

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**DESINGULARIZATIONS OF QUIVER GRASSMANNIANS
FOR THE EQUIORIENTED CYCLE QUIVER**

ALEXANDER PÜTZ AND MARKUS REINEKE

DESINGULARIZATIONS OF QUIVER GRASSMANNIANS FOR THE EQUIORIENTED CYCLE QUIVER

ALEXANDER PÜTZ AND MARKUS REINEKE

We construct torus equivariant desingularizations of quiver Grassmannians for arbitrary nilpotent representations of an equioriented cycle quiver. We apply this to the computation of their torus equivariant cohomology.

1. Introduction

Quiver Grassmannians are projective varieties parametrizing subrepresentations of quiver representations. Originating in the geometric study of quiver representations [Schofield 1992] and in cluster algebra theory [Caldero and Chapoton 2006], they have been applied extensively in recent years in a Lie-theoretic context, namely as a fruitful source for degenerations of (affine) flag varieties [Cerulli Irelli et al. 2013; 2017; Feigin et al. 2017; Pütz 2022]. This approach allows for an application of homological methods from the representation theory of quivers to the study of such degenerate structures.

The resulting varieties being typically singular, a construction of natural desingularizations is very desirable. For quiver Grassmannians of representations of Dynkin quivers this was accomplished in [Cerulli Irelli et al. 2013] building on [Feigin and Finkelberg 2013], and for Grassmannians of subrepresentations of loop quivers in [Feigin et al. 2017] (in other directions, this construction was generalized to representations of large classes of finite dimensional algebras in [Crawley-Boevey and Sauter 2017; Keller and Scherotzke 2014; Leclerc and Plamondon 2013]).

In the present paper, we synthesize the approaches of [Cerulli Irelli et al. 2013; Feigin et al. 2017] and construct desingularizations of quiver Grassmannians for nilpotent representations of equioriented cycle quivers, thereby, in particular, desingularizing degenerate affine flag varieties [Pütz 2022].

As an important application, this allows us to describe the equivariant cohomology of degenerate affine flag varieties and more general quiver Grassmannians, in continuation of the program started in [Lanini and Pütz 2023a; 2023b].

In the first section, we recall some background material on quiver Grassmannians for nilpotent representations of the equioriented cycle quiver. In Section 3 we give

MSC2020: primary 14M15; secondary 14E15.

Keywords: quiver Grassmannian, affine flag variety, desingularization.

an explicit construction for desingularizations of quiver Grassmannians for nilpotent representations of the equioriented cycle, along the lines of [Cerulli Irelli et al. 2013]. We prove that the desingularization has a particularly favorable geometric structure, namely it is isomorphic to a tower of Grassmann bundle. Consequently, it, admits a cellular decomposition which is compatible with the cellular decomposition of the singular quiver Grassmannian. In Section 4, we recall the definition of certain torus actions on cyclic quiver Grassmannians, together with the necessary framework to compute torus equivariant cohomology. Finally, in Section 5 we prove that the desingularization is equivariant with respect to the torus action as introduced in [Lanini and Pütz 2023a]. This allows to use the construction from that paper for the computation of torus equivariant cohomology to all quiver Grassmannians for nilpotent representations of the equioriented cycle.

2. Quiver Grassmannians for the equioriented cycle

In this section we recall some definitions concerning quiver Grassmannians and representations of the equioriented cycle. We refer to [Kirillov Jr. 2016; Schiffler 2014] for general representation theoretic properties, and to [Cerulli Irelli et al. 2012] for basic properties of quiver Grassmannians.

Generalities on quiver representations. Let Q be a quiver, consisting of a set of vertices Q_0 and a set of arrows Q_1 between the vertices. A Q -representation M consists of a tuple of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces $M^{(i)}$ for $i \in Q_0$ and tuple of linear maps $M_\alpha : M^{(i)} \rightarrow M^{(j)}$ for $(\alpha : i \rightarrow j) \in Q_1$. We denote the category of finite dimensional Q -representations by $\text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(Q)$. The morphisms between two objects M and N are tuples of linear maps $\varphi_i : M^{(i)} \rightarrow N^{(i)}$ for $i \in Q_0$ such that $\varphi_j \circ M_\alpha = N_\alpha \circ \varphi_i$ holds for all $(\alpha : i \rightarrow j) \in Q_1$.

Definition 2.1. For $M \in \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(Q)$ and $e \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0}$, the **quiver Grassmannian** $\text{Gr}_e(M)$ is the closed subvariety of $\prod_{i \in Q_0} \text{Gr}_{e_i}(M^{(i)})$ of all subrepresentations U of M such that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} U^{(i)} = e_i$ for $i \in Q_0$.

For an isomorphism class $[N]$ of Q -representations, the stratum $\mathcal{S}_{[N]}$ is defined as the set of all points (that is, subrepresentations) $U \in \text{Gr}_e(M)$ such that U is isomorphic to N . By [Cerulli Irelli et al. 2012, Lemma 2.4], $\mathcal{S}_{[N]}$ is locally closed and irreducible. If there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of subrepresentations of M , as will be the case in the following, the $\mathcal{S}_{[N]}$ thus define a finite stratification of the quiver Grassmannians.

Every basis B of $M \in \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(Q)$ consists of bases

$$B^{(i)} = \{v_k^{(i)} : k \in [m_i]\}$$

for each vector space $M^{(i)}$ of the Q -representation M , where $m_i := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M^{(i)}$ for all $i \in Q_0$, and $[m] := \{1, \dots, m\}$.

Definition 2.2. Let $M \in \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(Q)$ and B a basis of M . The **coefficient quiver** $Q(M, B)$ consists of:

(QM0) The vertex set $Q(M, B)_0 = B$.

(QM1) The set of arrows $Q(M, B)_1$, containing $(\tilde{\alpha} : v_k^{(i)} \rightarrow v_\ell^{(j)})$ if and only if $(\alpha : i \rightarrow j) \in Q_1$ and the coefficient of $v_\ell^{(j)}$ in $M_\alpha v_k^{(i)}$ is nonzero.

Representations of the equioriented cycle. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by Δ_n we denote the equioriented cycle quiver on n vertices. Hence the set of arrows and the sets of vertices are in bijection with $\mathbb{Z}_n := \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$; more precisely, we have $(\Delta_n)_0 = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ and arrows $\alpha_i : i \rightarrow i + 1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Here and in the following, we consider all indices modulo n unless specified differently.

A Δ_n -representation M is called **N -nilpotent** for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ if

$$M_{\alpha_{i+N-1}} \circ M_{\alpha_{i+N-2}} \circ \dots \circ M_{\alpha_{i+1}} \circ M_{\alpha_i} = 0$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, i.e., all concatenations of the maps of M along the arrows of Δ_n vanish after at most N steps. M is called **nilpotent** if it is N -nilpotent for some N . From now on we use the short hand notation M_i for the map along the arrow α_i .


Example 2.3. Let $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ and let $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Consider the \mathbb{C} -vector space V with basis $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_\ell\}$ equipped with the \mathbb{Z}_n -grading given by $\deg(b_k) = i + k - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Take the operator $A \in \text{End}(V)$ uniquely determined by setting $Ab_k = b_{k+1}$ for any $k < \ell$ and $Aw_\ell = 0$. The vector space of the corresponding Δ_n -representation over the j -th vertex is spanned by the elements of B of degree j . Let m_j be the number of these basis elements. In this basis, the map from vertex j to $j + 1$ is given by a $m_j \times m_{j+1}$ matrix with ones on the diagonal below the main diagonal and all other entries equal to zero. It is immediate to check that this Δ_n -representation is nilpotent. We denote this representation by $U_i(\ell)$.

Proposition 2.4 [Kirillov Jr. 2016, Theorem 7.6.(1)]. *Every indecomposable nilpotent representation of Δ_n is isomorphic to some $U_i(\ell)$.*

Example 2.5. Observe that the basis B from Example 2.3 can be obviously rearranged into the union of ordered bases

$$B^{(i)} = \{v_r^{(i)} : r \in [k_i]\} \quad \text{for } i \in \mathbb{Z}_n,$$

where k_i is the number of elements $b \in B$ with $\deg(b) = i$. With respect to B , the coefficient quiver of $U_i(\ell)$ has the form:



By [Kirillov Jr. 2016, Theorem 1.11], every nilpotent Δ_n -representation is isomorphic to a Δ_n -representation of the form

$$M := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \bigoplus_{\ell \in [N]} U_i(\ell) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_{i,\ell}},$$

with $d_{i,\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ and $\ell \in [N]$. Here N is the nilpotence parameter of the representation and the tensor product with the \mathbb{C} -vector spaces counts the multiplicities of the indecomposable summands.

