

# involve

a journal of mathematics

On generalized MacDonal codes

Padmapani Seneviratne and Lauren Melcher



# On generalized MacDonal codes

Padmapani Seneviratne and Lauren Melcher

(Communicated by Joshua Cooper)

We show that the generalized  $q$ -ary MacDonal codes  $C_{n,u,t}(q)$  with parameters  $\left[t \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} u \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, n, tq^{n-1} - q^{u-1}\right]$  are two-weight codes with nonzero weights  $w_1 = tq^{n-1}$ ,  $w_2 = tq^{n-1} - q^{u-1}$  and determine the complete weight enumerator of these codes. This leads to a family of strongly regular graphs with parameters  $\langle q^n, q^n - q^{n-u}, q^n - 2q^{n-u}, q^n - q^{n-u} \rangle$ . Further, we show that the codes  $C_{n,u,t}(q)$  satisfy the Griesmer bound and are self-orthogonal for  $q = 2$ .

## 1. Introduction

Two-weight codes are an interesting family of error-correcting codes. They are closely related to many other areas, including strongly regular graphs, partial geometries and finite projective spaces. The relationship between two-weight codes and projective sets was first studied by Delsarte [1972]. Calderbank and Kantor [1986], and later van Lint and Schrijver [1981], did extensive surveys on the subject. Most of these constructions used projective spaces and hence the constructed codes were projective codes. More recently, some cyclic two-weight codes were constructed in [Vega 2008; Vega and Wolfmann 2007].

The MacDonal codes, introduced in [MacDonal 1960] for binary codes, with the definition extended for  $q$ -ary codes [Bhandari and Durairajan 2003; Patel 1975], are punctured simplex codes of length  $(q^n - q^u)/(q - 1)$  for any  $n$  and  $1 \leq u \leq n - 1$ . They have parameters  $\left[\frac{q^n - q^u}{q - 1}, n, q^{n-1} - q^{u-1}\right]_q$  and are two-weight codes with nonzero words of weights  $q^{n-1} - q^{u-1}$  and  $q^{n-1}$ . Following [Bhandari and Durairajan 2003], we denote these codes by  $C_{n,u}(q)$ .

The generalized MacDonal codes  $C_{n,u,t}(q)$  were introduced in [Dodunekov and Simonis 1998] as an example of a projective multiset. The codes  $C_{n,u,t}(q)$  are a direct sum of  $t - 1$   $q$ -ary simplex codes  $C_n(q)$  with a MacDonal code  $C_{n,u}(q)$  and have parameters  $\left[t \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} u \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, n, tq^{n-1} - q^{u-1}\right]$ , where  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = (q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$

MSC2010: 05C90, 94B05.

Keywords: two-weight codes, strongly regular graphs, generalized MacDonal codes, Griesmer bound.

This work was supported by the Texas A&M University–Commerce, FREP grant.

is the  $q$ -ary Gaussian coefficient. Properties of the codes  $C_{n,u,t}(q)$  were hardly studied, except for the uniqueness of these codes [Tamari 1984; Dodunekov and Simonis 1998].

In this article, we find the complete weight enumerator of the codes  $C_{n,u,t}(q)$  and show that they are two-weight codes. We prove that the codes  $C_{n,u,t}(q)$  are maximum minimum-distance and hence satisfy the Griesmer bound. Further, we show that both classes of codes  $C_{n,u}(q)$  and  $C_{n,u,t}(q)$  are self-orthogonal for  $q = 2$ . Later, we extend these codes to  $C_{n,u,s,t}(q)$  codes by taking the direct sum of  $t$  simplex codes with  $s$  MacDonal codes.

We describe our notation and provide some background definitions in Section 2 and the prove the results on properties of  $C_{n,u,t}(q)$  codes in Section 3. In Section 4, we find the parameters of the  $C_{n,u,s,t}(q)$  codes and prove their properties.

