

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**PRIME SPECTRUM AND DYNAMICS
FOR NILPOTENT CANTOR ACTIONS**

STEVEN HURDER AND OLGA LUKINA

PRIME SPECTRUM AND DYNAMICS FOR NILPOTENT CANTOR ACTIONS

STEVEN HURDER AND OLGA LUKINA

A minimal equicontinuous action by homeomorphisms of a discrete group Γ on a Cantor set \mathfrak{X} is locally quasianalytic if each homeomorphism has a unique extension from small open sets to open sets of uniform diameter on \mathfrak{X} . A minimal action is stable if the action on \mathfrak{X} of the closure of Γ in the group of homeomorphisms of \mathfrak{X} is locally quasianalytic.

When Γ is virtually nilpotent, we say that $\Phi : \Gamma \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is a nilpotent Cantor action. We show that a nilpotent Cantor action with finite prime spectrum must be stable. We also prove there exist uncountably many distinct Cantor actions of the Heisenberg group, necessarily with infinite prime spectrum, which are not stable.

1. Introduction

A minimal equicontinuous action $\Phi : \Gamma \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ of a countable group Γ on a Cantor space \mathfrak{X} is called a *generalized odometer* [9; 14]. When $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$, this is just the abstract form of a traditional odometer action of the integers. For $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $n \geq 2$, one obtains a more complex class of actions, whose classification becomes increasingly intractable as n increases [27], even while the dynamical properties of minimal equicontinuous Cantor actions by \mathbb{Z}^n are well behaved. For Γ in general, we simply refer to these as *Cantor actions*, which will always be assumed *minimal* and *equicontinuous*.

It is a classical result that a \mathbb{Z} -odometer is classified by its Steinitz order, which is calculated using a representation of the action as an inverse limit of actions on finite cyclic groups. One can also associate to a Cantor action by \mathbb{Z}^n its Steinitz order and also a collection of types, called its *typeset*, which consists of equivalence classes of Steinitz orders of individual elements of \mathbb{Z}^n . As discussed by Thomas [28, Section 4], the additional data of the typeset is still not sufficient to reduce the classification problem for Cantor actions by \mathbb{Z}^n to a standard Borel equivalence relation.

MSC2020: primary 20E18, 37B05, 37B45; secondary 57S10.

Keywords: odometers, Cantor actions, profinite groups, Steinitz numbers, Heisenberg group.

In the authors' work [20], we associate the *type* and *typeset* invariants to a Cantor action $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ for an arbitrary countable group Γ . The type $\tau[\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi]$ is the asymptotic equivalence class of the Steinitz order $\xi(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ of a presentation of the action as an inverse limit of actions of Γ on finite sets.

Associated to the type $\tau[\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi]$ is an even more basic invariant, the *prime spectrum* $\pi[\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi]$, which consists of the set of primes which appear in a Steinitz order $\xi(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ representing the type $\tau[\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi]$; see [Definition 2.14](#). The prime spectrum decomposes into two parts,

$$\pi[\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi] = \pi_\infty[\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi] \cup \pi_f[\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi],$$

where the *infinite prime spectrum* $\pi_\infty[\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi]$ consists of the primes that occur with infinite multiplicity in $\xi(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ and the *finite prime spectrum* $\pi_f[\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi]$ consists of the primes that occur with finite multiplicity. The prime spectrum and the finite prime spectrum are only well defined modulo finite subsets of $\pi_f[\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi]$.

Definition 1.1. A Cantor action $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ has *finite spectrum* if the prime spectrum $\pi[\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi]$ is a finite set and is said to have *infinite spectrum* otherwise.

The classification of Cantor actions for Γ is, in general, intractable and one seeks invariants for Cantor actions which at least distinguish between particular classes of actions. The authors' works [15; 16; 17; 18] study dynamical properties which yield invariants of Cantor actions. In particular, one of the most basic invariants is the property that the action is either *stable* or *wild*. The purpose of this note is to give a relation between the prime spectrum of a Cantor action and the wild property.

As explained in detail in [Section 2E](#) below, the property that the action $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ is stable is a property of the action of the completion $\mathfrak{G}(\Phi) = \overline{\Phi(\Gamma)} \subset \text{Homeo}(\mathfrak{X})$, which is a profinite group naturally acting on \mathfrak{X} . The property that the action $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ is locally quasianalytic is defined in [Definition 2.10](#), and $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ is *stable* if the action of $\mathfrak{G}(\Phi)$ on \mathfrak{X} is also locally quasianalytic. If $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ is stable, then $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ is locally quasianalytic. The converse need not hold even for actions of nilpotent groups, as we show later.

A Cantor action $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ is said to be *nilpotent* if Γ contains a finitely generated nilpotent subgroup with finite index. This class of group actions is particularly interesting, as it has the natural next level of complexity after the abelian Cantor actions. We show the following three results for nilpotent Cantor actions.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ be a nilpotent Cantor action. If the prime spectrum $\pi[\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi]$ is finite, then the action is stable.*

[Theorem 1.2](#) does not have a converse. We show that every collection of primes, finite or infinite, can be realized as the prime spectrum of a stable nilpotent Cantor action.

Theorem 1.3. *Let π_f and π_∞ be two distinct sets of primes, where π_f is a finite set and π_∞ is a nonempty finite or infinite set. Then there exists a stable nilpotent Cantor action $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ such that $\pi_\infty[\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi] = \pi_\infty$ and $\pi_f[\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi] = \pi_f$.*

Let $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ be an abelian Cantor action. If the action is effective, then it is free, and the action of the closure $\mathfrak{G}(\Phi)$ is also free, which implies that the action is stable. An effective nilpotent Cantor action need not be free and may even have elements which fix every point in a clopen subset of the Cantor set \mathfrak{X} . The authors showed in their work [16] that nilpotent Cantor actions are locally quasianalytic, which means that such subsets of fixed points cannot be arbitrarily small, as their diameter has lower bound which is uniform over the Cantor set \mathfrak{X} . It is then surprising to discover that if one allows $\mathfrak{G}(\Phi)$ to have infinite prime spectrum then one can construct wild nilpotent actions, for which the action of the closure $\mathfrak{G}(\Phi)$ is not locally quasianalytic, as shown in [Theorem 1.4](#). In addition, [Theorem 1.4](#) is a realization result, which shows that every infinite set of primes can be realized as the prime spectrum of a wild nilpotent Cantor action.

Theorem 1.4. *Given any two distinct sets π_f and π_∞ of primes, where π_f is infinite and π_∞ is any (possibly empty) set, there is a minimal equicontinuous action $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ of the Heisenberg group such that $\pi_f[\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi] = \pi_f$ and $\pi_\infty[\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi] = \pi_\infty$.*

Moreover, there exists an uncountable number of nilpotent Cantor actions $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ of the Heisenberg group Γ with infinite prime spectra such that

- (1) *each $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ is topologically free,*
- (2) *each $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ is wild,*
- (3) *the prime spectra of such actions are pairwise distinct.*

The notion of return equivalence for Cantor actions and its relationship with conjugacy of action is explained in [Section 2D](#). The result of [Corollary 1.5](#) below follows from the result that the prime spectrum of the action is an invariant of its return equivalence class; see [Theorem 2.16](#).

Corollary 1.5. *There exists an uncountable number of nilpotent Cantor actions $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ of the Heisenberg group Γ which are not return equivalent and therefore not conjugate.*

The conclusion of [Theorem 1.4](#) is used in [19] for the calculation of the mapping class groups of solenoidal manifolds whose base is a nil-manifold.

We note that for more general groups Γ , an analog of [Theorem 1.2](#) need not hold. For example, a weakly branch group, as studied in [3; 5; 6; 25], acts on the boundary of a d -regular rooted tree, and so has finite prime spectrum $\{d\}$, but the dynamics of the action on the Cantor boundary are wild.

Question 1.6. Let $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ be a Cantor action. For which classes of groups Γ does the finiteness of the prime spectrum of the action imply that the action is stable?

The paper is organized as follows. In [Section 2A](#) we recall basic properties of minimal equicontinuous group actions on Cantor sets. In particular, the definition of the prime spectrum of a minimal equicontinuous action is given in [Definition 2.14](#). We prove [Theorem 1.2](#) in [Section 3](#), and give basic examples of nilpotent Cantor actions in [Section 4](#). In [Section 5](#) we construct examples of stable and wild actions of the Heisenberg group with prescribed prime spectrum, proving [Theorems 1.3](#) and [1.4](#), from which we deduce [Corollary 1.5](#).

2. Cantor actions

We recall some of the basic properties of Cantor actions, as required for the proofs of [Theorems 1.2](#) and [1.4](#). More complete discussions of the properties of equicontinuous Cantor actions are given in the text by Auslander [\[1\]](#), the papers by Cortez and Petite [\[9\]](#), Cortez and Medynets [\[8\]](#), and the authors' works, in particular [\[10; 11; 17, Section 3\]](#).

2A. Basic concepts. Let $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ denote an action $\Phi : \Gamma \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$. We write $g \cdot x$ for $\Phi(g)(x)$ when appropriate. The orbit of $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ is the subset $\mathcal{O}(x) = \{g \cdot x \mid g \in \Gamma\}$. The action is *minimal* if for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}$, its orbit $\mathcal{O}(x)$ is dense in \mathfrak{X} .

