

*Pacific  
Journal of  
Mathematics*

***F*-ALGEBROIDS AND DEFORMATION QUANTIZATION  
VIA PRE-LIE ALGEBROIDS**

JOHN ALEXANDER CRUZ MORALES, JIEFENG LIU AND YUNHE SHENG

# **$F$ -ALGEBROIDS AND DEFORMATION QUANTIZATION VIA PRE-LIE ALGEBROIDS**

JOHN ALEXANDER CRUZ MORALES, JIEFENG LIU AND YUNHE SHENG

**First we introduce the notion of  $F$ -algebroids, which is a generalization of  $F$ -manifold algebras and  $F$ -manifolds, and show that  $F$ -algebroids are the corresponding semiclassical limits of pre-Lie formal deformations of commutative associative algebroids. Then we use the deformation cohomology of pre-Lie algebroids to study pre-Lie infinitesimal deformations and extension of pre-Lie  $n$ -deformations to pre-Lie  $(n + 1)$ -deformations of a commutative associative algebroid. Next we develop the theory of Dubrovin's dualities of  $F$ -algebroids with eventual identities and use Nijenhuis operators on  $F$ -algebroids to construct new  $F$ -algebroids. Finally we introduce the notion of pre- $F$ -algebroids, which is a generalization of  $F$ -manifolds with compatible flat connections. Dubrovin's dualities of pre- $F$ -algebroids with eventual identities, Nijenhuis operators on pre- $F$ -algebroids are discussed.**

1. Introduction	251
2. $F$ -algebroids	254
3. Pre-Lie deformation quantization of commutative associative algebroids	258
4. Some constructions of $F$ -algebroids	264
5. Pre- $F$ -algebroids and eventual identities	269
Acknowledgements	282
References	282

## 1. Introduction

The concept of Frobenius manifolds was introduced by Dubrovin [15] as a geometrical manifestation of the Witten–Dijkgraaf–Verlinde–Verlinde (WDVV) associativity equations in the 2-dimensional topological field theories. Hertling and Manin [17] weakened the conditions of a Frobenius manifold and introduced the notion of an  $F$ -manifold. Any Frobenius manifold has an underlying  $F$ -manifold structure.  $F$ -manifolds appear in many fields of mathematics such as singularity theory [16],

---

*MSC2020:* primary 53D17, 53D45, 53D50, 53D55; secondary 14J33.

*Keywords:*  $F$ -algebroids, pre- $F$ -algebroids, eventual identity, Nijenhuis operator.

integrable systems [1; 3; 4; 12; 13; 25; 27], quantum K-theory [21], information geometry [10], operad [30] and so on.

The notion of a Lie algebroid was introduced by Pradines in 1967, which is a generalization of Lie algebras and tangent bundles. Just as Lie algebras are the infinitesimal objects of Lie groups, Lie algebroids are the infinitesimal objects of Lie groupoids. See [28] for the general theory about Lie algebroids. Lie algebroids are now an active domain of research, with applications in various parts of mathematics, such as geometric mechanics, foliation theory, Poisson geometry, differential equations, singularity theory, operad and so on. The notion of a pre-Lie algebroid (also called a left-symmetric algebroid or a Koszul–Vinberg algebroid) is a geometric generalization of a pre-Lie algebra. Pre-Lie algebras arose from the study of convex homogeneous cones, affine manifolds and affine structures on Lie groups, deformation and cohomology theory of associative algebras and then appear in many fields in mathematics and mathematical physics. See the survey article [7] for more details on pre-Lie algebras and [5; 6; 22; 23] for more details on cohomology and applications of pre-Lie algebroids. Dotsenko [14] showed that the graded object of the filtration of the operad encoding pre-Lie algebras is the operad encoding  $F$ -manifold algebras, where the notion of an  $F$ -manifold algebra is the underlying algebraic structure of an  $F$ -manifold. In [24], the notion of pre-Lie formal deformations of commutative associative algebras was introduced and it was shown that  $F$ -manifold algebras are the corresponding semiclassical limits. This result is parallel to the fact that the semiclassical limit of an associative formal deformation of a commutative associative algebra is a Poisson algebra.

In this paper, we introduce the notion of  $F$ -algebroids, which is a generalization of  $F$ -manifold algebras and  $F$ -manifolds. There is a slight difference between this  $F$ -algebroid and the one introduced in [11]. We introduce the notion of pre-Lie formal deformations of commutative associative algebroids and show that  $F$ -algebroids are the corresponding semiclassical limits. Viewing a commutative associative algebroid as a pre-Lie algebroid, we show that pre-Lie infinitesimal deformations and extension of pre-Lie  $n$ -deformations to pre-Lie  $(n + 1)$ -deformations of a commutative associative algebroid are classified by the second and third cohomology groups of the pre-Lie algebroid respectively.

$F$ -manifolds with eventual identities were introduced by Manin [29] and then were studied systematically by David and Strachan [13]. We generalize Dubrovin's dualities of  $F$ -manifolds with eventual identities to the case of  $F$ -algebroids. We introduce the notion of (pseudo)eventual identities on  $F$ -algebroids and develop the theory of Dubrovin's dualities of  $F$ -algebroids with eventual identities. We introduce the notion of Nijenhuis operators on  $F$ -algebroids and use them to construct new  $F$ -algebroids. In particular, a pseudoeventual identity naturally gives a Nijenhuis operator on an  $F$ -algebroid.

The notion of an  $F$ -manifold with a compatible flat connection was introduced by Manin [29]. Applications of  $F$ -manifolds with compatible flat connections also appeared in Painlevé equations [2; 3; 18; 25] and integrable systems [1; 4; 19; 26; 27]. We introduce the notion of pre- $F$ -algebroids, which is a generalization of  $F$ -manifolds with compatible flat connections. A pre- $F$ -algebroid gives rise to an  $F$ -algebroid. We also study pre- $F$ -algebroids with eventual identities and give a characterization of such eventual identities. Furthermore, the theory of Dubrovin's dualities of pre- $F$ -algebroids with eventual identities were developed. We introduce the notion of a Nijenhuis operator on a pre- $F$ -algebroid, and show that a Nijenhuis operator gives rise to a deformed pre- $F$ -algebroid.

Mirror symmetry, roughly speaking, is a duality between symplectic and complex geometry. The theory of Frobenius and  $F$ -manifolds plays an important role in this duality. We expect that the notion of  $F$ -algebroids might also be relevant in understanding the mirror phenomenon. In particular, the Dubrovin's dual of  $F$ -algebroids constructed in this paper should be related to the mirror construction along the way the Dubrovin's dual of Frobenius manifolds is related, at least in some situations, with mirror symmetry. More precisely the question is: Could we consider the construction of Dubrovin's dual of  $F$ -algebroids as a kind of mirror construction? In order to answer the question above, we might need to add some extra structures to  $F$ -algebroids and include those structures in the construction of the Dubrovin's dual. This would allow us to give a comprehensible interpretation of our construction as a manifestation of a mirror phenomenon. We want to follow this line of thought in future works.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the notion of  $F$ -algebroids and give some constructions of  $F$ -algebroids including the action  $F$ -algebroids and direct product  $F$ -algebroids. In particular, we show that Poisson manifolds give rise to action  $F$ -algebroids naturally. In Section 3, we study pre-Lie formal deformations of a commutative associative algebroid, whose semiclassical limits are  $F$ -algebroids. We show that the equivalence classes of pre-Lie infinitesimal deformations of a commutative associative algebroid  $A$  are classified by the second cohomology group in the deformation cohomology of  $A$ . Furthermore, we study extensions of pre-Lie  $n$ -deformations to pre-Lie  $(n + 1)$ -deformations of a commutative associative algebroid  $A$  and show that a pre-Lie  $n$ -deformation is extendable if and only if its obstruction class in the third cohomology group of the commutative associative algebroid  $A$  is trivial. In Section 4, we first study Dubrovin's duality of  $F$ -algebroids with eventual identities. Then we use Nijenhuis operators on  $F$ -algebroids to construct deformed  $F$ -algebroids. In Section 5, first we introduce the notion of a pre- $F$ -algebroid, and show that a pre- $F$ -algebroid gives rise to an  $F$ -algebroid. Then we study Dubrovin's duality of pre- $F$ -algebroids with eventual identities. Finally, we introduce the notion of a Nijenhuis operator

on a pre- $F$ -algebroid, and show that a Nijenhuis operator on a pre- $F$ -algebroid gives rise to a deformed pre- $F$ -algebroid. At the end, some relations between pre- $F$ -algebroids and  $F$ -manifolds with a compatible flat structure are discussed.

## 2. $F$ -algebroids

We introduce the notion of  $F$ -algebroids, which is a generalization of  $F$ -manifolds and  $F$ -manifold algebras. We give some constructions of  $F$ -algebroids including the action  $F$ -algebroids and direct product  $F$ -algebroids.

**Definition 2.1** [14; 17]. An  $F$ -**manifold algebra** is a triple  $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -], \cdot)$ , where  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot)$  is a commutative associative algebra and  $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -])$  is a Lie algebra, such that for all  $x, y, z, w \in \mathfrak{g}$ , the Hertling–Manin relation holds:

$$(1) \quad P_{x \cdot y}(z, w) = x \cdot P_y(z, w) + y \cdot P_x(z, w),$$

where  $P_x(y, z)$  is defined by

$$(2) \quad P_x(y, z) = [x, y \cdot z] - [x, y] \cdot z - y \cdot [x, z].$$

**Remark 2.2.** Even though Hertling and Manin [17] use the expression  $F$ -algebras to refer the objects in the definition above, we will use the terminology introduced in [14] to emphasize that those algebras arise in the study of  $F$ -manifolds.

**Example 2.3.** Any Poisson algebra is an  $F$ -manifold algebra.

**Definition 2.4** [17]. An  $F$ -**manifold** is a pair  $(M, \bullet)$ , where  $M$  is a smooth manifold and  $\bullet$  is a  $C^\infty(M)$ -bilinear, commutative, associative multiplication on the tangent bundle  $TM$  such that  $(\mathfrak{X}(M), [-, -]_{\mathfrak{X}(M)}, \bullet)$  is an  $F$ -manifold algebra, where  $[-, -]_{\mathfrak{X}(M)}$  is the Lie bracket of vector fields.

The notion of Lie algebroids was introduced by Pradines in 1967, as a generalization of Lie algebras and tangent bundles. See [28] for the general theory about Lie algebroids.

**Definition 2.5.** A **Lie algebroid** structure on a vector bundle  $A \rightarrow M$  is a pair that consists of a Lie algebra structure  $[-, -]_A$  on the section space  $\Gamma(A)$  and a vector bundle morphism  $a_A : A \rightarrow TM$ , called the anchor, such that

$$[X, fY]_A = f[X, Y]_A + a_A(X)(f)Y \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(A), f \in C^\infty(M).$$

We denote a Lie algebroid by  $(A, [-, -]_A, a_A)$ , or  $A$  if there is no confusion.

**Definition 2.6.** A **commutative associative algebroid** is a vector bundle  $A$  over  $M$  equipped with a  $C^\infty(M)$ -bilinear, commutative, associative multiplication  $\cdot_A$  on the section space  $\Gamma(A)$ .

We denote a commutative associative algebroid by  $(A, \cdot_A)$ .

In the following, we give the notion of  $F$ -algebroids, which are generalizations of  $F$ -manifold algebras and  $F$ -manifolds.

**Definition 2.7.** An  $F$ -algebroid is a vector bundle  $A$  over  $M$  equipped with a bilinear operation  $\cdot_A : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$ , a skew-symmetric bilinear bracket  $[-, -]_A : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$ , and a bundle map  $a_A : A \rightarrow TM$ , called the anchor, such that  $(A, [-, -]_A, a_A)$  is a Lie algebroid,  $(A, \cdot_A)$  is a commutative associative algebroid and  $(\Gamma(A), [-, -]_A, \cdot_A)$  is an  $F$ -manifold algebra.

We denote an  $F$ -algebroid by  $(A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$ .

**Remark 2.8.** Cruz Morales and Torres-Gomez [11] had already defined an  $F$ -algebroid. There is a slight difference between the above definition of an  $F$ -algebroid and that one. In [11], it is assumed that the base manifold has an  $F$ -manifold structure  $(M, \bullet)$ . An  $F$ -algebroid defined in [11] is a vector bundle  $A$  over  $M$  equipped with a bilinear operation  $\cdot_A : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$ , a skew-symmetric bilinear bracket  $[-, -]_A : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$ , and a bundle map  $a_A : A \rightarrow TM$ , such that  $(A, [-, -]_A, a_A)$  is a Lie algebroid,  $(A, \cdot_A)$  is a commutative associative algebroid,  $(\Gamma(A), [-, -]_A, \cdot_A)$  is an  $F$ -manifold algebra and

$$(3) \quad a_A(X \cdot_A Y) = a_A(X) \bullet a_A(Y) \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(A).$$

**Example 2.9.** Any  $F$ -manifold algebra is an  $F$ -algebroid over a point. Let  $(M, \bullet)$  be an  $F$ -manifold. Then  $(TM, [-, -]_{\mathfrak{X}(M)}, \bullet, \text{Id})$  is an  $F$ -algebroid.

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $(A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$ ,  $(B, [-, -]_B, \cdot_B, a_B)$  be  $F$ -algebroids on  $M$ . A bundle map  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  is called a **homomorphism** of  $F$ -algebroids, if for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$ , the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\varphi(X \cdot_A Y) = \varphi(X) \cdot_B \varphi(Y), \quad \varphi([X, Y]_A) = [\varphi(X), \varphi(Y)]_B, \quad a_B \circ \varphi = a_A.$$

**Definition 2.11.** Let  $(A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  be an  $F$ -algebroid. A section  $e \in \Gamma(A)$  is called the **identity** if  $e \cdot_A X = X$  for all  $X \in \Gamma(A)$ . We denote an  $F$ -algebroid  $(A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  with an identity  $e$  by  $(A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_A, e, a_A)$ .

