Pacific Journal of Mathematics

STRONG SOLUTIONS TO THE COMPRESSIBLE LIQUID CRYSTAL SYSTEM

YU-MING CHU, XIAN-GAO LIU AND XIAO LIU

Volume 257 No. 1 May 2012

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 257, No. 1, 2012

STRONG SOLUTIONS TO THE COMPRESSIBLE LIQUID CRYSTAL SYSTEM

YU-MING CHU, XIAN-GAO LIU AND XIAO LIU

We prove the existence of local strong solutions of the compressible liquid crystal system.

1. Introduction

We consider the following simplified system of Ericksen–Leslie equations:

$$\rho_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\rho u_t + \rho u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p - \mu \Delta u + \lambda\Big(\operatorname{div}(\nabla n \otimes \nabla n) - \nabla \frac{|\nabla n|^2}{2}\Big) = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla n - \nu(\Delta n + |\nabla n|^2 n) = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

with the following initial and boundary conditions:

$$(\rho, u, n)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0, u_0, n_0), \quad x \in \Omega, \tag{1.4}$$

$$u(x,t) = u_0(x) = 0, \quad n(x,t) = n_0(x), \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \tag{1.5}$$

where u is the velocity field, n the macroscopic average of the nematic liquid crystal orientation field, $\rho_0 \geq 0$, $|n_0| = 1$, and pressure $p = a\rho^\gamma$ with $\gamma > 1$, where γ is the adiabatic constant (in the physically relevant case of a monoatomic gas, $\gamma = \frac{5}{3}$). This system is modeled after the theory of Oseen [1933] and Frank [1958]; see the articles [Ericksen 1962; Forster et al. 1971; Leslie 1966; 1968] or the books [Ericksen and Kinderlehrer 1987; Gennes and Prost 1993; Pasechnik et al. 2009; Stephen 1970; Xie 1988].

The system (1.1)–(1.3) is much more complicated than the compressible Navier–Stokes equations, because equation (1.3), like the situation with heat flow into a sphere, makes the strongly coupling term $\operatorname{div}(\nabla n \otimes \nabla n) - \nabla \frac{|\nabla n|^2}{2}$ have a weak convergence. So far, the existence of weak solutions to the system remains open, though there are celebrated contributions by Lions [1998]; see also [Feireisl 2004;

This work was supported partly by NSFC grant 11071043, 11131005, and 11071069.
MSC2010: 76N10, 35Q35, 35Q30.
Keywords: strong solutions, compressible liquid crystals, local existence.

Feireisl et al. 2001]. Liu and Qing [2011] proved the global existence of finite energy weak solutions to the case where the free energy is replaced by the Ginzburg–Landau approximation energy,

$$\min_{n\in H^1(\Omega;R^3)} \int_\Omega \tfrac{1}{2}|\nabla n|^2 + \frac{1}{4\sigma^2}(|n|^2-1)^2\,dx.$$

In the incompressible case, F. H. Lin and C. Liu, among others [Lin 1989; Lin and Liu 1995; Lin and Liu 2001; Lin and Liu 2000; Lin and Liu 1996; Calderer and Liu 2000], systematically studied the incompressible liquid crystal dynamics system based on the Ericksen–Leslie model (that is, the Ginzburg–Landau approximation case with ρ being a constant in system (1.1) makes the velocity field divergence free) and proved the global existence of weak solutions, classical solutions, and partial regularity. Liu and Zhang [2009] also studied the existence of weak solutions to the incompressible liquid crystal system with the Ginzburg–Landau approximation and ρ nonconstant.

It is well known that there exist no global solutions to the system (1.1)–(1.3) even in the incompressible case. Surprisingly, we can prove the local existence of a strong solution to the compressible liquid crystal system with initial density $\rho_0 \geq 0$. We gained enlightenment from the corresponding results of the compressible Navier–Stokes equations. There is a huge literature on the compressible Navier–Stokes equations, under the crucial assumption that the initial density ρ_0 is bounded below away from zero. The existence results were obtained by Nash, Itaya, Tani, Matsumura, and Nishida, among others. For general nonnegative initial density, Cho, Kim, and Choe [Choe and Kim 2003; Cho et al. 2004; Cho and Kim 2006] obtained the existence of a local strong solution to a compressible Navier–Stokes equation.

We first have the energy law

$$\frac{dE}{dt} + \int_\Omega \mu|\nabla u|^2 + \lambda\nu|\Delta n + |\nabla n|^2 n|^2 = 0$$

with

$$E(t) = \int_\Omega \Bigl(\tfrac{1}{2}\rho u^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}|\nabla n|^2 + \frac{a}{\gamma-1}\rho^\gamma\Bigr).$$

From the definition of velocity,

$$\frac{dx(X,t)}{dt} = u(x(X,t),t), \tag{1.6}$$

$$x(X,0) = X. \tag{1.7}$$

The continuity equation can be rewritten as

$$\frac{d\rho(x(X,t),t)}{dt} + \rho \operatorname{div} u = 0,$$

that is,

$$\rho(x,t) = \rho_0 \exp\left(-\int_0^t \operatorname{div} u\right). \tag{1.8}$$

We need the following regularity for ρ_0, n_0, and u_0:

$$\rho_0 \in W^{1,6}(\Omega), \quad u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega), \quad n_0 \in H^3(\Omega). \tag{1.9}$$

We also need some compatibility condition on the initial data: for some $g \in L^2$,

$$\mu \Delta u_0 - \lambda \operatorname{div}(\nabla n_0 \otimes \nabla n_0 - \tfrac{1}{2}|\nabla n_0|^2 I) - a\nabla \rho_0^\gamma = \rho_0^{\frac{1}{2}} g. \tag{1.10}$$

