

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**HURWITZ SPACES OF COVERINGS WITH TWO SPECIAL
FIBERS AND MONODROMY GROUP A WEYL GROUP OF
TYPE B_d**

FRANCESCA VETRO

Volume 255 No. 1

January 2012

HURWITZ SPACES OF COVERINGS WITH TWO SPECIAL FIBERS AND MONODROMY GROUP A WEYL GROUP OF TYPE B_d

FRANCESCA VETRO

Let $d \geq 3$, $n_1 > 0$ and $n_2 > 0$ be integers. Let $\underline{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_r)$ and $\underline{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_s)$ be two partitions of d . Let X , X' and Y be smooth, connected, projective complex curves. In this paper we study coverings that decompose into a sequence

$$X \xrightarrow{\pi} X' \xrightarrow{f} Y,$$

where π is a degree-two coverings with n_1 branch points and branch locus D_π and f is a degree- d coverings with n_2 points of simple branching and two special points whose local monodromy is given by \underline{e} and \underline{q} , respectively. Furthermore the covering f has monodromy group S_d and $f(D_\pi) \cap D_f = \emptyset$ where D_f denotes the branch locus of f . We prove that the corresponding Hurwitz spaces are irreducible under the hypothesis $n_2 - s - r \geq d + 1$.

Introduction

In this paper we study Hurwitz spaces that parametrize branched coverings with two special fibers whose monodromy group is a Weyl group of type B_d .

We notice that the irreducibility of Hurwitz spaces, parametrizing branched coverings of a smooth, connected, projective complex curve Y with monodromy group S_d and with at most two special fibers, has been well studied both when $Y \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ and when Y has positive genus. The case of simple coverings was studied in [Berstein and Edmonds 1984; Hurwitz 1891], the case of coverings with one special fiber in addition to points of simple branching was studied in [Kanev 2004; Kluitmann 1988; Natanzon 1991; Vetro 2006] and the case of two special fibers in addition to points of simple branching was studied in [Vetro 2010; Wajnryb 1996].

S_d is the Weyl group of a root system of type A_{d-1} and so it is interesting to study coverings with monodromy group a Weyl group different by S_d . Furthermore coverings of this type are interesting, for example, because they appear in the study of spectral curves and of Prym–Tyurin varieties.

MSC2010: primary 14H30; secondary 14H10.

Keywords: Hurwitz spaces, special fibers, branched coverings, Weyl group of type B_d , monodromy, braid moves.

Hurwitz spaces parametrizing coverings of this type were studied in [Biggers and Fried 1986; Kanev 2006; Vetro 2007; 2008a; 2008b; 2009]. Biggers and Fried proved the irreducibility of Hurwitz spaces parametrizing coverings of \mathbb{P}^1 whose monodromy group is a Weyl group of type D_d and whose local monodromies are all reflections. Kanev extended the result to Hurwitz spaces of Galois coverings of \mathbb{P}^1 whose Galois group is an arbitrary Weyl group.

Let X and X' be smooth, connected, projective complex curves. We studied Hurwitz spaces of coverings that decompose into a sequence of coverings of type $X \xrightarrow{\pi} X' \xrightarrow{f} Y$, where π is a degree-two covering and f is a degree $d \geq 3$ covering with one special fiber and with monodromy group S_d . We analyzed in [Vetro 2007; 2008a] the case that π is branched, and in [Vetro 2008b; 2009] the unramified case.

In this paper we continue the study of coverings of type $X \xrightarrow{\pi} X' \xrightarrow{f} Y$, with π a degree-two covering and f a degree- d covering. Let $\underline{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_r)$ and $\underline{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_s)$ be two partitions of d and let b_0 be a point of Y . In particular we study equivalence classes of pairs $[X \xrightarrow{\pi} X' \xrightarrow{f} Y, \phi]$ satisfying the following conditions:

- π is branched in n_1 points and has branch locus D_π , f is simply branched in n_2 points and has two special points with local monodromy given by \underline{e} and \underline{q} , respectively;
- f has monodromy group S_d and $f(D_\pi) \cap D_f = \emptyset$, where D_f denotes the branch locus of f ;
- $f \circ \pi$ is unramified in b_0 and $\phi : (f \circ \pi)^{-1}(b_0) \rightarrow \{-d, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, d\}$ is a bijection.

We study the irreducibility of the corresponding Hurwitz spaces both when $Y \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ and when Y has genus > 0 . We prove that, in both the cases, these spaces are irreducible under the hypothesis $n_2 - s - r \geq d + 1$. This condition is necessary in [Vetro 2010] in order to prove the irreducibility of the Hurwitz spaces $H_{d,n_2,\underline{e},\underline{q}}^0(Y, b_0)$ that parametrize equivalence classes of pairs $[f, \varphi]$ where f is a coverings as above and $\varphi : f^{-1}(b_0) \rightarrow \{1, \dots, d\}$ is a bijection. Here, we also use the results of [Vetro 2010].

Notation. Two degree- d branched coverings of Y , $f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y$ and $f_2 : X_2 \rightarrow Y$, are *equivalent* if there exists a biholomorphic map $p : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ such that $f_2 \circ p = f_1$. Two sequences of coverings,

$$X_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} X'_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} Y \quad \text{and} \quad X_2 \xrightarrow{\pi_2} X'_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} Y,$$

are *equivalent* if there exist two biholomorphic maps $p : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ and $p' : X'_1 \rightarrow X'_2$ such that $p' \circ \pi_1 = \pi_2 \circ p$ and $f_2 \circ p' = f_1$. The equivalence class containing $f \circ \pi$ is denoted by $[f \circ \pi]$. The natural action of S_d on $\{1, \dots, d\}$ is on the right.

1. Preliminaries

Throughout this section, d and n denote positive integers.

1.1. Weyl groups of type B_d . (Refer to [Bourbaki 1968; Carter 1972] for details.) Let $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_d\}$ be the standard base of \mathbb{R}^d and let R be the root system

$$\{\pm\varepsilon_i, \pm\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j : 1 \leq i, j \leq d\}.$$

Let us denote by $W(B_d)$ the group generated by the reflections s_{ε_i} , with $1 \leq i \leq d$, and by the reflections $s_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j}$, with $1 \leq i < j \leq d$. We call $W(B_d)$ a Weyl group of type B_d .

We notice that the reflection $s_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j}$ exchanges ε_i with ε_j and $-\varepsilon_i$ with $-\varepsilon_j$, leaving fixed each ε_h with $h \neq i, j$. The reflection s_{ε_i} exchanges ε_i with $-\varepsilon_i$ and fixes all the ε_h with $h \neq i$. Thus if we identify $\{\pm\varepsilon_i : 1 \leq i \leq d\}$ with $\{\pm 1, \dots, \pm d\}$ by the map $\pm\varepsilon_i \rightarrow \pm i$, we can easily define an injective homomorphism from $W(B_d)$ into S_{2d} such that

$$s_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j} \rightarrow (i \ j)(-i \ -j), \quad s_{\varepsilon_i} \rightarrow (i \ -i), \quad s_{\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j} = s_{\varepsilon_i} s_{\varepsilon_j} s_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j} \rightarrow (i \ -j)(-i \ j).$$

Let \mathbb{Z}_2^d be the set of the functions from $\{1, \dots, d\}$ into \mathbb{Z}_2 equipped with the sum operation. We will use $\bar{1}_j$ to denote the function in \mathbb{Z}_2^d defined by

$$\bar{1}_j(j) = \bar{1} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{1}_j(h) = \bar{0} \quad \text{for each } h \neq j$$

and we will write z_{ij} to denote the function in \mathbb{Z}_2^d defined by

$$z_{ij}(i) = z_{ij}(j) = z \quad \text{and} \quad z_{ij}(h) = \bar{0} \quad \text{for each } h \neq i, j \text{ and } z \in \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Let Ψ be the homomorphism from S_d into $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_2^d)$ that assigns to $t \in S_d$ the element $\Psi(t) \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_2^d)$, where $[\Psi(t) a](j) := a(j^t)$ for each $a \in \mathbb{Z}_2^d$.