**Proposition 2.12.** Assume that  $(A, [-, -]_A, a_A)$  is a Lie algebroid equipped with a  $C^\infty(M)$ -bilinear, commutative, associative multiplication  $\cdot_A : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$ . Define

$$(4) \quad \Phi(X, Y, Z, W) := P_{X \cdot_A Y}(Z, W) - X \cdot_A P_Y(Z, W) - Y \cdot_A P_X(Z, W), \quad \forall X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(A),$$

where  $P$  is given by (2). Then  $\Phi$  is a tensor field of type (4, 1) and

$$(5) \quad \Phi(X, Y, Z, W) = \Phi(Y, X, Z, W) = \Phi(X, Y, W, Z).$$

*Proof.* By the commutativity of the associative multiplication  $\cdot_A$ , we have

$$\Phi(X, Y, Z, W) = \Phi(Y, X, Z, W) = \Phi(X, Y, W, Z).$$

To prove that  $\Phi$  is a tensor field of type (4, 1), we only need to show

$$\Phi(fX, Y, Z, W) = \Phi(X, Y, fZ, W) = f\Phi(X, Y, Z, W).$$

By a direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(fX, Y, Z, W) \\ &= [f(X \cdot_A Y), Z \cdot_A W]_A - Z \cdot_A [f(X \cdot_A Y), W]_A - W \cdot_A [f(X \cdot_A Y), Z]_A \\ &\quad - f(X \cdot_A P_Y(Z, W)) - Y \cdot_A ([fX, Z \cdot_A W]_A - Z \cdot_A [fX, W]_A - W \cdot_A [fX, Z]_A) \\ &= fP_{X \cdot_A Y}(Z, W) - a_A(Z \cdot_A W)(f)(X \cdot_A Y) + a_A(W)(f)(X \cdot_A Y \cdot_A Z) \\ &\quad + a_A(Z)(f)(X \cdot_A Y \cdot_A W) - f(X \cdot_A P_Y(Z, W)) - f(Y \cdot_A P_X(Z, W)) \\ &\quad + a_A(Z \cdot_A W)(f)(X \cdot_A Y) - a_A(W)(f)(X \cdot_A Y \cdot_A Z) - a_A(Z)(f)(X \cdot_A Y \cdot_A W) \\ &= f\Phi(X, Y, Z, W). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we also have  $\Phi(X, Y, fZ, W) = f\Phi(X, Y, Z, W)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.13.** *Let  $(A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  be an  $F$ -algebroid with an identity  $e$ . Then*

$$P_e(X, Y) = 0.$$

*Proof.* It follows from (1) directly.  $\square$

**Definition 2.14.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -], \cdot)$  be an  $F$ -manifold algebra. An **action** of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on a manifold  $M$  is a linear map  $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$  from  $\mathfrak{g}$  to the space of vector fields on  $M$ , such that

$$\rho([x, y]) = [\rho(x), \rho(y)]_{\mathfrak{X}(M)} \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Given an action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $M$ , let  $A = M \times \mathfrak{g}$  be the trivial bundle. Define an anchor map  $a_\rho : A \rightarrow TM$ , a multiplication  $\cdot_\rho : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$  and a bracket  $[-, -]_\rho : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$  by

$$(6) \quad a_\rho(m, u) = \rho(u)_m \quad \forall m \in M, u \in \mathfrak{g},$$

$$(7) \quad X \cdot_\rho Y = X \cdot Y,$$

$$(8) \quad [X, Y]_\rho = \mathcal{L}_{\rho(X)}Y - \mathcal{L}_{\rho(Y)}X + [X, Y], \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(A),$$

where  $X \cdot Y$  and  $[X, Y]$  are the pointwise  $C^\infty(M)$ -bilinear multiplication and bracket, respectively.

**Proposition 2.15.** *With the above notations,  $(A = M \times \mathfrak{g}, [-, -]_\rho, \cdot_\rho, a_\rho)$  is an  $F$ -algebroid, which is called an **action  $F$ -algebroid**, where  $[-, -]_\rho, \cdot_\rho$  and  $a_\rho$  are given by (8), (7) and (6), respectively.*

*Proof.* Note that the multiplication  $\cdot_\rho$  is a  $C^\infty(M)$ -bilinear, commutative and associative multiplication and  $(A, [-, -]_\rho, a_\rho)$  is a Lie algebroid. By [Proposition 2.12](#) and the fact that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an  $F$ -manifold algebra, for all  $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 \in C^\infty(M)$ , we have

$$\Phi(f_1 u_1, f_2 u_2, f_3 u_3, f_4 u_4) = f_1 f_2 f_3 f_4 \Phi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) = 0,$$

which implies that  $(\Gamma(A), [-, -]_\rho, \cdot_\rho)$  is an  $F$ -manifold algebra. Thus, we obtain  $(A, [-, -]_\rho, \cdot_\rho, a_\rho)$  is an  $F$ -algebroid.  $\square$

**Example 2.16.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a 2-dimensional vector space with basis  $\{e_1, e_2\}$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -], \cdot)$  with the nonzero multiplication  $\cdot$  and the bracket  $[-, -]$

$$e_1 \cdot e_1 = e_1, \quad e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2 \cdot e_1 = e_2, \quad [e_1, e_2] = e_2$$

is an  $F$ -manifold algebra with the identity  $e_1$ . Let  $(t_1, t_2)$  be the canonical coordinate systems on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . It is straightforward to check that the map  $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  defined by

$$\rho(e_1) = t_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2}, \quad \rho(e_2) = t_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} + t_2^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2}$$

is an action of the  $F$ -manifold algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Then  $(A = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathfrak{g}, [-, -]_\rho, \cdot_\rho, a_\rho)$  is an  $F$ -algebroid with an identity  $1 \otimes e_1$ , where  $[-, -]_\rho, \cdot_\rho$  and  $a_\rho$  are given by

$$a_\rho(m, c_1 e_1 + c_2 e_2) = \left( c_1 t_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} + c_2 t_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} + c_2 t_2^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} \right) \Big|_m \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

$$f \otimes (c_1 e_1) \cdot_\rho g \otimes (c_2 e_i) = (fg) \otimes (c_1 c_2 e_i), \quad f \otimes (c_1 e_2) \cdot_\rho g \otimes (c_2 e_2) = 0,$$

$$[f \otimes (c_1 e_1), g \otimes (c_2 e_2)]_\rho$$

$$= f c_1 t_2 \frac{\partial g}{\partial t_2} \otimes (c_2 e_2) - g c_2 \left( t_2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial t_1} + t_2^2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial t_2} \right) \otimes (c_1 e_1) + fg \otimes (c_1 c_2 [e_1, e_2]),$$

where  $f, g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .

Let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be vector bundles over  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  respectively. Denote the projections from  $M_1 \times M_2$  to  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  by  $\text{pr}_1$  and  $\text{pr}_2$  respectively. The product vector bundle  $A_1 \times A_2 \rightarrow M_1 \times M_2$  can be regarded as the Whitney sum over  $M_1 \times M_2$  of the pullback vector bundles  $\text{pr}_1^! A_1$  and  $\text{pr}_2^! A_2$ . Sections of  $\text{pr}_1^! A_1$  are of the form  $\sum u_i \otimes X_i^1$ , where  $u_i \in C^\infty(M_1 \times M_2)$  and  $X_i^1 \in \Gamma(A_1)$ . Similarly, sections of  $\text{pr}_2^! A_2$  are of the form  $\sum u'_i \otimes X_i^2$ , where  $u'_i \in C^\infty(M_1 \times M_2)$  and  $X_i^2 \in \Gamma(A_2)$ . The tangent bundle  $T(M_1 \times M_2)$  may in the same way be regarded as the Whitney sum  $\text{pr}_1^!(TM_1) \oplus \text{pr}_2^!(TM_2)$ . Let  $(A_1, [-, -]_{A_1}, a_{A_1})$  and  $(A_2, [-, -]_{A_2}, a_{A_2})$  be two Lie algebroids over the base manifolds  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  respectively. We define the anchor  $\mathfrak{a} : A_1 \times A_2 \rightarrow T(M_1 \times M_2)$  by

$$\mathfrak{a} \left( \sum (u_i \otimes X_i^1) \oplus \sum (u'_j \otimes X_j^2) \right) = \sum (u_i \otimes a_{A_1}(X_i^1)) \oplus \sum (u'_j \otimes a_{A_2}(X_j^2)).$$



And the Lie bracket on  $A_1 \times A_2$  is determined by the following relations with the Leibniz rule:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket 1 \otimes X^1, 1 \otimes Y^1 \rrbracket &= 1 \otimes [X^1, Y^1]_{A_1}, & \llbracket 1 \otimes X^1, 1 \otimes Y^2 \rrbracket &= 0, \\ \llbracket 1 \otimes X^2, 1 \otimes Y^2 \rrbracket &= 1 \otimes [X^2, Y^2]_{A_2}, & \llbracket 1 \otimes X^2, 1 \otimes Y^1 \rrbracket &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $X^1, Y^1 \in \Gamma(A_1)$  and  $X^2, Y^2 \in \Gamma(A_2)$ . See [28] for more details of the direct product Lie algebroids.

**Proposition 2.17.** *Let  $(A_1, [-, -]_{A_1}, \cdot_{A_1}, a_{A_1})$  and  $(A_2, [-, -]_{A_2}, \cdot_{A_2}, a_{A_2})$  be two  $F$ -algebroids over  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  respectively. Then  $(A_1 \times A_2, \llbracket -, - \rrbracket, \diamond, \mathfrak{a})$  is an  $F$ -algebroid over  $M_1 \times M_2$ , where for*

$$X = \sum (u_i \otimes X_i^1) \oplus \sum (u'_j \otimes X_j^2), \quad Y = \sum (v_k \otimes Y_k^1) \oplus \sum (v'_l \otimes Y_l^2),$$

the associative multiplication  $\diamond$  is defined by

$$X \diamond Y = \sum (u_i v_k \otimes (X_i^1 \cdot_{A_1} Y_k^1)) \oplus \sum (u'_j v'_l \otimes (X_j^2 \cdot_{A_2} Y_l^2)).$$

*Proof.* It follows from straightforward verifications.  $\square$

The  $F$ -algebroid  $(A_1 \times A_2, \llbracket -, - \rrbracket, \diamond, \mathfrak{a})$  is called the direct product  $F$ -algebroid.

### 3. Pre-Lie deformation quantization of commutative associative algebroids

In this section, we study pre-Lie formal deformations of a commutative associative algebroid, whose semiclassical limits are  $F$ -algebroids. Viewing the commutative associative algebroid  $A$  as a pre-Lie algebroid, we show that the equivalence classes of pre-Lie infinitesimal deformations of a commutative associative algebroid  $A$  are classified by the second cohomology group in the deformation cohomology of  $A$  and a pre-Lie  $n$ -deformation can be extended to a pre-Lie  $(n + 1)$ -deformation if and only if its obstruction class in the third cohomology group is trivial.

**Definition 3.1** [9]. A **pre-Lie algebra** is a pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, *)$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a vector space and  $*$  :  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  is a bilinear multiplication such that for all  $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$ , the associator

$$(9) \quad (x, y, z) \triangleq x * (y * z) - (x * y) * z$$

is symmetric in  $x, y$ , i.e.,

$$(x, y, z) = (y, x, z), \text{ or equivalently, } x * (y * z) - (x * y) * z = y * (x * z) - (y * x) * z.$$

**Definition 3.2** [22; 5]. A **pre-Lie algebroid** structure on a vector bundle  $A \rightarrow M$  is a pair that consists of a pre-Lie algebra structure  $*_A$  on the section space  $\Gamma(A)$  and a vector bundle morphism  $a_A : A \rightarrow TM$ , called the anchor, such that for all  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  and  $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$ , the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $X *_A (fY) = f(X *_A Y) + a_A(X)(f)Y,$
- (ii)  $(fX) *_A Y = f(X *_A Y).$

We usually denote a pre-Lie algebroid by  $(A, *_A, a_A)$ . Any pre-Lie algebra is a pre-Lie algebroid over a point.

A connection  $\nabla$  on a manifold  $M$  is said to be **flat** if the torsion and the curvature of the connection  $\nabla$  vanish identically. A manifold  $M$  endowed with a flat connection  $\nabla$  is called a **flat manifold**.

**Proposition 3.3 [22].** *Let  $(A, *_A, a_A)$  be a pre-Lie algebroid. Define a skew-symmetric bilinear bracket operation  $[-, -]_A$  on  $\Gamma(A)$  by*

$$(10) \quad [X, Y]_A = X *_A Y - Y *_A X \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(A).$$

*Then  $(A, [-, -]_A, a_A)$  is a Lie algebroid, and denoted by  $A^c$ , called the **subadjacent Lie algebroid** of  $(A, *_A, a_A)$ .*

**Example 3.4.** Let  $M$  be a manifold with a flat connection  $\nabla$ . Then  $(TM, \nabla, \text{Id})$  is a pre-Lie algebroid whose subadjacent Lie algebroid is exactly the tangent Lie algebroid. We denote this pre-Lie algebroid by  $T_\nabla M$ .

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $E$  be a vector bundle over  $M$ . A **multiderivation** of degree  $n$  on  $E$  is a pair  $(D, \sigma_D)$ , where

$$D \in \text{Hom}(\Lambda^{n-1}\Gamma(E) \otimes \Gamma(E), \Gamma(E)) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_D \in \Gamma(\text{Hom}(\Lambda^{n-1}E, TM)),$$

such that for all  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  and sections  $X_i \in \Gamma(E)$ , the following conditions are satisfied:

$$D(X_1, \dots, fX_i, \dots, X_{n-1}, X_n) = fD(X_1, \dots, X_i, \dots, X_{n-1}, X_n), \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

$$D(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, fX_n) = fD(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, X_n) + \sigma_D(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})(f)X_n.$$

We will denote by  $\text{Der}^n(E)$  the space of multiderivations of degree  $n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ .

Let  $(A, *_A, a_A)$  be a pre-Lie algebroid. From [22] the deformation complex of  $A$  is a cochain complex  $(C_{\text{def}}^*(A, A) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Der}^n(A), d_{\text{def}})$ , where for all  $X_i \in \Gamma(A)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$ , the coboundary operator  $d_{\text{def}}: \text{Der}^n(A) \rightarrow \text{Der}^{n+1}(A)$  is given by

$$d_{\text{def}}\omega(X_1, \dots, X_{n+1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} X_i *_A \omega(X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_{n+1})$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \omega(X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_n, X_i) *_A X_{n+1}$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \omega(X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_n, X_i *_A X_{n+1})$$

$$+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([X_i, X_j]_A, X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, \hat{X}_j, \dots, X_{n+1}),$$

in which  $\sigma_{\text{def}\omega}$  is given by

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma_{\text{def}\omega}(X_1, \dots, X_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} [a_A(X_i), \sigma_\omega(X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_n)]_{\mathfrak{X}(M)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (-1)^{i+j} \sigma_\omega([X_i, X_j]_A, X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, \hat{X}_j, \dots, X_n) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} a_A(\omega(X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_n, X_i)). \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding cohomology, which we denote by  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{def}}^\bullet(A, A)$ , is called the **deformation cohomology** of the pre-Lie algebroid.

Since any commutative pre-Lie algebra is a commutative associative algebra, we have the following conclusion obviously.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Any commutative pre-Lie algebroid is a commutative associative algebroid.*

Note that in a commutative pre-Lie algebroid, the anchor must be zero.