Let $\mathbb{C}\Delta_n$ be the path algebra of Δ_n and define the path

$$p_i(N) := (i + N | \alpha_{i+N-1} \circ \alpha_{i+N-2} \circ \cdots \circ \alpha_{i+1} \circ \alpha_i | i)$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ and some fixed $N \in \mathbb{N}$. We define the path algebra ideal

$$I_N := \langle p_i(N) : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}\Delta_n,$$

generated by all paths of length N , and we denote the truncated path algebra $\mathbb{C}\Delta_n/I_N$ by $A_n^{(N)}$. The following is a special case of [Schiffler 2014, Theorem 5.4].

Proposition 2.6. *The category $\text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Delta_n, I_N)$ of bounded quiver representations is equivalent to the category $\text{mod}_{\mathbb{C}}(A_n^{(N)})$ of modules over the truncated path algebra.*

Remark 2.7. The $U_i(N)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ are the longest indecomposable nilpotent representations in $\text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Delta_n, I_N)$.

Let $P_i \in \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Delta_n, I_N)$ be the Δ_n -representation starting at vertex $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ corresponding to the projective indecomposable $A_n^{(N)}$ -module, and let $I_j \in \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Delta_n, I_N)$ be the Δ_n -representation ending at vertex $j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ corresponding to the injective indecomposable $A_n^{(N)}$ -representation. We can identify bounded projective and bounded injective representations of the cycle, via indecomposable nilpotent representations (see [Pütz 2022, Proposition 4.2]):

Proposition 2.8. For $n, N \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ the projective and injective representations P_i and I_j of the bound quiver (Δ_n, \mathbf{I}_N) satisfy

$$P_i \cong U_i(N) \cong I_{i+N-1} \quad \text{and} \quad I_j \cong U_{j-N+1}(N) \cong P_{j-N+1}.$$

Remark 2.9. In particular, $U_i(N)$ is projective and injective in $\text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Delta_n, \mathbf{I}_N)$. If we want to emphasize the injective nature of an indecomposable Δ_n -representation we sometimes use the notation $U(j; \ell) := U_{j-\ell+1}(\ell)$.

Parametrization of irreducible components. In Section 3 we will construct desingularizations of all quiver Grassmannians associated to nilpotent representations of the equioriented cycle, which requires knowledge of their irreducible components. Let us first recall the approach: since there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of nilpotent Δ_n -representations in any fixed dimension, the stratification of every quiver Grassmannian into strata $\mathcal{S}_{[N]}$ is finite. Since the strata are irreducible, the irreducible components of quiver Grassmannians are therefore of the form $\overline{\mathcal{S}_{[N]}}$ for certain isomorphism classes $[N]$, which provide a natural labeling (and a canonical representative) of the components.

For arbitrary nilpotent representations of the equioriented cycle the structure of the irreducible components of the associated quiver Grassmannians is not known. In the special case that all indecomposable direct summands of the Δ_n -representation M have length $N = \omega n$ (for $\omega \in \mathbb{N}$) and $\mathbf{e} = (\omega k, \dots, \omega k) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we have an explicit description of the irreducible components of the quiver Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)$ [Pütz 2022, Lemma 4.10]:

Lemma 2.10. Let M denote the Δ_n -representation $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} U(i; \omega n) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_i}$ with $\omega \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, define $m := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} d_i$ and $\mathbf{e} := (\omega k, \dots, \omega k) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. The irreducible components of $\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)$ are in bijection with the set

$$C_k(\mathbf{d}) := \left\{ \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n : p_i \leq d_i \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}_n, \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} p_i = k \right\}$$

and they all have dimension $\omega k(m - k)$.

Remark 2.11. A representative of the open dense stratum in the irreducible component corresponding to $\mathbf{p} \in C_k(\mathbf{d})$ is

$$U_{\mathbf{p}} := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} U(i; \omega n) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{p_i}.$$

Example 2.12. Let $d_i = 1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Then by Lemma 2.10 the irreducible components are parametrized by the k -element subsets of $[n]$ and the representatives are

$$\bigoplus_{j \in I} U(j; \omega n)$$

for $I \in \binom{[n]}{k}$. The dimension of the irreducible components is $\omega k(n - k)$.

3. Construction of the desingularization

The approach to the construction of desingularizations of quiver Grassmannians for the equioriented cycle quiver carried out in this section is a synthesis of the approach of Cerulli Irelli et al. [2013] for Dynkin quivers and the approach of Feigin et al. [2017] for the loop quiver. We will construct another quiver for which certain quiver Grassmannians yield desingularizations, which relies on certain favorable homological properties similar to those in [Cerulli Irelli et al. 2013, Section 4]. Note that the present case is not immediately covered by the generalizations [Crawley-Boevey and Sauter 2017; Keller and Scherotzke 2014; Leclerc and Plamondon 2013], and our construction has the advantage of being of a very explicit linear algebra nature.

Bounded representations of the equioriented cylinder. In this subsection we introduce a map $\Lambda : \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Delta_n, I_N) \rightarrow \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(Q, I)$ for some bound quiver (Q, I) such that each quiver Grassmannian associated to $\Lambda(M)$ is smooth for all $M \in \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Delta_n, I_N)$. We start with the definition of Q and the ideal I . Let $\hat{\Delta}_{n,N}$ be the quiver with vertices $(\hat{\Delta}_{n,N})_0 = \{(i, k) : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n, k \in [N]\}$ and arrows


$$(\hat{\Delta}_{n,N})_1 = \{ \alpha_{i,k} : (i, k) \rightarrow (i, k+1) \text{ such that } i \in \mathbb{Z}_n, k \in [N-1] \} \\ \cup \{ \beta_{i,k} : (i, k) \rightarrow (i+1, k-1) \text{ such that } i \in \mathbb{Z}_n, k \in [N] \setminus \{1\} \},$$

which we call an equioriented cylinder quiver. We define $\hat{I}_{n,N}$ as the ideal in the path algebra $\mathbb{C}\hat{\Delta}_{n,N}$ generated by the relations

$$\beta_{i,k+1} \circ \alpha_{i,k} \equiv \alpha_{i+1,k-1} \circ \beta_{i,k} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{i+1,N-1} \circ \beta_{i,N} \equiv 0$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ and all $k \in [N-1] \setminus \{1\}$.

Example 3.1. $\hat{\Delta}_{4,4}$ is the following quiver:



We define a functor $\Lambda : \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Delta_n, \mathbf{I}_N) \rightarrow \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\hat{\Delta}_{n,N}, \hat{\mathbf{I}}_{n,N})$ on objects by

$$\Lambda(M) := \hat{M} = ((\hat{M}^{(i,k)})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n, k \in [N]}, (\hat{M}_{\alpha_{i,k}}, \hat{M}_{\beta_{i,k+1}})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n, k \in [N-1]}),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{M}^{(i,1)} &:= M^{(i)} && \text{for } k = 1, \\ \hat{M}^{(i,k)} &:= M_{i+k-2} \circ M_{i+k-3} \circ \cdots \circ M_{i+1} \circ M_i(M^{(i)}) && \text{for } k \geq 2, \\ \hat{M}_{\alpha_{i,k}} &:= M_{i+k-1}|_{\hat{M}^{(i,k)}} && \text{for } k \geq 1, \\ \hat{M}_{\beta_{i,k}} &:= \iota : \hat{M}^{(i,k)} \hookrightarrow \hat{M}^{(i+1,k-1)} && \text{for } k \geq 2, \end{aligned}$$

where the inclusions in the last row arise naturally from the definition of the vector spaces of the representation \hat{M} . Here M_i denotes the map along the arrow α_i .

Example 3.2. Let $n = N = 2$ and $M = U(1; 2) \oplus U(2; 2)$. The $\hat{\Delta}_{2,2}$ -representation $\Lambda(M)$ is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbb{C} & \\ (10) \nearrow & & \searrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbb{C}^2 & & \mathbb{C}^2 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \nwarrow & & \swarrow (10) \\ & \mathbb{C} & \end{array}$$

Proposition 3.3. $\Lambda : \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Delta_n, \mathbf{I}_N) \rightarrow \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\hat{\Delta}_{n,N}, \hat{\mathbf{I}}_{n,N})$ as defined above induces a bijection $\Lambda_{N,M} : \text{Hom}_{\Delta_n}(N, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\hat{\Delta}_{n,N}}(\hat{N}, \hat{M})$ for all $N, M \in \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Delta_n, \mathbf{I}_N)$ and hence is a fully faithful functor.