Let $\mathbb{Z}_2^d \times^s S_d$ be the semidirect product of \mathbb{Z}_2^d and S_d through the homomorphism Ψ . Given $(a'; t_1), (a''; t_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^d \times^s S_d$, we put

$$(a'; t_1) \cdot (a''; t_2) := (a' + \Psi(t_1)a''; t_1 t_2).$$

It is easy to check that the homomorphism from $W(B_d) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^d \times^s S_d$ defined by

$$s_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j} \rightarrow (0; (i \ j)), \quad s_{\varepsilon_i} \rightarrow (\bar{1}_i; \text{id}), \quad s_{\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j} \rightarrow (\bar{1}_{ij}; (i \ j))$$

is an isomorphism. We will identify $W(B_d)$ with $\mathbb{Z}_2^d \times^s S_d$ via this isomorphism.

Definition 1. Let k be a positive integer. Let $(c; \xi)$ be an element of $W(B_d)$ such that ξ is a k -cycle of S_d and c is a function that sends to $\bar{0}$ all the indexes fixed by ξ . We call an such element a *positive k -cycle* if c is either zero or a function which sends to $\bar{1}$ an even number of indexes. We call it *negative k -cycle* if it is not positive.

We notice that two cycles $(c; \xi)$ and $(c'; \xi')$ in $W(B_d)$ are disjoint if ξ and ξ' are disjoint. Furthermore, all the elements in $W(B_d)$ can be expressed as a product of disjoint positive and negative cycles. The lengths of such disjoint cycles together with their signs determine the signed cycle type of the elements of $W(B_d)$. Two elements of $W(B_d)$ are conjugate if and only if they have the same signed cycle type [Carter 1972].

Braid group actions on Hurwitz systems. (Refer to [Birman 1969; Fadell and Neuwirth 1962; Graber et al. 2002; Hurwitz 1891; Kanev 2004; Scott 1970].) Let Y be a smooth, connected, projective complex curve of genus g and let $b_0 \in Y$. Let $(Y - b_0)^{(n)}$ be the n -fold symmetric product of $(Y - b_0)$ and let Δ be the codimension 1 locus of $(Y - b_0)^{(n)}$ consisting of non simple divisors. The generators of the braid group $\pi_1((Y - b_0)^{(n)} - \Delta, D)$ were studied in [Birman 1969; Fadell and Neuwirth 1962; Scott 1970]. They are the elementary braids σ_i , with $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, and the braids ρ_{jk} , τ_{jk} , with $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $1 \leq k \leq g$.

Definition 2. Let G be a subgroup of S_h . An ordered sequence of elements of G

$$(\underline{t}; \underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu}) := (t_1, \dots, t_n; \lambda_1, \mu_1, \dots, \lambda_g, \mu_g)$$

such that $t_i \neq \text{id}$ for each i and $t_1 \cdots t_n = [\lambda_1, \mu_1] \cdots [\lambda_g, \mu_g]$ is called a *Hurwitz system with values in G* . The subgroup of G generated by $t_1, \dots, t_n, \lambda_1, \mu_1, \dots, \lambda_g, \mu_g$ is called the *monodromy group* of the Hurwitz system.

Remark 3. An ordered sequence $\underline{t} := (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ of elements of G , with $t_i \neq \text{id}$ for each i , is a Hurwitz system if $t_1 \cdots t_n = \text{id}$.

To each generator of $\pi_1((Y - b_0)^{(n)} - \Delta, D)$ one associates a pair of braid moves. We denote by σ'_i and $\sigma''_i = (\sigma'_i)^{-1}$ the moves associated with σ_i , and we call them elementary moves. Similarly, ρ'_{jk} and $\rho''_{jk} = (\rho'_{jk})^{-1}$ denote the moves associated to ρ_{jk} , and likewise for τ_{jk} .

The moves σ'_i and σ''_i fix all the λ_k , all the μ_k and all the t_h with $h \neq i, i + 1$. The elementary move σ'_i transforms (t_i, t_{i+1}) into $(t_i t_{i+1} t_i^{-1}, t_i)$, while the move σ''_i transforms (t_i, t_{i+1}) into $(t_{i+1}, t_i^{-1} t_i t_{i+1})$; see [Hurwitz 1891].

The braid moves ρ'_{jk} and ρ''_{jk} fix all the λ_l , all the t_h with $h \neq j$ and all the μ_l with $l \neq k$. They modify t_j and μ_k . Analogously the braid moves τ'_{jk} and τ''_{jk} modify t_j and λ_k , leaving unchanged μ_l for all l , λ_l with $l \neq k$ and t_h with $h \neq j$.

The braid moves ρ'_{jk} , ρ''_{jk} , τ'_{jk} and τ''_{jk} transform t_j to an element belonging to the same conjugate class (see Theorem 1.8, [Kanev 2004]).

By [Kanev 2004, Corollary 1.9], when $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_k = \mu_1 = \dots = \mu_{k-1} = \text{id}$, the braid move ρ'_{1k} transforms μ_k into $t_1^{-1} \mu_k$.

Analogously when $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_{k-1} = \mu_1 = \dots = \mu_{k-1} = \text{id}$, the braid move τ''_{1k} transforms λ_k into $t_1^{-1} \lambda_k$.

Definition 4. Two Hurwitz systems with values in G are *braid-equivalent* if one is obtained from the other by a finite sequence of braid moves $\sigma'_i, \rho'_{jk}, \tau'_{jk}, \sigma''_i, \rho''_{jk}, \tau''_{jk}$, where $1 \leq i \leq n - 1, 1 \leq j \leq n$ and $1 \leq k \leq g$. Two ordered sequences of elements of $G, (t_1, \dots, t_l)$ and (t'_1, \dots, t'_l) , are *braid-equivalent* if (t'_1, \dots, t'_l) is obtained from (t_1, \dots, t_l) by a finite sequence of braid moves of type σ'_i, σ''_i . We denote braid equivalence by \sim .

