

*Pacific  
Journal of  
Mathematics*

ANALOGUES OF LEVEL- $N$  EISENSTEIN SERIES

HIROFUMI TSUMURA

Volume 255 No. 2

February 2012

## ANALOGUES OF LEVEL- $N$ EISENSTEIN SERIES

HIROFUMI TSUMURA

**We consider certain analogues of level- $N$  Eisenstein series involving hyperbolic functions. By developing the method used in our previous work, we prove some relation formulas for these series at positive integers which include our previous results corresponding to the cases of level 1 and 2. Furthermore, using these results, we evaluate certain two-variable analogues of level- $N$  Eisenstein series.**

### 1. Introduction

In [Tsumura 2008], we considered an analogue of the Eisenstein series defined by

$$(1-1) \quad \mathcal{G}_k(i) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{(-1)^n}{\sinh(m\pi)(m+ni)^k}$$

$$(1-2) \quad = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\sinh((m+ni)\pi)(m+ni)^k} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

where  $i = \sqrt{-1}$  and  $\sinh x = (e^x - e^{-x})/2$ . We evaluated  $\mathcal{G}_{2p-1}(i)$  ( $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ) in terms of  $\pi$  and the lemniscate constant  $\varpi$  defined by

$$\varpi = 2 \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^4}} = \frac{\Gamma(1/4)^2}{2\sqrt{2}\pi} = 2.6220575542921 \dots$$

More precisely we gave

$$(1-3) \quad \mathcal{G}_{2p-1}(i) = \frac{2(-1)^p}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^p (1-2^{1-2p+2j}) \zeta(2p-2j) ((-1)^j G_{2j}(i) - 2\zeta(2j)),$$

where  $\zeta(s)$  is Riemann's zeta function and  $G_{2j}(\tau)$  is the ordinary Eisenstein series defined by

$$(1-4) \quad G_{2j}(\tau) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ (m,n) \neq (0,0)}} \frac{1}{(m+n\tau)^{2j}}$$

---

*MSC2010:* primary 11M41; secondary 11M99.

*Keywords:* Eisenstein series, Riemann zeta function, hyperbolic functions.

for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\text{Im } \tau > 0$ . Note that  $G_2(\tau)$  is conditionally convergent with respect to the order of summation as above. We can view (1-3) as a double series analogue of the following formula given by Cauchy [1889] and Mellin [1902]:

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{(-1)^m}{\sinh(m\pi)m^{4k-1}} = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{2k} (1 - 2^{1-4k+2j}) \zeta(4k-2j) (-1)^j (2^{1-2j} - 1) \zeta(2j),$$

and similar formulas for the Dirichlet series involving hyperbolic functions; see, for example, [Berndt 1977; 1978; Meyer 2000].

As another type analogue of  $G_{2j}(i)$ , we considered

$$(1-5) \quad \mathcal{E}_l^{(r)}(i) = \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z} \\ m \neq 0}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{(\coth(m\pi))^r}{(m+ni)^l} \quad (l \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 3}, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}),$$

where  $\coth x = (e^x + e^{-x}) / (e^x - e^{-x})$ , and evaluated them in the case  $l \equiv r \pmod{2}$ ; see [Tsumura 2009].

In [Komori et al. 2010], using a method completely different from the one in [Tsumura 2008; 2009], Komori, Matsumoto and the author evaluated

$$(1-6) \quad \mathcal{G}_k^{(r)}(\tau) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\sinh((m+n\tau)\pi i/\tau)^r (m+n\tau)^k} \quad (r, k \in \mathbb{N})$$

(and more generalized double series) for any  $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\text{Im } \tau > 0$ .

In [Tsumura 2010] we considered analogues of level-2 Eisenstein series such as

$$(1-7) \quad \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\sinh((2m+1+(2n+1)i)\pi/2)(2m+1+(2n+1)i)^k},$$

$$(1-8) \quad \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\cosh((2m+1+(2n+1)i)\pi/2)(2m+1+(2n+1)i)^k},$$

$$(1-9) \quad \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\tanh((2m+1+(2n+1)i)\pi/2)}{(2m+1+(2n+1)i)^l},$$

$$(1-10) \quad \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\coth((2m+1+(2n+1)i)\pi/2)}{(2m+1+(2n+1)i)^l}$$

for  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $l \geq 3$ , and evaluated them in terms of  $\pi$  and  $\varpi$ . Note that the level- $N$  Eisenstein series is defined by

$$(1-11) \quad G_k(\tau; \underline{a} \pmod{n}) = \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z} \\ m \equiv a_1 \pmod{N}}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n \equiv a_2 \pmod{N} \\ (m,n) \neq (0,0)}} \frac{1}{(m+n\tau)^k}$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$  and  $\underline{a} = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  with  $0 \leq a_1, a_2 < N$ , which was studied by Hecke [Hecke 1937, Section 1] (see also, for example, [Koblitz 1993, Chapter III]).

In this paper, by developing the method used in [Tsumura 2008; 2009; 2010], we consider analogues of level- $N$  Eisenstein series involving hyperbolic functions, namely

$$(1-12) \quad \mathfrak{E}_k^{(r)}(\tau; \underline{a} \bmod n) = \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \\ m \equiv a_1 \pmod N}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n \equiv a_2 \pmod N}} \frac{\coth((m+n\tau)\pi i/N\tau)^r}{(m+n\tau)^k}$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\underline{a} = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  with  $0 \leq a_1, a_2 < N$ . Note that (1-12) in the case  $k = 2$  and  $r = 2$  is conditionally convergent with respect to the order of summation as above. In fact, since  $(\coth x)^2 = 1 + 1/(\sinh x)^2$ , we have

$$\mathfrak{E}_2^{(2)}(\tau; \underline{a} \bmod n) = \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \\ m \equiv a_1 \pmod N}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n \equiv a_2 \pmod N}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\sinh((m+n\tau)\pi i/N\tau)^2} \right) \frac{1}{(m+n\tau)^2}.$$

If we divide this double series into two parts, the first is conditionally convergent and the second is absolutely convergent. Considering  $(\coth x)^{2\nu}$ , we can inductively confirm that  $\mathfrak{E}_2^{(2\nu)}(\tau; \underline{a} \bmod n)$  ( $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is also conditionally convergent.

**Outline of article.** In Section 2, we state evaluation formulas for some quantities of the form (1-12) (see Theorem 2.1, whose proof is given in Section 3). We also evaluate (1-12) in terms of (1-11) and certain partial zeta values which will be defined by (2-4) (see Examples 2.5 and 2.6). This subsumes previous results on (1-5) corresponding to the case  $(r, N) = (1, 1)$  [Tsumura 2009] and on (1-9) and (1-10) corresponding to the cases  $(r, N) = (\pm 1, 2)$  [Tsumura 2010]. Here, for example, we give a new formula corresponding to the case  $r = 2$ :

$$\mathfrak{E}_4^{(2)}(i; (1, 1) \bmod 2) = -\frac{5\varpi^4 + 2\pi^3}{360}.$$

More generally, we give explicit formulas for level- $N$  versions of these expressions (see Example 2.6). From these results, we evaluate the level- $N$  version of (1-6), defined by

$$(1-13) \quad \mathcal{G}_k^{(r)}(\tau; \underline{a} \bmod n) = \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \\ m \equiv a_1 \pmod N}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n \equiv a_2 \pmod N}} \frac{1}{\sinh((m+n\tau)\pi i/N\tau)^r (m+n\tau)^k}$$

(see Proposition 2.4; also Remark 3.9).

In Section 4, based on the results above, we evaluate a two-variable analogue of (1-11) defined by

$$(1-14) \quad \tilde{G}_{j,k}(\tau; \underline{a} \bmod n) = \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z} \\ m \equiv a_1 \pmod N}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n \equiv a_2 \pmod N \\ (m,n) \neq (0,0)}} \sum_{\substack{l \in \mathbb{Z} \\ l \equiv a_2 \pmod N \\ (m,l) \neq (0,0)}} \frac{1}{(m+l\tau)^j (m+n\tau)^k}$$

for  $j, k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ . Note that in the case  $j = 2$  or  $k = 2$ , (1-14) is conditionally convergent with respect to the order of summation as above. We prove some relation formulas among  $\tilde{G}_{j,k}(\tau; \underline{a} \bmod n)$  and  $\mathfrak{G}_l^{(r)}(\tau; \underline{a} \bmod n)$  (see Theorems 4.1 and 4.2), and evaluate  $\tilde{G}_{2p,2q}(i; \underline{a} \bmod n)$  (see Examples 4.3 and 4.4). For example, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}_{4,4}(i; (1, 1) \bmod 2) &= \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z} \\ m \equiv 1 \pmod 2}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n \equiv 1 \pmod 2}} \sum_{\substack{l \in \mathbb{Z} \\ l \equiv 1 \pmod 2}} \frac{1}{(m+li)^4 (m+ni)^4} \\ &= \frac{\varpi^8}{8960} - \frac{\varpi^4 \pi^4}{17280} + \frac{\pi^7}{6048}. \end{aligned}$$

This paper contains a lot of examples of evaluation formulas. They were checked numerically using Mathematica 7.

