e_k)| \leq \frac{1}{4}$ . However since  $\text{supp } f \in \mathcal{S}_1$ , we know that  $g = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \text{supp } f} \text{sign}(e_i^*(x)) e_i^* \in W_1$ . But  $g(x) > f(x) = \|x\|$ . This is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.7.** *Let  $x \in c_{00}$  and suppose  $f \in W_T$  minimally norms  $x$ . Suppose further that  $f \in W_T \setminus W_1$ . If  $f = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d f_i$ , where  $(f_i)_{i=1}^d$  is admissible, then  $\min \text{supp } f = d$ .*

*Proof.* By definition,  $d \leq \min \text{supp } f =: m$ , and so it suffices to show that equality holds. Suppose towards a contradiction that  $d < m$ . Our goal is to build a functional  $f' \in W_T$  so that  $f'(x) > f(x)$ . This will contradict the assumption that  $f(x) = \|x\|$ . Since  $f \in W_T \setminus W_1$  there is some  $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  with  $f_{i_0} \notin W_0$ . By appealing to [Remark 1.1](#) and [Lemma 1.6](#) we may assume that the  $\text{supp } f_{i_0}$  has more than one element. Let  $k_0 = \min \text{supp } f_{i_0}$ . Then  $0 < |f(e_{k_0})| \leq \frac{1}{4}$ . In particular

$$f(e_{k_0}) = \text{sign } e_{k_0}^*(x) \frac{1}{2^n} \quad \text{for some } n > 1.$$

Set  $f_{i_0}^1 = \text{sign}(e_{k_0}^*(x))e_{k_0}^*$  and  $f_{i_0}^2 = f_{i_0}|_{[k_0+1, \infty)}$ . Since  $d < m$  and  $f_{i_0}^1, f_{i_0}^2 \in W_T$  are successive with  $\text{supp } f_{i_0}^1 \cup \text{supp } f_{i_0}^2 = \text{supp } f_{i_0}$ , we have that

$$f' = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{i_0-1} f_i + f_{i_0}^1 + f_{i_0}^2 + \sum_{i=i_0+1}^d f_i \right) \in W_T.$$

The above holds since  $(f_1, \dots, f_{i_0-1}, f_{i_0}^1, f_{i_0}^2, f_{i_0+1}, \dots, f_d)$  is admissible. However,  $f'$  has the same coordinates as  $f$  except at the  $k_0$  position where

$$f'(e_{k_0}) = \text{sign } e_{k_0}^*(x) \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad f(e_{k_0}) = \text{sign } e_{k_0}^*(x) \frac{1}{2^n} \quad \text{for } n > 1.$$

Since  $k_0 \in \text{supp } x$  we have that  $f'(x) - f(x) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^n}\right) |e_{k_0}^*(x)| > 0$ . Therefore  $f'(x) > f(x)$ . Since  $f' \in W_T$  and  $f(x) = \|x\|$ , this is the desired contradiction.  $\square$

The next lemma is the critical observation that allows us to prove the main theorem. It is essentially an averaging argument that allows us to restrict our attention to a smaller collection of norming functions therefore enabling an upper bound on  $j(n)$ .

**Lemma 1.8.** *Let  $x \in c_{00}$  and suppose  $f \in W_T$  minimally norms  $x$ , with  $\text{supp } f = E$ . Suppose that  $(f_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_f}$  is a tree decomposition for  $f$ . If  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_f$  with  $|\sigma| \geq 2$  so that there is a  $k$  with  $\sigma(k-2) = \sigma(k-1) = 1$  then  $|\sigma| \leq k$ .*

The above lemma roughly states that for any vector  $x$  there is a norming functional  $f$  for  $x$  so that its tree decomposition has very few consecutive 1s. In particular, if a node  $\sigma$  has two consecutive 1s then they must be contained in the last three coordinates of the node.