2. The Hurwitz spaces $H_{W(B_d), n_1, n_2, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}(Y, b_0)$ and $H_{W(B_d), n_1, n_2, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}(Y)$

Let X, X' and Y be smooth, connected, projective complex curves. Let $d \geq 3, n_1 > 0$ and $n_2 > 0$ be integers. Let $\underline{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_r)$ and $\underline{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_s)$ be two partitions of d with $e_1 \geq e_2 \geq \dots \geq e_r \geq 1$ and $q_1 \geq q_2 \geq \dots \geq q_s \geq 1$. Let b_0 be a point of Y and let g be the genus of Y . In this paper we study equivalence classes of pairs $[X \xrightarrow{\pi} X' \xrightarrow{f} Y, \phi]$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) π is a degree-two coverings with n_1 branch points and branch locus D_π ;
- (b) f is a degree- d coverings with n_2 points of simple branching and two special points whose local monodromy has cycle type given by \underline{e} and \underline{q} , respectively;
- (c) the covering f has monodromy group S_d and $f(D_\pi) \cap D_f = \emptyset$ where D_f denotes the branch locus of f ;
- (d) $f \circ \pi$ is unramified in b_0 and $\phi : (f \circ \pi)^{-1}(b_0) \rightarrow \{-d, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, d\}$ is a bijection such that if $f^{-1}(b_0) = \{y_1, \dots, y_d\}$ then $\pi^{-1}(y_i) = \{\phi^{-1}(i), \phi^{-1}(-i)\}$ for each $i = 1, \dots, d$.

$H_{W(B_d), n_1, n_2, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}(Y, b_0)$ will denote the Hurwitz space that parametrizes equivalence classes of pairs $[f \circ \pi, \phi]$ satisfying conditions (a)–(d).

$H_{W(B_d), n_1, n_2, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}(Y)$ will denote the Hurwitz space that parametrizes equivalence classes of coverings $f \circ \pi$ satisfying conditions (a)–(c).

Definition 5. A $(n_1, n_2, \underline{e}, \underline{q})$ -Hurwitz system is a Hurwitz system with values in $\mathbb{Z}_2^d \times^s S_d, (t_1, \dots, t_{n_1+n_2+2}; \underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu})$, such that n_1 of $t_1, \dots, t_{n_1+n_2+2}$ are of the form $(1_*; \text{id}), n_2$ are of the form $(z_{hk}; (hk))$, one is a product of r disjoint positive cycles whose lengths are given by the elements of the partition \underline{e} , and one is a product of s disjoint positive cycles whose lengths are given by the elements of the partition \underline{q} .

Let $D = f(D_\pi) \cup D_f$ and let $m : \pi_1(Y - D, b_0) \rightarrow S_{2d}$ be the monodromy homomorphism associated to $[f \circ \pi, \phi]$. Let $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n_1+n_2+2}, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_g, \beta_g)$ be a standard generating system for $\pi_1(Y - D, b_0)$. The images under m of $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n_1+n_2+2}, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_g, \beta_g$ determine an $(n_1, n_2, \underline{e}, \underline{q})$ -Hurwitz system with monodromy group $W(B_d)$.

In the sequel we will denote by $A_{n_1, n_2, \underline{e}, \underline{q}, g}^o$ the set of all $(n_1, n_2, \underline{e}, \underline{q})$ -Hurwitz systems with monodromy group $W(B_d)$. When $g = 0$ we will write $A_{n_1, n_2, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}^o$ instead of $A_{n_1, n_2, \underline{e}, \underline{q}, g}^o$.

Let $\delta : H_{W(B_d),n_1,n_2,\underline{e},\underline{q}}(Y, b_0) \rightarrow (Y - b_0)^{(n_1+n_2+2)} - \Delta$ be the map that assigns to each pair $[f \circ \pi, \phi]$ the branch locus of $f \circ \pi$. By Riemann's existence theorem we can identify the fiber of δ over D with $A_{n_1,n_2,\underline{e},\underline{q},g}^o$. There is a unique topology on $H_{W(B_d),n_1,n_2,\underline{e},\underline{q}}(Y, b_0)$ such that δ is a topological covering map; see [Fulton 1969]. Therefore the braid group $\pi_1((Y - b_0)^{(n_1+n_2+2)} - \Delta, D)$ acts on $A_{n_1,n_2,\underline{e},\underline{q},g}^o$. If this action is transitive, $H_{W(B_d),n_1,n_2,\underline{e},\underline{q}}(Y, b_0)$ is connected and hence, since $H_{W(B_d),n_1,n_2,\underline{e},\underline{q}}(Y, b_0)$ is smooth, it is also irreducible.

Remark 6. The forgetful map $H_{W(B_d),n_1,n_2,\underline{e},\underline{q}}(Y, b_0) \rightarrow H_{W(B_d),n_1,n_2,\underline{e},\underline{q}}(Y)$ defined by $[f \circ \pi, \phi] \rightarrow [f \circ \pi]$ is a morphism, whose image is a dense subset of $H_{W(B_d),n_1,n_2,\underline{e},\underline{q}}(Y)$. This ensures that if $H_{W(B_d),n_1,n_2,\underline{e},\underline{q}}(Y, b_0)$ is irreducible also $H_{W(B_d),n_1,n_2,\underline{e},\underline{q}}(Y)$ is irreducible.

3. The results

We denote by ϵ the following element in S_d having cycle type \underline{e} :

$$(1) \quad (1 \ 2 \ \dots \ e_1)(e_1+1 \ \dots \ e_1+e_2) \cdots ((e_1+\dots+e_{r-1})+1 \ \dots \ d).$$

We denote by ν the following element in S_d having cycle type \underline{q} :

$$(2) \quad (1 \ d \ d-1 \ \dots \ d-q_1+2)(d-q_1+1 \ \dots \ d-(q_1+q_2)+2) \cdots (d-(q_1+\dots+q_{s-1})+1 \ \dots \ 2).$$

Lemma 7. *Let $(t_1, \dots, t_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_l)$ be a sequence of permutations in S_d where t_i and t_{i+1} are two equal transpositions of S_d . Then we can move to the right and to the left the pair (t_i, t_{i+1}) leaving unchanged the other permutations of the sequence.*

Proof. Applying the elementary moves $\sigma''_{i-1}, \sigma''_i$ we obtain

$$(t_{i-1}, t_i, t_{i+1}) \sim (t_i, t_i^{-1}t_{i-1}t_i, t_{i+1}) \sim (t_i, t_{i+1}, t_{i-1});$$

applying the moves σ'_{i+1}, σ'_i we have

$$(t_i, t_{i+1}, t_{i+2}) \sim (t_i, t_{i+1}t_{i+2}t_{i+1}^{-1}, t_{i+1}) \sim (t_{i+2}, t_i, t_{i+1}).$$

Hence using sequences of elementary moves of type either $\sigma''_{j-1}, \sigma''_j$ or σ'_{j+1}, σ'_j we can move respectively on the left and on the right the pair (t_i, t_{i+1}) , leaving unchanged the other permutations of the sequence. □

Lemma 8. *Let $(t_1, \dots, t_l, \tau, \tau)$ be a sequence of permutations of S_d , with τ a transposition. Let H be the subgroup of S_d generated by t_1, \dots, t_l . Then, for each $h \in H$, one has*

$$(t_1, \dots, t_l, \tau, \tau) \sim (t_1, \dots, t_l, h^{-1}\tau h, h^{-1}\tau h).$$

Proof. Let $h \in H$, then $h = h_1 h_2 \cdots h_k$ where h_i or h_i^{-1} , with $i = 1, \dots, k$, belonging to $\{t_1, \dots, t_l\}$. If h_1 is equal to t_j for some $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, we use Lemma 7 to bring the pair (τ, τ) to the left of t_j and then we act by the moves $\sigma''_{j+1}, \sigma''_j$ in order to replace (τ, τ, t_j) with $(t_j, t_j^{-1} \tau t_j, t_j^{-1} \tau t_j)$.