### 2. Relation formulas for $\mathfrak{C}_k^y(\alpha)$

From now on, we set  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\underline{a} = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  with  $0 \leq a_1, a_2 < N$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\text{Im } \tau > 0$ . For convenience, we set

$$\mathfrak{a} = \underline{a} \bmod N.$$

**Theorem 2.1.** *For  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have*

$$(2-1) \quad (N\tau)^{2p+1} \mathfrak{C}_{2p+1}^{(r+1)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a}) = \frac{2i}{\pi} \sum_{\omega=1}^p \zeta(2p-2\omega) (N\tau)^{2\omega+2} \mathfrak{C}_{2\omega+2}^{(r)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a}) + 2\zeta(2p) \frac{(N\tau)^3}{\pi^2} \mathfrak{C}_3^{(r-1)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a})$$

and

$$(2-2) \quad (N\tau)^{2p+2} \mathfrak{C}_{2p+2}^{(r+1)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a}) = \frac{2i}{\pi} \sum_{\omega=0}^p \zeta(2p-2\omega) (N\tau)^{2\omega+3} \mathfrak{C}_{2\omega+3}^{(r)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a}).$$

We will prove this theorem in the next section. Note that if we know the values  $\mathfrak{C}_3^{(-1)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a})$  and  $\mathfrak{C}_4^{(0)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a})$ , then we can inductively evaluate  $\mathfrak{C}_k^{(r)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a})$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 3}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$  with  $k \equiv r \pmod 2$ , as follows.

By the definition (1-12), we can see that

$$(2-3) \quad \mathfrak{E}_{2k}^{(0)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a}) = \begin{cases} G_{2k}(\tau; \mathfrak{a}) & \text{if } a_1 \neq 0, \\ G_{2k}(\tau; \mathfrak{a}) - \tau^{-2k} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n \equiv a_2 \pmod{N}}} \frac{1}{n^{2k}} & \text{if } a_1 = 0 \text{ and } a_2 \neq 0, \\ N^{-2k} (G_{2k}(\tau) - 2\tau^{-2k} \zeta(2k)) & \text{if } a_1 = a_2 = 0, \end{cases}$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ . For simplicity, we define a certain partial zeta value by

$$(2-4) \quad \tilde{\zeta}(l; a \pmod{N}) := \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \\ n \equiv a \pmod{N}}} \frac{1}{n^l} \quad (l \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}).$$

The proof of the next proposition will be given in Section 3 as well.

**Proposition 2.2.** *With the same notation,*

$$\mathfrak{E}_3^{(-1)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a}) = \begin{cases} \frac{i\pi}{N\tau} G_2(\tau; \mathfrak{a}) & \text{if } a_1 \neq 0, \\ \frac{i\pi}{N\tau} (G_2(\tau; \mathfrak{a}) - \tau^{-2} \tilde{\zeta}(2; a_2 \pmod{N})) & \text{if } a_1 = 0 \text{ and } a_2 \neq 0, \\ \frac{i\pi}{N^3\tau} (G_2(\tau) - 2\tau^{-2} \zeta(2)) & \text{if } a_1 = a_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

From Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.2, we derive:

**Theorem 2.3.** *For  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 3}$  with  $k \equiv r \pmod{2}$ ,*

$$(2-5) \quad \tau^k \pi^r \mathfrak{E}_k^{(r)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a}) \in \mathbb{Q}[\tau, \pi, \{\tilde{\zeta}(2j; a_2 \pmod{N}), G_{2j}(\tau; \mathfrak{a})\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}].$$

*Proof.* We prove (2-5) by induction on  $r \geq -1$ . First we assume  $r = -1$ . Since  $k \equiv r \pmod{2}$  with  $k \geq 3$ , we can write  $k = 2p + 3$  ( $p \geq 0$ ). Hence we further use induction on  $p$ . When  $p = 0$ , namely  $k = 3$ , we immediately obtain the assertion from Proposition 2.2. Furthermore, by (2-2) with  $r = -1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{i} (N\tau)^{2p+3} \mathfrak{E}_{2p+3}^{(-1)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a}) \\ &= -(N\tau)^{2p+2} \mathfrak{E}_{2p+2}^{(0)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a}) + \frac{2i}{\pi} \sum_{\omega=0}^{p-1} \zeta(2p - 2\omega) (N\tau)^{2\omega+3} \mathfrak{E}_{2\omega+3}^{(-1)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (2-3), we obtain the assertion by induction on  $p$  in the case  $r = -1$ .

Next we assume that the induction hypotheses hold for  $r$ . By multiplying the both sides of (2-1) and of (2-2) by  $\pi^{r+1}$ , we obtain the assertion in the case of  $r + 1$ . Thus we complete the proof.  $\square$

As we noted in Section 1, using the relation  $1/(\sinh x)^2 = (\coth x)^2 - 1$  and the binomial theorem, we have the following relation between  $\mathfrak{E}_k^{(r)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a})$  and  $\mathfrak{G}_k^{(r)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a})$  defined by (1-13).

**Proposition 2.4.** For  $v \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(2-6) \quad \mathcal{G}_k^{(2v)}(\tau; \mathbf{a}) = \sum_{j=0}^v \binom{v}{j} (-1)^{v-j} \mathfrak{E}_k^{(2j)}(\tau; \mathbf{a}).$$

Therefore, for  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $v \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(2-7) \quad \tau^{2l} \pi^{2v} \mathcal{G}_{2l}^{(2v)}(\tau; \mathbf{a}) \in \mathbb{Q}[\tau, \pi, \{\tilde{\zeta}(2k; a_2 \bmod N), G_{2k}(\tau; \mathbf{a})\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}].$$

Hence we can evaluate  $\mathcal{G}_{2l}^{(2v)}(\tau; \mathbf{a})$  by using the result on  $\mathfrak{E}_{2l}^{(2j)}(\tau; \mathbf{a})$  (see below). We will consider  $\mathcal{G}_{2l+1}^{(2v+1)}(\tau; \mathbf{a})$  in Remark 3.9.

**Example 2.5.** In the case  $N = 1$ , we simply denote (1-12) by  $\mathfrak{E}_k^{(r)}(\tau)$ . Then, combining Theorem 2.1, Proposition 2.2 and (2-3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{E}_3^{(-1)}(\tau) &= i(-\pi^3 + 3\pi\tau^2 G_2(\tau))/(3\tau^3), \\ \mathfrak{E}_5^{(-1)}(\tau) &= i(-2\pi^5 + 5\pi^3\tau^2 G_2(\tau) + 15\pi\tau^4 G_4(\tau))/(15\tau^5), \\ \mathfrak{E}_3^{(1)}(\tau) &= i(-4\pi^4 + 15\tau^2 G_2(\tau)\pi^2 - 45\tau^4 G_4(\tau))/(45\tau^3\pi), \\ \mathfrak{E}_5^{(1)}(\tau) &= i(-4\pi^6 + 7\tau^2 G_2(\tau)\pi^4 + 105\tau^4 G_4(\tau)\pi^2 - 315\tau^6 G_6(\tau))/(315\tau^5\pi), \\ \mathfrak{E}_4^{(2)}(\tau) &= (16\pi^6 - 84\tau^2 G_2(\tau)\pi^4 + 630\tau^4 G_4(\tau)\pi^2 - 945\tau^6 G_6(\tau))/(945\tau^4\pi^2), \\ \mathfrak{E}_6^{(2)}(\tau) &= (64\pi^8 - 180\tau^2 G_2(\tau)\pi^6 - 945\tau^4 G_4(\tau)\pi^4 + 9450\tau^6 G_6(\tau)\pi^2 \\ &\quad - 14175\tau^8 G_8(\tau))/(14175\tau^6\pi^2), \\ \mathfrak{E}_3^{(3)}(\tau) &= i(-44\pi^6 + 189\tau^2 G_2(\tau)\pi^4 - 945\tau^4 G_4(\tau)\pi^2 + 945\tau^6 G_6(\tau))/(945\tau^3\pi^3), \\ \mathfrak{E}_5^{(3)}(\tau) &= i(-4\pi^8 - 45\tau^2 G_2(\tau)\pi^6 + 1260\tau^4 G_4(\tau)\pi^4 - 4725\tau^6 G_6(\tau)\pi^2 \\ &\quad + 4725\tau^8 G_8(\tau))/(4725\tau^5\pi^3), \\ \mathfrak{E}_4^{(4)}(\tau) &= (208\pi^8 - 1080\tau^2 G_2(\tau)\pi^6 + 8505\tau^4 G_4(\tau)\pi^4 - 18900\tau^6 G_6(\tau)\pi^2 \\ &\quad + 14175\tau^8 G_8(\tau))/(14175\tau^4\pi^4), \\ \mathfrak{E}_6^{(4)}(\tau) &= (1024\pi^{10} - 2376\tau^2 G_2(\tau)\pi^8 - 30690\tau^4 G_4(\tau)\pi^6 + 270270\tau^6 G_6(\tau)\pi^4 \\ &\quad - 623700\tau^8 G_8(\tau)\pi^2 + 467775\tau^{10} G_{10}(\tau))/(467775\tau^6\pi^4). \end{aligned}$$

