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#### Abstract

We show that the formal germ at the infinity of the Fourier transform of a holonomic $\boldsymbol{D}$-module depends only on the formal germ of the $\boldsymbol{D}$-module at its singular points and at the infinity.


## 1. Introduction

The stationary phase approximation is a basic principle of asymptotic analysis, exemplified by the oscillatory integral

$$
I\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\int g(t) e^{i t^{\prime} f(t)} d t
$$

If the derivative of $f(t)$ does not vanish at any point in $\operatorname{Supp}(f)$, then $I\left(t^{\prime}\right)$ is rapidly decreasing at $\infty$. If $f(t)$ has only finitely many critical points in $\operatorname{Supp}(f)$, the major contribution to the value of the integral $I\left(t^{\prime}\right)$ for large $t^{\prime}$ comes from neighborhoods of those critical points. More generally, consider the integral

$$
I\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\int_{a\left(t^{\prime}\right)}^{b\left(t^{\prime}\right)} g\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) e^{i f\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)} d t
$$

where all the functions are real-valued. Under certain conditions, for $t^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
I\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{f_{t}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)=0}\left(g\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) \sqrt{\frac{2 \pi}{\left|f_{t t}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)\right|}} e^{i f\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)+\frac{i \pi}{4} \operatorname{sgn} f_{t t}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)}+o\left(\frac{g\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)}{\sqrt{\left|f_{t t}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)\right|}}\right)\right) .
$$

The classical principle of stationary phase outlined above relates to the real Fourier transform. To study Deligne's $\ell$-adic Fourier transform, Gérard Laumon [1987] introduced a corresponding principle of stationary phase and the local $\ell$ adic Fourier transform. (See [Katz 1988] for a good exposition.) We are interested in the $D$-module case.

[^0]We fix a field $k$ of characteristic 0 and use the following notations:
(1) Let $p_{1}, p_{2}$ be the projections $\operatorname{Spec} k\left[t, t^{\prime}\right]=\mathbb{A}_{k}^{1} \times{ }_{k} \mathbb{A}_{k}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{k}^{1}$, and let $\bar{p}_{1}, \bar{p}_{2}$ be the projections $\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1} \times_{k} \mathbb{P}_{k}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}$. Let $\alpha: \mathbb{A}_{k}^{1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}$ and $\mu: \mathbb{A}_{k}^{1} \times_{k} \mathbb{A}_{k}^{1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{k}^{1} \times_{k} \mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}$ be the inclusions.
(2) For any $x \in k$, let $t_{x}=t-x$ and $t_{x}^{\prime}=t^{\prime}-x$. Let $t_{\infty}=1 / t=z, t_{\infty}^{\prime}=1 / t^{\prime}=z^{\prime}$ and $\eta^{\prime}=\operatorname{Spec} k\left(t^{\prime}\right)$. For any $x \in k \cup\{\infty\}$, let $\eta_{x}=\operatorname{Spec} k\left(\left(t_{x}\right)\right), \eta_{x}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Spec} k\left(\left(t_{x}^{\prime}\right)\right)$.
(3) For any $x, y \in k \cup\{\infty\}$, let $k\left(\left(t_{x}, t_{y}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ be the field of the formal Laurent series $\sum_{i, j \gg-\infty} a_{i j} t_{x}^{i} t_{y}^{\prime j}, a_{i j} \in k$. For any $k\left(\left(t_{x}\right)\right)$-vector space $M$, let

$$
M\left(\left(t_{y}^{\prime}\right)\right)=M \otimes_{k\left(\left(t_{x}\right)\right)} k\left(\left(t_{x}, t_{y}^{\prime}\right)\right) .
$$

(4) Denote by $\mathscr{L}$ the rank-one connection $\left(O_{A_{k}^{1}}, d+d t\right)$ on $\mathrm{A}_{k}^{1}$. Then $\mathscr{L}$ corresponds to the $D$-module $\mathbb{O}_{\mathrm{A}_{k}^{1}} \cdot e^{t}$ on $\mathbb{A}_{k}^{1}$. So $\mathscr{L}$ is a substitute of $e^{i t}$ in classical Fourier analysis. Let $X$ be a scheme. Any section $f \in \mathbb{O}(X)$ defines a morphism $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{k}^{1}$ and let $\mathscr{L}_{f}=\phi^{*} \mathscr{L}$.
Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a vector bundle with a connection $\nabla$ on a nonempty open subscheme $U$ of $\mathbb{A}_{k}^{1}$ and let $i: U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ and $j: U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}$ be the inclusions. The connection $\nabla$ on $\mathcal{M}$ can be extended to a connection $i_{*} \nabla$ on $i_{*} \mathcal{M}$ and a connection $j_{*} \nabla$ on $j_{*} \mathcal{M}$. The global (geometric) Fourier transform of the $D$-module $i_{*} \mathcal{M}$ on $\mathbb{A}_{k}^{1}$ is defined to be

$$
\mathscr{F}\left(i_{*} \mathcal{M}\right)=p_{2+}\left(p_{1}^{*} i_{*} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\hat{A A k}_{l_{k} \times A_{k}^{\prime}}} \mathscr{L}_{t t^{\prime}}\right)[1],
$$

where $\otimes$ and $p_{2+}$ are derived functors of $D$-modules. This definition is analogous to

$$
\widehat{f}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\int f(t) e^{i t t^{\prime}} d t
$$