The following is our main result.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume Ω is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and (ρ_0, n_0, u_0) satisfies regularity condition* (1.9) *and compatibility condition* (1.10). *Then there exist a small time $T^* > 0$ and a unique strong solution (ρ, n, u) of the compressible liquid crystal system* (1.1)–(1.3) *in $(0, T^*) \times \Omega$, satisfying initial and boundary conditions* (1.4) *and* (1.5), *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho \in C([0,T^*]; W^{1,6}), && \rho_t \in C([0,T^*]; L^6),\\
&u \in C([0,T^*]; H_0^1 \cap H^2) \cap L^2(0,T^*; W^{2,6}), && u_t \in L^2(0,T^*; H_0^1),\\
&n \in C([0,T^*]; H^2) \cap L^2(0,T^*; W^{2,6}), && n_t \in C([0,T^*]; H_0^1),\\
&\sqrt{\rho} u_t \in C([0,T^*]; L^2).
\end{aligned}$$

2. Approximation solutions

We now consider the linearized equations as follows: for fixed smooth functions $v, d : \Omega \times [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^3$ with

$$\frac{dx(X,t)}{dt} = v(x(X,t),t)$$

and $x(X,0) = X$, and $v(x,0) = u_0(x)$, $d(x,0) = n_0(x)$,

$$\rho_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho v) = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

$$(\rho u)_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho v \otimes v) + a\nabla \rho^\gamma = \mu \Delta u - \lambda \operatorname{div}(\nabla n \otimes \nabla n - \tfrac{1}{2}|\nabla n|^2 I), \tag{2.2}$$

$$n_t - \gamma \Delta n = \lambda |\nabla d|^2 d - v \cdot \nabla d, \tag{2.3}$$

with initial and boundary conditions

$$(\rho, u, n)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0 + \delta, u_0, n_0), \quad x \in \Omega, \tag{2.4}$$

$$u(x,t) = u_0(x) = 0, \quad n(x,t) = n_0(x), \quad x \in \partial\Omega. \tag{2.5}$$

Here $\delta > 0$ is a constant, and $\rho_0 \geq 0$, $|n_0| = 1$.

We use the following notations: Suppose Banach spaces

$$\mathscr{A} = L^\infty(0,T;\, H^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0,T;\, W^{2,6}(\Omega)) \cap W_2^{1,1}((0,T)\times\Omega),$$
$$\mathscr{B} = L^\infty(0,T;\, W^{2,6}(\Omega)) \cap W_\infty^{1,1}((0,T)\times\Omega) \cap W_2^{2,1}((0,T)\times\Omega)$$

with norm respectively

$$\|v\|_{\mathscr{A}} = \|v\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^2(\Omega))} + \|v\|_{L^2(0,T;W^{2,6}(\Omega))} + \|v_t\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))},$$
$$\|d\|_{\mathscr{B}} = \|d_t\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\Omega))} + \|d_t\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^1(\Omega))} + \|d\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{2,6}(\Omega))}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *For given v with $\|v\|_{\mathscr{A}} \le A$, the unique solution ρ of* (2.1) *satisfies*

$$\|\rho\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,6}(\Omega))} \le cc_0(1+T^{\frac12}A)\exp(cT^{\frac12}A), \tag{2.6}$$
$$\|\rho_t\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^6(\Omega))} \le cc_0 A\exp(cT^{\frac12}A). \tag{2.7}$$

In particular,

$$\|p\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,6}(\Omega))} \le cc_0(1+T^{\frac12}A)\exp(cT^{\frac12}A), \tag{2.8}$$
$$\|p_t\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^6(\Omega))} \le cc_0 A\exp(cT^{\frac12}A), \tag{2.9}$$

where c is an absolute constant, perhaps dependent on Ω, λ, μ, γ, etc., and c_0 is a constant dependent on initial and boundary data.

Proof. Since

$$\nabla\rho = \nabla\rho_0 \exp\Big(-\int_0^t \operatorname{div} v\Big) - \rho_0\int_0^t \nabla\operatorname{div} v \exp\Big(-\int_0^t \operatorname{div} v\Big),$$
$$\rho_t = -\rho_0 \operatorname{div} v \exp\Big(-\int_0^t \operatorname{div} v\Big),$$

we have, from the Minkowski inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^6(\Omega)} &\le c\|\rho_0\|_{W^{1,6}(\Omega)}\Big(1+\Big\|\int_0^t \nabla^2 v\Big\|_{L^6(\Omega)}\Big)\exp\Big(\int_0^T \|\operatorname{div} v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\Big)\\
&\le c\|\rho_0\|_{W^{1,6}(\Omega)}\Big(1+\int_0^T \|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^6(\Omega)}\Big)\exp\Big(\int_0^T \|\operatorname{div} v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\Big)\\
&\le c\|\rho_0\|_{W^{1,6}(\Omega)}(1+T^{\frac12}\|v\|_X)\exp(cT^{\frac12}\|v\|_X)\\
&\le cc_0(1+T^{\frac12}A)\exp(cT^{\frac12}A),\\
\|\rho_t\|_{L^6(\Omega)} &\le c\|\rho_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\|\nabla v\|_{L^6(\Omega)}\exp\Big(\int_0^T \|\operatorname{div} v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\Big)\\
&\le cc_0\exp(cT^{\frac12}A)\|v\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \le cc_0 A\exp(cT^{\frac12}A),
\end{aligned}$$

where $X = L^2(0,T;\, W^{2,6}(\Omega))$. □

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose* $\|v\|_{\mathcal{A}} \le A$, $\|d\|_{\mathcal{B}} \le B$. *Then* (2.3) *with initial condition* $n(x,0) = n_0(x)$ *has a unique solution* n *and a constant* K_1, *depending only on* n_0 *and* u_0, *such that, for* $T = T(A, B)$ *small enough,*

$$\|n\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \|n_t\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\Omega))} + \|n_t\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^1(\Omega))} + \|n\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{2,6}(\Omega))} \le K_1. \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. The existence of a solution to (2.3) is standard. We just give the estimates as follows. Differentiating (2.3) with respect to time t,

$$n_{tt} - \nu\Delta n_t = \nu(|\nabla d|_t^2 d + |\nabla d|^2 d_t) + (v_t\cdot\nabla)d - (v\cdot\nabla)d_t.$$