Proof. By construction of Λ , the vector spaces constituting $\hat{M} \in \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\hat{\Delta}_{n,N}, \hat{\mathbf{I}}_{n,N})$ are subspaces of the corresponding vector spaces constituting M . Hence each morphism in $\text{Hom}_{\Delta_n}(N, M)$ induces a morphism in $\text{Hom}_{\hat{\Delta}_{n,N}}(\hat{N}, \hat{M})$ whose components at the additional vertices are obtained by restriction. It is immediate to check that this induces the desired bijection $\Lambda_{N,M}$, $\Lambda_{N,M}(\text{id}_M) = \text{id}_{\hat{M}}$ and that $\Lambda_{N,M}(\phi) \circ \Lambda_{N,M}(\psi) = \Lambda_{N,M}(\phi \circ \psi)$ holds for all $\phi, \psi \in \text{Hom}_{\Delta_n}(N, M)$ and all $N, M \in \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Delta_n, \mathbf{I}_N)$. \square

Now we want to describe the image of the indecomposable $U_i(\ell)$ under Λ . Let $A_{\infty \times N}$ be the infinite band quiver of height N , that is, the quiver with vertices (i, k) for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in [N]$ and arrows $\alpha_{i,k} : (i, k) \rightarrow (i, k+1)$ and $\beta_{i,k} : (i, k) \rightarrow (i+1, k-1)$ whenever both vertices exist. Define a map of quivers $\phi : A_{\infty \times N} \rightarrow \hat{\Delta}_{n,N}$, induced by sending each index $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ to its equivalence class $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. This extends to a push-down functor $\Phi : \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(A_{\infty \times N}) \rightarrow \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\hat{\Delta}_{n,N})$ with

$$(\Phi(V))^{(i,k)} = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} V^{(i+rn,k)}, \quad (\Phi(V))_{\alpha_{i,k}} = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{\alpha_{i+rn,k}}, \quad (\Phi(V))_{\beta_{i,k}} = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{\beta_{i+rn,k}}$$


for all $V \in \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(A_{\infty \times N})$. Consider the $A_{\infty \times N}$ -representation $V(i; \ell)$ with vector spaces $V(i; \ell)^{(j,k)} = \mathbb{C}$ for $(j, k) \in (A_{\infty \times N})_0$ with $i \leq j \leq i + \ell - 1$ and $1 \leq k \leq i + \ell - j$

and zero otherwise. The maps along the arrows of $A_{\infty \times N}$ are identities if both the source and target space are one-dimensional and zero otherwise. Using the explicit definitions of the functors Λ and Φ , and the explicit descriptions of the representations $U_i(\ell)$ and $V(i; \ell)$, we can now directly verify that


$$\Lambda(U_i(\ell)) = \Phi(V(i; \ell)).$$

Analogously, we define $A_{\infty \times N}$ -representations $V(i, k; \ell)$ consisting of vector spaces $V(i, k; \ell)^{(j, r)} = \mathbb{C}$ for $(j, r) \in (A_{\infty \times N})_0$ with $j \geq i$ and $i+k \leq j+r \leq i+k+\ell$ and $W(i, k; \ell)$ with vector spaces $W(i, k; \ell)^{(j, r)} = \mathbb{C}$ for $(j, r) \in (A_{\infty \times N})_0$ with $j \leq i$ and $i-\ell \leq j+r \leq i+k$.


Example 3.4. For $N = 4$ the quiver $A_{\infty \times N}$ is



Its representation $V(1, 3)$ is of the form



From now on we erase all zeros and arrows connected to zeros from the picture. Hence we obtain



Homological properties of the category of cylinder representations. In this section, we follow closely the approach of Cerulli Irelli et al. [2013] to establish certain favorable homological properties of the image of the functor Λ .

Proposition 3.5. *The simple, projective and injective objects in $\text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\hat{\Delta}_{n,N}, \hat{\mathbb{I}}_{n,N})$ are given by*

$$S_{i,k} := \Phi(V(i, k; 0)), \quad P_{i,k} := \Phi(V(i, k; N - k)), \quad I_{i,k} := \Phi(W(i, k; N - k)),$$

respectively, for all $(i, k) \in (\hat{\Delta}_{n,N})_0$.

Proof. For the simple objects this is immediate. The parametrization of the projective and injective representations is a direct computation using the formula based on paths in the quiver $\hat{\Delta}_{n,N}$ (see [Schiffler 2014, Definition 5.3]) and their relations from $\hat{\mathbb{I}}_{n,N}$ as described in the beginning of this section. \square

Theorem 3.6. *The category $\text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\hat{\Delta}_{n,N}, \hat{\mathbb{I}}_{n,N})$ has global dimension at most two.*


Proof. It suffices to construct projective resolutions of length at most two for all simple representations in $\text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\hat{\Delta}_{n,N}, \hat{\mathbb{I}}_{n,N})$. These representations are denoted by $S_{i,k}$ and consist of a single copy of \mathbb{C} at vertex (i, k) and all other vector spaces and the maps are zero. The projective resolutions of $S_{i,1}$ are of the form

$$0 \rightarrow P_{i,2} \rightarrow P_{i,1} \rightarrow S_{i,1} \rightarrow 0$$

and for $S_{i,k}$ with $k \geq 2$ this generalizes to

$$0 \rightarrow P_{i+1,k} \rightarrow P_{i+1,k-1} \oplus P_{i,k+1} \rightarrow P_{i,k} \rightarrow S_{i,k} \rightarrow 0. \quad \square$$

Example 3.7. For $N = 4$ and $S_{i,3}$ we obtain the following projective resolution:



Lemma 3.8. *For $M \in \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Delta_n, \mathbb{I}_N)$ the injective and projective dimension of \hat{M} is at most one and $\text{Ext}_{\hat{\Delta}_{n,N}, \hat{\mathbb{I}}_{n,N}}^1(\hat{M}, \hat{M}) = 0$.*

Proof. It suffices to compute the projective and injective dimension of the image of all indecomposable representations $U_i(\ell) \in \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Delta_n, \mathbb{I}_N)$, by exhibiting projective

resp. injective resolutions, namely

$$0 \rightarrow P_{i,\ell+1} \rightarrow P_{i,1} \rightarrow \hat{U}_i(\ell) \rightarrow 0, \quad 0 \rightarrow \hat{U}(j; \ell) \rightarrow I_{j,1} \rightarrow I_{j-\ell,\ell+1} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $j := i + \ell - 1$ and hence $U(j; \ell) = U_i(\ell)$.

It remains to prove vanishing of all

$$\text{Ext}_{\hat{\Delta}_{n,N}, \hat{\Gamma}_{n,N}}^1(\hat{U}_i(\ell), \hat{U}_j(\ell')).$$

We apply the functor

$$\text{Hom}_{\hat{\Delta}_{n,N}, \hat{\Gamma}_{n,N}}(_, \hat{U}_j(\ell'))$$

to the above projective resolution of $\hat{U}_i(\ell)$, simplify the terms involving projectives, and obtain the exact sequence


$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\hat{U}_i(\ell), \hat{U}_j(\ell')) \rightarrow \hat{U}_j(\ell')^{(i,1)} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \hat{U}_j(\ell')^{(i,\ell+1)} \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\hat{U}_i(\ell), \hat{U}_j(\ell')) \rightarrow 0.$$

By definition of Λ , the map α is the canonical surjection

$$U_j(\ell')^{(i)} \rightarrow (U_j(\ell')_{i+\ell-1} \circ \dots \circ U_j(\ell')_i)(U_j(\ell')^{(i)}),$$

proving the desired Ext^1 -vanishing. □

Example 3.9. For $N = 4$ we obtain the following projective and injective resolutions of $U_i(3) = U(i - 2; 3)$:



The restriction functor. For each $W \in \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\hat{\Delta}_{n,N}, \hat{\mathbf{I}}_{n,N})$ we define the representation $\text{res } W \in \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Delta_n, \mathbf{I}_N)$ by

$$\text{res } W := \left((W^{(i,1)})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n}, (W_{\beta_{i,2}} \circ W_{\alpha_{i,1}})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \right).$$

This induces maps $\text{res}_{V,W} : \text{Hom}_{\hat{\Delta}_{n,N}}(V, W) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Delta_n}(\text{res } V, \text{res } W)$, by forgetting the components of the morphisms at the vertices (i, k) with $k \geq 2$. Hence we obtain a functor $\text{res} : \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\hat{\Delta}_{n,N}, \hat{\mathbf{I}}_{n,N}) \rightarrow \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Delta_n, \mathbf{I}_N)$. The proof of the following proposition is immediate by the construction of Λ and res .