More precisely, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{F}\left(i_{*} \mathcal{M}\right) \cong R^{1} p_{2 *}\left(p _ { 1 } ^ { * } i _ { * } \mathcal { M } \xrightarrow { p _ { 1 } ^ { * } i _ { * } \nabla + t ^ { \prime } d t } p _ { 1 } ^ { * } \left(\Omega_{\AA_{k}^{\prime}}^{1} \otimes_{\left.\left.{A_{A_{k}^{1}}} i_{*} \mathcal{M}\right)\right), ~(1)}\right.\right. \\
& \cong \alpha^{*} \alpha_{*} R^{1} p_{2 *}\left(p_{1}^{*} i_{*} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{p_{1}^{*} i_{*} \nabla+t^{\prime} d t} p_{1}^{*}\left(\Omega_{A_{k}^{1}}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_{k}^{1}} i_{*} \mathcal{M}\right)\right) \\
& \cong \alpha^{*} R^{1} \bar{p}_{2 *} \mu_{*}\left(p_{1}^{*} i_{*} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{p_{1}^{*} i_{*} \nabla+t^{\prime} d t} p_{1}^{*}\left(\Omega_{\AA_{k}^{\prime}}^{1} \otimes \otimes_{A_{k}^{1}} i_{*} \mathcal{M}\right)\right) \\
& \cong \alpha^{*} R^{1} \bar{p}_{2 *}\left(\bar{p}_{1}^{*} j_{*} \mathcal{M} \otimes \mu_{*} \Theta_{A_{k}^{1} \times A_{k}^{1}} \xrightarrow{\bar{p}_{1}^{*} j_{*} \nabla+t^{\prime} d t} \bar{p}_{1}^{*}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}}^{1} \otimes j_{*} \mathcal{M}\right) \otimes \mu_{*} O_{A_{k}^{1} \times A_{k}^{1}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the complex

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\bar{p}_{1}^{*} j_{*} \mathcal{M} \otimes \mu_{*} \mathbb{O}_{A_{k}^{1} \times A_{k}^{1}} \xrightarrow{\bar{p}_{1}^{*} j_{*} \nabla+t^{\prime} d t} \bar{p}_{1}^{*}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}}^{1} \otimes j_{*} \mathcal{M}\right) \otimes \mu_{*} \mathbb{O}_{A_{k}^{1} \times A_{k}^{1}}\right) . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\left.\mathscr{F}\left(i_{*} \mathcal{M}\right)\right|_{\eta_{\infty^{\prime}}}=\left.R^{1} \bar{p}_{2 *}(*)\right|_{\eta_{\infty^{\prime}}} .
$$

To study $\left.\mathscr{F}\left(i_{*} \mathcal{M}\right)\right|_{\eta_{\infty^{\prime}}}$, one needs to study $R^{1} \bar{p}_{2 *}(*)| |_{\text {spf } k\left[\| z^{\prime}\right] \|}$. The complex ( $*$ ) involves quasicoherent sheaves that may not be coherent sheaves. To study the localization of $(*)$ on $\operatorname{Spf} k \llbracket\left[z^{\prime} \rrbracket\right.$, we need to transform them into coherent sheaves. For this reason, Bloch and Esnault [2004] rewrote ( $*$ ) in terms of the cohomology of a complex of coherent modules. They found a good lattice pair $\mathscr{V}, \mathscr{W}$ of the connection $j_{*} \mathcal{M}$ such that $\left(\bar{p}_{1}^{*} j_{*} \nabla+t^{\prime} d t\right)\left(\bar{p}_{1}^{* Q}\right) \subset \bar{p}_{1}^{*}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}}^{1}(T) \otimes \mathscr{W}\right)$ and the inclusion of complexes

$$
\left(\bar{p}_{1}^{* q} \xrightarrow{\bar{p}_{1}^{*} j_{*} \nabla+t^{\prime} d t} \bar{p}_{1}^{*}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}}^{1}(T) \otimes \mathscr{W}\right)\right) \subset(*)
$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Here $T=\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}-U$. However, for any good lattice pair $\mathscr{V}$, $\mathscr{W}$ of the connection $j_{*} M$, the conditions above do not hold, because the differential form $t^{\prime} d t$ is singular on $\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1} \times\{\infty\} \cup\{\infty\} \times \mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}$. We only have

$$
\left(\bar{p}_{1}^{*} j_{*} \nabla+t^{\prime} d t\right)\left(\bar{p}_{1}^{* \mathscr{V}}\right) \subset \bar{p}_{1}^{*}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}}^{1}(T) \otimes(\mathbb{W}+\mathscr{V}(\{\infty\}))\right)\left(\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1} \times\{\infty\}\right)
$$

and a subcomplex

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\bar{p}_{1}^{* q} \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\bar{p}_{1}^{*} j_{j} \nabla+t^{\prime} d t} \bar{p}_{1}^{*}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{k}^{\prime}}^{1}(T) \otimes(\mathscr{W}+\mathscr{V}(\{\infty\}))\right)\left(\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1} \times\{\infty\}\right)\right) \tag{1-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $(*)$. This inclusion of complexes $(1-1) \subset(*)$ is still not a quasi-isomorphism. Using Deligne's construction of good lattice pairs, we find a good lattice pair $\mathscr{V}, \mathscr{W}$ of $j_{*} \mathcal{M}$ in Lemma 2.3 such that $\left.\left.(1-1)\right|_{\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1} \otimes_{k} k\left(t^{\prime}\right)} \subset(*)\right|_{\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1} \otimes_{k} k\left(t^{\prime}\right)}$ is a quasiisomorphism. From this, we get the following stationary phase formula.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a vector bundle with a connection $\nabla$ on a nonempty open subscheme $U$ of $\mathbb{A}_{k}^{1}$, and let $i: U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ be the inclusion. Suppose all points in $A_{k}^{1}-U$ are $k$-rational. Then the natural map