On the contrary, if h_1 is equal to t_j^{-1} for some $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, we use Lemma 7 to shift the pair (τ, τ) on the right of t_j and then we apply σ'_j, σ'_{j+1} . In this way we replace (t_j, τ, τ) with $(t_j \tau t_j^{-1}, t_j \tau t_j^{-1}, t_j)$.

For h_2 we reason as above but we bring the pair $(h_1^{-1} \tau h_1, h_1^{-1} \tau h_1)$ to the left or to the right of t_n depending on whether h_2 is equal to t_n or to t_n^{-1} .

Following this line for each h_i , with $i = 3, \dots, k$, we obtain the claim. \square

Proposition 9 [Vetro 2010, Proposition 2]. *Let $\underline{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_{n_2+2})$ be a Hurwitz system of permutations of S_d with monodromy group S_d such that one of t_1, \dots, t_{n_2+2} has cycle type \underline{e} , one has cycle type \underline{q} and the other n_2 permutations in t_1, \dots, t_{n_2+2} are transpositions. If $n_2 - s - r \geq d + 1$, \underline{t} is braid-equivalent to the Hurwitz system*

$$(\epsilon, \tilde{t}_2, \dots, \tilde{t}_{n_2+2-s}, \nu) \text{ if } s = 1,$$

$$(\epsilon, \tilde{t}_2, \dots, \tilde{t}_{n_2+2-s}, \nu, (1 \ d - q_1 + 1), \dots, (1 \ d - (q_1 + \dots + q_{s-1}) + 1)) \text{ if } s > 1,$$

where ϵ and ν are the permutations defined in (1) and (2), and where the sequence $(\tilde{t}_2, \dots, \tilde{t}_{n_2+2-s})$ is equal to

$$((1 \ 2), \dots, (1 \ 2)) \text{ if } r = 1,$$

$$((1 \ e_1 + 1), \dots, (1 \ (e_1 + \dots + e_{r-1}) + 1), (1 \ 2), \dots, (1 \ 2)) \text{ if } r > 1$$

with the transposition (1 2) appearing an even number of times.

Remark 10. Seeing that $d \geq 3$, the hypothesis $n_2 - s - r \geq d + 1$ ensures that in the sequence $(\tilde{t}_2, \dots, \tilde{t}_{n_2+2-s})$ there are more than 3 transpositions (12).

3.1. Irreducibility of $H_{W(B_d), n_1, n_2, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbf{b}_0)$ and $H_{W(B_d), n_1, n_2, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}(\mathbb{P}^1)$. We next show that, if $n_2 - s - r \geq d + 1$, the braid group $\pi_1((\mathbb{P}^1 - b_0)^{(n_1+n_2+2)} - \Delta, D)$ acts transitively on $A_{n_1, n_2, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}^o$. To prove this we show that each $(n_1, n_2, \underline{e}, \underline{q})$ -Hurwitz system in $A_{n_1, n_2, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}^o$ is braid-equivalent to a given normal form.

Proposition 11. *If $n_2 - s - r \geq d + 1$, each Hurwitz system in $A_{n_1, n_2, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}^o$ is braid-equivalent to a Hurwitz system of the form*

$$(\tilde{t}_1, \dots, \tilde{t}_{n_2+2-s}, (0; \nu), (\bar{1}_1; \text{id}), \dots, (\bar{1}_1; \text{id})) \text{ if } s = 1,$$

$$(\tilde{t}_1, \dots, \tilde{t}_{n_2+2-s}, (0; \nu), (0; (1 \ d - q_1 + 1)), \dots, (0; (1 \ d - \sum_{h=1}^{s-1} q_h + 1))),$$

$$(\bar{1}_1; \text{id}), \dots, (\bar{1}_1; \text{id}) \text{ if } s > 1,$$

where $(\bar{1}_1; \text{id})$ appears n_1 times and where $(\tilde{t}_1, \dots, \tilde{t}_{n_2+2-s})$ is the sequence

$$((0; \epsilon), (0; (1 \ 2)), \dots, (0; (1 \ 2))) \text{ if } r = 1,$$

$((0; \epsilon), (0; (1e_1+1)), \dots, (0; (1 \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} e_i + 1)), (0; (12)), \dots, (0; (12)))$ if $r > 1$,

with $(0; (1\ 2))$ appearing an even number of times.

Proof. Step 1. Let $\underline{t} \in A_{n_1, n_2, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}^o$. We prove first that \underline{t} is braid-equivalent to a Hurwitz system of either the form

$$(\dots, (0; \nu), (\bar{1}_1; \text{id}), \dots, (\bar{1}_1; \text{id}))$$

or the form

$$(\dots, (0; \nu), (0; (1\ d - q_1 + 1)), \dots, (0; (1\ d - \sum_{h=1}^{s-1} q_h + 1)), (\bar{1}_1; \text{id}), \dots, (\bar{1}_1; \text{id})),$$

depending on whether $s = 1$ or $s > 1$, where $(\bar{1}_1; \text{id})$ appears n_1 times.

Acting by elementary moves σ'_j we shift on the right the elements of the form $(\bar{1}_*; \text{id})$ obtaining that \underline{t} is braid-equivalent to

$$(\hat{t}_1, \dots, \hat{t}_{n_2+2}, (\bar{1}_h; \text{id}), \dots, (\bar{1}_k; \text{id})),$$

where $\hat{t}_i = (*; t'_i)$. We notice that $(t'_1, \dots, t'_{n_2+2})$ is a Hurwitz system of permutations of S_d with monodromy group S_d such that one of t'_1, \dots, t'_{n_2+2} has cycle type given by \underline{e} , one has cycle type given by \underline{q} and the other n_2 permutations are transpositions. Since $n_2 - s - r \geq d + 1$, by Proposition 9, the system $(t'_1, \dots, t'_{n_2+2})$ is braid-equivalent to either

$$(\epsilon, \dots, (1\ 2), \dots, (1\ 2), (1\ 2), (1\ 2), \nu)$$

or

$$(\epsilon, \dots, (1\ 2), \dots, (1\ 2), (1\ 2), (1\ 2), \nu, (1\ d - q_1 + 1), \dots, (1\ d - \sum_{h=1}^{s-1} q_h + 1))$$

depending on whether $s = 1$ or $s > 1$.

We notice that from

$$\epsilon \cdots (1\ 2) \cdots (1\ 2)(1\ 2)(1\ 2) = (1\ 2 \dots d)$$

it follows that the group generated by the permutations $\epsilon, \dots, (1\ 2)$ is all of S_d . Hence, by Lemma 8, the sequence $(\epsilon, \dots, (1\ 2), \dots, (1\ 2), (1\ 2), (1\ 2))$ is braid-equivalent to a sequence of the form $(\epsilon, \dots, (1\ 2), \dots, (1\ 2), \tau, \tau)$, where τ is an arbitrary transposition of S_d .