Proposition 3.10. $\text{res} \circ \Lambda(M) = M$ holds for all $M \in \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Delta_n, \mathbf{I}_N)$.

The desingularization map. In this subsection we provide the construction of the desingularization map, again closely following [Cerulli Irelli et al. 2013]. An example is given below.

Definition 3.11. An isomorphism class $[N]$ of Δ_n -representations is called a **generic subrepresentation type** of $M \in \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Delta_n, \mathbf{I}_N)$ to dimension vector \mathbf{e} , if the stratum $\mathcal{S}_{[N]}$ is open in $\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)$. The set of generic subrepresentation types is denoted by $\text{gsub}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)$.

Remark 3.12. By construction, for some $[N] \in \text{gsub}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)$ the closure of the stratum $\mathcal{S}_{[N]}$ is an irreducible component of $\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)$, and all irreducible components are obtained in this way.

Remark 3.13. In general, there is no explicit description of the $\text{gsub}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)$. But if the indecomposable summands of M are all of length ωn for $n, \omega \in \mathbb{N}$, we can apply Lemma 2.10.

Example 3.14. Let $n = 3$, $N = 2$ and consider the quiver Grassmannian for $M = U_1(2)^2 \oplus U_2(2)^3 \oplus U_3(2)$ and $\mathbf{e} = (1, 2, 3)$. It has eight isomorphism classes of subrepresentations but only two irreducible components. Namely $\overline{\mathcal{S}_{[N_{1,2}]}}$ for $N_1 = U_2(2)^2 \oplus U_3(2)$ and $N_2 = U_1(2) \oplus U_2(2) \oplus U_3(1)^2$. The stratum of N_1 is seven-dimensional whereas the stratum of N_2 is only five-dimensional.

For $[N] \in \text{gsub}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)$ we define a map from a quiver Grassmannian of the cylinder quiver to a quiver Grassmannian of the cycle quiver

$$\pi_N : \text{Gr}_{\dim \hat{N}}(\hat{M}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)$$

by $\pi_N(U) := \text{res } U$ for all $U \in \text{Gr}_{\dim \hat{N}}(\hat{M})$.

Proposition 3.15. For each $[N] \in \text{gsub}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)$ the map

$$\pi_N : \text{Gr}_{\dim \hat{N}}(\hat{M}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)$$

is injective over $\mathcal{S}_{[N]}$.

Proof. Let $U \in \mathcal{S}_{[N]} \subseteq \text{Gr}_e(M)$, then $\dim \hat{U} = \dim \hat{N}$ and

$$\pi_N^{-1}(U) = \{V \in \text{Gr}_{\dim \hat{N}}(\hat{M}) : V^{(i,1)} = U^{(i)} \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}.$$

In particular \hat{U} is contained in $\pi_N^{-1}(U) \subset \text{Gr}_{\dim \hat{N}}(\hat{M})$. It remains to show that $\pi_N^{-1}(U) = \{\hat{U}\}$: By construction of res and Λ it follows that $\hat{U}^{(i,2)} \subset V^{(i,2)}$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \hat{U}^{(i,2)} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V^{(i,2)}$ holds for all $V \in \pi_N^{-1}(U)$ since U and N are isomorphic. This implies that $\hat{U}^{(i,2)} = V^{(i,2)}$ holds for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Inductively, it follows that $V = \hat{U}$. \square

Proposition 3.16. *For each $[N] \in \text{gsub}_e(M)$ the fiber of π_N over $U \in \text{Gr}_e(M)$ is*

$$\pi_N^{-1}(U) = \mathcal{F}_U := \{F \in \text{Gr}_{\dim \hat{N}}(\hat{M}) : \hat{U} \subseteq F\} \cong \text{Gr}_{\dim \hat{N} - \dim \hat{U}}(\hat{M}/\hat{U}).$$

Proof. Observe that $\dim U = \dim N$, so that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \hat{U}^{(i,1)} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \hat{N}^{(i,1)}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ and the first nontrivial choice of a subspace $F^{(i,k)}$ is over vertices (i, k) with $k \geq 2$. The inclusion $\mathcal{F}_U \subseteq \pi_N^{-1}(U)$ holds since $\pi_N(F) = U$ is clear by definition of \mathcal{F}_U and the construction of the restriction functor. The other inclusion follows since every point V of the fiber $\pi_N^{-1}(U)$ has to contain the vector spaces of \hat{U} in its vector spaces $V^{(i,k)}$ over each vertex (i, k) of $\hat{\Delta}_{n,N}$, in order to map to U . The isomorphism between \mathcal{F}_U and the quiver Grassmannian is a direct consequence of the explicit description of the fiber. \square

We are now ready to state the main result of the paper, which is proved after the next proposition.

Theorem 3.17. *Let $M \in \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Delta_n, \mathbf{I}_N)$. The map*

$$\pi := \bigsqcup_{[N] \in \text{gsub}_e(M)} \pi_N : \bigsqcup_{[N] \in \text{gsub}_e(M)} \text{Gr}_{\dim \hat{N}}(\hat{M}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_e(M)$$

is a desingularization of $\text{Gr}_e(M)$.

Remark 3.18. Using [Proposition 3.16](#), we can compute the fiber dimensions for the desingularization to examine whether it is small, in the spirit of [\[Feigin and Finkelberg 2013, Section 2\]](#). This is the case for the quiver Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_2(M)$ from [\[Lanini and Pütz 2023b, Example 3.13\]](#) where $Q = \Delta_1$ and $M = U_1(2) \oplus S_1^2$. In general, desingularizations of quiver Grassmannians for the cycle are not small. It already fails for the loop quiver (i.e., Δ_1) and the quiver Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_2(N)$ where $N = U_1(2)^2$.

For the proof of [Theorem 3.17](#) we recollect the main properties of the maps π_N :

Proposition 3.19. *Let $M \in \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Delta_n, \mathbf{I}_N)$ and $[N] \in \text{gsub}_e(M)$. Then:*

- (i) *The variety $\text{Gr}_{\dim \hat{N}}(\hat{M})$ is smooth with irreducible equidimensional connected components.*

- (ii) The map π_N is one-to-one over $\mathcal{S}_{[N]}$.
- (iii) The image of π_N is closed in $\text{Gr}_e(M)$ and contains $\overline{\mathcal{S}_{[N]}}$.
- (iv) The map π_N is projective.

Proof. By [Theorem 3.6](#) and [Lemma 3.8](#) we can apply [\[Cerulli Irelli et al. 2013, Proposition 7.1\]](#) to each quiver Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_{\dim \hat{N}}(\hat{M})$ and obtain the properties stated in (i). [Proposition 3.15](#) is exactly part (ii). The remaining parts are proven analogous to [\[Cerulli Irelli et al. 2013, Theorem 7.5\]](#) since the functor Λ is fully faithful by [Proposition 3.3](#). \square

Proof of Theorem 3.17. By [\[Cerulli Irelli et al. 2021, Proposition 37\]](#), we obtain that

$$\text{Gr}_{\dim \hat{N}}(\hat{M}) = \overline{\mathcal{S}_{[\hat{N}]}}$$

since \hat{M} is rigid by [Lemma 3.8](#). With the properties of $\hat{\Delta}_{n,N}$ -representations from [Theorem 3.6](#) and [Lemma 3.8](#), the maps π_N as in [Proposition 3.19](#) and Λ as in [Proposition 3.3](#), the rest of the proof is the same as for [\[Cerulli Irelli et al. 2013, Corollary 7.7\]](#). \square

Remark 3.20. In particular, Cerulli Irelli et al. [\[2021, Proposition 37\]](#) proves the conjecture from [\[Cerulli Irelli et al. 2013, Remark 7.8\]](#), about the irreducibility of $\text{Gr}_e(\hat{M})$ in [\[Cerulli Irelli et al. 2013, Corollary 7.7\]](#) for arbitrary representations M of a Dynkin quiver.

The following result generalizes [\[Feigin et al. 2023b, Theorem 7.10\]](#).