Multiplying by Δn_t, integrating over Ω, and using the Cauchy inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\tfrac12 \frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega |\nabla n_t|^2 + \nu\int_\Omega |\Delta n_t|^2
&= -\int_\Omega \nu(|\nabla d|_t^2 d + |\nabla d|^2 d_t)\cdot\Delta n_t + (v_t\cdot\nabla)d\cdot\Delta n_t - (v\cdot\nabla)d_t\cdot\Delta n_t\\
&\le \int_\Omega 2\nu|\nabla d||\nabla d_t||d||\Delta n_t| + \nu|\nabla d|^2|d_t||\Delta n_t|\\
&\qquad + \int_\Omega |\nabla v_t||\nabla d||\nabla n_t| + |v_t||\nabla^2 d||\nabla n_t| + |v||\nabla d_t||\Delta n_t|\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^5 I_i.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.11}$$

We have the following estimates for I_i:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \int_\Omega 2\nu|\nabla d||\nabla d_t||d||\Delta n_t| \le c\int_\Omega |\nabla d|^2|\nabla d_t|^2|d|^2 + \frac{\nu}{6}\|\Delta n_t\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)},\\
I_2 &= \int_\Omega \nu|\nabla d|^2|d_t||\Delta n_t| \le c\int_\Omega |\nabla d|^4|d_t|^2 + \frac{\nu}{6}\|\Delta n_t\|^2_{L^2},\\
I_3 &= \int_\Omega |\nabla v_t||\nabla d||\nabla n_t| \le A^{-2}B^{-2}\int_\Omega |\nabla v_t|^2|\nabla d|^2 + A^2B^2\int_\Omega |\nabla n_t|^2,\\
I_4 &= \int_\Omega |v_t||\nabla^2 d||\nabla n_t| \le A^{-2}B^{-2}\int_\Omega |v_t|^2|\nabla^2 d|^2 + A^2B^2\int_\Omega |\nabla n_t|^2\\
&\le cA^{-2}B^{-2}\|\nabla v_t\|^2_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^6} + A^2B^2\int_\Omega |\nabla n_t|^2,\\
I_5 &= \int_\Omega |v||\nabla d_t||\Delta n_t| \le \frac{3}{\nu}\int_\Omega |v|^2|\nabla d_t|^2 + \frac{\nu}{6}\|\Delta n_t\|^2_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting all the estimates into (2.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega |\nabla n_t|^2 + \nu\int_\Omega |\Delta n_t|^2 &\le c\int_\Omega |\nabla d|^2|\nabla d_t|^2||d|^2 + c\int_\Omega |\nabla d|^4|d_t|^2\\
&\quad + cA^{-2}B^{-2}\int_\Omega |\nabla v_t|^2|\nabla d|^2 + cA^2B^2\int_\Omega |\nabla n_t|^2\\
&\quad + c\int_\Omega |v|^2|\nabla d_t|^2 + cA^{-2}B^{-2}\|\nabla v_t\|^2_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^6},
\end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla n_t|^2 + \nu \int_0^T \int_\Omega |\Delta n_t|^2 \\ \leq cB^6T + cA^2B^2T + c + cA^2B^2 \int_0^T \int_\Omega |\nabla n_t|^2 + c(n_0, u_0),$$

where

$$c(n_0, u_0) \\ = c\int_\Omega |\Delta \nabla n_0|^2 + |\nabla n_0|^2 |\nabla^2 n_0|^2 + |\nabla n_0|^6 + c\int_\Omega |\nabla u_0|^2 |\nabla n_0|^2 + |u_0|^2 |\nabla^2 n_0|^2.$$

Using Gronwall's inequality, we obtain

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla n_t|^2 \leq (cB^6T + cA^2B^2T + c_0)\exp(cA^2B^2T)$$

and

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla n_t|^2 + \nu \int_0^T \int_\Omega |\Delta n_t|^2 \leq c(B^6T + A^2B^2T + c_0)(1 + \exp(cA^2B^2T)).$$

Taking $T = T(A, B)$ small, we get

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla n_t|^2 + \nu \int_0^T \int_\Omega |\Delta n_t|^2 \leq c.$$

The elliptic estimates can be deduced from (2.3):

$$\begin{aligned} \|n\|_{W^{2,6}(\Omega)} &\leq \|n_t\|_{L^6} + \|v\cdot\nabla d\|_{L^6} + \||\nabla d|^2 d\|_{L^6} + \|n_0\|_{W^{2,6}} \\ &\leq \|v\cdot\nabla d\|_{L^6} + \|\,|\nabla d|^2 d\|_{L^6} + c_0. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate each item:

$$\begin{aligned} &\|v\cdot\nabla d\|_{L^6} \\ &\quad= \left(\int_\Omega |v|^6|\nabla d|^6\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \leq \left(\int_\Omega |v-u_0|^6|\nabla d|^6\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} + \|u_0\|_{L^\infty}\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla d|^6\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \\ &\quad\leq cB\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla v - \nabla u_0|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + c\|u_0\|_{L^\infty}\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla d - \nabla n_0|^6\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} + c\|u_0\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla n_0\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\quad\leq cB\left(\int_\Omega \left|\int_0^t \nabla v_t\right|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + c_0B^{\frac{2}{3}}\left(\int_\Omega\left|\int_0^t \nabla d_t\right|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} + c_0 \\ &\quad\leq cBT^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla v_t\|_{L^2(Q_T)} + c_0T^{\frac{1}{3}}B + c_0 \leq cABT^{\frac{1}{2}} + c_0BT^{\frac{1}{3}} + c_0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\||\nabla d|^2 d\|_{L^6} &= \left(\int_\Omega ||\nabla d|^2 d|^6\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \le \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla d|^{12}|d-n_0|^6\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} + c_0\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla d|^{12}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}}\\
&\le cB^2\left(\int_\Omega |d-n_0|^6\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} + c_0\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla d-\nabla n_0|^{12}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} + c_0\\
&\le cB^2\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla d-\nabla n_0|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + c_0 B\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla d-\nabla n_0|^6\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} + c_0\\
&\le cAB^2T^{\frac{1}{2}} + c_0B^2T^{\frac{1}{3}} + c_0.\end{aligned}$$