### 2. Background and terminology

**Codes.** A linear  $[n, k, d]_q$  code  $C$  is a  $k$ -dimensional subspace of an  $n$ -dimensional vector space over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , where  $q = p^m$  and  $p$  is a prime. Vectors in  $C$  are called codewords. The weight  $\text{wt}(\mathbf{x})$  of a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  is the number of nonzero entries of  $\mathbf{x}$ . The distance  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  between two vectors  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  is the number of entries where  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  differ. Therefore, for a linear code  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \text{wt}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$ . A code  $C$  is said to be an  $[n, k, d]_q$  code if  $d$  is the minimum nonzero weight in  $C$ . A code  $C$  is said to be  $t$ -error correcting if  $t = \lfloor (d - 1)/2 \rfloor$ .

For vectors  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  and  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ , the Euclidean inner product (dot product) is defined to be  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$ . The dual code  $C^\perp$  of  $C$  is defined as  $C^\perp = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{c} = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{c} \in C\}$ . Then  $C^\perp$  is an  $[n, n - k]$  linear code over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . A code  $C$  is called self-orthogonal if  $C \subseteq C^\perp$ .

The weight enumerator  $W_C(x, y)$  of  $C$  is the polynomial

$$W_C(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^n A_i x^{n-i} y^i,$$

where  $A_i$  is the number of codewords of weight  $i$ .  $C$  is called a two-weight code if all nonzero codewords have weights  $w_1$  or  $w_2$  ( $w_1 < w_2$ ) for some  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ . A linear code  $C$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is called a projective code if any two of its coordinates are linearly independent, i.e., if the dual code  $C^\perp$  has minimum distance  $\geq 3$ .

For a  $q$ -ary  $[n, k, d]$  code, the Griesmer bound is given by

$$n_q(k, d) \geq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left\lceil \frac{d}{q^i} \right\rceil, \tag{1}$$

where  $n_q(k, d)$  denotes the minimum length  $n$  for which an  $[n, k, d]$  linear code, over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , exists.

**Strongly regular graphs.**

**Definition 1.** A simple, undirected graph  $\Gamma$  is called strongly regular, with parameters  $v, k, \lambda, \mu$ , if  $\Gamma$  has  $v$  vertices and

- (1)  $\Gamma$  is regular with valency  $k$ ,
- (2) if the vertices  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent then there are exactly  $\lambda$  vertices adjacent to both  $x$  and  $y$ ,
- (3) if the distinct vertices  $x$  and  $y$  are not adjacent then there are exactly  $\mu$  vertices adjacent to both  $x$  and  $y$ .

It is easy to verify that the complement of a strongly regular graph is strongly regular. A graph  $\Gamma$  is described by its  $(0, 1)$  adjacency matrix  $A = (a_{i,j})$  of size  $v$  given by  $a_{i,j} = 1$  if vertices  $i$  and  $j$  are adjacent and  $a_{i,j} = 0$  if not. We quote the following theorems about strongly regular graphs.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $\Gamma$  is a graph with  $v$  vertices and adjacency matrix  $A$  then  $\Gamma$  is strongly regular if and only if there are numbers  $k, r, s$  and  $\mu$  such that  $AJ = kJ$  and  $(A - rI)(A - sI) = \mu J$ , where  $J$  is the  $v \times v$  matrix of ones and  $I$  is the identity matrix of size  $v$ .*

Accordingly, it is easy to see that  $A$  has eigenvalues  $k$  (with a multiplicity of 1),  $r$  and  $s$ . We will denote the multiplicities of  $r$  and  $s$  by  $f$  and  $g$ , respectively. The following is an immediate consequence of [Theorem 1](#).