**Definition 3.7.** Assume that  $(A, \cdot_A)$  is a commutative associative algebroid. A **pre-Lie formal deformation** of  $A$  is a sequence of pairs  $(\mu_k, \sigma_{\mu_k}) \in \text{Der}^2(A)$  with  $\mu_0$  being the commutative associative algebroid multiplication  $\cdot_A$  on  $\Gamma(A)$  and  $\sigma_{\mu_0} = 0$  such that the  $\mathbb{R}[[\hbar]]$ -bilinear product  $\cdot_{\hbar}$  on  $\Gamma(A)[[\hbar]]$  and  $\mathbb{R}[[\hbar]]$ -linear map  $\alpha_{\hbar} : A \otimes \mathbb{R}[[\hbar]] \rightarrow TM \otimes \mathbb{R}[[\hbar]]$  determined by

$$(12) \quad X \cdot_{\hbar} Y = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hbar^k \mu_k(X, Y),$$

$$(13) \quad \alpha_{\hbar}(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hbar^k \sigma_{\mu_k}(X) \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$$

is a pre-Lie algebroid.

One checks directly that  $(\Gamma(A)[[\hbar]], \cdot_{\hbar})$  is a pre-Lie algebra if and only if

$$(14) \quad \sum_{i+j=k} (\mu_i(\mu_j(X, Y), Z) - \mu_i(X, \mu_j(Y, Z))) = \sum_{i+j=k} (\mu_i(\mu_j(Y, X), Z) - \mu_i(Y, \mu_j(X, Z)))$$

for  $k \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** *Assume that  $(A, \cdot_A)$  is a commutative associative algebroid and  $(A \otimes \mathbb{R}[[\hbar]], \cdot_{\hbar}, \alpha_{\hbar})$  a pre-Lie formal deformation of  $A$ . Define a bracket*

$$[-, -]_A : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$$

by

$$[X, Y]_A = \mu_1(X, Y) - \mu_1(Y, X) \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(A).$$

Then  $(A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_A, \sigma_{\mu_1})$  is an  $F$ -algebroid which is called the **semiclassical limit** of  $(A \otimes \mathbb{R}[[\hbar]], \cdot_{\hbar}, \alpha_{\hbar})$ . The pre-Lie algebroid  $(A \otimes \mathbb{R}[[\hbar]], \cdot_{\hbar}, \alpha_{\hbar})$  is called a **pre-Lie deformation quantization** of  $(A, \cdot_A)$ .

*Proof.* Define the bracket  $[-, -]_{\hbar}$  on  $\Gamma(A)[[\hbar]]$  by

$$\begin{aligned} [X, Y]_{\hbar} &= X \cdot_{\hbar} Y - Y \cdot_{\hbar} X \\ &= \hbar[X, Y]_A + \hbar^2(\mu_2(X, Y) - \mu_2(Y, X)) + \dots \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(A). \end{aligned}$$

By the fact that  $(A \otimes \mathbb{R}[[\hbar]], \cdot_{\hbar}, \alpha_{\hbar})$  is a pre-Lie algebroid,  $(A[[\hbar]], [-, -]_{\hbar}, \alpha_{\hbar})$  is a Lie algebroid. The  $\hbar^2$ -terms of the Jacobi identity for  $[-, -]_{\hbar}$  gives the Jacobi identity for  $[-, -]_A$  and  $\hbar$ -terms of  $[X, fY]_{\hbar} = f[X, Y]_{\hbar} + \alpha_{\hbar}(X)(f)Y$  gives

$$[X, fY]_A = f[X, Y]_A + \sigma_{\mu_1}(X)(f)Y.$$

Thus  $(A, [-, -]_A, \sigma_{\mu_1})$  is a Lie algebroid.

For  $k = 1$  in (14), by the commutativity of  $\mu_0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0(\mu_1(X, Y), Z) - \mu_0(X, \mu_1(Y, Z)) - \mu_1(X, \mu_0(Y, Z)) \\ = \mu_0(\mu_1(Y, X), Z) - \mu_0(Y, \mu_1(X, Z)) - \mu_1(Y, \mu_0(X, Z)). \end{aligned}$$

By a similar proof given by Hertling [16], we can show that the Hertling–Manin relation holds with  $X \cdot_A Y = \mu_0(X, Y)$  and  $[X, Y]_A = \mu_1(X, Y) - \mu_1(Y, X)$  for  $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$ . Thus  $(A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_A, \sigma_{\mu_1})$  is an  $F$ -algebroid.  $\square$

In what follows, we study pre-Lie  $n$ -deformations and pre-Lie infinitesimal deformations of commutative associative algebroids.

**Definition 3.9.** Let  $(A, \cdot_A)$  be a commutative associative algebroid. A **pre-Lie  $n$ -deformation** of  $A$  is a sequence of pairs  $(\mu_k, \sigma_{\mu_k}) \in \text{Der}^2(A)$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n$  with  $\mu_0$  being the commutative associative algebroid multiplication  $\cdot_A$  on  $\Gamma(A)$  and  $\sigma_{\mu_0} = 0$ , such that the  $\mathbb{R}[[\hbar]]/(\hbar^{n+1})$ -bilinear product  $\cdot_{\hbar}$  on  $\Gamma(A)[[\hbar]]/(\hbar^{n+1})$  and  $\mathbb{R}[[\hbar]]/(\hbar^{n+1})$ -linear map  $\alpha_{\hbar} : A \otimes \mathbb{R}[[\hbar]] \rightarrow TM \otimes \mathbb{R}[[\hbar]]$  determined by

$$(15) \quad X \cdot_{\hbar} Y = \sum_{k=0}^n \hbar^k \mu_k(X, Y),$$

$$(16) \quad \alpha_{\hbar}(X) = \sum_{k=0}^n \hbar^k \sigma_{\mu_k}(X) \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$$

is a pre-Lie algebroid.

We call a pre-Lie 1-deformation of a commutative associative algebroid  $(A, \cdot_A)$  a **pre-Lie infinitesimal deformation** and denote it by  $(A, \mu_1, \alpha_A = \sigma_{\mu_1})$ .

By direct calculations,  $(A, \mu_1, \sigma_{\mu_1})$  is a pre-Lie infinitesimal deformation of a commutative associative algebroid  $(A, \cdot_A)$  if and only if for all  $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(A)$

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_1(X, Y) \cdot_A Z - X \cdot_A \mu_1(Y, Z) - \mu_1(X, Y \cdot_A Z) \\ = \mu_1(Y, X) \cdot_A Z - Y \cdot_A \mu_1(X, Z) - \mu_1(Y, X \cdot_A Z). \end{aligned}$$

Equation (17) means that  $\mu_1$  is a 2-cocycle, i.e.,  $d_{\text{def}} \mu_1 = 0$ .

Two pre-Lie infinitesimal deformations  $A_{\hbar} = (A, \mu_1, \sigma_{\mu_1})$  and  $A'_{\hbar} = (A, \mu'_1, \sigma_{\mu'_1})$  of a commutative associative algebroid  $(A, \cdot_A)$  are said to be **equivalent** if there exist a family of pre-Lie algebroid homomorphisms  $\text{Id} + \hbar\varphi : A_{\hbar} \rightarrow A'_{\hbar}$  modulo  $\hbar^2$  for  $\varphi \in \text{Der}^1(A)$ . A pre-Lie infinitesimal deformation is said to be **trivial** if there exist a family of pre-Lie algebroid homomorphisms  $\text{Id} + \hbar\varphi : A_{\hbar} \rightarrow (A, \cdot_A, a_A = 0)$  modulo  $\hbar^2$ .

By direct calculations,  $A_{\hbar}$  and  $A'_{\hbar}$  are equivalent pre-Lie infinitesimal deformations if and only if

$$(18) \quad \sigma_{\mu_1} = \sigma_{\mu'_1},$$

$$(19) \quad \mu_1(X, Y) - \mu'_1(X, Y) = X \cdot_A \varphi(Y) + \varphi(X) \cdot_A Y - \varphi(X \cdot_A Y).$$

Equation (19) means that  $\mu_1 - \mu'_1 = d_{\text{def}} \varphi$  and (18) can be obtained by (19). Thus we have:

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $(A, \cdot_A)$  be a commutative associative algebroid. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the space of equivalence classes of pre-Lie infinitesimal deformations of  $A$  and the second cohomology group  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{def}}^2(A, A)$ .*

It is routine to check that:

**Proposition 3.11.** *Let  $(A, \cdot_A)$  be a commutative associative algebroid such that*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{def}}^2(A, A) = 0.$$

*Then all pre-Lie infinitesimal deformations of  $A$  are trivial.*

**Definition 3.12.** Let  $\{(\mu_1, \sigma_{\mu_1}), \dots, (\mu_n, \sigma_{\mu_n})\}$  be a pre-Lie  $n$ -deformation of a commutative associative algebroid  $(A, \cdot_A)$ . If there exists  $(\mu_{n+1}, \sigma_{\mu_{n+1}}) \in \text{Der}^2(A)$  such that

$$\{(\mu_1, \sigma_{\mu_1}), \dots, (\mu_n, \sigma_{\mu_n}), (\mu_{n+1}, \sigma_{\mu_{n+1}})\}$$

is a pre-Lie  $(n+1)$ -deformation of  $(A, \cdot_A)$ , then

$$\{(\mu_1, \sigma_{\mu_1}), \dots, (\mu_n, \sigma_{\mu_n}), (\mu_{n+1}, \sigma_{\mu_{n+1}})\}$$

is called an **extension** of the pre-Lie  $n$ -deformation  $\{(\mu_1, \sigma_{\mu_1}), \dots, (\mu_n, \sigma_{\mu_n})\}$ .

**Theorem 3.13.** *For any pre-Lie  $n$ -deformation of a commutative associative algebroid  $(A, \cdot_A)$ , the pair  $(\Theta_n, \sigma_{\Theta_n}) \in \text{Der}^3(A)$  defined by*

$$(20) \quad \Theta_n(X, Y, Z) = \sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1 \\ i, j \geq 1}} (\mu_i(\mu_j(X, Y), Z) - \mu_i(X, \mu_j(Y, Z)) - \mu_i(\mu_j(Y, X), Z) + \mu_i(Y, \mu_j(X, Z))),$$

$$(21) \quad \sigma_{\Theta_n}(X, Y) = \sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1 \\ i, j \geq 1}} (\sigma_{\mu_i}(\mu_j(X, Y) - \mu_j(Y, X)) - [\sigma_{\mu_i}(X), \sigma_{\mu_j}(Y)]_{\mathfrak{X}(M)})$$

is a cocycle, i.e.,  $d_{\text{def}}\Theta_n = 0$ .

Moreover, the pre-Lie  $n$ -deformation  $\{(\mu_1, \sigma_{\mu_1}), \dots, (\mu_n, \sigma_{\mu_n})\}$  extends to some pre-Lie  $(n + 1)$ -deformation if and only if  $[\Theta_n] = 0$  in  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{def}}^3(A, A)$ .

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $\Theta_n(X, Y, Z) = -\Theta_n(Y, Z, X)$  for all  $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(A)$ . It is straightforward to check that

$$\Theta_n(X, fY, Z) = f\Theta_n(X, Y, Z),$$

$$\Theta_n(X, Y, fZ) = f\Theta_n(X, Y, Z) + \sigma_{\Theta_n}(X, Y)(f)Z.$$

Thus  $\Theta_n$  is an element of  $\text{Der}^3(A)$ . By a direct calculation, we have that the cochain  $\Theta_n \in \text{Der}^3(A)$  is closed.

Assume that the pre-Lie  $(n + 1)$ -deformation  $\{(\mu_1, \sigma_{\mu_1}), \dots, (\mu_{n+1}, \sigma_{\mu_{n+1}})\}$  of a commutative associative algebroid  $(A, \cdot_A)$  is an extension of the pre-Lie  $n$ -deformation  $\{(\mu_1, \sigma_{\mu_1}), \dots, (\mu_n, \sigma_{\mu_n})\}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1 \\ i, j \geq 1}} (\mu_i(\mu_j(X, Y), Z) - \mu_i(X, \mu_j(Y, Z)) - \mu_i(\mu_j(Y, X), Z) + \mu_i(Y, \mu_j(X, Z))) \\ &= X \cdot_A \mu_{n+1}(Y, Z) - Y \cdot_A \mu_{n+1}(X, Z) + \mu_{n+1}(Y, X) \cdot_A Z - \mu_{n+1}(X, Y) \cdot_A Z \\ & \quad + \mu_{n+1}(Y, X) \cdot_A Z - \mu_{n+1}(X, Y) \cdot_A Z. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the left-hand side of the above equality is just  $\Theta_n(X, Y, Z)$ . We can rewrite the above equality as

$$\Theta_n(X, Y, Z) = d_{\text{def}} \mu_{n+1}(X, Y, Z).$$

We conclude that, if a pre-Lie  $n$ -deformation of a commutative associative algebroid  $(A, \cdot_A)$  extends to a pre-Lie  $(n + 1)$ -deformation, then  $\Theta_n$  is a coboundary.

Conversely, if  $\Theta_n$  is a coboundary, then there exists an element  $(\psi, \sigma_\psi) \in \text{Der}^2(A)$  such that

$$\Theta_n(X, Y, Z) = d_{\text{def}} \psi(X, Y, Z).$$

It is not hard to check that  $\{(\mu_1, \sigma_{\mu_1}), \dots, (\mu_{n+1}, \sigma_{\mu_{n+1}})\}$  with  $\mu_{n+1} = \psi$  is a pre-Lie  $(n + 1)$ -deformation of  $(A, \cdot_A)$  and thus this pre-Lie  $(n + 1)$ -deformation is an extension of the pre-Lie  $n$ -deformation  $\{(\mu_1, \sigma_{\mu_1}), \dots, (\mu_n, \sigma_{\mu_n})\}$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Some constructions of $F$ -algebroids

In this section, we use eventual identities and Nijenhuis operators to construct  $F$ -algebroids. In particular, a pseudoeventual identity naturally gives a Nijenhuis operator on an  $F$ -algebroid.

*(Pseudo)eventual identities and Dubrovin's dual of  $F$ -algebroids.*

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $(A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  be an  $F$ -algebroid with an identity  $e$ . A section  $\mathcal{E} \in \Gamma(A)$  is called a **pseudoeventual identity** on the  $F$ -algebroid if the following equality holds:

$$(22) \quad P_{\mathcal{E}}(X, Y) = [e, \mathcal{E}]_A \cdot_A X \cdot_A Y \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(A).$$

A pseudoeventual identity  $\mathcal{E}$  on the  $F$ -algebroid  $A$  is called an **eventual identity** if it is invertible, i.e., there is a section  $\mathcal{E}^{-1} \in \Gamma(A)$  such that  $\mathcal{E}^{-1} \cdot_A \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E} \cdot_A \mathcal{E}^{-1} = e$ .

Denote the set of all pseudoeventual identities on an  $F$ -algebroid  $A$  by  $E(A)$ , i.e.,

$$E(A) = \{\mathcal{E} \in \Gamma(A) \mid P_{\mathcal{E}}(X, Y) = [e, \mathcal{E}]_A \cdot_A X \cdot_A Y \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(A)\}.$$

**Proposition 4.2.** Let  $(A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  be an  $F$ -algebroid with an identity  $e$ . Then  $E(A)$  is an  $F$ -manifold subalgebra of  $\Gamma(A)$ . Moreover, if  $\mathcal{E} \in \Gamma(A)$  is an eventual identity on the  $F$ -algebroid  $A$ , then  $\mathcal{E}^{-1}$  is also an eventual identity on  $A$ .