Taking $T = T(A, B)$ small enough, we obtain the desired $\|n\|_{W^{2,6}} \le c_0$. □

For (2.2) we have following Lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Under the conditions of Lemma 2.2, suppose n satisfies* (2.3) *and ρ* (2.1). *Then there exists a unique solution u satisfying* (2.2), *and there is a constant K_2, depending only on n_0 and u_0, such that, for $T = T(A, B)$ small enough,*

$$\|u\|_{\mathscr{A}} \equiv \|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^2(\Omega))} + \|u\|_{L^2(0,T;W^{2,6}(\Omega))} + \|u_t\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))} \le K_2. \tag{2.12}$$

Proof. Since

$$\rho \ge \delta \exp\left(-\int_0^T |\nabla v|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)}\right) > 0,$$

the standard theory of parabolic equations implies the existence of the solution to (2.2). Differentiating (2.2) with respect to time t, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\rho u_{tt} - \mu\Delta u_t\\
&= -\lambda\,\mathrm{div}((\nabla d\otimes\nabla d)_t - \tfrac{1}{2}|\nabla d|_t^2 I) - \nabla p_t - (\rho v\cdot\nabla)v_t - (\rho_t v\cdot\nabla)v - (\rho v_t\cdot\nabla)v - \rho_t u_t.\end{aligned}\tag{2.13}$$

Multiplying by u_t, integrating by parts, and using the continuity of (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega \rho|u_t|^2 + \mu\int_\Omega |\nabla u_t|^2\\
&= \lambda\int_\Omega ((\nabla d\otimes\nabla d)_t - \tfrac{1}{2}|\nabla d|_t^2 I)\cdot\nabla u_t\\
&\qquad - \int_\Omega \nabla p_t\cdot u_t - (\rho v\cdot\nabla)v_t\cdot u_t - (\rho_t v\cdot\nabla)v\cdot u_t - \int_\Omega (\rho v_t\cdot\nabla)v\cdot u_t + \rho_t|u_t|^2\\
&\le 3\lambda\int_\Omega |\nabla d||\nabla d_t||\nabla u_t| + \int_\Omega p_t\,\mathrm{div}(u_t) + \rho|v||\nabla v_t||u_t|\\
&\qquad + \int_\Omega \rho|v||\nabla v|^2|u_t| + \rho|v|^2|\nabla^2 v||u_t| + \rho|v||\nabla v||\nabla u_t|\\
&\qquad\qquad + \int_\Omega \rho|v_t||\nabla v||u_t| + 2\rho|v||\nabla u_t||u_t|\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{8} I_i.\end{aligned}$$

For each I_i we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= 3\lambda\int_\Omega |\nabla d||\nabla d_t||\nabla u_t| \le c\int_\Omega |\nabla d|^2|\nabla d_t|^2 + \frac{\mu}{12}\int_\Omega |\nabla u_t|^2 \le cB^4 + \frac{\mu}{12}\int_\Omega |\nabla u_t|^2,\\
I_2 &= \int_\Omega p_t \operatorname{div}(u_t) \le c\int_\Omega |p_t|^2 + \frac{\mu}{12}\int_\Omega |\nabla u_t|^2\\
&\le c_0 \exp\left(\int_0^T 2\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\right)\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{\mu}{12}\int_\Omega |\nabla u_t|^2\\
&\le c_0 A^2 \exp(cAT^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \frac{\mu}{12}\int_\Omega |\nabla u_t|^2,\\
I_3 &= \int_\Omega |\rho||v||\nabla v_t||u_t| \le A^4\int_\Omega \rho|u_t|^2 + c_0A^{-2}\exp(cAT^{\frac{1}{2}})\int_\Omega |\nabla v_t|^2,\\
I_4 &= \int_\Omega |\rho||v||\nabla v|^2|u_t| \le A^6\int_\Omega \rho|u_t|^2 + c_0\exp(cAT^{\frac{1}{2}}),\\
I_5 &= \int_\Omega |\rho||v|^2|\nabla^2 v||u_t| \le A^6\int_\Omega \rho|u_t|^2 + c_0\exp(cAT^{\frac{1}{2}}),\\
I_6 &= \int_\Omega \rho|v||\nabla v||\nabla u_t| \le c\int_\Omega \rho^2|v|^2|\nabla v|^2 + \frac{\mu}{12}\int_\Omega |\nabla u_t|^2\\
&\le c_0A^4\exp(cAT^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \frac{\mu}{12}\int_\Omega |\nabla u_t|^2,\\
I_7 &= \int_\Omega \rho|v_t||\nabla v||u_t| \le A^4\int_\Omega \rho|u_t|^2 + A^{-4}\int_\Omega \rho|v_t|^2|\nabla v|^2\\
&\le A^2\int_\Omega \rho|u_t|^2 + c_0A^{-2}\exp(cAT^{\frac{1}{2}})\int_\Omega |v_t|^2,\\
I_8 &= 2\int_\Omega \rho|v||\nabla u_t||u_t| \le c\int_\Omega \rho|u_t|^2(\rho|v|^2) + \frac{\mu}{12}\int_\Omega |\nabla u_t|^2\\
&\le c_0A^2\exp(cAT^{\frac{1}{2}})\int_\Omega \rho|u_t|^2 + \frac{\mu}{12}\int_\Omega |\nabla u_t|^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