An action $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ is *equicontinuous* with respect to a metric $d_{\mathfrak{X}}$ on \mathfrak{X} if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$ and $g \in \Gamma$ we have that $d_{\mathfrak{X}}(x, y) < \delta$ implies $d_{\mathfrak{X}}(g \cdot x, g \cdot y) < \varepsilon$. The property of being equicontinuous is independent of the choice of the metric on \mathfrak{X} which is compatible with the topology of \mathfrak{X} .

Now assume that \mathfrak{X} is a Cantor space. Let $\text{CO}(\mathfrak{X})$ denote the collection of all clopen (closed and open) subsets of \mathfrak{X} , which forms a basis for the topology of \mathfrak{X} . For $\phi \in \text{Homeo}(\mathfrak{X})$ and $U \in \text{CO}(\mathfrak{X})$, the image $\phi(U)$ belongs to $\text{CO}(\mathfrak{X})$. The next result is folklore, and a proof is given in [\[16, Proposition 3.1\]](#).

Proposition 2.1. *For \mathfrak{X} a Cantor space, a minimal action $\Phi : \Gamma \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is equicontinuous if and only if the Γ -orbit of every $U \in \text{CO}(\mathfrak{X})$ is finite for the induced action $\Phi_* : \Gamma \times \text{CO}(\mathfrak{X}) \rightarrow \text{CO}(\mathfrak{X})$.*

Definition 2.2. We say that $U \subset \mathfrak{X}$ is *adapted* to the action $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ if U is a nonempty clopen subset, and for any $g \in \Gamma$, $g \cdot U \cap U \neq \emptyset$ implies $g \cdot U = U$.

The proof of [\[16, Proposition 3.1\]](#) shows that given $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ and clopen set $x \in W$, there is an adapted clopen set U with $x \in U \subset W$.

For an adapted set U , the set of “return times” to U ,

$$(1) \quad \Gamma_U = \{g \in \Gamma \mid g \cdot U \cap U \neq \emptyset\},$$

is a subgroup of Γ , called the *stabilizer* of U . Then for $g, g' \in \Gamma$ with $g \cdot U \cap g' \cdot U \neq \emptyset$ we have $g^{-1} g' \cdot U = U$, and hence $g^{-1} g' \in \Gamma_U$. Thus, the translates $\{g \cdot U \mid g \in \Gamma\}$ form a finite clopen partition of \mathfrak{X} and are in one-to-one correspondence with the quotient space $X_U = \Gamma / \Gamma_U$. Then Γ acts by permutations of the finite set X_U and so the stabilizer group $\Gamma_U \subset G$ has finite index. Note that this implies that if $V \subset U$ is a proper inclusion of adapted sets, then the inclusion $\Gamma_V \subset \Gamma_U$ is also proper.

Definition 2.3. Let $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ be a Cantor action. A properly descending chain of clopen sets $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\ell \subset \mathfrak{X} \mid \ell \geq 0\}$ is said to be an *adapted neighborhood basis* at $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ for the action Φ if $x \in U_{\ell+1} \subset U_\ell$ is a proper inclusion for all $\ell > 0$, with $U_0 = \mathfrak{X}$, $\bigcap_{\ell > 0} U_\ell = \{x\}$, and each U_ℓ is adapted to the action Φ .

Given $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, [Proposition 2.1](#) implies there exists an adapted clopen set $U \in \text{CO}(\mathfrak{X})$ with $x \in U$ and $\text{diam}(U) < \varepsilon$. Thus, one can choose a descending chain \mathcal{U} of adapted sets in $\text{CO}(\mathfrak{X})$ whose intersection is x , from which the next result follows:

Proposition 2.4. *Let $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ be a Cantor action. Given $x \in \mathfrak{X}$, there exists an adapted neighborhood basis \mathcal{U} at x for the action Φ .*

Combining the above remarks, we have:

Corollary 2.5. *Let $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ be a Cantor action and \mathcal{U} be an adapted neighborhood basis. Set $\Gamma_\ell = \Gamma_{U_\ell}$, with $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma$. Then there is a nested chain of finite index subgroups $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{U} = \{\Gamma_0 \supset \Gamma_1 \supset \dots\}$.*

2B. Profinite completion. Let $\Phi(\Gamma) \subset \text{Homeo}(\mathfrak{X})$ denote the image subgroup for an action $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$. When the action is equicontinuous, the closure $\overline{\Phi(\Gamma)} \subset \text{Homeo}(\mathfrak{X})$ in the *uniform topology of maps* is a separable profinite group. We adopt the notation $\mathfrak{G}(\Phi) \equiv \overline{\Phi(\Gamma)}$.

Let $\widehat{\Phi} : \mathfrak{G}(\Phi) \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ denote the induced action of $\mathfrak{G}(\Phi)$ on \mathfrak{X} . For $\hat{g} \in \mathfrak{G}(\Phi)$, we write its action on \mathfrak{X} by $\hat{g} \cdot x = \widehat{\Phi}(\hat{g})(x)$. Since the action $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ is minimal, the action of $\widehat{\Phi}$ on \mathfrak{X} is transitive; that is, for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}$, the orbit $\{\hat{g} \cdot x \mid \hat{g} \in \mathfrak{G}(\Phi)\} = \mathfrak{X}$. Given $x \in \mathfrak{X}$, introduce the isotropy group

$$(2) \quad \mathfrak{D}(\Phi, x) = \{\hat{g} \in \mathfrak{G}(\Phi) \mid \hat{g} \cdot x = x\} \subset \text{Homeo}(\mathfrak{X}),$$

which is a closed subgroup of $\mathfrak{G}(\Phi)$, and thus is either finite or is an infinite profinite group. As the action $\widehat{\Phi} : \mathfrak{G}(\Phi) \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is transitive, the conjugacy class of $\mathfrak{D}(\Phi, x)$ in $\mathfrak{G}(\Phi)$ is independent of the choice of x , and by abuse of notation we omit the subscript x . The group $\mathfrak{D}(\Phi)$ is called the *discriminant* of the action $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ in [\[11; 15; 17\]](#) and is called a *parabolic* subgroup (of the profinite completion of a countable group) in the works by Bartholdi and Grigorchuk [\[4; 5\]](#).

2C. Algebraic Cantor actions. We next describe the algebraic construction of Cantor actions, starting with a group chain in a given group Γ , and then deriving the Cantor action from this data. This is often the most versatile method of constructing examples of Cantor actions with specific properties.

Let $\mathcal{G} = \{\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \supset \Gamma_1 \supset \Gamma_2 \supset \cdots\}$ be a properly descending chain of finite index subgroups. Let $X_\ell = \Gamma / \Gamma_\ell$ and note that Γ acts transitively on the left on the finite set X_ℓ . The inclusion $\Gamma_{\ell+1} \subset \Gamma_\ell$ induces a natural Γ -invariant quotient map $p_{\ell+1} : X_{\ell+1} \rightarrow X_\ell$. Introduce the inverse limit

$$(3) \quad X_\infty \equiv \varprojlim \{p_{\ell+1} : X_{\ell+1} \rightarrow X_\ell \mid \ell \geq 0\} \\ = \{(x_0, x_1, \dots) \in X_\infty \mid p_{\ell+1}(x_{\ell+1}) = x_\ell \text{ for all } \ell \geq 0\} \subset \prod_{\ell \geq 0} X_\ell.$$

Then X_∞ is a Cantor space with the Tychonoff topology, where the actions of Γ on the factors X_ℓ induce a minimal equicontinuous action $\Phi_\infty : \Gamma \times X_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$. There is a natural basepoint $x_\infty \in X_\infty$ given by the cosets of the identity element $e \in \Gamma$, so $x_\infty = (e\Gamma_\ell)$. An adapted neighborhood basis of x_∞ is given by the clopen sets

$$(4) \quad V_\ell = \{x = (x_i) \in X_\infty \mid x_i = e\Gamma_i \in X_i, 0 \leq i \leq \ell\} \subset X_\infty.$$

There is a tautological identity $\Gamma_\ell = \Gamma_{V_\ell}$ where Γ_{V_ℓ} is the isotropy group as defined by [Corollary 2.5](#).

Given a minimal equicontinuous Cantor action $\Phi : \Gamma \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ and an adapted neighborhood basis $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\ell \subset \mathfrak{X} \mid \ell \geq 0\}$ at $x \in \mathfrak{X}$, [Corollary 2.5](#) yields a group chain $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{U} = \{\Gamma_0 \supset \Gamma_1 \supset \cdots\}$. We can then associate to this group chain an algebraic action $\Phi_\infty : \Gamma \times X_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$ as above.

For each $\ell \geq 0$, we have the “partition coding map” $\Theta_\ell : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow X_\ell$ which is Γ -equivariant. The maps $\{\Theta_\ell\}$ are compatible with the map on quotients in (3), and so they induce a limit map $\Theta_x : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow X_\infty$. The fact that the diameters of the clopen sets $\{V_\ell\}$ tend to zero implies that Θ_x is a homeomorphism. Also, $\Theta_x(x) = x_\infty \in X_\infty$. The following is folklore:

Theorem 2.6 [[10](#), Appendix A]. *The map $\Theta_x : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow X_\infty$ induces an isomorphism of the Cantor actions $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ and $(X_\infty, \Gamma, \Phi_\infty)$.*

The action $(X_\infty, \Gamma, \Phi_\infty)$ is called the *odometer model* centered at x for the action $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$. The dependence of the model on the choices of a base point $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ and adapted neighborhood basis \mathcal{U} is discussed in detail in the works [[10](#); [12](#); [15](#); [17](#)].