Theorem 3.21. *For each $[N] \in \text{gsub}_e(M)$ the quiver Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_{\dim \hat{N}}(\hat{M})$ is isomorphic to a tower of fibrations*

$$\text{Gr}_{\dim \hat{N}}(\hat{M}) = X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_N = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \text{Gr}_{\hat{n}^{(i,N)}}(\mathbb{C}^{\hat{m}^{(i,N)}}),$$

where $\hat{n} := \dim \hat{N}$ and $\hat{m} := \dim \hat{M}$ and each map $X_k \rightarrow X_{k+1}$ for $k \in [N-1]$ is a fibration with fiber isomorphic to a product of ordinary Grassmannians of subspaces.

Proof. Every point U of the quiver Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_{\dim \hat{N}}(\hat{M})$ is parametrized by a collection of subspaces $U^{(i,k)} \subseteq M^{(i,k)}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ and $k \in [N]$. In particular it is a point in

$$\text{Gr}_{\hat{n}}(\mathbb{C}^{\hat{m}}) := \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \prod_{k \in [N]} \text{Gr}_{\hat{n}^{(i,k)}}(\mathbb{C}^{\hat{m}^{(i,k)}}).$$

Define X_k as the image of $\text{Gr}_{\dim \hat{N}}(\hat{M})$ in the variety $\text{Gr}_{\hat{n}}(\hat{m})^{(k)}$ which is defined analogous to $\text{Gr}_{\hat{n}}(\mathbb{C}^{\hat{m}})$, with the only difference that the second product runs over $\{k, k+1, \dots, N\}$ instead of $[N]$. Hence $\text{Gr}_{\dim \hat{N}}(\hat{M}) = X_1$ follows by construction.

We proceed by decreasing induction starting from $k = N$. Every point in the product of Grassmannians of subspaces $\text{Gr}_{\hat{n}}(\hat{m})^{(N)}$ can be extended to an element of $\text{Gr}_{\dim \hat{N}}(\hat{M})$ since the upper vector spaces of an element in the quiver Grassmannian are not related. This implies $X_N = \text{Gr}_{\hat{n}}(\hat{m})^{(N)}$ as desired.

Now assume that the vector spaces $U^{(i,k')}$ are fixed for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ and $k' > k$. Since U has to be contained in the quiver Grassmannian it has to satisfy the relations

$$\hat{M}_{\alpha_{i+1,k}} \circ \hat{M}_{\beta_{i,k+1}} U^{(i,k+1)} \subseteq U^{(i+1,k+1)} \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}_n.$$

Hence the next layer of vector spaces $U^{(i,k)}$ requires

$$\hat{M}_{\beta_{i-1,k+1}} U^{(i-1,k+1)} \subseteq U^{(i,k)} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{M}_{\alpha_{i,k}} U^{(i,k)} \subseteq U^{(i,k+1)} \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}_n.$$

This is equivalent to the choice of a point in the Grassmannian

$$\text{Gr}_{\hat{n}^{(i,k)} - \hat{n}^{(i-1,k+1)}}(U^{(i,k)} / \hat{M}_{\beta_{i-1,k+1}} U^{(i-1,k+1)})$$

because every map $\hat{M}_{\alpha_{i,k}}$ is a projection where the last $\hat{m}^{(i,k)} - \hat{m}^{(i,k+1)}$ coordinates are sent to zero and each $\hat{M}_{\beta_{i,k}}$ is an inclusion. \square

Remark 3.22. The explicit description of the desingularization in [Theorem 3.21](#) allows to construct a cellular decomposition of $\text{Gr}_{\dim \hat{N}}(\hat{M})$ (see [Theorem 5.5](#)). In particular, it implies that $\text{Gr}_{\dim \hat{N}}(\hat{M})$ is smooth.

4. Torus equivariant cohomology and equivariant Euler classes

In this section we briefly recall definitions and constructions concerning torus actions on quiver Grassmannians, torus equivariant cohomology and torus equivariant Euler classes. More details on the general theory is found in [[Arabia 1998](#); [Brion 1998](#); [Goresky et al. 1998](#); [Gonzales 2014](#)]. The application to quiver Grassmannians is introduced in [[Lanini and Pütz 2023a](#); [2023b](#)]. In [Section 5](#) we provide examples and apply our desingularizations to the computation of equivariant cohomology of quiver Grassmannians for the equioriented cycle.

Moment graph and torus equivariant cohomology. Let X be a projective algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} . The action of an algebraic torus $T \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^r$ on X is **skeletal** if the number of T -fixed points and the number of one-dimensional T -orbits in X is finite. We call a cocharacter $\chi \in \mathfrak{X}_*(T)$ **generic** for the T -action on X if $X^T = X^\chi(\mathbb{C}^*)$. By $\mathfrak{X}^*(T)$ we denote the character lattice of T . The T -equivariant cohomology of X with rational coefficients is denoted by $H_T^*(X)$.

Definition 4.1. The pair (X, T) is a **GKM-variety** if the T -action on X is skeletal and the rational cohomology of X vanishes in odd degrees.

Remark 4.2. By [[Brion 2000](#), Lemma 2] this is equivalent to [[Lanini and Pütz 2023a](#), Definition 1.4].

The closure \bar{E} of every one-dimensional T -orbit E in a projective GKM-variety admits an T -equivariant isomorphism to $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$. Thus each one-dimensional T -orbit connects two distinct T -fixed points of X .

Definition 4.3. Let (X, T) be a GKM-variety, and let $\chi \in \mathfrak{X}_*(T)$ be a generic cocharacter. The corresponding **moment graph** $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(X, T, \chi)$ of a GKM-variety is given by the following data:

(MG0) The T -fixed points as vertices, i.e., $\mathcal{G}_0 = X^T$.

(MG1) The closures of one-dimensional T -orbits $\bar{E} = E \cup \{x, y\}$ as edges in \mathcal{G}_1 , oriented from x to y if $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \chi(\lambda) \cdot p = x$ for $p \in E$.

(MG2) Every \bar{E} is labeled by $\alpha_E \in \mathfrak{X}^*(T)$ describing the T -action on E .

Theorem 4.4 [Goresky et al. 1998, Theorem 1.2.2]. *Let (X, T) be a GKM-variety with moment graph $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(X, T, \chi)$ and set $R := H_T^\bullet(pt)$. Then*

$$H_T^\bullet(X) \cong \left\{ (f_x) \in \bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{G}_0} R \mid f_{x_E} - f_{y_E} \in \alpha_E R \text{ for any } \bar{E} = E \cup \{x_E, y_E\} \in \mathcal{G}_1 \right\}.$$

Remark 4.5. The characters from (MG2) are only unique up to a sign. This sign does not play a role in [Theorem 4.4](#). Hence we can fix our favorite convention.

BB-filterable varieties. In this subsection we describe a class of varieties which admit an explicit formula for the computation of their equivariant cohomology. Let X be a \mathbb{C}^* -variety. By $X^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ we denote its fixed point set and X_1, \dots, X_m denote the connected components of $X^{\mathbb{C}^*}$. This induces a decomposition

$$(4.6) \quad X = \bigcup_{i \in [m]} W_i, \quad \text{with } W_i := \left\{ x \in X : \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} z \cdot x \in X_i \right\},$$

where W_i is called attracting set of X_i . Since decompositions of this type were first studied by Bialynicki-Birula [1973], we call it a **BB-decomposition**.

Definition 4.7. We say that W_i from (4.6) is a **rational cell** if it is rationally smooth at all $w \in W_i$. This in turn holds if

$$H^{2 \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(W_i)}(W_i, W_i \setminus \{w\}) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \quad \text{and} \quad H^m(W_i, W_i \setminus \{w\}) = 0$$

for any $m \neq 2 \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(W_i)$ (see [Gonzales 2014, p. 292, Definition 3.4]).

Definition 4.8. A projective T -variety X is **BB-filterable** if:

(BB1) The fixed point set X^T is finite.

(BB2) There exists a generic cocharacter $\chi : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow T$, i.e., $X^{\chi(\mathbb{C}^*)} = X^T$, such that the associated BB-decomposition consists of rational cells.

Theorem 4.9 (see [Lanini and Pütz 2023a, Theorem 1.15]). *Let X be a BB-filterable projective T -variety. Then:*

(1) X admits a filtration into T -stable closed subvarieties Z_i such that

$$\emptyset = Z_0 \subset Z_1 \subset \cdots \subset Z_{m-1} \subset Z_m = X.$$

(2) Each $W_i = Z_i \setminus Z_{i-1}$ is a rational cell, for all $i \in [m]$.