The case  $\tau = i$  was studied in [Tsumura 2009], and we recover the results found there. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{E}_4^{(2)}(i) &= \frac{42\varpi^4 + 16\pi^4 - 84\pi^3}{945}, \\ \mathfrak{E}_4^{(4)}(i) &= \frac{27\varpi^8 + 567\varpi^4\pi^4 + 208\pi^8 - 1080\pi^7}{14175\pi^4}. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.4, we can inductively evaluate  $\mathcal{G}_{2j}^{(2l)}(\tau)$  in terms of  $G_{2j}(\tau)$  and  $\zeta(2k)$ . This fact was already given in [Komori et al. 2010] by a totally different method. Here we recover, for example,

$$(2-8) \quad \mathcal{G}_4^{(2)}(i) = \frac{-21\varpi^4 + 37\pi^4 - 84\pi^3}{945},$$

$$(2-9) \quad \mathcal{G}_4^{(4)}(i) = \frac{27\varpi^8 + 252\varpi^4\pi^4 - 587\pi^8 + 1440\pi^7}{14175\pi^4}.$$

Next we consider the case  $\tau = \rho = e^{2\pi i/3}$ . We recall the properties of  $G_{2k}(\rho)$ . For the details, see [Koblitz 1993; Nesterenko and Philippon 2001; Serre 1970; Waldschmidt 1999]; also [Komori et al. 2010]. Let

$$(2-10) \quad \tilde{\varpi} = \frac{\Gamma(1/3)^3}{2^{4/3}\pi} = 2.42865064788758 \dots$$

which is an analogue of the lemniscate constant  $\varpi$ . Then we obtain  $G_2(\rho) = 2\pi\rho/\sqrt{3}$ ,

$$(2-11) \quad G_6(\rho) = \frac{\tilde{\varpi}^6}{35}, \quad G_{12}(\rho) = \frac{\tilde{\varpi}^{12}}{7007}, \quad G_{18}(\rho) = \frac{\tilde{\varpi}^{18}}{1440257}, \dots$$

and  $G_k(\rho) = 0$  for  $k \geq 3$  with  $6 \nmid k$ . Using these results, we can evaluate  $\mathfrak{E}_k^{(r)}(\rho)$ , similarly to the case  $\tau = i$ , for example,

$$\mathfrak{E}_4^{(2)}(\rho) = \frac{-27\tilde{\varpi}^6 + 16\pi^6 - 56\sqrt{3}\pi^5}{945\rho\pi^2},$$

$$\mathfrak{E}_4^{(4)}(\rho) = \frac{-18900\tilde{\varpi}^6\pi^2 + 7280\pi^8 - 25200\sqrt{3}\pi^7}{496125\rho\pi^4}.$$

From these results, we recover these formulas from [Komori et al. 2010]:

$$(2-12) \quad \mathcal{G}_4^{(2)}(\rho) = \frac{-27\tilde{\varpi}^6 + 37\pi^6 - 56\sqrt{3}\pi^5}{945\rho\pi^2},$$

$$(2-13) \quad \mathcal{G}_4^{(4)}(\rho) = \frac{270\tilde{\varpi}^6 - 587\pi^6 + 960\sqrt{3}\pi^5}{14175\rho\pi^2}.$$

**Example 2.6.** We consider the case  $N > 1$ ,  $a_1 \neq 0$  and  $a_2 \neq 0$ . We simply denote the level- $N$  Eisenstein series by  $G_{2j}^a(\tau)$  instead of  $G_{2j}(\tau; \mathfrak{a})$ . Then we have the following formulas which are explicit examples of the main result in this paper:

$$\mathfrak{E}_3^{(-1)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a}) = iG_2^a(\tau)\pi/(N\tau),$$

$$\mathfrak{E}_5^{(-1)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a}) = i(G_2^a(\tau)\pi^3 + 3N^2\tau^2G_4^a(\tau)\pi)/(3N^3\tau^3),$$

$$\mathfrak{E}_3^{(1)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a}) = i(G_2^a(\tau)\pi^2 - 3N^2\tau^2G_4^a(\tau))/ (3N\tau\pi),$$



$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{E}_5^{(1)}(\tau; \mathbf{a}) &= i(G_2^a(\tau)\pi^4 + 15iN^2\tau^2G_4^a(\tau)\pi^2 - 45N^4\tau^4G_6^a(\tau))/ (45N^3\tau^3\pi), \\ \mathfrak{E}_4^{(2)}(\tau; \mathbf{a}) &= (-4G_2^a(\tau)\pi^4 + 30N^2\tau^2G_4^a(\tau)\pi^2 - 45N^4\tau^4G_6^a(\tau))/ (45N^2\tau^2\pi^2), \\ \mathfrak{E}_6^{(2)}(\tau; \mathbf{a}) &= (-4G_2^a(\tau)\pi^6 - 21N^2\tau^2G_4^a(\tau)\pi^4 + 210N^4\tau^4G_6^a(\tau)\pi^2 \\ &\quad - 315N^6\tau^6G_8^a(\tau))/ (315N^4\tau^4\pi^2), \\ \mathfrak{E}_3^{(3)}(\tau; \mathbf{a}) &= i(9G_2^a(\tau)\pi^4 - 45N^2\tau^2G_4^a(\tau)\pi^2 + 45N^4\tau^4G_6^a(\tau))/ (45N\tau\pi^3), \\ \mathfrak{E}_5^{(3)}(\tau; \mathbf{a}) &= i(-G_2^a(\tau)\pi^6 + 28N^2\tau^2G_4^a(\tau)\pi^4 - 105N^4\tau^4G_6^a(\tau)\pi^2 \\ &\quad + 105N^6\tau^6G_8^a(\tau))/ (105N^3\tau^3\pi^3), \\ \mathfrak{E}_4^{(4)}(\tau; \mathbf{a}) &= (-8G_2^a(\tau)\pi^6 + 63N^2\tau^2G_4^a(\tau)\pi^4 - 140N^4\tau^4G_6^a(\tau)\pi^2 \\ &\quad + 105N^6\tau^6G_8^a(\tau))/ (105N^2\tau^2\pi^4), \\ \mathfrak{E}_6^{(4)}(\tau; \mathbf{a}) &= (-24G_2^a(\tau)\pi^8 - 310N^2\tau^2G_4^a(\tau)\pi^6 + 2730N^4\tau^4G_6^a(\tau)\pi^4 \\ &\quad - 6300N^6\tau^6G_8^a(\tau)\pi^2 + 4725N^8\tau^8G_{10}^a(\tau))/ (4725N^4\tau^4\pi^4). \end{aligned}$$

In [Tsumura 2010], we studied the case when  $(N, a_1, a_2, \tau) = (2, 1, 1, i)$  and  $r = \pm 1$ , based on [Katayama 1978]. In this case, as mentioned in both of these papers, we see  $G_2^{(1,1)}(i) = -\pi/4$ ,  $G_{4k+2}^{(1,1)}(i) = 0$  and  $G_{4k}^{(1,1)}(i) \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot \varpi^{4k}$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ), which can be concretely calculated; for example,

$$G_4^{(1,1)}(i) = -\frac{\varpi^4}{48}, \quad G_8^{(1,1)}(i) = \frac{\varpi^8}{8960}, \quad G_{12}^{(1,1)}(i) = -\frac{\varpi^{12}}{1689600}.$$

Hence, by the formulas above, we can explicitly evaluate  $\mathfrak{E}_k^{(r)}(\tau; (1, 1) \bmod 2)$  when  $k \equiv r \bmod 2$ . In particular, when  $r = \pm 1$ , these coincide with the results given in [Tsumura 2010]. As examples in the cases  $r = 2, 4$ , we give

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{E}_4^{(2)}(i; (1, 1) \bmod 2) &= -\frac{5\varpi^4 + 2\pi^3}{360}, \\ \mathfrak{E}_4^{(4)}(i; (1, 1) \bmod 2) &= \frac{3\varpi^8 - 21\varpi^4\pi^4 - 8\pi^7}{1680\pi^4}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2-14) \quad \mathfrak{G}_4^{(2)}(i; (1, 1) \bmod 2) = \frac{5\varpi^8 - 4\pi^3}{720},$$

$$(2-15) \quad \mathfrak{G}_4^{(4)}(i; (1, 1) \bmod 2) = \frac{9\varpi^8 - 28\varpi^4\pi^4 + 32\pi^7}{5040\pi^4}.$$

### 3. Proofs of Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.2

For  $\underline{a} = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  with  $0 \leq a_1, a_2 < N$ , we set  $\beta = (a_1 + a_2\tau)/N$  for simplicity. We fix a small  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For  $u \in [1, 1 + \varepsilon]$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3-1) \quad \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_k^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; u) &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}^* \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{u^{-n} \coth((m + \beta + n\tau)\pi i/\tau)^r}{\sinh((m + \beta + n\tau)\pi i/\tau)(m + \beta + n\tau)^k} \\
 &+ \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}^* \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{u^{-n} \coth((m + \beta - n\tau)\pi i/\tau)^r}{\sinh((m + \beta - n\tau)\pi i/\tau)(m + \beta - n\tau)^k} \\
 &+ \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}^* \frac{\coth((m + \beta)\pi i/\tau)^r}{\sinh((m + \beta)\pi i/\tau)(m + \beta)^k},
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}^*$  stands for the sum over  $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  if  $a_1 = 0$  and over  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  if  $a_1 \neq 0$ .