*Proof.* Fix  $x \in c_{00}$  and fix  $f \in W_T$  that minimally norms  $x$ , with  $\text{supp } f = E$ . Let  $(f_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_f}$  be a tree decomposition for  $f$  and fix  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_f$  with  $|\sigma| \geq 2$  so that there is a  $k$  with  $\sigma(k-2) = \sigma(k-1) = 1$ . For convenience let  $g = f_{\sigma|_{k-3}}$  ( $\sigma|_{k-3}$  is  $\sigma$  restricted to its first  $k-3$  coordinates). In the case that  $k = 3$ , we have  $\sigma|_{k-3} = \emptyset$ . Let

$g_i = f_{\sigma|_{k-3 \cap i}}$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, d_{\sigma|_{k-3}}\}$  and  $g_{(i,j)} = f_{\sigma|_{k-3 \cap (i,j)}}$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, d_{\sigma|_{k-3}}\}$  and  $j \in \{1, \dots, d_{\sigma|_{k-3 \cap i}}\}$ .

Set  $m = \min \text{supp } g$ . Suppose first that  $\max \text{supp } g_{(1,1)} < 2m - 1$ . This implies that  $\text{supp } g_{(1,1)} \in \mathcal{S}_1$ . Since  $f$  minimally norms  $x$  and  $g_{(1,1)}$  is a functional in the tree decomposition of  $f$ , Lemma 1.4 yields that  $g_{(1,1)}$  norms  $E_{g_{(1,1)}}x$  (where  $\text{supp } g_{(1,1)} = E_{g_{(1,1)}}$ ). Applying Lemma 1.6 for  $g_{(1,1)}$  and  $E_{g_{(1,1)}}x$ , we conclude that  $g_{(1,1)} \in W_1$ . Therefore  $|\sigma| \leq k$ . Therefore we may consider the case  $\max \text{supp } g_{(1,1)} \geq 2m - 1$ . Set  $d = d_{\sigma|_{k-3}}$  and  $r = d_{\sigma|_{k-3 \cap 1}}$ . Note that  $d, r \leq m$ . Define

$$h_1 := \frac{1}{2}(g_{(1,2)} + \dots + g_{(1,d)} + g_{(2)} + \dots + g_{(r)}),$$

$$h_2 := \frac{1}{2}(g_{(1,1)} + g_{(2)} + \dots + g_{(r)}).$$

Observe that  $h_2 \in W_T$  and since  $d + r - 1 \leq 2m \leq \min \text{supp } g_{1,2}$  we have  $h_1 \in W_T$ . Again, by Lemma 1.4, we have that  $g(E_g x) = \|E_g x\|$ , where  $E_g = \text{supp } g$ . Since  $f$  minimally norms  $x$ , Lemma 1.5 yields that  $g$  minimally norms  $E_g x$ . Therefore since  $\text{supp } h_1 \subsetneq \text{supp } g$  and  $\text{supp } h_2 \subsetneq \text{supp } g$ , we know that  $h_1(x) < g(x)$  and  $h_2(x) < g(x)$ . This implies that

$$(g_{(1,2)} + \dots + g_{(1,d)})(x) < g_{(1)}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad g_{(1,1)}(x) < g_{(1)}(x).$$

However, by definition,  $g_{(1)}(x) = \frac{1}{2}(g_{(1,1)}(x)) + \frac{1}{2}(g_{(1,2)} + \dots + g_{(1,d)})(x)$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore the case  $\max \text{supp } g_{(1,1)} \geq 2m - 1$  is not possible.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.9.** *For each  $x \in c_{00}$  there is an  $f \in W_T$  that minimally norms  $x$  with a tree decomposition  $(f_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_f}$  such that for each  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_f$  either  $\sigma$  has no consecutive 1s, the third-to-last and second-to-last coordinates are 1 or the final two coordinates are 1.*

Let  $\mathcal{T}_a$  be the set of all  $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$  that satisfy the conclusion of Corollary 1.9. For example  $\sigma = (1, 1, 2, 3) \notin \mathcal{T}_a$  but  $(2, 3, 1, 1, 2) \in \mathcal{T}_a$ .