(3) The singular rational cohomology of Z_i vanishes in odd degrees, for $i \in [m]$.

(4) If, additionally, the T -action on X is skeletal, each Z_i is a GKM-variety.

Euler classes and cohomology module bases. For the precise definition of Euler classes we refer the reader to [Arabia 1998, Section 2.2.1]. Instead we give three properties which are enough to determine the equivariant Euler classes in our setting.

Lemma 4.10 (see [Brion 1998, Corollary 15, Lemma 16, Theorem 18]). *Let Y be a T -variety and $y \in Y^T$.*

(1) *If Y is smooth at y then $\text{Eu}_T(y, Y) = (-1)^{\dim(Y)} \det T_y Y$, where $\det T_y Y$ is the product of the characters by which T acts on the tangent space $T_y Y$.*

(2) *If Y is rationally smooth at y then $\text{Eu}_T(y, Y) = z \cdot \det T_y Y$, for some $z \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$.*

(3) *If $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ is a T -equivariant resolution of singularities and $|Y^T| < \infty$, then*

$$\text{Eu}_T(x, X)^{-1} = \sum_{y \in Y^T, \pi(y)=x} \text{Eu}_T(y, Y)^{-1}.$$

Remark 4.11. Lemma 4.10 differs from [Brion 1998] by using Euler classes instead of equivariant multiplicities which are inverse to each other up to a sign.

Definition 4.12 (see [Gonzales 2014, Lemma 6.7]). Let $X^T = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$. For $i \in [m]$, the local index of $f \in H_T^\bullet(X)$ at $x_i \in X^T$ is

$$I_i(f) = \sum_{j \in [m]: x_j \in Z_i} \frac{f_{x_j}}{\text{Eu}_T(x_j, Z_i)}.$$

The next theorem gives an explicit formula to compute a basis for $H_T^\bullet(X)$ as free module over $H_T^\bullet(pt)$. Observe that everything depends on the order of the fixed points which is in general not unique.

Theorem 4.13 (see [Lanini and Pütz 2023a, Theorem 2.12]). *Let (X, T) be a BB-filterable GKM-variety with filtration*

$$\emptyset = Z_0 \subset Z_1 \subset \cdots \subset Z_m = X$$

as in Theorem 4.9. Let $X^T = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ with $x_i \in W_i = Z_i \setminus Z_{i-1}$. There exists a unique basis $\{\theta^{(i)}\}_{i \in [m]}$ of $H_T^\bullet(X)$ as free module over $H_T^\bullet(pt)$, such that for any $i \in [m]$ the following properties hold:

- (1) $\theta_{x_j}^{(i)} = 0$ for all $j < i$.
- (2) $\theta_{x_i}^{(i)} = \text{Eu}_T(x_i, Z_i)$.
- (3) $I_j(\theta^{(i)}) = 0$ for all $j \neq i$.

Remark 4.14. Observe that (1) and (2) imply $I_i(\theta^{(i)}) = 1$ by [Definition 4.12](#).

Torus action on cyclic quiver Grassmannians. We briefly recall torus actions on quiver Grassmannians for the equioriented cycle (see [\[Lanini and Pütz 2023a, Section 5\]](#)).

Remark 4.15. From now on we assume the choice of a basis B of M such that the connected components of $Q(M, B)$ are in bijection with the indecomposable direct summands of M . Such a choice is always possible by [\[Kirillov Jr. 2016, Theorem 1.11\]](#).

A **grading** of $M \in \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Delta_n)$ with respect to a fixed basis is a map $\text{wt} : B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^B$. This induces an action of $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ by

$$\lambda \cdot b := \lambda^{\text{wt}(b)} \cdot b.$$

Remark 4.16. Combining several weight functions $\text{wt}_1, \dots, \text{wt}_D : B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^B$, we can define the action of $\lambda = (\lambda_j)_{j \in [D]} \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^D$ by

$$\lambda \cdot b := \prod_{j \in [D]} \lambda_j^{\text{wt}_j(b)} \cdot b = \lambda_1^{\text{wt}_1(b)} \cdot \dots \cdot \lambda_D^{\text{wt}_D(b)} \cdot b.$$

Observe that this action extends to the quiver Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_e(M)$ only under some additional assumptions about the grading (see [\[Lanini and Pütz 2023a, Lemma 5.12\]](#)).

Theorem 4.17 (see [\[Lanini and Pütz 2023a, Theorem 6.6\]](#)). *Let M be a nilpotent representation of Δ_n with d -many indecomposable direct summands, and let $e \leq \dim M$ be such that $\text{Gr}_e(M)$ is nonempty. Let $T := (\mathbb{C}^*)^{d+1}$ act on $\text{Gr}_e(M)$ as in [\[Lanini and Pütz 2023a, Lemma 5.12\]](#). Then $(\text{Gr}_e(M), T)$ is a projective BB -filterable GKM -variety.*

Remark 4.18. If the desingularizations constructed in [Theorem 3.17](#) are T -equivariant, this theorem implies that we can compute the T -equivariant cohomology of all quiver Grassmannians for nilpotent representations of Δ_n , using [Theorem 4.13](#).

From now on we assume that $T := (\mathbb{C}^*)^{d+1}$ acts on $\text{Gr}_e(M)$ as in [\[Lanini and Pütz 2023a, Lemma 5.12\]](#). Here d is the number of connected components in $Q(M, B)$ and the additional parameter comes from cyclic symmetry. The weight functions of the action are defined implicitly by the formula used in [\[Lanini and Pütz 2023a, Section 5.2\]](#).

5. Torus equivariant desingularization and application

In this section we apply the methods from the previous section to compute Euler classes at singular points and torus equivariant cohomology of quiver Grassmannians for the equioriented cycle using their desingularizations as constructed in [Theorem 3.17](#). It remains to show that these desingularizations are torus equivariant.

Torus action on the desingularization. Let $M \in \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Delta_n, \mathbb{I}_N)$ be nilpotent with d -many indecomposable direct summands, and let $T := (\mathbb{C}^*)^{d+1}$ act on $\text{Gr}_e(M)$ as in [\[Lanini and Pütz 2023a, Lemma 5.12\]](#).

Remark 5.1. A choice of basis B of $M \in \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Delta_n, \mathbb{I}_N)$ induces a basis \hat{B} of $\hat{M} \in \text{rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(\hat{\Delta}_{n,N}, \hat{\mathbb{I}}_{n,N})$ such that the connected components of $\mathcal{Q}(\hat{M}, \hat{B})$ are in bijection with the images of the indecomposable summands of M . In particular the basis $\hat{B}^{(i,k)}$ over the vertex (i, k) of $\hat{\Delta}_{n,N}$ is a subset in the basis $B^{(i+k-1)}$ of cardinality $m_{i+k-1} - c$ where c is the corank of the map $M_{\alpha_{i+k-2}} \circ \cdots \circ M_{\alpha_i}$ if $k \geq 2$ and $\hat{B}^{(i,k)} = B^{(i)}$ for $k = 1$. This allows us to extend the T -action to the vector spaces of \hat{M} by extending the weight functions according to the inclusions of the basis described above. In other words, all basis vectors of \hat{B} which have the same image in B get the same weight.

Proposition 5.2. *The T -action on the vector spaces of \hat{M} as defined in [Remark 5.1](#) extends to every quiver Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_k(\hat{M})$.*

Proof. We have to show that the T -action is compatible with the maps of the quiver representation \hat{M} . By construction of the action and the representation \hat{M} , this follows immediately from the compatibility of the T -action (on the vector spaces of M) with the maps of M as shown in [\[Lanini and Pütz 2023a, Lemma 5.12\]](#). \square

Lemma 5.3. *The desingularization of [Theorem 3.17](#) is T -equivariant.*

Proof. With [Proposition 5.2](#), the statement follows immediately from the construction of the grading as in [Remark 5.1](#) together with the description of the desingularization in [Theorem 3.17](#). \square

Remark 5.4. The T -equivariance of the desingularization allows us to use [\[Lanini and Pütz 2023a, Lemma 2.1\(3\)\]](#) for the computation of equivariant Euler classes at the singular points of $\text{Gr}_e(M)$. This allows us to apply [\[Lanini and Pütz 2023a, Theorem 2.12\]](#) about the construction of a basis for the T -equivariant cohomology to all quiver Grassmannians for nilpotent representations of the cycle.

Cellular decomposition of the desingularization.