When  $u > 1$ , we define  $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{-k}^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; u)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  by (3-1). This is well-defined in the following sense. Since  $\sinh(x) = 0$  implies  $x \in \pi i\mathbb{Z}$ , the equality

$$\sinh\left((m + \beta + n\tau) \frac{\pi i}{\tau}\right) = \sinh\left((Nm + a_1 + (Nn + a_2)\tau) \frac{\pi i}{N\tau}\right) = 0 \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{Z})$$

implies  $(a_1, a_2) = (0, 0)$  and  $m = 0$ . Similarly,  $\cosh(x) = 0$  implies  $x \in \pi i/2 + \pi i\mathbb{Z}$ , so the equality

$$\cosh\left((m + \beta + n\tau) \frac{\pi i}{\tau}\right) = \cosh\left((Nm + a_1 + (Nn + a_2)\tau) \frac{\pi i}{N\tau}\right) = 0 \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{Z})$$

implies  $(a_1, a_2) = (0, N/2)$  and  $m = 0$ . Hence, by the definition of  $\sum^*$  a few lines above, we see that (3-1) is absolutely convergent under the conditions above, that is, well-defined.

Since  $\cosh(n\pi i) = (-1)^n$  and  $\sinh(n\pi i) = 0$ , we can rewrite (3-1) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3-2) \quad \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_k^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; u) &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}^* \frac{\coth((m + \beta)\pi i/\tau)^r}{\sinh((m + \beta)\pi i/\tau)} \\
 &\times \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-u)^{-n} \left( \frac{1}{(m + \beta + n\tau)^k} + \frac{1}{(m + \beta - n\tau)^k} \right) + \frac{1}{(m + \beta)^k} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

When  $k \geq 2$ , we see that  $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_k^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; u)$  converges absolutely and uniformly for  $u$  in  $[1, 1 + \varepsilon]$ . Furthermore, when  $k = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3-3) \quad \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_1^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; u) &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}^* \frac{2(m + \beta) \coth((m + \beta)\pi i/\tau)^r}{\sinh((m + \beta)\pi i/\tau)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-u)^{-n}}{(m + \beta)^2 - n^2\tau^2} \\
 &+ \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}^* \frac{\coth((m + \beta)\pi i/\tau)^r}{\sinh((m + \beta)\pi i/\tau)(m + \beta)},
 \end{aligned}$$

which converges absolutely and uniformly for  $u$  in  $[1, 1 + \varepsilon]$ . Hence, for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

we have

$$(3-4) \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow 1} \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_k^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; u) = \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_k^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; 1) \\ = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}^* \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\coth((m + \beta + n\tau)\pi i/\tau)^r}{\sinh((m + \beta + n\tau)\pi i/\tau)(m + \beta + n\tau)^k}.$$

Now we let

$$(3-5) \quad \mathcal{S}_r(\theta; \tau; \beta) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}^* \frac{\coth((m + \beta)\pi i/\tau)^r e^{(m+\beta)i\theta/\tau}}{\sinh((m + \beta)\pi i/\tau)}.$$

Set  $A = \operatorname{Re}(i/\tau)$  and  $B = \operatorname{Im}(i/\tau)$ . Then  $A > 0$  because  $\operatorname{Im} \tau > 0$ . We further let  $D(R) := \{\theta \in \mathbb{C} : |\theta| < R\}$  be the closed disk of radius  $R$ , where  $R > 0$ .

**Lemma 3.1.**  $\mathcal{S}_r(\theta; \tau; \beta)$  converges absolutely for  $\theta \in D(A\pi/(A + |B|))$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\theta \in D(A\pi/(A + |B|))$  and set  $(a, b) = (\operatorname{Re} \theta, \operatorname{Im} \theta)$ . Then

$$(3-6) \quad |a|, |b| < \frac{A\pi}{A + |B|}.$$

Here we consider the order of  $\mathcal{S}_r(\theta; \tau; \beta)$ , namely

$$\mathcal{S}_r(\theta; \tau; \beta) = O(e^{|m| \operatorname{Re}((\pm\theta - \pi)i/\tau)}) \quad (|m| \rightarrow \infty),$$

which implies the maximum of two cases corresponding to  $\pm\theta$ . By (3-6), we have

$$\operatorname{Re}((\pm\theta - \pi)i/\tau) = \operatorname{Re}((\pm a - \pi \pm bi)(A + Bi)) = (\pm a - \pi)A \mp bB \\ \leq (|a| - \pi)A + |b||B| < \left(\frac{A\pi}{A + |B|} - \pi\right)A + \frac{A|B|\pi}{A + |B|} = 0.$$

Therefore we have the assertion. □

As in [Tsumura 2008, § 2], we set

$$(3-7) \quad \mathfrak{H}(\theta; u) := -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{e^\theta}{e^\theta + u} + \frac{e^{-\theta}}{e^{-\theta} + u} \right)$$

for  $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $u \in [1, 1 + \varepsilon]$ . This function is holomorphic for  $\theta \in D(\pi)$ , and satisfies

$$(3-8) \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow 1} \mathfrak{H}(\theta; u) = -\frac{1}{2} \quad (\theta \in D(\pi)).$$

We also set

$$(3-9) \quad J_r(\theta; \tau; \beta; u) := \mathcal{S}_r(\theta; \tau; \beta)(2\mathfrak{H}(i\theta; u) + 1).$$

Since  $A\pi/(A + |B|) \leq \pi$ , it follows from Lemma 3.1 that  $J_r(\theta; \tau; \beta; u)$  is holomorphic for  $\theta \in D(A\pi/(A + |B|))$ . Hence, for each  $u \in [1, 1 + \varepsilon]$ , we can

expand  $J_r(\theta; \tau; \beta; u)$  as

$$(3-10) \quad J_r(\theta; \tau; \beta; u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda_n^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; u) \frac{\theta^n}{n!} \quad (\theta \in D(A\pi/(A + |B|))).$$

By Cauchy’s integral theorem, for any  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $0 < \gamma < A\pi/(A + |B|)$ , we have

$$(3-11) \quad \frac{|\Lambda_n^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; u)|}{n!} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{C_\gamma} |J_r(\theta; \tau; \beta; u)| |z|^{-n-1} |dz| \leq \frac{M_\gamma}{\gamma^n} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}),$$

where  $C_\gamma : z = \gamma e^{it}$  ( $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$ ) and

$$M_\gamma := \max_{(z,u) \in C_\gamma \times [1, 1+\varepsilon]} |J_r(z; \tau; \beta; u)|.$$

Hence the right-hand side of (3-10) is uniformly convergent in  $u \in [1, 1 + \varepsilon]$  if  $\theta \in D(A\pi/(A + |B|))$ . By (3-8) and (3-9), we have  $J_r(\theta; \tau; \beta; u) \rightarrow 0$  as  $u \rightarrow 1$ . Therefore we see that

$$(3-12) \quad \Lambda_n^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; u) \rightarrow 0 \quad (u \rightarrow 1; n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}).$$

**Lemma 3.2.** For  $u \in (1, 1 + \varepsilon]$  and  $\theta \in D(A\pi/(A + |B|))$ ,

$$(3-13) \quad J_r(\theta; \tau; \beta; u) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{-j}^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; u) \frac{\theta^j}{j!},$$

that is,  $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{-j}^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; u) = \Lambda_j^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; u)$ , for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

*Proof.* When  $u > 1$ , from (3-7), we have (see [Tsumura 2008, Lemma 2.1])

$$\mathfrak{H}(i\theta; u) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-u)^{-n} \cos(n\theta).$$

Therefore, from (3-5) and (3-9), we have

$$(3-14) \quad J_r(\theta; \tau; \beta; u) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}^* \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-u)^{-n} \frac{\coth((m + \beta)\pi i / \tau)^r e^{(m+\beta+n\tau)i\theta/\tau}}{\sinh((m + \beta)\pi i / \tau)} \\ + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}^* \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-u)^{-n} \frac{\coth((m + \beta)\pi i / \tau)^r e^{(m+\beta-n\tau)i\theta/\tau}}{\sinh((m + \beta)\pi i / \tau)} \\ + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}^* \frac{\coth((m + \beta)\pi i / \tau)^r e^{(m+\beta)i\theta/\tau}}{\sinh((m + \beta)\pi i / \tau)}.$$

Using the Maclaurin expansion of  $e^x$  and the definition of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{-k}^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; u)$  in (3-1), namely in (3-2), we complete the proof. □

**Lemma 3.3.** For  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3-15) \quad N^{k+2} \mathbf{e}_{k+2}^{(r)}(\tau; \mathbf{a}) &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}^* \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\coth((m + \beta + n\tau)\pi i/\tau)^r}{(m + \beta + n\tau)^{k+2}} \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{[k/2]} \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{k+1-2j}^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; 1) \frac{(i\pi/\tau)^{2j+1}}{(2j+1)!}.
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The first equality comes from the definition (1-12) and  $\beta = (a_1 + a_2\tau)/N$ . We prove the second equality. We first assume  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . For  $u \in [1, 1 + \varepsilon]$ , we set