**Theorem 2.** *If  $\Gamma$  is a regular graph with adjacency matrix  $A$  and  $A$  has only three eigenvalues then  $\Gamma$  is a strongly regular graph.*

The parameters of strongly regular graphs are not independent and are related.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a strongly regular graph with parameters  $(v, k, \lambda, \mu)$ ; then*

$$k(k - \lambda - 1) = (v - k - 1)\mu. \quad (2)$$

### 3. $C_{n,u,t}(q)$ codes

The MacDonal codes  $C_{n,u}(q)$  can be considered as punctured simplex codes. The generator matrix of the  $C_{n,u}(q)$  code can be expressed in the form

$$G_{n,u} = \left[ G_n \setminus \left( \frac{\mathbf{0}}{G_u} \right) \right],$$

where  $[A \setminus B]$  denotes the matrix obtained from the matrix  $A$  by deleting the columns of the matrix  $B$  and  $G_i$  is the generator matrix for the  $i$ -dimensional simplex code.

The generalized MacDonal codes  $C_{n,u,t}(q)$  are defined by adding (via direct sum)  $t - 1$  simplex codes to a MacDonal code. Hence, we can represent the

generator matrix,  $G_{n,u,t}$  of a generalized MacDonal code by the generator matrix of a simplex code  $G_n$  and generator matrix of a MacDonal code  $G_{n,u}$ . We have

$$G_{n,u,t} = \left[ \underbrace{G_n \mid G_n \mid \cdots \mid G_n}_{t-1} \mid G_{n,u} \right].$$

**Theorem 4.** *The binary MacDonal codes  $C_{n,u}(2) = [2^n - 2^u, n, 2^{n-1} - 2^{u-1}]$  are self-orthogonal for  $3 \leq u \leq n - 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{G}_n$  be the matrix consisting of all column vectors of the vector space  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is an  $n \times 2^n$  matrix. Let  $\mathcal{G}_u$  be the matrix consisting of all column vectors of the vector space  $\mathbb{F}_2^u$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}_u$  is a  $u \times 2^u$  matrix. Let

$$\mathcal{G}_{u,0} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathcal{G}_u \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\mathbf{0}$  is the  $(n - u) \times 2^u$  zero matrix with elements in  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Then the generator matrix  $\mathcal{G}_{n,u}$  of the binary MacDonal code is given by  $[\mathcal{G}_n \mid \mathcal{G}_{u,0}]$ . Therefore, we can write  $\mathcal{G}_n = [\mathcal{G}_{n,u} \mid \mathcal{G}_{u,0}]$ . We know that  $\mathcal{G}_n \mathcal{G}_n^T = \mathbf{0}$  for  $n \geq 3$ . Now,

$$\mathcal{G}_n \mathcal{G}_n^T = [\mathcal{G}_{n,u} \mid \mathcal{G}_{u,0}] [\mathcal{G}_{n,u} \mid \mathcal{G}_{u,0}]^T = [\mathcal{G}_{n,u} \mid \mathcal{G}_{u,0}] \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{G}_{n,u}^T \\ \mathcal{G}_{u,0}^T \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{G}_{n,u} \mathcal{G}_{n,u}^T + \mathcal{G}_{u,0} \mathcal{G}_{u,0}^T.$$

Therefore, we have  $\mathcal{G}_{n,u} \mathcal{G}_{n,u}^T + \mathcal{G}_{u,0} \mathcal{G}_{u,0}^T = \mathbf{0}$ . Further,  $\mathcal{G}_{u,0} \mathcal{G}_{u,0}^T = \mathbf{0}$  for  $u \geq 3$ . Hence  $\mathcal{G}_{n,u} \mathcal{G}_{n,u}^T = \mathbf{0}$  for  $u \geq 3$ . This implies that the binary  $C_{n,u}(2)$  codes are self-orthogonal for  $u \geq 3$ . □

Similar to MacDonal codes, the generalized MacDonal codes are also self-orthogonal for  $3 \leq u \leq n$ .