From the above estimates, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \rho|u_t|^2 + \int_0^T\int_\Omega |\nabla u_t|^2\\
&\qquad \le cB^4T + c_0A^4T\exp(cAT^{\frac{1}{2}}) + c_0 + c_0A^4\exp(cAT^{\frac{1}{2}})\int_0^T\int_\Omega \rho|u_t|^2,
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\int_\Omega \rho|u_t|^2 + \int_0^T\int_\Omega |\nabla u_t|^2 \le (cB^4T + c_0A^4T\exp(cAT^{\frac{1}{2}}))c_0A^4T\exp(cAT^{\frac{1}{2}}).
$$

Taking $T = T(A, B)$ small enough, we deduce

$$\int_\Omega \rho|u_t|^2 + \int_0^T \int_\Omega |\nabla u_t|^2 \le C(c_0). \tag{2.14}$$

Finally, we estimate

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^2(\Omega))} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_{L^2(0,T;W^{2,6}(\Omega))}.$$

From (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \\
&\quad \le c(\|\nabla p\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\rho u_t\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla^2 n \nabla n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) + c(\|(\rho v\cdot\nabla)v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + c_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla p\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\le c_0 \exp(cAT^{\frac12}) + c_0 AT^{\frac12}\exp(cAT^{\frac12}),\\
\|\rho u_t\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\le c_0 \exp(cAT^{\frac12})\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2(\Omega)},\\
\|\nabla^2 n\nabla n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\le \|\nabla^2 n\|_{L^6(\Omega)}\|\nabla n\|^{\frac12}_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\nabla n\|^{\frac12}_{L^6(\Omega)} \le K_1^2,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\rho v\cdot\nabla v\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\\
&\qquad \le \|\rho\|^2_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\int_\Omega |v|^2|\nabla v|^2\\
&\qquad \le c_0\exp(cAT^{\frac12})\left(\int_\Omega |v-u_0|^2|\nabla v|^2 + \|u_0\|^2_{L^\infty}\int_\Omega |\nabla v - \nabla u_0|^2 + c_0\right)\\
&\qquad \le c_0\exp(cAT^{\frac12})\left(\int_\Omega \left|\int_0^t v_t\right|^2|\nabla v|^2 + c_0\int_\Omega\left|\int_0^t \nabla v_t\right|^2 + c_0\right)\\
&\qquad \le c_0\exp(cAT^{\frac12})(A^4T + c_0A^2T + c_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla p\|_{L^6(\Omega)} &\le c_0\exp(cAT^{\frac12}) + c_0AT^{\frac12}\exp(cAT^{\frac12}),\\
\|\rho u_t\|_{L^2(0,T;L^6(\Omega))} &\le c_0\exp(cAT^{\frac12})\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}\\
&\le c_0\exp(cAT^{\frac12})C(c_0),\\
\|\nabla^2 n\nabla n\|_{L^2(0,T;L^6(\Omega))} &\le \|\nabla^2 n\|_{L^2(0,T;L^6(\Omega))}\|\nabla n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \le K_1^2,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|\rho v\cdot\nabla v\|^2_{L^2(0,T;L^6(\Omega))}\\
&\qquad\le \|\rho\|^2_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\int_0^T\left(\int_\Omega |v|^6|\nabla v|^6\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\\
&\qquad\le c_0\exp(cAT^{\frac{1}{2}})\int_0^T \|v\|^2_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\|\nabla v\|^{\frac{4}{3}}_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\times\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla v-\nabla u_0|^2+1\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\\
&\qquad\le c_0\exp(cAT^{\frac{1}{2}})A^2\int_0^T \|\nabla v\|^{\frac{4}{3}}_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\times\left(\int_\Omega\left|\int_0^t \nabla v_t\right|^2+1\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\\
&\qquad\le c_0\exp(cAT^{\frac{1}{2}})\left(T\int_0^T\int_\Omega |\nabla v_t|^2+1\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\times\left(\int_0^T \|v\|^2_{W^{2,6}(\Omega)}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}T^{\frac{1}{3}}\\
&\qquad\le c_0\exp(cAT^{\frac{1}{2}})(TA^2+1)^{\frac{1}{3}}A^{\frac{4}{3}}T^{\frac{1}{3}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$\int_\Omega \rho|u_t|^2dx+\mu\int_0^T\int_\Omega |\nabla u_t|^2\,dx\,dt+\|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^2(\Omega))}+\|u\|_{L^2(0,T;W^{2,6}(\Omega))}\le C(c_0).$$

This concludes the proof. □

If (n^δ, u^δ) denotes a unique solution of (2.2) and (2.3) with

$$\rho(x,0)=\rho_0+\delta$$

and initial and boundary conditions, then taking $\delta\to 0$, we obtain a unique solution (n, u) of the linearized system (2.1)–(2.3) with $\rho(x,0)=\rho_0$ and initial and boundary conditions such that $\|n\|_{\mathcal{B}}\le K_1$, $\|u\|_{\mathcal{A}}\le K_2$. So we can define a map

$$\mathcal{T}:\mathcal{W}\to\mathcal{W},\quad (d, v)\mapsto (n, u),$$

where Banach space

$$\mathcal{W}=(\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathcal{B})\cap\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathcal{B}$$

with

$$\mathcal{C}=\{(n,u):\|(n,u)\|_{\mathcal{C}}=\|n\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\Omega))}+\|u\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))}<\infty\}.$$

The following lemma tells us that the map $\mathcal{T}$ is contracted in the sense of weaker norm for $(d, v)\in\mathcal{W}$.

Lemma 2.4. *There is a constant $0<\theta<1$ such that for any $(d^i, v^i)\in\mathcal{W}$, $i=1,2$,*

$$\|\mathcal{T}(d^1,v^1)-\mathcal{T}(d^2,v^2)\|_{\mathcal{C}}\le\theta\|(d^1-d^2, v^1-v^2)\|_{\mathcal{C}}$$

for some small $T>0$.