Next, we develop the algebraic model for the profinite action $\widehat{\Phi} : \mathfrak{G}(\Phi) \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ of the completion $\mathfrak{G}(\Phi) \equiv \overline{\Phi(\Gamma)} \subset \text{Homeo}(\mathfrak{X})$. Choose a group chain $\{\Gamma_\ell \mid \ell \geq 0\}$ as above, which provides an algebraic model for the action $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$.

For each $\ell \geq 1$, let $C_\ell \subset \Gamma_\ell$ denote the *core* of Γ_ℓ , i.e., the largest normal subgroup of Γ_ℓ in Γ . So

$$(5) \quad C_\ell = \text{Core}(\Gamma_\ell) = \bigcap_{g \in \Gamma} g\Gamma_\ell g^{-1} \subset \Gamma_\ell.$$

As Γ_ℓ has finite index in Γ , the same holds for C_ℓ . Observe that for all $\ell \geq 0$, we have $C_{\ell+1} \subset C_\ell$.

Introduce the quotient group $Q_\ell = \Gamma/C_\ell$ with identity element $e_\ell \in Q_\ell$. There are natural quotient maps $q_{\ell+1} : Q_{\ell+1} \rightarrow Q_\ell$, and we can form the inverse limit group

$$(6) \quad \widehat{\Gamma}_\infty \equiv \varprojlim \{q_{\ell+1} : Q_{\ell+1} \rightarrow Q_\ell \mid \ell \geq 0\}$$

$$(7) \quad = \{(g_\ell) = (g_0, g_1, \dots) \mid g_\ell \in Q_\ell, q_{\ell+1}(g_{\ell+1}) = g_\ell \text{ for all } \ell \geq 0\} \subset \prod_{\ell \geq 0} \Gamma_\ell,$$

which is a Cantor space with the Tychonoff topology. The left actions of Γ on the spaces $X_\ell = \Gamma/\Gamma_\ell$ induce a minimal equicontinuous action of $\widehat{\Gamma}_\infty$ on X_∞ , again denoted by $\widehat{\Phi} : \widehat{\Gamma}_\infty \times X_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$. Note that the isotropy group of the action of $Q_\ell = \Gamma_\ell/C_\ell$ at the identity coset in $X_\ell = \Gamma/\Gamma_\ell$ is the subgroup $D_\ell = \Gamma_\ell/C_\ell$.

Denote the points in $\widehat{\Gamma}_\infty$ by $\widehat{g} = (g_\ell) \in \widehat{\Gamma}_\infty$ where $g_\ell \in Q_\ell$. There is a natural basepoint $\widehat{e}_\infty \in \widehat{\Gamma}_\infty$ given by the cosets of the identity element $e \in \Gamma$, so $\widehat{e}_\infty = (e_\ell)$ where $e_\ell = eC_\ell \in Q_\ell$ is the identity element in Q_ℓ .

For each $\ell \geq 0$, let $\Pi_\ell : \widehat{\Gamma}_\infty \rightarrow Q_\ell$ denote the projection onto the ℓ -th factor in (6), so in the coordinates of (7), we have $\Pi_\ell(\widehat{g}) = g_\ell \in Q_\ell$. The maps Π_ℓ are continuous for the profinite topology on $\widehat{\Gamma}_\infty$, so the preimages of points in Q_ℓ are clopen subsets. In particular, the fiber of $\Pi_\ell : \widehat{\Gamma}_\infty \rightarrow Q_\ell$ over e_ℓ is the normal subgroup

$$(8) \quad \widehat{C}_\ell = \Pi_\ell^{-1}(e_\ell) = \{(g_i) \in \widehat{\Gamma}_\infty \mid g_i \in C_i, 0 \leq i \leq \ell\}.$$

The collection $\{\widehat{C}_\ell \mid \ell \geq 1\}$ forms a basis of clopen neighborhoods of $\widehat{e}_\infty \in \widehat{\Gamma}_\infty$. That is, for each clopen set $\widehat{U} \subset \widehat{\Gamma}_\infty$ with $\widehat{e}_\infty \in \widehat{U}$, there exists $\ell_0 > 0$ such that $\widehat{C}_\ell \subset \widehat{U}$ for all $\ell \geq \ell_0$.

Theorem 2.7 [10, Theorem 4.4]. *There is an isomorphism $\widehat{\tau} : \mathfrak{S}(\Phi) \rightarrow \widehat{\Gamma}_\infty$ such that $\widehat{\tau}$ conjugates the profinite action $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{S}(\Phi), \widehat{\Phi})$ with the profinite action $(X_\infty, \widehat{\Gamma}_\infty, \widehat{\Phi}_\infty)$. In particular, $\widehat{\tau}$ identifies the isotropy group $\mathfrak{D}(\Phi)$ with the inverse limit subgroup*

$$(9) \quad D_\infty = \varprojlim \{q_{\ell+1} : \Gamma_{\ell+1}/C_{\ell+1} \rightarrow \Gamma_\ell/C_\ell \mid \ell \geq 0\} \subset \widehat{\Gamma}_\infty.$$

The maps $q_{\ell+1}$ in the formula (9) need not be surjections, and thus the calculation of the inverse limit D_∞ can involve some subtleties. For example, it is possible that each group Q_ℓ is nontrivial for $\ell > 0$, and yet D_∞ is the trivial group.

2D. Equivalence of Cantor actions. We next recall the notions of equivalence of Cantor actions. The first and strongest is that of *isomorphism*, which is a generalization of the notion of conjugacy of topological actions. For $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$, isomorphism corresponds to the notion of “flip conjugacy” introduced in the work of Boyle and Tomiyama [7]. The definition below also appears in the papers [8; 15; 23].

Definition 2.8. Cantor actions $(\mathfrak{X}_1, \Gamma_1, \Phi_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{X}_2, \Gamma_2, \Phi_2)$ are said to be *isomorphic* if there is a homeomorphism $h : \mathfrak{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_2$ and a group isomorphism $\Theta : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ such that

$$(10) \quad \Phi_1(g) = h^{-1} \circ \Phi_2(\Theta(g)) \circ h \in \text{Homeo}(\mathfrak{X}_1) \quad \text{for all } g \in \Gamma_1.$$

The notion of *return equivalence* for Cantor actions is weaker than isomorphism and is natural when considering the dynamical properties of Cantor systems which should be independent of the restriction of the action to a clopen cross-section.

Given a minimal equicontinuous Cantor action $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ and an adapted set $U \subset \mathfrak{X}$, recall that Γ_U denotes the isotropy group for U , as in (1). By a small abuse of notation, we use Φ_U to denote both the restricted action $\Phi_U : \Gamma_U \times U \rightarrow U$ and the induced quotient action $\Phi_U : \mathcal{H}_U \times U \rightarrow U$ for $\mathcal{H}_U = \Phi(\Gamma_U) \subset \text{Homeo}(U)$. Then $(U, \mathcal{H}_U, \Phi_U)$ is called the *holonomy action* for Φ .

Definition 2.9. Two minimal equicontinuous Cantor actions $(\mathfrak{X}_1, \Gamma_1, \Phi_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{X}_2, \Gamma_2, \Phi_2)$ are *return equivalent* if there exists an adapted set $U_1 \subset \mathfrak{X}_1$ for the action Φ_1 and an adapted set $U_2 \subset \mathfrak{X}_2$ for the action Φ_2 , such that the holonomy actions $(U_1, \mathcal{H}_{1,U_1}, \Phi_{1,U_1})$ and $(U_2, \mathcal{H}_{2,U_2}, \Phi_{2,U_2})$ are isomorphic.

If the actions Φ_1 and Φ_2 are isomorphic in the sense of Definition 2.8, then they are return equivalent with $U_1 = \mathfrak{X}_1$ and $U_2 = \mathfrak{X}_2$. However, the notion of return equivalence is weaker even for this case, as the conjugacy is between the holonomy groups $\mathcal{H}_{1,\mathfrak{X}_1}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{2,\mathfrak{X}_2}$, and not the groups Γ_1 and Γ_2 .

2E. Locally quasianalytic. The quasianalytic property for Cantor actions was introduced by Álvarez López and Candel in [24, Definition 9.4] as a generalization of the notion of a *quasianalytic action* studied by Haefliger for actions of pseudogroups of real-analytic diffeomorphisms. The authors introduced a local form of the quasianalytic property in [11; 15]:

Definition 2.10 [15, Definition 2.1]. A topological action $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ on a metric Cantor space \mathfrak{X} is *locally quasianalytic* (LQA) if there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any nonempty open set $U \subset \mathfrak{X}$ with $\text{diam}(U) < \varepsilon$, and for any nonempty open subset $V \subset U$, and elements $g_1, g_2 \in \Gamma$,

$$(11) \quad \text{if } \Phi(g_1)|_V = \Phi(g_2)|_V, \text{ then } \Phi(g_1)|_U = \Phi(g_2)|_U.$$

The action is said to be *quasianalytic* if (11) holds for $U = \mathfrak{X}$.