*Proof.* By a straightforward calculation,  $E(A)$  is a subspace of the vector space  $\Gamma(A)$ .

For any two pseudoeventual identities  $\mathcal{E}_1$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2$ , by (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mathcal{E}_1 \cdot_A \mathcal{E}_2}(X, Y) &= \mathcal{E}_1 \cdot_A P_{\mathcal{E}_2}(X, Y) + \mathcal{E}_2 \cdot_A P_{\mathcal{E}_1}(X, Y) \\ &= (\mathcal{E}_1 \cdot_A [e, \mathcal{E}_2]_A + \mathcal{E}_2 \cdot_A [e, \mathcal{E}_1]_A) \cdot_A X \cdot_A Y = [e, \mathcal{E}_1 \cdot_A \mathcal{E}_2]_A \cdot_A X \cdot_A Y, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we used  $P_e(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2) = 0$ . Thus  $\mathcal{E}_1 \cdot_A \mathcal{E}_2$  is a pseudoeventual identity.

By (1) and (22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{[\mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{E}_1]_A}(Z, W) &= [\mathcal{E}_1, [e, \mathcal{E}_2]_A \cdot_A Z \cdot_A W]_A - [e, \mathcal{E}_2]_A \cdot_A [\mathcal{E}_1, Z]_A \cdot_A W \\ &\quad - [e, \mathcal{E}_2]_A \cdot_A Z \cdot_A [\mathcal{E}_1, W]_A - [\mathcal{E}_2, [e, \mathcal{E}_1]_A \cdot_A Z \cdot_A W]_A \\ &\quad + [e, \mathcal{E}_1]_A \cdot_A [\mathcal{E}_2, Z]_A \cdot_A W + [e, \mathcal{E}_1]_A \cdot_A Z \cdot_A [\mathcal{E}_2, W]_A. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{E}_1, [e, \mathcal{E}_2]_A \cdot_A Z \cdot_A W]_A &= 2[e, \mathcal{E}_1]_A \cdot [e, \mathcal{E}_2]_A \cdot_A Z \cdot_A W + [\mathcal{E}_1, [e, \mathcal{E}_2]_A]_A \cdot_A Z \cdot_A W \\ &\quad + [e, \mathcal{E}_2]_A \cdot_A [\mathcal{E}_1, Z]_A \cdot_A W + [e, \mathcal{E}_2]_A \cdot_A Z \cdot_A [\mathcal{E}_1, W]_A, \\ [\mathcal{E}_2, [e, \mathcal{E}_1]_A \cdot_A Z \cdot_A W]_A &= 2[e, \mathcal{E}_2]_A \cdot [e, \mathcal{E}_1]_A \cdot_A Z \cdot_A W + [\mathcal{E}_2, [e, \mathcal{E}_1]_A]_A \cdot_A Z \cdot_A W \\ &\quad + [e, \mathcal{E}_1]_A \cdot_A [\mathcal{E}_2, Z]_A \cdot_A W + [e, \mathcal{E}_1]_A \cdot_A Z \cdot_A [\mathcal{E}_2, W]_A. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} P_{[\mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{E}_1]_A}(Z, W) &= [\mathcal{E}_1, [e, \mathcal{E}_2]_A]_A \cdot_A Z \cdot_A W - [\mathcal{E}_2, [e, \mathcal{E}_1]_A]_A \cdot_A Z \cdot_A W \\ &= [e, [\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2]_A]_A \cdot_A Z \cdot_A W, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $[\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2]_A$  is a pseudoeventual identity. Therefore,  $E(A)$  is an  $F$ -manifold subalgebra of  $\Gamma(A)$ .

Assume that  $\mathcal{E}$  is an eventual identity on the  $F$ -algebroid  $A$ . By Proposition 2.13, we have  $P_e(X, Y) = 0$ . Applying the Hertling–Manin relation with  $X = \mathcal{E}$  and  $Y = \mathcal{E}^{-1}$ , by (22), we obtain

$$P_{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}(X, Y) = -\mathcal{E}^{-2} \cdot_A [e, \mathcal{E}]_A \cdot_A X \cdot_A Y.$$

On the other hand, by  $P_e(X, Y) = 0$ , we have

$$[e, \mathcal{E}]_A \cdot_A \mathcal{E}^{-2} = ([e, \mathcal{E}]_A \cdot_A \mathcal{E}^{-1}) \cdot_A \mathcal{E}^{-1} = (-\mathcal{E} \cdot_A [e, \mathcal{E}^{-1}]_A) \cdot_A \mathcal{E}^{-1} = -[e, \mathcal{E}^{-1}]_A.$$

Thus we have

$$P_{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}(X, Y) = [e, \mathcal{E}^{-1}]_A \cdot_A X \cdot_A Y,$$

which implies that  $\mathcal{E}^{-1}$  is also an eventual identity on  $A$ . □

A pseudoeventual identity on an  $F$ -algebroid gives a new  $F$ -algebroid.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $(A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  be an  $F$ -algebroid with an identity  $e$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}$  is a pseudoeventual identity on  $A$  if and only if  $(A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_{\mathcal{E}}, a_A)$  is an  $F$ -algebroid, where  $\cdot_{\mathcal{E}} : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$  is defined by*

$$(23) \quad X \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} Y = X \cdot_A Y \cdot_A \mathcal{E} \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(A).$$

*Proof.* The proof of this theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 3 in [13]. We give a sketchy proof here for completeness. Assume that  $\mathcal{E}$  is a pseudoeventual identity on  $A$ . It is straightforward to check that the multiplication  $\cdot_{\mathcal{E}}$  defined by (23) is  $C^\infty(M)$ -bilinear, commutative and associative.

For  $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(A)$ , we set

$$P_X^{\mathcal{E}}(Y, Z) := [X, Y \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} Z]_A - [X, Y]_A \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} Z - Y \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} [X, Z]_A.$$

By a direct calculation, we have

$$(24) \quad P_X^{\mathcal{E}}(Y, Z) = P_X(\mathcal{E} \cdot_A Y, Z) + P_X(\mathcal{E}, Y) \cdot_A Z + [X, \mathcal{E}]_A \cdot_A Y \cdot_A Z.$$



Since  $\mathcal{E}$  is a pseudoeventual identity on  $A$ , by (24), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& P_{X \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} Y}^{\mathcal{E}}(Z, W) - X \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} P_Y^{\mathcal{E}}(Z, W) - Y \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} P_X^{\mathcal{E}}(Z, W) \\
&= X \cdot_A Y \cdot_A (P_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E} \cdot_A Z, W) + W \cdot_A P_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}, Z)) \\
&\quad - Z \cdot_A W \cdot_A ([X \cdot_A Y \cdot_A \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}]_A + \mathcal{E} \cdot_A X \cdot_A [Y, \mathcal{E}]_A + \mathcal{E} \cdot_A Y \cdot_A [X, \mathcal{E}]_A) \\
&= X \cdot_A Y \cdot_A (P_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E} \cdot_A Z, W) + W \cdot_A P_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}, Z)) - Z \cdot_A W \cdot_A (P_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}, X) \cdot_A Y + P_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E} \cdot_A X, Y)) \\
&= X \cdot_A Y \cdot_A ([e, \mathcal{E}]_A \cdot_A \mathcal{E} \cdot_A Z \cdot_A W + [e, \mathcal{E}]_A \cdot_A \mathcal{E} \cdot_A Z \cdot_A W) \\
&\quad - Z \cdot_A W \cdot_A ([e, \mathcal{E}]_A \cdot_A \mathcal{E} \cdot_A X \cdot_A Y + [e, \mathcal{E}]_A \cdot_A \mathcal{E} \cdot_A X \cdot_A Y) \\
&= 2[e, \mathcal{E}]_A \cdot_A \mathcal{E} \cdot_A X \cdot_A Y \cdot_A Z \cdot_A W - 2[e, \mathcal{E}]_A \cdot_A \mathcal{E} \cdot_A X \cdot_A Y \cdot_A Z \cdot_A W \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $(A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_{\mathcal{E}}, a_A)$  is an  $F$ -algebroid.

The converse can be proved similarly. We omit the details.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $(A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  be an  $F$ -algebroid with an identity  $e$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}$  is an eventual identity on  $A$  if and only if  $(A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_{\mathcal{E}}, a_A)$  is also an  $F$ -algebroid with the identity  $\mathcal{E}^{-1}$ , which is called the Dubrovin's dual of  $(A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$ , where  $\cdot_{\mathcal{E}}$  is given by (23). Moreover,  $e$  is an eventual identity on the  $F$ -algebroid  $(A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{E}^{-1}, a_A)$  and the map*

$$(25) \quad (A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_A, e, a_A, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow (A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{E}^{-1}, a_A, e^{\dagger})$$

is an involution of the set of  $F$ -algebroids with eventual identities, where  $e^{\dagger} := \mathcal{E}^{-2}$  is the inverse of  $e$  with respect to the multiplication  $\cdot_{\mathcal{E}}$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 4.3,  $(A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_{\mathcal{E}}, a_A)$  is an  $F$ -algebroid. It is obvious that  $\mathcal{E}^{-1}$  is the identity with respect to the multiplication  $\cdot_{\mathcal{E}}$  defined by (23).

Next, we show that  $e$  is an eventual identity on  $(A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{E}^{-1}, a_A)$ . Since the identity with respect to the multiplication  $\cdot_{\mathcal{E}}$  is  $\mathcal{E}^{-1}$ , we need to show that

$$[e, X \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} Y]_A - [e, X]_A \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} Y - X \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} [e, Y]_A = [\mathcal{E}^{-1}, e]_A \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} X \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} Y \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(A).$$

By a straightforward computation, for any  $Z \in \Gamma(A)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(26) \quad & [Z, X \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} Y]_A - [Z, X]_A \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} Y - X \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} [Z, Y]_A \\
&= P_Z(\mathcal{E} \cdot_A X, Y) + P_Z(\mathcal{E}, X) \cdot_A Y + [Z, \mathcal{E}]_A \cdot_A X \cdot_A Y.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting  $Z = e$  in (26) and using  $P_e(X, Y) = 0$ , we have

$$[e, X \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} Y]_A - [e, X]_A \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} Y - X \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} [e, Y]_A = [e, \mathcal{E}]_A \cdot_A X \cdot_A Y = ([e, \mathcal{E}]_A \cdot_A \mathcal{E}^{-2}) \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} X \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} Y.$$

Recall now from the proof of Proposition 4.2 that  $[e, \mathcal{E}]_A \cdot_A \mathcal{E}^{-2} = [\mathcal{E}^{-1}, e]_A$ . Thus  $e$  is an eventual identity on the  $F$ -algebroid  $(A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{E}^{-1}, a_A)$ .

Now we show that the map (25) is an involution. Note that  $e^{\dagger} := \mathcal{E}^{-2}$  is the inverse of  $e$  with respect to the multiplication  $\cdot_{\mathcal{E}}$ . By Proposition 4.2,  $e^{\dagger}$  is also an

eventual identity on the  $F$ -algebroid  $(A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{E}^{-1}, a_A)$ . Furthermore, for  $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$ , we have

$$X \cdot_A Y = X \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} Y \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E}^{-2} = X \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} Y \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} e^{\dagger},$$

which implies that the map defined by (25) is an involution of the set of  $F$ -algebroids with eventual identities.  $\square$

**Definition 4.5.** An  $F$ -manifold  $(M, \bullet, e)$  is called **semisimple** if there exists canonical local coordinates  $(u^1, \dots, u^n)$  on  $M$  such that  $e = \frac{\partial}{\partial u^1} + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial u^n}$  and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} \bullet \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j} = \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j}, \quad i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

**Example 4.6.** Let  $(M, \bullet, e)$  be a semisimple  $F$ -manifold. Then  $e$  is an identity on the  $F$ -algebroid  $(TM, [-, -]_{\mathfrak{X}(M)}, \bullet, \text{Id})$ . It is straightforward to check that any pseudoeventual identity on  $(TM, [-, -]_{\mathfrak{X}(M)}, \bullet, \text{Id})$  is of the form

$$\mathcal{E} = f_1(u^1) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^1} + \dots + f_n(u^n) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n},$$

where  $f_i(u^i) \in C^\infty(M)$  depends only on  $u^i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Furthermore, it was shown in [13] that if all  $f_i(u^i)$  are nonvanishing everywhere, then  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  is an eventual identity.

**Nijenhuis operators and deformed  $F$ -algebroids.** Recall from [8] that a Nijenhuis operator on a commutative associative algebra  $(A, \cdot_A)$  is a linear map  $N : A \rightarrow A$  such that

$$(27) \quad N(x) \cdot_A N(y) = N(N(x) \cdot_A y + x \cdot_A N(y) - N(x \cdot_A y)) \quad \forall x, y \in A.$$

and a Nijenhuis operator on a Lie algebroid  $(A, [-, -]_A, a_A)$  is a bundle map  $N : A \rightarrow A$  such that

$$(28) \quad [N(X), N(Y)]_A = N([N(X), Y]_A + [X, N(Y)]_A - N([X, Y]_A)) \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(A).$$

**Definition 4.7.** Assume that  $(A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  is an  $F$ -algebroid. A bundle map  $N : A \rightarrow A$  is called a **Nijenhuis operator** on the  $F$ -algebroid  $A$  if  $N$  is both a Nijenhuis operator on the commutative associative algebra  $(\Gamma(A), \cdot_A)$  and a Nijenhuis operator on the Lie algebroid  $(A, [-, -]_A, a_A)$ .

Define the deformed operation  $\cdot_N : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$  and the deformed bracket  $[-, -]_N : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$  by

$$(29) \quad X \cdot_N Y = N(X) \cdot_A Y + X \cdot_A N(Y) - N(X \cdot_A Y),$$

$$(30) \quad [X, Y]_N = [N(X), Y]_A + [X, N(Y)]_A - N([X, Y]_A) \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(A).$$

**Theorem 4.8.** *Assume that  $N : A \rightarrow A$  is a Nijenhuis operator on an  $F$ -algebroid  $(A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$ . Then,  $(A, [-, -]_N, \cdot_N, a_N = a_A \circ N)$  is an  $F$ -algebroid and  $N$  is an  $F$ -algebroid homomorphism from the  $F$ -algebroid*

$$(A, [-, -]_N, \cdot_N, a_N = a_A \circ N)$$

to  $(A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $N$  is a Nijenhuis operator on the commutative associative algebra  $(\Gamma(A), \cdot_A)$ , it follows that  $(\Gamma(A), \cdot_N)$  is a commutative associative algebra [8]. Since  $N$  is a Nijenhuis operator on the Lie algebroid  $(A, [-, -]_A, a_A)$ , we get that  $(A, [-, -]_N, a_N)$  is a Lie algebroid [20].