Proof. Suppose ρ_i, n^i, and u^i are the solutions to (2.1)–(2.3) corresponding to given $(d^i, v^i) \in \mathcal{W}$. Define $\rho = \rho_2 - \rho_1$, $d = d^2 - d^1$, $v = v^2 - v^1$, $n = n^2 - n^1$, $u = u^2 - u^1$, and

$$\rho_i = \rho_0 \exp\left(-\int_0^t \operatorname{div} v^i\right),$$

$i = 1, 2$. Then

$$\rho_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho v^2) = -\operatorname{div}(\rho_1 v), \tag{2.15}$$

$$n_t - \nu \Delta n = \nu |\nabla d^2|^2 d^2 - \nu |\nabla d^1|^2 d^1 - v^2 \nabla d^2 + v^1 \nabla d^1, \tag{2.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_2 u_t - \mu \Delta u = {} & (\rho_1 - \rho_2) u_t^1 + \rho_1 v^1 \nabla v^1 - \rho_2 v^2 \nabla v^2 + \nabla p_1 \\ & - \nabla p_2 - \lambda \nabla \cdot (\nabla n^2 \otimes \nabla n^2 - \tfrac{1}{2} |\nabla n^2|^2 I) \\ & + \lambda \nabla \cdot (\nabla n^1 \otimes \nabla n^1 - \tfrac{1}{2} |\nabla n^1|^2 I). \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Multiplying (2.16) by n and integrating over Ω, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tfrac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega |n|^2\, dx + \nu \int_\Omega |\nabla n|^2\, dx & \\ \le \int_\Omega |\nabla d^2|^2 d^2 \cdot n - |\nabla d^1|^2 d^1 \cdot n - v \nabla d^2 \cdot n - v^1 \nabla d \cdot n & \\ \le \eta \int_\Omega (|\nabla d|^2 + |\nabla v|^2) + c(\eta, A, B) \int_\Omega |n|^2, & \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

where $c(\eta, A, B)(s)$ satisfies

$$\int_0^T c(\eta, A, B)(s) ds \le K_3 \tag{2.19}$$

for small $T = T(A, B, \eta)$, where K_3 is a constant dependent on initial and boundary data c_0.

Differentiating (2.16) with respect to x_i, multiplying by ∇n, and integrating over Ω, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \tfrac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega |\nabla n|^2\, dx + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla^2 n|^2\, dx & \\ \le \eta \int_\Omega (|\nabla v|^2 + |\nabla d|^2 + |\nabla^2 d|^2) + c(\eta, A, B) \int_\Omega |\nabla n|^2, & \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

where $c(\eta, A, B)$ satisfies (2.19), and we have used the following identities and estimates:

$$\nabla d^2 \nabla^2 d^2 d^2 - \nabla d^1 \nabla^2 d^1 d^1 = \nabla d \nabla^2 d^2 d^1 + \nabla d^1 \nabla^2 d d^1 + \nabla d^1 \nabla^2 d^1 d,$$

$$|\nabla d^2|^2 \nabla d^2 - |\nabla d^1|^2 \nabla d^1 = |\nabla d^2|^2 \nabla d + (|\nabla d^2|^2 - |\nabla d^1|^2) \nabla d^1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |\nabla n|^2|\nabla^2 d^2|^2 &\le \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla^2 d^2|^6\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla n|^3\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}\\ &\le cB^2\|\nabla n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\nabla^2 n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le \frac{\nu}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla^2 n|^2 + cB^4\int_\Omega |\nabla n|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (2.15) by ρ and using the Minkowski inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega \tfrac{1}{2}|\rho|^2 &= \int_\Omega -\tfrac{1}{2}|\rho|^2 \operatorname{div} v^2 - \int_\Omega \rho(\nabla\rho_1 v + \rho_1 \operatorname{div} v)\\ &\le c\int_\Omega |\rho|^2|\nabla v^2| + c\|\rho\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\nabla\rho_1\|_{L^3(\Omega)}\|v\|_{L^6(\Omega)}\\ &\qquad + c\|\rho\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\rho_1\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\\ &\le c\|v^2\|_{W^{2,6}(\Omega)}\|\rho\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \eta\|\nabla v\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\\ &\qquad + c_0\eta^{-1}\exp(cAT^{\frac{1}{2}})\left(1+\left\|\int_0^t \nabla^2 v^1\right\|^2_{L^3(\Omega)}\right)\|\rho\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\\ &\le \eta\|\nabla v\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + c\|v^2\|_{W^{2,6}(\Omega)}\|\rho\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\\ &\qquad + c_0\eta^{-1}\exp(cAT^{\frac{1}{2}})(1+T\|\nabla^2 v^1\|^2_{L^2(0,T;L^6(\Omega))})\|\rho\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\\ &\le c_0\eta^{-1}\exp(cAT^{\frac{1}{2}})(1+TA^2+\|v^2\|_{W^{2,6}(\Omega)})\|\rho\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \eta\|\nabla v\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)},\end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega \tfrac{1}{2}|\rho|^2 \le \eta\|\nabla v\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + c(\eta, A, T)\|\rho\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}, \tag{2.21}$$

where $c(\eta, A, T)$ satisfies (2.19).