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left.\mathscr{F}\left(i_{*} \mathcal{M}\right)\right|_{\eta_{\infty}^{\prime}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in A_{k}^{\prime}-U} \operatorname{coker}\left(\left(\left.\mathcal{M}\right|_{\eta_{x}}\right)\left(\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{z^{\prime} \partial_{t_{x}}+1}\left(\left.\mathcal{M}\right|_{\eta_{x}}\right)\left(\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)  \tag{1-2}\\
& \oplus \operatorname{coker}\left(\left(\left.\mathcal{M}\right|_{\eta_{\infty}}\right)\left(\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{z^{\prime} \partial_{z}-\frac{1}{z^{2}}}\left(\left.\mathcal{M}\right|_{\eta_{\infty}}\right)\left(\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

is an isomorphism of formal connections on $k\left(\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)$.
The direct summands on the right side of (1-2) induce the definition of local Fourier transforms for formal connections.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we discuss the good lattice pairs of connections on a smooth curve. Passing to the stalks, we discuss the good lattice pairs of connections on a discrete valuation field. In Section 3, we prove the stationary phase formula using proper base change theorem between formal schemes.

## 2. Good lattice pairs

Let $X$ be a smooth algebraic curve over $k$ and $j: X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$ the smooth compactification. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a vector bundle on $X$ with a connection $\nabla$. Set $\Sigma=\bar{X}-X$. A pair of good lattices $\mathscr{V}$, $W$ of $j_{*} \mathscr{F}$ is a pair of vector bundles on $\bar{X}$ which extends $\mathscr{F}$ and satisfies the following conditions:
(1) $\mathscr{V} \subset \mathscr{W} \subset j_{*} \mathscr{F}$.
(2) $\nabla(\mathscr{V}) \subset \Omega_{\bar{X}}^{1}(\Sigma) \otimes \mathscr{W}$.
(3) For any effective divisor $D$ supported on $\Sigma$, the inclusion of complexes

$$
\left(\mathscr{V} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega_{\bar{X}}^{1}(\Sigma) \otimes \mathscr{W}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathscr{V}(D) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega_{\bar{X}}^{1}(\Sigma) \otimes \mathscr{W}(D)\right)
$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Taking the direct limit with respect to $D$, we get a quasi-isomorphism:

$$
\left.\left(\mathscr{V} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega_{\bar{X}}^{1}(\Sigma) \otimes \mathscr{W}\right)\right) \rightarrow\left(j_{*} \mathscr{F} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega_{\bar{X}}^{1} \otimes j_{*} \mathscr{F}\right) .
$$

The existence of good lattice pairs can be passed to the stalks. So we only need to consider the local case: good lattice pairs of connections on a discrete valuation field.

Let $K$ be a discrete valuation field with the valuation $v$. Let $A$ be the valuation ring, $t$ a uniformizer, and $\partial$ a continuous derivation on $K$ such that $\partial(t)=1$ and $\partial(A) \subseteq A$.

Definition 2.1. A connection on $K$ (of rank $k$, where $k$ is finite) is a $k$-dimensional vector space $M$ over $K$ with an additive map $\partial: M \rightarrow M$ satisfying $\partial(f m)=$ $f \partial(m)+\partial(f) m$ for any $f \in K$ and $m \in M$.

Let $r$ be the rank of the connection $M$. Set $\tau=t \partial$. There exists a cyclic element $v \in M$, in the sense that the elements $\tau^{i} v$, for $0 \leq i \leq r-1$, form a basis of $M$ over $K$. We have

$$
\tau^{r} v=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq r-1} a_{i} \tau^{i} v
$$

for some $a_{i} \in K$. The Newton polygon $N(M)$ of $M$ is the convex hull of

$$
\left\{(u, v) \mid u \leq i, v \geq v\left(a_{i}\right)\right\}
$$

in the plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. The slopes of $M$ are the slopes of nonvertical edges of $N(M)$, and we eliminate the slope 0 if the horizontal edge is situated in $u \leq 0$. The slopes are independent of the choice of the cyclic elements. The sum of all the slopes of $M$ is called the irregularity of $M$, and is denoted by $i(M)$. Then

$$
i(M)=\max _{0 \leq i \leq r}\left(0,-v\left(a_{i}\right)\right) .
$$

A lattice of $M$ is a finitely generated $A$-submodule $V$ of $M$ that spans $M$. For any artinian $A$-module $V$, the length of $V$ is denoted by $\ell(V)$.

Definition 2.2. A pair of lattices $V, W$ of $(M, \partial)$ is called good if the following conditions are satisfied
(1) $V \subset W \subset M$.
(2) $\partial V \subset(1 / t) W$.
(3) For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the natural inclusion of complexes

$$
\left(V \xrightarrow{\partial} \frac{1}{t} W\right) \rightarrow\left(\frac{1}{t^{i}} V \xrightarrow{\partial} \frac{1}{t^{i+1}} W\right)
$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.
Note that if $V, W$ is a good lattice pair, so is $\left(1 / t^{i}\right) W,\left(1 / t^{i}\right) W$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
Condition (3) above is equivalent to the following:
(3') For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the map

$$
\frac{1}{t^{i}} V / \frac{1}{t^{i-1}} V \xrightarrow{\mathrm{gr}_{i} \partial} \frac{1}{t^{i+1}} W / \frac{1}{t^{i}} W
$$