**Theorem 5.** *The generalized MacDonal codes  $C_{n,u,t}(q)$  are self-orthogonal for  $3 \leq u \leq n - 1$  and  $q = 2$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the generator matrix  $G_{n,u,t}$  of the generalized MacDonal code  $C_{n,u,t}(q)$ . Then we have

$$G_{n,u,t} = \left[ \underbrace{G_n \mid G_n \mid \cdots \mid G_n}_{t-1} \mid G_{n,u} \right],$$

where  $G_n$  is the generator matrix for a simplex code and  $G_{n,u}$  is the generator matrix for a MacDonal code. Next, consider matrix product

$$\begin{aligned} G_{n,u,t} G_{n,u,t}^T &= \left[ \underbrace{G_n \mid G_n \mid \cdots \mid G_n}_{t-1} \mid G_{n,u} \right] \left[ \underbrace{G_n \mid G_n \mid \cdots \mid G_n}_{t-1} \mid G_{n,u} \right]^T \\ &= \underbrace{G_n G_n^T + \cdots + G_n G_n^T}_{t-1} + G_{n,u} G_{n,u}^T. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Let  $\mathcal{G}_n$  be the matrix obtained from the simplex matrix  $G_n$  by adding the zero column vector. That is,  $\mathcal{G}_n = [\mathbf{0} \mid G_n]$ . This is the same matrix obtained from

column vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ . Now, consider

$$\mathcal{G}_n \mathcal{G}_n^T = [\mathbf{0} \mid G_n][\mathbf{0} \mid G_n]^T = \mathbf{0}\mathbf{0}^T + G_n G_n^T = \mathbf{0}_n + G_n G_n^T = G_n G_n^T.$$

Hence, we can rewrite (3) as

$$G_{n,u,t} G_{n,u,t}^T = \underbrace{\mathcal{G}_n \mathcal{G}_n^T + \cdots + \mathcal{G}_n \mathcal{G}_n^T}_{t-1} + G_{n,u} G_{n,u}^T.$$

From the proof of [Theorem 4](#), we have  $\mathcal{G}_n \mathcal{G}_n^T = \mathbf{0}$  and  $G_{n,u} G_{n,u}^T = \mathbf{0}$  for  $3 \leq u \leq n-1$ . Therefore, we have  $G_{n,u,t} G_{n,u,t}^T = \mathbf{0}$  for  $3 \leq u \leq n-1$ . Hence, the generalized MacDonal codes  $C_{n,u,t}(q)$  are self-orthogonal for  $3 \leq u \leq n-1$ .  $\square$

The complete weight enumerator of  $q$ -ary MacDonal codes is known [[Calderbank and Kantor 1986](#)]. Here we will state the result, as it is essential for [Theorem 7](#).

**Theorem 6.** *The  $q$ -ary MacDonal code  $C_{n,u}(q)$  is a  $[(q^n - q^u)/(q-1), n, q^{n-1} - q^{u-1}]$  is a two-weight code with nonzero weights  $w_1 = q^{n-1} - q^{u-1}$  and  $w_2 = q^{n-1}$  with weight enumerator coefficients  $A_{w_1} = q^n - q^{n-u}$  and  $A_{w_2} = q^{n-u} - 1$ .*

In the following theorem, we show that the generalized MacDonal codes are also two-weight codes with the same weight enumerator as the MacDonal codes, but with different weights.

**Theorem 7.** *The generalized MacDonal code  $C_{n,u,t}(q)$  is a  $[t \binom{n}{1} - \binom{u}{1}, tq^{n-1} - q^{u-1}]_q$  code with nonzero weights  $w_1 = tq^{n-1} - q^{u-1}$  and  $w_2 = tq^{n-1}$  and weight enumerator coefficients  $A_{w_1} = q^n - q^{n-u}$  and  $A_{w_2} = q^{n-u} - 1$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the generator matrix  $G_{n,u,t}$  of the code  $C_{n,u,t}(q)$ . We can represent  $G_{n,u,t}$  by

$$G_{n,u,t} = \left[ \underbrace{G_n \mid G_n \mid \cdots \mid G_n}_{t-1} \mid G_{n,u} \right]. \quad (4)$$