**Lemma 1.10.** *For each  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_a$  with  $|\sigma| = k \geq 3$ ,*

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} [k-i][\sigma(i) - 1] \right) \geq \left( \frac{k-3}{2} \right)^2. \tag{3}$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $|\sigma| = k = 2d + 1$  for  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . By replacing  $\sigma(1)$  by 1 and  $\sigma(2)$  by 2, the quantity on the left-hand side of (3) does not increase. This new element is still in  $\mathcal{T}_a$ . Continuing in this manner, we see that the above is minimized by  $\sigma = (1, 2, 1, 2, \dots, 2, 1, 1, 1)$ —that is,  $d - 2$  many 2s. If  $k = 2d$  we may do the same procedure described previously to see that the quantity is minimized by  $\sigma = (1, 2, 1, 2, \dots, 2, 1, 1)$ . Plugging these in the above yields  $\sum_{i=2}^d 2i = d^2 - 1$  in the odd case and  $\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} 2i + 1 = d^2 - d$ . Both of these quantities are larger than  $\frac{1}{4}(k - 3)^2$ , as desired.  $\square$



The next corollary follows from combining [Corollary 1.9](#) and [Lemma 1.10](#).

**Corollary 1.11.** *For each  $x \in c_{00}$  there is an  $f \in W_T$  that minimally norms  $x$  having a tree decomposition  $(f_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_f}$  such that*

$$\min \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{|\sigma|-1} [|\sigma| - i][\sigma(i) - 1] : \sigma \in \mathcal{T}_f \right\} \geq \left( \frac{|\sigma| - 3}{2} \right)^2. \tag{4}$$

We need one more technical lemma before proceeding to the proof of the main theorem.

**Lemma 1.12.** *Suppose that  $f \in W_T$  and  $\max \operatorname{supp} f \leq n$ . Suppose further that  $f$  minimally norms  $x$  for some  $x \in c_{00}$ . Then for  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_f$  with  $f_\sigma \in W_T \setminus W_1$  we have*

$$|\operatorname{supp} f_\sigma| \leq n - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{|\sigma|-1} [|\sigma| - i][\sigma(i) - 1] \right). \tag{5}$$

We postpone the proof of [Lemma 1.12](#) to the end of paper. We now recall [Theorem A](#) and give its proof.

**Theorem 1.13.** *For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in c_{00}$  with  $\max \operatorname{supp} x = n$  we have  $\|x\|_{[2\sqrt{n}+4]} = \|x\|$ . That is,  $j(n)$  is  $O(n^{1/2})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in c_{00}$  with  $\max \operatorname{supp} x = n$ . Suppose further that  $f$  minimally norms  $x$ . Suppose that  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_f$  with  $|\sigma| \geq [2\sqrt{n} + 3]$ . If  $f_\sigma \in W_T \setminus W_1$  then by combining [Lemma 1.12](#) and [Corollary 1.11](#), we know that

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{supp} f_\sigma| &\leq n - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{|\sigma|-1} [|\sigma| - i][\sigma(i) - 1] \right) \leq n - \left( \frac{|\sigma| - 3}{2} \right)^2 \\ &\leq n - \left( \frac{2\sqrt{n} + 3 - 3}{2} \right)^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Therefore no such  $\sigma$  exists. Thus if  $|\sigma| \geq [2\sqrt{n} + 3]$  we have  $f_\sigma \in W_1$ . Therefore  $\max\{|\sigma| : \sigma \in \mathcal{T}_f\} \leq [2\sqrt{n} + 4]$ , which implies that  $f \in W_{[2\sqrt{n}+4]}$ . Since  $f(x) = \|x\|$ , this is the desired result.  $\square$

We conclude by proving [Lemma 1.12](#).

*Proof.* Let  $x \in c_{00}$  and suppose  $f \in W_T$  minimally norms  $x$  with  $\max \operatorname{supp} f \leq n$ . Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_f$  with  $f_\sigma \in W_T \setminus W_1$ . Set  $\ell = \min \operatorname{supp} f$ . We will prove the following inequality, which is stronger than the desired estimate:

$$|\operatorname{supp} f_\sigma| \leq n - (|\sigma| + 1)(\ell - 1) - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{|\sigma|-1} [|\sigma| - i][\sigma(i) - 1] \right). \tag{7}$$