This ensures that \underline{t} is braid-equivalent to a system of type either

$$(\bar{t}_1, \dots, \bar{t}_{n_2+2-s}, (b; \nu), (\bar{1}_h; \text{id}), \dots)$$

or

$$(\bar{t}_1, \dots, \bar{t}_{n_2+2-s}, (b; \nu), (z_{1d-q_1+1}^1; (1d - q_1 + 1)), \dots, (z_{1d-\sum_{h=1}^{s-1} q_h+1}^{s-1}; (1d - \sum_{h=1}^{s-1} q_h + 1)), (\bar{I}_h; \text{id}), \dots),$$

depending on whether $s = 1$ or $s > 1$, where $\bar{t}_i = (*; t_i'')$ and

$$(t_1'', \dots, t_{n_2+2-s}'') = (\epsilon, \dots, (12), \dots, (12), \tau, \tau).$$

Furthermore we can affirm that our system is braid-equivalent to either

$$(\bar{t}_1, \dots, \check{t}_{n_2+2-s}, (\bar{I}_u; \text{id}), (b; \nu), (\bar{I}_*; \text{id}), \dots)$$

or

$$(\bar{t}_1, \dots, \check{t}_{n_2+2-s}, (\bar{I}_u; \text{id}), (b; \nu), \dots, (z_{1d-\sum_{h=1}^{s-1} q_h+1}^{s-1}; (1d - \sum_{h=1}^{s-1} q_h + 1)), (\bar{I}_*; \text{id}), \dots),$$

depending on whether $s = 1$ or $s > 1$, where u is an arbitrary index in $\{1, \dots, d\}$ and $\check{t}_{n_2+2-s} = (\star'; \tau)$.

In fact, acting by elementary moves of the form σ_j' we can bring to the left of $(b; \nu)$ one element of type $(\bar{I}_*; \text{id})$. We choose $\tau = (u *)$ and then we act by σ_{n_2+2-s}' two times to replace $((\star'; \tau), (\bar{I}_*; \text{id}))$ by $((\star'; \tau), (\bar{I}_u; \text{id}))$.

Now we analyze separately the cases $s = 1$ and $s > 1$.

Case $s = 1$. Let i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l be the indexes that b sends to \bar{I} . We suppose that $i_1 > i_2 > \dots > i_{l-1} > i_l$. Since our system is braid-equivalent to

$$(\bar{t}_1, \dots, \bar{t}_{n_2}, \check{t}_{n_2+1}, (\bar{I}_{i_l}; \text{id}), (b; \nu), (\bar{I}_*; \text{id}), \dots),$$

acting two times by the move σ_{n_2+2}' we can replace the pair $((\bar{I}_{i_l}; \text{id}), (b; \nu))$, with $((\bar{I}_{i_l+1}; \text{id}), (\hat{b}; \nu))$ where \hat{b} is a function that sends to \bar{I} the indexes $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{l-1}, i_l + 1$, where $i_l + 1$ is the index that precedes i_l in ν . Observe that if there are h indexes among i_{l-1} and i_l , it is sufficient to use the move σ_{n_2+2}' another $2h$ times, to replace the pair $((\bar{I}_{i_l+1}; \text{id}), (\hat{b}; \nu))$ with $((\bar{I}_{i_{l-1}}; \text{id}), (\check{b}; \nu))$ where \check{b} is a function that sends to \bar{I} the indexes i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{l-2} .

Since b is a function that sends to \bar{I} an even number of indexes (see Definition 1), following this line we can replace the pair $((\bar{I}_*; \text{id}), (\check{b}; \nu))$ with $((\bar{I}_*; \text{id}), (0; \nu))$. Now, we use σ_{n_2+2}'' to shift $(0; \nu)$ to the place $n_2 + 2$.

We notice that if all the elements of the form $(\bar{I}_*; \text{id})$ in our system are equal to $(\bar{I}_1; \text{id})$ we have the claim. Otherwise we place the elements $(\bar{I}_1; \text{id})$ to the last places and then we act by σ_{n_2+2}' to bring one element of type $(\bar{I}_*; \text{id})$ to the left of

$(0; \nu)$. By Lemma 8 and by using σ'_{n_2+1} two times, we can replace our system by a system of type

$$((\ast; \epsilon), \dots, (\ast; (1\ 2)), (\ast; \tau'), (\ast; \tau'), (\bar{1}_2; \text{id}), (0; \nu), (\bar{1}_\ast; \text{id}), \dots).$$

Thus, acting by the elementary move σ''_{n_2+2} , we can replace the pair $((\bar{1}_2; \text{id}), (0; \nu))$ with $((0; \nu), (\bar{1}_1; \text{id}))$. Now, acting with elementary moves of type σ'_j , we bring $(\bar{1}_1; \text{id})$ next to the other elements $(\bar{1}_\ast; \text{id})$.

Reasoning in this way for each $(\bar{1}_\ast; \text{id})$ such that $\ast \neq 1$ we obtain the claim.

Case $s > 1$. Our system is braid-equivalent to a system of the form

$$\begin{aligned} (\dots, \check{t}_{n_2+1-s}, \check{t}_{n_2+2-s}, (\bar{1}_1; \text{id}), (b; \nu), (z_1^1{}_{d-q_1+1}; (1\ d - q_1 + 1)), \dots, \\ (z_1^{s-1}{}_{d-\sum_{h=1}^{s-1} q_h+1}; (1\ d - \sum_{h=1}^{s-1} q_h + 1)), (\bar{1}_\ast; \text{id}), \dots), \end{aligned}$$

so if $z^{s-1} = \bar{1}$ we can use the moves $\sigma'_{n_2+3-s}, \sigma'_{n_2+4-s}, \dots, \sigma'_{n_2+1}, \sigma'_{n_2+2}$ in order to replace it by

$$\begin{aligned} (\dots, \check{t}_{n_2+2-s}, (b'; \nu), (\hat{z}_1^1{}_{d-q_1+1}; (1\ d - q_1 + 1)), \dots, \\ (0; (1\ d - \sum_{h=1}^{s-1} q_h + 1)), (\bar{1}_1; \text{id}), \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Since this system is braid-equivalent to a system of type

$$\begin{aligned} ((\ast; \epsilon), \dots, (\ast; (1\ 2)), (\ast; \tau'), (\ast; \tau'), (\bar{1}_1; \text{id}), (b'; \nu), \\ (\hat{z}_1^1{}_{d-q_1+1}; (1\ d - q_1 + 1)), \dots, (0; (1\ d - \sum_{h=1}^{s-1} q_h + 1)), \dots), \end{aligned}$$

we can reason as above for all the elements

$$(\ast; (1\ d - q_1 + 1)), \quad \dots, \quad (\ast; (1\ d - \sum_{h=1}^{s-2} q_h + 1))$$

such that \ast is a function different from 0. In this way, after at most $s - 2$ steps, we transform our system into

$$(\dots, (\bar{1}_1; \text{id}), (\hat{b}; \nu), (0; (1\ d - q_1 + 1)), \dots, (0; (1\ d - \sum_{h=1}^{s-1} q_h + 1)), \dots).$$