In other words, $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ is locally quasianalytic if for every $g \in \Gamma$, the homeomorphism $\Phi(g)$ has unique extensions on the sets of diameter $\varepsilon > 0$ in \mathfrak{X} , with ε uniform over \mathfrak{X} . We note that for a countable group Γ , an effective action $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ is topologically free if and only if it is quasianalytic.

Recall that a group Γ is *Noetherian* [2] if every increasing chain of subgroups has a maximal element. Equivalently, a group is Noetherian if every subgroup of Γ is finitely generated. A group is *topologically Noetherian* if every increasing chain of closed subgroups has a maximal element; see Section 3 for details.

Theorem 2.11 [16, Theorem 1.6]. *Let Γ be a Noetherian group. Then a minimal equicontinuous Cantor action $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ is locally quasianalytic.*

A finitely generated nilpotent group is Noetherian, so as a corollary we obtain that all Cantor actions by finitely generated nilpotent groups are locally quasianalytic.

The notion of a locally quasianalytic Cantor action extends to the case of a profinite group action $\widehat{\Phi} : \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$.

Definition 2.12. Let $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ be a Cantor action and $\widehat{\Phi} : \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ the induced profinite action. We say that the action is *stable* if the induced profinite action $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{G}(\Phi), \widehat{\Phi})$ is locally quasianalytic, and we say it is *wild* otherwise.

A profinite completion \mathfrak{G} of a Noetherian group Γ need not be Noetherian, as can be seen for the example of $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$, where \mathfrak{G} is the full profinite completion of \mathbb{Z} . More generally, a finitely generated nilpotent group Γ is always Noetherian, while Proposition 3.4 gives an “if and only if” condition for a profinite completion \mathfrak{G} of Γ to be topologically Noetherian.

2F. Type and typeset for Cantor actions. A Steinitz number ξ can be written uniquely as the formal product over the set of primes Π :

$$(12) \quad \xi = \prod_{p \in \Pi} p^{\chi_{\xi}(p)},$$

where the *characteristic function* $\chi_{\xi} : \Pi \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, \infty\}$ counts the multiplicity with which a prime p appears in the infinite product ξ .

Definition 2.13. Two Steinitz numbers ξ and ξ' are said to be *asymptotically equivalent* if there exists finite integers $m, m' \geq 1$ such that $m \cdot \xi = m' \cdot \xi'$, and we then write $\xi \stackrel{a}{\sim} \xi'$.

A *type* is an asymptotic equivalence class of Steinitz numbers. The type associated to a Steinitz number ξ is denoted by $\tau[\xi]$.

In terms of their characteristic functions χ_1, χ_2 , we have $\xi \stackrel{a}{\sim} \xi'$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- $\chi_1(p) = \chi_2(p)$ for all but finitely many primes $p \in \Pi$.

- $\chi_1(p) = \infty$ if and only if $\chi_1(p) = \infty$ for all primes $p \in \Pi$.

Given two types, τ and τ' , we write $\tau \leq \tau'$ if there exists representatives $\xi \in \tau$ and $\xi' \in \tau'$ such that their characteristic functions satisfy $\chi_\xi(p) \leq \chi_{\xi'}(p)$ for all primes $p \in \Pi$.

Definition 2.14. Let π denote the set of primes. Given $\xi = \prod_{p \in \pi} p^{\chi_\xi(p)}$, define

- $\pi(\xi) = \{p \in \pi \mid \chi_\xi(p) > 0\}$, the prime spectrum of ξ ,
- $\pi_f(\xi) = \{p \in \pi \mid 0 < \chi_\xi(p) < \infty\}$, the finite prime spectrum of ξ ,
- $\pi_\infty(\xi) = \{p \in \pi \mid \chi_\xi(p) = \infty\}$, the infinite prime spectrum of ξ .

Note that if $\xi \stackrel{a}{\sim} \xi'$, then $\pi_\infty(\xi) = \pi_\infty(\xi')$. The property that $\pi_f(\xi)$ is an infinite set is also preserved by asymptotic equivalence of Steinitz numbers.

Next, we define the type of a Cantor action $(X_\infty, \Gamma, \Phi_\infty)$ defined by a chain of finite index subgroups, $\mathcal{G} = \{\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \supset \Gamma_1 \supset \dots\}$. Let $C_\ell \subset \Gamma_\ell$ denote the normal core of Γ_ℓ .

Definition 2.15. Let (X_∞, Γ, Φ) be a minimal equicontinuous Cantor action defined by a group chain \mathcal{G} . The type $\tau[X_\infty, \Gamma, \Phi_\infty]$ of the action is the equivalence class of the Steinitz order

$$(13) \quad \xi(X_\infty, \Gamma, \Phi_\infty) = \text{lcm}\{\#X_\ell = \#(\Gamma/\Gamma_\ell) \mid \ell > 0\}.$$

Finally, we note the following result:

Theorem 2.16 [20, Theorem 1.9]. *Let $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ be a Cantor action. The Steinitz order $\xi(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ is defined as the Steinitz order for an algebraic model $(X_\infty, \Gamma, \Phi_\infty)$ of the action, which does not depend upon the choice of an algebraic model. The type $\tau[\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi]$ depends only on the return equivalence class of the action.*

2G. Type for profinite groups. The Steinitz order $\Pi[\mathfrak{G}]$ of a profinite group \mathfrak{G} is defined by the supernatural number associated to a presentation of \mathfrak{G} as an inverse limit of finite groups (see [26, Chapter 2.3; 29, Chapter 2]). The Steinitz order appears in the study of analytic representations of profinite groups associated to groups acting on rooted trees; see, for example, [22].

Recall that for a profinite group \mathfrak{G} , an open subgroup $\mathfrak{U} \subset \mathfrak{G}$ has finite index [26, Lemma 2.1.2].

Definition 2.17. Let $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ be a minimal equicontinuous Cantor action, with choice of a basepoint $x \in \mathfrak{X}$. The Steinitz orders of the action are defined as

- (1) $\xi(\mathfrak{G}(\Phi)) = \text{lcm}\{\#\mathfrak{G}(\Phi)/\mathfrak{N} \mid \mathfrak{N} \subset \mathfrak{G}(\Phi) \text{ open normal subgroup}\},$
- (2) $\xi(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi)) = \text{lcm}\{\#\mathfrak{D}(\Phi)/(\mathfrak{N} \cap \mathfrak{D}(\Phi)) \mid \mathfrak{N} \subset \mathfrak{G}(\Phi) \text{ open normal subgroup}\},$
- (3) $\xi(\mathfrak{G}(\Phi) : \mathfrak{D}(\Phi)) = \text{lcm}\{\#\mathfrak{G}(\Phi)/(\mathfrak{N} \cdot \mathfrak{D}(\Phi)) \mid \mathfrak{N} \subset \mathfrak{G}(\Phi) \text{ open normal subgroup}\}.$

The Steinitz orders satisfy the Lagrange identity, where the multiplication is taken in the sense of supernatural numbers,

$$(14) \quad \xi(\mathfrak{G}(\Phi)) = \xi(\mathfrak{G}(\Phi) : \mathfrak{D}(\Phi)) \cdot \xi(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi)).$$

Thus, we always have $\tau[\mathfrak{D}(\Phi)] \leq \tau[\mathfrak{G}(\Phi)]$. The following is a direct consequence of the definitions:

Theorem 2.18. *Let $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ be a Cantor action. Then there is equality of Steinitz orders, $\xi(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi) = \xi(\mathfrak{G}(\Phi) : \mathfrak{D}(\Phi))$.*

3. Nilpotent actions

We apply the notion of the Steinitz order of a nilpotent Cantor action to the study of its dynamical properties. The proof of [Theorem 1.2](#) is based on the special properties of the profinite completions of nilpotent groups, in particular the uniqueness of their Sylow p -subgroups, and on the relation of this algebraic property with the dynamics of the action.

3A. Noetherian groups. A countable group Γ is said to be *Noetherian* [\[2\]](#) if every increasing chain of subgroups $\{H_i \mid i \geq 1\}$ of Γ has a maximal element H_{i_0} . The group \mathbb{Z} is Noetherian; a finite product of Noetherian groups is Noetherian; and a subgroup and quotient group of a Noetherian group is Noetherian. Thus, a finitely generated nilpotent group is Noetherian.

The notion of a Noetherian group has a generalization which is useful for the study of actions of profinite groups.

Definition 3.1 [\[29, page 153\]](#). A profinite group \mathfrak{G} is said to be *topologically Noetherian* if every increasing chain of *closed* subgroups $\{\mathfrak{H}_i \mid i \geq 1\}$ of \mathfrak{G} has a maximal element \mathfrak{H}_{i_0} .

We illustrate this concept with two canonical examples of profinite completions of \mathbb{Z} .

Example 3.2. Let $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ denote the p -adic integers, for p a prime. That is, $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ is the completion of \mathbb{Z} with respect to the chain of subgroups $\mathcal{G} = \{\Gamma_\ell = p^\ell \mathbb{Z} \mid \ell \geq 1\}$. The closed subgroups of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ are given by $p^i \cdot \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ for some fixed $i > 0$, and hence satisfy the ascending chain property in [Definition 3.1](#).