Theorem 5.5. *For $[N] \in \text{gsub}_e(M)$ the T -fixed points of $\text{Gr}_{\dim \hat{N}}(\hat{M})$ are exactly the preimages of the T -fixed points of $\overline{\mathcal{S}_{[N]}} \subset \text{Gr}_e(M)$ under π_N . The \mathbb{C}^* -attracting sets of these points provide a cellular decomposition of $\text{Gr}_{\dim \hat{N}}(\hat{M})$.*

Proof. The T -equivariance of π_N from Lemma 5.3 gives the desired description of the fixed points. Now we prove that the \mathbb{C}^* -attracting sets of these fixed points from the BB-decomposition are cells. By [Carrell 2002, Lemma 4.12], they provide an α -partition, i.e., there exists a total order of the fixed points

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{\dim \hat{N}}(\hat{M})^{\mathbb{C}^*} = \{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$$

such that $\bigsqcup_{j=1}^s W_j$ is closed in $\mathrm{Gr}_{\dim \hat{N}}(\hat{M})$ for all $s \in [r]$. It remains to show that they are isomorphic to affine spaces. This is induced by the cellular decomposition of $\mathrm{Gr}_e(M)$ and the T -equivariance of the desingularization:

Assume $p \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\dim \hat{N}}(\hat{M})$ is a T -fixed point. The vector space $p^{(i,k)}$ over the vertex (i, k) of $\hat{\Delta}_{n,N}$ is a point in the Grassmannian of subspaces $\mathrm{Gr}_{\hat{n}^{(i,k)}}(\mathbb{C}^{\hat{m}^{(i,k)}})$. By construction of the \mathbb{C}^* -action (as in Remark 5.1), the attracting set of $p^{(i,k)}$ in $\mathrm{Gr}_{\hat{n}^{(i,k)}}(\mathbb{C}^{\hat{m}^{(i,k)}})$ is a cell. The attracting set of p in the whole quiver Grassmannian is the intersection of these cells along the maps of \hat{M} . We proceed by induction on k . For $k = 1$ there is nothing to show because there are no maps between the vector spaces. If $k = 2$, we have the original vector spaces of the representation M and one additional layer of subspaces therein. The relations between the coordinates in the attracting sets are the same as for $\mathrm{Gr}_e(M)$. Hence they are cells by [Lanini and Pütz 2023a, Theorem 5.7]. The maps of \hat{M} along the arrows $\beta_{i,k}$ of $\hat{\Delta}_{n,N}$ are inclusions and the maps along $\alpha_{i,k}$ are projections where the last $m^{(i,k)} - m^{(i,k+1)}$ coordinates are sent to zero (see [Lanini and Pütz 2023a, Proposition 4.8]). Thus we obtain that the intersecting relations for each $k \in [N]$ are of the form as described in [Lanini and Pütz 2023a, Theorem 5.7]. This implies the desired isomorphisms to affine spaces. \square

Remark 5.6. In the setting that

$$M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} U_i(\omega n) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{e} = (\omega k, \dots, \omega k) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$$


it is possible to strengthen the results concerning the desingularization (see [Feigin et al. 2023a, Sections 2.5 and 2.6]). Namely, $\mathrm{Gr}_e(M)$ has $\binom{n}{k}$ explicitly described irreducible components (see Example 2.12) and the cells of $\mathrm{Gr}_{\dim \hat{N}}(\hat{M})$ are the strata of the corresponding T -fixed points.

Example. Now, we provide an explicit example for the constructions from the previous sections. Let $M := U_1(4) \oplus U_2(2) \oplus U_2(2)$ be a Δ_2 -representation and fix the dimension vector $\mathbf{e} = (2, 2)$. The quiver Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_e(M)$ has five strata (i.e., isomorphism classes of subrepresentations) with the representatives:


$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &:= U_1(4), & V_2 &:= S_2 \oplus U_1(3), & V_3 &:= U_2(2) \oplus U_2(2), \\ V_4 &:= U_1(2) \oplus U_2(2), & V_5 &:= S_1 \oplus S_2 \oplus U_2(2). \end{aligned}$$

The stratum of V_2 is three-dimensional, the strata of V_1 , V_3 and V_4 are two-dimensional and the stratum of V_5 is one-dimensional. This is computed using [Pütz 2022, Proposition 4.4] and [Cerulli Irelli et al. 2012, Lemma 2.4].

Let the basis B of M be the union of the standard basis for each indecomposable summand of M . Then its coefficient quiver is




where the arrows from left to right have $\alpha : 1 \rightarrow 2$ as underlying arrow in Δ_2 . The arrows from right to left have $\beta : 2 \rightarrow 1$ as underlying arrow. We define the action of $\gamma := (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) \in T := (\mathbb{C}^*)^{3+1}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ on B as




These actions extend linearly to the vector spaces of M and to the whole quiver Grassmannian by [Lanini and Pütz 2023a, Lemma 5.12]. Moreover,

$$\chi : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow T, \quad \lambda \mapsto (\lambda^2, \lambda, \lambda, \lambda)$$

is a generic cocharacter by [Lanini and Pütz 2023a, Theorem 5.14]. We apply [Cerulli Irelli 2011, Theorem 1] to compute the fixed points of both actions:



Here the black vertices indicate the corresponding subrepresentation of M . The pairs p_1 and p_2 , p_3 and p_4 , and p_6 , p_7 are each isomorphic as subrepresentations of M . The attracting sets of the fixed points are cells by [Pütz 2022, Theorem 4.13]. Their dimension equals the number of out going arrows in the following moment graph which is computed using [Lanini and Pütz 2023a, Theorem 6.15].




The labels are expressed as linear combination of the characters

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_i : T &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*, & (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d) &\mapsto \gamma_i \quad \text{for } i \in [d], \\ \delta : T &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*, & (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d) &\mapsto \gamma_0. \end{aligned}$$


Here the dashed lines were used to highlight the symmetries of the labeling and avoid to write the labels in the picture.

There are four points which are not rationally smooth. Namely the tangent spaces at p_1, p_2, p_6 and p_7 are four-dimensional, whereas $\text{Gr}_e(M)$ itself is three-dimensional. We can read this from the picture as follows: the number of edges adjacent to a point is the dimension of its tangent space and the number of outgoing edges is the cell dimension. The irreducible components are obtained as closure of the strata of the points p_8, p_7 and p_5 , because their strata are not contained in the closure of any other stratum. These are generic subrepresentation types of M for dimension vector $e = (2, 2)$. Hence the desingularization of $\text{Gr}_e(M)$ consists of three components.


The extended quiver $\hat{\Delta}_{2,4}$ is




For the basis induced by the basis B of M , the coefficient quiver of \hat{M} is



Here the separating lines between the vertices indicate if they live over the inner or outer vertex of $\hat{\Delta}_{2,4}$ in that position. Representatives for the extended representations of the generic subrepresentation types are



With the explicit description of the cellular decomposition of the quiver Grassmannians $\text{Gr}_{\dim \hat{V}_1}(\hat{M})$, $\text{Gr}_{\dim \hat{V}_2}(\hat{M})$ and $\text{Gr}_{\dim \hat{V}_3}(\hat{M})$ from [Theorem 5.5](#), it is a straightforward computation that their moment graphs are



Here $\hat{p}_{i,j}$ is the preimage of p_i in $\text{Gr}_{\dim \hat{V}_j}(\hat{M})$. Moreover, from the cellular decompositions we obtain the isomorphisms

$$\text{Gr}_{\dim \hat{V}_1}(\hat{M}) \cong \text{Gr}_1(\mathbb{C}^3), \quad \text{Gr}_{\dim \hat{V}_2}(\hat{M}) \cong \mathcal{F}l(\text{SL}_3), \quad \text{Gr}_{\dim \hat{V}_3}(\hat{M}) \cong \text{Gr}_2(\mathbb{C}^3).$$

With the moment graph of the desingularization as described above, it is possible to compute the Euler classes at the singular points of $\text{Gr}_e(M)$ using [Lemma 4.10](#). For example we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Eu}_T(p_1, Z_5) &= \frac{1}{(\epsilon_3 - \epsilon_2)(\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1 - \delta)} + \frac{1}{(\epsilon_3 - \epsilon_2)(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 + 3\delta)} \\ &= \frac{2\delta}{(\epsilon_3 - \epsilon_2)(\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1 - \delta)(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 + 3\delta)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $Z_5 = \bigcup_{i=1}^5 W_i$.