Multiplying (2.17) by u and integrating over Ω, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}&\tfrac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega \rho_2|u|^2\,dx + \mu\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2\,dx \qquad (2.22)\\ &= \int_\Omega -\rho_2 v^2 u\nabla u + (\rho_1-\rho_2)u^1_t\cdot u + \rho_1 v^1\nabla v^1\cdot u - \rho_2 v^2\nabla v^2\cdot u + (p_2-p_1)\operatorname{div} u\\ &\qquad + \lambda(\nabla n^2\otimes\nabla n^2 - \tfrac{1}{2}|\nabla n^2|^2 I)\nabla u - \lambda(\nabla n^1\otimes\nabla n^1 - \tfrac{1}{2}|\nabla n^1|^2 I)\nabla u\\ &= \int_\Omega -\rho_2 v^2 u\nabla u + (\rho_1-\rho_2)(u^1_t + v^1\nabla v^1)\cdot u\\ &\qquad - \rho_2(v\nabla v^2 + v^1\nabla v)\cdot u + (p_1-p_2)\operatorname{div} u\\ &\qquad + \lambda(\nabla n^2\otimes\nabla n^2 - \tfrac{1}{2}|\nabla n^2|^2 I)\nabla u - \lambda(\nabla n^1\otimes\nabla n^1 - \tfrac{1}{2}|\nabla n^1|^2 I)\nabla u\\ &\le \eta\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{2\mu}{3}\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 + c(\eta, A, B)\int_\Omega \rho_2|u|^2 + |\rho|^2 + |\nabla n|^2,\end{aligned}$$

where $c(\eta, A, B)$ satisfying (2.19). Here we have used the key estimates

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega \rho_2|v\nabla v^2+v^1\nabla v|\,|u| &\le \|\nabla v^2\|_{L^6(\Omega)}\|\rho_2 u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|v\|_{L^6(\Omega)}\\ &\qquad\qquad + \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\rho_2 u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|v^1\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\\ &\le c_0\exp(cAT^{\frac12})\|\sqrt{\rho_2}u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\nabla v^2\|_{H^2(\Omega)}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\\ &\qquad + c_0\exp(cAT^{\frac12})\|\sqrt{\rho_2}u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|v^1\|_{H^2(\Omega)}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\\ &\le \eta\|\nabla v\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}+c\eta^{-1}A^2\exp(cAT^{\frac12})\|\sqrt{\rho_2}u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)},\\ \int_\Omega|\nabla n|\,|\nabla u|\,|\nabla n^2| &\le \eta\int_\Omega|\nabla u|^2+c\eta^{-1}|\nabla n^2|^2_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\int_\Omega|\nabla n|^2\\ &\le \frac{\mu}{3}\int_\Omega|\nabla u|^2+cB^2\int_\Omega|\nabla n|^2,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega(\rho_1-\rho_2)(u_t^1+v^1\nabla v^1)\cdot u &\le \|\rho\|_{L^{\frac32}(\Omega)}\|u_t^1+v^1\nabla v^1\|_{L^6(\Omega)}\|u\|_{L^6(\Omega)}\\ &\le c\|\rho\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|u_t^1+v^1\nabla v^1\|_{H^1(\Omega)}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\\ &\le \frac{\mu}{3}\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}+c(A,T)(t)\|\rho\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)},\end{aligned}$$

where $c(\eta, A, T)(t)$ satisfies (2.19).

Summing inequalities (2.18) and (2.20)–(2.22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega|\rho|^2+|n|^2+|\nabla n|^2+\rho_2|u|^2+\int_\Omega|\nabla n|^2+|\nabla^2 n|^2+|\nabla u|^2\\ &\le c\eta\int_\Omega|\nabla v|^2+|\nabla d|^2+|\nabla^2 d|^2+c(\eta,A,B,T)\int_\Omega|\rho|^2+|n|^2+|\nabla n|^2+\rho_2|u|^2,\end{aligned}$$

which implies, by (2.19) and taking $T=T(\eta, A, B))$ small enough,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega|\rho|^2+|n|^2+|\nabla n|^2+\rho_2|u|^2\\ &\qquad\le \eta\exp\Big(\int_0^T c(\eta,A,B)(s)ds\Big)\int_0^T\int_\Omega|\rho|^2+|n|^2+|\nabla n|^2+\rho_2|u|^2\\ &\qquad\le c\eta\int_0^T\int_\Omega|\rho|^2+|n|^2+|\nabla n|^2+\rho_2|u|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, taking η small, we obtain

$$\|\rho\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}+\|n\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^1(\Omega))}+\|\sqrt{\rho_2}u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}\le c \tag{2.23}$$

and

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega |\nabla n|^2 + |\nabla^2 n|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 \leq \theta \int_0^T \int_\Omega |\nabla d|^2 + |\nabla^2 d|^2 + |\nabla v|^2$$

with $0 < \theta < 1$. Since n and u are zero on boundary, we finish the proof. □

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof. By the contractibility of $\mathcal{T}$, we can easily obtain a unique solution (n, u) of (1.3) and (1.2), and ρ is from u by formula (1.8), that is, ρ is a unique solution of (1.1). Lemmas 2.1–2.3 and the lower semicontinuity of norms imply that the solutions (ρ, n, u) satisfy the same estimates. Multiplying (1.3) by n, we get

$$|n|_t^2 + (u \cdot \nabla)|n|^2 = \nu \Delta |n|^2 + (|n|^2 - 1)|\nabla n|^2,$$

that is,

$$(|n|^2 - 1)_t + (u \cdot \nabla)(|n|^2 - 1) = \nu \Delta(|n|^2 - 1) + (|n|^2 - 1)|\nabla n|^2.$$

Define $D = (|n|^2 - 1)\exp(\|\nabla n\|^2_{L^\infty(Q_T)} t)$, where $Q_T = \Omega \times [0, T]$. Then

$$D_t + (u \cdot \nabla) D = \nu \Delta D + (|\nabla n|^2 - \|\nabla n\|^2_{L^\infty(Q_T)}) D$$

with $D|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. So from the maximum principle of parabolic equations,we deduce

$$D \equiv 0 \quad \text{in} \quad ((0, T) \times \Omega).$$

Thus we complete the proof of the theorem. □

References

[Calderer and Liu 2000] M. C. Calderer and C. Liu, "Liquid crystal flow: dynamic and static configurations", *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **60**:6 (2000), 1925–1949 (electronic). MR 1763310 (2001e:76009) Zbl 0956.35104

[Cho and Kim 2006] Y. Cho and H. Kim, "Existence results for viscous polytropic fluids with vacuum", *J. Differential Equations* **228**:2 (2006), 377–411. MR 2289539 (2007j:35155) Zbl 1135.35071