Example 3.3. Let $\widehat{\pi} = \{p_i \mid i \geq 1\}$ be an infinite collection of distinct primes. Define an increasing chain of subgroups of \mathbb{Z} as $\mathcal{G}_{\widehat{\pi}} = \{\Gamma_\ell = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_\ell \mathbb{Z} \mid \ell \geq 1\}$. Let $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{\widehat{\pi}}$ be the completion of \mathbb{Z} with respect to the chain $\mathcal{G}_{\widehat{\pi}}$. Then we have a topological isomorphism

$$(15) \quad \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{\widehat{\pi}} \cong \prod_{i \geq 1} \mathbb{Z}/p_i \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let $H_\ell = \mathbb{Z}/p_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p_\ell\mathbb{Z}$ be the direct sum of the first ℓ factors. Then $\{H_\ell \mid \ell \geq 1\}$ is an increasing chain of subgroups of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{\widehat{\pi}}$ which does not stabilize, so $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{\widehat{\pi}}$ is not topologically Noetherian.

These two examples illustrate the idea behind the proof of the following result.

Proposition 3.4. *Let Γ be a finitely generated nilpotent group, and let $\widehat{\Gamma}$ be a profinite completion of Γ . Then $\widehat{\Gamma}$ is topologically Noetherian if and only if the prime spectrum $\pi(\xi(\widehat{\Gamma}))$ is finite.*

Proof. First, recall some basic facts about profinite groups. (See, for example, [29, Chapter 2].) For a prime p , a finite group H is a p -group if every element of H has order a power of p . A profinite group \mathfrak{H} is a pro- p -group if \mathfrak{H} is the inverse limit of finite p -groups. A Sylow p -subgroup $\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathfrak{G}$ is a maximal pro- p -subgroup [29, Definition 2.2.1].

A profinite group \mathfrak{G} is *pro-nilpotent* if it is the inverse limit of finite nilpotent groups. For example, if \mathfrak{G} is a profinite completion of a nilpotent group Γ , then \mathfrak{G} is pro-nilpotent.

The group \mathfrak{G} is topologically finitely generated if it contains a dense subgroup $\Gamma \subset \mathfrak{G}$ where Γ is finitely generated. The completion $\mathfrak{G}(\Phi)$ associated to a Cantor action $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ with Γ finitely generated is topologically finitely generated.

Assume that \mathfrak{G} is pro-nilpotent. Then for each prime p , there is a unique Sylow p -subgroup of \mathfrak{G} , which is normal in \mathfrak{G} (see [29, Proposition 2.4.3]). Denote this group by $\mathfrak{G}_{(p)}$. Also, $\mathfrak{G}_{(p)}$ is nontrivial if and only if $p \in \pi(\xi(\mathfrak{G}))$. We use the following result for pro-nilpotent groups, which is a consequence of [29, Proposition 2.4.3].

Proposition 3.5. *Let \mathfrak{G} be a profinite completion of a finitely generated nilpotent group Γ . Then there is a topological isomorphism*

$$(16) \quad \mathfrak{G} \cong \prod_{p \in \pi(\xi(\mathfrak{G}))} \mathfrak{G}_{(p)}.$$

From the isomorphism (16) it follows immediately that if the prime spectrum $\pi(\xi(\mathfrak{G}))$ is infinite, then \mathfrak{G} is not topologically Noetherian. To see this, list $\pi(\xi(\mathfrak{G})) = \{p_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$. Then we obtain an infinite strictly increasing chain of closed subgroups

$$\mathfrak{H}_\ell = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathfrak{G}_{(p_i)}.$$

If the prime spectrum $\pi(\xi(\mathfrak{G}))$ is finite, then the isomorphism (16) reduces the proof that \mathfrak{G} is topologically Noetherian to the case of showing that if \mathfrak{G} is topologically finitely generated, then each of its Sylow p -subgroups is Noetherian. The group $\mathfrak{G}_{(p)}$ is nilpotent and topologically finitely generated, so we can use the lower central series for $\mathfrak{G}_{(p)}$ and induction to reduce to the case where \mathfrak{H} is a topologically

finitely generated abelian pro- p -group, and so is isomorphic to a finite product of p -completions of \mathbb{Z} , which are topologically Noetherian.

Observe that a profinite completion \mathfrak{G} of a finitely generated nilpotent group Γ is a topologically finitely generated nilpotent group, and we apply the above remarks. \square

Corollary 3.6. *Let Γ be a virtually nilpotent group; that is, there exists a finitely generated nilpotent subgroup $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$ of finite index. Then a profinite completion \mathfrak{G} of Γ is topologically Noetherian if and only if its prime spectrum $\pi(\xi(\mathfrak{G}))$ is finite.*

Proof. We can assume that Γ_0 is a normal subgroup of Γ . Thus, its closure $\mathfrak{G}_0 \subset \mathfrak{G}$ satisfies the hypotheses of [Proposition 3.4](#), and the Steinitz orders satisfy $\xi(\mathfrak{G}_0) \stackrel{a}{\sim} \xi(\mathfrak{G})$. As \mathfrak{G}_0 is topologically Noetherian if and only if \mathfrak{G} is topologically Noetherian, the claim follows. \square

3B. Dynamics of Noetherian groups. We relate the topologically Noetherian property of a profinite group with the dynamics of a Cantor action of the group to obtain the proof of [Theorem 1.2](#). We first give the profinite analog of [[16](#), Theorem 1.6]. We follow the outline of its proof in [[16](#)].

Proposition 3.7. *Let \mathfrak{G} be a topologically Noetherian group. Then a minimal equicontinuous action $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{G}, \widehat{\Phi})$ on a Cantor space \mathfrak{X} is locally quasianalytic.*

Proof. The closure $\mathfrak{G}(\Phi)$ is contained in $\text{Homeo}(\mathfrak{X})$, so the action $\widehat{\Phi}$ of $\mathfrak{G}(\Phi)$ is effective. Suppose that the action $\widehat{\Phi}$ is not locally quasianalytic. Then there exists an infinite properly decreasing chain of clopen subsets of \mathfrak{X} , $\{U_1 \supset U_2 \supset \dots\}$, which satisfy, for all $\ell \geq 1$, the properties

- U_ℓ is adapted to the action $\widehat{\Phi}$ with isotropy subgroup $\mathfrak{G}_{U_\ell} \subset \mathfrak{G}$;
- there is a closed subgroup $K_\ell \subset \mathfrak{G}_{U_{\ell+1}}$ whose restricted action to $U_{\ell+1}$ is trivial, but the restricted action of K_ℓ to U_ℓ is effective.

Hence, we obtain a properly increasing chain of closed subgroups $\{K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \dots\}$ in \mathfrak{G} , which contradicts the assumption that \mathfrak{G} is topologically Noetherian. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ be a nilpotent Cantor action, and we are given that the prime spectrum $\pi(\xi(\mathfrak{G}(\Phi)))$ is finite. Then there exists a finitely generated nilpotent subgroup $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$ of finite index, and we can assume without loss of generality that Γ_0 is normal. Let $\mathfrak{G}(\Phi)_0$ be the closure of Γ_0 in $\mathfrak{G}(\Phi)$. The group $\mathfrak{G}(\Phi)$ has finite prime spectrum implies that the group $\mathfrak{G}(\Phi)_0$ has finite prime spectrum, and thus by [Proposition 3.4](#) the group $\mathfrak{G}(\Phi)_0$ is topologically Noetherian. Let $x \in \mathfrak{X}$. Then it suffices to show that the action of Γ_0 on the orbit $\mathfrak{X}_0 = \mathfrak{G}(\Phi)_0 \cdot x$ is stable. This reduces the proof to showing the claim when Γ is nilpotent. Then the profinite closure $\mathfrak{G}(\Phi)$ is also nilpotent, and we have a profinite action $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{G}(\Phi), \widehat{\Phi})$.

Suppose that the action $\widehat{\Phi}$ is not locally quasianalytic. Then there exists an increasing chain of closed subgroups $K_\ell \subset \mathfrak{D}(\Phi)$ where K_ℓ acts trivially on the clopen subset $U_\ell \subset \mathfrak{X}$. As $\mathfrak{D}(\Phi)$ is a closed subgroup of $\mathfrak{G}(\Phi)$, the increasing chain $\{K_\ell \mid \ell > 0\}$ consists of closed subgroups of $\mathfrak{G}(\Phi)$. This contradicts the fact that $\mathfrak{G}(\Phi)$ is topologically Noetherian. Hence, the action $\widehat{\Phi}$ must be locally quasianalytic. That is, the action $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$ is stable. \square

4. Basic examples

We construct two basic examples of nilpotent Cantor actions. These examples illustrate the principles behind the subsequent more complex constructions in Section 5, which are used to prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4.

The integer Heisenberg group is the simplest nonabelian nilpotent group, and it can be represented as the upper triangular matrices in $\mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{Z})$. That is,

$$(17) \quad \Gamma = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & c \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

We denote a 3×3 matrix in Γ by the coordinates as (a, b, c) .

Example 4.1. A *renormalizable Cantor action*, as defined in [21], can be constructed from the group chain defined by a proper self-embedding of a group Γ into itself.