The first  $t-1$  simplex matrices contribute  $(t-1)q^{n-1}$  weights to a nonzero code word and the MacDonal matrix  $G_{n,u}$  contributes  $q^{n-1}$  and  $q^{n-1} - q^{u-1}$  weights. Therefore, the weights of  $C_{n,u,t}(q)$  are  $w_1 = tq^{n-1} - q^{u-1}$  and  $w_2 = tq^{n-1}$ . From (4), it is easy to see that the number of words of weights  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  depend only on the last MacDonal matrix  $G_{n,u}$ . Hence, the weight enumerator of  $C_{n,u,t}(q)$  is the same as the weight enumerator of  $C_{n,u}(q)$ . Therefore,  $A_{w_1} = q^n - q^{n-u}$  and  $A_{w_2} = q^{n-u} - 1$ .  $\square$

An important property of MacDonal codes is that they are maximum minimum-distance codes; i.e., they satisfy the Griesmer bound. In the next theorem, we show that the generalized MacDonal codes are also maximum minimum-distance codes.

**Theorem 8.** *The codes  $C_{n,u,t}(q)$  satisfy the Griesmer bound.*

*Proof.* Let  $C_{n,u,t}(q)$  be a  $[t\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} u \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, tq^{n-1} - q^{u-1}]$  code. Consider the right-hand side of the Griesmer bound (1). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left\lceil \frac{d}{q^i} \right\rceil &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left\lceil \frac{tq^{n-1} - q^{u-1}}{q^i} \right\rceil = \sum_{i=0}^{u-1} \left\lceil \frac{tq^{n-1} - q^{u-1}}{q^i} \right\rceil + \sum_{i=u}^k \left\lceil \frac{tq^{n-1} - q^{u-1}}{q^i} \right\rceil \\ &= \{ \lceil tq^{n-1} - q^{u-1} \rceil + \lceil tq^{n-2} - q^{u-2} \rceil + \dots + \lceil tq^{n-u} - 1 \rceil \} \\ &\quad + \left\{ \left\lceil tq^{n-u-1} - \frac{q^{u-1}}{q^u} \right\rceil + \left\lceil tq^{n-u-2} - \frac{q^{u-1}}{q^{u+1}} \right\rceil + \dots + \left\lceil t - \frac{q^{u-1}}{q^{n-1}} \right\rceil \right\} \\ &= \{ (tq^{n-1} - q^{u-1}) + (tq^{n-2} - q^{u-2}) + \dots + (tq^{n-u} - 1) \} \\ &\quad + \{ (tq^{n-u-1}) + (tq^{n-u-2}) + \dots + (tq^0) \} \\ &= \{ t(q^{n-1} + q^{n-2} + \dots + q^{n-u} + q^{n-u-1} + \dots + q^0) \} \\ &\quad - \{ q^{u-1} + q^{u-2} + \dots + q^0 \} \\ &= t \left( \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q} \right) - \left( \frac{1 - q^u}{1 - q} \right) = t \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} u \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = n_q(k, d). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We can obtain a strongly regular graph from a two-weight code  $C$  with weights  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  as follows [Calderbank and Kantor 1986]. Take codewords as vertices of  $\Gamma$  and join two codewords  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  by an edge if and only if  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = w_1$ . The strongly regular graph  $\Gamma$  is said to be associated with  $C$ .