induced by $\partial$ is an isomorphism.
One can show that $i(M)=\ell(W / V)$.
Lemma 2.3. Let $k \hookrightarrow k^{\prime}$ be an extension of fields of characteristic 0 . Let $\partial_{t}$ be the natural derivation on $k(t)$ and on $k^{\prime}(t)$. The variable $t$ defines a discrete valuation $v$ on $k(t)$ and $k^{\prime}(t)$. Let $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ be their discrete valuation rings, respectively. Suppose $c$ is an element in $k^{\prime}$ which is not algebraic over $k$. Let $M$ be a connection on $k(t)$, and let $M_{c}$ be the connection on $k^{\prime}(t)$ whose underlying space is the $k^{\prime}(t)$ vector space $M \otimes_{k(t)} k^{\prime}(t)$, and with the operation $\partial_{t}$ defined by

$$
\partial_{t}(m \otimes f)=\partial_{t}(m) \otimes f+m \otimes \partial_{t}(f)-m \otimes \frac{c}{t^{2}}
$$

for any $m \in M$ and any $f \in k^{\prime}(t)$. Then there exists a good lattice pair $\mathscr{V}, \mathscr{W}$ of $M$, such that $\mathscr{V} \otimes_{A} A^{\prime},\left(\mathscr{W}+(1 / t)^{\mathscr{V}}\right) \otimes_{A} A^{\prime}$ is also a good lattice pair of the connection $M_{c}$ on $k^{\prime}(t)$.

Proof. Set $r=\operatorname{rk} M$. Choose a cyclic element $v$ of $M$. Let $\varepsilon$ be the basis $\left\{\tau^{i} v \mid 0 \leq i \leq r-1\right\}$ of $M$ over $k\left(t^{\prime}\right)$. We have $\tau^{r} v=\sum_{0 \leq i<r} a_{i} \tau^{i} v$ for some $a_{i} \in K$. The irregularity $i(M)$ of $M$ is $\max _{0 \leq i<r}\left(0,-v\left(a_{i}\right)\right)$. Consider the Newton polygon of the differential operator $\tau^{r}-\sum_{0 \leq i \leq r-1} a_{i} \tau^{i}$. Let $j$ be the integer such that $\left(j, v\left(a_{j}\right)\right)$ is a vertex of this Newton polygon, and such that the slopes of
this Newton polygon on the right side (respectively left side) of $\left(j, v\left(a_{j}\right)\right)$ is $>1$ (respectively $\leq 1$ ). Set $a_{r}=1$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v\left(a_{j+i}\right)-v\left(a_{j}\right)>i \text { for any } 1 \leq i \leq r-j, \\
& v\left(a_{j-i}\right)-v\left(a_{j}\right) \geq-i \text { for any } 0 \leq i \leq j
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left(a_{j}\right)-j=\min _{0 \leq i \leq r}\left(v\left(a_{i}\right)-i\right) \tag{2-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix of the differential operator $\tau$ with respect to the basis $\varepsilon$ is

$$
\Gamma=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & & & a_{0} \\
1 & & & a_{1} \\
& \ddots & & \vdots \\
& & 1 & a_{r-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The characteristic polynomial of $\Gamma$ is $\lambda^{r}-\sum_{0 \leq i \leq r-1} a_{i} \lambda^{i}$. Let

$$
\Lambda=\operatorname{diag}\left\{1, \ldots, 1, t, \ldots, t^{r-j+i(M)+v\left(a_{j}\right)}\right\}
$$

and let $e=\varepsilon \Lambda=\left\{e_{i} \mid 0 \leq i<r\right\}$. Set $l=j-v\left(a_{j}\right)-i(M) \geq 0$. Then the matrix of the differential operator $\tau$ with respect to the basis $e$ is

$$
\Gamma^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & & & & & \\
1 & & & & & \\
t^{r-l} a_{0} \\
t^{r-l} a_{1} \\
& \ddots & & & & \\
& & 1 & & & \\
& & & \frac{1}{t} & & \\
t^{r-l} a_{l-1} \\
& & & & t^{r-l-1} a_{l} \\
& & & & \ddots & \\
& & & & & \frac{1}{t} \\
& & a_{r-1}
\end{array}\right)+\operatorname{diag}\{0, \cdots, 0,1, \cdots, r-l\}
$$

Let $P(\lambda)=\lambda^{r}-\sum_{0 \leq i \leq r-1} a_{i}^{\prime} \lambda^{i}$ be the characteristic polynomial of $\Gamma^{\prime}$. Since

$$
\Gamma^{\prime}=\Lambda^{-1} \Gamma \Lambda+\operatorname{diag}\{0, \ldots, 0,1, \ldots, r-l\}
$$

we have

$$
a_{i}^{\prime}-a_{i} \in \sum_{i<j<r} \mathbb{Z} a_{j}+\mathbb{Z} \subset K
$$

So

$$
\max \left\{0,-v\left(a_{i}^{\prime}\right) \mid 0 \leq i<r\right\}=\max \left\{0,-v\left(a_{i}\right) \mid 0 \leq i<r\right\}=i(M)
$$

Write $P(\lambda)=t^{-i(M)} \sum_{i} b_{i} \lambda^{i}, b_{i} \in K$. Then $b_{i} \in A$ and $v\left(b_{i}\right)=0$ for at least one $i$. The residue polynomial $\sum_{i} \bar{b}_{i} \lambda^{i}$ of $\sum_{i} b_{i} \lambda^{i}$ is nonzero. For almost all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,
$\sum_{i} \bar{b}_{i}(-n)^{i} \neq 0$. In this case, we have

$$
-v\left(\operatorname{det}\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime}\right)\right)=-v\left((-1)^{r} P(-n)\right)=-v\left(t^{-i(M)}\left(\sum_{i} b_{i}(-n)^{i}\right)\right)=i(M) .
$$