For a prime $p \geq 2$, define the self-embedding $\varphi_p : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ by $\varphi(a, b, c) = (pa, pb, p^2c)$. Then define a group chain in Γ by setting

$$\Gamma_\ell = \varphi_p^\ell(\Gamma) = \{(p^\ell a, p^\ell b, p^{2\ell} c) \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad \bigcap_{\ell > 0} \Gamma_\ell = \{e\}.$$

For $\ell > 0$, the normal core for Γ_ℓ is given by

$$C_\ell = \mathrm{core}(\Gamma_\ell) = \{(p^{2\ell} a, p^{2\ell} b, p^{2\ell} c) \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

and so the quotient group is given by $Q_\ell = \Gamma/C_\ell \cong \{(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}) \mid \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c} \in \mathbb{Z}/p^{2\ell}\mathbb{Z}\}$. The profinite group $\widehat{\Gamma}_\infty$ is the inverse limit of the quotient groups Q_ℓ so we have $\widehat{\Gamma}_\infty = \{(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}) \mid \hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c} \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{p^2}\}$. Thus, $\xi(\widehat{\Gamma}) = \{p^\infty\}$. Even though the quotient groups Γ_ℓ/C_ℓ are all nontrivial, for this action the inverse limit D_∞ is the trivial group. This follows from the fact that there are inclusions

$$\Gamma_{2\ell} = \{(p^{2\ell} a, p^{2\ell} b, p^{4\ell} c) \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset C_\ell = \{(p^{2\ell} a, p^{2\ell} b, p^{2\ell} c) \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

The triviality of D_∞ implies that there is an equivalent group chain for the action [10] which can be chosen so that every subgroup in the chain is normal in Γ .

Example 4.2. For distinct primes $p, q \geq 2$, define the self-embedding $\varphi_{p,q} : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ by $\varphi(a, b, c) = (pa, qb, pqc)$. Then define a group chain in Γ by setting

$$\Gamma_\ell = \varphi_{p,q}^\ell(\Gamma) = \{(p^\ell a, q^\ell b, (pq)^\ell c) \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad \bigcap_{\ell > 0} \Gamma_\ell = \{e\}.$$

For $\ell > 0$, the normal core for Γ_ℓ is given by

$$C_\ell = \text{core}(\Gamma_\ell) = \{((pq)^\ell a, (pq)^\ell b, (pq)^\ell c) \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

and so the quotient group is given by $Q_\ell = \Gamma/C_\ell \cong \{(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}) \mid \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c} \in \mathbb{Z}/(pq)^\ell \mathbb{Z}\}$. The profinite group $\widehat{\Gamma}_\infty$ is the inverse limit of the quotient groups Q_ℓ , so we have $\widehat{\Gamma}_\infty = \{(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}) \mid \hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c} \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{pq}\}$. Thus, $\xi(\widehat{\Gamma}_\infty) = \{p^\infty, q^\infty\}$, and D_∞ is the inverse limit of the finite groups Γ_ℓ/C_ℓ by (9), so $D_\infty \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_q \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$.

5. Nilpotent actions with prescribed spectrum

We construct stable actions of the discrete Heisenberg group with prescribed prime spectrum, proving [Theorem 1.3](#). Then we construct examples of wild nilpotent Cantor actions, proving [Theorem 1.4](#), from which we deduce [Corollary 1.5](#). For simplicity, our examples all use the Heisenberg group represented by 3×3 matrices. Of course, these examples can be generalized to the integer upper triangular matrices in all dimensions, where there is much more freedom in the choices made in the construction. The calculations become correspondingly more tedious, but yield analogous results. It seems reasonable to expect that similar constructions can be made for any finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent (nonabelian) group Γ . That is, there are always group chains in Γ which yield wild nilpotent Cantor actions.

Let $\Gamma \subset \text{GL}(3, \mathbb{Z})$ denote the discrete Heisenberg group, given by formula (17). The basis for the constructions below is the structure theory for nilpotent group completions in [Proposition 3.5](#), in particular the formula (16). Given sets of primes π_f and π_∞ , we embed an infinite product of finite actions, as in [Section 5A](#), into a profinite completion $\widehat{\Gamma}_\infty$ of Γ , and thus obtain a nilpotent Cantor action $(X_\infty, \Gamma, \Phi_\infty)$ on the quotient space $X_\infty = \widehat{\Gamma}_\infty/D_\infty$.

5A. Basic components of the construction. Fix a prime $p \geq 2$.

For $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq k < n$, we have the finite groups

$$G_{p,n} = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \bar{a} & \bar{c} \\ 0 & 1 & \bar{b} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \mid \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c} \in \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z} \right\}, \quad H_{p,n,k} = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & p^k \bar{a} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \mid \bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

Note that $\#[G_{p,n}] = p^{3n}$ and $\#[H_{p,n,k}] = p^{n-k}$.

Let $\bar{x} = (1, 0, 0)$, $\bar{y} = (0, 1, 0)$, $\bar{z} = (0, 0, 1) \in G_{p,n}$. Then $\bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} \cdot \bar{x}^{-1} = \bar{y}\bar{z}$ and $\bar{x} \cdot \bar{z} \cdot \bar{x}^{-1} = \bar{z}$. That is, the adjoint action of \bar{x} on the ‘‘plane’’ in the (\bar{y}, \bar{z}) -coordinates

is a “shear” action along the \bar{z} -axis, and the adjoint action of \bar{x} on the \bar{z} -axis fixes all points on the \bar{z} -axis.

Set $X_{p,n,k} = G_{p,n}/H_{p,n,k}$. Then the isotropy group of the action of $G_{p,n}$ on $X_{p,n,k}$ at the coset $H_{p,n,k}$ of the identity element is $H_{p,n,k}$. The core subgroup $C_{p,n,k} \subset H_{p,n,k}$ contains elements in $H_{p,n,k}$ which fix every point in $X_{p,n,k}$. The action of $\bar{x} \in H_{p,n,k}$ on the coset space $X_{p,n,k}$ satisfies

$$(18) \quad \Phi_\infty(\bar{x})(\bar{y} H_{p,n,k}) = \bar{y}\bar{z} H_{p,n,k},$$

so the identity is the only element in $G_{p,n}$ which acts trivially on every coset in $X_{p,n,k}$, so $C_{p,n,k}$ is the trivial group. Then $D_{p,n,k} = H_{p,n,k}/C_{p,n,k} = H_{p,n,k}$, and for each $g \in H_{p,n,k}$ its action fixes the cosets of the multiples of \bar{z} .

5B. Stable nilpotent actions with finite or infinite prime spectrum. We now prove [Theorem 1.3](#) by constructing a family of stable examples with prescribed prime spectra.

Let π_f and π_∞ be two disjoint collections of primes, with π_f a finite set and π_∞ a nonempty set.

Enumerate $\pi_f = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m\}$, and then choose integers $1 \leq r_i \leq n_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Enumerate $\pi_\infty = \{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$ with the convention (for notational convenience) that if ℓ is greater than the number of primes in π_∞ then we set $p_\ell = 1$. For each $\ell \geq 1$, define the integers

$$(19) \quad M_\ell = q_1^{r_1} q_2^{r_2} \cdots q_m^{r_m} \cdot p_1^\ell p_2^\ell \cdots p_\ell^\ell,$$

$$(20) \quad N_\ell = q_1^{n_1} q_2^{n_2} \cdots q_m^{n_m} \cdot p_1^\ell p_2^\ell \cdots p_\ell^\ell.$$

For all $\ell \geq 1$, observe that M_ℓ divides N_ℓ .

Define a subgroup of the Heisenberg group Γ , in the coordinates in [\(17\)](#),

$$\Gamma_\ell = \{(aM_\ell, bN_\ell, cN_\ell) \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Its core subgroup is given by $C_\ell = \{(aN_\ell, bN_\ell, cN_\ell) \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Observe that

$$\mathbb{Z}/N_\ell\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/q_1^{n_1}\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/q_m^{n_m}\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p_1^\ell\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p_\ell^\ell\mathbb{Z}.$$

By [Proposition 3.5](#), and in the notation of [Section 5A](#), we have for $k_i = n_i - r_i$ that

$$(21) \quad \widehat{\Gamma}_\infty = \varprojlim \{\Gamma/C_\ell \rightarrow \Gamma/C_{\ell-1} \mid \ell \geq 1\} \cong \prod_{i=1}^m G_{q_i, n_i} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^\infty \widehat{\Gamma}_{(p_j)},$$

$$(22) \quad D_\infty = \varprojlim \{\Gamma_\ell/C_\ell \rightarrow \Gamma_{\ell-1}/C_{\ell-1} \mid \ell \geq 1\} \cong \prod_{i=1}^m H_{q_i, n_i, k_i}.$$

Then the Cantor space $X_\infty = \widehat{\Gamma}_\infty / D_\infty$ associated to the group chain $\{\Gamma_\ell \mid \ell \geq 1\}$ is given by

$$(23) \quad X_\infty \cong \prod_{i=1}^m X_{q_i, n_i, k_i} \times \prod_{j=1}^\infty \widehat{\Gamma}_{(p_j)}.$$

In particular, as the first factor in (23) is a finite product of finite sets, the second factor defines an open neighborhood

$$U = \prod_{i=1}^m \{x_i\} \times \prod_{j=1}^\infty \widehat{\Gamma}_{(p_j)},$$

where $x_i \in X_{q_i, n_i, k_i}$ is the basepoint given by the coset of the identity element. That is, U is a clopen neighborhood of the basepoint in X_∞ . The isotropy group of U is given by

$$(24) \quad \widehat{\Gamma}_\infty|U = \prod_{i=1}^m H_{q_i, n_i, k_i} \times \prod_{j=1}^\infty \widehat{\Gamma}_{(p_j)}.$$

The restriction of $\widehat{\Gamma}_\infty|U$ to U is isomorphic to the subgroup

$$(25) \quad K|U = \prod_{i=1}^m \{\bar{e}_i\} \times \prod_{j=1}^\infty \widehat{\Gamma}_{(p_j)} \subset \text{Homeo}(U),$$

where $\bar{e}_i \in G_{q_i, n_i}$ is the identity element. The group $K|U$ acts freely on U , and thus the action of $\widehat{\Gamma}_\infty$ on X_∞ is locally quasianalytic. The prime spectrum of the action of Γ on X_∞ is the union $\widehat{\pi} = \pi_f \cup \pi_\infty = \pi(\xi(\widehat{\Gamma}_\infty))$. If π_∞ is infinite, then the prime spectrum of the action is infinite. Note that the group Γ embeds into $\widehat{\Gamma}_\infty$, since the integers M_ℓ and N_ℓ tend to infinity with ℓ . This completes the proof of [Theorem 1.3](#).