Now if $\hat{b} \neq 0$, it is sufficient to proceed as in the case $s = 1$ in order to obtain the system

$$\begin{aligned} ((\ast; \epsilon), \dots, (\ast; (1\ 2)), (\ast; \tau), (\ast; \tau), (\bar{1}_\ast; \text{id}), (0; \nu), \\ (0; (1\ d - q_1 + 1)), \dots, (0; (1\ d - \sum_{h=1}^{s-1} q_h + 1)), \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Using elementary moves σ'_j , we move to the left of $(0; \nu)$ all the elements of type $(\bar{1}_*; \text{id})$, so we replace our system with

$$(\dots, (*; \tau), (*; \tau), (\bar{1}_{h_1}; \text{id}), \dots, (\bar{1}_{h_{n_1}}; \text{id}), (0; \nu), (0; (1\ d - q_1 + 1)), \dots, (0; (1\ d - \sum_{h=1}^{s-1} q_h + 1))).$$

By Lemma 8 we can choose $\tau = (1\ h_1)$. We apply σ'_{n_2+2-s} two times in order to replace $(\bar{1}_{h_1}; \text{id})$ with $(\bar{1}_1; \text{id})$. Now we use elementary moves σ'_j to bring $(\bar{1}_1; \text{id})$ next to $(0; \nu)$. We repeat this reasoning for all $(\bar{1}_{h_i}; \text{id})$ such that $h_i \neq 1$. Since by the Hurwitz formula n_1 is even, we obtain the claim using the sequence of moves $\sigma'_{n_2+n_1+2-s}, \sigma'_{n_2+n_1+1-s}, \dots, \sigma'_{n_2+3-s}, \sigma'_{n_2+n_1+3-s}, \sigma'_{n_2+n_1+2-s}, \dots, \sigma'_{n_2+4-s}, \dots, \sigma'_{n_2+n_1+1}, \dots, \sigma'_{n_2+2}$.

Step 2. By Step 1 and by Lemma 8, \underline{t} is braid-equivalent to either

$$((a; \epsilon), (z_{12}^1; (1\ 2)), \dots, (z_{12}^l; (1\ 2)), (0; \nu), \dots, (\bar{1}_1; \text{id}))$$

or

$$((a; \epsilon), (v_{1e_1+1}^1; (1e_1 + 1)), \dots, (v_{1\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} e_i+1}^{r-1}; (1\ \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} e_i + 1)), (z_{12}^1; (1\ 2)), \dots, (z_{12}^l; (1\ 2)), (0; \nu), \dots, (\bar{1}_1; \text{id})),$$

depending on whether $r = 1$ or $r > 1$. We analyze separately the two cases.

Case $r = 1$. From

$$(a; \epsilon)(z_{12}^1; (1\ 2)) \cdots (z_{12}^l; (1\ 2))(0; \nu) \cdots (\bar{1}_1; \text{id}) = (0; \text{id})$$

it follows that

$$a + z_{1d}^1 + \cdots + z_{1d}^l + \bar{1}_1 + \cdots + \bar{1}_1 = 0.$$

Since in our system there are n_1 elements of type $(\bar{1}_1; \text{id})$ and n_1 is even, by the Hurwitz formula we can affirm that a is either 0 or $\bar{1}_{1d}$ depending on whether the number of z^i equal to $\bar{1}$ is even or odd. Acting by moves of type σ'_j we move the elements of the form $(0; (1\ 2))$ to the left of $(0; \nu)$. Successively, acting by sequences of moves of type $\sigma''_j, \sigma''_{j+1}$, we shift a pair of type $((\bar{1}_1; \text{id}), (\bar{1}_1; \text{id}))$ to the right of the elements $(\bar{1}_{12}; (1\ 2))$.

If the function a is equal to 0 and the elements of type $(\bar{1}_{12}; (1\ 2))$ are in the places $r + 1, \dots, h$, it is sufficient to use the sequence of moves $\sigma''_h, \sigma''_{h-1}, \dots, \sigma''_{r+1}, \sigma''_{r+1}, \dots, \sigma''_h$ to obtain the system

$$((0; \epsilon), (0; (1\ 2)), \dots, (0; (1\ 2)), (\bar{1}_1; \text{id}), (\bar{1}_1; \text{id}), (0; (1\ 2)), \dots, (0; (1\ 2)), (0; \nu), \dots).$$

The claim follows by using the sequence of moves $\sigma'_{h+2}, \sigma'_{h+1}, \dots, \sigma'_{n_2+3}, \sigma'_{n_2+2}$.

On the contrary, if $a = \bar{1}_{1d}$ and the elements of type $(\bar{1}_{12}; (1\ 2))$ are in the places $r+1, \dots, h$, we use the sequence of moves $\sigma''_h, \sigma''_{h-1}, \dots, \sigma''_{r+2}, \sigma'_{r+1}$ to bring our system to the form

$$((\bar{1}_{1d}; \epsilon), (\bar{1}_2; \text{id}), (\bar{1}_{12}; (1\ 2)), (0; (1\ 2)), \dots, (0; (1\ 2)), (\bar{1}_1; \text{id}), (0; (1\ 2)), \dots, (0; \nu), \dots).$$

We use σ'_1 to replace the pair $((\bar{1}_{1d}; \epsilon), (\bar{1}_2; \text{id}))$ with $((\bar{1}_1; \text{id}), (\bar{1}_{1d}; \epsilon))$ and then we apply the moves σ'_1, σ'_2 to replace $((\bar{1}_1; \text{id}), (\bar{1}_{1d}; \epsilon), (\bar{1}_{12}; (1\ 2)))$ by

$$((0; \epsilon), (0; (1\ 2)), (\bar{1}_1; \text{id})).$$

Now we obtain the claim acting by the sequence of elementary moves $\sigma''_{r+2}, \sigma''_{r+3}, \dots, \sigma''_h, \sigma'_{h+2}, \sigma'_{h+1}, \dots, \sigma'_{n_2+3}, \sigma'_{n_2+2}$.

Case $r > 1$. Seeing that

$$(a; \epsilon)(v^1_{1e_1+1}; (1e_1 + 1)) \cdots (z^1_{12}; (1\ 2)) \cdots (0; \nu) \cdots (\bar{1}_1; \text{id}) = (0; \text{id}),$$

one has

$$a + v^1_{e_1(e_1+e_2)} + v^2_{(e_1+e_2)(e_1+e_2+e_3)} + \cdots + v^{r-1}_{(e_1+\dots+e_{r-1})d} + z^1_{1d} + \cdots + \bar{1}_1 + \cdots + \bar{1}_1 = 0.$$

Since a is a function that sends to $\bar{1}$ at most an even number of indexes moved by every disjoint cycle of which is product ϵ , the equality above ensures that a is either 0 or $\bar{1}_{1e_1}$.

If $a = 0$, we have $v^1 = v^2 = \cdots = v^{r-1} = 0$. Furthermore there is an even number of z^i equal to $\bar{1}$. So in order to obtain the claim, it is sufficient to act as in the case $r = 1$ and $a = 0$.