[Cho et al. 2004] Y. Cho, H. J. Choe, and H. Kim, "Unique solvability of the initial boundary value problems for compressible viscous fluids", *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* **83**:2 (2004), 243–275. MR 2038120 (2005a:76133) Zbl 1080.35066

[Choe and Kim 2003] H. J. Choe and H. Kim, "Strong solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations for isentropic compressible fluids", *J. Differential Equations* **190**:2 (2003), 504–523. MR 1970039 (2004b:35258) Zbl 1022.35037

[Ericksen 1962] J. L. Ericksen, "Hydrostatic theory of liquid crystals", *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **9** (1962), 371–378. MR 0137403 (25 #855) Zbl 0105.23403

[Ericksen and Kinderlehrer 1987] J. L. Ericksen and D. Kinderlehrer (editors), *Theory and applications of liquid crystals*, vol. 5, The IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications, Springer, New York, 1987. Papers from the IMA workshop held in Minneapolis, Minn., January 21–25, 1985, Edited by J. L. Ericksen and D. Kinderlehrer. MR 900827 (88d:82007) Zbl 0713.76006

[Feireisl 2004] E. Feireisl, *Dynamics of viscous compressible fluids*, vol. 26, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004. MR 2040667 (2005i:76092) Zbl 1080.76001

[Feireisl et al. 2001] E. Feireisl, A. Novotný, and H. Petzeltová, "On the existence of globally defined weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations", *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **3**:4 (2001), 358–392. MR 1867887 (2002k:35253) Zbl 0997.35043

[Forster et al. 1971] D. Forster, T. Lubensky, P. Martin, J. Swift, and P. Pershan, "Hydrodynamics of liquid crystals", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **26**:17 (1971), 1016–1019.

[Frank 1958] F. C. Frank, "On the theory of liquid crystals", *Discussions Faraday Soc.* **25** (1958), 19–28.

[Gennes and Prost 1993] P. G. de Gennes and J. Prost, *The physics of liquid crystals*, 2nd ed., International Series of Monographs on Physics (Oxford, England) **83**, Clarendon, Oxford, 1993.

[Leslie 1966] F. M. Leslie, "Some constitutive equations for anisotropic fluids", *Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math.* **19** (1966), 357–370. MR 0207302 (34 #7118) Zbl 0148.20504

[Leslie 1968] F. M. Leslie, "Some constitutive equations for liquid crystals", *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **28**:4 (1968), 265–283. MR 1553506 Zbl 0159.57101

[Lin 1989] F.-H. Lin, "Nonlinear theory of defects in nematic liquid crystals; phase transition and flow phenomena", *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **42**:6 (1989), 789–814. MR 1003435 (90g:82076) Zbl 0703.35173

[Lin and Liu 1995] F.-H. Lin and C. Liu, "Nonparabolic dissipative systems modeling the flow of liquid crystals", *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **48**:5 (1995), 501–537. MR 1329830 (96a:35154) Zbl 0842.35084

[Lin and Liu 1996] F.-H. Lin and C. Liu, "Partial regularity of the dynamic system modeling the flow of liquid crystals", *Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems* **2**:1 (1996), 1–22. MR 1367385 (96m:35255) Zbl 0948.35098

[Lin and Liu 2000] F.-H. Lin and C. Liu, "Existence of solutions for the Ericksen-Leslie system", *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **154**:2 (2000), 135–156. MR 1784963 (2003a:76014) Zbl 0963.35158

[Lin and Liu 2001] F. Lin and C. Liu, "Static and dynamic theories of liquid crystals", *J. Partial Differential Equations* **14**:4 (2001), 289–330. MR 1883167 (2003b:82063)

[Lions 1998] P.-L. Lions, *Mathematical topics in fluid mechanics. Vol. 2*, vol. 10, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1998. Compressible models, Oxford Science Publications. MR 1637634 (99m:76001) Zbl 0908.76004

[Liu and Qing 2011] X. Liu and J. Qing, "Globally weak solutions to the flow of compressible liquid crystals system", preprint, 2011. To appear in *Discret. Contin. Dyn. Syst. A*.

[Liu and Zhang 2009] X. Liu and Z. Zhang, "Global existence of weak solutions for the incompressible liquid crystals", *Chinese Ann. Math.* **30**:1 (2009), 1–20.

[Oseen 1933] C. W. Oseen, "The theory of liquid crystals", *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **29** (1933), 883–899. Zbl 0008.04203

[Pasechnik et al. 2009] S. V. Pasechnik, V. G. Chigrinov, and D. V. Shmeliova, *Liquid crystals: viscous and elastic properties*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2009. Zbl 0999.35078

[Stephen 1970] M. J. Stephen, “Hydrodynamics of liquid crystals”, *Phys. Rev. A* **2**:4 (1970), 1558–1562.

[Xie 1988] Y. Z. Xie, *The physics of liquid crystals*, Scientific Press, Beijing, 1988.

Received May 16, 2011. Revised November 12, 2011.

YU-MING CHU
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTATION SCIENCES
HUNAN CITY UNIVERSITY
YIYANG, 413000
CHINA
chuyuming@hutc.zj.cn

XIAN-GAO LIU
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
FUDAN UNIVERSITY
SHANGHAI, 200433
CHINA
xgliu@fudan.edu.cn

XIAO LIU
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
FUDAN UNIVERSITY
SHANGHAI, 200433
CHINA
shaw0820@gmail.com

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

http://pacificmath.org

Founded in 1951 by
E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

See inside back cover or pacificmath.org for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2012 is US $420/year for the electronic version, and $485/year for print and electronic.
Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. Prior back issues are obtainable from Periodicals Service Company, 11 Main Street, Germantown, NY 12526-5635. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and the Science Citation Index.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 969 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published monthly except July and August. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW™ from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
at the University of California, Berkeley 94720-3840
A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION
Typeset in LaTeX

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 257 No. 1 May 2012

0030-8730(201205)257:1;1-7