5C. Wild nilpotent actions with infinite prime spectrum. We prove [Theorem 1.4](#). We must show that every infinite set of primes can be realized as the prime spectrum of a wild action of the Heisenberg group Γ , as defined by (17). Let π_f and π_∞ be disjoint collections of primes, with π_f an infinite set and π_∞ arbitrary, possibly empty.

Enumerate $\pi_f = \{q_1, q_2, \dots\}$ and choose integers $1 \leq r_i < n_i$ for $1 \leq i < \infty$.

Enumerate $\pi_\infty = \{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$, again with the convention that if ℓ is greater than the number of primes in π_∞ then we set $p_\ell = 1$.

As in [Section 5B](#), for each $\ell \geq 1$, define the integers

$$M_\ell = q_1^{r_1} q_2^{r_2} \cdots q_\ell^{r_\ell} \cdot p_1^\ell p_2^\ell \cdots p_\ell^\ell, \quad N_\ell = q_1^{n_1} q_2^{n_2} \cdots q_\ell^{n_\ell} \cdot p_1^\ell p_2^\ell \cdots p_\ell^\ell.$$

For $\ell \geq 1$, define a subgroup of the Heisenberg group Γ , in the coordinates in (17),

$$(26) \quad \Gamma_\ell = \{(aM_\ell, bN_\ell, cN_\ell) \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Its core subgroup is given by $C_\ell = \{(aN_\ell, bN_\ell, cN_\ell) \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. For $k_i = n_i - r_i$ we then have

$$(27) \quad \widehat{\Gamma}_\infty \cong \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} G_{q_i, n_i} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\Gamma}_{(p_j)}, \quad D_\infty \cong \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} H_{q_i, n_i, k_i}.$$

The Cantor space $X_\infty = \widehat{\Gamma}_\infty / D_\infty$ associated to the group chain $\{\Gamma_\ell \mid \ell \geq 1\}$ is given by

$$(28) \quad X_\infty \cong \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{q_i, n_i, k_i} \times \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\Gamma}_{(p_j)}.$$

The first factor in (23) is an infinite product of finite sets, so fixing the first ℓ coordinates in this product determines a clopen subset of X_∞ . Let $x_i \in X_{q_i, n_i, k_i}$ denote the coset of the identity element, which is the basepoint in X_{q_i, n_i, k_i} . Then for each $\ell \geq 1$, we define a clopen set in X_∞ by

$$(29) \quad U_\ell = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \{x_i\} \times \prod_{i=\ell+1}^{\infty} X_{q_i, n_i, k_i} \times \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\Gamma}_{(p_j)}.$$

By calculations in Section 5A, the subgroup H_{q_i, n_i, k_i} is the isotropy group of the basepoint $x_i \in X_{q_i, n_i, k_i}$. Thus, the isotropy subgroup of U_ℓ for the $\widehat{\Gamma}_\infty$ -action is given by

$$(30) \quad \widehat{\Gamma}_\infty|_{U_\ell} = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} H_{q_i, n_i, k_i} \times \prod_{i=\ell+1}^{\infty} G_{q_i, n_i} \times \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\Gamma}_{(p_j)}.$$

For $j \neq i$, the subgroup H_{q_i, n_i, k_i} acts as the identity on the factors X_{q_j, n_j, k_j} in (28). Thus, the image of $\widehat{\Gamma}_\infty|_{U_\ell}$ in $\text{Homeo}(U_\ell)$ is isomorphic to the subgroup

$$(31) \quad Z_\ell = \widehat{\Gamma}_\infty|_{U_\ell} = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \{\bar{e}_i\} \times \prod_{i=\ell+1}^{\infty} G_{q_i, n_i} \times \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\Gamma}_{(p_j)} \subset \text{Homeo}(U_\ell),$$

where $\bar{e}_i \in G_{q_i, n_i}$ is the identity element.

We next show that this action is not stable; that is, for any $\ell > 0$ there exists a clopen subset $V \subset U_\ell$ and nontrivial $\hat{g} \in Z_\ell$ so that the action of $\widehat{\Gamma}_\infty$ restricts to the identity map on V .

We can assume without loss of generality that $V = U_{\ell'}$ for some $\ell' > \ell$. Consider the restriction map for the isotropy subgroup of Z_ℓ to $U_{\ell'}$ which is given by

$$\rho_{\ell, \ell'} : Z_\ell|_{U_{\ell'}} \rightarrow Z_{\ell'} \subset \text{Homeo}(U_{\ell'}).$$

We must show that there exists $\ell' > \ell$ such that this map has a nontrivial kernel. Calculate this map in terms of the product representations above:

$$(32) \quad Z_\ell|_{U_{\ell'}} = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \{\bar{e}_i\} \times \prod_{i=\ell+1}^{\ell'} H_{q_i, n_i, k_i} \times \prod_{i=\ell'+1}^{\infty} G_{q_i, n_i} \times \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\Gamma}_{(p_j)}.$$

For $\ell < i \leq \ell'$, the group H_{q_i, n_i, k_i} fixes the point $\prod_{i=1}^{\ell'} \{x_i\}$, and acts trivially on $\prod_{i=\ell'+1}^{\infty} X_{q_i, n_i, k_i}$. Thus, the kernel of the restriction map contains the second factor in (32):

$$(33) \quad \prod_{i=\ell+1}^{\ell'} H_{q_i, n_i, k_i} \subset \ker\{\rho_{\ell, \ell'} : Z_{\ell}|_{U_{\ell'}} \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(U_{\ell'})\}.$$

As this group is nontrivial for all $\ell' > \ell$, the action of $\widehat{\Gamma}_{\infty}$ on X_{∞} is not locally quasianalytic, and hence the action of Γ on X_{∞} is wild. Also, the prime spectrum of the action of Γ on X_{∞} equals the union $\widehat{\pi} = \pi_f \cup \pi_{\infty}$.

We now prove the second part of [Theorem 1.4](#), showing that choices in the construction above can be made in such a way that the action of Γ on a Cantor set is topologically free while the action of $\widehat{\Gamma}_{\infty}$ is wild, and the prime spectrum is prescribed.

Choose an infinite set of distinct primes $\pi_f = \{q_1, q_2, \dots\}$, and let π_{∞} be empty.

Choose the constants as in [Section 5A](#), with $n_i = 2$ and $k_i = 1$ for all $i \geq 1$.

Define the Cantor space X_{∞} by (28), where the second factor is trivial; that is, a point. The action of $\widehat{\Gamma}_{\infty}$ is wild by the calculations in formulas (30) to (33).

We claim that the action of Γ on X_{∞} is topologically free. If not, then there exists an open set $U \subset X_{\infty}$ and $g \in \Gamma$ such that the action of $\Phi_{\infty}(g)$ is nontrivial on X_{∞} but leaves the set U invariant and restricts to the identity action on U . The action of Γ on X_{∞} is minimal, so there exists $h \in \Gamma$ with $h \cdot x_{\infty} \in U$. Then $\Phi_{\infty}(h^{-1}gh)(x_{\infty}) = x_{\infty}$ and the action $\Phi_{\infty}(h^{-1}gh)$ fixes an open neighborhood of x_{∞} . Replacing g with $h^{-1}gh$ we can assume that $\Phi_{\infty}(g)(x_{\infty}) = x_{\infty} \in U$. From the definition (29), the clopen sets

$$(34) \quad U_{\ell} = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \{x_i\} \times \prod_{i=\ell+1}^{\infty} X_{q_i, 2, 1}$$

form a neighborhood basis at x_{∞} , and thus there exists $\ell > 0$ such that $U_{\ell} \subset U$.