First we need the inequality

$$\min \text{supp } f_\sigma \geq \ell + s(\sigma) - |\sigma|. \tag{8}$$

Here  $s(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma(i)$  for  $|\sigma| = k$ . To prove (8), we let  $|\sigma| = k$  and use induction on  $k$ . Let  $\sigma|_{k-1} = (n_1, \dots, n_{k-1})$  if  $\sigma = (n_1, \dots, n_{k-1}, n_k)$ . In the base case of  $|\sigma| = 1$ , we know  $\min \text{supp } f_\sigma \geq \ell + s(\sigma) - 1$ , since there are at least  $(s(\sigma) - 1)$ -many values from  $\ell$  to  $f_\sigma$ 's beginning index (worst case being that all prior functionals are in  $W_0$ ). Now we assume  $\min \text{supp } f_\sigma \geq \ell + s(\sigma) - |\sigma|$  for some  $|\sigma| = k \in \mathbb{N}$  and show the same inequality holds for  $|\sigma| = k + 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \min \text{supp } f_\sigma &\geq \min \text{supp } f_{\sigma|_k} + \sigma(k+1) - 1 \\ &\geq \ell + s(\sigma|_k) - |\sigma|_k + \sigma(k+1) - 1 \\ &= \ell + s(\sigma) - (k+1). \end{aligned}$$

The first inequality relies on the fact that  $f_\sigma$  can have the same minimum support value as  $f_{\sigma|_k}$  if  $\sigma(k+1) = 1$ . The second inequality above follows from the inductive hypothesis. The lone equality above follows from the facts that  $s(\sigma|_k) + \sigma(k+1) = s(\sigma)$  and  $|\sigma|_k = k$ . Thus, (8) holds.

The proof of the inequality (8) begins with the observation that for all  $\sigma$  with  $|\sigma| = k$  we have

$$|\text{supp } f_\sigma| \leq |\text{supp } f_{\sigma|_{k-1}}| - \#\{\text{immediate successor of } \sigma|_{k-1}\} + 1.$$

The fact that  $f$  minimally norms  $x$  combined with Lemma 1.7 implies that for each  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$  with  $f_\sigma \in W_T \setminus W_1$ , the number of immediate successor nodes of  $\sigma|_{k-1}$  equals  $\min \text{supp } f_{\sigma|_{k-1}}$ . Therefore in this case

$$|\text{supp } f_\sigma| \leq |\text{supp } f_{\sigma|_{k-1}}| - (\min \text{supp } f_{\sigma|_{k-1}}) + 1.$$

Now let  $|\sigma| = k$  and use induction on  $k$ . It follows from the induction hypothesis and rearranging terms that

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{supp } f_\sigma| &\leq |\text{supp } f_{\sigma|_{k-1}}| - (\min \text{supp } f_{\sigma|_{k-1}}) + 1 \\ &\leq n - k(\ell - 1) - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} [(k-1) - i][\sigma(i) - 1] \right) - \ell - s(\sigma|_{k-1}) + (k-1) + 1 \\ &\leq n - (k+1)(\ell - 1) - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} [(k-1) - i][\sigma(i) - 1] \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} [\sigma(i) - 1] \\ &= n - (k+1)(\ell - 1) - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} [k-i][\sigma(i) - 1]. \end{aligned}$$

This is the desired estimate. □

## Acknowledgment

The authors acknowledge Professor Ben Grannan at Furman University for his help with computations that led the authors to prove [Lemma 1.8](#).

## References

- [Argyros and Motakis 2014] S. A. Argyros and P. Motakis, “A reflexive hereditarily indecomposable space with the hereditary invariant subspace property”, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) **108**:6 (2014), 1381–1416. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Argyros and Motakis 2016] S. A. Argyros and P. Motakis, “A dual method of constructing hereditarily indecomposable Banach spaces”, *Positivity* **20**:3 (2016), 625–662. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Argyros et al. 2013] S. A. Argyros, K. Beanland, and P. Motakis, “Strictly singular operators in Tsirelson like spaces”, *Illinois J. Math.* **57**:4 (2013), 1173–1217. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Casazza and Shura 1989] P. G. Casazza and T. J. Shura, *Tsirelson’s space*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1363**, Springer, Berlin, 1989. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Figiel and Johnson 1974] T. Figiel and W. B. Johnson, “A uniformly convex Banach space which contains no  $\ell_p$ ”, *Compos. Math.* **29** (1974), 179–190. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Gowers 2009] W. T. Gowers, “Must an ‘explicitly defined’ Banach space contain  $c_0$  or  $\ell_p$ ?”, blog entry, 2009, available at <http://tinyurl.com/gowersmust>.
- [Khanaki 2016] K. Khanaki, “ $\aleph_0$ -categorical spaces contain  $\ell_p$  or  $c_0$ ”, preprint, 2016. [arXiv](#)
- [Ojeda-Aristizabal 2013] D. Ojeda-Aristizabal, “A norm for Tsirelson’s Banach space”, *Extracta Math.* **28**:2 (2013), 235–245. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Tan 2012] D.-N. Tan, “Isometries of the unit spheres of the Tsirelson space  $T$  and the modified Tsirelson space  $T_M$ ”, *Houston J. Math.* **38**:2 (2012), 571–581. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Tsirelson 1974] B. S. Tsirelson, “Not every Banach space contains an imbedding of  $\ell_p$  or  $c_0$ ”, *Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen.* **8**:2 (1974), 57–60. In Russian; translated in *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **8**:2 (1974), 138–141. [MR](#)