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3-16) \quad \Phi_r(\theta; k; \tau; \beta; u) &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}^* \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-u)^{-n} \frac{\coth((m + \beta)\pi i/\tau)^r e^{(m+\beta+n\tau)i\theta/\tau}}{\sinh((m + \beta)\pi i/\tau)(m + \beta + n\tau)^{k+2}} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}^* \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-u)^{-n} \frac{\coth((m + \beta)\pi i/\tau)^r e^{(m+\beta-n\tau)i\theta/\tau}}{\sinh((m + \beta)\pi i/\tau)(m + \beta - n\tau)^{k+2}} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}^* \frac{\coth((m + \beta)\pi i/\tau)^r e^{(m+\beta)i\theta/\tau}}{\sinh((m + \beta)\pi i/\tau)(m + \beta)^{k+2}},
 \end{aligned}$$

which converges absolutely and uniformly in  $u \in [1, 1 + \varepsilon]$  if  $\theta \in D(A\pi/(A + |B|))$ . If  $u > 1$ , it follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3-17) \quad \Phi_r(\theta; k; \tau; \beta; u) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{k+2-j}^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; u) \frac{(i\theta/\tau)^j}{j!} \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{k+2-j}^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; u) \frac{(i\theta/\tau)^j}{j!} + \sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} \Lambda_{j-k-2}^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; u) \frac{(i\theta/\tau)^j}{j!}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By considering

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{2} \{ \Phi_r(\theta; k; \tau; \beta; u) - \Phi_r(-\theta; k; \tau; \beta; u) \},$$

and using (3-4) and (3-11), we can let  $u \rightarrow 1$  on the both sides of (3-17) if  $\theta$  lies in  $D(A\pi/(A + |B|))$ . By (3-12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3-18) \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}^* \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{(-1)^n \coth((m + \beta)\pi i/\tau)^r (e^{(m+\beta+n\tau)i\theta/\tau} - e^{-(m+\beta+n\tau)i\theta/\tau})}{\sinh((m + \beta)\pi i/\tau)(m + \beta + n\tau)^{k+2}} \\
 = \sum_{\nu=0}^{[k/2]} \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{k+1-2\nu}^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; 1) \frac{(i\theta/\tau)^{2\nu+1}}{(2\nu+1)!}
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $\theta \in D(A\pi/(A + |B|))$ . Moreover, we claim that the left-hand side of (3-18) is absolutely convergent on the region  $\Omega(\tau) := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mathfrak{X}_n(\tau)$ , where

$$\mathfrak{X}_n(\tau) = \left\{ \theta \in \mathbb{C} : \left| \theta - \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)\pi \right| < \frac{A\pi}{(A + |B|)n} \right\}.$$

Actually we know that the left-hand side of (3-18) is

$$O\left(e^{|m|\operatorname{Re}((\pm\theta - \pi)i/\tau)} |m + \beta + n\tau|^{-k-2}\right) \quad (|m|, |n| \rightarrow \infty).$$

Hence we aim to prove  $\operatorname{Re}((\pm\theta - \pi)i/\tau) < 0$  for any  $\theta \in \Omega(\tau)$ . In fact, for any  $n$  and any  $\theta \in \mathfrak{X}_n$ , we set  $(a, b) = (\operatorname{Re}\theta, \operatorname{Im}\theta)$ . Then

$$|a| < \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)\pi + \frac{A\pi}{(A + |B|)n} \quad \text{and} \quad |b| < \frac{A\pi}{(A + |B|)n}.$$

Hence, by recalling that  $A = \operatorname{Re}(i/\tau)$  and  $B = \operatorname{Im}(i/\tau)$ , we obtain the claim, since

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}((\pm\theta - \pi)i/\tau) &= \operatorname{Re}((\pm a - \pi \pm bi)(A + Bi)) = (\pm a - \pi)A \mp Bb \\ &\leq (|a| - \pi)A + |B| |b| < -\frac{A\pi}{n} + \frac{A^2\pi}{(A + |B|)n} + \frac{A|B|\pi}{(A + |B|)n} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it is clear that the right-hand side of (3-18) is holomorphic for  $\theta \in \Omega(\tau)$ , so (3-18) holds for  $\theta \in \Omega(\tau)$ .

Finally we claim that  $\Omega(\tau) \supset [(1 - 1/L)\pi, \pi)$ , where  $L = \max(1, |B|/2A)$ . In order to prove this, we only have to prove  $\mathfrak{X}_n(\tau) \cap \mathfrak{X}_{n+1}(\tau) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n \geq L$ , because any  $\mathfrak{X}_n(\tau)$  is the disk whose center is on the real axis. More precisely, we have to prove

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)\pi + \frac{A\pi}{(A + |B|)n} \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right)\pi - \frac{A\pi}{(A + |B|)(n+1)},$$

if  $n \geq L$ . In fact, this can be easily verified. Hence we obtain the claim. Therefore (3-18) holds for  $\theta \in [(1 - 1/L)\pi, \pi)$ . If we set  $\theta = \pi$  on the left-hand side of (3-18), we have

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}^* \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\coth((m + \beta + n\tau)\pi i/\tau)^r}{(m + \beta + n\tau)^{k+2}},$$

which is absolutely convergent if  $k \geq 1$ . Hence, by Abel's theorem, (3-18) holds for  $\theta = \pi$ , which implies (3-15). Thus we complete the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** As stated in the proof, (3-18) holds for  $k = 0$  if  $\theta \in [(1 - 1/L)\pi, \pi)$ , because the left-hand side of (3-18) converges absolutely even if  $k = 0$  and  $\theta$  is in  $[(1 - 1/L)\pi, \pi)$ . We claim that (3-18) holds for  $\theta = \pi$  when  $(k, r) = (0, 0)$ .

In fact, by setting  $(k, r, \theta) = (0, 0, \pi)$  on the left-hand side of (3-18), we have

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}^* \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(m + \beta + n\tau)^2} = N^2 G_2(\tau; \mathfrak{a}) - \frac{\delta_{a_1,0} N^2}{\tau^2} \times \begin{cases} N^2 \tilde{\zeta}(2; a_2 \bmod N) & \text{if } a_2 \neq 0, \\ 2\zeta(2) & \text{if } a_2 = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\delta_{p,q}$  is the Kronecker delta. Therefore it follows from Abel's theorem that (3-18) holds for  $k = 0$  and  $\theta = \pi$ . Hence we obtain

$$(3-19) \quad \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_1^{(0)}(\tau; \beta; 1) = \frac{N^2 \tau}{i\pi} G_2(\tau; \mathfrak{a}) - \frac{\delta_{a_1,0}}{i\pi \tau} \begin{cases} N^2 \tilde{\zeta}(2; a_2 \bmod N) & \text{if } a_2 \neq 0, \\ 2\zeta(2) & \text{if } a_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we differentiate (3-18) in  $\theta \in [(1 - 1/L)\pi, \pi)$ . Then

$$(3-20) \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}^* \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{(-1)^n \coth((m + \beta)\pi i/\tau)^r (e^{(m+\beta+n\tau)i\theta/\tau} + e^{-(m+\beta+n\tau)i\theta/\tau})}{\sinh((m + \beta)\pi i/\tau)(m + \beta + n\tau)^{k+1}} \\ = \sum_{v=0}^{[k/2]} \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{k+1-2v}^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; 1) \frac{(i\theta/\tau)^{2v}}{(2v)!}.$$

If  $k \geq 2$ , both sides on (3-20) converge absolutely and uniformly in  $[(1 - 1/L)\pi, \pi]$ . Hence, by letting  $\theta \rightarrow \pi$ , we have:

**Lemma 3.5.** *For  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k \geq 2$ ,*

$$(3-21) \quad N^{k+1} \mathfrak{e}_{k+1}^{(r+1)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a}) = \sum_{j=0}^{[k/2]} \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{k+1-2j}^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; 1) \frac{(i\pi/\tau)^{2j}}{(2j)!}.$$

Letting  $k = 2p + \mu$  for  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mu \in \{0, 1\}$  in (3-15) and (3-21), we have

$$(3-22) \quad N^{2p+2+\mu} \mathfrak{e}_{2p+2+\mu}^{(r)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a}) = \sum_{j=0}^p \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{2p+1+\mu-2j}^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; 1) \frac{(i\pi/\tau)^{2j+1}}{(2j+1)!},$$

$$(3-23) \quad N^{2p+1+\mu} \mathfrak{e}_{2p+1+\mu}^{(r+1)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a}) = \sum_{j=0}^p \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{2p+1+\mu-2j}^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; 1) \frac{(i\pi/\tau)^{2j}}{(2j)!}.$$

Note that (3-22) also holds for  $p = 0$  if  $\mu = 1$ , because (3-15) holds for  $k = 1$ . Here we use the following result given in our previous work.