On the contrary, if $a = \bar{1}_{1e_1}$ we have $v^1 = v^2 = \cdots = v^{r-1} = \bar{1}$; furthermore, there is an odd number of z^i equal to $\bar{1}$. Then we act as in the case $r = 1$ and $a = \bar{1}_{1d}$ to replace our system with the braid-equivalent system

$$((\bar{1}_{1e_1}; \epsilon), \dots, (\bar{1}_{1\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} e_i+1}; (1\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} e_i + 1)), (\bar{1}_2; \text{id}), (\bar{1}_{12}; (1\ 2)), (0; (1\ 2)), \dots, (0; (1\ 2)), (\bar{1}_1; \text{id}), (0; (1\ 2)), \dots, (0; \nu), \dots).$$

Using the moves $\sigma'_r, \sigma'_{r-1}, \dots, \sigma'_2, \sigma'_1$ we transform the sequence

$$((\bar{1}_{1e_1}; \epsilon), \dots, (\bar{1}_{1\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} e_i+1}; (1\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} e_i + 1)), (\bar{1}_2; \text{id}), (\bar{1}_{12}; (1\ 2)))$$

into

$$((\bar{1}_1; \text{id}), (\bar{1}_{1e_1}; \epsilon), \dots, (\bar{1}_{1\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} e_i+1}; (1\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} e_i + 1)), (\bar{1}_{12}; (1\ 2))).$$

Now in order to obtain the claim it is sufficient to act by the sequence of moves $\sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma'_r, \sigma'_{r+1}, \sigma''_{r+2}, \dots, \sigma''_h, \sigma'_{h+2}, \sigma'_{h+1}, \dots, \sigma'_{n_2+3}, \sigma'_{n_2+2}$. \square

The following result is a direct consequence of Proposition 11.

Theorem 12. *If $n_2 - s - r \geq d + 1$, the Hurwitz space $H_{W(B_d), n_1, n_2, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}(\mathbb{P}^1, b_0)$ is irreducible.*

Combining Theorem 12 and Remark 6, we derive the following result.

Corollary 13. *If $n_2 - s - r \geq d + 1$, the Hurwitz space $H_{W(B_d), n_1, n_2, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is irreducible.*

3.2. Irreducibility of $H_{W(B_d), n_1, n_2, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}(Y, b_0)$ and $H_{W(B_d), n_1, n_2, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}(Y)$. Let Y be a smooth, connected, projective complex curve of genus $g \geq 1$.

Theorem 14. *If $n_2 - s - r \geq d + 1$, the Hurwitz space $H_{W(B_d), n_1, n_2, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}(Y, b_0)$ is irreducible.*

Proof. To prove the irreducibility of $H_{W(B_d), n_1, n_2, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}(Y, b_0)$ it is sufficient to show that each $(n_1, n_2, \underline{e}, \underline{q})$ -Hurwitz system in $A_{n_1, n_2, \underline{e}, \underline{q}, g}^o$ is braid-equivalent to a system of the form

$$(\hat{t}; (0; \text{id}), \dots, (0; \text{id})).$$

In fact, $\hat{t} \in A_{n_1, n_2, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}^o$ and so the theorem follows by Proposition 11.

Let $(\underline{t}; \underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu}) \in A_{n_1, n_2, \underline{e}, \underline{q}, g}^o$. Acting by elementary moves of type σ'_j we shift to the right the elements of the form $(\bar{1}_*; \text{id})$ transforming our system into

$$(\tilde{t}_1, \dots, \tilde{t}_{n_2+2}, (\bar{1}_*; \text{id}), \dots, (\bar{1}_*; \text{id}); \lambda_1, \mu_1, \dots, \lambda_g, \mu_g),$$

where $\tilde{t}_i = (*; t'_i)$, $\lambda_k = (*; \lambda'_k)$ and $\mu_k = (*; \mu'_k)$.

We notice that $(t'_1, \dots, t'_{n_2+2}; \lambda'_1, \mu'_1, \dots, \lambda'_g, \mu'_g)$ is the Hurwitz system of a covering of Y of degree $d \geq 3$, with monodromy group S_d and with $n_2 + 2$ branch points, n_2 of which are points of simple branching, one is a special point whose local monodromy is given by \underline{e} and one is a special point whose local monodromy is given by \underline{q} .

Since $n_2 - s - r \geq d + 1$, the Hurwitz space $H_{d, n_2, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}^o(Y, b_0)$ is irreducible (see [Vetro 2010], Theorem 2) and then the Hurwitz system

$$(t'_1, \dots, t'_{n_2+2}; \lambda'_1, \mu'_1, \dots, \lambda'_g, \mu'_g)$$

is braid-equivalent to one of the form

$$(t''_1, \dots, t''_{n_2+2}; \text{id}, \text{id}, \dots, \text{id}, \text{id}).$$

Hence it follows that $(\underline{t}; \underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu})$ is braid-equivalent to a system of type

$$(\bar{t}_1, \dots, \bar{t}_{n_2+2}, (\bar{1}_*; \text{id}), \dots; (a_1; \text{id}), (b_1; \text{id}), \dots, (a_g; \text{id}), (b_g; \text{id})).$$

We notice that if $a_h = 0$ and $b_k = 0$ for each $1 \leq h, k \leq g$ the theorem follows by Proposition 11. So let $a_1 \neq 0$ and i be one of the indexes that a_1 sends to $\bar{1}$.

Since it is not restrictive to suppose that among the element of type $(\bar{1}_*; \text{id})$ in our system there is $(\bar{1}_i; \text{id})$ (see Step 1, Proposition 11), acting by elementary moves of type σ_j'' we can transform our system into

$$((\bar{1}_i; \text{id}), \dots; (a_1; \text{id}), (b_1; \text{id}), \dots, (a_g; \text{id}), (b_g; \text{id})).$$

Now we use the move τ_{11}'' to replace $(a_1; \text{id})$ with $(\bar{1}_i; \text{id})(a_1; \text{id})$, where $\bar{1}_i + a_1$ is a function that sends i to $\bar{0}$.

So reasoning for all the indexes that a_1 sends to $\bar{1}$, after a finite number of steps, we obtain a new Hurwitz system with $(0; \text{id})$ at the place $(n_2 + n_1 + 3)$.

On the contrary, if $a_1 = 0$, $b_1 \neq 0$ and b_1 sends i to $\bar{1}$, we at first use elementary moves of type σ_j'' to bring to the first place $(\bar{1}_i; \text{id})$ and then we act by the braid move ρ'_{11} in order to transform $(b_1; \text{id})$ into $(\bar{1}_i; \text{id})(b_1; \text{id})$ where the function $\bar{1}_i + b_1$ sends i to $\bar{0}$. Following this line for all the indexes that b_1 sent to $\bar{1}$, we can replace $(\bar{1}_i + b_1; \text{id})$ by $(0; \text{id})$.

We notice that if $a_k \neq 0$ and $a_l = b_l = 0$, for each $l \leq k - 1$, in order to obtain the claim one can reason in the same way but this time applying the braid move τ'_{1k} . Analogously if $b_k \neq 0$, $a_l = b_l = 0$, for each $l \leq k - 1$, and $a_k = 0$ one can apply the braid move ρ'_{1k} to transform $(b_k; \text{id})$ into $(0; \text{id})$. \square

From Theorem 14 and Remark 6 we deduce the following result.