Then, for almost all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
i(M)=-v\left(\operatorname{det}\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime}\right)\right) \tag{2-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $V$ be the lattice of $M$ generated by $e$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime}\right) V: V\right]=\ell\left(\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime}\right) V+V / V\right)-\ell\left(\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime}\right) V+V /\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime}\right) V\right) \tag{2-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [Deligne 1970, p. 48, Proposition 2], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime}\right) V: V\right]=-v\left(\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{n}+\Gamma^{\prime}\right)\right) \tag{2-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $W$ be the lattice of $M$ generated by

$$
e_{0}, \ldots, e_{l-1}, \frac{1}{t} e_{l}, \ldots, \frac{1}{t} e_{r-1}
$$

Then $\ell(W / V)=r-l$. Since $\left(\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime}\right) V+V\right) / W$ is an artinian $A$-module generated by the single element

$$
x=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq l-1} a_{i} t^{r-l} e_{i}+\sum_{l \leq i \leq r-1} a_{i} t^{r-1-i} e_{i}=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq l-1} a_{i} t^{r-l} e_{i}+\sum_{l \leq i \leq r-1} a_{i} t^{r-i} \frac{1}{t} e_{i} .
$$

For any $i$, we have $i(M) \geq-v\left(a_{i}\right)$ and $v\left(a_{j}\right)-j \leq v\left(a_{i}\right)-i$. Then

$$
v\left(t^{i(M)+l-r} a_{i} t^{r-l}\right) \geq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad v\left(t^{i(M)+l-r} a_{i} t^{r-i}\right) \geq v\left(t^{i(M)+l-r} a_{j} t^{r-j}\right)=0 .
$$

Then the annihilator of $x$ in $\left(\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime}\right) V+V\right) / W$ is $t^{i(M)+l-r}$. So

$$
\ell\left(\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime}\right) V+V / W\right)=i(M)+l-r .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell\left(\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime}\right) V+V / V\right)=\ell(W / V)+\ell\left(\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime}\right) V+V / W\right)=i(M) \tag{2-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing this equality with (2-2), (2-3), and (2-4), we get

$$
\ell\left(\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime}\right) V+V /\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime}\right) V\right)=0
$$

for almost $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, that is, $\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime}\right) V \supset V$ for almost all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
The $A$-module

$$
\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime}\right) V+\frac{1}{t} V / \frac{1}{t} V
$$

is artinian and is generated by one element $x$ whose annihilator is

$$
t^{i(M)+l-r}=t^{j-v\left(a_{j}\right)-r} .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\ell\left(\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime}\right) V+\frac{1}{t} V / V\right) & =\ell\left(\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime}\right) V+\frac{1}{t} V / \frac{1}{t} V\right)+\ell \frac{1}{t} V / V  \tag{2-6}\\
& =j-v\left(a_{j}\right)=\sum_{\lambda: \text { slope of } M} \max (\lambda, 1)
\end{align*}
$$

The matrix of the differential operator $\tau$ with respect to the basis $\varepsilon$ of $M_{c}$ is $\Gamma-c / t$. The characteristic polynomial $P^{\prime}(\lambda)$ of $\Gamma-c / t$ is

$$
P^{\prime}(\lambda)=\left(\lambda+\frac{c}{t}\right)^{r}-\sum_{0 \leq i<r} a_{i}\left(\lambda+\frac{c}{t}\right)^{i}
$$

Write $P^{\prime}(\lambda)=\lambda^{r}+\sum_{0 \leq i<r} b_{i} \lambda^{i}$ for some $b_{i} \in k^{\prime}(t)$. Then

$$
b_{0}=\left(\frac{c}{t}\right)^{r}-\sum_{0 \leq i<r} a_{i}\left(\frac{c}{t}\right)^{i}=\frac{a_{j}}{t^{j}}\left(\frac{1}{a_{j} t^{r-j}} c^{r}-\sum_{0 \leq i<r} \frac{a_{i}}{a_{j} t^{i-j}} c^{i}\right)
$$

By (2-1), we have

$$
\frac{1}{a_{j} t^{r-j}} c^{r}-\sum_{0 \leq i<r} \frac{a_{i}}{a_{j} t^{i-j}} c^{i} \in A[c]
$$

and its residue in $k^{\prime}$ is a nonzero polynomial over $k$ of $c$. Since $c$ is not algebraic over $k$, this residue is nonzero. Then we have

$$
v\left(b_{0}\right)=v\left(\frac{a_{j}}{t^{j}}\right)=v\left(a_{j}\right)-j
$$

Also by (2-1), we have $v\left(b_{i}\right) \geq v\left(b_{0}\right)$ for any $0 \leq i<r$. So

$$
\max _{0 \leq i<r}\left(0,-v\left(b_{i}\right)\right)=j-v\left(a_{j}\right)=i\left(M_{c}\right)
$$

The matrix of the differential operator $\tau$ with respect to the basis $e$ of $M_{c}$ is $\Gamma^{\prime \prime}=\Gamma^{\prime}-c / t$. Write the characteristic polynomial of $\Gamma^{\prime \prime}$ as $\lambda^{r}+\sum_{0 \leq i<r} b_{i}^{\prime} \lambda^{i}$ for some $b_{i}^{\prime} \in k^{\prime}(t)$. By a similar proof as above, we have

$$
\max _{0 \leq i<r}\left(0,-v\left(b_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\max _{0 \leq i<r}\left(0,-v\left(b_{i}\right)\right)=i\left(M_{c}\right)
$$

For almost $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$
-v\left(\operatorname{det}\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)=i\left(M_{c}\right)
$$