The group Γ embeds into $\widehat{\Gamma}_{\infty}$ along the diagonal in the product (16). That is, we can write $g = (g, g, \dots) \in \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} G_{q_i, 2}$. The action of $\Phi_{\infty}(g)$ is factorwise, and $\Phi_{\infty}(g)(x_{\infty}) = x_{\infty}$ implies that $g \in D_{\infty} \cong \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} H_{q_i, n_i, k_i}$. The assumption that $\Phi_{\infty}(g)$ fixes the points in U implies that it acts trivially on each factor $X_{q_i, 2, 1}$ for $i > \ell$. As each factor $H_{q_i, 2, 1}$ acts effectively on $X_{q_i, 2, 1}$ this implies that the projection of g to the i -th factor group $H_{q_i, 2, 1}$ is the identity for $i > \ell$. This implies that every entry above the diagonal in the matrix representation of g in (17) is divisible by an infinite number of distinct primes $\{q_i \mid i \geq \ell\}$, so by the prime factorization theorem the matrix g is the identity.

Alternatively, observe that we have $g \in \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} H_{q_i, 2, 1}$. This is a finite product of finite groups, which implies that $g \in \Gamma$ is a torsion element. However, the Heisenberg group Γ is torsion-free, and hence g must be the identity. Thus, the action of Γ on X_{∞} must be topologically free.

Finally, the above construction allows the choice of any infinite subset π_f of distinct primes, and there are an uncountable number such choices which are distinct. Thus, by Theorem 1.9 in [20] there are an uncountable number of topologically free, wild nilpotent Cantor actions with distinct prime spectrum. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

5D. Proof of Corollary 1.5. Consider the family of wild topologically free actions on the Heisenberg group Γ with infinite distinct prime spectrum, as constructed at the end of Section 5C. We show that the uncountable number of infinite choices of π_f in this family can be made so that the actions have pairwise disjoint types.

By Definition 2.13, for two Steinitz numbers ξ and ξ' we have that their types are equal, $\tau(\xi) = \tau(\xi')$, if and only if there exist integers m, m' such that $m \cdot \xi = m' \cdot \xi'$. Thus two actions with prime spectra π_f and π'_f have distinct types if and only if π_f and π'_f differ by an infinite number of entries. This happens, for instance, if π_f and π'_f are *almost disjoint infinite sets*, i.e., they are infinite sets with finite intersection.

The set of prime numbers is countable, so the family of infinite almost disjoint subsets of prime numbers is uncountable if and only if the family of infinite almost disjoint subsets of natural numbers is uncountable. The family of almost disjoint subsets of natural numbers is uncountable by [13, Corollary 2.3]. Since the set of finite subsets of natural numbers is countable, the set of almost disjoint infinite subsets of natural numbers is uncountable.

It follows that the prime spectra of the uncountable family of actions of the Heisenberg group in Theorem 1.4 can be chosen so that they form a family of almost disjoint infinite sets. Then their types are pairwise distinct, and by Theorem 2.16 these actions of the Heisenberg group are pairwise not return equivalent. Therefore, they are pairwise not conjugate.

References

- [1] J. Auslander, *Minimal flows and their extensions*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies **153**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1988. [MR](#)
- [2] R. Baer, “Noethersche Gruppen”, *Math. Z.* **66** (1956), 269–288. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [3] L. Bartholdi, “Endomorphic presentations of branch groups”, *J. Algebra* **268**:2 (2003), 419–443. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [4] L. Bartholdi and R. I. Grigorchuk, “On the spectrum of Hecke type operators related to some fractal groups”, pp. 5–45 in *Din. Sist., Avtom. i Beskon. Gruppy*, vol. 231, 2000. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [5] L. Bartholdi and R. I. Grigorchuk, “On parabolic subgroups and Hecke algebras of some fractal groups”, *Serdica Math. J.* **28**:1 (2002), 47–90. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [6] L. Bartholdi, R. I. Grigorchuk, and Z. Šunić, “Branch groups”, pp. 989–1112 in *Handbook of algebra*, vol. 3, edited by M. Hazewinkel, *Handb. Algebr.* **3**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2003. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [7] M. Boyle and J. Tomiyama, “Bounded topological orbit equivalence and C^* -algebras”, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **50**:2 (1998), 317–329. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [8] M. I. Cortez and K. Medynets, “Orbit equivalence rigidity of equicontinuous systems”, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) **94**:2 (2016), 545–556. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [9] M. I. Cortez and S. Petite, “ G -odometers and their almost one-to-one extensions”, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) **78**:1 (2008), 1–20. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [10] J. Dyer, S. Hurder, and O. Lukina, “The discriminant invariant of Cantor group actions”, *Topology Appl.* **208** (2016), 64–92. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [11] J. Dyer, S. Hurder, and O. Lukina, “Molino theory for matchbox manifolds”, *Pacific J. Math.* **289**:1 (2017), 91–151. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [12] R. Fokkink and L. Oversteegen, “Homogeneous weak solenoids”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **354**:9 (2002), 3743–3755. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [13] S. Geschke, “Almost disjoint and independent families”, pp. 1–9 in *Aspects of Descriptive Set Theory* (Kyoto, 2011), edited by H. Fujita, Sūrikaiseikikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku **1790**, RIMS, Kyoto, 2012.
- [14] T. Giordano, I. F. Putnam, and C. F. Skau, “ \mathbb{Z}^d -odometers and cohomology”, *Groups Geom. Dyn.* **13**:3 (2019), 909–938. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [15] S. Hurder and O. Lukina, “Wild solenoids”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **371**:7 (2019), 4493–4533. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [16] S. Hurder and O. Lukina, “Orbit equivalence and classification of weak solenoids”, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **69**:7 (2020), 2339–2363. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [17] S. Hurder and O. Lukina, “Limit group invariants for non-free Cantor actions”, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **41**:6 (2021), 1751–1794. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [18] S. Hurder and O. Lukina, “Nilpotent Cantor actions”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **150**:1 (2022), 289–304. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [19] S. Hurder and O. Lukina, “Mapping class groups of solenoidal manifolds”, preprint, 2023.
- [20] S. Hurder and O. Lukina, “Type invariants for solenoidal manifolds”, preprint, 2023. [arXiv 2305.00863](#)
- [21] S. Hurder, O. Lukina, and W. van Limbeek, “Cantor dynamics of renormalizable groups”, *Groups Geom. Dyn.* **15**:4 (2021), 1449–1487. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [22] S. Kionke, “Groups acting on rooted trees and their representations on the boundary”, *J. Algebra* **528** (2019), 260–284. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [23] X. Li, “Continuous orbit equivalence rigidity”, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **38**:4 (2018), 1543–1563. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [24] J. A. Álvarez López and A. Candel, “Equicontinuous foliated spaces”, *Math. Z.* **263**:4 (2009), 725–774. [MR](#)
- [25] V. Nekrashevych, *Self-similar groups*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **117**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [26] L. Ribes and P. Zalesskii, *Profinite groups*, *Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb.* **40**, Springer, 2000. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [27] S. Thomas, “The classification problem for torsion-free abelian groups of finite rank”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16**:1 (2003), 233–258. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [28] S. Thomas, “The classification problem for finite rank Butler groups”, pp. 329–338 in *Models, modules and abelian groups*, edited by R. Göbel and B. Goldsmith, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2008. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [29] J. S. Wilson, *Profinite groups*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series **19**, Oxford University Press, 1998. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Received May 6, 2023. Revised November 28, 2023.

STEVEN HURDER
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS & COMPUTER SCIENCE
UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO
CHICAGO, IL
UNITED STATES
hurder@uic.edu

OLGA LUKINA
MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE
LEIDEN UNIVERSITY
LEIDEN
NETHERLANDS
o.lukina@math.leidenuniv.nl

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Matthias Aschenbrenner
Fakultät für Mathematik
Universität Wien
Vienna, Austria
matthias.aschenbrenner@univie.ac.at

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Atsushi Ichino
Department of Mathematics
Kyoto University
Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
atsushi.ichino@gmail.com

Robert Lipshitz
Department of Mathematics
University of Oregon
Eugene, OR 97403
lipshitz@uoregon.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Dimitri Shlyakhtenko
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
shlyakht@ipam.ucla.edu

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

Ruixiang Zhang
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720-3840
ruixiang@berkeley.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org


See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2023 is US \$605/year for the electronic version, and \$820/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [PASCAL CNRS Index](#), [Referativnyi Zhurnal](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and [Web of Knowledge \(Science Citation Index\)](#).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1945-5844 electronic, 0030-8730 printed) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2023 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 327 No. 1 November 2023

The homology of the partition algebras	1
RACHAEL BOYD, RICHARD HEPWORTH and PETER PATZT	
Remarks on eigenspectra of isolated singularities	29
BEN CASTOR, HAOHUA DENG, MATT KERR and GREGORY PEARLSTEIN	
Fourier bases of a class of planar self-affine measures	55
MING-LIANG CHEN, JING-CHENG LIU and ZHI-YONG WANG	
Group topologies on automorphism groups of homogeneous structures	83
ZANIAR GHADERNEZHAD and JAVIER DE LA NUEZ GONZÁLEZ	
Prime spectrum and dynamics for nilpotent Cantor actions	107
STEVEN HURDER and OLGA LUKINA	
A note on the distinct distances problem in the hyperbolic plane	129
ZHIPENG LU and XIANCHANG MENG	
The algebraic topology of 4-manifold multisections	139
DELPHINE MOUSSARD and TRENTON SCHIRMER	
Approximation of regular Sasakian manifolds	167
GIOVANNI PLACINI	