**Lemma 3.6** [Tsumura 2007, Lemma 4.4]. *Let  $\{P_{2h}\}$ ,  $\{Q_{2h}\}$ ,  $\{R_{2h}\}$  be sequences satisfying*

$$(3-24) \quad P_{2h} = \sum_{j=0}^h R_{2h-2j} \frac{(i\pi)^{2j}}{(2j)!}, \quad Q_{2h} = \sum_{j=0}^h R_{2h-2j} \frac{(i\pi)^{2j}}{(2j+1)!} \quad (h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}).$$

Then

$$(3-25) \quad P_{2h} = -2 \sum_{\omega=0}^h \zeta(2h - 2\omega) Q_{2\omega} \quad (h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}).$$

Multiply the both sides of (3-22) and (3-23) by  $\tau^{2p+2+\mu}$  and  $\tau^{2p+1+\mu}$ , respectively. Then apply Lemma 3.5 with  $P_0 = Q_0 = R_0 = \tau^{1+\mu} \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{1+\mu}^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; 1)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} P_{2h} &= (N\tau)^{2p+1+\mu} \mathfrak{C}_{2p+1+\mu}^{(r+1)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a}), \\ Q_{2h} &= \frac{1}{i\pi} (N\tau)^{2p+2+\mu} \mathfrak{C}_{2p+2+\mu}^{(r)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a}), \\ R_{2h} &= \tau^{2h+1+\mu} \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{2h+1+\mu}^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; 1) \end{aligned}$$

for  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then it follows from (3-25) that

$$(3-26) \quad \begin{aligned} (N\tau)^{2p+1+\mu} \mathfrak{C}_{2p+1+\mu}^{(r+1)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a}) \\ = -2 \sum_{\omega=1}^p \zeta(2p - 2\omega) \frac{1}{i\pi} (N\tau)^{2\omega+2+\mu} \mathfrak{C}_{2\omega+2+\mu}^{(r)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a}) \\ \quad - 2\zeta(2p)\tau^{1+\mu} \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{1+\mu}^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; 1) \end{aligned}$$

for  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . In order to complete the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have to determine  $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{1+\mu}^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; 1)$  for  $\mu = 0, 1$ . As noted above, (3-22) holds for  $p = 0$  when  $\mu = 1$ , namely

$$(3-27) \quad N^3 \mathfrak{C}_3^{(r)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a}) = \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_2^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; 1) \frac{i\pi}{\tau}.$$

Moreover, we obtain the following.

**Lemma 3.7.** For  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$(3-28) \quad \frac{i\pi}{\tau} \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_1^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; 1) = \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_2^{(r-1)}(\tau; \beta; 1) = \frac{N^3\tau}{i\pi} \mathfrak{C}_3^{(r-1)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a}).$$

*Proof.* The second equality comes from (3-27) by replacing  $r$  with  $r - 1$ . So we will prove the first equality.

As we stated in Remark 3.4, (3-18) holds for  $k = 0$  if  $\theta \in [(1 - 1/L)\pi, \pi)$ . Hence we see that

$$(3-29) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}^* \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{(-1)^n \coth((m + \beta)\pi i / \tau)^r (e^{(m+\beta+n\tau)i\theta/\tau} - e^{-(m+\beta+n\tau)i\theta/\tau})}{\sinh((m + \beta)\pi i / \tau)(m + \beta + n\tau)^2} \\ = \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_1^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; 1) \frac{i\theta}{\tau} \end{aligned}$$



holds for  $\theta \in [(1 - 1/L)\pi, \pi)$ . On the other hand, (3-20) with  $r$  replaced by  $r - 1$  and  $k$  by 1 becomes

$$(3-30) \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}^* \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{(-1)^n \coth((m + \beta)\pi i / \tau)^{r-1} (e^{(m + \beta + n\tau)i\theta/\tau} + e^{-(m + \beta + n\tau)i\theta/\tau})}{\sinh((m + \beta)\pi i / \tau)(m + \beta + n\tau)^2} \\ = \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_2^{(r-1)}(\tau; \beta; 1),$$

which also holds for  $\theta \in [(1 - 1/L)\pi, \pi)$ . Now we subtract (3-30) from (3-29) of each side. Then we have

$$(3-31) \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}^* \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{(-1)^n \coth((m + \beta)\pi i / \tau)^{r-1} \Delta(\theta)}{\sinh((m + \beta)\pi i / \tau)(m + \beta + n\tau)^2} \\ = \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_1^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; 1) \frac{i\theta}{\tau} - \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_2^{(r-1)}(\tau; \beta; 1),$$

where  $\Delta(\theta)$  is equal to

$$\coth\left((m + \beta) \frac{i\pi}{\tau}\right) \left( e^{(m + \beta + n\tau) \frac{i\theta}{\tau}} - e^{-(m + \beta + n\tau) \frac{i\theta}{\tau}} \right) - \left( e^{(m + \beta + n\tau) \frac{i\theta}{\tau}} + e^{-(m + \beta + n\tau) \frac{i\theta}{\tau}} \right) \\ = \frac{1}{2 \sinh\left((m + \beta) \frac{i\pi}{\tau}\right)} \left( \left( e^{(m + \beta) \frac{i\theta}{\tau}} + e^{-(m + \beta) \frac{i\theta}{\tau}} \right) \left( e^{(m + \beta) \frac{i\theta}{\tau}} e^{ni\theta} - e^{-(m + \beta) \frac{i\theta}{\tau}} e^{ni\theta} \right) \right. \\ \left. - \left( e^{(m + \beta) \frac{i\theta}{\tau}} - e^{-(m + \beta) \frac{i\theta}{\tau}} \right) \left( e^{(m + \beta) \frac{i\theta}{\tau}} e^{ni\theta} + e^{-(m + \beta) \frac{i\theta}{\tau}} e^{ni\theta} \right) \right) \\ = \frac{i \sin(n\theta)}{\sinh((m + \beta)\pi i / \tau)}.$$

Therefore the left-hand side of (3-31) is absolutely and uniformly convergent in  $\theta \in [(1 - 1/L)\pi, \pi]$ . Hence, letting  $\theta \rightarrow \pi$  on the both sides of (3-31) and noting  $\sin(n\pi) = 0$ , we have

$$0 = \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_1^{(r)}(\tau; \beta; 1) \frac{i\pi}{\tau} - \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_2^{(r-1)}(\tau; \beta; 1). \quad \square$$

*Proofs of Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.2.* Combining (3-26) and (3-28), we obtain the proof of Theorem 2.1. Combining (3-19) and (3-28), we obtain the proof of Proposition 2.2.  $\square$

**Remark 3.8.** The left-hand side of (3-29) in the case  $\theta = \pi$  and  $r = 2\nu$  ( $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ) coincides with  $\mathfrak{C}_2^{(2\nu)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a})$ , which is conditionally convergent as we noted in Section 1. Therefore, by Abel's theorem, we can let  $\theta \rightarrow \pi$  on the both sides of (3-29). Hence we have

$$(3-32) \quad N^2 \mathfrak{C}_2^{(2\nu)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a}) = \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_1^{(2\nu)}(\tau; \beta; 1) \frac{i\pi}{\tau} \quad (\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}).$$

Therefore, by (3-28), we have

$$(3-33) \quad \mathfrak{C}_2^{(2\nu)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a}) = \frac{i\pi}{N\tau} \mathfrak{C}_3^{(2\nu-1)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a}).$$

**Remark 3.9.** Combining Lemmas 3.3 and 3.7, and using Examples 2.5 and 2.6, we can inductively evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{2p+1}^{(2\nu)}(\tau; \beta; 1) &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}^* \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\coth((m + \beta + n\tau)\pi i/\tau)^{2\nu}}{\sinh((m + \beta + n\tau)\pi i/\tau)(m + \beta + n\tau)^{2p+1}} \\ &= N^{2p+1} \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \\ j \equiv a_1 \pmod N}} \sum_{\substack{l \in \mathbb{Z} \\ l \equiv a_2 \pmod N}} \frac{(\coth((j + l\tau)\pi i/N\tau))^{2\nu}}{\sinh((j + l\tau)\pi i/N\tau)(j + l\tau)^{2p+1}} \end{aligned}$$

in terms of  $G_{2k}(\tau; \mathfrak{a})$  and  $\tilde{\zeta}(2d; a_2 \pmod N)$  ( $k, d \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Therefore, by using the relation  $1/(\sinh x)^2 = (\coth x)^2 - 1$  repeatedly, we see that

$$\mathfrak{G}_{2j+1}^{(2\nu+1)}(\tau; \mathfrak{a}) = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu} \binom{\nu}{\mu} (-1)^{\nu-\mu} N^{-2j-1} \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{2j+1}^{(2\nu)}(\tau; \beta; 1),$$

which can be evaluated in terms of  $G_{2k}(\tau; \mathfrak{a})$  and  $\tilde{\zeta}(2d; a_2 \pmod N)$ .

#### 4. Two-variable analogues of level- $N$ Eisenstein series

In this section, we aim to evaluate two-variable analogues of level- $N$  Eisenstein series  $\tilde{G}_{j,k}(\tau; \mathfrak{a})$  ( $j, k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ ) defined by (1-14).