Define

$$(31) \quad \Phi_N(X, Y, Z, W) := P_{X \cdot_N Y}^N(Z, W) - X \cdot_N P_Y^N(Z, W) - Y \cdot_N P_X^N(Z, W),$$

where  $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(A)$  and

$$P_X^N(Y, Z) := [X, Y \cdot_N Z]_N - [X, Y]_N \cdot_N Z - Y \cdot_N [X, Z]_N.$$

Since  $A$  is an  $F$ -algebroid and  $N$  is a Nijenhuis operator on  $A$ , by a direct calculation, we have

$$\Phi_N(X, Y, Z, W) = 0,$$

which implies that

$$P_{X \cdot_N Y}^N(W, Z) - X \cdot_N P_Y^N(W, Z) - Y \cdot_N P_X^N(W, Z) = 0.$$

Thus  $(A, [-, -]_N, \cdot_N, a_N = a_A \circ N)$  is an  $F$ -algebroid. It is obvious that  $N$  is an  $F$ -algebroid homomorphism from the  $F$ -algebroid  $(A, [-, -]_N, \cdot_N, a_N = a_A \circ N)$  to  $(A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$ . □

**Lemma 4.9.** *Let  $(A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  be an  $F$ -algebroid and  $N$  a Nijenhuis operator on  $A$ . For all  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ :*

- (i)  $(A, [-, -]_{N^k}, \cdot_{N^k}, a_{N^k})$  is an  $F$ -algebroid.
- (ii)  $N^l$  is also a Nijenhuis operator on the  $F$ -algebroid  $(A, [-, -]_{N^k}, \cdot_{N^k}, a_{N^k})$ .
- (iii) The  $F$ -algebroids

$$(A, ([-, -]_{N^k})_{N^l}, (\cdot_{N^k})_{N^l}, a_{N^{k+l}}) \quad \text{and} \quad (A, [-, -]_{N^{k+l}}, \cdot_{N^{k+l}}, a_{N^{k+l}})$$

are the same.

- (iv)  $N^l$  is an  $F$ -algebroid homomorphism between the  $F$ -algebroid

$$(A, [-, -]_{N^{k+l}}, \cdot_{N^{k+l}}, a_{N^{k+l}}) \quad \text{and} \quad (A, [-, -]_{N^k}, \cdot_{N^k}, a_{N^k}).$$

*Proof.* Since the above conclusions with respect to Nijenhuis operators on commutative associative algebras [8] and Lie algebroids [20] simultaneously hold, by Theorem 4.8, the conclusions follow immediately. □

We now show that pseudoeventual identities naturally give Nijenhuis operators.

**Proposition 4.10.** *Let  $(A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  be an  $F$ -algebroid with an identity  $e$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  a pseudoeventual identity on  $A$ . Then the endomorphism  $N = \mathcal{E} \cdot_A$  is a Nijenhuis operator on the  $F$ -algebroid  $A$ . Consequently,  $(A, [-, -]_{\mathcal{E}}, \cdot_{\mathcal{E}}, a_{\mathcal{E}})$  is an  $F$ -algebroid, where*

$$(32) \quad [X, Y]_{\mathcal{E}} = [\mathcal{E} \cdot_A X, Y]_A + [X, \mathcal{E} \cdot_A Y]_A - \mathcal{E} \cdot_A [X, Y]_A \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(A),$$

with  $\cdot_{\mathcal{E}}$  given by (23) and  $a_{\mathcal{E}}(X) = a_A(\mathcal{E} \cdot_A X)$ .

*Proof.* For any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(X) \cdot_A N(Y) - N(N(X) \cdot_A Y + X \cdot_A N(Y) - N(X \cdot_A Y)) \\ = X \cdot_A Y \cdot_A \mathcal{E}^2 - \mathcal{E} \cdot_A (X \cdot_A Y \cdot_A \mathcal{E} + X \cdot_A Y \cdot_A \mathcal{E} - X \cdot_A Y \cdot_A \mathcal{E}) \\ = X \cdot_A Y \cdot_A \mathcal{E}^2 - X \cdot_A Y \cdot_A \mathcal{E}^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $N = \mathcal{E} \cdot_A$  is a Nijenhuis operator on the associative algebra  $(\Gamma(A), \cdot_A)$ .

Then we show that  $N = \mathcal{E} \cdot_A$  is a Nijenhuis operator on the Lie algebroid  $(A, [-, -]_A, a_A)$ . It is obvious that  $N$  is a bundle map. Since  $\mathcal{E}$  is a pseudoeventual identity on the  $F$ -algebroid  $A$ , taking  $Y = \mathcal{E}$  in (22), we have

$$(33) \quad [X \cdot_A \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}]_A - [X, \mathcal{E}]_A \cdot_A \mathcal{E} = [\mathcal{E}, e]_A \cdot_A X \cdot_A \mathcal{E}.$$

For any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$ , expanding  $[\mathcal{E} \cdot_A X, \mathcal{E} \cdot_A Y]_A$  using the Hertling–Manin relation and by (33), we have

$$[N(X), N(Y)]_A - N([N(X), Y]_A + [X, N(Y)]_A - N([X, Y]_A)) = 0.$$

Thus  $N = \mathcal{E} \cdot_A$  is a Nijenhuis operator on the Lie algebroid  $(A, [-, -]_A, a_A)$ . Therefore,  $N = \mathcal{E} \cdot_A$  is a Nijenhuis operator on the  $F$ -algebroid  $A$ .

The second claim follows from Theorem 4.8. □

**Corollary 4.11.** *Let  $(M, \bullet)$  be an  $F$ -manifold with an identity  $e$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  a pseudoeventual identity on  $M$ . Then there is a new  $F$ -algebroid structure on  $TM$  given by*

$$\begin{aligned} X \bullet_{\mathcal{E}} Y = X \bullet Y \bullet \mathcal{E}, \quad [X, Y]_{\mathcal{E}} = [\mathcal{E} \bullet X, Y]_{\mathfrak{X}(M)} + [X, \mathcal{E} \bullet Y]_{\mathfrak{X}(M)} - \mathcal{E} \bullet [X, Y]_{\mathfrak{X}(M)}, \\ a_{\mathcal{E}}(X) = \mathcal{E} \bullet X \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M). \end{aligned}$$

### 5. Pre- $F$ -algebroids and eventual identities

In this section, we introduce the notion of a pre- $F$ -algebroid, and show that a pre- $F$ -algebroid gives rise to an  $F$ -algebroid. Then we study eventual identities on a pre- $F$ -algebroid, which give new pre- $F$ -algebroids. Finally, we introduce the notion of a Nijenhuis operator on a pre- $F$ -algebroid, and show that a Nijenhuis operator gives rise to a deformed pre- $F$ -algebroid.

**Some properties of pre- $F$ -algebroids.**

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot)$  be a commutative associative algebra and  $(\mathfrak{g}, *)$  a pre-Lie algebra. Define  $\Psi : \otimes^3 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  by

$$(34) \quad \Psi(x, y, z) := x * (y \cdot z) - (x * y) \cdot z - y \cdot (x * z).$$

(i) The triple  $(\mathfrak{g}, *, \cdot)$  is called a **pre- $F$ -manifold algebra** if

$$(35) \quad \Psi(x, y, z) = \Psi(y, x, z) \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g},$$

(ii) The triple  $(\mathfrak{g}, *, \cdot)$  is called a **pre-Lie commutative algebra** (or **pre-Lie-com algebra**) if

$$(36) \quad \Psi(x, y, z) = 0 \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

It is obvious that a pre-Lie-com algebra is a pre- $F$ -manifold algebra.

**Example 5.2 [24].** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot)$  be a commutative associative algebra with a derivation  $D$ . Then the new product

$$x * y = x \cdot D(y) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$$

makes  $(\mathfrak{g}, *, \cdot)$  being a pre-Lie-com algebra. Furthermore,  $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -], \cdot)$  is an  $F$ -manifold algebra, where the bracket is given by

$$[x, y] = x * y - y * x = x \cdot D(y) - y \cdot D(x) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}[u^1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  be the algebra of polynomials in  $n$  variables. Denote by  $\mathfrak{D}_n = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \partial_{u^i} \mid p_i \in \mathfrak{g} \right\}$  the space of derivations.

**Example 5.3 [24].** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the algebra of polynomials in  $n$  variables. Define  $\cdot : \mathfrak{D}_n \times \mathfrak{D}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_n$  and  $*$  :  $\mathfrak{D}_n \times \mathfrak{D}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_n$  by

$$(p \partial_{u^i}) \cdot (q \partial_{u^j}) = (pq) \delta_{ij} \partial_{u^i}, \quad (p \partial_{u^i}) * (q \partial_{u^j}) = p \partial_{u^i}(q) \partial_{u^j} \quad \forall p, q \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Then  $(\mathfrak{D}_n, *, \cdot)$  is a pre-Lie-com algebra with the identity  $e = \partial_{u^1} + \dots + \partial_{x_n}$ . Furthermore, it follows that  $(\mathfrak{D}_n, [-, -], \cdot)$  is an  $F$ -manifold algebra with the identity  $e$ , where the bracket is given by

$$[p \partial_{u^i}, q \partial_{u^j}] = p \partial_{u^i}(q) \partial_{u^j} - q \partial_{u^j}(p) \partial_{u^i} \quad \forall p, q \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

**Definition 5.4.** A **pre- $F$ -algebroid** is a vector bundle  $A$  over  $M$  equipped with bilinear operations  $\cdot_A : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$  and  $*_A : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$ , and a bundle map  $a_A : A \rightarrow TM$ , called the anchor, such that  $(A, *_A, a_A)$  is a pre-Lie algebroid,  $(A, \cdot_A)$  is a commutative associative algebroid and  $(\Gamma(A), *_A, \cdot_A)$  is a pre- $F$ -manifold algebra. In particular, if  $(\Gamma(A), *_A, \cdot_A)$  is a pre-Lie-com algebra, we call this pre- $F$ -algebroid a **pre-Lie-com algebroid**.

We denote a pre- $F$ -algebroid (or pre-Lie-com algebroid) by  $(A, *_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$ .

**Definition 5.5.** Let  $(A, *_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  and  $(B, *_B, \cdot_B, a_B)$  be pre- $F$ -algebroids over  $M$ . A bundle map  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  is called a **homomorphism** of pre- $F$ -algebroids, if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\varphi(X \cdot_A Y) = \varphi(X) \cdot_B \varphi(Y), \quad \varphi(X *_A Y) = \varphi(X) *_B \varphi(Y), \quad a_B \circ \varphi = a_A$$

for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$ .

**Proposition 5.6.** Assume that  $(A, *_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  is a pre- $F$ -algebroid. Then we have an  $F$ -algebroid  $(A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$ , and denoted by  $A^c$ , called the **subadjacent  $F$ -algebroid** of the pre- $F$ -algebroid, where the bracket  $[-, -]_A$  is given by

$$(37) \quad [X, Y]_A = X *_A Y - Y *_A X \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(A).$$

*Proof.* Since  $(A, *_A, a_A)$  is a pre-Lie algebroid,  $(A, [-, -]_A, a_A)$  is a Lie algebroid [22]. Since  $(\Gamma(A), *_A, \cdot_A)$  is a pre- $F$ -manifold algebra,  $(\Gamma(A), [-, -]_A, \cdot_A)$  is an  $F$ -manifold algebra [14]. Thus  $(A, [-, -]_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  is an  $F$ -algebroid.  $\square$

The notion of an  $F$ -manifold with a compatible flat connection was introduced by Manin [29]. Recall that an  **$F$ -manifold with a compatible flat connection (pre-Lie-com manifold)** is a triple  $(M, \nabla, \bullet)$ , where  $M$  is a manifold,  $\nabla$  is a flat connection and  $\bullet$  is a  $C^\infty(M)$ -bilinear, commutative and associative multiplication on the tangent bundle  $TM$  such that  $(TM, \nabla, \bullet, \text{Id})$  is a pre- $F$ -algebroid (pre-Lie-com algebroid). It is obvious that an  $F$ -manifold with a compatible flat connection is a special case of pre- $F$ -algebroids. An  $F$ -manifold with a compatible flat connection (resp. pre-Lie-com manifold) is called **semisimple** if its subadjacent  $F$ -manifold is semisimple.

**Proposition 5.7.** Let  $(M, \nabla, \bullet, e)$  be a semisimple pre-Lie-com manifold with the canonical local coordinate systems  $(u^1, \dots, u^n)$ . Then we have

$$\nabla_{\partial/\partial u^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j} = 0, \quad i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

*Proof.* Set

$$\nabla_{\partial/\partial u^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j} = \sum_k \Gamma_{ij}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}.$$

By (36), for any  $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , we have

$$(38) \quad 0 = \nabla_{\partial/\partial u^i} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j} \bullet \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k} \right) - \left( \nabla_{\partial/\partial u^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j} \right) \bullet \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k} - \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j} \bullet \left( \nabla_{\partial/\partial u^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k} \right) \\ = \sum_l \delta_{jk} \Gamma_{ik}^l \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} - \Gamma_{ij}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k} - \Gamma_{ik}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j}.$$

For  $j \neq k$  in (38), we have  $\Gamma_{ij}^k = 0$  ( $j \neq k$ ). For  $j = k$  in (38), we have  $\Gamma_{ij}^j = 0$ . Thus for any  $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , we have  $\Gamma_{ij}^k = 0$ .  $\square$

We give some useful formulas that will be frequently used in what follows.

**Lemma 5.8.** *Let  $(A, *_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  be a pre- $F$ -algebroid. Then  $\Psi(X, Y, Z)$  defined by (34) is a tensor field of type  $(3, 1)$  and symmetric in all arguments. Furthermore,  $\Psi$  satisfies*

$$(39) \quad \Psi(X \cdot_A Y, Z, W) - \Psi(X, Z, W) \cdot_A Y = \Psi(X \cdot_A Z, Y, W) - \Psi(X, Y, W) \cdot_A Z,$$

$$(40) \quad \Psi(X \cdot_A Y, Z, W) - \Psi(X \cdot_A Z, Y, W) = \Psi(W \cdot_A Y, X, Z) - \Psi(W \cdot_A Z, X, Y)$$

for all  $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(A)$ .

*Proof.* It is straightforward to check that  $\Psi(X, Y, Z)$  is a tensor field of type  $(3, 1)$ . The symmetry of  $\Psi(X, Y, Z)$  in the first two arguments is the consequence of (35) and in the last two arguments is the consequence of the commutativity of  $\cdot_A$ .

By the symmetry of  $\Psi$ , we have

$$(41) \quad \Psi(X \cdot_A Y, Z, W) - \Psi(X, Z, W) \cdot_A Y = \Psi(X \cdot_A W, Y, Z) - \Psi(X, Y, Z) \cdot_A W.$$

Interchanging  $Z$  and  $W$  in (41), we have

$$\Psi(X \cdot_A Y, W, Z) - \Psi(X, W, Z) \cdot_A Y = \Psi(X \cdot_A Z, Y, W) - \Psi(X, Y, W) \cdot_A Z.$$

By the symmetry of  $\Psi$ , equation (39) follows.