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $\Gamma_{n,u,t}$  be the strongly regular graph associated with the generalized MacDonal code  $C_{n,u,t}(q)$ . Then  $\Gamma_{n,u,t}$  has parameters  $\langle q^n, q^n - q^{n-u}, q^n - 2q^{n-u}, q^n - q^{n-u} \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* The number of vertices of  $\Gamma_{n,u,t}$  is equal to the number of codewords of  $C_{n,u,t}(q)$ ; hence  $v = q^n$ . Let  $W_1$  be the set of codewords of weight  $w_1 = tq^{n-1} - q^{u-1}$  and  $W_2$  be the set of codewords of weight  $w_2 = tq^{n-1}$  of  $C_{n,u,t}(q)$ . By the construction, we know  $\Gamma_{n,u,t}$  is a regular graph. The zero-vector  $\mathbf{0}$ , as a vertex, has degree  $|W_1|$ , as  $d(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{x}) = w_1$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in W_1$ . Therefore, from Theorem 7, we get  $k = |W_1| = q^n - q^{n-u}$ .

To obtain the value of  $\mu$ , consider the zero-vector  $\mathbf{0}$ . Pick any codeword  $\mathbf{u}$  from  $W_2$ ; then  $d(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{u}) = w_2$ , which implies  $\mathbf{0}$  is nonadjacent to all the codewords in  $W_2$ . Let  $\mathbf{v}$  be an arbitrary codeword in  $W_1$ . Then  $d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = w_1$ ; otherwise  $\mathbf{v} \in W_2$ , which contradicts our assumption that  $\mathbf{v} \in W_1$ . Since  $\mathbf{v} \in W_1$  is arbitrary,  $\mathbf{u} \in W_2$  is adjacent to all the codewords in  $W_1$ . Therefore, the codeword  $\mathbf{u}$  is adjacent to  $|W_1| = q^n - q^{n-u}$  vertices and hence  $\mu = q^n - q^{n-u}$ .

We will use (2) to determine the value of  $\lambda$  from the other three parameters. Consider  $k(k - \lambda - 1) = (v - k - 1)\mu$ , but  $\mu = k$  implies  $(k - \lambda - 1) = (v - k - 1)$ , and then  $\lambda = 2k - v = 2(q^n - q^{n-u}) - q^n = q^n - 2q^{n-u}$ . This leads to  $\langle v, k, \lambda, \mu \rangle = \langle q^n, q^n - q^{n-u}, q^n - 2q^{n-u}, q^n - q^{n-u} \rangle$ .  $\square$

### 4. $C_{n,u,s,t}(q)$ codes

In this section, we extend the definition of generalized MacDonal codes  $C_{n,u,t}(q)$  to that of  $C_{n,u,s,t}(q)$  codes. Define  $C_{n,u,s,t}(q)$  codes by adding  $t$  simplex codes to  $s$  MacDonal codes.

The generator matrices of  $C_{n,u,s,t}(q)$  codes can be defined similarly to generator matrices of generalized MacDonal codes  $C_{n,u,t}(q)$ . Let  $G_{n,u,s,t}$  be the generator matrix of the code  $C_{n,u,s,t}(q)$ . Then

$$G_{n,u,s,t} = \left[ \underbrace{G_n \mid G_n \mid \cdots \mid G_n}_t \mid \underbrace{G_{n,u} \mid G_{n,u} \mid \cdots \mid G_{n,u}}_s \right],$$

where  $G_n$  and  $G_{n,u}$  are the generator matrices of simplex codes and MacDonal codes, respectively.

The parameters of the  $C_{n,u,s,t}(q)$  codes can be easily deduced from that of the codes  $C_{n,u}(q)$  and  $C_{n,u,t}(q)$ . By the form of the generator matrix  $G_{n,u,s,t}$ , the weight enumerator of  $C_{n,u,s,t}(q)$  is the same as that of the MacDonal codes.

**Theorem 10.**  $C_{n,u,s,t}(q)$  is a  $\left[ (t+s) \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - s \begin{bmatrix} u \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, n, (t+s)q^{n-1} - sq^{u-1} \right]_q$  code with nonzero weights  $w_1 = (t+s)q^{n-1} - sq^{u-1}$  and  $w_2 = (t+s)q^{n-1}$  with weight enumerator coefficients  $A_{w_1} = q^n - q^{n-1}$  and  $A_{w_2} = q^{n-u} - 1$ .