As well as in the previous section, we set  $\beta = (a_1 + a_2\tau)/N$  ( $0 \leq a_1, a_2 < N$ ). Since  $\text{Im } \tau > 0$ , namely  $\text{Re}(i/\tau) > 0$ , it follows from the binomial theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(\sinh((m + \beta)\pi i/\tau))^{2\nu}} &= 2^{2\nu} \frac{e^{-2\nu(m+\beta)\pi i/\tau}}{(1 - e^{-2(m+\beta)\pi i/\tau})^{2\nu}} \\ &= 2^{2\nu} e^{-2\nu(m+\beta)\pi i/\tau} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{j + 2\nu - 1}{2\nu - 1} e^{-2j(m+\beta)\pi i/\tau}, \end{aligned}$$

if  $m > 0$ . By putting  $\mu = j + \nu$ , we conclude that this equals

$$(4-1) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{2^{2\nu} e^{-2\pi i\nu(m+\beta)/\tau}}{(2\nu - 1)!} \sum_{\mu=\nu}^{\infty} (\mu + \nu - 1) \cdots (\mu + 1) \mu (\mu - 1) \cdots (\mu - \nu + 1) e^{-2\pi i(\mu - \nu)(m+\beta)/\tau} \\ = \frac{2^{2\nu}}{(2\nu - 1)!} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \mu \prod_{l=1}^{\nu-1} (\mu - l)(\mu + l) e^{-2\pi i\mu(m+\beta)/\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall the Stirling numbers of the first kind,  $\{c(n, k)\}$ , defined by

$$F_n(X) = X(X - 1)(X - 2) \cdots (X - n + 1) = \sum_{k=0}^n c(n, k) X^k$$

(see, for example, [Stanley 1997]). Using these numbers, we define  $\{\alpha(n, k)\}$  by

$$(4-2) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_n(X) = X \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} (X-l)(X+l) \left( = \frac{(-1)^n F_n(X) F_n(-X)}{X} \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \alpha(n, k) X^k.$$

Hence we have

$$\alpha(n, j) = (-1)^n \sum_{\omega=0}^{j+1} (-1)^\omega c(n, j + 1 - \omega) c(n, \omega)$$

for  $0 \leq j \leq 2n - 1$ . Since  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_n(-X) = -\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_n(X)$ , we have  $\alpha(n, 2j) = 0$  for  $0 \leq j < n$ . By (4-1), we have

$$(4-3) \quad \frac{1}{(\sinh((m+\beta)\pi i/\tau))^{2v}} = \frac{2^{2\mu}}{(2v-1)!} \sum_{j=1}^v \alpha(v, 2j-1) \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \mu^{2j-1} e^{-2\pi i \mu(m+\beta)/\tau},$$

when  $m > 0$ . Here we recall the summation formula from [Lipschitz 1889]:

$$(4-4) \quad \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(z+l)^k} = (-1)^k \frac{(2\pi i)^k}{(k-1)!} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} e^{2\pi i n z}$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k \geq 2$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\text{Im } z > 0$ . This formula also holds for  $k = 1$  as follows:

$$(4-5) \quad \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{l=-L}^L \frac{1}{z+l} = -\pi i - 2\pi i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{2\pi i n z}$$

for  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\text{Im } z > 0$  (see [Pribitkin 2002, Section 5]).

We can set  $z = -(m + \beta)/\tau$  in (4-4), because we have  $\text{Im}(-(m + \beta)/\tau) > 0$ . Then we see that (4-3) is equal to

$$(4-6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(\sinh((m + \beta)\pi i/\tau))^{2v}} \\ &= \frac{2^{2\mu}}{(2v-1)!} \sum_{j=1}^v \alpha(v, 2j-1) \frac{(2j-1)!}{(2\pi i)^{2j}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(-(m + \beta)/\tau + l)^{2j}} \\ &= \frac{2^{2\mu}}{(2v-1)!} \sum_{j=1}^v \alpha(v, 2j-1) \frac{(2j-1)!}{(2\pi i/\tau)^{2j}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(m + \beta + l\tau)^{2j}}, \end{aligned}$$

by replacing  $l$  by  $-l$ . This holds for  $m > 0$ . When  $m < 0$ , by replacing  $(m, l, \beta)$  by  $(-m, -l, -\beta)$  in (4-6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(\sinh((-m - \beta)\pi i / \tau))^{2v}} \\ &= \frac{2^{2\mu}}{(2v - 1)!} \sum_{j=1}^v \alpha(v, 2j - 1) \frac{(2j - 1)!}{(2\pi i / \tau)^{2j}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(-m - \beta - l\tau)^{2j}}, \end{aligned}$$

which coincides with (4-6). This implies that (4-6) also holds for  $m < 0$ .

On the other hand, by (1-13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{2q}^{(2p)}(\tau; \mathbf{a}) &= \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \\ k \equiv a_1 \pmod{N}}} \sum_{\substack{l \in \mathbb{Z} \\ l \equiv a_2 \pmod{N}}} \frac{1}{\sinh((k + l\tau)\pi i / N\tau)^{2p} (k + l\tau)^{2q}} \\ &= N^{-2q} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}^* \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\sinh((m + \beta + n\tau)\pi i / \tau)^{2p} (m + \beta + n\tau)^{2q}} \\ &= N^{-2q} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}^* \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\sinh((m + \beta)\pi i / \tau)^{2p} (m + \beta + n\tau)^{2q}} \end{aligned}$$

for  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, by (4-6) for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{2q}^{(2p)}(\tau; \mathbf{a}) &= \frac{2^{2p} N^{-2q}}{(2p - 1)!} \times \sum_{j=1}^p \alpha(p, 2j - 1) \frac{(2j - 1)!}{(2\pi i / \tau)^{2j}} \\ & \quad \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}^* \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(m + \beta + l\tau)^{2j} (m + \beta + n\tau)^{2q}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (1-14) and  $\beta = (a_1 + a_2\tau)/N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}^* \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(m + \beta + l\tau)^{2j} (m + \beta + n\tau)^{2q}} \\ &= N^{2j+2q} \left( \tilde{G}_{2j,2q}(\tau; \mathbf{a}) - \frac{\delta_{a_1,0}}{\tau^{2j+2q}} \tilde{\zeta}(2j; a_2 \pmod{N}) \tilde{\zeta}(2q; a_2 \pmod{N}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{\zeta}$  is defined by (2-4). Combining these relations, we obtain:

**Theorem 4.1.** For  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (4-7) \quad \mathcal{G}_{2q}^{(2p)}(\tau; \mathbf{a}) &= \frac{2^{2p}}{(2p - 1)!} \sum_{j=1}^p \alpha(p, 2j - 1) \frac{(2j - 1)!}{(2\pi i / N\tau)^{2j}} \\ & \quad \times \left( \tilde{G}_{2j,2q}(\tau; \mathbf{a}) - \frac{\delta_{a_1,0}}{\tau^{2j+2q}} \tilde{\zeta}(2j; a_2 \pmod{N}) \tilde{\zeta}(2q; a_2 \pmod{N}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By multiplying the both sides of (4-7) by  $\tau^{2q}\pi^{2p}$ , we can inductively obtain the following theorem by Proposition 2.4 and the fact  $G_{2k}(\tau) \in \mathbb{Q}[G_4(\tau), G_6(\tau)]$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$  (see [Koblitz 1993, Chapter III, § 2]).

**Theorem 4.2.** For  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\tau^{2(p+q)}\tilde{G}_{2p,2q}(\tau; \mathbf{a}) \in \mathbb{Q}[\tau, \pi, \{\tilde{\xi}(2k; a_2 \bmod N), G_{2k}(\tau; \mathbf{a})\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}].$$

In particular when  $N = 1$ , put  $\tilde{G}_{2p,2q}(\tau) = \tilde{G}_{2p,2q}(\tau; (0, 0) \bmod 1)$ . Then

$$\tau^{2(p+q)}\tilde{G}_{2p,2q}(\tau) \in \mathbb{Q}[\tau, \pi, G_2(\tau), G_4(\tau), G_6(\tau)].$$

Actually, combining (4-7) and the results given in Section 2, we can concretely evaluate  $\tilde{G}_{2p,2q}(\tau; \mathbf{a})$  as follows.

**Example 4.3.** We set  $N = 1$ ,  $(a_1, a_2) = (0, 0)$ ,  $p = 1, 2$ ,  $q = 2$  and  $\tau = i$ . By (4-2), we see that  $\alpha(1, 1) = 1$ ,  $\alpha(2, 1) = -1$  and  $\alpha(2, 3) = 1$ . By substituting (2-8) and (2-9) into (4-7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{G}_{2,4}(i) &= -\frac{\varpi^4\pi^2}{45} + \frac{2}{63}\pi^6 - \frac{4}{45}\pi^5, \\ \tilde{G}_{4,4}(i) &= \frac{1}{525}\varpi^8 + \frac{2}{675}\varpi^4\pi^4 - \frac{2}{135}\pi^8 + \frac{8}{189}\pi^7.\end{aligned}$$

Set  $\tau = \rho$ . Then, by substituting (2-12) and (2-13) into (4-7), we obtain

$$\tilde{G}_{2,4}(\rho) = \frac{\tilde{\varpi}^6}{35} - \frac{2}{63}\pi^6 + \frac{8\sqrt{3}}{135}\pi^5, \quad \tilde{G}_{4,4}(\rho) = \rho \left( -\frac{2}{135}\pi^8 + \frac{16\sqrt{3}}{567}\pi^7 \right).$$

**Example 4.4.** We set  $N = 2$ ,  $(a_1, a_2) = (1, 1)$ ,  $p = 1, 2$ ,  $q = 2$  and  $\tau = i$ . By substituting (2-14) and (2-15) into (4-7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{G}_{2,4}(i; (1, 1) \bmod 2) &= \frac{\varpi^4\pi^2}{576} - \frac{\pi^5}{720}, \\ \tilde{G}_{4,4}(i; (1, 1) \bmod 2) &= \frac{\varpi^8}{8960} - \frac{\varpi^4\pi^4}{17280} + \frac{\pi^7}{6048}.\end{aligned}$$

**Remark 4.5.** Pasles and Pribitkin [2001] studied two-variable Lipschitz summation formulas. At present, it is unclear whether or not the results stated above can be obtained from their formula.