By (39), we have

$$\Psi(X \cdot_A Y, Z, W) - \Psi(X \cdot_A Z, Y, W) = \Psi(X, Z, W) \cdot_A Y - \Psi(X, Y, W) \cdot_A Z,$$

$$\Psi(W \cdot_A Y, X, Z) - \Psi(W \cdot_A Z, X, Y) = \Psi(W, X, Z) \cdot_A Y - \Psi(W, X, Y) \cdot_A Z.$$

By the symmetry of  $\Psi$ , we have

$$\Psi(X, Z, W) \cdot_A Y - \Psi(X, Y, W) \cdot_A Z = \Psi(W, X, Z) \cdot_A Y - \Psi(W, X, Y) \cdot_A Z.$$

Thus (40) holds.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.9.** *Let  $(A, *_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  be a pre- $F$ -algebroid with an identity  $e$ . Then,*

$$(42) \quad \Psi(e, X, Y) = -(X *_A e) \cdot_A Y,$$

$$(43) \quad (X *_A e) \cdot_A Y = (Y *_A e) \cdot_A X \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(A).$$

*Proof.* Equation (42) follows by a direct calculation. By the symmetry of  $\Psi$  and (42), equation (43) follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.10.** *Let  $(A, *_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  be a pre-Lie-com algebroid with an identity  $e$ . Then we have*

$$(44) \quad X *_A e = 0 \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(A).$$

*Proof.* The conclusion follows from the following relation:

$$X *_A (e \cdot_A e) - (X *_A e) \cdot_A e - (X *_A e) \cdot_A e = 0. \quad \square$$

**Example 5.11.** Assume that  $\{u\}$  is a coordinate system of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Define an anchor map  $a : T\mathbb{R} \rightarrow T\mathbb{R}$ , a multiplication  $\cdot : \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R})$  and a multiplication  $* : \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R})$  by

$$a\left(f \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) = uf \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad f \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \cdot g \frac{\partial}{\partial u} = fg \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad f \frac{\partial}{\partial u} * g \frac{\partial}{\partial u} = uf \frac{\partial g}{\partial u} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$$

for all  $f, g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $(T\mathbb{R}, *, \cdot, a)$  is a pre-Lie-com algebroid with the identity  $\partial/\partial u$ . Furthermore,  $(T\mathbb{R}, [-, -], \cdot, a)$  is an  $F$ -algebroid with the identity  $\partial/\partial u$ , where  $[-, -]$  is given by

$$\left[ f \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, g \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right] = u \left( f \frac{\partial g}{\partial u} - g \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u}.$$

**Definition 5.12.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, *, \cdot)$  be a pre- $F$ -manifold algebra (pre-Lie-com algebra). An **action** of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on a manifold  $M$  is a linear map  $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$  from  $\mathfrak{g}$  to the space of vector fields on  $M$ , such that for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ , we have

$$\rho(x * y - y * x) = [\rho(x), \rho(y)]_{\mathfrak{X}(M)}.$$

Given an action of a pre- $F$ -manifold algebra (pre-Lie-com algebra)  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $M$ , let  $A = M \times \mathfrak{g}$  be the trivial bundle. Define an anchor map  $a_\rho : A \rightarrow TM$ , a multiplication  $\cdot_\rho : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$  and a bracket  $*_\rho : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$  by

$$(45) \quad a_\rho(m, u) = \rho(u)_m \quad \forall m \in M, u \in \mathfrak{g},$$

$$(46) \quad X \cdot_\rho Y = X \cdot Y,$$

$$(47) \quad X *_\rho Y = \mathcal{L}_{\rho(X)}Y + X * Y \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(A),$$

where  $X \cdot Y$  and  $X * Y$  are the pointwise  $C^\infty(M)$ -bilinear multiplication and bracket, respectively.

**Proposition 5.13.** *With the above notations, we have that  $(A = M \times \mathfrak{g}, *_\rho, \cdot_\rho, a_\rho)$  is a pre- $F$ -algebroid (pre-Lie-com algebroid), which we call an **action pre- $F$ -algebroid** (action pre-Lie-com algebroid), where  $*_\rho, \cdot_\rho$  and  $a_\rho$  are given by (47), (46) and (45), respectively.*

*Proof.* It follows by a similar proof of [Proposition 2.15](#). □

It is obvious that the subadjacent  $F$ -algebroid of the action pre- $F$ -algebroid is an action  $F$ -algebroid.

**Example 5.14.** Consider the pre-Lie-com algebra  $(\mathfrak{D}_n, \cdot, *)$  given by [Example 5.3](#). Let  $(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  be the canonical coordinate systems on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\rho : \mathfrak{D}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a map defined by

$$\rho(p(u^1, \dots, u^n) \partial_{u^i}) = p(t_1, \dots, t_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}, \quad i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$



It is straightforward to check that  $\rho$  is an action of the pre-Lie-com algebra  $\mathfrak{D}_n$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Thus  $(A = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathfrak{D}_n, *_\rho, \cdot_\rho, a_\rho)$  is a pre-Lie-com algebroid, where  $*_\rho, \cdot_\rho$  and  $a_\rho$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} a_\rho(m, p(u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n) \partial_{u^i}) &= p(m) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} \Big|_m \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ (f \otimes (p \partial_{u^i})) \cdot_\rho (g \otimes (q \partial_{u^j})) &= (fg) \otimes (pq \delta_{ij} \partial_{u^i}), \\ (f \otimes (p \partial_{u^i})) *_\rho (g \otimes (q \partial_{u^j})) &= fp \frac{\partial g}{\partial t_i} \otimes (q \partial_{u^j}) + (fg) \otimes p \partial_{u^i}(q) \partial_{u^j}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $f, g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $p, q \in \mathbb{R}[u^1, \dots, u^n]$ .

### Eventual identities of pre- $F$ -algebroids.

**Definition 5.15.** Assume that  $(A, *_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  is a pre- $F$ -algebroid with an identity  $e$ . A section  $\mathcal{E} \in \Gamma(A)$  is called a **pseudoeventual identity** on  $A$  if the following equalities hold:

$$(48) \quad \Psi(\mathcal{E}, X, Y) = -(\mathcal{E} *_A e) \cdot_A X \cdot_A Y,$$

$$(49) \quad (X *_A \mathcal{E}) \cdot_A Y = (Y *_A \mathcal{E}) \cdot_A X \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(A).$$

A pseudoeventual identity  $\mathcal{E}$  on the pre- $F$ -algebroid with an identity  $e$  is called an **eventual identity** if it is invertible.

**Proposition 5.16.** Let  $(A, *_A, \cdot_A, e, a_A)$  be a pre- $F$ -algebroid with an identity  $e$ . If  $\mathcal{E} \in \Gamma(A)$  is a pseudoeventual identity on  $A$ , then  $\mathcal{E} \in \Gamma(A)$  is a pseudoeventual identity on its subadjacent  $F$ -algebroid  $A^c$ .

*Proof.* By a direct calculation, for  $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mathcal{E}}(X, Y) - [e, \mathcal{E}]_A \cdot_A X \cdot_A Y &= \mathcal{E} *_A (X \cdot_A Y) - (X \cdot_A Y) *_A \mathcal{E} - (\mathcal{E} *_A X) \cdot_A Y + (X *_A \mathcal{E}) \cdot_A Y \\ &\quad - (\mathcal{E} *_A Y) \cdot_A X + (Y *_A \mathcal{E}) \cdot_A X - (e *_A \mathcal{E}) \cdot_A X \cdot_A Y + (\mathcal{E} *_A e) \cdot_A X \cdot_A Y \\ &= \Psi(\mathcal{E}, X, Y) + (\mathcal{E} *_A e) \cdot_A X \cdot_A Y - (X \cdot_A Y) *_A \mathcal{E} + (X *_A \mathcal{E}) \cdot_A Y \\ &\quad + (Y *_A \mathcal{E}) \cdot_A X - (e *_A \mathcal{E}) \cdot_A X \cdot_A Y. \end{aligned}$$

By (48) and (49), we have

$$P_{\mathcal{E}}(X, Y) - [e, \mathcal{E}]_A \cdot_A X \cdot_A Y = 0.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{E} \in \Gamma(A)$  is a pseudoeventual identity on its subadjacent  $F$ -algebroid  $A^c$ .  $\square$

By Lemma 5.10, we have:

**Proposition 5.17.** Let  $(A, *_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  be a pre- $F$ -algebroid with an identity  $e$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  an invertible element in  $\Gamma(A)$ . If  $(A, *_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  is a pre-Lie-com algebroid, then  $\mathcal{E}$  is an eventual identity on  $A$  if and only if (49) holds.

**Lemma 5.18.** *Let  $(A, *_A, \cdot_A, e, a_A)$  be a pre- $F$ -algebroid. Then for  $\mathcal{E} \in \Gamma(A)$ , equation (48) holds if and only if*

$$(50) \quad \Psi(X, \mathcal{E} \cdot_A Y, Z) = \Psi(Y, \mathcal{E} \cdot_A X, Z) \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(A).$$

*Proof.* Assume that (50) holds. By (39), we have

$$(51) \quad \Psi(\mathcal{E}, X, Z) \cdot_A Y - \Psi(\mathcal{E}, Y, Z) \cdot_A X = \Psi(X, \mathcal{E} \cdot_A Y, Z) - \Psi(Y, \mathcal{E} \cdot_A X, Z) = 0.$$

Taking  $Y = e$  in (51), we have

$$\Psi(\mathcal{E}, X, Z) = -(\mathcal{E} *_A e) \cdot_A X \cdot_A Z.$$

This implies that (48) holds.

Conversely, if (48) holds, then we have

$$\Psi(\mathcal{E}, X, Z) \cdot_A Y - \Psi(\mathcal{E}, Y, Z) \cdot_A X = -(\mathcal{E} *_A e) \cdot_A X \cdot_A Z \cdot_A Y + (\mathcal{E} *_A e) \cdot_A Y \cdot_A Z \cdot_A X = 0.$$

By (39), we have

$$\Psi(X, \mathcal{E} \cdot_A Y, Z) = \Psi(Y, \mathcal{E} \cdot_A X, Z).$$

This implies that (50) holds. □

Let the set of all pseudoeventual identities on a pre- $F$ -algebroid  $(A, *_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  be  $\mathfrak{E}(A)$  with an identity  $e$ .

**Proposition 5.19.** *Let  $(A, *_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  be a pre- $F$ -algebroid with an identity  $e$ . Then for any  $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2 \in \mathfrak{E}(A)$ , we have  $\mathcal{E}_1 \cdot_A \mathcal{E}_2 \in \mathfrak{E}(A)$ . Furthermore, if  $\mathcal{E}$  is an eventual identity on  $A$ , then  $\mathcal{E}^{-1}$  is also an eventual identity on  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2$  be two pseudoeventual identities on the pre- $F$ -algebroid  $A$ . For all  $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(A)$ , by (50), the symmetry of  $\Psi$  and Lemma 5.18, we have

$$\Psi(\mathcal{E}_1 \cdot_A \mathcal{E}_2, X, Y) = -((\mathcal{E}_1 \cdot_A \mathcal{E}_2) *_A e) \cdot_A X \cdot_A Y.$$

For all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$ , by (35), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (X *_A (\mathcal{E}_1 \cdot_A \mathcal{E}_2)) \cdot_A Y - (Y *_A (\mathcal{E}_1 \cdot_A \mathcal{E}_2)) \cdot_A X \\ &= \Psi(\mathcal{E}_1, X, \mathcal{E}_2) \cdot_A Y + (X *_A \mathcal{E}_1) \cdot_A \mathcal{E}_2 \cdot_A Y + (X *_A \mathcal{E}_2) \cdot_A \mathcal{E}_1 \cdot_A Y \\ & \quad - \Psi(\mathcal{E}_1, Y, \mathcal{E}_2) \cdot_A X - (Y *_A \mathcal{E}_1) \cdot_A \mathcal{E}_2 \cdot_A X - (Y *_A \mathcal{E}_2) \cdot_A \mathcal{E}_1 \cdot_A X. \end{aligned}$$

By (39) and (50), we have

$$\Psi(\mathcal{E}_1, X, \mathcal{E}_2) \cdot_A Y - \Psi(\mathcal{E}_1, Y, \mathcal{E}_2) \cdot_A X = \Psi(\mathcal{E}_1 \cdot_A Y, X, \mathcal{E}_2) - \Psi(\mathcal{E}_1 \cdot_A X, Y, \mathcal{E}_2) = 0.$$

Using the above relation and by (49), we have

$$(X *_A (\mathcal{E}_1 \cdot_A \mathcal{E}_2)) \cdot_A Y - (Y *_A (\mathcal{E}_1 \cdot_A \mathcal{E}_2)) \cdot_A X = 0.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{E}_1 \cdot_A \mathcal{E}_2 \in \mathfrak{E}(A)$ .