Received: 2017-04-18

Revised: 2017-07-21

Accepted: 2017-08-14

[beanlandk@wlu.edu](mailto:beanlandk@wlu.edu)

*Department of Mathematics, Washington and Lee University,  
Lexington, VA, United States*

[naduncan16@gmail.com](mailto:naduncan16@gmail.com)

*Department of Mathematics, Washington and Lee University,  
Lexington, VA, United States*

[holtm11493@gmail.com](mailto:holtm11493@gmail.com)

*Department of Mathematics, Washington and Lee University,  
Lexington, VA, United States*

## INVOLVE YOUR STUDENTS IN RESEARCH

*Involve* showcases and encourages high-quality mathematical research involving students from all academic levels. The editorial board consists of mathematical scientists committed to nurturing student participation in research. Bridging the gap between the extremes of purely undergraduate research journals and mainstream research journals, *Involve* provides a venue to mathematicians wishing to encourage the creative involvement of students.

### MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut Wake Forest University, USA

### BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA	Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA
John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria
Pietro Cerone	La Trobe University, Australia	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE	Y.-F. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam, USA	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA
Erin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA	James Propp	U Mass Lowell, USA
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA	József H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor
Jim Hoste	Pitzer College, USA	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Arizona State University, USA	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA	Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA

### PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor


Cover: Alex Scorpan

See inside back cover or [msp.org/involve](http://msp.org/involve) for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2018 is US \$190/year for the electronic version, and \$250/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2018 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# involve

2018

vol. 11

no. 5

On the minuscule representation of type $B_n$	721
WILLIAM J. COOK AND NOAH A. HUGHES	
Pythagorean orthogonality of compact sets	735
PALLAVI AGGARWAL, STEVEN SCHLICHER AND RYAN SWARTZENTRUBER	
Different definitions of conic sections in hyperbolic geometry	753
PATRICK CHAO AND JONATHAN ROSENBERG	
The Fibonacci sequence under a modulus: computing all moduli that produce a given period	769
ALEX DISHONG AND MARC S. RENAULT	
On the faithfulness of the representation of $GL(n)$ on the space of curvature tensors	775
COREY DUNN, DARIEN ELDERFIELD AND RORY MARTIN-HAGEMEYER	
Quasipositive curvature on a biquotient of $Sp(3)$	787
JASON DEVITO AND WESLEY MARTIN	
Symmetric numerical ranges of four-by-four matrices	803
SHELBY L. BURNETT, ASHLEY CHANDLER AND LINDA J. PATTON	
Counting eta-quotients of prime level	827
ALLISON ARNOLD-ROKSANDICH, KEVIN JAMES AND RODNEY KEATON	
The $k$ -diameter component edge connectivity parameter	845
NATHAN SHANK AND ADAM BUZZARD	
Time stopping for Tsirelson's norm	857
KEVIN BEANLAND, NOAH DUNCAN AND MICHAEL HOLT	
Enumeration of stacks of spheres	867
LAUREN ENDICOTT, RUSSELL MAY AND SIENNA SHACKLETTE	
Rings isomorphic to their nontrivial subrings	877
JACOB LOJEWSKI AND GREG OMAN	
On generalized MacDonal codes	885
PADMAPANI SENEVIRATNE AND LAUREN MELCHER	
A simple proof characterizing interval orders with interval lengths between 1 and $k$	893
SIMONA BOYADZHIYSKA, GARTH ISAAK AND ANN N. TRENK	