Similar to MacDonal and generalized MacDonal codes, the binary codes  $C_{n,u,s,t}(q)$  are self-orthogonal for  $u \geq 3$ .

**Theorem 11.**  $C_{n,u,s,t}$  codes are self-orthogonal for  $q = 2$  and  $3 \leq u \leq n - 1$ .

*Proof.* We will show that  $G_{n,u,s,t} G_{n,u,s,t}^T = \mathbf{0}$  for  $3 \leq u \leq n - 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} G_{n,u,s,t} G_{n,u,s,t}^T &= \left[ \underbrace{G_n \mid \cdots \mid G_n}_t \mid \underbrace{G_{n,u} \mid \cdots \mid G_{n,u}}_s \right] \left[ \underbrace{G_n \mid \cdots \mid G_n}_t \mid \underbrace{G_{n,u} \mid \cdots \mid G_{n,u}}_s \right]^T \\ &= \underbrace{G_n G_n^T + \cdots + G_n G_n^T}_t + \underbrace{G_{n,u} G_{n,u}^T + \cdots + G_{n,u} G_{n,u}^T}_s \\ &= t G_n G_n^T + s G_{n,u} G_{n,u}^T \\ &= t \mathcal{G}_n \mathcal{G}_n^T + s G_{n,u} G_{n,u}^T. \end{aligned}$$

For  $3 \leq u \leq n - 1$ , from the proofs of Theorems 4 and 5, we have  $\mathcal{G}_n \mathcal{G}_n^T = \mathbf{0}$  and  $G_{n,u} G_{n,u}^T = \mathbf{0}$ . □

Since these codes have the same weight enumerator as that of MacDonal codes, parameters of the strongly regular graphs generated by them are the same as the strongly regular graphs generated by the MacDonal codes.



## 5. Conclusion

In this work, we have described the weight enumerators of generalized MacDonal codes  $C_{n,u,t}(q)$  and the codes  $C_{n,u,s,t}(q)$  and showed that these are two-weight codes. Further, we have shown that the codes  $C_{n,u}(q)$ ,  $C_{n,u,t}(q)$  and  $C_{n,u,s,t}(q)$  are self-orthogonal for  $3 \leq u \leq n - 1$ . All three classes have the same weight enumerator and hence generate the same strongly regular graph.

All the codes in this work were constructed as a direct sum of a one-weight code (simplex code) with a two-weight code (MacDonald code). It might be interesting to study other such constructions arising from one- and two-weight codes.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their careful reading of the paper and the insightful comments and suggestions.

## References

- [Bhandari and Durairajan 2003] M. C. Bhandari and C. Durairajan, “A note on covering radius of MacDonal codes”, pp. 221–225 in *International conference on information technology: coding and computing* (Las Vegas, 2003), IEEE, Piscataway, NJ, 2003.
- [Calderbank and Kantor 1986] R. Calderbank and W. M. Kantor, “The geometry of two-weight codes”, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **18**:2 (1986), 97–122. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Delsarte 1972] P. Delsarte, “Weights of linear codes and strongly regular normed spaces”, *Discrete Math.* **3** (1972), 47–64. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Dodunekov and Simonis 1998] S. Dodunekov and J. Simonis, “Codes and projective multisets”, *Electron. J. Combin.* **5** (1998), art. id. 37. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [van Lint and Schrijver 1981] J. H. van Lint and A. Schrijver, “Construction of strongly regular graphs, two-weight codes and partial geometries by finite fields”, *Combinatorica* **1**:1 (1981), 63–73. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [MacDonald 1960] J. E. MacDonald, “Design methods for maximum minimum-distance error-correcting codes”, *IBM. J. Res. Develop.* **4** (1960), 43–57. [MR](#)
- [Patel 1975] A. M. Patel, “Maximal  $q$ -nary linear codes with large minimum distance”, *IEEE Trans. Information Theory* **21**:1 (1975), 106–110. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Tamari 1984] F. Tamari, “On linear codes which attain the Solomon–Stiffler bound”, *Discrete Math.* **49**:2 (1984), 179–191. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Vega 2008] G. Vega, “Two-weight cyclic codes constructed as the direct sum of two one-weight cyclic codes”, *Finite Fields Appl.* **14**:3 (2008), 785–797. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Vega and Wolfmann 2007] G. Vega and J. Wolfmann, “New classes of 2-weight cyclic codes”, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **42**:3 (2007), 327–334. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Received: 2017-08-23