Using (50) with  $X$  and  $Y$  replaced by  $\mathcal{E}^{-1} \cdot_A X$  and  $\mathcal{E}^{-1} \cdot_A Y$  respectively, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Psi(\mathcal{E}^{-1} \cdot_A X, \mathcal{E} \cdot_A \mathcal{E}^{-1} \cdot_A Y, Z) - \Psi(\mathcal{E}^{-1} \cdot_A Y, \mathcal{E} \cdot_A \mathcal{E}^{-1} \cdot_A X, Z) \\ &= \Psi(\mathcal{E}^{-1} \cdot_A X, Y, Z) - \Psi(\mathcal{E}^{-1} \cdot_A Y, X, Z). \end{aligned}$$

By the symmetry of  $\Psi$  and Lemma 5.18, we have

$$\Psi(\mathcal{E}^{-1}, X, Y) = -(\mathcal{E}^{-1} *_A e) \cdot_A X \cdot_A Y.$$

By (39) and (50), we have

$$(52) \quad \Psi(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}^{-1}) \cdot_A Y = \Psi(Y, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}^{-1}) \cdot_A X.$$

Furthermore, by a direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (X *_A \mathcal{E}^{-1}) \cdot_A Y \cdot_A \mathcal{E} &= \Psi(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}^{-1}) \cdot_A Y - (X *_A e) \cdot_A Y + (X *_A \mathcal{E}) \cdot_A Y \cdot_A \mathcal{E}^{-1}, \\ (Y *_A \mathcal{E}^{-1}) \cdot_A X \cdot_A \mathcal{E} &= \Psi(Y, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}^{-1}) \cdot_A X - (Y *_A e) \cdot_A X + (Y *_A \mathcal{E}) \cdot_A X \cdot_A \mathcal{E}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By (43), (49) and (52), we have

$$(X *_A \mathcal{E}^{-1}) \cdot_A Y \cdot_A \mathcal{E} = (Y *_A \mathcal{E}^{-1}) \cdot_A X \cdot_A \mathcal{E}.$$

Because  $\mathcal{E}$  is invertible, we have

$$(X *_A \mathcal{E}^{-1}) \cdot_A Y = (Y *_A \mathcal{E}^{-1}) \cdot_A X.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{E}^{-1}$  is an eventual identity on  $A$ . □

**Proposition 5.20.** *Let  $(A, *_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  be a pre- $F$ -algebroid with an identity  $e$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}$  is a pseudoeventual identity on  $A$  if and only if  $(A, *_A, \cdot_{\mathcal{E}}, a_A)$  is a pre- $F$ -algebroid, where  $\cdot_{\mathcal{E}} : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$  is given by (23).*

*Proof.* Define

$$\tilde{\Psi}(X, Y, Z) = X *_A (Y \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} Z) - (X *_A Y) \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} Z - Y \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} (X *_A Z) \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(A).$$

By a straightforward computation, we have

$$(53) \quad \tilde{\Psi}(X, Y, Z) = \Psi(X, \mathcal{E} \cdot_A Y, Z) + \Psi(X, \mathcal{E}, Y) \cdot_A Z + (X *_A \mathcal{E}) \cdot_A Y \cdot_A Z,$$

$$(54) \quad \tilde{\Psi}(Y, X, Z) = \Psi(Y, \mathcal{E} \cdot_A X, Z) + \Psi(Y, \mathcal{E}, X) \cdot_A Z + (Y *_A \mathcal{E}) \cdot_A X \cdot_A Z.$$

By the symmetry of  $\Psi$ ,  $(A, *_A, \cdot_{\mathcal{E}}, a_A)$  is a pre- $F$ -algebroid if and only if

$$(55) \quad \Psi(X, \mathcal{E} \cdot_A Y, Z) - \Psi(Y, \mathcal{E} \cdot_A X, Z) = (Y *_A \mathcal{E}) \cdot_A X \cdot_A Z - (X *_A \mathcal{E}) \cdot_A Y \cdot_A Z.$$

By the symmetry of  $\Psi$  and (40), we have

$$\Psi(X, \mathcal{E} \cdot_A Y, e) - \Psi(Y, \mathcal{E} \cdot_A X, e) = \Psi(e \cdot_A Y, \mathcal{E}, X) - \Psi(e \cdot_A X, \mathcal{E}, Y) = 0.$$

Taking  $Z = e$  in (55), we have

$$(X *_A \mathcal{E}) \cdot_A Y = (Y *_A \mathcal{E}) \cdot_A X.$$

This implies that (49) holds. Furthermore, by (49), (55) implies that (50) holds. By Lemma 5.18, equation (50) is equivalent to (48). Thus  $\mathcal{E}$  is a pseudoeventual identity on  $(A, *_A, \cdot_A, e, a_A)$ .

On the other hand, if  $\mathcal{E}$  is a pseudoeventual identity on  $(A, *_A, \cdot_A, e, a_A)$ , by Lemma 5.18, we have

$$\Psi(X, \mathcal{E} \cdot_A Y, Z) = \Psi(Y, \mathcal{E} \cdot_A X, Z).$$

Furthermore, (55) follows by (49). Thus  $(A, *_A, \cdot_{\mathcal{E}}, a_A)$  is a pre- $F$ -algebroid.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.21.** *Let  $(M, \nabla, \bullet)$  be an  $F$ -manifold with a compatible flat connection and  $\mathcal{E}$  a pseudoeventual identity on  $M$ . Then  $(M, \nabla, \bullet_{\mathcal{E}})$  is also an  $F$ -manifold with a compatible flat connection, where  $\bullet_{\mathcal{E}}$  is given by*

$$(56) \quad X \bullet_{\mathcal{E}} Y = X \bullet Y \bullet \mathcal{E} \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

**Theorem 5.22.** *Let  $(A, *_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  be a pre- $F$ -algebroid with an identity  $e$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}$  is an eventual identity on  $A$  if and only if  $(A, *_A, \cdot_{\mathcal{E}}, a_A)$  is a pre- $F$ -algebroid with the identity  $\mathcal{E}^{-1}$ , which is called the Dubrovin's dual of  $(A, *_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$ , where  $\cdot_{\mathcal{E}}$  is given by (23). Moreover, on the pre- $F$ -algebroid  $(A, *_A, \cdot_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{E}^{-1}, a_A)$ ,  $e$  is an eventual identity and the map*

$$(57) \quad (A, *_A, \cdot_A, e, a_A, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow (A, *_A, \cdot_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{E}^{-1}, a_A, e^{\dagger})$$

is an involution of the set of pre- $F$ -algebroids with eventual identities, where  $e^{\dagger} = \mathcal{E}^{-2}$  is the inverse of  $e$  with respect to the multiplication  $\cdot_{\mathcal{E}}$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.20, the first claim follows immediately. For the second claim, assume that  $\mathcal{E}$  is an eventual identity on  $(A, *_A, \cdot_A, e, a_A)$ . We need to show that  $e$  is an eventual identity on the pre- $F$ -algebroid  $(A, *_A, \cdot_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{E}^{-1}, a_A)$ , i.e.,

$$(58) \quad \tilde{\Psi}(e, X, Y) = -(e *_A \mathcal{E}^{-1}) \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} X \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} Y,$$

$$(59) \quad (X *_A e) \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} Y = (Y *_A e) \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} X.$$

By (43), we have

$$(X *_A e) \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} Y - (Y *_A e) \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} X = ((X *_A e) \cdot_A Y - (Y *_A e) \cdot_A X) \cdot_A \mathcal{E} = 0,$$

which implies that (59) holds.

On the one hand, by (48) and (50), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Psi}(e, X, Y) &= \Psi(\mathcal{E}, X, Y) + \Psi(\mathcal{E}, e, X) \cdot_A Y + (e *_A \mathcal{E}) \cdot_A X \cdot_A Y \\ &= -2(\mathcal{E} *_A e) \cdot_A X \cdot_A Y + (e *_A \mathcal{E}) \cdot_A X \cdot_A Y. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, taking  $X = \mathcal{E}$  and  $Y = \mathcal{E}^{-1}$  in (48), by the symmetry of  $\Psi$ , we have

$$e *_A e - (e *_A \mathcal{E}) \cdot_A \mathcal{E}^{-1} - (e *_A \mathcal{E}^{-1}) \cdot_A \mathcal{E} = -(\mathcal{E} *_A e) \cdot_A \mathcal{E}^{-1}.$$

Furthermore, by (43), we have

$$(e *_A \mathcal{E}^{-1}) \cdot_A \mathcal{E}^2 = (e *_A e) \cdot_A \mathcal{E} - e *_A \mathcal{E} + \mathcal{E} *_A e = 2\mathcal{E} *_A e - e *_A \mathcal{E}.$$

Thus we have

$$\tilde{\Psi}(e, X, Y) = -(e *_A \mathcal{E}^{-1}) \cdot_A \mathcal{E}^2 \cdot_A X \cdot_A Y = -(e *_A \mathcal{E}^{-1}) \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} X \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} Y.$$

which implies that (58) holds.

By Proposition 5.19, we have that  $e^\dagger = \mathcal{E}^{-2}$  is an eventual identity on the pre- $F$ -algebroid  $(A, *_A, \cdot_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{E}^{-1}, a_A)$ . Then similar to the proof of Theorem 4.4, the map given by (57) is an involution of the set of pre- $F$ -algebroids with eventual identities.  $\square$

**Example 5.23.** Consider the pre-Lie-com algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, *, \cdot)$  with an identity  $e$  given by Example 5.2. By a direct calculation, for any  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathfrak{g}$ , we have

$$(x * \mathcal{E}) \cdot y - (y * \mathcal{E}) \cdot x = x \cdot D(\mathcal{E}) \cdot y - y \cdot D(\mathcal{E}) \cdot x = 0 \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

By Proposition 5.17,  $\mathcal{E}$  is a pseudoeventual identity on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Thus any element of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a pseudoeventual identity on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Furthermore, for any  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathfrak{g}$ , there is a new pre- $F$ -manifold algebra structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$  given by

$$x \cdot_{\mathcal{E}} y = x \cdot y \cdot \mathcal{E}, \quad x * y = x \cdot D(y) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

**Example 5.24.** Let  $(M, \nabla, \bullet, e)$  be a semisimple pre-Lie-com manifold with local coordinate systems  $(u^1, \dots, u^n)$ . Then any pseudoeventual identity on  $TM$  is

$$\mathcal{E} = f_1(u^1) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^1} + \dots + f_n(u^n) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^n},$$

where  $f_i(u^i) \in C^\infty(M)$  depends only on  $u^i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Furthermore, if all  $f_i(u^i)$  are nonvanishing everywhere, then  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  is an eventual identity.

**Example 5.25.** Let  $(u^1, u^2)$  be a local coordinate systems on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Define

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u^1} \bullet \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u^2} \bullet \frac{\partial}{\partial u^2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} * \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j} = 0, \quad i, j \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Then  $(T\mathbb{R}^2, *, \bullet, \text{Id})$  is a pre-Lie-com algebroid with the identity  $\partial/\partial u^1$  and thus  $(T\mathbb{R}^2, *, \bullet, \text{Id})$  is a pre- $F$ -algebroid with the identity  $\partial/\partial u^1$ .

Furthermore, any pseudoeventual identity on  $(T\mathbb{R}^2, *, \bullet, \text{Id})$  is of the form

$$\mathcal{E} = f_1(u^1) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^1} + f_2(u^1, u^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^2},$$

with  $\partial f_1/\partial u^1 = \partial f_2/\partial u^2$ , where  $f_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  depends only on  $u^1$  and  $f_2$  is any smooth function. Furthermore, any pseudoeventual identity on the subadjacent  $F$ -algebroid of  $(T\mathbb{R}^2, *, \bullet, \text{Id})$  is of the form

$$\mathcal{E} = f_1(u^1) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^1} + f_2(u^1, u^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^2}.$$

In particular, if  $f_1(u^1)$  is nonvanishing everywhere, then  $\mathcal{E}$  is an eventual identity on the subadjacent  $F$ -algebroid of  $(T\mathbb{R}^2, *, \bullet, \text{Id})$ .

**Theorem 5.26** [27]. *Let  $(M, \nabla, \bullet)$  be an  $F$ -manifold with a compatible flat connection. Let  $(u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n)$  be the canonical coordinate systems on  $M$ . If  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $\mathfrak{X}(M)$  satisfy*

$$(\nabla_Z X) \bullet W = (\nabla_W X) \bullet Z, \quad (\nabla_Z Y) \bullet W = (\nabla_W Y) \bullet Z \quad \forall W, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M),$$

then the associated flows

$$(60) \quad u_t^i = c_{jk}^i X^k u_x^i \quad \text{and} \quad u_\tau^i = c_{jk}^i Y^k u_x^j$$

commute, where

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} \bullet \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j} = c_{ij}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k}, \quad X = X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} \quad \text{and} \quad Y = Y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}.$$

**Proposition 5.27.** *Let  $(M, \nabla, \bullet)$  be an  $F$ -manifold with a compatible flat connection and an identity  $e$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2 \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  are pseudoeventual identities. Then the flows*

$$(61) \quad u_t^i = c_{jk}^i X^k u_x^i, \quad u_\tau^i = c_{jk}^i Y^k u_x^j, \quad u_s^i = X^p Y^q c_{jk}^i c_{pq}^k u_x^i$$

commute, where

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} \bullet \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j} = c_{ij}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k}, \quad \mathcal{E}_1 = X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_2 = Y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{E}_1 \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2 \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  are pseudoeventual identities on  $(M, \nabla, \bullet)$ , by Proposition 5.19,  $\mathcal{E}_1 \bullet \mathcal{E}_2$  is also a pseudoeventual identity. Thus  $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2$  and  $\mathcal{E}_1 \bullet \mathcal{E}_2$  satisfy (49). Furthermore, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_1 \bullet \mathcal{E}_2 = X^p Y^q c_{pq}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k}.$$

By Theorem 5.26, the claim follows. □

**Theorem 5.28** [27]. *Let  $(M, \nabla, \bullet)$  be an  $F$ -manifold with a compatible flat connection. Let  $(u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n)$  be the canonical coordinate systems on  $M$  and  $(X_{(1,0)}, \dots, X_{(n,0)})$  a basis of flat vector fields. Define the primary flows by*

$$(62) \quad u_{t(p,0)}^i = c_{jk}^i X_{(p,0)}^k u_x^j.$$

Then there is a well-defined higher flows of the hierarchy defined by

$$(63) \quad u_{t(p,\alpha)}^i = c_{jk}^i X_{(p,\alpha)}^k u_x^j,$$

by means of the following recursive relations:

$$(64) \quad \nabla_{\partial/\partial u^j} X_{(p,\alpha)}^i = c_{jk}^i X_{(p,\alpha-1)}^k u_x^k.$$

Furthermore, the flows of the principal hierarchy (63) commute.

**Proposition 5.29.** *Let  $(M, \nabla, \bullet)$  be an  $F$ -manifold with a compatible flat connection and an identity  $e$ . Let  $(X_{(1,0)}, \dots, X_{(n,0)})$  be a basis of flat vector fields. Assume that  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  is a pseudoeventual identity. Define the primary flows by*

$$(65) \quad u_{t(p,0)}^i = c_{jk}^m c_{ml}^i \mathcal{E}^l X_{(p,0)}^k u_x^j,$$

where  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^i (\partial/\partial u^i)$ . Then there is a well-defined higher flows of the hierarchy defined by

$$(66) \quad u_{t(p,\alpha)}^i = c_{jk}^m c_{ml}^i \mathcal{E}^l X_{(p,\alpha)}^k u_x^j,$$

by means of the following recursive relations:

$$(67) \quad \nabla_{\partial/\partial u^j} X_{(p,\alpha)}^i = c_{jk}^m c_{ml}^i \mathcal{E}^l X_{(p,\alpha-1)}^k u_x^k.$$

Furthermore, the flows of the principal hierarchy (66) commute.

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  is a pseudoeventual identity on  $(M, \nabla, \bullet)$ , we have by Proposition 5.20 that  $(M, \nabla, \bullet_{\mathcal{E}})$  is also an  $F$ -manifold with a compatible flat connection, where

$$X \bullet_{\mathcal{E}} Y = X \bullet Y \bullet \mathcal{E} \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} \bullet_{\mathcal{E}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j} = c_{ij}^m c_{ml}^k \mathcal{E}^l \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k}.$$

By Theorem 5.28, the claim follows.  $\square$

**Nijenhuis operators and deformed pre- $F$ -algebroids.** From [22] a Nijenhuis operator on a pre-Lie algebroid  $(A, *_A, a_A)$  is a bundle map  $N : A \rightarrow A$  such that

$$(68) \quad N(X) *_A N(Y) = N(N(X) *_A Y + X *_A N(Y) - N(X *_A Y)) \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(A).$$

**Definition 5.30.** Let  $(A, *_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  be a pre- $F$ -algebroid. A bundle map  $N : A \rightarrow A$  is called a **Nijenhuis operator** on  $(A, *_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  if  $N$  is both a Nijenhuis operator on the commutative associative algebra  $(\Gamma(A), \cdot_A)$  and a Nijenhuis operator on the pre-Lie algebroid  $(A, *_A, a_A)$ .