We compute the following basis of $H_T^\bullet(\text{Gr}_e(M))$ as free module over $H_T^\bullet(pt)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{(1)} &= (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1), \\ \varphi^{(2)} &= (0, \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_2, 0, \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_2, \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 + 3\delta, \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_1 - \delta, \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_1 - \delta, \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_1 - \delta), \\ \varphi^{(3)} &= (0, 0, \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1 - \delta, \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_1 - \delta, 0, \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1 - \delta, \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_1 - \delta), \\ \varphi^{(4)} &= (\epsilon_3 - \epsilon_2)(\epsilon_3 - \epsilon_1 - \delta) \cdot (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0), \\ \varphi^{(5)} &= (\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 + 3\delta)(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_3 + 3\delta) \cdot (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0), \\ \varphi^{(6)} &= (\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1 - \delta)(\epsilon_3 - \epsilon_1 - \delta) \cdot (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0), \\ \varphi^{(7)} &= (\epsilon_3 - \epsilon_2)(\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1 - \delta)(\epsilon_3 - \epsilon_1 - \delta) \cdot (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0), \\ \varphi^{(8)} &= (\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1 - \delta)(\epsilon_3 - \epsilon_1 - \delta) \cdot (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the special role of p_8 in this example allows to generate more zero-entries as in the general setting of [Theorem 4.13](#).

References

- [Arabia 1998] A. Arabia, “Classes d’Euler équivariantes et points rationnellement lisses”, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **48**:3 (1998), 861–912. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bialynicki-Birula 1973] A. Bialynicki-Birula, “Some theorems on actions of algebraic groups”, *Ann. Math. (2)* **98** (1973), 480–497.
- [Brion 1998] M. Brion, “Equivariant cohomology and equivariant intersection theory”, pp. 1–37 in *Representation theories and algebraic geometry* (Montreal, PQ, 1997), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C: Math. Phys. Sci. **514**, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1998. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Brion 2000] M. Brion, “Poincaré duality and equivariant (co)homology”, *Michigan Math. J.* **48** (2000), 77–92. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Caldero and Chapoton 2006] P. Caldero and F. Chapoton, “Cluster algebras as Hall algebras of quiver representations”, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **81**:3 (2006), 595–616. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [Carrell 2002] J. B. Carrell, “Torus actions and cohomology”, pp. 83–158 in *Algebraic quotients. Torus actions and cohomology. The adjoint representation and the adjoint action*, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci. **131**, Springer, Berlin, 2002. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Cerulli Irelli 2011] G. Cerulli Irelli, “Quiver Grassmannians associated with string modules”, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **33**:2 (2011), 259–276. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Cerulli Irelli et al. 2012] G. Cerulli Irelli, E. Feigin, and M. Reineke, “Quiver Grassmannians and degenerate flag varieties”, *Algebra Number Theory* **6**:1 (2012), 165–194. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Cerulli Irelli et al. 2013] G. Cerulli Irelli, E. Feigin, and M. Reineke, “Desingularization of quiver Grassmannians for Dynkin quivers”, *Adv. Math.* **245** (2013), 182–207. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Cerulli Irelli et al. 2017] G. Cerulli Irelli, X. Fang, E. Feigin, G. Fourier, and M. Reineke, “Linear degenerations of flag varieties”, *Math. Z.* **287**:1-2 (2017), 615–654. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Cerulli Irelli et al. 2021] G. Cerulli Irelli, F. Esposito, H. Franzen, and M. Reineke, “Cell decompositions and algebraicity of cohomology for quiver Grassmannians”, *Adv. Math.* **379** (2021), art. id. 107544. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Crawley-Boevey and Sauter 2017] W. Crawley-Boevey and J. Sauter, “On quiver Grassmannians and orbit closures for representation-finite algebras”, *Math. Z.* **285**:1-2 (2017), 367–395. [Zbl](#)
- [Feigin and Finkelberg 2013] E. Feigin and M. Finkelberg, “Degenerate flag varieties of type A: Frobenius splitting and BW theorem”, *Math. Z.* **275**:1-2 (2013), 55–77. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Feigin et al. 2017] E. Feigin, M. Finkelberg, and M. Reineke, “Degenerate affine Grassmannians and loop quivers”, *Kyoto J. Math.* **57**:2 (2017), 445–474. [Zbl](#)
- [Feigin et al. 2023a] E. Feigin, M. Lanini, and A. Pütz, “Generalized juggling patterns, quiver Grassmannians and affine flag varieties”, preprint, 2023. [arXiv 2302.00304](#)
- [Feigin et al. 2023b] E. Feigin, M. Lanini, and A. Pütz, “Totally nonnegative Grassmannians, Grassmann necklaces, and quiver Grassmannians”, *Canad. J. Math.* **75**:4 (2023), 1076–1109. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Gonzales 2014] R. Gonzales, “Rational smoothness, cellular decompositions and GKM theory”, *Geom. Topol.* **18**:1 (2014), 291–326. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Goresky et al. 1998] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz, and R. MacPherson, “Equivariant cohomology, Koszul duality, and the localization theorem”, *Invent. Math.* **131**:1 (1998), 25–83. [Zbl](#)
- [Keller and Scherotzke 2014] B. Keller and S. Scherotzke, “Desingularizations of quiver Grassmannians via graded quiver varieties”, *Adv. Math.* **256** (2014), 318–347. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Kirillov Jr. 2016] A. Kirillov Jr., *Quiver representations and quiver varieties*, Grad. Stud. Math. **174**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2016. [Zbl](#)
- [Lanini and Pütz 2023a] M. Lanini and A. Pütz, “GKM–theory for torus actions on cyclic quiver Grassmannians”, *Algebra Number Theory* **17**:12 (2023), 2055–2096. [MR](#)
- [Lanini and Pütz 2023b] M. Lanini and A. Pütz, “Permutation actions on quiver Grassmannians for the equioriented cycle via GKM–theory”, *J. Algebr. Comb.* **57**:3 (2023), 915–956.
- [Leclerc and Plamondon 2013] B. Leclerc and P.-G. Plamondon, “Nakajima varieties and repetitive algebras”, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **49**:3 (2013), 531–561. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Pütz 2022] A. Pütz, “Degenerate affine flag varieties and quiver Grassmannians”, *Algebr. Represent. Theory* **25**:1 (2022), 91–119.
- [Schiffler 2014] R. Schiffler, *Quiver representations*, Springer, Cham, 2014. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Schofield 1992] A. Schofield, “General representations of quivers”, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) **65**:1 (1992), 46–64. [Zbl](#)

Received April 6, 2023.

ALEXANDER PÜTZ
INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY PADERBORN
PADERBORN
GERMANY
alexander.puetz@math.uni-paderborn.de

MARKUS REINEKE
FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS
RUHR-UNIVERSITÄT BOCHUM
BOCHUM
GERMANY
markus.reineke@rub.de

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Matthias Aschenbrenner
Fakultät für Mathematik
Universität Wien
Vienna, Austria
matthias.aschenbrenner@univie.ac.at

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Atsushi Ichino
Department of Mathematics
Kyoto University
Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
atsushi.ichino@gmail.com

Robert Lipshitz
Department of Mathematics
University of Oregon
Eugene, OR 97403
lipshitz@uoregon.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Dimitri Shlyakhtenko
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
shlyakht@ipam.ucla.edu

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

Ruixiang Zhang
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720-3840
ruixiang@berkeley.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org


See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2023 is US \$605/year for the electronic version, and \$820/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [PASCAL CNRS Index](#), [Referativnyi Zhurnal](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and [Web of Knowledge \(Science Citation Index\)](#).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1945-5844 electronic, 0030-8730 printed) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2023 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 326 No. 1 September 2023

Spin Lefschetz fibrations are abundant	1
MIHAIL ARABADJI and R. İNANÇ BAYKUR	
Some arithmetical properties of convergents to algebraic numbers	17
YANN BUGEAUD and KHOA D. NGUYEN	
Local Galois representations of Swan conductor one	37
NAOKI IMAI and TAKAHIRO TSUSHIMA	
Divisors of Fourier coefficients of two newforms	85
ARVIND KUMAR and MONI KUMARI	
Desingularizations of quiver Grassmannians for the equioriented cycle quiver	109
ALEXANDER PÜTZ and MARKUS REINEKE	
Varieties of chord diagrams, braid group cohomology and degeneration of equality conditions	135
VICTOR A. VASSILIEV	
Positively curved Finsler metrics on vector bundles, II	161
KUANG-RU WU	