Corollary 15. *If $n_2 - s - r \geq d + 1$, the Hurwitz space $H_{W(B_d), n_1, n_2, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}(Y)$ is irreducible.*

References

- [Berstein and Edmonds 1984] I. Berstein and A. L. Edmonds, "On the classification of generic branched coverings of surfaces", *Illinois J. Math.* **28**:1 (1984), 64–82. MR 85k:57004 Zbl 0551.57001
- [Biggers and Fried 1986] R. Biggers and M. Fried, "Irreducibility of moduli spaces of cyclic unramified covers of genus g curves", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **295**:1 (1986), 59–70. MR 87f:14011 Zbl 0601.14022
- [Birman 1969] J. S. Birman, "On braid groups", *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **22**:1 (1969), 41–72. MR 38 #2764 Zbl 0157.30904
- [Bourbaki 1968] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie, IV–VI*, Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles **1337**, Hermann, Paris, 1968. MR 39 #1590 Zbl 0186.33001
- [Carter 1972] R. W. Carter, "Conjugacy classes in the Weyl group", *Compositio Math.* **25**:1 (1972), 1–59. MR 47 #6884 Zbl 0254.17005
- [Fadell and Neuwirth 1962] E. Fadell and L. Neuwirth, "Configuration spaces", *Math. Scand.* **10** (1962), 111–118. MR 25 #4537 Zbl 0136.44104
- [Fulton 1969] W. Fulton, "Hurwitz schemes and irreducibility of moduli of algebraic curves", *Ann. of Math. (2)* **90**:3 (1969), 542–575. MR 41 #5375 Zbl 0194.21901
- [Graber et al. 2002] T. Graber, J. Harris, and J. Starr, "A note on Hurwitz schemes of covers of a positive genus curve", preprint, 2002. arXiv math.AG/0205056

- [Hurwitz 1891] A. Hurwitz, “Ueber Riemann’sche Flächen mit gegebenen Verzweigungspunkten”, *Math. Ann.* **39**:1 (1891), 1–60. MR 1510692 Zbl 23.0429.01
- [Kanev 2004] V. Kanev, “Irreducibility of Hurwitz spaces”, preprint 241, Dipartimento di Matematica ed Applicazioni, Università degli Studi di Palermo, 2004. arXiv AG/0509154
- [Kanev 2006] V. Kanev, “Hurwitz spaces of Galois coverings of \mathbb{P}^1 , whose Galois groups are Weyl groups”, *J. Algebra* **305**:1 (2006), 442–456. MR 2007g:14032 Zbl 1118.14034
- [Kluitmann 1988] P. Kluitmann, “Hurwitz action and finite quotients of braid groups”, pp. 299–325 in *Braids* (Santa Cruz, CA, 1986), edited by J. S. Birman and A. Libgober, Contemp. Math. **78**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988. MR 90d:20071 Zbl 0701.20019
- [Natanzon 1991] S. M. Natanzon, “Topology of 2-dimensional coverings and meromorphic functions on real and complex algebraic curves”, *Tr. Semin. Vektorn. Tenzorn. Anal.* **23–24** (1991), 79–132. In Russian; translated in *Selecta Math. Soviet.* **12**:3 (1993), 251–291. MR 95f:57005 Zbl 0801.30034
- [Scott 1970] G. P. Scott, “Braid groups and the group of homeomorphisms of a surface”, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **68**:3 (1970), 605–617. MR 42 #3786 Zbl 0203.56302
- [Vetro 2006] F. Vetro, “Irreducibility of Hurwitz spaces of coverings with one special fiber”, *Indag. Math. (N.S.)* **17**:1 (2006), 115–127. MR 2008j:14054 Zbl 1101.14040
- [Vetro 2007] F. Vetro, “Irreducibility of Hurwitz spaces of coverings with monodromy groups Weyl groups of type $W(B_d)$ ”, *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez. B Artic. Ric. Mat. (8)* **10**:2 (2007), 405–431. MR 2008f:14043 Zbl 1178.14029
- [Vetro 2008a] F. Vetro, “Connected components of Hurwitz spaces of coverings with one special fiber and monodromy groups contained in a Weyl group of type B_d ”, *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. (9)* **1**:1 (2008), 87–103. MR 2009b:57004 Zbl 1200.14053
- [Vetro 2008b] F. Vetro, “Irreducibility of Hurwitz spaces of coverings with one special fiber and monodromy group a Weyl group of type D_d ”, *Manuscripta Math.* **125**:3 (2008), 353–368. MR 2008j:14055 Zbl 1139.14023
- [Vetro 2009] F. Vetro, “On Hurwitz spaces of coverings with one special fiber”, *Pacific J. Math.* **240**:2 (2009), 383–398. MR 2010k:14045 Zbl 1198.14026
- [Vetro 2010] F. Vetro, “On irreducibility of Hurwitz spaces of coverings with two special fibers”, 2010. To appear in *Georgian Math. J.*
- [Wajnryb 1996] B. Wajnryb, “Orbits of Hurwitz action for coverings of a sphere with two special fibers”, *Indag. Math. (N.S.)* **7**:4 (1996), 549–558. MR 99c:14040 Zbl 0881.57001

Received February 1, 2011.

FRANCESCA VETRO
DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA
UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PALERMO
VIA ARCHIRAFI, 34
90123 PALERMO
ITALY
fvetro@math.unipa.it

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

<http://pacificmath.org>

Founded in 1951 by
E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

EDITORS

V. S. Varadarajan (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
pacific@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Darren Long
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
long@math.ucsb.edu

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-2125
finn@math.stanford.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Alexander Merkurjev
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
merkurev@math.ucla.edu

Jonathan Rogawski
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
jonr@math.ucla.edu

PRODUCTION

pacific@math.berkeley.edu

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Matthew Cargo, Senior Production Editor

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or pacificmath.org for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2012 is US \$420/year for the electronic version, and \$485/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. Prior back issues are obtainable from Periodicals Service Company, 11 Main Street, Germantown, NY 12526-5635. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and the Science Citation Index.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 969 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published monthly except July and August. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW™ from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

at the University of California, Berkeley 94720-3840

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L^AT_EX

Copyright ©2012 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 255 No. 1 January 2012

Averaging sequences	1
FERNANDO ALCALDE CUESTA and ANA RECHTMAN	
Affine group schemes over symmetric monoidal categories	25
ABHISHEK BANERJEE	
Eigenvalue estimates on domains in complete noncompact Riemannian manifolds	41
DAGUANG CHEN, TAO ZHENG and MIN LU	
Realizing the local Weil representation over a number field	55
GERALD CLIFF and DAVID MCNEILLY	
Lagrangian submanifolds in complex projective space with parallel second fundamental form	79
FRANKI DILLEN, HAIZHONG LI, LUC VRANCKEN and XIANFENG WANG	
Ultra-discretization of the $D_4^{(3)}$ -geometric crystal to the $G_2^{(1)}$ -perfect crystals	117
MANA IGARASHI, KAILASH C. MISRA and TOSHIKI NAKASHIMA	
Connectivity properties for actions on locally finite trees	143
KEITH JONES	
Remarks on the curvature behavior at the first singular time of the Ricci flow	155
NAM Q. LE and NATASA SESUM	
Stability of capillary surfaces with planar boundary in the absence of gravity	177
PETKO I. MARINOV	
Small hyperbolic polyhedra	191
SHAWN RAFALSKI	
Hurwitz spaces of coverings with two special fibers and monodromy group a Weyl group of type B_d	241
FRANCESCA VETRO	



0030-8730(201201)255:1;1-D