**Theorem 5.31.** *Assume that  $N : A \rightarrow A$  is a Nijenhuis operator on a pre- $F$ -algebroid  $(A, *_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$ . Then  $(A, *_N, \cdot_N, a_N = a_A \circ N)$  is a pre- $F$ -algebroid and  $N$  is a homomorphism from the pre- $F$ -algebroid  $(A, *_N, \cdot_N, a_N = a_A \circ N)$  to  $(A, *_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$ , where the operation  $\cdot_N$  is given by equation (29) and the operation  $*_N : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$  is given by*

$$(69) \quad X *_N Y = N(X) *_A Y + X *_A N(Y) - N(X *_A Y) \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(A).$$

*Proof.* Since  $N$  is a Nijenhuis operator on the commutative associative algebra  $(\Gamma(A), \cdot_A)$ , it follows that  $(\Gamma(A), \cdot_N)$  is a commutative associative algebra. Since  $N$  is a Nijenhuis operator on the pre-Lie algebroid  $(A, *_A, a_A)$ ,  $(A, *_N, a_N)$  is a pre-Lie algebroid [22].

Define

$$(70) \quad \Psi_N(X, Y, Z) := X *_N (Y \cdot_N Z) - (X *_N Y) \cdot_N Z - (X *_N Z) \cdot_N Y \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(A).$$

By a direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_N(X, Y, Z) &= \Psi(NX, NY, Z) + \Psi(NX, Y, NZ) + \Psi(X, NY, NZ) \\ &\quad - N(\Psi(NX, Y, Z) + \Psi(X, NY, Z) + \Psi(X, Y, NZ)) \\ &\quad + N^2(\Psi(X, Y, Z)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus by (35), we have

$$\Psi_N(X, Y, Z) = \Psi_N(Y, X, Z).$$

This implies that  $(A, *_N, \cdot_N, a_N = a_A \circ N)$  is a pre- $F$ -algebroid. It is not hard to see that  $N$  is a homomorphism from the pre- $F$ -algebroid  $(A, *_N, \cdot_N, a_N = a_A \circ N)$  to  $(A, *_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$ . □

**Proposition 5.32.** *Let  $(A, *_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  be a pre- $F$ -algebroid with an identity  $e$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  a pseudoeventual identity on  $A$ . Then the endomorphism  $N = \mathcal{E} \cdot_A$  is a Nijenhuis operator on the pre- $F$ -algebroid  $(A, *_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$ . Furthermore,  $(A, *_\mathcal{E}, \cdot_\mathcal{E}, a_\mathcal{E})$  is a pre- $F$ -algebroid, where the multiplication  $*_\mathcal{E}$  is given by*

$$(71) \quad X *_\mathcal{E} Y = (\mathcal{E} \cdot_A X) *_A Y + X *_A (\mathcal{E} \cdot_A Y) - \mathcal{E} \cdot_A (X *_A Y) \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(A),$$

the multiplication  $\cdot_\mathcal{E}$  is given by (23) and  $a_\mathcal{E}(X) = a_A(\mathcal{E} \cdot_A X)$ .

*Proof.* By (35), we have

$$\Psi(\mathcal{E} \cdot_A X, \mathcal{E}, Y) = \Psi(Y, \mathcal{E} \cdot_A X, \mathcal{E}) \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(A),$$

which implies that

$$(72) \quad (\mathcal{E} \cdot_A X) *_A (\mathcal{E} \cdot_A Y) = Y *_A (X \cdot_A \mathcal{E} \cdot_A \mathcal{E}) - (Y *_A (\mathcal{E} \cdot_A X)) \cdot_A \mathcal{E} + ((\mathcal{E} \cdot X) *_A Y) \cdot_A \mathcal{E}.$$



Since  $\mathcal{E}$  is a pseudoeventual identity on  $A$ , by (48) and the symmetry of  $\Psi$ , we have

$$\Psi(X, \mathcal{E}, Y) = -(\mathcal{E} *_A e) \cdot_A X \cdot_A Y,$$

which implies that

$$(73) \quad X *_A (\mathcal{E} \cdot_A Y) = -(\mathcal{E} *_A e) \cdot_A X \cdot_A Y - (X *_A \mathcal{E}) \cdot_A Y - (X *_A Y) \cdot_A \mathcal{E}.$$

By (48), (49), (72), (73) and the symmetry of  $\Psi$ , we have

$$N(X) *_A N(Y) - N(N(X) *_A Y + X *_A N(Y) - N(X *_A Y)) = 0.$$

Thus  $N = \mathcal{E} \cdot_A$  is a Nijenhuis operator on the pre-Lie algebroid  $(A, *_A, a_A)$ .

Also,  $N = \mathcal{E} \cdot_A$  is a Nijenhuis operator on the commutative associative algebra  $(\Gamma(A), \cdot_A)$ . Therefore,  $N = \mathcal{E} \cdot_A$  is a Nijenhuis operator on the pre- $F$ -algebroid  $(A, *_A, \cdot_A, a_A)$ . The second claim follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.33.** *Let  $(M, \nabla, \bullet)$  be an  $F$ -manifold with a compatible flat connection and  $\mathcal{E}$  a pseudoeventual identity on  $M$ . Then there is a new pre- $F$ -algebroid structure on  $TM$  given by*

$$\begin{aligned} X \bullet_{\mathcal{E}} Y &= X \bullet Y \bullet \mathcal{E}, & X *_E Y &= \nabla_{\mathcal{E} \bullet X} Y + \nabla_{\mathcal{E} \bullet Y} X - \mathcal{E} \bullet (\nabla_X Y), \\ a_{\mathcal{E}}(X) &= \mathcal{E} \bullet X & \forall X, Y &\in \mathfrak{X}(M). \end{aligned}$$

### Acknowledgements

This research was supported by NSFC (grants 11922110, 11901501). Liu was also supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (grant 2021YFA1002000). Cruz Morales wants to thank Max Planck Institute for Mathematics — where the last part of this work was written — for its hospitality and financial support. The authors thank the referees for valuable comments that improved the presentation of the paper.

### References

- [1] A. Arsie and P. Lorenzoni, “ $F$ -manifolds with eventual identities, bidifferential calculus and twisted Lenard–Magri chains”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2013**:17 (2013), 3931–3976. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [2] A. Arsie and P. Lorenzoni, “From the Darboux–Egorov system to bi-flat  $F$ -manifolds”, *J. Geom. Phys.* **70** (2013), 98–116. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [3] A. Arsie and P. Lorenzoni, “ $F$ -manifolds, multi-flat structures and Painlevé transcendents”, *Asian J. Math.* **23**:5 (2019), 877–904. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [4] A. Arsie, A. Buryak, P. Lorenzoni, and P. Rossi, “Flat  $F$ -manifolds,  $F$ -CohFTs, and integrable hierarchies”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **388**:1 (2021), 291–328. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [5] M. N. Boyom, “Cohomology of Koszul–Vinberg algebroids and Poisson manifolds, I”, pp. 99–110 in *Lie algebroids and related topics in differential geometry* (Warsaw, 2000), Banach Center Publ. **54**, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2001. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [6] M. N. Boyom, “KV-cohomology of Koszul–Vinberg algebroids and Poisson manifolds”, *Internat. J. Math.* **16**:9 (2005), 1033–1061. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [7] D. Burde, “Left-symmetric algebras, or pre-Lie algebras in geometry and physics”, *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* **4**:3 (2006), 323–357. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [8] J. F. Cariñena, J. Grabowski, and G. Marmo, “Quantum bi-Hamiltonian systems”, *Internat. J. Modern Phys. A* **15**:30 (2000), 4797–4810. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [9] A. Cayley, “On the theory of the analytical forms called blues”, pp. 242–246 in *The collected mathematical papers*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1890.
- [10] N. Combe and Y. I. Manin, “ $F$ -manifolds and geometry of information”, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **52**:5 (2020), 777–792. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [11] J. A. Cruz Morales and A. Torres-Gomez, “On  $F$ -algebroids and Dubrovin’s duality”, *Arch. Math. (Brno)* **55**:2 (2019), 109–122. [MR](#)
- [12] L. David and I. A. B. Strachan, “Compatible metrics on a manifold and nonlocal bi-Hamiltonian structures”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2004**:66 (2004), 3533–3557. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [13] L. David and I. A. B. Strachan, “Dubrovin’s duality for  $F$ -manifolds with eventual identities”, *Adv. Math.* **226**:5 (2011), 4031–4060. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [14] V. Dotsenko, “Algebraic structures of  $F$ -manifolds via pre-Lie algebras”, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4) **198**:2 (2019), 517–527. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [15] B. Dubrovin, “Geometry of 2D topological field theories”, pp. 120–348 in *Integrable systems and quantum groups* (Terme, 1993), Springer, Berlin, 1996. [Zbl](#)
- [16] C. Hertling, *Frobenius manifolds and moduli spaces for singularities*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics **151**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [17] C. Hertling and Y. Manin, “Weak Frobenius manifolds”, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **1999**:6 (1999), 277–286. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [18] M. Kato, T. Mano, and J. Sekiguchi, “Flat structure on the space of isomonodromic deformations”, *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* **16** (2020), art. id. 110. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [19] H. Kawakami and T. Mano, “Regular flat structure and generalized Okubo system”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **369**:2 (2019), 403–431. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [20] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach and F. Magri, “Poisson–Nijenhuis structures”, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor.* **53**:1 (1990), 35–81. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [21] Y.-P. Lee, “Quantum  $K$ -theory, I: Foundations”, *Duke Math. J.* **121**:3 (2004), 389–424. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [22] J. Liu, Y. Sheng, C. Bai, and Z. Chen, “Left-symmetric algebroids”, *Math. Nachr.* **289**:14–15 (2016), 1893–1908. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [23] J. Liu, Y. Sheng, and C. Bai, “Pre-symplectic algebroids and their applications”, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **108**:3 (2018), 779–804. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [24] J. Liu, Y. Sheng, and C. Bai, “ $F$ -manifold algebras and deformation quantization via pre-Lie algebras”, *J. Algebra* **559** (2020), 467–495. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [25] P. Lorenzoni, “Darboux–Egorov system, bi-flat  $F$ -manifolds and Painlevé, VI”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2014**:12 (2014), 3279–3302. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [26] P. Lorenzoni and M. Pedroni, “Natural connections for semi-Hamiltonian systems: the case of the  $\epsilon$ -system”, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **97**:1 (2011), 85–108. [MR](#)
- [27] P. Lorenzoni, M. Pedroni, and A. Raimondo, “ $F$ -manifolds and integrable systems of hydrodynamic type”, *Arch. Math. (Brno)* **47**:3 (2011), 163–180. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [28] K. C. H. Mackenzie, *General theory of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **213**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [29] Y. I. Manin, “ $F$ -manifolds with flat structure and Dubrovin’s duality”, *Adv. Math.* **198**:1 (2005), 5–26. [Zbl](#)
- [30] S. A. Merkulov, “Operads, deformation theory and  $F$ -manifolds”, pp. 213–251 in *Frobenius manifolds*, Aspects Math. **E36**, Friedr. Vieweg, Wiesbaden, 2004. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Received August 11, 2022. Revised November 20, 2023.

JOHN ALEXANDER CRUZ MORALES  
MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS  
BONN  
GERMANY  
*Current address:*  
DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS  
UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE COLOMBIA  
BOGOTÁ  
COLOMBIA  
[jacruzmo@unal.edu.co](mailto:jacruzmo@unal.edu.co)

JIEFENG LIU  
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS  
NORTHEAST NORMAL UNIVERSITY  
JILIN  
CHINA  
[liujf534@nenu.edu.cn](mailto:liujf534@nenu.edu.cn)

YUNHE SHENG  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
JILIN UNIVERSITY  
JILIN  
CHINA  
[shengyh@jlu.edu.cn](mailto:shengyh@jlu.edu.cn)

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

[msp.org/pjm](http://msp.org/pjm)

## EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[blasius@math.ucla.edu](mailto:blasius@math.ucla.edu)

Matthias Aschenbrenner  
Fakultät für Mathematik  
Universität Wien  
Vienna, Austria  
[matthias.aschenbrenner@univie.ac.at](mailto:matthias.aschenbrenner@univie.ac.at)

Paul Balmer  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[balmer@math.ucla.edu](mailto:balmer@math.ucla.edu)

Vyjayanthi Chari  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Riverside, CA 92521-0135  
[chari@math.ucr.edu](mailto:chari@math.ucr.edu)

Atsushi Ichino  
Department of Mathematics  
Kyoto University  
Kyoto 606-8502, Japan  
[atsushi.ichino@gmail.com](mailto:atsushi.ichino@gmail.com)

Robert Lipshitz  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Oregon  
Eugene, OR 97403  
[lipshitz@uoregon.edu](mailto:lipshitz@uoregon.edu)

Kefeng Liu  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[liu@math.ucla.edu](mailto:liu@math.ucla.edu)

Dimitri Shlyakhtenko  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[shlyakht@ipam.ucla.edu](mailto:shlyakht@ipam.ucla.edu)

Paul Yang  
Department of Mathematics  
Princeton University  
Princeton NJ 08544-1000  
[yang@math.princeton.edu](mailto:yang@math.princeton.edu)

Ruixiang Zhang  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720-3840  
[ruixiang@berkeley.edu](mailto:ruixiang@berkeley.edu)

## PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, [production@msp.org](mailto:production@msp.org)

---

See inside back cover or [msp.org/pjm](http://msp.org/pjm) for submission instructions.

---

The subscription price for 2023 is US \$605/year for the electronic version, and \$820/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [PASCAL CNRS Index](#), [Referativnyi Zhurnal](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and [Web of Knowledge \(Science Citation Index\)](#).

---

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1945-5844 electronic, 0030-8730 printed) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

---

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY



**mathematical sciences publishers**

nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2023 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 326    No. 2    October 2023

---

Smooth local solutions to Schrödinger flows with damping term for maps into symplectic manifolds	187
BO CHEN and YOUDE WANG	
Modules over the planar Galilean conformal algebra arising from free modules of rank one	227
JIN CHENG, DONGFANG GAO and ZITING ZENG	
$F$ -algebroids and deformation quantization via pre-Lie algebroids	251
JOHN ALEXANDER CRUZ MORALES, JIEFENG LIU and YUNHE SHENG	
Existence of principal values of some singular integrals on Cantor sets, and Hausdorff dimension	285
JULIÀ CUFÍ, JUAN JESÚS DONAIRE, PERTTI MATTILA and JOAN VERDERA	
Certain Fourier operators and their associated Poisson summation formulae on $GL_1$	301
DIHUA JIANG and ZHILIN LUO	