Let $V^{\prime}=V \otimes_{A} A^{\prime}$. We have $\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right) V^{\prime}+V^{\prime} \subseteq \frac{1}{t} V^{\prime}+\Gamma^{\prime} V^{\prime}$; therefore So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell\left(\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right) V^{\prime}+V^{\prime} / V^{\prime}\right) \leq \ell\left(\frac{1}{t} V^{\prime}+\Gamma^{\prime} V^{\prime} / V^{\prime}\right) \tag{2-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ is flat and $k \otimes_{A} A^{\prime}=k^{\prime}$, for any artinian $A$-module $M$, one can prove $\ell(M)=\ell\left(M \otimes_{A} A^{\prime}\right)$. Since $(1 / t) V+\Gamma^{\prime} V / V$ is an artinian $A$-module, by (2-6),
we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell\left(\frac{1}{t} V^{\prime}+\Gamma^{\prime} V^{\prime} / V^{\prime}\right)=\ell\left(\frac{1}{t} V+\Gamma^{\prime} V / V\right)=j-v\left(a_{j}\right) \tag{2-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2-4), we have, for almost $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ell\left(\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right) V^{\prime}+V^{\prime} / V^{\prime}\right) & \geq \ell\left(\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right) V^{\prime}+V^{\prime} / V^{\prime}\right)-\ell\left(\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right) V^{\prime}+V^{\prime} /\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right) V^{\prime}\right) \\
& =-v\left(\operatorname{det}\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)=j-v\left(a_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing this inequality with (2-7) and (2-8), we have for almost $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ell\left(\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right) V^{\prime}+V^{\prime} / V^{\prime}\right)=j-v\left(a_{j}\right) \\
& \ell\left(\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right) V^{\prime}+V^{\prime} /\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right) V^{\prime}\right)=0 \\
& \left(n+\Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right) V^{\prime}+V^{\prime}=\frac{1}{t} V^{\prime}+\Gamma^{\prime} V^{\prime}=\left(\frac{1}{t} V+\Gamma^{\prime} V\right) \otimes_{A} A^{\prime} \tag{2-9}
\end{align*}
$$

So for almost $n \in \mathbb{Z},\left(n+\Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right) V^{\prime} \supseteq V^{\prime}$. Let $e^{\prime}=\left(1 / t^{N}\right) e$. The matrix of $\tau$ with respect to the basis $e^{\prime}$ of $M$ (respectively $M_{c}^{\prime}$ ) is $\Gamma_{1}:=\Gamma^{\prime}-N$ (respectively $\Gamma_{2}:=\Gamma^{\prime \prime}-N$ ). Let $\mathscr{V}=\left(1 / t^{N}\right) V$ and let $\mathscr{V}^{\prime}=\left(1 / t^{N}\right) V^{\prime}$. Choose $N$ large enough so that for any $n \leq 0$, we have

$$
\left(n+\Gamma_{1}\right) \mathscr{V} \supset \mathscr{V} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(n+\Gamma_{2}\right) \mathscr{V}^{\prime} \supseteq \mathscr{V}^{\prime}
$$

Let $\mathscr{W}=\Gamma_{1} \mathscr{V}$. By (2-9), we have $\Gamma_{2} \mathscr{V}^{\prime}=(\mathscr{W}+(1 / t) \mathscr{V}) \otimes_{A} A^{\prime}$. Let's prove $\mathscr{V}, \mathscr{W}$ is a good lattice of $M$ now. We only need to verify condition ( $3^{\prime}$ ) for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Conjugating by $1 / t^{i}$, the $A$-linear map

$$
\operatorname{gr}_{i} \tau: \frac{1}{t^{i}} \mathscr{V} / \frac{1}{t^{i-1}} \mathscr{V} \rightarrow \frac{1}{t^{i}} \mathscr{W} / \frac{1}{t^{i-1}} \mathscr{W}
$$

can be identified with the $A$-linear map

$$
\operatorname{gr}_{0} \tau-i=\Gamma_{1}-i: \mathscr{V} / t^{\mathscr{V}} \rightarrow \mathscr{W} / t^{\mathscr{W}}
$$

Since $\left(\Gamma_{1}-i\right) \mathscr{V} \supset \mathscr{V}$, we have

$$
\left(\Gamma_{1}-i\right) \mathscr{V}=\left(\Gamma_{1}-i\right) \mathscr{V}+\mathscr{V} \supset \Gamma_{1} \mathscr{V}=\mathscr{W}
$$

So $\Gamma_{1}-i: \mathscr{V} / t^{\mathscr{V}} \rightarrow \mathscr{W} / t^{\mathscr{W}}$ is surjective. But the domain and the range of $\mathrm{gr}_{i} \tau$ are artinian $A$-modules of the same length $r$, so $\mathrm{gr}_{0} \tau-i$ is an isomorphism and so is $\operatorname{gr}_{i} \tau$. This proves $\mathscr{V}, \mathscr{W}$ is a good lattice pair of $M$. Repeating the proof, we conclude that $\mathscr{V} \otimes_{A} A^{\prime},(\mathscr{W}+(1 / t) \mathscr{V}) \otimes_{A} A^{\prime}$ is a good lattice pair of $M_{c}$.

Remark 2.4. Lemma 2.3 is the main technical lemma for the proof of the stationary phase principle in the next section. Lemma 2.3 also allows us to choose a good lattice pair $\mathscr{V}, \mathscr{W}$ of $M$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(\mathscr{W}+\frac{1}{t} \mathscr{V} / \mathscr{V}\right)=\sum_{\lambda: \text { slope of } M} \max (\lambda, 1) \tag{2-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formula (2-10) is easily seen to give a new proof of the following result:
Lemma 2.5 [Bloch and Esnault 2004, Lemma 3.3]. Let $M$ be a connection on $K$. The slopes of $M$ are all $\leq 1$ (respectively $\geq 1$ ) if and only if there exists a good lattice pair $\mathscr{W}, \mathscr{W}$ such that $\mathbb{W} \subseteq(1 / t) \mathscr{V}$ (respectively $\left.\mathscr{W} \supseteq(1 / t)^{\mathscr{V}}\right)$.
(Note that the original proof by Bloch and Esnault needs the assumption that $K$ is complete.)