Revised: 2017-12-08

Accepted: 2017-12-14

[padmapani.seneviratne@tamuc.edu](mailto:padmapani.seneviratne@tamuc.edu)

Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M  
University–Commerce, Commerce, TX, United States

[lmelcher@leomail.tamuc.edu](mailto:lmelcher@leomail.tamuc.edu)

Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M  
University–Commerce, Commerce, TX, United States

## INVOLVE YOUR STUDENTS IN RESEARCH

*Involve* showcases and encourages high-quality mathematical research involving students from all academic levels. The editorial board consists of mathematical scientists committed to nurturing student participation in research. Bridging the gap between the extremes of purely undergraduate research journals and mainstream research journals, *Involve* provides a venue to mathematicians wishing to encourage the creative involvement of students.

### MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut Wake Forest University, USA

### BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA	Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA
John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria
Pietro Cerone	La Trobe University, Australia	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE	Y.-F. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam, USA	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA
Erin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA	James Propp	U Mass Lowell, USA
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA	József H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor
Jim Hoste	Pitzer College, USA	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Arizona State University, USA	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA	Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA

### PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor


Cover: Alex Scorpan

See inside back cover or [msp.org/involve](http://msp.org/involve) for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2018 is US \$190/year for the electronic version, and \$250/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW<sup>®</sup> from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**  
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2018 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# involve

2018

vol. 11

no. 5

On the minuscule representation of type $B_n$	721
WILLIAM J. COOK AND NOAH A. HUGHES	
Pythagorean orthogonality of compact sets	735
PALLAVI AGGARWAL, STEVEN SCHLICHER AND RYAN SWARTZENTRUBER	
Different definitions of conic sections in hyperbolic geometry	753
PATRICK CHAO AND JONATHAN ROSENBERG	
The Fibonacci sequence under a modulus: computing all moduli that produce a given period	769
ALEX DISHONG AND MARC S. RENAULT	
On the faithfulness of the representation of $GL(n)$ on the space of curvature tensors	775
COREY DUNN, DARIEN ELDERFIELD AND RORY MARTIN-HAGEMEYER	
Quasipositive curvature on a biquotient of $Sp(3)$	787
JASON DEVITO AND WESLEY MARTIN	
Symmetric numerical ranges of four-by-four matrices	803
SHELBY L. BURNETT, ASHLEY CHANDLER AND LINDA J. PATTON	
Counting eta-quotients of prime level	827
ALLISON ARNOLD-ROKSANDICH, KEVIN JAMES AND RODNEY KEATON	
The $k$ -diameter component edge connectivity parameter	845
NATHAN SHANK AND ADAM BUZZARD	
Time stopping for Tsirelson's norm	857
KEVIN BEANLAND, NOAH DUNCAN AND MICHAEL HOLT	
Enumeration of stacks of spheres	867
LAUREN ENDICOTT, RUSSELL MAY AND SIENNA SHACKLETTE	
Rings isomorphic to their nontrivial subrings	877
JACOB LOJEWSKI AND GREG OMAN	
On generalized MacDonal codes	885
PADMAPANI SENEVIRATNE AND LAUREN MELCHER	
A simple proof characterizing interval orders with interval lengths between 1 and $k$	893
SIMONA BOYADZHIYSKA, GARTH ISAAK AND ANN N. TRENK	