### Acknowledgements

The author wishes to express his sincere gratitude to Professors Kohji Matsumoto and Yasushi Komori for fruitful discussions. Also the author wishes to express his sincere gratitude to the referee for valuable comments and important suggestions.

This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Science Research (No. 23540022), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

## References

- [Berndt 1977] B. C. Berndt, “Modular transformations and generalizations of several formulae of Ramanujan”, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **7**:1 (1977), 147–190. MR 55 #2714 Zbl 0365.10021
- [Berndt 1978] B. C. Berndt, “Analytic Eisenstein series, theta-functions, and series relations in the spirit of Ramanujan”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **303/304** (1978), 332–365. MR 80b:10035 Zbl 0384.10011
- [Cauchy 1889] A. Cauchy, *Oeuvres complètes D’Augustin Cauchy*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1889. Série II, tome VII. Zbl 21.0015.04
- [Hecke 1937] E. Hecke, “Über Modulfunktionen und die Dirichletschen Reihen mit Eulerscher Produktentwicklung, I”, *Math. Ann.* **114**:1 (1937), 1–28. MR 1513122 Zbl 0015.40202
- [Katayama 1978] K. Katayama, “On the values of Eisenstein series”, *Tokyo J. Math.* **1**:1 (1978), 157–188. MR 80f:10029 Zbl 0391.10022
- [Koblitz 1993] N. Koblitz, *Introduction to elliptic curves and modular forms*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics **97**, Springer, New York, 1993. MR 94a:11078 Zbl 0804.11039
- [Komori et al. 2010] Y. Komori, K. Matsumoto, and H. Tsumura, “Hyperbolic-sine analogues of Eisenstein series, generalized Hurwitz numbers, and  $q$ -zeta functions”, 2010. To appear in *Forum Math.* arXiv 1006.3339
- [Lipschitz 1889] R. Lipschitz, “Untersuchung der Eigenschaften einer Gattung von unendlichen Reihen”, *J. Reine. Angew. Math.* **105** (1889), 127–156. Zbl 21.0176.01
- [Mellin 1902] H. Mellin, “Eine Formel für den Logarithmus transcendentener Functionen von endlichem Geschlecht”, *Acta Math.* **25**:1 (1902), 165–183. MR 1554942 Zbl 34.0469.03
- [Meyer 2000] J. L. Meyer, “Character analogues of Dedekind sums and transformations of analytic Eisenstein series”, *Pacific J. Math.* **194**:1 (2000), 137–164. MR 2002b:11059 Zbl 1009.11029
- [Nesterenko and Philippon 2001] Y. V. Nesterenko and P. Philippon (editors), *Introduction to algebraic independence theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1752**, Springer, Berlin, 2001. MR 2002g:11104 Zbl 0966.11032
- [Pasles and Pribitkin 2001] P. C. Pasles and W. d. A. Pribitkin, “A generalization of the Lipschitz summation formula and some applications”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **129**:11 (2001), 3177–3184. MR 2002e:11059 Zbl 1125.11322
- [Pribitkin 2002] W. d. A. Pribitkin, “Laplace’s integral, the gamma function, and beyond”, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **109**:3 (2002), 235–245. MR 2003c:44002 Zbl 1029.44001
- [Serre 1970] J.-P. Serre, *Cours d’arithmétique*, SUP Le Mathématicien **2**, Presses Universitaires de France, Paris, 1970. In French; translated as *A course in arithmetic*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **7**, Springer, New York, 1973. MR 41 #138 Zbl 0225.12002
- [Stanley 1997] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics, I*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **49**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. MR 98a:05001 Zbl 0889.05001
- [Tsumura 2007] H. Tsumura, “On functional relations between the Mordell–Tornheim double zeta functions and the Riemann zeta function”, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **142**:3 (2007), 395–405. MR 2008d:11103 Zbl 1149.11044
- [Tsumura 2008] H. Tsumura, “On certain analogues of Eisenstein series and their evaluation formulas of Hurwitz type”, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **40**:2 (2008), 289–297. MR 2009c:11141 Zbl 1168.11038
- [Tsumura 2009] H. Tsumura, “Evaluation of certain classes of Eisenstein-type series”, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **79**:2 (2009), 239–247. MR 2010e:11080 Zbl 1210.11098

[Tsumura 2010] H. Tsumura, “Analogues of the Hurwitz formulas for level 2 Eisenstein series”, *Results Math.* **58**:3-4 (2010), 365–378. MR 2012a:11139 Zbl 05816643

[Waldschmidt 1999] M. Waldschmidt, “Transcendance et indépendance algébrique de valeurs de fonctions modulaires”, pp. 353–375 in *Number theory: Fifth Conference of the Canadian Number Theory Association* (Ottawa, ON, 1996), edited by R. Gupta and K. S. Williams, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes **19**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999. MR 2000c:11122 Zbl 0930.11055

Received March 18, 2011. Revised December 2, 2011.

HIROFUMI TSUMURA  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCES  
TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY  
1-1, MINAMI-OHSAWA, HACHIOJI  
TOKYO 192-0397  
JAPAN  
tsumura@tmu.ac.jp

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

<http://pacificmath.org>

Founded in 1951 by  
E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

## EDITORS

V. S. Varadarajan (Managing Editor)  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[pacific@math.ucla.edu](mailto:pacific@math.ucla.edu)

Vyjayanthi Chari  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Riverside, CA 92521-0135  
[chari@math.ucr.edu](mailto:chari@math.ucr.edu)

Darren Long  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080  
[long@math.ucsb.edu](mailto:long@math.ucsb.edu)

Sorin Popa  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[popa@math.ucla.edu](mailto:popa@math.ucla.edu)

Robert Finn  
Department of Mathematics  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305-2125  
[finn@math.stanford.edu](mailto:finn@math.stanford.edu)

Jiang-Hua Lu  
Department of Mathematics  
The University of Hong Kong  
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong  
[jhlu@maths.hku.hk](mailto:jhlu@maths.hku.hk)

Jie Qing  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Santa Cruz, CA 95064  
[qing@cats.ucsc.edu](mailto:qing@cats.ucsc.edu)

Kefeng Liu  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[liu@math.ucla.edu](mailto:liu@math.ucla.edu)

Alexander Merkurjev  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[merkurev@math.ucla.edu](mailto:merkurev@math.ucla.edu)

Jonathan Rogawski  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[jonr@math.ucla.edu](mailto:jonr@math.ucla.edu)

## PRODUCTION

[pacific@math.berkeley.edu](mailto:pacific@math.berkeley.edu)

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Matthew Cargo, Senior Production Editor

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI  
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY  
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA  
KEIO UNIVERSITY  
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.  
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO  
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ  
UNIV. OF MONTANA  
UNIV. OF OREGON  
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
UNIV. OF UTAH  
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

---

See inside back cover or [pacificmath.org](http://pacificmath.org) for submission instructions.

---

The subscription price for 2012 is US \$420/year for the electronic version, and \$485/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. Prior back issues are obtainable from Periodicals Service Company, 11 Main Street, Germantown, NY 12526-5635. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and the Science Citation Index.

---

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 969 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published monthly except July and August. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

---

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW™ from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

at the University of California, Berkeley 94720-3840

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X

Copyright ©2012 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 255 No. 2 February 2012

---

On the local Langlands correspondences of DeBacker–Reeder and Reeder for $GL(\ell, F)$ , where $\ell$ is prime	257
MOSHE ADRIAN	
$R$ -groups and parameters	281
DUBRAVKA BAN and DAVID GOLDBERG	
Finite-volume complex-hyperbolic surfaces, their toroidal compactifications, and geometric applications	305
LUCA FABRIZIO DI CERBO	
Character analogues of Ramanujan-type integrals involving the Riemann $\zeta$ -function	317
ATUL DIXIT	
Spectral theory for linear relations via linear operators	349
DANA GHEORGHE and FLORIAN-HORIA VASILESCU	
Homogeneous links and the Seifert matrix	373
PEDRO M. GONZÁLEZ MANCHÓN	
Quantum affine algebras, canonical bases, and $q$ -deformation of arithmetical functions	393
HENRY H. KIM and KYU-HWAN LEE	
Dirichlet–Ford domains and arithmetic reflection groups	417
GRANT S. LAKELAND	
Formal equivalence of Poisson structures around Poisson submanifolds	439
IOAN MĂRCUȚ	
A regularity theorem for graphic spacelike mean curvature flows	463
BENJAMIN STUART THORPE	
Analogues of level- $N$ Eisenstein series	489
HIROFUMI TSUMURA	



0030-8730(201202)255:2;1-B