## 3. Stationary phase principle

Let $K=k\left(t^{\prime}\right)$. For any scheme $X$ over $k$ and any $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules $\mathscr{F}$, let $X_{K}=X \otimes_{k} K$ and $\mathscr{F}_{K}=\mathscr{F}_{X_{K}}$. For any $k$-morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$, let $f_{K}: X_{K} \rightarrow Y_{K}$ be the base change of $f$.

We keep the notation used in Section 1. In this section we prove Theorem 1.1.
For any $x \in T_{K}=T,\left(\mathscr{V}_{K}\right)_{x},\left(\mathscr{W}_{K}\right)_{x}$ is a good lattice pair of the connection $\left(j_{K *} \mathcal{M}_{K}\right)_{x}$ on $K\left(t_{x}\right)$. Since $t^{\prime}$ is not algebraic over $k$, by Lemma 2.3, we may assume that

$$
\mathscr{V}_{\infty} \otimes_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathfrak{p}_{k}^{\prime}, \infty}} \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}, \infty},\left(\mathscr{W}_{\infty}+\frac{1}{z} \mathscr{V}_{\infty}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{p}_{k}, \infty}} \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}, \infty}
$$

is a good lattice pair of the connection

$$
\partial_{z}-\frac{t^{\prime}}{z^{2}}:\left(j_{K *} M_{K}\right)_{\infty} \rightarrow\left(j_{K *} M_{K}\right)_{\infty}
$$

Lemma 3.1. The inclusion of complexes $(1-1) \subset(*)$ induces a quasi-isomorphism

$$
\left.\left.(1-1)\right|_{\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}} \simeq(*)\right|_{\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}} .
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.(1-1)\right|_{\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}}=\left(\mathscr{V}_{K} \xrightarrow{j_{K *} \nabla_{K}+t^{\prime} d t} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}}^{1}\left(T_{K}\right) \otimes\left(\mathscr{W}_{K}+\mathscr{V}_{K}(\{\infty\})\right)\right), \\
\left.(*)\right|_{\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}}=\left(j_{K *} \mu_{K} \xrightarrow{j_{K *} \nabla_{K}+t^{\prime} d t} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}}^{1} \otimes j_{K *} \mathcal{M}_{K}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

First we have (1-1) $\left.\right|_{U_{K}}=\left.(*)\right|_{U_{K}}$. For any $x \in S_{K}$, let's prove (1-1) $\left.\left.\right|_{\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}} \subset(*)\right|_{\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}}$ induces a quasi-isomorphism on the stalks at $x$. It suffices to show that

$$
\left(\frac{1}{t_{x}^{i}}\left(\mathscr{V}_{K}\right)_{x} / \frac{1}{t_{x}^{i-1}}\left(\mathscr{V}_{K}\right)_{x}\right) \xrightarrow{g r_{i}\left(\partial_{t_{x}}+t^{\prime}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{t_{x}^{i+1}}\left(\mathscr{W}_{K}\right)_{x} / \frac{1}{t_{x}^{i}}\left(W_{K}\right)_{x}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism for any $i \geq 1$. As $\left(\mathscr{V}_{K}\right)_{x} \subset\left(\mathscr{W}_{K}\right)_{x}$, the map $\mathrm{gr}_{i}\left(\partial_{t_{x}}+t^{\prime}\right)$ is equal to $\operatorname{gr}_{i}\left(\partial_{t_{x}}\right)$, which is an isomorphism by the definition of good lattices. The inclusion

$$
\left(\left.(1-1)\right|_{\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}}\right)_{\infty} \rightarrow\left(\left.(*)\right|_{\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}}\right)_{\infty}
$$

can be written as

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\left(\mathscr{V}_{\infty} \otimes_{\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}, \infty}\right. & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}, \infty} \xrightarrow{\partial_{z}-\frac{t^{\prime}}{z^{2}}} \frac{1}{z}\left(\mathscr{W}_{\infty}+\frac{1}{z} \mathscr{V}_{\infty}\right)
\end{array} \otimes_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}_{k}, \infty}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}, \infty}\right) .
$$

It is a quasi-isomorphism by the assumption on $\mathscr{V}_{\infty}$ and $\mathscr{W}_{\infty}$.
Lemma 3.2. $\left.\left.\quad R^{1} \bar{p}_{2 *}(1-1)\right|_{\eta^{\prime}} \cong R^{1} \bar{p}_{2 *}(*)\right|_{\eta^{\prime}}$.
Proof. Consider the Cartesian diagram

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1} \longrightarrow \eta^{\prime}=\operatorname{Spec} K  \tag{3-1}\\
\downarrow \\
\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{k}^{1} \xrightarrow{\bar{p}_{2}} \not \mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
\left.\left.R^{1} \bar{p}_{2 *}(1-1)\right|_{\eta^{\prime}} \cong H^{1}\left(\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1},\left.(1-1)\right|_{\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}}\right) \cong H^{1}\left(\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1},\left.(*)\right|_{\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}}\right) \cong R^{1} \bar{p}_{2 *}(*)\right|_{\eta^{\prime}} .
$$

Corollary 3.3.

$$
\left.\mathscr{F}\left(i_{*} \mathcal{M}\right)\right|_{\eta_{\infty}^{\prime}}=\left.R^{1} \bar{p}_{2 *}(1-1)\right|_{\eta_{\infty}^{\prime}} .
$$

Denote by $\left.\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1} \llbracket z^{\prime}\right]$ the formal completion of $\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}$ along its closed subscheme $\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1} \times\{\infty\}$. For any coherent sheaf $\mathscr{K}$ on $\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}$, let $\mathscr{K}\left[\left[z^{\prime}\right]=\mathscr{K}_{\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1} \| z^{\prime} \rrbracket}\right.$.
Lemma 3.4 [Bloch and Esnault 2004, Corollary 2.2].

$$
R^{1} \bar{p}_{2 *}(1-1) \otimes_{\varrho_{\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}}} k \llbracket z^{\prime} \rrbracket \cong H^{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \llbracket z^{\prime} \rrbracket, \mathscr{V} \llbracket z^{\prime}\right] \xrightarrow{z^{\prime} \nabla+d t} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1}(T) \otimes \mathscr{W}\left[\llbracket z^{\prime} \rrbracket\right) .
$$

Lemma 3.5 [Bloch and Esnault 2004, Lemma 2.4 and Corollary 2.5]. Let $\mathscr{H}$ be the complex

$$
\mathscr{V} \llbracket z^{\prime} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{z^{\prime} \nabla+d t}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{k}^{\prime}}^{1}(T) \otimes(\mathscr{W}+\mathscr{V}(\{\infty\}))\right) \llbracket z^{\prime} \rrbracket .
$$

Then $\mathscr{H}^{0}$ equals ( 0 ) and $\mathscr{H}^{1}$ is supported on $T \subset \mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}=\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}\left[z^{\prime}\right]$. For any $x \in T$, let $\widehat{\mathscr{V}_{x}}=\mathscr{V}_{x} \otimes_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{P}_{k, x}^{\prime}}} k \llbracket t_{x} \rrbracket$ and $\widehat{W}_{x}=W_{x} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{k, x}}} k \llbracket t_{x} \rrbracket$. We have

$$
\left.\mathscr{H}_{x}^{1}=\operatorname{coker}\left(\widehat{\mathscr{V}_{x}} \llbracket z^{\prime} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{z^{\prime} \nabla+d t} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1}}^{1}(T) \otimes\left(\widehat{\mathscr{W}}_{x}+\widehat{\mathscr{V}(\{\infty\}}\right)_{x}\right) \llbracket z^{\prime} \rrbracket\right) .
$$

## Corollary 3.6.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left.H^{1}\left(\mathbb{P}_{k}^{1} \llbracket z^{\prime} \rrbracket, \mathscr{H}\right)=\bigoplus_{x \in S} \operatorname{coker}\left(\widehat{\mathscr{V}_{x}} \llbracket z^{\prime} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{z^{\prime} t_{x}+1} \frac{1}{t_{x}} \widehat{W_{x}} \llbracket z^{\prime} \rrbracket\right]\right) \\
& \oplus \operatorname{coker}\left(\widehat{\mathscr{V}_{\infty}} \llbracket z^{\prime} \rrbracket \rrbracket \xrightarrow{z^{\prime} \partial_{z}-\frac{1}{z^{2}}} \frac{1}{z}\left(\widehat{\mathscr{W}_{\infty}}+\frac{1}{z} \widehat{\mathscr{V}_{\infty}}\right) \llbracket z^{\prime} \rrbracket\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining Corollary 3.3, Lemma 3.4 and Corollary 3.6, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\mathscr{F}\left(i_{*} \mathcal{M}\right)\right|_{\eta_{\infty}^{\prime}}= & R^{1} \bar{p}_{2 *}(1-1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{P}_{k}^{\prime}}} k\left[\llbracket z^{\prime}\right] \otimes_{\left.k \llbracket z^{\prime} \rrbracket\right]} k\left(\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
= & \bigoplus_{x \in S} \operatorname{coker}\left(\widehat{\mathscr{V}_{x}}\left(\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{z^{\prime} \partial_{t_{x}+1}} \frac{1}{t_{x}} \widehat{\mathscr{W}_{x}}\left(\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \left.\left.\oplus \operatorname{coker}\left(\widehat{\mathscr{V}_{\infty}} l p z^{\prime}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{z^{\prime} \partial_{z}-\frac{1}{z^{2}}} \frac{1}{z}\left(\widehat{\mathscr{W}_{\infty}}+\frac{1}{z} \widehat{\mathscr{V}_{\infty}}\right)\left(\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The left side of this equality is independent of the choice $\mathscr{V}$ and $\mathscr{W}$. For any $i \in \mathbb{N}, \mathscr{W}(i T)$ and $\mathscr{W}(i T)$ still satisfy the condition of Lemma 3.1. Then the above equality holds if we replace $\mathscr{V}$ and $\mathscr{W}$ by $\mathscr{V}(i T)$ and $\mathscr{W}(i T)$, respectively. Taking the direct limit on $i$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\mathscr{F}\left(i_{*} \mathcal{M}\right)\right|_{\eta_{\infty}^{\prime}}=\bigoplus_{x \in S} \operatorname{coker}\left(\left(\left.\mathcal{M}\right|_{\eta_{x}}\right)\left(\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) & \left.\xrightarrow{z^{\prime} \partial_{t_{x}}+1}\left(\left.\mathcal{M}\right|_{\eta_{x}}\right)\left(\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \oplus \operatorname{coker}\left(\left(\left.\mathcal{M}\right|_{\eta_{\infty}}\right)\left(\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{z^{\prime} \partial_{z}-\frac{1}{z^{2}}}\left(\left.\mathcal{M}\right|_{\eta_{\infty}}\right)\left(\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$
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